

SUITABLE WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR THE CO-ROTATIONAL BERIS-EDWARDS SYSTEM IN DIMENSION THREE

HENGRONG DU, XIANPENG HU, CHANGYOU WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the global existence of a suitable weak solution to the co-rotational Beris-Edwards Q -tensor system modeling the hydrodynamic motion of nematic liquid crystals with either Landau-De Gennes bulk potential in $\mathbb{R}^3$ or Ball-Majumdar bulk potential in $\mathbb{T}^3$, a system coupling the forced incompressible Navier-Stokes equation with a dissipative, parabolic system of Q -tensor Q in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is shown to be smooth away from a closed set Σ whose 1-dimensional parabolic Hausdorff measure is zero.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Global and local energy inequalities	8
3. Global existence of suitable weak solutions	11
3.1. The Landau-De Gennes potential $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$	11
3.2. The Ball-Majumdar potential $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$	18
4. Maximum principles	24
5. Partial regularity, Part I	26
6. Partial regularity, part II	41
References	49

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider in dimension three the so-called Beris-Edwards system ([4] and [10]) that describes the hydrodynamic motion of nematic liquid crystals, with either the Landau-De Gennes bulk potential function [8] or the Maier-Saupe (Ball-Majumdar) bulk potential function [3]. Roughly speaking, this is a system that couples a forced Navier-Stokes equation for the underlying fluid velocity field u with a dissipative parabolic system of Q -tensors modeling nematic liquid crystal orientation fields. We are interested in establishing the existence of certain global weak solutions for such a Beris-Edwards system that enjoys partial smoothness property, analogous to the celebrated works by Cafferalli-Kohn-Nirenberg [5] on the Navier-Stokes equation and Lin-Liu [25] and [26] on the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system modeling nematic liquid crystal flows with variable degree of orientations, which was proposed by Ericksen [12, 13] and Leslie [23] in 1960's.

We begin with the description of this system. Recall that the configuration space of Q -tensors is the set of traceless, symmetric 3×3 -matrices, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} = \left\{ Q \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : Q = Q^\top, \operatorname{tr} Q = 0 \right\}.$$

For technical reasons, we will consider the one constant approximate form of the Landau-De Gennes energy functional of Q -tensors, namely,

$$E(Q) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{L}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 + F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) \right) dx,$$

over the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$, where Ω is a three dimensional domain that is assumed to be either $\mathbb{R}^3$ or the torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ in this paper. Here $L > 0$ denotes the elasticity constant, and $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q)$ denotes the bulk potential function that usually describes the phase transition among various phase states including isotropic, uniaxial, or biaxial states. We refer interested readers to Mottram-Newton [30] and Sonnet-Virga [34] for a more detailed discussion of general Landau-De Gennes energy functionals involving multiple elasticity constants L_i 's. In this paper, we will consider two classes of bulk potential functions:

- (i) (Landau-De Gennes bulk potential [8]). Here $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$, and

$$F_{\text{LdG}}(Q) = \hat{F}_{\text{LdG}}(Q) - \min_{Q' \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}} \hat{F}_{\text{LdG}}(Q'), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\hat{F}_{\text{LdG}}(Q) = \frac{a}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q^2) - \frac{b}{3} \operatorname{tr}(Q^3) + \frac{c}{4} \operatorname{tr}^2(Q^2), \quad (1.2)$$

where $a, b, c > 0$ are temperature dependent material constants. It is a well known fact that if $0 < a < \frac{b^2}{27c}$, then $\hat{F}_{\text{LdG}}$ reaches its minimum at $Q = s_+(d \otimes d - \frac{1}{3}I_3)$, where $s_+ = \frac{b + \sqrt{b^2 - 24ac}}{4c}$ and $d \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a unit vector field.

- (ii) (Ball-Majumdar singular bulk potential [3]). Here $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ is a modified Maier-Saupe bulk potential introduced by Ball-Majumdar [3], which is defined as follows. $F_{\text{BM}}(Q) = \nu G_{\text{BM}}(Q) - \frac{\kappa}{2} |Q|^2$ for some $\nu > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, and

$$G_{\text{BM}}(Q) \equiv \begin{cases} \min_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_Q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \rho(p) \log \rho(p) d\sigma(p) & \text{if } -\frac{1}{3} < \lambda_j(Q) < \frac{2}{3}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where λ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, denotes the eigenvalues of $Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_Q \equiv & \left\{ 0 \leq \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^2) : \rho(p) = \rho(-p), \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \rho(p) d\sigma(p) = 1, \right. \\ & \left. \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (p \otimes p - \frac{1}{3}I_3) \rho(p) d\sigma(p) = Q \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It was proven by [3] that G_{BM} is strictly convex and smooth in the interior of the convex set

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} : -\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_i(Q) \leq \frac{2}{3}, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$

It is well-known that the first order variation of the Landau-De Gennes energy functional E is given by

$$H = L\Delta Q - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q), \quad f_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = \langle \nabla F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) \rangle = \nabla F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) - \frac{\text{tr}(\nabla F_{\text{bulk}}(Q))}{3} I_3. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, if $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$, then

$$f_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = \langle \nabla F_{\text{LdG}}(Q) \rangle = aQ - b\left[Q^2 - \frac{\text{tr}(Q^2)}{3} I_3\right] + cQ\text{tr}(Q^2).$$

For $0 < T \leq \infty$, denote $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T]$. Let $\mathbf{u} : Q_T \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the fluid velocity field and $Q : Q_T \mapsto \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ denote the director field. Define

$$S(\nabla \mathbf{u}, Q) = (\xi D + \omega)\left(Q + \frac{1}{3} I_3\right) + \left(Q + \frac{1}{3} I_3\right)(\xi D - \omega) - 2\xi\left(Q + \frac{1}{3} I_3\right)\text{tr}(Q\nabla \mathbf{u}),$$

where

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^\top) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\nabla \mathbf{u})^\top)$$

are the symmetric part and the antisymmetric part, respectively, of the velocity gradient tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a rotational parameter measuring the ratio between the aligning and tumbling effects to Q by the fluid velocity field.

The Beris-Edwards Q -tensor system modeling the hydrodynamic motion of nematic liquid crystals reads [16, 31]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q - S(\nabla \mathbf{u}, Q) = \Gamma H \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \text{div}(\tau + \sigma) \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\Gamma > 0$ is a relaxation time parameter, $\mu > 0$ is the fluid viscosity constant, and τ is the symmetric part of the additional stress tensor given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha\beta} = & -\xi\left(Q_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{\delta_{\alpha\gamma}}{3}\right)H_{\gamma\beta} - \xi H_{\alpha\gamma}\left(Q_{\gamma\beta} + \frac{\delta_{\gamma\beta}}{3}\right) \\ & + 2\xi\left(Q_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{3}\right)Q_{\gamma\delta}H_{\gamma\delta} - L\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3, \end{aligned}$$

and σ is the antisymmetric part of the additional stress tensor:

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = [Q, H]_{\alpha\beta} := Q_{\alpha\gamma}H_{\gamma\beta} - H_{\alpha\gamma}Q_{\gamma\beta}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3.$$

Since both $f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$ and $f_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ are isotropic functions of Q , we have

$$[Q, f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)] = 0$$

so that

$$\sigma = [Q, L\Delta Q - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)] = L[Q, \Delta Q].$$

