ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Operations Research Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/orl



An example showing that A-lower semi-continuity is essential for minimax continuity theorems



Eugene A. Feinberg a,*, Pavlo O. Kasyanov b, Michael Z. Zgurovsky c

- Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3600, USA
- b Institute for Applied System Analysis, National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Peremogy ave., 37, build, 35, 03056, Kyiv, Ukraine
- c National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Peremogy ave., 37, build, 1, 03056, Kyiv, Ukraine

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 7 February 2018
Received in revised form 25 April 2018
Accepted 26 April 2018
Available online 8 May 2018

Keywords: Continuity Minimax Solution Multifunction

ABSTRACT

Recently the authors have established continuity properties of minimax values and solution sets for a function of two variables depending on a parameter. Some of these properties hold under the assumption that the multifunction, defining the domains of the second variable, is A-lower semi-continuous. This property is stronger than lower semi-continuity, but in several important cases these two properties coincide. This note provides an example demonstrating that in general the A-lower semi-continuity assumption cannot be relaxed to lower semi-continuity.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently Feinberg et al. [7] established results on continuity properties of minimax values and solution sets for a function of two variables depending on a parameter, when decision sets may not be compact. Such minimax values appear in games with perfect information, when the second player knows the move of the first one, in turn-based games, and in robust optimization. Some of the results in [7] hold under the assumption that a multifunction defining decision sets of the second player is A-lower semi-continuous. The A-lower semi-continuity property of a multifunction was introduced in [7], and it is stronger than lower semi-continuity. However, as shown in [7], these two conditions are equivalent in the following two important cases: (i) decision sets for the second player do not depend on the first variable, as this takes place in games with simultaneous moves, and (ii) the multifunction defining decision sets of the first player is upper semi-continuous and compact-valued. This note provides an example when the corresponding continuity properties of minimax fail when the A-lower semi-continuity assumption is relaxed to lower semi-continuity.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathbb{R}\cup\{\pm\infty\}$ and \mathbb{S} be a metric space. For a nonempty set $S\subset\mathbb{S}$, the notation $\underline{f}:S\subset\mathbb{S}\mapsto\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ means that for each $s\in S$ the value $\underline{f}(s)\in\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined. In general, the function \underline{f} may be also defined outside of S. The notation $\underline{f}:\mathbb{S}\mapsto\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ means that the function \underline{f} is defined on the entire space \mathbb{S} . This notation is

equivalent to the notation $f:\mathbb{S}\subset\mathbb{S}\mapsto\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, which we do not write explicitly. For a function $f:S\subset\mathbb{S}\mapsto\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we sometimes consider its restriction $f|_{\widetilde{S}}:\widetilde{S}\subset\mathbb{S}\mapsto\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ to the set $\widetilde{S}\subset S$. Throughout the note we denote by $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{S})$ the family of all nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{S} and by $S(\mathbb{S})$ the family of all nonempty subsets of \mathbb{S} .

We recall that, for a nonempty set $S \subset \mathbb{S}$, a function $f: S \subset \mathbb{S} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *lower semi-continuous at* $s \in S$, if for each sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots} \subset S$, that converges to s in \mathbb{S} , the inequality $\limsup_{n\to\infty} f(s_n) \geq f(s)$ holds. A function $f: S \subset \mathbb{S} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *upper semi-continuous at* $s \in S$, if -f is lower semi-continuous at $s \in S$. A function $f: S \subset \mathbb{S} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *lower/upper semi-continuous* if f is lower/upper semi-continuous at each $s \in S$. A function $f: S \subset \mathbb{S} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *inf-compact on* S, if all the level sets $\{s \in S: \underline{f}(s) \leq \lambda\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, are compact in \mathbb{S} . A function $f: S \subset \mathbb{S} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *sup-compact on* S, if -f is inf-compact on S.

