

GLOBAL SOLUTION OF THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE FOCUSING DAVEY-STEWARTSON II SYSTEM

EVGENY LAKSHTANOV AND BORIS VAINBERG

ABSTRACT. We consider the two dimensional focusing Davey-Stewartson II system and construct the global solution of the Cauchy problem for a dense in $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ set of initial data. We do not assume that the initial data is small. So, the solutions may have singularities. We show that the blow-up may occur only on a real analytic variety and the variety is bounded in each strip $t \leq T$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $q_0(z)$, $z = x + iy$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, be a compactly supported (or fast decaying) sufficiently smooth function. Consider the two dimensional focusing Davey-Stewartson II (DSII) system of equations for unknown functions $q = q(z, t)$, $\phi = \phi(z, t)$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= 2iq_{xy} - 4q(\bar{\varphi} - \varphi), \\ \partial\varphi &= \bar{\partial}|q|^2, \\ (1.1) \quad q(z, 0) &= q_0(z). \end{aligned}$$

A smooth, decaying in z at infinity solution of (1.1) exists for all $t > 0$ if q_0 is small enough, [1, 20, 21, 22, 3]. We will call this solution classical. If q_0 is not small, the solution was constructed locally in our previous work [14] via the IST (inverse scattering transform) using the $\bar{\partial}$ -method that has been generalized in [16], [12], [13] to the case when Faddeev type exceptional points may be present. The solution was obtained in a neighbourhood of any point (z_0, t_0) for generic initial data q_0 that depend on the point. The main objectives of this article are to obtain the solution for an arbitrary initial data from a specific set and to get the global solution defined in the whole space, including a description of the set where the solution blows up. It will be shown that the latter set is a real analytic variety that is bounded in every strip $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Let us recall that the focusing DSII equation may have a finite time blow-up (e.g., [17]). While the uniqueness is known for smooth (in some sense) solutions, see [8], [9], one has to be careful with the definition of the solution that has singularities. We understand these solutions in the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K15, 35G55.

Key words and phrases. Davey-Stewartson system, $\bar{\partial}$ -method, Dirac equation, exceptional point, inverse problem.

following sense. Let us multiply the initial data q_0 by a positive parameter $a \in (0, 1]$. We will show that the classical solution that exists when $a \ll 1$ allows an analytic continuation into a complex neighborhood of $(0, 1]$, and this analytic continuation will be used to single out the solution with singularities when $a = 1$. The main statement of the present paper is given in Theorem 2.2 of Section 2.

Let us mention some recent articles on DSII: [11],[18],[19].

2. THE SOLUTION OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM, MAIN RESULTS

Let $q_0(z) \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Denote

$$(2.1) \quad Q_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0(z) \\ -q_0(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$, and let the 2×2 matrix $\psi(\cdot, k)$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$, be a solution of the following problem for the Dirac equation in $\mathbb{C}$:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}} = Q_0 \bar{\psi}, \quad \psi(z, k) e^{-i\bar{k}z/2} \rightarrow I, \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

The corresponding generalized Lippmann-Schwinger equation has the following form:

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(z, k) = e^{i\bar{k}z/2} I + \int_{\mathbb{C}} G(z - z', k) Q_0(z') \bar{\psi}(z', k) d\sigma_{z'},$$

where $G(z, k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{i\bar{k}z/2}}{z}$, $d\sigma_{z'} = dx' dy'$. Here and below we use the same notation for functional spaces, irrespectively of whether those are the spaces of matrix-valued or scalar-valued functions. After the substitution,

$$(2.4) \quad \mu(z, k) = \psi(z, k) e^{-i\bar{k}z/2}, \quad \mu(z, k) - I \rightarrow 0, \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

equation (2.3) takes the form

$$(2.5) \quad \mu(z, k) = I + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{e^{i\Re(\bar{k}z)}}{z - z'} Q_0(z') \bar{\mu}(z', k) d\sigma_{z'},$$

and becomes Fredholm in $L^q(\mathbb{C})$, $q > 2$, after the additional substitution $\nu = \mu - I$ (see, e.g., [15, lemma 5.3]).

Solutions ψ of (2.3) are called the generalized *scattering solutions*, and the values of k such that the homogeneous equation (2.5) has a non-trivial solution are called *exceptional points*. The set of exceptional points will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}$. Thus the scattering solution may not exist if $k \in \mathcal{E}$. Note that the operator in equation (2.5) is not analytic in k , and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$ may contain one-dimensional components. There are no exceptional points in a neighborhood of infinity (e.g., [20, lemma 2.8], [2, lemma C]). Let us choose $A \gg 1$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that all the exceptional points are contained in the disk

$$(2.6) \quad D = \{k \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq |k| < A\},$$

and k_0 belongs to the same disc $\overline{D}$ and is not exceptional.

The generalized scattering data (an analogue of the scattering amplitude in the standard scattering problem) are defined by the following integral (when the integral converges)

$$(2.7) \quad h_0(\varsigma, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-i\overline{\varsigma}z/2} Q_0(z) \overline{\psi}(z, k) d\sigma_z, \quad \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{E}.$$

In fact, from the Green formula, it follows that h_0 can be determined without using the potential Q_0 or the solution ψ of the Dirac equation (2.2) if the Dirichlet data at $\partial\Omega$ are known for the solution of (2.2) in a bounded region Ω containing the support of Q_0 :

$$(2.8) \quad h_0(\varsigma, k) = \frac{-i}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\overline{\varsigma}z/2} \overline{\psi}(z, k) dz, \quad \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k \notin \mathcal{E}.$$

Note that h_0 is continuous when $k \notin D$ under minimal assumptions on Q [20], [21], and moreover,

$$(2.9) \quad h_0 = h_0(\varsigma, k) \in C^\infty \quad \text{when } |k| \geq A$$

if Q is bounded and decays faster than any power at infinity. This follows from the fact that (2.5) admits differentiation in z and k when $k \notin D$.

The *inverse problem* (recovery of Q when h_0 is given) was solved using $\overline{\partial}$ -method in [1], [20, 21, 22] when the potential is small enough to guarantee the absence of exceptional points. When $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, the inverse problem was solved in a generic sense in [13]. The latter results were applied in [14] to construct solutions of the focusing DSII system. Let us recall some results obtained in [14].

Consider the space

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{B}^s = \left\{ u \in L^s(\mathbb{C} \setminus D) \bigcap C(D) \right\}, \quad s > 2,$$

where $C(D)$ is the space of analytic functions in D with the norm $\|u\| = \sup_D |u|$. Here and below, we use the same space notation for matrices as for their entries.

Let operator $T_z : \mathcal{B}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$, be defined as follows:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} T_z \phi(k) = & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} e^{i(\overline{\varsigma}z + \overline{z}\varsigma)/2} \overline{\phi}(\varsigma) \Pi^o h(\varsigma, \varsigma) \frac{d\sigma_\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} \int_{\partial D} [e^{i(\varsigma\overline{z} + \overline{\varsigma}z)/2} \overline{\phi}^-(\varsigma') \Pi^o \\ & + e^{i(\varsigma - \varsigma')\overline{z}/2} \phi^-(\varsigma') \Pi^d \mathbf{C}] \left[\text{Ln} \frac{\overline{\varsigma'} - \overline{\varsigma}}{\varsigma' - \overline{k_0}} \right] h(\varsigma', \varsigma) d\overline{\varsigma'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma_\varsigma = d\varsigma_R d\varsigma_I$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^s$, ϕ^- is the boundary trace of ϕ from the interior of D , $\mathbf{C}$ is the operator of complex conjugation, $\Pi^o M$ is the off-diagonal part of a matrix M , $\Pi^d M$ is the diagonal part. Let us specify the logarithmic function in (2.12). Let us shift the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}$ and move the origin to the point $\overline{\varsigma'} \in \partial D$. Then we rotate the plane in such a

way that the direction of the x -axis is defined by the vector from $\bar{\varsigma}'$ to $-\bar{\varsigma}'$. Then $|\arg(\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{\varsigma})| < \pi/2$, $\varsigma' \neq \varsigma$, and $|\arg(\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{k}_0)| \leq \pi/2$, i.e.,

$$\left| \arg \frac{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{k}_0} \right| < \pi, \quad \varsigma', \varsigma \in \partial D, \quad \varsigma' \neq \varsigma.$$

This defines the values of the logarithmic function uniquely.

It turns out that, after the substitution $w = v - I \in \mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$, the equation

$$(I + T_z)v = I$$

becomes Fredholm in $\mathcal{B}^s$, and the potential q_0 can be expressed explicitly in terms of v (see [12, 13]).