In this paper, we will focus on the co-rotational Beris-Edwards system (1.5), i.e.,

$$\boxed{\xi = 0}$$

Since the exact values of L, Γ, μ don't play roles in our analysis, we will assume for sim-

$$\boxed{L = \Gamma = \mu = 1}$$



We will also assume the domain Ω to be

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{LdG}}(Q), \\ \mathbb{T}^3 & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{BM}}(Q). \end{cases}$$

With these assumptions and the following identity:

$$\partial_\beta(\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta} \partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta}) = \partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta} \Delta Q_{\gamma\delta} + \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 \right),$$

the system (1.5) reduces to the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q - [\omega, Q] = \Delta Q - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \Delta \mathbf{u} - \nabla Q \cdot \Delta Q + \text{div}[Q, \Delta Q], \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (1.6)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$(\mathbf{u}, Q)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0, Q_0)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \quad (1.7)$$

A key feature of the Beris-Edwards system (1.6) (or (1.5) in general) is the energy dissipation property, which plays a fundamental role in the analysis of (1.6). More precisely, if $(\mathbf{u}, Q) : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ is a sufficiently regular solution of (1.5), then it satisfies the following energy inequality [31, 32]:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(\mathbf{u}, Q)(t) = - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |H|^2)(x, t) dx \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{u}, Q)(t) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 + F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) \right)(x, t) dx \quad (1.9)$$

is the total energy of the complex fluid consisting of the elastic energy of the director field Q and the kinetic energy of the underlying fluid $\mathbf{u}$. While the right hand side of (1.8) denotes the dissipation rate of this system of complex fluid.

Some Notations. For $Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$, we use the Frobenius norm of Q , i.e.

$$|Q| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(Q^2)} = \sqrt{Q_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\alpha\beta}},$$

and the Sobolev spaces of Q -tensors, $W^{l,p}(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$), are defined by

$$W^{l,p}(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}) = \left\{ Q = (Q_{\alpha\beta}) : \Omega \mapsto \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} : Q_{\alpha\beta} \in W^{l,p}(\Omega), \forall 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3 \right\}.$$

When $p = 2$, we denote $W^{l,2}(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ by $H^l(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$. For $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, we denote

$$A : B = A_{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta}, \quad A \cdot B = \text{tr}(AB), \quad |\nabla Q|^2 = Q_{\alpha\beta, \gamma} Q_{\alpha\beta, \gamma}, \quad |\Delta Q|^2 = \Delta Q_{\alpha\beta} \Delta Q_{\alpha\beta},$$

and

$$(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{u}_\alpha \mathbf{u}_\beta, \quad (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta} \nabla_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}.$$

Note that $A : B = A \cdot B$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$. We also use $A_{\text{sym}}, A_{\text{anti}}$ to denote the symmetric and antisymmetric part of A respectively.

$$\mathbf{H} = \text{Closure of } \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) : \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \right\} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega),$$

and

$$\mathbf{V} = \text{Closure of } \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \right\} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$$

For $0 \leq k \leq 5$, $\mathcal{P}^k$ denotes the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with respect to the parabolic distance:

$$\delta((x, t), (y, s)) = \max \left\{ |x - y|, \sqrt{|t - s|} \right\}, \quad \forall (x, t), (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Now we would like to recall the definition of weak solutions of (1.6).

Definition 1.1. A pair of functions $(\mathbf{u}, Q) : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ is a weak solution of (1.6) and (1.7), if $\mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$ and $Q \in L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$, and for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty), \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^3)$, with $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ in $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times (0, \infty)} \left[-Q \cdot \partial_t \phi - \Delta Q \cdot \phi - Q \cdot \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi + [Q, \omega] \cdot \phi \right] dx dt \\ &= - \int_{\Omega \times (0, \infty)} f_{\text{bulk}}(Q) \cdot \phi dx dt + \int_{\Omega} Q_0(x) \cdot \phi(x, 0) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times (0, \infty)} \left[-\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \psi + \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla \psi \right] dx dt = \\ & \int_{\Omega \times (0, \infty)} \left[-\Delta Q(\psi \cdot \nabla) Q + [\Delta Q, Q] \cdot \nabla \psi \right] dx dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_0(x) \cdot \psi(x, 0) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Paicu-Zarnescu [31] have obtained the existence of global weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and the existence of global strong solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^2$, when the bulk potential function is $F_{\text{LDG}}(Q)$. Ding-Huang [9] have studied local strong solutions of (1.6). For non-corotational Beris-Edwards system (i.e. $\xi \neq 0$), Paicu-Zarnescu [32] have obtained the existence of global weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for sufficiently small $|\xi| > 0$. Later, Cavaterra-Rocca-Wu-Xu [6] have removed the smallness condition on ξ for (1.6) and (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Wilkinson [39] has obtained the existence of global weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in three dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^3$, when the bulk potential function is the Ball-Majumdar potential $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$. The situation of Beris-Edwards system (1.6) for the De Gennes potential $F_{\text{LDG}}(Q)$ on bounded domains, under the initial-boundary condition, behaves slightly different from that on $\mathbb{R}^3$. In fact, Abels-Dolzmann-Liu [1, 2] have established the well-posedness of (1.5) for any arbitrary constant ξ . See also [15] for related works on nonisothermal Beris-Edwards system. We also mention an interesting work on the dynamics of Q -tensor system by Wu-Xu-Zarnescu [40]. Interested readers can refer to Wang-Zhang-Zhang [38] for a rigorous derivation from Landau-De Gennes theory to Ericksen-Leslie theory. For related works on the existence of global weak solutions to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system, see [27, 28, 29, 19].

These previous works mentioned above left the question open that if certain weak solutions of (1.5) pose either smoothness or partial smoothness properties. This motivates us to study both the existence of suitable weak solutions of (1.6) and their partial regularities.

The notion of suitable weak solutions was first introduced by Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg [5] and Scheffer [33] for the Navier-Stokes equation, and later extended by Lin-Liu [25, 26] for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system with variable degree of orientations. Here we introduce the notion of suitable weak solutions to the Beris-Edwards system as follows.

Definition 1.2. A weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q) \in (L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1)(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega \times (0, \infty)) \times (L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2)(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ of (1.6) and (1.7) is a suitable weak solution of (1.6), if, in addition, $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ satisfies the local energy inequality: $\forall 0 \leq \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, t])$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \phi(x, t) dx + 2 \int_{Q_t} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \phi(x, s) dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{Q_t} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (\partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi)(x, s) dx ds \\
& + \int_{Q_t} [(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2P) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi + 2 \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi](x, s) dx ds \\
& + 2 \int_{Q_t} (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - |\nabla Q|^2 I_3) : \nabla^2 \phi(x, s) dx ds \\
& - 2 \int_{Q_t} [Q, \Delta Q] \cdot \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi(x, s) dx ds \\
& - 2 \int_{Q_t} [\omega, Q] \cdot (\nabla Q \nabla \phi) + \nabla(f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)) \cdot \nabla Q \phi(x, s) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

The notion of suitable weak solutions turns out to be a necessary condition for the smoothness of (1.6). In fact, the local energy inequality (1.12) automatically holds for sufficiently regular solution of (1.5), which can be obtained by multiplying (1.5)₂ by $\mathbf{u}\phi$, and taking spatial derivative of (1.5)₁ and multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla Q\phi$, and then applying integration by parts, see Lemma 2.2 below for the details. We would like to point out that in the process of derivation of (1.12), the following cancellation identity:

$$\int_{\Omega} [Q, \omega] : \Delta Q \phi dx = - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q] : \nabla \mathbf{u} \phi dx \tag{1.13}$$

play critical roles.

