

Inequalities for the surface area of projections of convex bodies

Apostolos Giannopoulos, Alexander Koldobsky and Petros Valettas

Abstract

We provide general inequalities that compare the surface area $S(K)$ of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n to the minimal, average or maximal surface area of its hyperplane or lower dimensional projections. We discuss the same questions for all the quermassintegrals of K . We examine separately the dependence of the constants on the dimension in the case where K is in some of the classical positions or K is a projection body. Our results are in the spirit of the hyperplane problem, with sections replaced by projections and volume by surface area.

Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: Primary 52A20, Secondary 46B05

1 Introduction

The starting point of this article are two inequalities of the second named author about the surface area of hyperplane projections of projection bodies. In [10] it was proved that if Z is a projection body in \mathbb{R}^n then

$$(1.1) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq b_n S(Z),$$

where $S(A)$ denotes the surface area of A , $P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)$ stands for the orthogonal projection of Z to the hyperplane ξ^\perp perpendicular to a vector $\xi \in S^{n-1}$, and

$$(1.2) \quad b_n = \frac{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n^{\frac{n-1}{n}}},$$

where ω_m is the volume of the Euclidean unit ball B_2^m in \mathbb{R}^m . Note that $b_n \simeq 1$ and that (1.1) is sharp; there is equality if $Z = B_2^n$. Conversely, in [11] it was proved that if Z is a projection body in \mathbb{R}^n which is a dilate of a body in isotropic position, then

$$(1.3) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \geq c(\log n)^{-2} S(Z),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Our first aim is to discuss similar inequalities for the surface area of hyperplane projections of an arbitrary convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n . In what follows, we denote by ∂_K the minimal surface area parameter of K , defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_K := \min \left\{ S(T(K)) / |T(K)|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} : T \in GL(n) \right\}.$$

It is known that $c\sqrt{n} \leq \partial_K \leq c'n$ for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , where $c, c' > 0$ are absolute constants (see Section 2 for definitions, references and background information).

Our analogue of (1.1) is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that, for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n ,*

$$(1.5) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{2b_n \partial_K}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} S(K) \leq \frac{c_1 \partial_K}{\sqrt{n}} S(K).$$

This inequality is sharp e.g. for the Euclidean unit ball. Note that $c_1 \partial_K / \sqrt{n} \leq c\sqrt{n}$ for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , and hence we have the general upper bound

$$(1.6) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq c\sqrt{n} S(K).$$

Our method employs an estimate for the minimal volume of a hyperplane projection of K : one has

$$(1.7) \quad \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| \leq c\sqrt{n} |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

for an absolute constant $c > 0$.

Assuming that K is in the minimal surface area position we have a converse of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n which is in the minimal surface area position. Then,*

$$(1.8) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} S(K),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

The estimate of Theorem 1.2 is sharp; we provide an example in which the two quantities in (1.8) are of the same order, using extremal (with respect to minimal hyperplane projections) bodies of minimal surface area that were constructed in [12].

In the case where K is a projection body, one can see that (1.7) holds true with $c\sqrt{n}$ replaced by b_n (see Section 3). This leads to an alternative proof of (1.1) with a weaker (by a factor of 2) constant.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Z be a projection body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

$$(1.9) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq 2b_n S(Z).$$

It should be noted that there are convex bodies which are not projection bodies but their minimal surface area parameter ∂_K is of the order of \sqrt{n} ; an example is given by B_1^n , the unit ball of ℓ_1^n . On the other hand, there exist projection bodies whose minimal surface area parameter is of the order of n ; an example is given by the cube. Thus, the estimates of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 complement each other. In our next result we replace $\min S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))$ by the expectation of $S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))$ on the sphere.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

$$(1.10) \quad |K| \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq \frac{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}{n^2\omega_n} S(K)^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{n}} S(K)^2,$$

where $c_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

A consequence of Theorem 1.4 is that if K is in some of the *classical positions* (minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position) then

$$(1.11) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq c\sqrt{n} S(K).$$

The reason is that, in all these cases, the surface area of K satisfies an inequality of the form $S(K) \leq cn|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ (see Section 2 for a brief description of the classical positions of a convex body and for a proof of this last assertion).

Passing to lower bounds, our analogue of (1.3) is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

$$(1.12) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq c_3 S(K)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

A consequence of Theorem 1.5 is that if K is in the minimal surface area, minimal mean width, isotropic, John or Löwner position, then

$$(1.13) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq c S(K),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant. In particular,

$$(1.14) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq c S(K).$$

Note that (1.14) is stronger than (1.3); moreover, for bounds of this type there is no need to assume that K is a projection body. In fact, our proof of Theorem 1.5 shows that (1.13) continues to hold as long as

$$(1.15) \quad S(K)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq c |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

for an absolute constant $c > 0$. This is a mild condition which is satisfied not only by the classical positions but also by all *reasonable* positions of K .