In order to solve the DSII problem (1.1), we apply this reconstruction procedure to a specially chosen scattering data. We start with the scattering data h_0 defined by q_0 and extend it in time as follows:

$$(2.12) \quad h(\varsigma, k, t) := e^{-t(k^2 - \bar{\varsigma}^2)/2} \Pi^o h_0(\varsigma, k) + e^{-t(\bar{k}^2 - \bar{\varsigma}^2)/2} \Pi^d h_0(\varsigma, k),$$

where $\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{E}$, $t \geq 0$. For $t \geq 0$, we define the operator

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{z,t} \phi(k) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} e^{i(\bar{\varsigma}z + \bar{z}\varsigma)/2} \bar{\phi}(\varsigma) \Pi^o h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t) \frac{d\sigma_\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} \int_{\partial D} [e^{i(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\varsigma}'z)/2} \bar{\phi}^-(\varsigma') \Pi^o \\ &+ e^{i(\varsigma - \varsigma')\bar{z}/2} \phi^-(\varsigma') \Pi^d \mathbf{C}] \left[\text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{k}_0} \right] h(\varsigma', \varsigma, t) d\bar{\varsigma}'. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. ([14]) *Let $q_0(\cdot)$ be a function with compact support. Assume that it is 6 times differentiable in x and y . Alternatively, this condition can be replaced¹ by the superexponential decay of q_0 :*

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} e^{\tilde{A}|z|} \partial_x^i \partial_y^j q_0(z) = 0 \text{ for each } \tilde{A} > 0, \quad i + j \leq 6.$$

Then, for each $s > 2$, the following statements are valid.

1) *The operator $T_{z,t}$ is compact in $\mathcal{B}^s$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $t \geq 0$, and depends continuously on z and $t \geq 0$. The same property holds for its first derivative in time and all the derivatives in x, y up to the third order, where the derivatives are defined in the norm convergence. The function $T_{z,t}I$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^s$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

2) *Let the kernel of $I + T_{z,t}$ in the space $\mathcal{B}^s$ be trivial for (z, t) in an open or half open² set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $v_{z,t} = w_{z,t} + I$, where $w_{z,t} \in \mathcal{B}^s$ is the solution of the equation*

$$(2.15) \quad (I + T_{z,t})w_{z,t} = -T_{z,t}I.$$

¹This fact was not mentioned in the paper, but it can be easily checked

²We will say that a set ω of points (z, t) in $\mathbb{R}_+^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{t \geq 0\}$ is half-open if ω contains points where $t = 0$ and, for each point $(z_0, 0) \in \omega$, there is a ball B_0 centered at this point such that $B_0 \cap \{t \geq 0\} \subset \omega$.

Then functions q, φ defined by

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(z, t) & q(z, t) \\ -q(z, t) & \varphi(z, t) \end{pmatrix} := \frac{-i}{2\pi} (\Pi^o + \bar{\partial} \Pi^d) \left(\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} e^{i(\zeta z + \bar{\zeta} \bar{z})/2} \overline{v_{z,t}(\zeta)} \Pi^o h(\zeta, \varsigma, t) d\sigma_\varsigma \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\partial D} d\varsigma \int_{\partial D} [e^{i(\varsigma \bar{z} + \bar{\varsigma} z)/2} \overline{v_{z,t}(\varsigma')} \Pi^o \right. \\ \left. - e^{i(\varsigma - \varsigma') \bar{z}/2} \overline{v_{z,t}(\varsigma')} \Pi^d \mathbf{C}] \left[\text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\varsigma' - k_0} \right] h(\varsigma', \varsigma, t) d\varsigma' \right),$$

satisfy all the relations (1.1) in the classical sense when $(z, t) \in \omega$. In particular, $q(z, 0) = q_0(z)$.

3) Consider a set of initial data $a q_0(z)$ that depend on $a \in (0, 1]$. Then equation (2.15) with Q^0 replaced by $a Q^0$ (Q^0 is fixed) is uniquely solvable for almost every $(z, t, a) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, 1]$. Moreover,³ for each (z, t) , the solution of (2.15) is meromorphic in $a \in [0, 1]$ and has at most a finite set of poles $a = a_j(z, t)$.

Remark. All the exceptional points are located in a disk whose radius depends only on the norm of $a q_0$. Hence D and k_0 can be chosen independently of $a \in [0, 1]$ (see [13, Lemma 5.1]). From now on, we assume that the disk D is fixed and contains the exceptional points for all the potentials $a q_0$, $a \in [0, 1]$.

In order to state the main results of the present paper, we need to recall the construction (e.g., [20]) of the global solution of (1.1) when q_0 is small. The latter expression (" q_0 is small") will be used below only for problem (1.1) with initial data $a q_0$ where q_0 is infinitely smooth and satisfies (2.14), and $0 < a \ll 1$. Let us recall that the scattering problem (2.2) and the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (2.3) are uniquely solvable for all k when q_0 is small, i.e., there are no exceptional points in this case and $h_0(k, k)$ is defined for all the values of k . Operator $T_{z,t}$ is needed only with $D = \emptyset$ if q_0 is small. Hence, only the first term is present in the right-hand side of (2.13). Moreover, $\|T_{z,t}\| < 1$ when q_0 is small, and therefore equation (2.15) is uniquely solvable for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $t \geq 0$. Then (q, ϕ) given by (2.16) with $D = \emptyset$ is a smooth global solution of problem (1.1) with the small initial data. We will call this solution *classical*. It exists under a weaker assumption on the decay of q_0 than in Theorem 2.1.

We will consider analytic continuations of functions h_0, q_0 , and we need some notation. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $A_\gamma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the map defined by $A_\gamma z = A_\gamma(x + iy) = (x + \gamma_1, y + \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, i.e., the map A_γ shifts real points x, y into complex planes. If a function $f = f(z)$ is analytic in (x, y) , then $B_\gamma f(z) := f(A_\gamma z)$ is the value of the analytic continuation of f at point $A_\gamma z$. We will use notation A'_σ, B'_σ , $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^2$, for the same operations

³that statement can be found in [13, lemma 5.1]

applied to a function of $k \in \mathbb{C}$, and A''_η, B''_η , $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^2$, if they are applied to a function of $\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}$.

The main result of the paper is obtained under the following condition on the initial data that must hold for large enough R :

Condition $Q(R)$. The initial data q_0 admits analytic continuation in (x, y) and, for a given $R > 0$, there exist a $C = C(R)$ such that

$$|B_\gamma q_0(z)| \leq C e^{-R|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ when } |\gamma| \leq R.$$

Remark. Clearly, linear combinations of Gaussian functions satisfy Condition $Q(R)$ for all $R > 0$, and it was shown in [4, 5] that these combinations form a dense set in $L^2(\mathbb{C})$.

We will show that Condition $Q(R)$ implies a similar behavior of the scattering data, i.e., the validity of the following assumption.

Condition $H(R)$. For a given $R > 0$, the estimate

$$|h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)| \leq e^{-R|\varsigma|} \quad \text{as } |\varsigma| \rightarrow \infty$$

holds, and there exists $C = C(R)$ and $a_0(R)$ such that the scattering data $h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)$ for the potential $a q_0$ with $a \in (0, a_0)$ admits analytic continuation $B''_\eta h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)$, $|\eta| \leq R$, with respect to variables ς_i , and

$$|B''_\eta h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)| \leq C(R) e^{-R|\varsigma|}, \quad \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ when } |\eta| \leq R.$$

We will show that there is a duality between these two conditions. To be more exact, the validity of $Q(R)$ implies the validity of $H(R - \varepsilon)$. Conversely, if the initial data is small, Condition $H(R)$ holds, and h_0 is extended in time according to (2.12), then the potential $q(z, t)$ that corresponds to the extended data $h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)$ satisfies Condition $Q(R)$ with a smaller R that depends on t . These results will be obtained in the next section. Note that they are an analogue of similar results of L. Sung ([21, Cor. 4.16]) who established a duality of the non-linear Fourier transform in the Schwartz class. We need a refined result to study the more complicated form (2.13) of operator $T_{z,t}$ that appears in the presence of exceptional points.

Below is the main statement of the present paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let us fix an arbitrary disk D containing all the exceptional points for the potentials $a q_0(z)$, $a \in [0, 1]$. Let Condition $Q(R)$ hold with $R > (1 + 2T)A$, where A is the radius of the disk D . Then*

1) *for each point (z, t) , $0 \leq t \leq T$, the classical solution (q, ϕ) of problem (1.1) with the initial data $a q_0$ and small enough $a > 0$ admits a meromorphic continuation with respect to a in a neighborhood of the segment $[0, 1]$. This meromorphic continuation is given by (2.16) with an arbitrary choice of the disk D and an arbitrary choice of point $k_0 \in \partial D$ ⁴.*

2) *when $a = 1$, the analytic continuation of (q, ϕ) is infinitely smooth and satisfies (1.1) everywhere, except possibly a set S that is bounded in the strip*

⁴all the exceptional points are inside D , i.e., all the points on ∂D are non-exceptional.

$0 \leq t \leq T$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and is such that $S_t = S \cap \{t = \text{const}\}$ is a bounded 1D real analytic variety.