Let $\mathbb X$ and $\mathbb Y$ be nonempty sets. For a multifunction $\Phi: \mathbb X \mapsto 2^{\mathbb Y}$, let $\mathrm{Dom}\, \Phi := \{x \in \mathbb X: \Phi(x) \neq \emptyset\}$. A multifunction $\Phi: \mathbb X \mapsto 2^{\mathbb Y}$ is called *strict* if $\mathrm{Dom}\, \Phi = \mathbb X$, that is, $\Phi: \mathbb X \mapsto S(\mathbb Y)$ or, equivalently, $\Phi(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in \mathbb X$. For $Z \subset \mathbb X$ define the *graph* of a multifunction $\Phi: \mathbb X \mapsto 2^{\mathbb Y}$, restricted to Z:

$$Gr_Z(\Phi) = \{(x, y) \in Z \times \mathbb{Y} : x \in Dom \Phi, y \in \Phi(x)\}.$$

When $Z = \mathbb{X}$, we use the standard notation $Gr(\Phi)$ for the graph of $\Phi : \mathbb{X} \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{Y}}$ instead of $Gr_{\mathbb{X}}(\Phi)$.

2. Basic definitions and facts

Let X, A and B be metric spaces, $\Phi_A: X \mapsto S(A)$ and $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subseteq X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ be multifunctions, and $f: Gr(\Phi_B) \subset X \times A \times B \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: eugene.feinberg@sunysb.edu (E.A. Feinberg), kasyanov@i.ua
(P.O. Kasyanov), mzz@kpi.ua (M.Z. Zgurovsky).

be a function. Define the worst-loss function

$$\mathbf{f}^{\sharp}(x,a) := \sup_{b \in \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(x,a)} \mathbf{f}(x,a,b), \qquad (x,a) \in \mathrm{Gr}(\Phi_{\mathbf{A}}), \tag{1}$$

the minimax value function

$$\mathbf{v}^{\sharp}(\mathbf{x}) := \inf_{a \in \Phi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x})} \sup_{b \in \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}, a)} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, a, b), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, \tag{2}$$

and the solution multifunctions

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{A}}^*(x) := \left\{ a \in \Phi_{\mathbb{A}}(x) : \mathbf{v}^{\sharp}(x) = \mathbf{f}^{\sharp}(x, a) \right\}, \quad x \in X; \tag{3}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}(x, a) := \left\{ b \in \Phi_{\mathrm{B}}(x, a) : f^{\sharp}(x, a) = f(x, a, b) \right\}, \\
(x, a) \in \mathrm{Gr}(\Phi_{\mathrm{A}}). \tag{4}$$

Formulae (1)–(4) describe the value functions and solution multifunctions for one-step zero-sum games with perfect information, where X is the state space, A and B are the action sets of Players I and II respectively. Player I knows the state x and selects an action from the set $\Phi_A(x)$. Player II knows the state x and the move a chosen by Player I and selects an action b from the set $\Phi_B(x,a)$. Then Player I pays Player II the amount f(x,a,b). Turn-based games can be usually reduced to games with perfect information. These formulae also describe a model for robust optimization. In this case the goal is to choose an action a to minimize possible losses f(x,a,b) under the worst possible outcome of the uncertain parameter b.

Natural continuity properties of functions (1), (2) and solution multifunctions (3), (4) are described in [7]. The results in [7] generalize Berge's theorem and Berge's maximum theorem for possibly noncompact action sets from [6] and [5] to minimax settings. We start with the descriptions of these theorems.

Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be metric spaces. A set-valued mapping $F: \mathbb{X} \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{Y}}$ is *upper semi-continuous* at $x \in \operatorname{Dom} F$ if, for each neighborhood \mathcal{G} of the set F(x), there is a neighborhood of x, say U(x), such that $F(x^*) \subset \mathcal{G}$ for all $x^* \in U(x) \cap \operatorname{Dom} F$; a set-valued mapping $F: \mathbb{X} \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{Y}}$ is *lower semi-continuous* at $x \in \operatorname{Dom} F$ if, for each open set \mathcal{G} with $F(x) \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$, there is a neighborhood of x, say U(x), such that if $x^* \in U(x) \cap \operatorname{Dom} F$, then $F(x^*) \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ (see e.g., Berge [2, p. 109] or Zgurovsky et al. [12, Chapter 1, p. 7]). A set-valued mapping is called *upper/lower semi-continuous*, if it is upper/lower semi-continuous at all $x \in \operatorname{Dom} F$.