Now we are ready to state our main theorem, which is valid for the Beris-Edwards system associate with both the Landau-De Gennes bulk potential $F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and Ball-Majumdar bulk potential $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ in $\mathbb{T}^3$. We would like to point out that, due to the technique involving a $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ estimate for the advection-diffusion equation on compact manifolds, we choose to work on the domain $\mathbb{T}^3$, instead of $\mathbb{R}^3$, for the Ball-Majumdar potential F_{BM} .

More precisely, we have

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{H}$, if either*

(i) $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, $F_{\text{bulk}}(\cdot) = F_{\text{LdG}}(\cdot)$ with $c > 0$, and $Q_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$, or

(ii) $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$, $F_{\text{bulk}}(\cdot) = F_{\text{BM}}(\cdot)$, and $Q_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ satisfies $G_{\text{bulk}}(Q_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$,

then there exists a global suitable weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ of the

Beris-Edwards system (1.6), subject to the initial condition (1.7). Moreover,

$$(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty) \setminus \Sigma),$$

where $\Sigma \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is a closed subset with $\mathcal{P}^1(\Sigma) = 0$.

We would like to highlight some crucial steps of the proof for Theorem 1.1:

- (1) The existence of suitable weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) is obtained by modifying the retarded mollification technique, originally due to [33] and [5] in the construction of suitable weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation.
- (2) For the Landau-De Gennes potential $F_{\text{LDG}}(Q)$, we establish a weak maximum principle of Q for suitable weak solutions $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ of (1.6) and (1.7) that bounds the L^∞ -norm of Q in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ in terms of that of initial data Q_0 , see also [16]. In particular, $\nabla_Q^l f_{\text{LDG}}(Q)$ is also bounded in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ for $l \geq 0$.
- (3) For the Ball-Majumdar potential $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$, we follow the approximation scheme of G_{BM} by Wilkinson [39] and use the convexity property of $G_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ to bound

$$\|G_{\text{BM}}(Q)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T])}, \quad \forall 0 < \delta < T < \infty,$$

in terms of $\|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, δ , and T . This guarantees that Q is strictly physical in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T]$, i.e., there exists a small $\gamma > 0$, depending on δ, T , such that

$$-\frac{1}{3} + \gamma \leq \lambda_j(Q(x, t)) \leq \frac{2}{3} - \gamma, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T].$$

In particular, both $Q(x, t)$ and $f_{\text{BM}}(Q(x, t))$ are bounded in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T]$ for $0 < \delta < T$.

- (4) Based on the local energy inequality (1.12), (2), and (3), we perform a blowing up argument to obtain an ε_0 -regularity criteria of any suitable weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ of (1.6), which asserts that if

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z_0, r) := \\ r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(x_0, t_0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(x_0, t_0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \leq \varepsilon_0^3, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

then $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ is a smooth point of $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$. The idea is to show that $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ is well approximated by a smooth solution to a linear coupling system in the parabolic neighborhood $\mathbb{P}_r(x_0, t_0)$ of (x_0, t_0) , which heavily relies on the local energy inequality (1.12) and interior $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ -estimate of the pressure function P , which turns out to solve the following Poisson equation:

$$-\Delta P = \text{div}^2(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q|^2 I_3)) \text{ in } B_r(x_0). \quad (1.15)$$

Here the following simple identity plays a crucial role in the derivation of (1.15):

$$\text{div}^2[Q_1, \Delta Q_2 - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_2)] = 0 \text{ in } B_r(x_0), \quad (1.16)$$

for $Q_1, Q_2 \in H^2(B_r(x_0), \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$. See §2 for its proof.

This blowing up argument implies that for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\Phi_{(x_*, t_*)}(r) \leq Cr^{3\theta}$ for (x_*, t_*) near (x_0, t_0) , which can be used to further show that $(\mathbf{u}, \nabla Q)$ are almost bounded near (x_0, t_0) by an iterated **Reisz** **Riesz** potential estimates in the parabolic Morrey spaces, see also Huang-Wang [20], Hineman-Wang [18], and

Huang-Lin-Wang [19]. Higher order regularity of $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ near (x_0, t_0) turns out to be more involved than the usual situations, due to the special nonlinearities. Here we establish it by performing higher order energy estimates and utilizing the intrinsic cancellation property, see also [19] for a similar argument on general Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two. It is well-known [33] that this step is sufficient to show that $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ is smooth away from a closed set Σ which has $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Sigma) = 0$.

- (5) To obtain $\mathcal{P}^1(\Sigma) = 0$ from the previous step, we adapt the argument by [5] to show that if

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(x_0, t_0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dxdt < \varepsilon_1^2, \quad (1.17)$$

then $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0, t_0))$. This will be established by extending the so called A, B, C, D Lemmas in [5] to system (1.6).

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we derive both the global and local energy inequality for sufficiently regular solutions of (1.6). In §3, we indicate the construction of suitable weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) for both Landau-De Gennes potential and Ball-Majumdar potential. In §4, we prove two weak maximum principles for suitable weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7): one for Q and the other for $G_{\text{BM}}(Q)$. In §5, we prove the first ε_0 -regularity of suitable weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in terms of $\Phi(z_0, r)$. In §6, we will prove the second ε_0 -regularity of suitable weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.7) in terms of (1.17).

2. GLOBAL AND LOCAL ENERGY INEQUALITIES

In this section, we will present proofs for both global energy inequality and local energy inequality for sufficiently regular solutions to the Beris-Edwards system (1.6).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ be a smooth solution of Beris-Edwards system (1.6). Then the global energy inequality (1.8) holds.*

Proof. The proof is standard, see for instance [31, 39]. □

Next we are going to present a local energy inequality for sufficiently regular solutions to the system (1.6).

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ is a smooth solution of (1.6). Then for $t > 0$ and any nonnegative $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, t])$, the following inequality*

holds on $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \phi(x, t) dx + 2 \int_{Q_t} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \phi dx ds \\
&= \int_{Q_t} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (\partial_t + \Delta) \phi dx ds \\
&+ \int_{Q_t} [(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2P) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi + 2(\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q) : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi] dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_{Q_t} [(\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - |\nabla Q|^2 I_3) : \nabla^2 \phi] dx ds \\
&- 2 \int_{Q_t} [Q, \Delta Q] : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi dx ds \\
&- 2 \int_{Q_t} ([\omega, Q] : (\nabla Q \nabla \phi) + \nabla(f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)) \cdot \nabla Q \phi) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Using $\text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$, multiplying the momentum equation (1.6)₂ by $\mathbf{u} \phi$, integrating the resulting equation over Ω , and applying integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \phi dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 (\partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2P) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \Delta Q \phi dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q] : \nabla \mathbf{u} \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q] : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Taking a spatial derivative of the equation of Q (1.6)₁ yields

$$\partial_t \partial_{\alpha} Q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{\alpha} Q + \partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q + \partial_{\alpha} [Q, \omega] = \Delta \partial_{\alpha} Q - \partial_{\alpha} (f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)).$$