All these inequalities are proved in Section 4. Our main tools are a result from [7] stating that

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))}{|P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|} \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \frac{S(K)}{|K|}$$

for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and any $\xi \in S^{n-1}$, estimates from [9] for the volume of the projection body of a convex body in terms of its minimal surface area parameter, and Aleksandrov's inequalities. For the class of projection bodies, we prove and use the following sharp estimate (Lemma 3.1): if Z is a projection body in \mathbb{R}^n then

$$(1.17) \quad \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)| \leq \frac{nb_n}{n-1} |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

In Section 5 we study the same questions for the quermassintegrals $V_{n-k}(K) = V((K, n-k), (B_2^n, k))$ of a convex body K and the corresponding quermassintegrals of its hyperplane projections. We obtain the following estimates:

(i) For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(1.18) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{(p+1)\omega_{n-1}\partial_K}{n\omega_n} V_{n-p}(K) \leq \frac{c(p+1)\partial_K}{\sqrt{n}} V_{n-p}(K)$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq \frac{(p+1)\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n} \frac{S(K)}{|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} V_{n-p}(K).$$

(ii) If Z is a projection body in \mathbb{R}^n then, for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(1.20) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq (p+1) \frac{nb_n}{n-1} V_{n-p}(Z).$$

(iii) If K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position, then, for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(1.21) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq c(p+1)\sqrt{n} V_{n-p}(K).$$

(iv) For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(1.22) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}} [V_{n-p}(K)]^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}.$$

(v) If K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position then, for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(1.23) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1} c_0^{\frac{p}{n-p}}}{\omega_n^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}} V_{n-p}(K) \geq \left(\frac{c_1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p}{2(n-p)}} V_{n-p}(K).$$

The proofs employ the same tools as in the surface area case. The main additional ingredient is a generalization of (1.16) to subspaces of arbitrary dimension and quermassintegrals of any order, proved in [5]: If K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and $0 \leq p \leq k \leq n$, then, for every $F \in G_{n,k}$,

$$(1.24) \quad \frac{V_{n-p}(K)}{|K|} \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n-k+p}{n-k}} \frac{V_{k-p}(P_F(K))}{|P_F(K)|}.$$

This inequality allows us to obtain further generalizations of the results of Section 4; we can compare the surface area of a convex body K to the minimal, average or maximal surface area of its lower dimensional projections $P_F(K)$, $F \in G_{n,k}$, for any given $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. This is done in Section 6.

There are several questions that arise from this work and we hope that the reader might find them interesting; these are stated explicitly throughout the text.

2 Notation and background

We work in \mathbb{R}^n , which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the corresponding Euclidean norm, and write B_2^n for the Euclidean unit ball and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. We denote the unit ball of ℓ_p^n by B_p^n , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In particular, we also

write Q_n for the cube $B_\infty^n = [-1, 1]^n$ and $C_n = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ for the cube of volume 1. Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$. We write ω_n for the volume of B_2^n and σ for the rotationally invariant probability measure on S^{n-1} . The Grassmann manifold $G_{n,k}$ of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the Haar probability measure $\nu_{n,k}$. For every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $F \in G_{n,k}$ we write P_F for the orthogonal projection from \mathbb{R}^n onto F , and we set $B_F = B_2^n \cap F$ and $S_F = S^{n-1} \cap F$. Finally, we write \overline{A} for the homothetic image of volume 1 of a symmetric convex body $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. $\overline{A} := |A|^{-\frac{1}{n}}A$.

The letters c, c', c_1, c_2 etc. denote absolute positive constants which may change from line to line. Whenever we write $a \simeq b$, we mean that there exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1a \leq b \leq c_2a$. Also, if $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we will write $K \simeq L$ if there exist absolute constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1K \subseteq L \subseteq c_2K$.

We refer to the books [6] and [14] for basic facts from the Brunn-Minkowski theory and to the book [1] for basic facts from asymptotic convex geometry. We also refer to [3] for more information on isotropic convex bodies.

2.1. Convex bodies. A convex body in \mathbb{R}^n is a compact convex subset K of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. We say that K is symmetric if $x \in K$ implies that $-x \in K$, and that K is centered if its barycenter $\frac{1}{|K|} \int_K x \, dx$ is at the origin. The support function of a convex body K is defined by $h_K(y) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle : x \in K\}$, and the mean width of K is

$$(2.1) \quad w(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(\theta) \, d\sigma(\theta).$$

The circumradius of K is the quantity $R(K) = \max\{\|x\|_2 : x \in K\}$ i.e. the smallest $R > 0$ for which $K \subseteq RB_2^n$. If $0 \in \text{int}(K)$ then we write $r(K)$ for the inradius of K (the largest $r > 0$ for which $rB_2^n \subseteq K$) and we define the polar body K° of K by

$$(2.2) \quad K^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}.$$

The volume radius of K is the quantity $\text{vrad}(K) = (|K|/|B_2^n|)^{1/n}$. Integration in polar coordinates shows that if the origin is an interior point of K then the volume radius of K can be expressed as

$$(2.3) \quad \text{vrad}(K) = \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} \, d\sigma(\theta) \right)^{1/n},$$

where $\|\theta\|_K = \min\{t > 0 : \theta \in tK\}$. We also define

$$(2.4) \quad M(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K \, d\sigma(\theta).$$