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{X} \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{Y}}$ be a multifunction with $\mathsf{Dom}\, \Phi \neq \emptyset$, and $u: \mathsf{Gr}(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function. Define the *value function*

$$v(x) := \inf_{y \in \Phi(x)} u(x, y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{X},$$

and the solution multifunction

$$\Phi^*(x) := \{ y \in \Phi(x) : v(x) = u(x, y) \}, \quad x \in \mathbb{X}.$$

First, we formulate two classic facts playing important roles in parametric optimization Bank et al. [1] and in mathematical economics, control theory, and game theory.

Theorem 2.1 (Berge's Theorem; Berge [2, Theorem 2, p. 116], Hu and Papageorgiou [8, Proposition 3.3, p. 83]). If $u: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a lower semi-continuous function and $\Phi: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Y})$ is an upper semi-continuous multifunction, then the function $v: \mathbb{X} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semi-continuous and the solution sets $\Phi^*(x)$ are nonempty and compact for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Berge's Maximum Theorem; Berge [2, p. 116], Hu and Papageorgiou [8, Theorem 3.4, p. 84]). If $u: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\Phi: \mathbb{X} \mapsto \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Y})$ is a continuous multifunction, then the value function $v: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and the solution multifunction $\Phi^*: \mathbb{X} \mapsto \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Y})$ is upper semi-continuous.

Second, we formulate Berge's theorem and Berge's maximum theorem for possibly noncompact sets $\Phi(x)$.

Definition 2.3 (Feinberg et al. [6, Definition 1.1], [7, Definition 1]). A function $u : Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi)$, if for every $C \in \mathbb{K}(Dom \Phi)$ this function is inf-compact on $Gr_{C}(\Phi)$.

In particular, according to $[7, Lemma \ 3]$, a function $u: Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi)$ in the following two cases: (i) $u: Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an inf-compact function; (ii) the assumptions of Berge's theorem (see Theorem 2.1) hold. Note that a function $f: Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called \mathbb{K} -sup-compact on $Gr(\Phi)$ if the function -f is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi)$. The following lemma provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be \mathbb{K} -inf-compact.

Lemma 2.4 (Feinberg et al. [7, Lemma 2] and Feinberg and Kasyanov [4, Lemma 2]). The function $u: Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi)$ if and only if the following two assumptions hold:

- (i) $u : Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) if a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ with values in $Dom \Phi$ converges in $\mathbb X$ and its limit x belongs to $Dom \Phi$, then each sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ with $y_n \in \Phi(x_n), n=1,2,...$, satisfying the condition that the sequence $\{u(x_n,y_n)\}_{n=1,2,...}$ is bounded above, has a limit point $y \in \Phi(x)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Berge's Theorem for Possibly Noncompact Decision Sets; Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 1]). If a function $u: \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $\operatorname{Gr}(\Phi)$, then the value function $v: \operatorname{Dom} \Phi \subset \mathbb{X} \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semi-continuous. In addition, the following two properties hold for the solution multifunction Φ^* : (a) the graph $\operatorname{Gr}(\Phi^*)$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$; (b) if $v(x) = +\infty$, then $\Phi^*(x) = \Phi(x)$, and, if $v(x) < +\infty$, then $\Phi^*(x) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Y})$; $x \in \operatorname{Dom} \Phi$.