Using again $\text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$, multiplying the equation above by $\partial_{\alpha} Q \phi$, integrating the resulting equation over Ω , and applying integration by parts, and sum over α , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta Q|^2 \phi dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 \partial_t \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot (\Delta Q \phi + \nabla Q \nabla \phi) dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} [\omega, Q] : (\Delta Q \phi + \nabla Q \nabla \phi) dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} \Delta Q \cdot \nabla Q \nabla \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)) \cdot \nabla Q \phi dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By direct calculations, there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\Omega} \Delta Q \cdot \nabla Q \nabla \phi dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 \Delta \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - |\nabla Q|^2 I_3) : \nabla^2 \phi dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} [\omega, Q] : \Delta Q \phi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q] : \nabla \mathbf{u} \phi \, dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, by adding (2.2) and (2.3) together and applying (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \phi \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (\partial_t + \Delta) \phi \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + 2P) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \nabla Q \nabla \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q] : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi \, dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} [\omega, Q] : \nabla Q \nabla \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)) \cdot \nabla Q \phi \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - |\nabla Q|^2 I_3) : \nabla^2 \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, after integrating over $[0, t]$, yields the local energy inequality (2.1). $\square$

We close this section by giving a proof of the identity (1.16). More precisely, we have

Lemma 2.3. *For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ or $\mathbb{T}^3$, if $Q^1, Q^2 \in H^2(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$, then*

$$\text{div}^2[Q^1, \Delta Q^2 - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q^2)] = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.6)$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} \text{div}^2[Q^1, \Delta Q^2 - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q^2)](\phi) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} [Q^1, \Delta Q^2 - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q^2)]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \, dx.$$

Set

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = [Q^1, \Delta Q^2 - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q^2)]_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \forall 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3,$$

and

$$B_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}, \quad \forall 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3.$$

Since Q^1 and Q^2 are symmetric, it is easy to check that

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = -A_{\beta\alpha}, \quad B_{\alpha\beta} = B_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \forall 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3.$$

We recall the following matrix contraction:

$$A : B = A_{\text{sym}} : B_{\text{sym}} + A_{\text{anti}} : B_{\text{anti}}.$$

Hence (2.6) follows. $\square$

3. GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SUITABLE WEAK SOLUTIONS

This section is devoted to the construction of suitable weak solutions to the Beris-Edwards system (1.6). The idea is motivated by the “retarded mollification technique” originally due to [33] and [5] in the context of Navier-Stokes equations. Since the procedure for Ball-Majumdar potential $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ is somewhat different from that for Landau-De Gennes potential $F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$, we will describe them in two separate subsections.

We explain the construction of suitable weak solutions in the spirit of [5]. For $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, define the “retarded mollifier” $\Psi_\theta(f)$ of f by

$$\Psi_\theta[f](x, t) = \frac{1}{\theta^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta\left(\frac{y}{\theta}, \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right) \tilde{f}(x - y, t - \tau) dy d\tau,$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x, t) & t \geq 0, \\ 0 & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

and the mollifying function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \eta \geq 0 & \text{and} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta dx dt = 1, \\ \text{supp } \eta \subset \{(x, t) : |x|^2 < t, \quad 1 < t < 2\}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma A.8 in [5] that for $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < T \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div} \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}] &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}]|^2(x, t) dx &\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}|^2(x, t) dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]} |\nabla \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}]|^2(x, t) dx dt &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed to find the existence of suitable weak solutions of (1.6) and (1.7) as follows.

3.1. The Landau-De Gennes potential $F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$. With the mollifier $\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$, we introduce an approximate version of the Beris-Edwards system (1.6), namely,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q^\theta + \mathbf{u}^\theta \cdot \nabla \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta] - [\omega^\theta, \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]] = \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\theta + \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta + \nabla P^\theta \\ = \Delta \mathbf{u}^\theta - \nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)) \\ \quad + \text{div}[\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)], \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u}^\theta = 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{in } Q_T \quad (3.2)$$

subject to the initial condition (1.7). Here $\omega^\theta = \omega(\mathbf{u}^\theta) = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta - (\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta)^\top}{2}$.

The idea behind the construction of suitable weak solutions to (3.2) is as follows. For a large $N \geq 1$, set $\theta = \frac{T}{N} \in (0, 1]$, we want to find $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^\theta$, $P = P^\theta$, and $Q = Q^\theta$ solving (3.2) and (1.7). Since $\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}]$ and $\Psi_\theta[Q]$ are smooth, and their values at time t depend only

on the values of $\mathbf{u}$ and Q at times prior to $t - \theta$, solving (3.2) and (1.7) involves iteratively solving (3.2) in the interval $[m\theta, (m+1)\theta]$, subject to the initial condition

$$(\mathbf{u}, Q)|_{t=m\theta} = (u^\theta, Q^\theta)(\cdot, m\theta) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

for $0 \leq m \leq N-1$. This amounts to solving a system that couples a semi-linear parabolic-like equation for Q and a Stokes-like equation for $\mathbf{u}$, in which all the coefficient functions are given smooth functions.

We can verify, by the classical Faedo-Garlekin method, the existence of $(\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta, P^\theta)$ inductively on each time interval $(m\theta, (m+1)\theta)$ for all $0 \leq m \leq N-1$. Indeed for $m=0$, according to the definition of Ψ_θ , $\Psi_\theta(\mathbf{u}^\theta) = \Psi_\theta(Q^\theta) = 0$, and the system (3.2) reduces to a linear system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q^\theta = \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\theta + \nabla P^\theta = \Delta \mathbf{u}^\theta \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^\theta = 0 \\ (\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0, Q_0) \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \theta]$. For the system (3.3), Q^θ and $\mathbf{u}^\theta$ are decouple, and $\mathbf{u}^\theta$ can be found according to the standard theory of Stokes equations, while the equation of Q^θ is a semi-linear parabolic equation which can be solved by the standard method for parabolic equations.

Suppose now that the system (3.2) has been solved for some $0 \leq k < N-1$. We are going to solve the system (3.2)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q_{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta} - [\omega, \tilde{Q}]_{\alpha\beta} = \Delta Q_{\alpha\beta} - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)_{\alpha\beta} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\alpha + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha + \partial_\alpha P = \Delta \mathbf{u}_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \tilde{Q}_{\beta\gamma} (\Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q))_{\beta\gamma} \\ \quad + \partial_\beta [\tilde{Q}, \Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)]_{\alpha\beta} \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

in the time interval $[k\theta, (k+1)\theta]$ with the initial data

$$(\mathbf{u}, Q)|_{t=k\theta} = (\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta)(\cdot, k\theta) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\tilde{Q} = \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta].$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ are smooth functions in $[k\theta, (k+1)\theta] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

The existence of $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ in (3.4) may be solved by using the Faedo-Galerkin method. Indeed for a pair of smooth test functions $(\psi, \phi) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}) \times \mathbf{V}$, the system (3.4) turns to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla Q, \nabla \psi) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{Q}, \Delta \psi) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ([-\omega, \tilde{Q}]_{\alpha\beta}, \Delta \psi_{\alpha\beta}) dx \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta Q_{\alpha\beta} - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)_{\alpha\beta}, \Delta \psi_{\alpha\beta}) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u}, \phi) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \phi) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \phi) dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\partial_\alpha \tilde{Q}_{\beta\gamma} (\Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q))_{\beta\gamma}, \phi_\alpha \right) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left([\tilde{Q}, \Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)]_{\alpha\beta}, \partial_\beta \phi_\alpha \right) dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

in the sense of distributions. The system of first order ODE equations (3.6)-(3.7) can be solved when the test function (ψ, ϕ) are taken to be the basis of $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}) \times \mathbf{V}$ up to a short time interval $[k\theta, k\theta + T_0]$. Performing the energy estimate for (3.4) as for the original system, we get that for $k\theta \leq t \leq k\theta + T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \geq k\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \right) dx + \int_{k\theta}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)|^2 \right) dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \right) (x, k\theta) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence T_0 can be extended up to θ .