2.2. Mixed volumes. From Minkowski's fundamental theorem we know that if K_1, \dots, K_m are non-empty, compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , then the volume of $t_1K_1 + \dots + t_mK_m$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in $t_i > 0$. That is,

$$(2.5) \quad |t_1K_1 + \dots + t_mK_m| = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_n},$$

where the coefficients $V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n})$ are chosen to be invariant under permutations of their arguments. The coefficient $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ is the mixed volume of K_1, \dots, K_n . In particular, if K and C are two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n then the function $|K + tC|$ is a polynomial in $t \in [0, \infty)$:

$$(2.6) \quad |K + tC| = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} V_{n-j}(K, C) t^j,$$

where $V_{n-j}(K, C) = V((K, n-j), (C, j))$ is the j -th mixed volume of K and C (we use the notation (C, j) for C, \dots, C j -times). If $C = B_2^n$ then we set $V_{n-j}(K) := V_{n-j}(K, B_2^n) = V((K, n-j), (B_2^n, j))$; this is the j -th quermassintegral of K . Note that

$$(2.7) \quad V_{n-1}(K, C) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|K + tC| - |K|}{t},$$

and by the Brunn-Minkowski inequality we see that

$$(2.8) \quad V_{n-1}(K, C) \geq |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} |C|^{1/n}$$

for all K and C (this is Minkowski's first inequality). The mixed volume $V_{n-1}(K, C)$ can be expressed as

$$(2.9) \quad V_{n-1}(K, C) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_C(\theta) d\sigma_K(\theta),$$

where σ_K is the surface area measure of K ; this is the Borel measure on S^{n-1} defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma_K(A) = \lambda(\{x \in \text{bd}(K) : \text{the outer normal to } K \text{ at } x \text{ belongs to } A\}),$$

where λ is the Hausdorff measure on $\text{bd}(K)$. In particular, the surface area $S(K) := \sigma_K(S^{n-1})$ of K satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad S(K) = n V_{n-1}(K).$$

We will also use the Aleksandrov inequalities: if K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n then the sequence

$$(2.12) \quad Q_k(K) = \left(\frac{1}{\omega_k} \int_{G_{n,k}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

is decreasing in k . This is a consequence of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality (see [4] and [14]). In particular, for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have

$$(2.13) \quad \left(\frac{|K|}{\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\omega_k} \int_{G_{n,k}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,k}(F) \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq w(K).$$

2.3. Classical positions. Let K be a centered convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . We introduce the classical positions of K that we are going to discuss; we set the notation and provide some background information.

Minimal surface area position. We say that K has minimal surface area if $S(K) \leq S(T(K))$ for every $T \in SL(n)$. Petty ([13], see also [9]) proved that K has minimal surface area if and only if σ_K satisfies the isotropic condition

$$(2.14) \quad S(K) = n \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \xi, \theta \rangle^2 d\sigma_K(\theta)$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. From the isoperimetric inequality we know that $S(K) \geq n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$. The reverse isoperimetric inequality of K. Ball [2] states that if K has minimal surface area and volume 1 then $S(K) \leq S(C_n) = 2n$ in the symmetric case and $S(K) \leq S(\Delta_n) \leq c_0 n$ in the not necessarily symmetric case, where Δ_n is a regular simplex of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n and $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Minimal mean width position. We say that K is in minimal mean width position if $w(K) \leq w(T(K))$ for every $T \in SL(n)$. It was proved in [8] that K has minimal mean width if and only if

$$(2.15) \quad w(K) = n \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \xi, \theta \rangle^2 h_K(\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. From results of Figiel-Tomczak, Lewis and Pisier (see [1, Chapter 6]) it follows that if a symmetric convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n has minimal mean width then

$$(2.16) \quad M(K)w(K) \leq c_1 \log(d_K + 1)$$

where $d_K := d(K, B_2^n)$ is the Banach-Mazur distance from K to B_2^n and $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant. If we assume that $|K| = 1$ then it is easy to check that $M(K) \geq c/\sqrt{n}$, and hence from (2.16) we see that $w(K) \leq c\sqrt{n} \log(d_K + 1)$. Then, a simple argument shows that a not necessarily symmetric convex body of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n that has minimal mean width satisfies a similar bound: $w(K) \leq c\sqrt{n} \log n$.

Isotropic position. For every centered convex body K of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n and any $q \geq 1$ we define

$$(2.17) \quad I_q(K) = \left(\int_K \|x\|_2^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

We say that K is in the isotropic position if $I_2(K) \leq I_2(T(K))$ for every $T \in SL(n)$. This is equivalent to the existence of a constant $L_K > 0$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad \int_K \langle x, \xi \rangle^2 dx = L_K^2$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. It is known that if K is centered then

$$(2.19) \quad \int_K \langle x, \xi \rangle^2 dx \simeq |K \cap \xi^\perp|^{-2}$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. Therefore, if K is isotropic we see that all hyperplane sections $K \cap \xi^\perp$ of K have volume equal (up to an absolute constant) to L_K^{-1} .