Theorem 2.6 (Berge's Maximum Theorem for Possibly Noncompact Decision Sets; Feinberg et al. [5, Theorems 1.2 and 3.1]). If $u: Gr(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathbb{K} -inf-compact, upper semi-continuous function on $Gr(\Phi)$ and $\Phi: \mathbb{X} \to S(\mathbb{Y})$ is a lower semi-continuous multifunction, then the value function $v: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and the solution multifunction $\Phi^*: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Y})$ is upper semi-continuous.

According to [7, Lemma 3] described above, Theorem 2.5 is a generalization of Berge's theorem (Theorem 2.1), and Theorem 2.6 is a generalization of Berge's maximum theorem (Theorem 2.2). In particular, Theorem 2.5 is important for inventory control and Markov decision processes; see Feinberg [3] for details. Before the notion of \mathbb{K} -inf-compactness was introduced in [6], Luque-Vásquez and Hernández-Lerma [11] provided an example of a continuous multifunction $\Phi(x) = \mathbb{Y}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, continuous function $u: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, such that the function $u(x, \cdot): \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ is inf-compact for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, for which the value function $v: \mathbb{X} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is not lower semi-continuous. In this example, the function $u: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is not \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$. This example is used to construct Example 3.1.

Third, we describe the results on continuity properties of minimax values and solution multifunctions from Feinberg et al. [7]. We start with the properties that do not use A-lower semi-continuity of Φ_B ; see statements (A,B,C) below.

Definition 2.7. A multifunction $\Phi_B : Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is called A-*lower semi-continuous*, if the following condition holds:

if a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ with values in X converges and its limit x belongs to X, $a_n \in \Phi_A(x_n)$ for each $n=1,2,\ldots$, and $b\in \Phi_B(x,a)$ for some $a\in \Phi_A(x)$, then there is a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$, with $b_n\in \Phi_B(x_n,a_n)$ for each $n=1,2,\ldots$, such that b is a limit point of the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$.

We recall that a multifunction $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is lower semi-continuous, iff for each $x \in X$ and $a \in \Phi_A(x)$, for every sequence $(x_n, a_n) \to (x, a)$ with $x_n \in X$, $a_n \in \Phi_A(x_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, and for every $b \in \Phi_B(x, a)$, there exists a sequence $b_n \in \Phi_B(x_n, a_n)$ such that $b_n \to b$.

As follows from the definitions, an A-lower semi-continuous multifunction Φ_B is lower semi-continuous, but the opposite statement is not correct; see Feinberg et al. [7, Example 5]. The following lemma describes two conditions under which a lower semi-continuous multifunction Φ_B is A-lower semi-continuous. Case (a) takes place when the first player has compact action sets, and case (b) takes place when decision sets for the second player do not depend on the first variable, as this takes place in games with simultaneous moves; see Jaśkiewicz and Nowak [9,10] and references therein for the results on stochastic games satisfying these conditions.

Lemma 2.8. Let Φ_B : $Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ be a lower semi-continuous multifunction. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) if $\Phi_A: X \mapsto S(A)$ is upper semi-continuous and compact-valued at each $x \in X$, then $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is A-lower semi-continuous;
- (b) if Φ_B(x, a) does not depend on a ∈ Φ_A(x) for each x ∈ X, that is, Φ_B(x, a_{*}) = Φ_B(x, a*) for each (x, a_{*}), (x, a*) ∈ Gr(Φ_A), then Φ_B: Gr(Φ_A) ⊂ X × A → S(B) is A-lower semi-continuous.

To state the continuity theorems for minimax, we introduce the multifunction $\Phi_{B}^{A\leftrightarrow B}: X \times B \mapsto 2^{A}$ uniquely defined by its graph,

$$\begin{split} & \text{Gr}(\Phi_{\text{B}}^{\text{A}\leftrightarrow \text{B}}) := \{(x,b,a) \in \text{X} \times \text{B} \times \text{A} : (x,a,b) \in \text{Gr}(\Phi_{\text{B}})\}, \\ & \text{that is, } \Phi_{\text{B}}^{\text{A}\leftrightarrow \text{B}}(x,b) = \{a \in \Phi_{\text{A}}(x) : b \in \Phi_{\text{B}}(x,a)\}, (x,b) \in \text{Dom } \\ & \Phi_{\text{B}}^{\text{A}\leftrightarrow \text{B}} \cdot \text{We also introduce the function } \mathbf{f}^{\text{A}\leftrightarrow \text{B}} : \text{Gr}(\Phi_{\text{B}}^{\text{A}\leftrightarrow \text{B}}) \subset (\text{X}\times \text{B}) \times \end{split}$$