Let $(\mathbf{u}^\theta, P^\theta, Q^\theta)$ be the global weak solution of (3.2) and (1.7) in Q_T . Then

$$\mathbf{u}^\theta \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T), \quad Q^\theta \in L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2(Q_T), \quad P^\theta \in L^2(Q_T).$$

Observe that

$$[\omega^\theta, \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]] : (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)) := -[\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)] : \nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta.$$

Hence, by calculations similar to Lemma 2.1, we deduce that $(\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta)$ satisfies the global energy inequality: for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta)(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq E(\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q_0) \right) (x, t) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta Q^\theta \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) dx \\
&= -a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 dx - c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla Q^\theta|^2 |Q^\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \text{tr}((Q^\theta)^2)|^2) dx \\
&\quad + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla((Q^\theta)^2 - \frac{\text{tr}((Q^\theta)^2)}{3} I_3) \cdot \nabla Q^\theta dx \\
&\leq -\frac{c}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla Q^\theta|^2 |Q^\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \text{tr}((Q^\theta)^2)|^2) dx + C(a, b, c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This, combined with the assumption $c > 0$ and estimate (3.8), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))(x, t) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q^\theta|^2) dx \\ & + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla Q^\theta|^2 |Q^\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \text{tr}((Q^\theta)^2)|^2) dx \\ & \leq C(a, b, c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore we deduce from (3.9) and Gronwall's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))(x, t) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q^\theta|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C(a, b, c, T) (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

From (1.1), we know that there exists a $M_0 > 0$, depending on a, b, c , such that

$$F_{\text{LdG}}(Q) \geq \frac{c}{2} |Q|^4, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} \text{ with } |Q| \geq M_0.$$

This, combined with (3.10) and $F_{\text{LdG}}(Q) \geq 0$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: |Q^\theta(x, t)| \geq M_0\}} |Q^\theta(x, t)|^4 dx \\ & \leq \frac{2}{c} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)(x, t) dx \\ & \leq C(a, b, c, T) (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.11), we can conclude that for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_K |Q^\theta(x, t)|^4 dx \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \int_{\{x \in K: |Q^\theta(x, t)| \leq M_0\}} |Q^\theta(x, t)|^4 dx + \int_{\{x \in K: |Q^\theta(x, t)| > M_0\}} |Q^\theta(x, t)|^4 dx \right\} \\ & \leq |K| M_0^4 + C(a, b, c, T) (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.10) and (3.12), we have that $\mathbf{u}^\theta$ is uniformly bounded in $L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$, Q^θ is uniformly bounded in $L_t^2 H_x^2(K \times [0, T])$ for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and ∇Q^θ is uniformly bounded in $L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$. Therefore, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ (or equivalently $N \rightarrow \infty$), there exist $\mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$, $Q \in \cap_{R>0} L_t^\infty L_x^4(B_R \times [0, T])$, with $\nabla Q \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$, such that

$$\begin{cases} Q^\theta \rightharpoonup Q & \text{in } L^2([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \nabla Q^\theta \rightharpoonup \nabla Q & \text{in } L^2([0, T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \mathbf{u}^\theta \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} & \text{in } L^2([0, T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)). \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Hence by the lower semicontinuity and (3.8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{u}, Q)(t) &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)|^2) dx dt \\ &\leq E(\mathbf{u}, Q)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{LdG}}(Q_0) \right)(x, t) dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

holds for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Now we want to estimate the pressure function P^θ . Taking divergence of (3.2)₂ gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta P^\theta &= \operatorname{div}^2(\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \otimes \mathbf{u}^\theta) + \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{div}^2([\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)]) \\ &= \operatorname{div}^2(\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \otimes \mathbf{u}^\theta) + \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Here we have used in the last step the fact that

$$\operatorname{div}^2[\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)] = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

which follows from (1.16).

For P^θ , we claim that P^θ in $L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$ and

$$\|P^\theta\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \leq C(a, b, c, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1). \quad (3.16)$$

To see this, first observe that (3.10) implies $\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$. Hence by the Sobolev interpolation inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta])\|_{L_t^{10} L_x^{\frac{30}{13}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} &\leq C \|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta])\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \\ &\leq C(a, b, c, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))\|_{L_t^{\frac{5}{3}} L_x^{\frac{15}{14}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \\ &\leq \|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta])\|_{L_t^{10} L_x^{\frac{30}{13}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \|\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \\ &\leq C(a, b, c, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By Calderon-Zygmund's L^p -estimate [35] [14], we conclude that $P^\theta \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P^\theta\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C \left[\|\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \otimes \mathbf{u}^\theta\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} + \|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))\|_{L_t^{\frac{5}{3}} L_x^{\frac{15}{14}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[\|\mathbf{u}^\theta\|_{L^{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])}^2 + \|\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta))\|_{L_t^{\frac{5}{3}} L_x^{\frac{15}{14}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])} \right] \\ &\leq C(a, b, c, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.16) that we may assume that there exists $P \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$ such that

$$P^\theta \rightharpoonup P \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]). \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.2)₂ and the bounds (3.10) and (3.11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\theta &= -\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta - \nabla P^\theta + \Delta \mathbf{u}^\theta - \nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot (\Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}([\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)]) \\ &\in L^{\frac{5}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]) + L^{\frac{5}{3}}([0, T], W^{-1, \frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) + \bigcap_{R>0} L^2([0, T], W^{-1, \frac{4}{3}}(B_R)), \end{aligned}$$

and for any $0 < R < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\theta \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]) + L^{\frac{5}{3}}([0, T], W^{-1, \frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) + L^2([0, T], W^{-1, \frac{4}{3}}(B_R))} \\ \leq C(a, b, c, R, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Similarly, it follows from (3.2)₁ and the bounds (3.10) and (3.11) that $\partial_t Q^\theta \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]) + \bigcap_{R>0} L^2([0, T], L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_R))$, and

$$\left\| \partial_t Q^\theta \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]) + L^2([0, T], L^{\frac{4}{3}}(B_R))} \leq C(a, b, c, R, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}), \quad (3.20)$$

for all $0 < R < \infty$ and $\theta \in (0, 1]$.