John and Löwner position. We say that a convex body K is in John's position if the ellipsoid of maximal volume inscribed in K is a multiple of the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n . We say that a convex body K is in Löwner's position if the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing K is a multiple of the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n . One can check that this holds true if and only if K° is in John's position. The volume ratio of a centered convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is the quantity

$$(2.20) \quad \text{vr}(K) = \inf \left\{ \left(\frac{|K|}{|\mathcal{E}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} : \mathcal{E} \text{ is an ellipsoid and } \mathcal{E} \subseteq K \right\}.$$

The outer volume ratio of a centered convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is the quantity $\text{ovr}(K) = \text{vr}(K^\circ)$. K. Ball proved in [2] that if K is in John's position then $\text{vr}(K) \leq \text{vr}(C_n) \simeq \sqrt{n}$ in the symmetric case and $\text{vr}(K) \leq \text{vr}(\Delta_n) \simeq \sqrt{n}$ in the not necessarily symmetric case; in fact, the reverse isoperimetric inequality follows from this fact.

2.4. Surface area and inradius. Let K be a centered convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Recall that the inradius $r(K)$ of K is the largest $r > 0$ for which $rB_2^n \subseteq K$. Using the monotonicity of mixed volumes we may write

$$(2.21) \quad S(K) = nV_{n-1}(K, B_2^n) \leq nV_{n-1}\left(K, \frac{1}{r(K)}K\right).$$

Since the mixed volumes are homogeneous with respect to each of their arguments and $V(K, \dots, K) = |K|$, we have the following general estimate for the surface area $S(K)$ of K .

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Then,*

$$(2.22) \quad S(K) \leq \frac{n|K|}{r(K)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain upper bounds for the surface area of a body which is in isotropic, John's or Löwner's position.

Proposition 2.2. *Let K be a centered convex body of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n .*

- (i) *If K is isotropic then $S(K) \leq cn/L_K \leq c'n$, where $c, c' > 0$ are absolute constants.*
- (ii) *If K is in minimal surface area position or in John's position then $S(K) \leq cn$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.*
- (iii) *If K is symmetric and in Löwner's position then $S(K) \leq cn$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.*

(iv) *If K is symmetric and in the minimal mean width position then $S(K) \leq cn \log n$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.*

Proof. The inclusion $L_K B_2^n \subseteq K$ for an isotropic symmetric convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is clear since

$$h_K(u) = \|\langle \cdot, u \rangle\|_{L^\infty(K)} \geq \|\langle \cdot, u \rangle\|_{L^2(K)} = L_K$$

for every $u \in S^{n-1}$. This shows that $r(K) \geq L_K$ in this case. If K is centered but not necessarily symmetric, then we still have $h_K(u) \geq cL_K$: to see this, we use the fact that $e^{-1} \max\{|K \cap (t\theta + \theta^\perp)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \leq |K \cap \theta^\perp|$ (see [3, Chapter 2]) and then write

$$\begin{aligned} L_K^{-1} h_K(u) &\geq c_1 h_K(u) |K \cap \theta^\perp| \geq c_2 \int_0^\infty |K \cap (t\theta + \theta^\perp)| dt \\ &= c_2 |\{x \in K : \langle x, \theta \rangle \geq 0\}| \geq c_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is an absolute constant (the last inequality follows from Grünbaum's lemma, see [3, Chapter 2]). To conclude the proof of (i) we recall that $L_K \geq c$ for any convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n .

Assume that K is in John's position. Then, using the volume ratio estimate we see that

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(K)} \simeq \left(\frac{|K|}{|r(K)B_2^n|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \text{vr}(K) \leq c\sqrt{n},$$

which implies that $r(K) \geq c$, and hence $S(K) \leq c^{-1}n$. It follows that if K is in minimal surface area position we also have $S(K) \leq c^{-1}n$.

Next, assume that K is symmetric and in Löwner's position; this time we use the fact that $R(K) \leq \sqrt{n}r(K)$ by John's theorem, and then

$$(2.24) \quad 1 = |K|^{1/n} \leq |R(K)B_2^n|^{1/n} \leq cR(K)/\sqrt{n} \leq cr(K).$$

Finally, if K is symmetric and in the minimal mean width position we can use the direct estimate

$$(2.25) \quad R(K^\circ) \leq c\sqrt{n}w(K^\circ) = c\sqrt{n}M(K) \leq c'\log n$$

which is a consequence of (2.16) and of the fact that $w(K) \geq c\sqrt{n}$ by Urysohn's inequality. This shows that $r(K) = 1/R(K^\circ) \geq c/\log n$, and (iv) follows. \square

Note. The example of the cube C_n shows that the bounds (i), (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 2.2 are sharp up to an absolute constant.