$$f^{A \leftrightarrow B}(x, b, a) := f(x, a, b), \quad (x, a, b) \in Gr(\Phi_B).$$
 (6)

According to (5), the following equalities hold:

Dom
$$\Phi_{B}^{A \leftrightarrow B} = \operatorname{proj}_{X \times B} \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi_{B}) = \{(x, b) \in X \times B : (x, a, b) \in \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi_{B}) \text{ for some } a \in A\},$$
 (7)

where $\text{proj}_{X \times B} \text{Gr}(\Phi_B)$ is a projection of $\text{Gr}(\Phi_B)$ on $X \times B$.

We would like to mention that certain continuity properties of f^{\sharp} , v^{\sharp} , and Φ_B^* do not use A-lower semi-continuity of Φ_B . In particular, the following statements hold:

- (A) if $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is lower semi-continuous multifunction and $f: Gr(\Phi_B) \subset X \times A \times B \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semi-continuous function, then $f^{\sharp}: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is lower semi-continuous; [7, Theorem 4];
- (B) if $f : Gr(\Phi_B) \subset (X \times A) \times B \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -sup-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B)$ and $\Phi_A : X \mapsto S(A)$ is lower semi-continuous, then $v^{\sharp} : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $f^{\sharp} : Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ are upper semi-continuous; [7, Theorems 6 and 9];
- (C) if additionally to assumptions from (A) and (B), Φ_B: Gr(Φ_A) ⊂ X × A → S(B) is lower semi-continuous and f: Gr(Φ_B) ⊂ X × A × B → ℝ is lower semi-continuous, then Φ^{*}_B: Gr(Φ_A) ⊂ X × A → K(B) is upper semi-continuous; [7, Theorems 4, 6 and 12].

The following theorem presents continuity results for the worst-loss function, minimax function, and solution multifunction Φ_B^* that assume A-lower semi-continuity of $\Phi_B : Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ be an A-lower semi-continuous multifunction and the function $f^{A \leftrightarrow B}: Gr(\Phi_B^{A \leftrightarrow B}) \subset (X \times B) \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B^{A \leftrightarrow B})$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) the worst-loss function $f^{\sharp}: Gr(\Phi_{A}) \subset X \times A \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_{A})$; Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 5];
- (ii) the minimax function v[‡]: X → R is lower semi-continuous;
 Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 8];
- (iii) if additionally v[#]: X → R∪{-∞} is continuous (in view of (ii), v[#]: X → R is lower semi-continuous, and sufficient conditions for its upper semi-continuity are provided in statement (B)), then the infimum in (2) can be replaced with the minimum and the solution multifunction Φ^{*}_A: X → S(A) is upper semi-continuous and compact-valued; Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 11].

Remark 2.10. Theorems 7, 10, and 13 from Feinberg et al. [7] contain additional results on continuity properties of f^{\sharp} , v^{\sharp} , Φ_{B}^{\star} , and Φ_{B}^{\star} , which are combinations of Statements (A,B,C) and Theorem 2.9 from above. These results include [7, Theorem 13] described below before Example 3.1.

3. Example

In this section we provide an example demonstrating that the assumption that the multifunction $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is A-lower semi-continuous cannot be relaxed in each statement of Theorem 2.9 to the assumption that this multifunction is lower semi-continuous.