Remark. The theorem implies that the local solutions found in Theorem 2.1 are analytic continuations in a of the global classical solutions (under the assumption that condition $Q(R)$ holds). At the same time, the theorem does not prohibit the solution from blowing up at an arbitrarily small time $t > 0$ (see the recent paper [10] and citations there on instantaneous blow-ups). We can't say anything about relation between our global solution and local solutions found in [8].

Two important technical improvements of the previous results will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.2. First, we will show that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}^2$ can be used in Theorem 2.1 instead of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$. The space $\mathcal{B}^2$ is defined as follows:

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{B}^2 = \left\{ u \in (L^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus D) \oplus \mathbb{C}^1) \cap L_+^2(\partial D) \right\}.$$

Here $\mathbb{C}^1$ is the one-dimensional space of functions of the form $\frac{c\beta(k)}{k}$, where c is a complex constant, $\beta \in C^\infty$ is a fixed function that vanishes in a neighbourhood of the disk D and equals one in a neighbourhood of infinity. By $L_+^2(\partial D)$ we denote the space of analytic functions $u = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^n$ in D with the boundary values in $L^2(\partial D)$ and the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_+^2(\partial D)} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{2n} |c_n|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where A is the radius of the disk D .

Secondly, we will simplify the form of the operator $T_{z,t}$ by writing the second term in (2.12) and (2.13) without the logarithmic factor. We also will allow k_0 to be on ∂D , and not necessarily in D , and show that formula (2.13) in the latter case can be written as

$$(2.18) \quad T_{z,t}\phi(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} e^{i(\bar{\varsigma}z + \bar{z}\varsigma)/2} \bar{\phi}(\varsigma) \Pi^o h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t) \frac{d\sigma_\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} - \\ i \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} \int_{\widehat{k_0, \varsigma}} [e^{i(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\varsigma}z)/2} \bar{\phi}^-(\varsigma') \Pi^o + e^{i(\varsigma - \varsigma')\bar{z}/2} \phi^-(\varsigma') \Pi^d \mathbf{C}] [h(\varsigma', \varsigma, t) \bar{d}\varsigma'],$$

where $\widehat{k_0, \varsigma}$ is the arc on ∂D between points k_0 and ς with the counter clock-wise direction on it.

The following two difficulties were resolved in the paper. We show that if one starts with a small potential q_0 and its scattering data $h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)$, and extends $h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma)$ in time according to (2.12), then the solution $q(z, t)$ of the inverse scattering problem with the scattering data (2.12) decays exponentially at infinity, and the scattering data (2.7) for this potential $q(z, t)$ coincides with the scattering data $h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)$ from which the potential was obtained (this will be done in the next section). Another difficulty concerns the proof

of the invertibility of operator $I + T_{z,t}$ for large $|z|$ in spite of the exponential growth of the integrands in the second terms of (2.13) and (2.18) as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ (see section 5).

3. EXPONENTIAL DECAY OF THE SCATTERING DATA AND OF $q(z, t)$

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$I(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(z_1)}{z - z_1} d\sigma_{z_1}, \quad J(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(z_1)}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} d\sigma_{z_1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic in (x, y) , and

$$|f(A_\gamma z)|, |\nabla_\gamma f(A_\gamma z)| \leq \frac{C(\gamma)}{1 + x^2 + y^2}.$$

Then $I(z), J(z)$ admit analytic continuation in (x, y) , and

$$B_\gamma I(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(A_\gamma z_1)}{z - z_1} d\sigma_{z_1}, \quad B_\gamma J(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(A_\gamma z_1)}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} d\sigma_{z_1}.$$

Proof. Let us rewrite $I(z)$ in the form

$$I(z) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(z + z_1)}{z_1} d\sigma_{z_1}.$$

This immediately implies that $I(z)$ is analytic in (x, y) , and

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma I(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{B_\gamma f(z + z_1)}{-z_1} d\sigma_{z_1} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(x + \gamma_1 + x_1, y + \gamma_2 + y_2)}{-z_1} d\sigma_{z_1} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(A_\gamma z_1)}{z - z_1} d\sigma_{z_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement for J can be proved absolutely similarly. $\square$

Let us provide some examples of analytic continuations of functions from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{C}^2$: (1) If $f(z) = z = x + iy$, then $B_\gamma f(z) = x + \gamma_1 + i(y + \gamma_2) = z + \gamma'$, $\gamma' = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. (2) If $f(z) = \bar{z} = x - iy$, then $B_\gamma f(z) = x + \gamma_1 - i(y + \gamma_2) = \bar{z} + \gamma''$, $\gamma'' = \gamma_1 - i\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ (note that $\gamma' \neq \overline{\gamma''}$ since γ_i are complex.) (3) if $f(z) = \Re(k\bar{z}) = k_1x + k_2y$, then $B_\gamma f(z) = \Re(k\bar{z}) + k_1\gamma_1 + k_2\gamma_2$ and $B_\sigma \Re(k\bar{z}) = \Re(k\bar{z}) + \sigma_1x + \sigma_2y$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let the potential be $aq_0(z)$ where q_0 satisfies Condition $Q(R)$ for some $R > 0$. Then there exists $a_0 = a_0(R)$ such that function $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}(z, k)$ defined by (2.3) via the solution of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation with the potential aq_0 , $a \in (0, a_0)$, admits analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^4$ with respect to variables x, y, k_1, k_2 , and*

$$(3.1) \quad |B'_\sigma B_\gamma \bar{\mu}(z, k)| < C(R, \varepsilon) \quad \text{when } z, k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\sigma|, |\gamma| \leq R - \varepsilon, \quad a < a_0.$$

The statement remains valid if $a = 1$, but $|k| \geq \rho(R)$ with large enough ρ .

Proof. We will prove the statement of the lemma for the component μ_{11} of the matrix μ . Other components can be treated similarly. Let us iterate equation (2.5). The following equation is valid for the first component: (3.2)

$$\overline{\mu_{11}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_2} \frac{e^{i\Re(k\bar{z}_1)}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} \overline{Q_{12}}(z_1) \frac{e^{-i\Re(k\bar{z}_2)}}{z_1 - z_2} Q_{21}(z_2) \overline{\mu_{11}}(z_2, k),$$

where Q_{21} and Q_{12} are entries of the matrix Q_0 . Denote $Q = Q_{12} = -Q_{21}$. Assume that the analytic continuation $B_\gamma \overline{\mu_{11}}$ exists. Then from Lemma 3.1, formula (3.2) and the relation

$$B_\gamma e^{\pm i\Re(k\bar{z})} = e^{\pm i\Re(k\bar{z}) \pm i\langle k, \gamma \rangle}$$

it follows that $B_\gamma \overline{\mu_{11}}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_1} \frac{B_\gamma e^{i\Re(k\bar{z}_1)}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} B_\gamma \overline{Q}(z_1) B_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_2} \frac{e^{-i\Re(k\bar{z}_2)}}{z_1 - z_2} Q(z_2) \overline{\mu_{11}}(z_2, k) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_1} \frac{e^{i\Re(k\bar{z}_1)}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} B_\gamma \overline{Q}(z_1) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{e^{-i\Re(k\bar{z}_2)}}{z_1 - z_2} B_\gamma Q(z_2) B_\gamma \overline{\mu_{11}}(z_2, k) d\sigma_{z_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if the analytic continuation $\Psi := B'_\sigma B_\gamma \overline{\mu_{11}}$ exists, then it satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z, k) &= 1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\sigma_{z_1} \frac{e^{i\Re(k\bar{z}_1) + i\langle \sigma, z_1 \rangle}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_1} B_\gamma \overline{Q}(z_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{e^{-i\Re(k\bar{z}_2) - i\langle \sigma, z_2 \rangle}}{z_1 - z_2} B_\gamma Q(z_2) \Psi(z_2, k) d\sigma_{z_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $K^\pm = K_{k, \sigma, \gamma}^\pm$ the integral operators given by the exterior and interior integrals above, respectively. Their norms in the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ can be estimated from above by the norms of the potential (see [20]):

$$\|K^-\| < C(\|e^{-i\langle \sigma, z_2 \rangle} B_\gamma Q(z_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C})} + \|e^{-i\langle \sigma, z_2 \rangle} B_\gamma Q(z_2)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{C})}),$$

where $1 < p < 2 < q < \infty$. A similar estimate is valid for K^+ . Thus the assumption $a_0 \ll 1$ and Condition Q imply that $\|K^\pm\| < 1$, Ψ exists, and

$$|\Psi| < C(R) \quad \text{when } |\Im \sigma|, |\gamma| \leq R, \quad a < a_0.$$

Moreover, the derivatives of $K^\pm$ with respect to complex variables σ_i, γ_j also have small norms, i.e., $\Psi = \Psi(z, k, \sigma, \gamma)$ is analytic in $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. One can easily see that $\Psi = \Psi(z + \gamma, k + \sigma)$. Hence Ψ is the analytic continuation of $\overline{\mu}$. The proof of (3.1) is complete.