3 Projections of projection bodies

A zonoid is the limit of Minkowski sums of line segments in the Hausdorff metric. Equivalently, a symmetric convex body Z is a zonoid if and only if its polar body is the unit ball

of an n -dimensional subspace of an L_1 -space; i.e. if there exists a positive measure μ (the supporting measure of Z) on S^{n-1} such that

$$(3.1) \quad h_Z(x) = \|x\|_{Z^\circ} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle x, y \rangle| d\mu(y).$$

The class of origin-symmetric zonoids coincides with the class of projection bodies. Recall that the projection body ΠK of a convex body K is the symmetric convex body whose support function is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad h_{\Pi K}(\xi) = |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|, \quad \xi \in S^{n-1}.$$

From Cauchy's formula

$$(3.3) \quad |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle \xi, \theta \rangle| d\sigma_K(\theta),$$

where σ_K is the surface area measure of K , we see that the projection body of K is a zonoid whose supporting measure is σ_K . Minkowski's existence theorem implies that, conversely, every origin-symmetric zonoid is the projection body of some symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, if we denote by \mathcal{C}_n the class of origin-symmetric convex bodies and by \mathcal{Z}_n the class of origin-symmetric zonoids, Aleksandrov's uniqueness theorem shows that the Minkowski map $\Pi : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n$ with $K \mapsto \Pi K$, is injective. Note also that \mathcal{Z}_n is invariant under invertible linear transformations and closed in the Hausdorff metric.

Let K be a convex body of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, the volume of ΠK and of its polar body $\Pi^* K$ satisfy the bounds (see [9])

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{\partial_K}{n} \right)^n \leq |\Pi K| \leq \omega_n \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1} \partial_K}{n \omega_n} \right)^n$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_n \left(\frac{n \omega_n}{\omega_{n-1} \partial_K} \right)^n \leq |\Pi^* K| \leq \frac{4^n n^n}{n! \partial_K^n}.$$

All these inequalities are sharp as one can see from the examples of the ball and the cube.

Our next lemma provides an estimate for the volume of the minimal hyperplane projection of a zonoid.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Z be a zonoid in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

$$(3.6) \quad \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)| \leq \frac{n b_n}{n-1} |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Proof. We write $Z = \Pi K$ for some convex body K . Recall the volume formula for zonoids (see e.g. [15])

$$(3.7) \quad |Z| = V_{n-1}(Z, \Pi K) = V_{n-1}(K, \Pi Z) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{\Pi Z}(\xi) d\sigma_K(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)| d\sigma_K(\xi).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.8) \quad |Z| \geq \frac{S(K)}{n} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)|.$$

On the other hand, by (3.4) we have

$$(3.9) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\Pi K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1} \partial_K}{n \omega_n^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{b_n S(K)}{n-1} |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where we used the definition (1.2) of the constant b_n and the fact that $S(K) \geq \partial_K |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ by the definition (1.4) of the minimal surface area parameter ∂_K . Then,

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{S(K)}{n} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)| \leq |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{b_n S(K)}{n-1} |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

and the result follows. \square

Since every projection of a zonoid is a zonoid, a simple induction argument leads to the following general result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Z be a zonoid in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have*

$$(3.11) \quad \min_{F \in G_{n,k}} |P_F(Z)| \leq \varrho_{n,k} |Z|^{\frac{k}{n}},$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad \varrho_{n,k} = \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n^{\frac{k}{n}}}.$$

The example of the ball shows that Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are sharp. In fact, they correspond to the known fact that Shephard's problem has a positive answer for zonoids (see [6, Corollary 9.3.4]).

4 Surface area of hyperplane projections

Our generalization of (1.1) is in terms of the minimal surface area parameter ∂_K of K .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The main ingredient in the proof is (1.16); we have

$$(4.1) \quad |K| S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(K) |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$(4.2) \quad |K| \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(K) \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|.$$

Next, we observe that

$$(4.3) \quad \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| = \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} h_{\Pi K}(\xi) = r(\Pi K).$$

Since, by (3.4),

$$(4.4) \quad |\Pi K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1} \partial_K}{n \omega_n^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

we get

$$(4.5) \quad r(\Pi K) \leq \text{vrad}(\Pi K) \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1} \partial_K}{n \omega_n} |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Going back to (4.2) we see that

$$(4.6) \quad |K| \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{2(n-1) \omega_{n-1} \partial_K}{n^2 \omega_n} S(K) |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

and this proves (1.5). \square

Question 4.1. It would be interesting to decide whether there exist convex bodies K such that

$$(4.7) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq c\sqrt{n} S(K).$$

This would show that Theorem 1.1 is asymptotically sharp. Note that in the case of the Euclidean ball one has

$$(4.8) \quad |B_2^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(B_2^n)) \geq c S(B_2^n).$$

We can prove an inequality which is reverse to (1.5) for any convex body K which is in the minimal surface area position, using the following fact (see Theorem 1.2 in [7]): for any convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and any $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ one has

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{V_{n-2}(K)}{2V_{n-1}(K)} \leq \frac{V_{n-2}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))}{|P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that

$$(4.10) \quad V_{n-2}(K) = \omega_n [Q_{n-2}(K)]^{n-2} \geq \omega_n [Q_{n-1}(K)]^{n-2} = \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} [V_{n-1}(K)]^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

while

$$(4.11) \quad nV_{n-1}(K) = S(K), \quad (n-1)V_{n-2}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) = S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))$$

and if K is in the minimal surface area position by (2.14) we also have

$$(4.12) \quad |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, \xi \rangle| d\sigma_K(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle u, \xi \rangle^2 d\sigma_K(u) = \frac{S(K)}{2n} = \frac{V_{n-1}(K)}{2}.$$