By (3.10), (3.11), (3.19), and (3.20), we can apply Aubin-Lions' compactness Lemma ([36]) to conclude that for any $0 < R < \infty$,

$$(\mathbf{u}^\theta, Q^\theta, \nabla Q^\theta) \rightarrow (\mathbf{u}, Q, \nabla Q) \quad \text{in } L^3(B_R \times [0, T]), \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand, it follows from $F_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ and (3.10) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla Q^\theta|^2(x, t) dx \leq C(a, b, c, T, \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}).$$

Hence by (3.21) we also have that for any $1 < p_1 < 6$ and $1 < p_2 < \frac{10}{3}$,

$$Q^\theta \rightarrow Q \text{ in } L^{p_1}(B_R \times [0, T]); \quad \mathbf{u}^\theta \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ in } L^{p_2}(B_R \times [0, T]) \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.22)$$

With the convergences (3.13), (3.18), and (3.21), it is not hard to show that the limit $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ is a weak solution of (1.6) and (1.7), i.e., it satisfies the system (1.6) and (1.7) in the sense of distributions (see also [31] Proposition 3). We leave the details to interested readers, besides pointing out that in the sense of distributions, as $\theta \rightarrow 0$,

$$\nabla P^\theta - \nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \rightarrow \nabla P - \nabla Q \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q) = \nabla(P - F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)).$$

To show that $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ is a suitable weak solution of (1.6), observe that, as in Lemma 2.2, we can test equations of $\mathbf{u}^\theta$ in (3.2) by $\mathbf{u}^\theta \phi$, and taking a spatial derivative of the equation of Q^θ in (3.2) and then testing it by $\nabla Q^\theta \phi$ for any nonnegative $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t])$, to

obtain the following local energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2) \phi(x, t) dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q^\theta|^2) \phi dx ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[(|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2) (\partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi) + 2 \nabla \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta] \otimes \nabla Q^\theta : \mathbf{u}^\theta \otimes \nabla \phi \right] dx ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^\theta] \cdot \nabla \phi + 2 P^\theta \mathbf{u}^\theta \cdot \nabla \phi + 2 \nabla (\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \mathbf{u}^\theta \phi) dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ([\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)]) : \nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta \phi dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla Q^\theta \otimes \nabla Q^\theta - |\nabla Q^\theta|^2 I_3) : \nabla^2 \phi dx ds \\
&- 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ([\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta], \Delta Q^\theta - f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)]) : \mathbf{u}^\theta \otimes \nabla \phi dx ds \\
&- 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\omega^\theta, \Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]] : \nabla Q^\theta \nabla \phi dx ds \\
&- 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta)) \cdot \nabla Q^\theta \phi dx ds. \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit in (3.23) as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, we see by the lower semicontinuity that it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \phi(x, t) dx + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \phi dx ds \\
&\leq \liminf_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\nabla Q^\theta|^2) \phi(x, t) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\theta|^2 + |\Delta Q^\theta|^2) \phi dx ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

While it follows from (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \text{Right hand side of (3.23)} \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (\partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi) dx dt \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 + 2(P - F_{\text{LdG}}(Q))) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi + 2 \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - |\nabla Q|^2 I_3] : \nabla^2 \phi dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [Q, \Delta Q] : \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla \phi dx ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\omega Q - Q \omega) : \nabla Q \nabla \phi dx ds - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (f_{\text{LdG}}(Q)) \cdot \nabla Q \phi dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the following convergence result

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^\theta]) \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q^\theta) \mathbf{u}^\theta \phi \, dx ds &\rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla Q \cdot f_{\text{LdG}}(Q) \mathbf{u} \phi \, dx ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(F_{\text{LdG}}(Q)) \mathbf{u} \phi \, dx ds \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\text{LdG}}(Q) \mathbf{u} \nabla \phi \, dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Putting these together yields the desired local energy inequality (1.12) for $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$. This completes the proof of the existence of suitable weak solution in the first case. $\square$

In the next subsection, we will indicate how to construct a suitable weak solution of (3.2) for the Ball-Majumdar potential function.

3.2. The Ball-Majumdar potential $\boxed{F_{\text{bulk}}(Q) = F_{\text{BM}}(Q) \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{T}^3}$. Since G_{BM} , given by (1.3), is singular outside the physical domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} : -\frac{1}{3} < \lambda_i(Q) < \frac{2}{3}, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\},$$

we need to regularize it. For this part, we follow the scheme by Wilkinson [39] (Section 3) very closely. First we regularize it by using the Yosida-Moreau regularization of convex analysis [37] [11]: For $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define

$$\tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}} \left\{ m|A - Q|^2 + G_{\text{BM}}(A) \right\}, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}.$$

Then smoothly mollify $\tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m$ through the standard mollifications:

$$G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) := \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}} \tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m(Q - R) \Phi_m(R) \, dR,$$

where $\Phi_m(R) = m^5 \Phi(mR)$, and $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ is nonnegative and satisfies

$$\text{supp } \Phi \subset \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} : |Q| < 1 \right\}, \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}} \Phi(R) \, dR = 1.$$

As in [39] Proposition 3.1, G_{BM}^m satisfies the following properties:

- (G0) G_{BM}^m is an isotropic function of Q .
- (G1) $G_{\text{BM}}^m \in C^\infty(\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ is convex on $\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$.
- (G2) There exists a constant $g_0 > 0$, independent of m , such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \geq -g_0$ holds for all $Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$.
- (G3) $G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \leq G_{\text{BM}}^{m+1}(Q) \leq G_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ on $\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ for all $m \geq 1$.
- (G4) $G_{\text{BM}}^m \rightarrow G_{\text{BM}}$ and $\nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m \rightarrow \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathcal{D})$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (G5) There exist $\alpha(m), \beta(m), \gamma(m) > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(m)|Q| - \beta(m) \leq |\langle \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \rangle| \leq \gamma(m)(1 + |Q|), \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}.$$

(G6) For $k \geq 2$, there exists $C(m, k) > 0$ such that

$$|\langle \nabla_Q^k G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \rangle| \leq C(m, k)(1 + |Q|^2), \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}.$$

For our purpose in this paper, we also need the following estimate on G_{BM}^m .

Lemma 3.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, G_{BM}^m satisfies*

$$G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \geq \frac{m}{4}|Q|^2 - g_0, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} \text{ with } |Q| \geq 11, \quad (3.25)$$

where $g_0 > 0$ is the same constant given by (G2).

Proof. Since $G_{\text{BM}}(Q) = \infty$ for $Q \notin \mathcal{D}$, it follows from the definition of $\tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m$ and (G2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) &= \inf_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \left\{ m|A - Q|^2 + G_{\text{BM}}(A) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \left\{ m|A - Q|^2 \right\} - g_0 \\ &= m \text{dist}^2(Q, \overline{\mathcal{D}}) - g_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ with $|Q| \geq 10$, we have

$$\tilde{G}_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \geq m(|Q| - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})^2 - g_0 \geq m(\frac{|Q|}{\sqrt{2}})^2 - g_0 = \frac{m}{2}|Q|^2 - g_0.$$

It is not hard to see that this estimate, along with the definition of G_{BM}^m , yields (3.25). The proof is now complete. $\square$

Now we set

$$F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) = \star G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) - \frac{\kappa}{2}|Q|^2, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)},$$

and

$$f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) = \star \langle \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) \rangle - \kappa Q, \quad \forall Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}.$$

Observe that the convexity of G_{BM}^m on $\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ yields that

$$\text{tr} \nabla_Q f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) (\nabla Q, \nabla Q) = \text{tr} \nabla_Q^2 F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q) (\nabla Q, \nabla Q) \geq -\kappa |\nabla Q|^2, \quad (3.26)$$

for all $Q \in H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$.

Note that if we view a function on $\mathbb{T}^3$ as a $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -periodic function on $\mathbb{R}^3$, then the “re-tarded” mollification procedure given in the previous subsection can be directly performed on functions defined in $\mathbb{T}^3$.