In order to prove the statement of Lemma 3.2 concerning $a = 1$, one needs only to show that operator $K := K^+ K^-$ and its derivatives in σ_i, γ_j are small (less than one) as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. This can be done by a standard procedure: one splits K into two terms $K = K_1 + K_2$, where K_1 is obtained by adding the factor $\alpha(\frac{z_1 - z}{\varepsilon})\alpha(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{\varepsilon})$ in the integral kernel of K . Here $\alpha = \alpha(z)$ is a cut-off function that is equal to one when $|z| < 1$ and vanishes

when $|z| > 2$. Then $\|K_1\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $\|K_2\| = O(|k|^{-1})$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. The latter can be shown by appropriate integration by parts in x_1, y_1 . $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *If Condition $Q(R)$ holds for some $R > 0$, then Condition $H(R - \varepsilon)$ holds for each $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Recall that

$$h_0(\varsigma, \varsigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-i\Re(\bar{\varsigma}z)} Q_0(z) \bar{\mu}(z, \varsigma) d\sigma_z, \quad k, \varsigma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We shift the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ in the integral above by vector $\gamma = -i \frac{(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)}{|\varsigma|} (R - \varepsilon)$, and then apply operator B_η . This leads to

$$|B''_\eta h_0| < \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| e^{-i(\langle \eta, z \rangle + \langle \varsigma, \gamma \rangle + \langle \eta, \gamma \rangle)} Q_0(A_\gamma z) B_\gamma B''_\eta \bar{\mu}(z, \varsigma) \right| d\sigma_z.$$

It remains to use Lemma 3.2 and Condition $Q(R)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let Condition $Q(R)$ hold for some $R > 0$ and let the scattering data h_0 be defined by the potential aq_0 , $0 < a < a_0(R)$, where $a_0(R)$ is defined in Lemma 3.2. Then the time dependent scattering data $h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, given by (2.12), admits an analytic continuation in $(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)$, and*

$$|B''_\eta h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t)| \leq C(R, \varepsilon) e^{(-\frac{R}{1+2T} + \varepsilon)|\varsigma|}, \quad |\eta| \leq \frac{R}{1+2T}.$$

The statement remains valid if $a = 1$, but $|\varsigma| > \rho$, where $\rho = \rho(R)$ is large enough.

Proof. The statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.3 and formula (2.12). One needs only to combine the upper bound $Ce^{(-R+\varepsilon)|\varsigma|}$ for the analytic continuation of h_0 obtained in Theorem 3.3 with the upper bound $Ce^{\frac{2TR}{1+2T}|\varsigma|}$ for the time-dependent factor in (2.12). $\square$

Let us recall again the procedure to obtain the classical solution of the focusing DSII equation with initial data aq_0 and a very small a such that there are no exceptional points. As the first step, one needs to solve the equation $(I + T_{z,t})v = I$, where $T_{z,t}$ is given by (2.13) with $D = \emptyset$, i.e., the equation for $v = v_{z,t}$ has the form

$$(3.3) \quad v_{z,t}(k) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i(\bar{\varsigma}z + \bar{z}\varsigma)/2} \overline{v_{z,t}(\varsigma)} \Pi^o h(\varsigma, \varsigma, t) \frac{d\sigma_\varsigma}{\varsigma - k} = I,$$

where $w_{z,t}(\cdot) = v_{z,t}(\cdot) - I \in \mathcal{B}^s$. Then the solution of the focusing DSII equation with initial data aq_0 is given by (2.16). In particular,

$$(3.4) \quad q(z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i(\bar{\varsigma}z + \bar{z}\varsigma)/2} (\overline{v_{z,t}})_{11}(\varsigma) h_{12}(\varsigma, \varsigma, t) d\sigma_\varsigma.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let Condition $Q(R)$ hold for q_0 , and let the potential $q(z, t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, in (3.4) be constructed from the initial data $aq_0(z)$ with $0 < a < a_1 \ll 1$. Then there exists $a_1 = a_1(R, T)$ such that Condition $Q(\frac{R}{1+2T} - \varepsilon)$ holds for the potential (3.4) for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. There is a complete duality (e.g. [21, Th. 4.15]) between the nonlinear Fourier transform given by (2.5), (2.7) and the inverse transform (3.3), (3.4). Function h in (3.3) plays the role of the potential Q_0 in (2.5). Theorem 3.4 implies that the Condition $Q(R')$ holds for h with $R' = \frac{R}{1+2T} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. From Lemma 3.2 applied to (3.3) instead of (2.5), it follows that v has the same properties as the properties of μ established in Lemma 3.2. One needs only to take a small enough to guarantee that the analogues of operators $K^\pm$ have norms that do not exceed one. Then

$$|B'_\sigma B_\gamma \bar{v}(z, k)| < C(R', \varepsilon) \quad \text{when } z, k \in \mathbb{C}, |\sigma|, |\gamma| \leq R' - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, a \ll 1.$$

Then the statement of the theorem can be obtained similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e., by using the shift of the complex plane $\mathcal{C}$ in (3.4) by the vector $\eta = i \frac{(x, y)}{|z|} (R' - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. □

4. PROOF OF THE FIRST STATEMENT OF THEOREM 2.2

Consider problem (1.1) with q_0 replaced by aq_0 , $a \in (0, 1]$. Let D be a disk containing all the exceptional points for problems (2.2), (2.3) for all $a \in (0, 1]$. Let $k_0 \in \partial D$ be a non-exceptional point for all $a \in (0, 1]$. We will use notation v^1 for the solution of (2.15) and (q^1, φ^1) for the pair defined by (2.16) when the operator $T_{z,t}$ is defined using the disk D . We preserve the notations v , (q, φ) for the same objects when there are no exceptional points and $D = \emptyset$. Since q^1, φ^1 are meromorphic in a in a neighbourhood of $(0, 1]$ (see Theorem 2.1), the first statement of Theorem 2.2 will be proved if we show that $(q^1, \varphi^1) = (q, \varphi)$ when $a > 0$ is small and $t > 0$.

From (2.4), (2.7) and Condition $Q(R)$ with $R > (1+2T)A > A$, it follows that the scattering data $h_0 = h_0(\varsigma, k)$ is defined for all the potentials aq_0 when $|\varsigma|, |k| \leq A$ (i.e., $\varsigma, k \in \overline{D}$) and also for all $\varsigma = k$. We define $h(\varsigma, k, t)$ (extension of h_0 in t) according to (2.12). Let $v = v_{z,t} = w_{z,t} + I$, where $w_{z,t} \in \mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$, is the solution of (2.15) with $T_{z,t}$ given by (2.13) with $D = \emptyset$ (i.e., the right-hand side in (2.13) contains only the first term, see equation (3.3)). Then (q, ϕ) given by (2.16) with $D = \emptyset$ solves the DSII equation (1.1) (see [7]), and

$$(4.1) \quad \psi = \psi(z, k, t) := \Pi^d \bar{v} e^{i\bar{k}z/2} + e^{-i\bar{z}k/2} \Pi^o v, \quad \varsigma, k \in \mathbb{C}, t \geq 0,$$

is the solution of the scattering problem (2.2) (and the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (2.3)) with the potential $Q_t(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(z, t) \\ -q(z, t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ instead of Q_0 .

Consider now the scattering data

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{h}(\varsigma, k, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-i\bar{\varsigma}z/2} Q_t(z) \overline{\psi}(z, k, t) d\sigma_z$$

defined by the solution ψ of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (2.3) with the potential $Q_t(z)$. If $0 \leq t \leq T$, then from Theorem 3.5 (it is assumed there that $R > (1+2T)A$) it follows that integral (4.2) converges when $|\varsigma|, |k| \leq A$ (i.e., $\varsigma, k \in \overline{D}$) and when $\varsigma = k$. Moreover, $\widehat{h}(\varsigma, k, t) = \widehat{h}(k + \alpha, k, t)$ is an anti-analytic continuation of $\widehat{h}(k, k, t)$ in α . We will prove that $\widehat{h}$ coincides with the scattering data $h(\varsigma, k, t)$ defined in (2.12). We also will prove that there exists an analytic in k function $\widehat{v}_1^+ = \widehat{v}_1^+(k, t)$, $k \in D$, such that

$$(4.3) \quad (v - \widehat{v}_1^+)|_{\varsigma \in \partial D} = \\ = \int_{\partial D} [e^{i/2(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\varsigma}'z)} \overline{\widehat{v}_1^+(\varsigma')} \Pi^o - e^{i/2(\varsigma - \varsigma')\bar{z}} \widehat{v}_1^+(\varsigma') \Pi^d \mathbf{C}] \text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\varsigma}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\varsigma' - k_0^1} \widehat{h}_t(\varsigma', \varsigma) d\varsigma'.$$

From these two facts and the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation (see [20])

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} v(z, k, t) = e^{i(\bar{k}z + \bar{z}k)/2} \bar{v}(z, k, t) \Pi^o h(k, k, t), \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D,$$

it follows (see [13, Lemma 3.3]) that the function

$$(4.5) \quad v'(z, k) := \begin{cases} v(z, k), & k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D, \\ \widehat{v}_1^+(z, k), & k \in D, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the integral equation (2.15), where operator $T_{z,t}$ is constructed using the scattering data $\widehat{h}$. Equation (2.15) has a unique solution when a is small enough. Under the assumption that $\widehat{h} = h$, we have $v^1 \equiv v'$. Therefore $v^1(z, k) = v(z, k)$ when $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$. Solution (q, ϕ) of the DSII equation can be determined via the asymptotics of v at large values of k (e.g., [20, (1.17)], [14, Lemma 3.3]). Hence $(q^1, \phi^1) = (q, \phi)$ for small a . Thus the first statement of the theorem will be proved as soon as we show that $\widehat{h} = h$, $t > 0$, and that $\widehat{v}^+$ exists.