Combining the above we get

$$(4.13) \quad S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq \frac{(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{4} [V_{n-1}(K)]^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(4.14) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq \frac{(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{4} [V_{n-1}(K)]^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{4n^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} [S(K)]^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Since $S(K) = \partial_K |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$, we get:

$$(4.15) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \geq \frac{(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{4n^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \partial_K^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} S(K).$$

This proves Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 4.2. Theorem 1.2 is sharp. In [12] it is proved that there exists an unconditional convex body K_0 of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n which has minimal surface area and satisfies

$$(4.16) \quad \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K_0)| \leq \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant. From (4.2) we see that

$$(4.17) \quad |K_0| \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K_0)) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(K_0) \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K_0)| \leq \frac{2c_1}{\sqrt{n}} S(K_0),$$

and since $|K_0| = 1$ we get:

Proposition 4.3. *There exists an unconditional convex body K_0 in \mathbb{R}^n which has minimal surface area and satisfies*

$$(4.18) \quad |K_0|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K_0)) \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} S(K_0),$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Next, assume that $K = Z$ is a zonoid. Repeating the previous argument, but using now Lemma 3.1 in order to estimate $r(\Pi Z)$, we obtain an alternative proof of (1.1) (with a weaker, by a factor of 2, constant).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We have

$$(4.19) \quad |Z| \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(Z) \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)| \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \frac{nb_n}{n-1} S(Z) |Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Dividing by $|Z|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ we get the result. \square

Question 4.4. It would be interesting to decide whether in the case of zonoids one has

$$(4.20) \quad |Z| S(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq \frac{(n-1)}{n} S(Z) |P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)|$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. This improvement of (1.16) (for the class of zonoids) would give a sharp version of Theorem 1.3.

Next, we pass to estimates for the average surface area of hyperplane projections of K .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From (1.16) we have

$$(4.21) \quad |K| S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(K) |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. Integrating on S^{n-1} and using the identity

$$(4.22) \quad S(K) = \frac{n\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma(\xi)$$

we get

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} |K| \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) &\leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} S(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n} S(K)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n} = \frac{2b_n}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

we get the result. \square

Now, let us assume that K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position. Then, from Proposition 2.2 we know that

$$(4.25) \quad S(K) \leq c_0 n |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant. From Theorem 1.4 we get:

Theorem 4.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . If K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position, then*

$$(4.26) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq c_2 \sqrt{n} S(K)$$

where $c_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Note. If K is symmetric and in the minimal mean width position, using Proposition 2.2 again, we get a weaker (by a $\log n$ term) result:

$$(4.27) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq c_2 \sqrt{n} (\log n) S(K)$$

where $c_2 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

We pass now to lower bounds. Our analogue of (1.3) is Theorem 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We write

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) &= \frac{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-2}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} |P_{\langle \xi, \theta \rangle^\perp}(K)| d\sigma_{\xi^\perp}(\theta) d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-2}} \int_{G_{n,n-2}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,n-2}(F). \end{aligned}$$

From the Aleksandrov inequalities it follows that

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-2}} \int_{G_{n,n-2}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,n-2}(F) \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{S(K)}{n\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(4.30) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \frac{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}{(n\omega_n)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} S(K)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \geq c_3 S(K)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is an absolute constant. \square

Now, let us assume that K is in the minimal surface area, minimal mean width, isotropic, John or Löwner position. Then, from Proposition 2.2 (or from simple estimates in the cases of a not necessarily convex body K that are not covered there) we know that, e.g.

$$(4.31) \quad S(K) \leq c_0 n^2 |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant. It follows that

$$(4.32) \quad S(K)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq (c_0 n^2)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq c_4 |K|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $c_4 > 0$ is an absolute constant. Then,

$$(4.33) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} S(K)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \geq c_4^{-1} S(K).$$

Thus we have proved:

Theorem 4.6. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . If K is in the minimal surface area, minimal mean width, isotropic, John's or Löwner's position, then*

$$(4.34) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} S(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq c_5 S(K),$$

where $c_5 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Note. The proof of Theorem 4.6 shows that (4.34) continues to hold as long as the mild condition

$$(4.35) \quad S(K)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq c |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

is satisfied by K with an absolute constant $c > 0$.

5 Quermassintegrals of hyperplane projections

A generalization of (1.16) to subspaces of arbitrary dimension and quermassintegrals of any order was given in [5].