In the following Example 3.1, $\Phi_A: X \mapsto S(A)$ and $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ are continuous multifunctions, $f^{A \leftrightarrow B}: Gr(\Phi_A^{A \leftrightarrow B}) \subset (X \times B) \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B^{A \leftrightarrow B})$, and $f: Gr(\Phi_B) \subset (X \times A) \times B \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -sup-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B)$, that is, all the assumptions of Statements (A,B,C) and Theorem 2.9 hold, but $\Phi_B: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto S(B)$ is not A-lower semi-continuous. Then none of statements (i)–(iii) of Theorem 2.9 hold, that is, the worst-loss function $f^{\sharp}: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is not \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_A)$, the minimax function $v^{\sharp}: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is not upper semi-continuous, and the solution multifunction $\Phi_A^*: X \mapsto S(A)$ is not upper semi-continuous.

We recall that all the assumptions of statements (A,B,C) and Theorem 2.9 taken together imply that the function $f^{\sharp}: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_A)$, the function $v^{\sharp}: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and the multifunctions $\Phi_A^*: X \mapsto \mathbb{K}(A)$ and $\Phi_B^*: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \mathbb{K}(B)$ are upper semi-continuous; Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 13].

Example 3.1. Let $X := \mathbb{R}$, $A := B := \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$, $\Phi_A(x) := \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Phi_B(x, a) := [\phi_B(x, a), +\infty)$, where

$$\phi_{\mathrm{B}}(x,a) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if either } x \leq 0 \text{ or } x > 0, \\ 0 \leq a < \frac{1}{2x}; \\ 2(2x+1)a - 2 - \frac{1}{x}, & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2x} \leq a \leq \frac{1}{x}; \\ 2 + \frac{1}{x}, & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } a > \frac{1}{x}; \end{cases}$$

and let

$$f(x, a, b) := \begin{cases} 1 + a - b, & \text{if either } x \le 0 \text{ or } x > 0, \\ 0 \le a < \frac{1}{2x}, b \ge \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(x, a); \\ (2x + 1)a - b, & \text{if } x > 0, \frac{1}{2x} \le a \le \frac{1}{x}, \text{ and} \\ b \ge \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(x, a); \\ 2 + a - b, & \text{if } x > 0, a > \frac{1}{x}, \text{ and} \\ b \ge \phi_{\mathbb{B}}(x, a); \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$, $a \in \Phi_A(x)$, and $b \in \Phi_B(x, a)$.

It is obvious that $\Phi_{\rm A}$ and $\Phi_{\rm B}$ are continuous multifunctions because the constant function x=0 and the function $\phi_{\rm B}$ are continuous.

The multifunction Φ_B is not A-lower semi-continuous. Indeed, let x:=a:=b:=0. Then $a\in\Phi_A(x)$ and $b\in\Phi_B(x,a)$. Let $x_n:=\frac{1}{n}\searrow x$ as $n\to+\infty$ and $a_n:=n\in\Phi_A(x_n)=\mathbb{R}_+$ for all $n=1,2,\ldots$. Then $(-1,1)\cap\Phi_B(x_n,a_n)=\emptyset$ for each $n=1,2,\ldots$. Therefore, b=0 is not a limit point of any sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$ with $b_n\in\Phi_B(x_n,a_n),\ n=1,2,\ldots$, because $|b_n-b|\geq 1$ for each $n=1,2,\ldots$, that is, Φ_B is not A-lower semi-continuous.

 $n=1,2,\ldots$, that is, Φ_B is not A-lower semi-continuous. In view of Lemma 2.4, the function $f^{A\leftrightarrow B}: Gr(\Phi_B^{A\leftrightarrow B}) \subset (X\times B)\times A\mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B^{A\leftrightarrow B})$ and the function $f:Gr(\Phi_B)\subset (X\times A)\times B\mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{K} -sup-compact on $Gr(\Phi_B)$. Therefore, these functions are continuous.