Justification of the equality $\widehat{h} = h$, $t > 0$. Everywhere below, till the end of the section, we omit mentioning the parameter a and assume that the initial data q_0 is small. Let us recall (see [14, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2]) that the symmetry of the matrix Q_0 (see (2.1)) implies that $h_{11} = h_{22}, h_{12} = -h_{21}$, and the same relations hold for matrix v determined from the integral equation (2.15) and related to ψ by (4.1).

Let us introduce functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = h_0(k + \alpha, k),$$

and note that

$$b(\alpha, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\bar{\alpha}z/2} e^{-i(k\bar{z} + \bar{k}z)/2} q_0(z) v_{11}(z, k) d\sigma_z,$$

$$a(\alpha, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\bar{\alpha}z/2} q_0(z) \bar{v}_{12}(z, k) d\sigma_z.$$

Now define

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(\alpha, k, t) & b(\alpha, k, t) \\ -b(\alpha, k, t) & a(\alpha, k, t) \end{pmatrix} = h(k + \alpha, k, t),$$

where h is given by (2.12). Similar quantities $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ are defined via the solutions $v(\cdot, k, t)$:

$$\hat{b}(\alpha, k, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\bar{\alpha}z/2} e^{-i(k\bar{z} + \bar{k}z)/2} q(z, t) v_{11}(z, k, t) d\sigma_z,$$

$$\hat{a}(\alpha, k, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\bar{\alpha}z/2} q(z, t) \bar{v}_{12}(z, k, t) d\sigma_z.$$

These quantities are well defined due to Theorem 3.5. Let

$$\hat{h} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}(\alpha, k, t) & \hat{b}(\alpha, k, t) \\ -\hat{b}(\alpha, k, t) & \hat{a}(\alpha, k, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider solution $\psi(z, k, t)$ of (2.2) with potential Q_0 replaced by Q_t , and let v be defined by (4.1). From (4.1) it follows that $v \rightarrow I$ uniformly on each compact with respect to the variable z when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, from Theorem 3.5 it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \hat{a}(\alpha, k, t) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously (see (2.12)), the same relation holds for $a(\alpha, k, t)$.

The $\bar{\partial}$ -equation (4.4) implies that the following rules are valid when $t = 0$:

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{k}} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} + ab_0, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial k} = b\bar{b}_0, \quad \text{where } b_0 = b(0, k), \quad |\alpha| \leq A, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Due to Theorem 3.5, the same relations are valid for $\hat{a}(\alpha, k, t), \hat{b}(\alpha, k, t)$:

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{\partial \hat{b}}{\partial \bar{k}} = \frac{\partial \hat{b}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} + \hat{a}\hat{b}_0, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial k} = \hat{b}\hat{b}_0, \quad \hat{b}_0 = \hat{b}(0, k, t), \quad |\alpha| \leq A, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

From (2.12), (4.7), and the obvious relations

$$e^{-t(\bar{k}^2 - (\bar{k} + \bar{\alpha})^2)/2} = e^{-t(k^2 - (\bar{k} + \alpha)^2)/2} e^{-i\Im k^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} e^{-t(k^2 - (\bar{k} + \alpha)^2)/2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} e^{-t(k^2 - (\bar{k} + \alpha)^2)/2},$$

it follows that (4.8) holds for $a(\alpha, k, t), b(\alpha, k, t)$:

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{k}} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} + ab_0, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial k} = b\bar{b}_0, \quad b_0 = b(0, k, t),$$

when $|\alpha| \leq A, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$

Now we note that $\widehat{b}(0, k, t) = b(0, k, t)$ (see [22, Theorem 5.3]). The second relations in (4.8), (4.9) with $\alpha = 0$ imply that $(\widehat{a} - a)|_{\alpha=0}$ is anti-analytic in k . Then the maximum principle, together with (4.6) for both $\widehat{a}$ and a , imply that $\widehat{a}|_{\alpha=0} = a|_{\alpha=0}$. Now from the first relations in (4.8), (4.9), with $\alpha = 0$, it follows that $\frac{\partial \widehat{b}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0}$. Then we differentiate the second relations in (4.8), (4.9) in $\bar{\alpha}$ and put $\alpha = 0$ there. This leads to the anti-analyticity in k of $\frac{\partial \widehat{a}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0}$. The maximum principle with (4.6) imply that $\frac{\partial \widehat{a}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}|_{\alpha=0}$. After the differentiation in $\bar{\alpha}$ of the first relations in (4.8), (4.9), we obtain that $\frac{\partial^2 \widehat{b}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}^2}|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial \bar{\alpha}^2}|_{\alpha=0}$, and so on. Hence all the derivatives in $\bar{\alpha}$ of the vectors $(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})$ and (a, b) coincide when $\alpha = 0$. Since both vectors are anti-analytic in α , they are identical, i.e., $\widehat{h} = h$, $t > 0$.

The *existence* of $\widehat{v}^+$ can be shown similarly to the proof of same statement in [13], where the potential was assumed to be compactly supported. Namely, consider the following analogue of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation with different values $k_0, k \in \overline{D}$ of the spectral parameter in the operator and in the free term of the equation:

$$(4.10) \quad \psi^+(z, k) = e^{i\frac{\bar{k}z}{2}} I + \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}} G(z - z', k_0) Q_t(z') \overline{\psi^+(z', k)} d\sigma_{z'},$$

where $G(z, k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{i\bar{k}z/2}}{z}$. We substitute here $\psi^+ = \mu^+ e^{i\bar{k}_0 z/2}$ and rewrite the equation in terms of

$$(4.11) \quad w^+ = \mu^+(z, k) - e^{i(\bar{k}-\bar{k}_0)z/2} I \in L_z^\infty(L_k^p), \quad p > 1.$$

The equation takes the form

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} w^+(z, k) - \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{e^{-i\Re(\bar{k}_0 z')}}{z - z'} Q_t(z') \overline{w^+(z', k)} d\sigma_{z'} = \\ = \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{e^{-i\Re(\bar{k}_0 z')}}{z - z'} \left[Q_t(z') e^{-i\bar{z}'(k-k_0)/2} \right] d\sigma_{z'}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5 implies that function $\left[Q_t(z') e^{-i\bar{z}'(k-k_0)/2} \right]$ decays exponentially as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and $|k|, |k_0| \leq A$. The unique solvability of the problem (4.12) is obvious since the potential is small.

Function $\widehat{v}^+$ is defined by ψ^+ in the same way as v is defined by ψ in (4.1). The analyticity of $\widehat{v}^+$ and (4.3) are proved in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5 of [13]. □

5. PROOF OF STATEMENT 2 OF THE THEOREM 2.2.

Reduction to Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.3. Theorem 3.5 immediately implies that the operator $T_{z,t} : \mathcal{B}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$, is analytic in x and y in a complex neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2$. In order to use the multidimensional analytic Fredholm theory ([24, Th. 4.11, 4.12] or [23]) and obtain a decay

of operator norm $\|T_{z,t}^2\|$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, we would like to consider this operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}^2$ instead of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}^s$, $s > 2$. All the previous and new results mentioned in this paper remain valid if $s > 2$ is replaced by $s = 2$ (with the appropriate definition of the space $\mathcal{B}^2$ given in (2.17)). In order to justify the latter statement, one needs to show that the properties of the operator $T_{z,t}$ are preserved when $s > 2$ is replaced by $s = 2$. This will be done in Theorem 5.2 below (we will not discuss the properties that obviously are s -independent), but we will show that operator $T_{z,t} : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is compact, continuous in (z, t) , and analytic in (x, y) in a complex neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2$. After that, we will show (Lemma 5.3) the invertibility of $I + T_{z,t}$ at large values of $|z|$. Then the second statement of the theorem will be a simple consequence of the first statement and the analytic Fredholm theory. Note that the invertibility of operator $I + T_{z,t}$ will be proved for z on each ray $\arg z = \psi = \text{const}$, $|z| \geq Z_0$, with ψ -independent Z_0 and with $T_{z,t}$ defined (see (2.13)) using a special value of $k_0 = k_0(\psi)$. Since the solution (q, ϕ) of problem (1.1) does not depend on the choice of k_0 (see Theorem 2.2), it remains only to prove Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.