Theorem 5.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $0 \leq p \leq k \leq n$. Then for every k -dimensional subspace F of \mathbb{R}^n , if $P_F(K)$ denotes the orthogonal projection of K onto F , we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{V_{n-p}(K)}{|K|} \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n-k+p}{n-k}} \frac{V_{k-p}(P_F(K))}{|P_F(K)|}.$$

Setting $k = n - 1$, for every $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ we have

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{V_{n-p}(K)}{|K|} \geq \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))}{|P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|}.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.3) \quad |K| \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq (p+1) V_{n-p}(K) \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)|,$$

and using (4.5) and Lemma 3.1 we immediately get the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have*

$$(5.4) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) \leq \frac{(p+1)\omega_{n-1}\partial_K}{n\omega_n} V_{n-p}(K) \leq \frac{c_1(p+1)\partial_K}{\sqrt{n}} V_{n-p}(K),$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant. If Z is a zonoid in \mathbb{R}^n then, for every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have

$$(5.5) \quad |Z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(Z)) \leq (p+1) \frac{nb_n}{n-1} V_{n-p}(Z).$$

Starting from (5.2) and integrating on the sphere we get

$$(5.6) \quad |K| \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq (p+1)V_{n-p}(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma(\xi) \\ = \frac{(p+1)\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n} V_{n-p}(K) S(K).$$

Dividing by $|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ we get:

Theorem 5.3. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have*

$$(5.7) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq \frac{(p+1)\omega_{n-1}}{n\omega_n} \frac{S(K)}{|K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} V_{n-p}(K).$$

In particular, if K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position, then we have

$$(5.8) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \leq c_1(p+1)\sqrt{n} V_{n-p}(K),$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

For the lower bound, an analogue of Theorem 1.5, we first observe that

$$(5.9) \quad V_{n-p}(K) = \omega_n [Q_{n-p}(K)]^{n-p} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) = \omega_{n-1} [Q_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K))]^{n-1-p}$$

for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. Then, we write

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-1-p}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{G_{\xi^\perp}(n-1, n-1-p)} |P_E(K)| d(E) d\sigma(\xi) \\ = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{n-1-p}} \int_{G_{n, n-1-p}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n, n-1-p}(F) \\ = \omega_{n-1} [Q_{n-1-p}(K)]^{n-1-p}.$$

From the Aleksandrov inequalities we have $Q_{n-1-p}(K) \geq Q_{n-p}(K)$, and hence

$$(5.11) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \omega_{n-1} [Q_{n-p}(K)]^{n-1-p} \\ = \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{V_{n-p}(K)}{\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}$$

which gives the next theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have*

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}} [V_{n-p}(K)]^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}.$$

Using the monotonicity of mixed volumes we may write

$$(5.13) \quad V_{n-p}(K) \leq V_{n-p}((K, n-p), (r(K)^{-1}K, p)) \leq \frac{|K|}{r(K)^p}.$$

Now, let us assume that K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position. Then, $r(K) \geq c_0|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for an absolute constant $c_0 > 0$, and (5.13) gives

$$(5.14) \quad |K|^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \geq c_0^p V_{n-p}(K).$$

Therefore,

$$(5.15) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} [V_{n-p}(K)]^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}} \geq c_0^{\frac{p}{n-p}} [V_{n-p}(K)]^{\frac{1}{n-p}} [V_{n-p}(K)]^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}} = c_0^{\frac{p}{n-p}} V_{n-p}(K).$$

From Theorem 5.4 we get:

Theorem 5.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , which is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position. For every $1 \leq p \leq n-2$ we have*

$$(5.16) \quad |K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1-p}(P_{\xi^\perp}(K)) d\sigma(\xi) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1} c_0^{\frac{p}{n-p}}}{\omega_n^{\frac{n-1-p}{n-p}}} V_{n-p}(K) \geq \left(\frac{c_1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p}{2(n-p)}} V_{n-p}(K),$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Note that $\left(\frac{c_1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p}{2(n-p)}} \geq c_2$ for an absolute constant $c_2 > 0$ as long as $p \leq cn/(\log n)$.

6 Surface area of projections of higher codimension

Recall that $mV_{m-1}(A) = S(A)$ for every convex body A in \mathbb{R}^m . Therefore, setting $p = 1$ in Theorem 5.1 we get:

Lemma 6.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then for every k -dimensional subspace F of \mathbb{R}^n we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{S(K)}{|K|} \geq \frac{n}{k(n-k+1)} \frac{S(P_F(K))}{|P_F(K)|}.$$

We first prove an analogue of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 6.2. *Let Z be a zonoid in \mathbb{R}^n and let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then,*

$$(6.2) \quad |Z|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \min_{F \in G_{n,k}} S(P_F(Z)) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} \varrho_{n,k} S(Z),$$

where $\varrho_{n,k}$ is the constant in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. From (6.1) we see that

$$(6.3) \quad |Z| \min_{F \in G_{n,k}} S(P_F(Z)) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} S(Z) \min_{F \in G_{n,k}} |P_F(Z)| \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} \varrho_{n,k} S(Z) |Z|^{\frac{k}{n}},$$

where in the last step we have also used Theorem 3.2. Dividing by $|Z|^{\frac{k}{n}}$ we get the result. \square

Definition 6.3. For every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we introduce the parameter

$$(6.4) \quad p_k(K) := \frac{1}{|K|^{\frac{k}{n}}} \int_{G_{n,k}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,k}(F).$$

Using Lemma 6.1 and applying the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we get:

Theorem 6.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then,*

$$(6.5) \quad |K|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_E(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(E) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} S(K) p_k(K).$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.1 we have

$$(6.6) \quad |K| S(P_F(K)) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} S(K) |P_F(K)|$$

for every $F \in G_{n,k}$. Integrating with respect to $\nu_{n,k}$ on $G_{n,k}$ we get

$$(6.7) \quad |K| \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} S(K) \int_{G_{n,k}} |P_F(K)| d\nu_{n,k}(F),$$

and the result follows. \square

Remark 6.5. Let us assume that K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position. From (5.13) and the fact that $r(K) \geq c_0 |K|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we get

$$(6.8) \quad p_k(K) = \frac{1}{|K|^{\frac{k}{n}}} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n} V_k(K) \leq \frac{1}{|K|^{\frac{k}{n}}} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n} \frac{|K|}{c_0^{n-k} |K|^{\frac{n-k}{n}}} = \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n c_0^{n-k}}.$$

Then, Theorem 6.4 gives the following analogue of Theorem 4.5:

Theorem 6.6. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . If K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position, then*

$$(6.9) \quad |K|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \leq \frac{k(n-k+1)}{n} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n c_0^{n-k}} S(K)$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

The lower bound of Theorem 1.5 can be generalized as follows.

Theorem 6.7. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have*

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \geq \frac{k\omega_k}{(n\omega_n)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}}} S(K)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. We write

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) &= \frac{k\omega_k}{\omega_{k-1}} \int_{G_{n,k}} \int_{E \cap \xi^\perp} |P_{F \cap \xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma_F(\xi) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \\ &= \frac{k\omega_k}{\omega_{k-1}} \int_{G_{n,k-1}} |P_E(K)| d\nu_{n,k-1}(E). \end{aligned}$$

From the Aleksandrov inequalities we have

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{k-1}} \int_{G_{n,k-1}} |P_E(K)| d\nu_{n,k-1}(E) \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |P_{\xi^\perp}(K)| d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{S(K)}{n\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \geq \frac{k\omega_k}{(n\omega_n)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}}} S(K)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}},$$

as claimed. \square

Now, let us assume that K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position. Then, from Proposition 2.2 we know that

$$(6.14) \quad S(K) \leq c_0 n |K|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant. Therefore,

$$(6.15) \quad |K|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} S(K)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{(c_0 n)^{\frac{n-k}{n-1}}} S(K)^{\frac{n-k}{n-1}} S(K)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}} = \frac{1}{(c_0 n)^{\frac{n-k}{n-1}}} S(K),$$

and Theorem 6.7 implies the following.

Theorem 6.8. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . If K is in the minimal surface area, isotropic or John's position, or it is symmetric and in Löwner's position, then*

$$(6.16) \quad |K|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \int_{G_{n,k}} S(P_F(K)) d\nu_{n,k}(F) \geq \frac{k\omega_k}{(n\omega_n)^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}} (c_0 n)^{\frac{n-k}{n-1}}} S(K),$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Acknowledgement. The second named author was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation grant DMS-1265155. The third named author was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation grant DMS-1612936. We would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, *Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Vol. I*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **202**, Amer. Math. Society (2015).
- [2] K. M. Ball, *Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **44** (1991), 351-359.
- [3] S. Brazitikos, A. Giannopoulos, P. Valettas and B-H. Vritsiou, *Geometry of isotropic convex bodies*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **196**, Amer. Math. Society (2014).
- [4] Y. D. Burago and V. A. Zalgaller, *Geometric Inequalities*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1988).
- [5] M. Fradelizi, A. Giannopoulos and M. Meyer, *Some inequalities about mixed volumes*, Israel J. Math. **135** (2003), 157-179.
- [6] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, Second Edition Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **58**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).
- [7] A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki and G. Paouris, *On a local version of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality for the quermassintegrals of a convex body*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 2403-2412.
- [8] A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, *Extremal problems and isotropic positions of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **117** (2000), 29-60.
- [9] A. Giannopoulos and M. Papadimitrakis, *Isotropic surface area measures*, Mathematika **46** (1999), 1-13.
- [10] A. Koldobsky, *Stability and separation in volume comparison problems*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **8** (2013), 156-169.
- [11] A. Koldobsky, *Stability inequalities for projections of convex bodies*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **57** (2017), 152-163.
- [12] E. Markessinis, G. Paouris and Ch. Saroglou, *Comparing the M-position with some classical positions of convex bodies*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **152** (2012), 131-152.

- [13] C. M. Petty, *Surface area of a convex body under affine transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 824-828.
- [14] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Second expanded edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [15] R. Schneider and W. Weil, *Zonoids and selected topics*, Convexity and its Applications, (P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills, eds.), Birkhauser, Boston, Mass., 1983.

APOSTOLOS GIANNOPoulos: Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157-84, Athens, Greece.

E-mail: apgianop@math.uoa.gr

ALEXANDER KOLDOSKY: Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211.

E-mail: koldobskiy@missouri.edu

PETROS VALETTAS: Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211.

E-mail: valetta@missouri.edu