For every pair $(x, a) \in X \times A$, the optimal decision for the second player is $b = \phi_B(x, a)$. Thus,

$$f^{\sharp}(x,a) = \begin{cases} 1+a, & \text{if either } x \le 0 \text{ or } x > 0, \\ 0 \le a < \frac{1}{2x}; \\ (2x+1)(\frac{1}{x}-a), & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2x} \le a \le \frac{1}{x}; \\ a - \frac{1}{x}, & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } a > \frac{1}{x}. \end{cases}$$
(8)

This function is continuous, but it is not \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{A}$ because $x_n := \frac{1}{n} \searrow 0$, the sequence $f^\sharp(\frac{1}{n},n) = 0$, $n = 1,2,\ldots$, is bounded above, and $a_n := n \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus, the conclusion (i) of Theorem 2.9 does not hold. The function f^\sharp was introduced in Luque-Vásquez and Hernández-Lerma [11]. In particular,

$$v^{\sharp}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \le 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x > 0; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\
\Phi_{\mathbb{A}}^{*}(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } x \le 0; \\ \{\frac{1}{\nu}\}, & \text{if } x > 0; \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

 $x \in X$. The conclusions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.9 do not hold because the function v^{\sharp} is not lower semi-continuous at x=0 and the solution multifunction Φ_A^* is not upper semi-continuous at x=0.

In this example, all the assumptions of statements (A,B,C) and Theorem 2.9 hold except one: the multifunction Φ_B is not A-lower semi-continuous, but it is lower semi-continuous. If the multifunction Φ_B were A-lower semi-continuous, then the function $f^{\sharp}: Gr(\Phi_A) \subset X \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ would be \mathbb{K} -inf-compact on $Gr(\Phi_A)$, the function $v^{\sharp}: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ would be continuous, and the multifunction $\Phi_A^*: X \mapsto \mathbb{K}(A)$ would be upper semi-continuous; see Feinberg et al. [7, Theorem 13], whose description is provided before the example.

Acknowledgment

Research of the first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant CMMI-1636193.

References

- B. Bank, J. Guddat, D. Klatte, B. Kummer, K. Tammer, Non-Linear Parametric Optimization, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [2] C. Berge, Topological Spaces, Macmillan, New York, NY, 1963.
- [3] E.A. Feinberg, Optimality conditions for inventory control, in: A. Gupta, A. Capponi (Eds.), Tutorials in Operations Research. Optimization Challenges in Complex, Networked, and Risky Systems. INFORMS, Cantonsville, MD, 2016, pp. 14–44.
- [4] E.A. Feinberg, P.O. Kasyanov, Continuity of minima: local results, Set-Valued Var. Anal. 23 (3) (2015) 485–499.
- [5] E.A. Feinberg, P.O. Kasyanov, M. Voorneveld, Berge's maximum theorem for noncompact image sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 413 (2) (2014) 1040–1046.
- [6] E.A. Feinberg, P.O. Kasyanov, N.V. Zadoianchuk, Berge's theorem for noncompact image sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 397 (1) (2013) 255–259.
- [7] E.A. Feinberg, P.O. Kasyanov, M.Z. Zgurovsky, Continuity of equilibria for two-person zero-sum games with noncompact action sets and unbounded payoffs, Ann. Oper. Res. (2017). http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10479-017-2677-y.
- [8] Sh. Hu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis, Volume I: Theory, Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1997.
- [9] A. Jaskiewicz, A.S. Nowak, Stochastic games with unbounded payoffs: applications to robust control in economics, Dyn. Games Appl. 1 (2) (2011) 253–279.
- [10] A. Jaśkiewicz, A.S. Nowak, Zero-sum stochastic games, in: T. Basar, G. Zaccour (Eds.), Handbook of Dynamic Game Theory, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2018. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27335-8_8-2.
- [11] F. Luque-Vásquez, O. Hernández-Lerma, A counterexample on the semicontinuity of minima, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (10) (1995) 3175–3176.
- [12] M.Z. Zgurovsky, V.S. Mel'nik, P.O. Kasyanov, Evolution Inclusions and Variation Inequalities for Earth Data Processing I, Springer, Belin, Germany, 2011.