5.1. Compactness of operator T . We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let operator $M : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ have the form*

$$(Mf)(k) = \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} \frac{g(\varsigma)}{\varsigma - k} f(\varsigma) d\sigma_\varsigma, \quad k \in \mathbb{C},$$

where function $g_\delta = g(\varsigma)(1 + |\varsigma|)^\delta$ has the following properties

$$|g_\delta| < a_1 < \infty, \quad g_\delta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varsigma \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and } \|g_\delta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus D)} = a_2 < \infty$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Then M is compact and $\|M\| \leq C(a_1 + a_2)$.

Proof. Let P be the following operator in $\mathcal{B}^2$ of rank one:

$$(5.1) \quad Pf = -\frac{\beta(k)}{k} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} g(\varsigma) f(\varsigma) d\sigma_\varsigma,$$

where β is the function introduced in the definition of the space $\mathcal{B}^2$. Since $Pf = 0$ in a neighborhood of D , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} g(\varsigma) f(\varsigma) d\sigma_\varsigma \leq a_2 \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} \left| \frac{f(\varsigma)}{(1 + |\varsigma|)^\delta} \right|^2 d\sigma_\varsigma \leq Ca_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2},$$

it is enough to prove the statement of the lemma for operator $M - P = M_1 + M_2$, where

$$M_i f = \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} K_i(k, \varsigma) f(\varsigma) d\sigma_\varsigma,$$

$$K_1(k, \varsigma) = \frac{\alpha(\varsigma - k)}{\varsigma - k} g(\varsigma), \quad K_2(k, \varsigma) = \left[\frac{\beta(\varsigma - k)}{\varsigma - k} + \frac{\beta(k)}{k} \right] g(\varsigma),$$

and $\alpha := 1 - \beta$ is a cut-off function which is equal to one in a neighborhood of D .

Let M'_i be the operator defined by the same formulas as operators M_i , but considered as operators in $L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Let us show that operators M'_i are compact and their norms do not exceed $C(a_1 + a_2)$.

Since $|g| \leq a_1$, we have

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_1(k, \varsigma)| d\sigma_{\varsigma} + \sup_{\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_1(k, \varsigma)| d\sigma_k \leq Ca_1.$$

Hence, from the Young theorem, it follows that $\|M'_i\| \leq Ca_1$. Similarly, using the decay of g_1 at infinity, we obtain that $M'_1 = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} M'_{1,R}$, where $M'_{1,R}$ are operators in $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ with the integral kernels $K_1(k, \varsigma)\alpha(\varsigma/R)$. Operators $M_{1,R}$ are pseudo-differential operators of order -1 (they increase the smoothness of functions by one) defined in a bounded domain. Hence operators $M'_{1,R}$ and their limit M'_1 are compact operators in $L^2(\mathbb{C})$.

The boundedness (with the upper bound Ca_2) and compactness of the operator M_2 will be proved if we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_2(k, \varsigma)|^2 d\sigma_k d\sigma_{\varsigma} \leq Ca_2.$$

We split the interior integral in two parts: over region $|k| < 2|\varsigma|$ and over region $|k| > 2|\varsigma|$, and estimate each of them separately. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|k| < 2|\varsigma|} |K_2(k, \varsigma)|^2 d\sigma_k &\leq 2|g(\varsigma)|^2 \int_{|k| < 2|\varsigma|} \left[\frac{\beta^2(\varsigma - k)}{|\varsigma - k|^2} + \frac{\beta^2(k)}{|k|^2} \right] d\sigma_k \\ &\leq C|g(\varsigma)|^2 (1 + |\varsigma|)^{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

A better estimate with a logarithmic factor is valid, but we do not need this accuracy. Next,

$$\int_{|k| > 2|\varsigma|} |K_2(k, \varsigma)|^2 d\sigma_k = |g(\varsigma)|^2 \int_{|k| > 2|\varsigma|} \frac{|k\beta(\varsigma - k) + (\varsigma - k)\beta(k)|^2}{|(\varsigma - k)k|^2} d\sigma_k.$$

The denominator of the integrand can be estimated from below by $\frac{1}{4}|k|^4$. The numerator, denoted by n , has the following properties. If $|\varsigma|$ is large enough, then both beta functions in n are equal to one, and $n = |\varsigma|^2$. The same is true if $|\varsigma|$ is bounded and $|k|$ is large. If both variables are bounded, then $|n|$ is bounded. Thus $|n| < (C + |\varsigma|)^2$, and the integrand above does not exceed $C \frac{1 + |\varsigma|^2}{|k|^4}$. Obviously, the integrand vanishes when $|k|$ is small enough. Thus there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|k| > 2|\varsigma|} |K_2(k, \varsigma)|^2 d\sigma_k &\leq C|g(\varsigma)|^2 \int_{|k| > \max(c, 2|\varsigma|)} \frac{1 + |\varsigma|^2}{|k|^4} d\sigma_k \\ &\leq C|g(\varsigma)|^2 \int_{|k| > c} \frac{1}{|k|^4} d\sigma_k + C|g(\varsigma)|^2 \int_{|k| > 2|\varsigma|} \frac{|\varsigma|^2}{|k|^4} d\sigma_k = C_1|g(\varsigma)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |K_2(k, \varsigma)|^2 d\sigma_k d\sigma_{\varsigma} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}} |g(\varsigma)|^2 (1 + |\varsigma|)^{\delta} d\sigma_{\varsigma} \leq C'a_2.$$

Thus, operators $M'_i : L^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C})$ are compact and $\|M'_i\| \leq C(a_1 + a_2)$.

Denote by $M''_i : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C})$ operators with the same integral kernels K_i as for operators M'_i , but with the domain $\mathcal{B}^2$ instead of $L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Compactness of these operators will be proved if we show the boundedness of M'_i on the one-dimensional space of functions of the form $f_c(\varsigma) = c \frac{\beta(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}$, $c = \text{const}$. The upper estimate on $\|M''_i f_c\|$ can be obtained by repeating the arguments above used to estimate $\|M'_i\|$. One needs only to replace f_c by the function $f = f_c/|\varsigma|^{\delta/2} \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ and replace the kernel K_i by $K_i|\varsigma|^{\delta/2}$. Hence, operators M''_i are compact and $\|M''_i\| \leq C(a_1 + a_2)$.

Obviously, for each $f \in \mathcal{B}^2$, the function $(M_1 + M_2)f$ is analytic in D . Consider its trace on ∂D . Let $M_D : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow L^2(\partial D)$ be the operator that maps each $f \in \mathcal{B}^2$ into the trace of $(M_1 + M_2)f$ on ∂D . In order to complete the proof of the lemma, it remains to show that operator M_D is well defined, compact, and $\|M_D\| \leq C(a_1 + a_2)$. To prove these properties of M_D , we split the operator into two terms $M_D = M_D\phi + M_D(1 - \phi)$, where ϕ is the operator of multiplication by the indicator function of a disk D_1 of a larger radius than the radius of D . Then $M(1 - \phi)f$ is analytic in D_1 , and

$$\|M(1 - \phi)f\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq \|Mf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} \leq C(a_1 + a_2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}.$$

From a priori estimates for elliptic operators, it follows that

$$\|M(1 - \phi)f\|_{H^s(D)} \leq C_s \|M(1 - \phi)f\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq C_s(a_1 + a_2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2},$$

where H^s is the Sobolev space and s is arbitrary. Hence

$$\|M(1 - \phi)f\|_{H^{s-1/2}(\partial D)} \leq C(a_1 + a_2)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}.$$

This implies that operator $M_D(1 - \phi)$ is compact and its norm does not exceed $C(a_1 + a_2)$. We will take D_1 not very large, so that function β vanishes on D_1 . Then $M\phi f$ is the convolution of $1/k$ and $\phi g f$, i.e., $M\phi f = \frac{1}{k} * (\phi g f)$. The latter expression is a pseudo differential operator of order -1 applied to the function $\phi g f$ with a compact support. Thus,

$$\|M\phi f\|_{H^1(D)} \leq C\|\phi g f\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq Ca_1\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2},$$

and therefore $\|M_D\phi f\|_{H^{1/2}(D)} \leq Ca_1\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$. Hence, operator $M_D\phi$ is compact and its norm does not exceed Ca_1 . $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Then operator $T_{z,t} : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is compact, continuous in (z, t) , and analytic in (x, y) in a complex neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The same properties are valid for derivatives of $T_{z,t}$ of any order in t, x, y .*

Remark. $T_{z,t}$ is analytic in x, y in the region $|\Im x|^2 + |\Im y|^2 \leq R^2$.