Similar to the subsection 3.1, we can introduce an approximate system of (3.2) for the Ball-Majumdar potential as follows. For $T > 0$ and a fixed large $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $\theta = \frac{T}{N} \in (0, 1]$. Then we seek $(\mathbf{u}^{\theta, m}, P^{\theta, m}, Q^{\theta, m})$ that solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q^{\theta, m} + \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} \cdot \nabla \Psi_\theta[Q^{\theta, m}] - [\omega^{\theta, m}, \Psi_\theta[Q^{\theta, m}]] \\ = \Delta Q^{\theta, m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta, m}), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} + \Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^{\theta, m}] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} + \nabla P^{\theta, m} \\ = \Delta \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} - \nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^{\theta, m}]) \cdot (\Delta Q^{\theta, m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta, m})) \\ + \text{div}([\Psi_\theta[Q^{\theta, m}], \Delta Q^{\theta, m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta, m})]), \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, subject to the initial condition (1.7). Here $\omega^{\theta, m} = \omega(\mathbf{u}^{\theta, m}) = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m} - (\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\theta, m})^\top}{2}$.

Since the system (3.27) is simply the system (3.2) with f_{LDG} replaced by f_{BM}^m , we can argue as in the subsection 3.1 to find a global weak solution $(\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}, P^{\theta,m}, Q^{\theta,m})$ of (3.27) and (1.7) in $Q_T = \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T), \quad Q^{\theta,m} \in L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2(Q_T), \quad P^{\theta,m} \in L^2(Q_T).$$

Moreover, by calculations similar to Lemma 2.1, we deduce that $(\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}, Q^{\theta,m})$ satisfies the global energy inequality: for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}, Q^{\theta,m})(t) &+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}|^2 + |\Delta Q^{\theta,m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2) dx dt \\ &= E(\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}, Q^{\theta,m})(0) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0) \right) (x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

It follows from (3.28) and (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} |\Delta Q^{\theta,m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2 dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} (|\Delta Q^{\theta,m}|^2 + |f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2 - 2\Delta Q^{\theta,m} \cdot f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})) dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} (|\Delta Q^{\theta,m}|^2 + |f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2 + 2\text{tr} \nabla_Q f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(\nabla Q^{\theta,m}, \nabla Q^{\theta,m})) dx dt \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} (|\Delta Q^{\theta,m}|^2 + |f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2 - \kappa |\nabla Q^{\theta,m}|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3.28) and applying Gronwall's inequality, we obtain that for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}, Q^{\theta,m})(t) + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}|^2 + |\Delta Q^{\theta,m}|^2 + |f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})|^2) dx dt \\ &\leq e^{CT} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0) \right) (x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

It follows from (3.28) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x, t) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0) \right) (x) dx.$$

This, combined with (G2) and (3.25), implies that there exists a sufficiently large $m_0 = m_0(\textcolor{red}{\nu}, \kappa, g_0) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $m \geq m_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{m\textcolor{red}{\nu}}{8} - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \geq 11\}} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2(x,t) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \geq 11\}} \left[\textcolor{red}{\nu} \left(\frac{m}{4} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2 - g_0\right) - \frac{\kappa}{2} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2\right](x,t) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \geq 11\}} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x,t) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x,t) dx - \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \leq 11\}} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x,t) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x,t) dx \\
& \quad - \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \leq 11\}} \left[\textcolor{red}{\nu} (G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m}) + g_0) - \frac{\kappa}{2} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2 - \textcolor{red}{\nu} g_0\right](x,t) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m})(x,t) dx + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{T}^3: |Q^{\theta,m}(x,t)| \leq 11\}} (\textcolor{red}{\nu} g_0 + \frac{\kappa}{2} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2(x,t)) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\right)(x) dx + (\textcolor{red}{\nu} g_0 + \frac{121\kappa}{2}) |\mathbb{T}^3|
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any $0 \leq t \leq T$. Therefore we conclude that for $m \geq m_0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |Q^{\theta,m}|^2(x,t) dx \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \textcolor{red}{\nu}, g_0, \kappa \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

As in subsection 3.1, the pressure function $P^{\theta,m}$ solves

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta P^{\theta,m} \\
& = \operatorname{div}^2(\Psi_\theta[\mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}] \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m}) + \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\Psi_\theta[Q^{\theta,m}]) \cdot (\Delta Q^{\theta,m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m}))) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

We can apply the same argument as in the previous subsection to conclude that $P^{\theta,m} \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$, and

$$\|P^{\theta,m}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \textcolor{red}{\nu}, g_0, \kappa \right). \tag{3.32}$$

With estimates (3.32) and (3.29), we can utilize the system (3.27) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m} \right\|_{L^2([0, T], W^{-1,4}(\mathbb{T}^3))} \\
& \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \textcolor{red}{\nu}, g_0, \kappa \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

$$\left\| \partial_t Q^{\theta,m} \right\|_{L^2([0, T], L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \textcolor{red}{\nu}, g_0, \kappa \right), \tag{3.34}$$

uniformly for $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $m \geq m_0$.

For each fixed $m \geq m_0$, we can assume without loss of generality that there exists

$$(\mathbf{u}^m, P^m, Q^m) \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T) \times L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T) \times L_t^\infty H_x^1(Q_T)$$

such that as $\theta \rightarrow 0$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}^m & \text{in } L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T), \\ \mathbf{u}^{\theta,m} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}^m & \text{in } L^p(Q_T) \quad \forall 1 < p < \frac{10}{3}, \\ P^{\theta,m} \rightharpoonup P^m & \text{in } L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T), \\ Q^{\theta,m} \rightharpoonup Q^m & \text{in } L_t^2 H_x^2(Q_T), \\ Q^{\theta,m} \rightarrow Q^m & \text{in } L_t^r L_x^s(Q_T), \quad \forall 1 < r, s < \infty, \\ \Delta Q^{\theta,m} - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m}) \rightharpoonup \Delta Q^m - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) & \text{in } L^2(Q_T), \\ F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^{\theta,m}) \rightarrow F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) & \text{in } L^1(Q_T). \end{array} \right.$$

As in subsection 3.1, we can now verify that $(\mathbf{u}^m, P^m, Q^m)$ is a weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t Q^m + \mathbf{u}^m \cdot \nabla Q^m - [\omega^m, Q^m] = \Delta Q^m - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}^m + \mathbf{u}^m \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^m + \nabla(P^m - F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q)) \\ = \Delta \mathbf{u}^m - \nabla Q^m \cdot \Delta Q^m + \text{div}[Q^m, \Delta Q^m], \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u}^m = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.35)$$

in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, subject to the initial condition (1.7).

By the lower semicontinuity the following global energy inequality holds: for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}^m|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q^m|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \right) (x, t) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^m|^2 + |\Delta Q^m - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0) \right) (x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\mathbf{u}^m, Q^m)(t) + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, t]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}^m|^2 + |\Delta Q^m|^2 + |f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq e^{CT} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q_0|^2 + F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0) \right) (x) dx, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Also it follows from (3.30), (3.32), (3.33), and (3.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \|Q^m\|_{L_t^\infty L^2(Q_T)}, \|P^m\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T)}, \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}^m\|_{L_t^2 W_x^{-1,4}(Q_T)}, \|\partial_t Q^m\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_T)} \right\} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \textcolor{red}{\neq}, g_0, \kappa \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Furthermore, we can check that $(\mathbf{u}^m, P^m, Q^m)$ is a suitable weak solution of (3.35) by verifying that it satisfies the local inequality (1.12) with f_{bulk} replaced by f_{BM}^m .

To show that as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $(\mathbf{u}^m, P^m, Q^m)$ gives rise to a suitable weak solution of (3.2), we need to first show that Q^m lies in a strictly physical subdomain of the physical domain $\mathcal{D}$, since $G_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ blows up as $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ tends to $\partial \mathcal{D}$. This amounts to establishing an L^∞ -estimate of $G_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ in terms of the L^1 -norm of $G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)$, which was previously shown by Wilkinson [39] in a slightly different setting.