Proof. The operator $T_{z,t}$ can be naturally split into two terms: $T_{z,t} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ involves integration over $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$ and $\mathcal{D}$ involves integration

over ∂D . In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}\phi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} \frac{e^{i\Re(\zeta\bar{z})} \bar{\phi}(\zeta) \Pi^\alpha h(\zeta, \varsigma, t)}{\varsigma - k} d\sigma_\varsigma.$$

The statements of the theorem are valid for operator $\mathcal{M}$ due to (2.9), Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 3.4. Indeed, the compactness and continuity of M in (z, t) is proved in Lemma 5.1. The analyticity in (x, y) follows from the fast decay of h at infinity which is established in Theorem 3.4.

Let us show that the same properties are valid for $\mathcal{D}$. We write $\mathcal{D}$ in the form $D = I_1 I_2$, where operator $I_2 : L^2(\partial D) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\partial D)$ is defined by the interior integral in the expression for $\mathcal{D}$ in (2.13), and operator $I_1 : C^\alpha(\partial D) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^s$ is defined by the exterior integral in the same expression. Here $C^\alpha(\partial D)$ is the Holder space and α is an arbitrary number in $(0, 1/2)$. The integral kernel of operator I_2 has a logarithmic singularity at $\varsigma = \varsigma'$, i.e., I_2 is a pseudo differential operator of order -1 , and therefore I_2 is a bounded operator from $L^2(\partial D)$ into the Sobolev space $H^1(\partial D)$. Thus it is compact as operator from $C(\partial D)$ to $C^\alpha(\partial D)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, due to the Sobolev embedding theorem. Thus the compactness of $\mathcal{D}$ will be proved as soon as we show that I_1 is bounded.

For each $\phi \in C^\alpha(\partial D)$, function $I_1 \phi$ is analytic outside of ∂D and vanishes at infinity. Due to the Sokhotski–Plemelj theorem, the limiting values $(I_1 \phi)_\pm$ of $(I_1 \phi)$ on ∂D from inside and outside of D , respectively, are equal to $\frac{\pm \phi}{2} + P.V. \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\phi(\varsigma) d\varsigma}{\varsigma - \lambda}$. Thus

$$\max_{\partial D} |(I_1 \phi)_\pm| \leq C \|\phi\|_{C^\alpha(\partial D)}.$$

From the maximum principle for analytic functions, it follows that the same estimate is valid for function $I_1 \phi$ on the whole plane. Taking also into account that $I_2 \phi$ has the following behavior at infinity $I_2 \phi \sim c/k + O(|k|^{-2})$, we obtain that operator I_1 is bounded. Hence operator $\mathcal{D}$ is compact. Since h decays superexponentially at infinity, the arguments above allow one to obtain not only the compactness of $\mathcal{D}$, but also its smoothness in t, x, y and analyticity in (x, y) . □

5.2. The invertibility of $I + T_{z,t}$ at large values of z . We will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *The following relation is valid for operator norm of $T_{z,t}^2$ in $\mathcal{B}^2$:*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|T_{z,t}^2\| \rightarrow 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence the operator $I + T_{z,t}$ is invertible when $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| \gg 1$.

We split operator $T_{z,t}$ into two terms $T_{z,t} = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{D}$ that correspond to the integration over $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$ and D , respectively, in (2.13). The entries

M^{ij} , D^{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$, of the matrix operators $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{11} &= M^{22} = 0, \\
M^{12}\phi &= -M^{21}\phi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D} \frac{e^{i\Re(\varsigma\bar{z}) - t(\varsigma^2 - \bar{\varsigma}^2)/2} \bar{\phi}(\varsigma) h_{12}(\varsigma, \varsigma)}{\varsigma - k} d\sigma_{\varsigma}, \\
D^{11}\phi &= D^{22}\phi = \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - k} \int_{\partial D} \overline{\text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\zeta}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\zeta' - k_0}} h_{11}(\zeta', \varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma - \zeta')\bar{z} + \frac{t}{2}(\varsigma'^2 - \varsigma^2)} \phi(\zeta') d\zeta', \\
D^{12}\phi &= -D^{21}\phi = \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - k} \int_{\partial D} \text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\zeta}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\zeta' - k_0} h_{12}(\zeta', \varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\zeta}'z) + \frac{t}{2}(\bar{\zeta}'^2 - \varsigma^2)} \bar{\phi}(\zeta') d\bar{\zeta}'.
\end{aligned}$$

We used here the relations $h_{12} = -h_{12}$, $h_{11} = h_{22}$ for the entries of h_0 that were established, for example, in [14, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 5.1 implies the uniform boundedness of M^{21} , M^{12} when $0 \leq t \leq T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus Lemma 5.3 will be proved if we show that operator norms of $M^{21}M^{12}$ and D^{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$, vanish as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Let us prove the statement about D^{ij} .

Lemma 5.4. *For each $T > 0$, there exists a constant C_T such that*

$$\|D\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha, T}}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

if k_0 in the definition of operator $\mathcal{D}$ is chosen to belong to ∂D and equal to $k_0 = -iAe^{i\psi}$, where $\psi = \arg z$ and A is the radius of the disk D .

Proof. We will prove the estimate for the component D^{12} of the matrix D . Other components of D can be estimated similarly. Consider the interior integral in D^{12} :

$$(5.2) \quad R^{12}\phi = \int_{\partial D} \text{Ln} \frac{\bar{\zeta}' - \bar{\varsigma}}{\zeta' - k_0} h_{12}(\zeta', \varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\zeta}'z) + \frac{t}{2}(\bar{\zeta}'^2 - \varsigma^2)} \bar{\phi}(\zeta') d\bar{\zeta}',$$

where $\varsigma \in \partial D$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^2$. Our goal is to show that

$$(5.3) \quad \|R^{12}\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} \leq \frac{C_T}{1 + |z|^{1/4}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial D)}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}^2.$$

The integrand in (5.2) is anti-holomorphic in $\zeta' \in D$ with logarithmic branching points at k_0 and ς . If k_0 is strictly inside D , then the integration over ∂D in (5.2) can be replaced by the integration over two sides of the segment $[k_0, \varsigma]$, which are passed in the counter clock-wise direction. The values of the logarithm on these sides differ by the constant 2π . This leads to an alternative form of the operator $\mathcal{D}$:

$$D^{12}\phi = -D^{21}\phi = i \int_{\partial D} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - k} \int_{[k_0, \varsigma]} h_{12}(\zeta', \varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\zeta}'z) + \frac{t}{2}(\bar{\zeta}'^2 - \varsigma^2)} \bar{\phi}(\zeta') d\bar{\zeta}'.$$

If $k_0 \in \partial D$, the contour of integration above can be replaced by $\text{arc}[k_0, \varsigma]$. Thus

$$R^{12}\phi = i \int_{\widehat{k_0, \varsigma}} h_{12}(\varsigma', \varsigma) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\varsigma\bar{z} + \bar{\varsigma}'z) + \frac{t}{2}(\bar{\varsigma}'^2 - \varsigma^2)} \phi(\varsigma') d\bar{\varsigma}', \quad \varsigma \in \partial D, \quad \phi \in L^2(\partial D).$$

Consider the following function (from the exponent in the integrand above): $\Phi = \Re \left[\frac{i}{2} \varsigma \bar{z} \right]$. This function is linear in ς , and for each fixed $z = |z|e^{i\psi}$, $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$, it has the unique global maximum on D . The maximum occurs on the boundary at the point $\varsigma_0 = -iAe^{i\psi}$, which depends only on the argument of z . Due to Theorem 2.2, point $k_0 \in \partial D$ can be chosen arbitrarily. We choose $k_0 = \varsigma_0 \in \partial D$, and we get that

$$|R^{12}\phi| \leq C \left(\int_{\widehat{\varsigma_0, \varsigma}} \exp 2(\Phi(\varsigma) - \Phi(\varsigma')) |d\varsigma'| \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}.$$

Let us estimate the integral above. Let $\varsigma = -iAe^{i(\psi+\varphi)}$, $|\varphi| \leq \pi$. For $\varsigma' \in \widehat{\varsigma_0, \varsigma}$, we have

$$\Phi(\varsigma') = A|z|(\cos \varphi')/2, \quad \Phi(\varsigma) = A|z|(\cos \varphi)/2,$$

and the integral is equal to

$$\int_0^\varphi e^{A|z|(\cos \varphi - \cos \varphi')/2} d\varphi' = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|z|}}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

This justifies (5.3). □

Let us show now that the following statement holds.

Lemma 5.5.

$$(5.4) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|M^{21} \overline{M^{12}}\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Kernels of M^{12}, M^{21} are smooth, see (2.9). From Theorem 3.4, it follows that the kernels and rapidly decaying functions in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, Lemma 5.1 implies that operators M^{12}, M^{21} can be approximated in $\mathcal{B}^2$ by operators with function h_{12} replaced by a compactly supported one. Therefore, without loss of the generality, we will assume below that the supports of h_{12}, h_{21} belong to a bounded domain $\mathcal{O}$.

We will use the notation P for the one-dimensional operator defined in (5.1) with the density $g = e^{i\Re(\varsigma\bar{z}) - t(\varsigma - \bar{\varsigma}^2)/2} h_{12}(\varsigma, \varsigma)$. Let $\widehat{M} := (M^{12} - P)(\overline{M^{21} - P})$. We will prove that

$$(5.5) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\widehat{M}\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

The other three terms $M^{12}(\overline{M^{21} - P})$, $(M^{12} - P)\overline{M^{21}}$, and $P\overline{P}$ can be treated in the same way. We have

$$\widehat{M}\varphi = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2) \overline{h_{21}(\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2)} e^{-i\Re(\varsigma_2\bar{z}) + t(\varsigma_2 - \bar{\varsigma}_2^2)/2} \varphi(\varsigma_2) d\sigma_{\varsigma_2},$$

where $A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)$ is given by the following integral
(5.6)

$$\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} e^{i\Re(\varsigma_1 \bar{z}) - t(\varsigma_1 - \bar{\varsigma}_1^2)/2} h_{12}(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1) \left(\frac{1}{\varsigma_1 - \varsigma} + \frac{\beta(\varsigma)}{\varsigma} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1} + \frac{\beta(\varsigma_1)}{\varsigma_1} \right)} d\sigma_{\varsigma_1}.$$

The Minkovsky inequality in the integral form implies the following two estimates, that are valid when $f \in \mathcal{B}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{M}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus D)} &\leq \int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} \left[\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} |A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} \right]^{1/2} |h_{21}(\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2) f(\varsigma_2)| d\sigma_{\varsigma_2}, \\ \|\widehat{M}f\|_{L^2(\partial D)} &\leq \int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} \left[\int_{\partial D} |A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)|^2 |d\varsigma| \right]^{1/2} |h_{21}(\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2) f(\varsigma_2)| d\sigma_{\varsigma_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the norm of the operator $L^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus D) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{C} \setminus D)$ of multiplication by h_{21} can be estimated by a constant, the validity of (5.5) will follow from the estimates above if we show that the following relations hold as $z \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\sup_{\varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D} \int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} |A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sup_{\varsigma_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D} \int_{\partial D} |A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)|^2 |d\varsigma| \rightarrow 0.$$

We will prove only the first of them, since the second one can be proved similarly. Note that, uniformly in $\varsigma_2 \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} |A(z, \varsigma, \varsigma_2)|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} \leq \\ &\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} \left| \int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} h_{12}(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1) \left(\frac{1}{\varsigma_1 - \varsigma} + \frac{\beta(\varsigma)}{\varsigma} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1} + \frac{\beta(\varsigma_1)}{\varsigma_1} \right)} d\sigma_{\varsigma_1} \right|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} < C. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness follows from the fact that the internal integral is $O(\ln |\varsigma - \varsigma_2|)$, $|\varsigma - \varsigma_2| \rightarrow 0$. Let A^s be given by (5.6) with the extra factor $\eta_s := \eta(s|\varsigma - \varsigma_1|)\eta(s|\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2|)$, $s > 0$, in the integrand, where $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta = 1$ outside of a neighborhood of the origin, and η vanishes in a smaller neighborhood of the origin.

For each ε , there exists $s = s_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} |A - A^{s_0}|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} < \varepsilon$$

for all the values of $\varsigma_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by R^{s_0} the function A^{s_0} with the potential h_{12} replaced by its L_1 -approximation $\tilde{h}_{12} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus D)$. We can choose this approximation in such a way that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O} \setminus D} |A^{s_0} - R^{s_0}|^2 d\sigma_{\varsigma} < \varepsilon$$

for all the values of ς_2, z . Now it is enough to show that

$$|R^{s_0}(\varsigma, \varsigma_2, z)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $\varsigma, \varsigma_2 \in \mathcal{O}$. The latter can be obtained by integration by parts in $R^{s_0}(\varsigma, \varsigma_2, z)$, defined by integral (5.6) with h_{12} replaced by $(1 - \eta_s)\widetilde{h}_{12}(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1)$ (integrating $e^{i\Re(\varsigma_1 \bar{z})}$ and differentiating the complementary factor). This completes the proof of (5.4). $\square$

Acknowledgments. 1. The work of E. Lakshtanov was supported by Portuguese funds through the CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (“FCT–Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”) within project UID/MAT/0416/2019.

2. The work of B. Vainberg was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1714402 and the Simons Foundation grant 527180.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Beals and R.R. Coifman, *The spectral problem for the Davey- Stewartson and Ishimori hierarchies*, In Nonlinear evolution equations: Integrability and spectral methods, Manchester University Press, 1988, pp 15-23.
- [2] R. M. Brown, G. A. Uhlmann, *Uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem for nonsmooth conductivities in two dimensions*, Comm. in partial diff. equations, 22(5-6), (1997), 1009-1027.
- [3] R. M. Brown, *Estimates for the scattering map associated with a two-dimensional first-order system*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 11(6), (2001), 459-471.
- [4] C. Calcaterra, A. Boldt, A. *Approximating with Gaussians*, (2008), arXiv:0805.3795.
- [5] C. Calcaterra, *Linear Combinations of Gaussians with a single variance are dense in L_2* , Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering, Vol II, 2008.
- [6] H. Cornille, *Solutions of the generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equation in two spatial dimensions*. J. Math. Phys., 20 (1979), 199-209.
- [7] A. S. Fokas, L-Y. Sung, *On the solvability of the N-wave, Davey-Stewartson and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations*, Inverse problems 8.5 (1992), 673.
- [8] J. M. Ghidaglia, J. C. Saut, *On the initial value problem for the Davey-Stewartson systems*. Nonlinearity, 3(2), (1990), 475.
- [9] N. Hayashi, J. C. Saut, *Global existence of small solutions to the Davey-Stewartson and the Ishimori systems*, Differential and Integral Equations, 8(7), (1995), 1657-1675.
- [10] M. Korpurov, S. Mikhailenko, *Instantaneous blow-up of classical solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation*, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 57(7), (2017), 1167-1172.
- [11] C. Klein, J. C. Saut, *A numerical approach to blow-up issues for Davey-Stewartson II systems*, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 14(4), (2015).
- [12] E. Lakshtanov, R. Novikov, B. Vainberg, *A global Riemann-Hilbert problem for two-dimensional inverse scattering at fixed energy*, Rend. Inst. Mat. Univ. Trieste, 48, (2016), (Special issue in honor of G. Alessandrini’s 60th birthday), 1-26.
- [13] E. Lakshtanov, B. Vainberg, *On reconstruction of complex-valued once differentiable conductivities*, J. Spectr. Theory 6, 4 (Special issue in memory of Yuri Safarov), (2016), 881-902.
- [14] E. Lakshtanov, B. Vainberg, *Solution of the initial value problem for the focusing Davey-Stewartson II system*, Differential equations, mathematical physics, and applications. Selim Grigorievich Krein Centennial, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Vol. 734 (2019).

- [15] M. Music, *The nonlinear Fourier transform for two-dimensional subcritical potentials*, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 8(4), (2014), 1151-1167.
- [16] R. G. Novikov, *The Inverse Scattering Problem on a Fixed Energy Level for the Two-Dimensional Schrödinger Operator*, J. of Funct. Analysis, 103, (1992), 409-463.
- [17] T. Ozawa, Exact blow-up solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Davey-Stewartson systems, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 436 (1992), no. 1897, 345–349.
- [18] P. Perry, *Global Well-Posedness and Long-time Asymptotics for the Defocussing Davey-Stewartson II Equation in $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C})$* . With an appendix by Michael Christ., J. Spectr. Theory 6 (2016), no. 3, 429-481.
- [19] P. Perry, *Soliton Solutions and their (In) stability for the Focusing Davey-Stewartson II Equation*, (2016), arXiv:1611.00215.
- [20] L. Y. Sung, *An Inverse Scattering Transform for the Davey-Stewartson-II Equations, I*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 183(1), (1994), 121-154.
- [21] L. Y. Sung, *An Inverse Scattering Transform for the Davey-Stewartson II Equations II*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 183(2), (1994), 289-325.
- [22] L. Y. Sung, *An inverse scattering transform for the Davey-Stewartson II equations, III*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 183(3), (1994), 477-494.
- [23] Michael E. Taylor, Lectures. <http://www.unc.edu/math/Faculty/met/fred.pdf>
- [24] M. Zaidenberg, S. Krein, P. Kuchment, A. Pankov, *Banach bundles and linear operators*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 30(5), (1975), 115-175.

(E. Lakshtanov) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AVEIRO UNIVERSITY, AVEIRO 3810, PORTUGAL

Email address: `lakshtanov@ua.pt`

(B. Vainberg) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHARLOTTE, NC 28223, USA

Email address: `brvainbe@uncc.edu`