More precisely, we need the following version of a generalized maximum principle.

Lemma 3.2. *There exist $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and a positive constant C_0 , independent of m , such that for all $m \geq m_0$,*

$$\|G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C_0 t^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C_0, \forall 0 < t < T. \quad (3.39)$$

For now we assume Lemma 3.2, which will be proved in §4 below. We may assume without loss of generality that there exists

$$(\mathbf{u}, P, Q) \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T) \times L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T) \times L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2(Q_T)$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^m \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} & \text{in } L_t^2 H_x^1(Q_T), \\ \mathbf{u}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u} & \text{in } L^p(Q_T), \forall 1 < p < \frac{10}{3}, \\ P^m \rightharpoonup P & \text{in } L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T), \\ Q^m \rightharpoonup Q & \text{in } L_t^2 H_x^2(Q_T), \\ Q^m \rightarrow Q & \text{in } L_t^r L_x^s(Q_T), \forall 1 < r, s < \infty. \end{cases}$$

From (3.39), we can also deduce that for any $0 < \delta < T$,

$$\|G_{\text{BM}}(Q)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T])} \leq (C\delta^{-\frac{5}{2}} + e^T) \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \kappa^2 e^T. \quad (3.40)$$

By the logarithmic divergence of G_{BM} as $Q \in \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{D}$ and (3.40), we conclude that for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, T) > 0$ such that

$$Q(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T], \quad (3.41)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_0} := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{D} : -\frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon_0 \leq \lambda_i(Q(x, t)) \leq \frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon_0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}. \quad (3.42)$$

From (3.39) and the quadratic growth property of G_{BM}^m , we also see that there exists $C_0 > 0$, independent of m , such that for $m \geq m_0$,

$$|Q^m(x, t)| \leq C_0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T]. \quad (3.43)$$

We now claim that

$$f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rightharpoonup f_{\text{BM}}(Q) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T]), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.44)$$

To see this, first observe that (3.37) yields that $f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$. Thus there exists a function $\bar{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ such that

$$f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rightharpoonup \bar{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]).$$

Now we want to identify $\bar{f}$. It follows from $Q^m \rightarrow Q$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ that there exists $E_m \subset \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, with $|E_m| \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$Q^m \rightarrow Q, \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T] \setminus E_m,$$

which, combined with $Q(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T]) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_0}$, yields that for sufficiently large m ,

$$Q^m(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T] \setminus E_m) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}.$$

Since $f_{\text{BM}}^m \rightarrow f_{\text{BM}}$ in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{D}_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}})$, we conclude that

$$f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rightarrow f_{\text{BM}}(Q), \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T] \setminus E_m.$$

Therefore $\bar{f} = f_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, and (3.44) holds.

From (3.44) and $\Delta Q^m \rightharpoonup \Delta Q$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\Delta Q^m - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rightharpoonup \Delta Q - f_{\text{BM}}(Q) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

With all the estimates at hand, it is rather standard to show that passing to the limit in (3.35), as $m \rightarrow \infty$ first and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ second, yields that $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ is a weak solution of (3.2). While passing to the limit in the local inequality for $(\mathbf{u}^m, P^m, Q^m)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$ first and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we can also verify that $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ satisfies the local energy inequality (1.12) with $f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)$ replaced by $f_{\text{BM}}(Q)$. $\square$

4. MAXIMUM PRINCIPLES

In this section, we will show the maximum principles for any weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ of (1.6) and (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the Landau-De Gennes potential function $F_{\text{LDG}}(Q)$, see also [16, 17], and in $\mathbb{T}^3$ with the Ball-Majumdar potential function $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$, see also [39]. These will play important roles in the proof of partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to (1.6) in the sections 5 and 6 below.

Lemma 4.1. *For $(\mathbf{u}_0, Q_0) \in \mathbf{H} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$, let $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L_t^2 H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ be a weak solution of (1.6)-(1.7). If, in addition, $Q_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)})$ and $c > 0$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on $\|Q_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and a, b, c , such that*

$$|Q(x, t)| \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. This is a well-known fact. The readers can find the proof in [16, 17] or [31]. $\square$

Next we will give a proof of Lemma 3.2, which guarantees that Q lies inside a strictly physical subdomain $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon_0}$ so that $F_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ becomes regular and hence $f_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ is bounded.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. It follows from the chain rule and the equation (3.35)₁ that $G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)$ satisfies in the weak sense

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) + \mathbf{u}^m \cdot \nabla(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) \\ &= \Delta(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) - \text{tr} \nabla_Q^2 G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) (\nabla Q^m, \nabla Q^m) - f_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \langle \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rangle, \\ &\leq \Delta(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) - (\nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) - \kappa Q^m) \langle \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rangle \\ &\leq \Delta(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |Q^m|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, T]$. Indeed, this can be obtained by multiplying (3.35)₁ by $\langle \nabla_Q G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \rangle$ and using the fact G_{BM}^m is a smooth convex function. Therefore $G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m) \in L_t^\infty H_x^1(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ satisfies in the weak sense

$$\partial_t(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) + \mathbf{u}^m \cdot \nabla(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) \leq \Delta(G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)) + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |Q^m|^2, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, T]. \quad (4.3)$$

It follows from (3.36) and (3.38) that $Q^m \in L_t^2 H_x^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$. In particular, by Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have that

$$\|Q^m\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \kappa \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Since the drifting coefficient $\mathbf{u}^m$ in (4.3) is not smooth and Q^m is not bounded in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, we can not directly apply the argument of §8 in [39] to prove 3.39. Here

we proceed it by first considering an auxiliary equation with mollifying $\mathbf{u}^m$ as the drifting coefficient. More precisely, let $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m$ be a standard ϵ -mollification on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$ for $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m = 0$ and

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m \rightarrow \mathbf{u}^m \text{ in } L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]), \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Also let g_ϵ^m be ϵ -mollifications of $|Q^m|^2$ in $\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$, and h_ϵ^m be ϵ -mollifications of $G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q_0)$ in $\mathbb{T}^3$. Then it follows from (4.4) that for all $m \geq m_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^m\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])} &\leq \|Q^m\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])}^2, \\ \|h_\epsilon^m\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} &\leq \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_\epsilon^m \rightarrow |Q^m|^2 \text{ in } L^3(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]), \quad h_\epsilon^m \rightarrow G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q_0) \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^3), \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Now let $v_\epsilon^m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_\epsilon^m + \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m \cdot \nabla v_\epsilon^m = \Delta v_\epsilon^m + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} g_\epsilon^m & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T], \\ v_\epsilon^m = h_\epsilon^m & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

For v_ϵ^m , we will modify the argument as illustrated in [39], §8, to achieve that for $0 < t < T$,

$$\|v_\epsilon^m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C t^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C_0. \quad (4.6)$$

To show (4.6), decompose $v_\epsilon^m = v_1 + v_2$, where v_1 solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_1 + \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m \cdot \nabla v_1 = \Delta v_1, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T], \\ v_1 = h_\epsilon^m - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} h_\epsilon^m, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

and v_2 solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_2 + \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^m \cdot \nabla v_2 = \Delta v_2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} g_\epsilon^m, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T], \\ v_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} h_\epsilon^m, & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

For v_1 , we can apply the $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ estimate for advection-diffusion equations on compact manifold [7] as in Lemma 8.1 of [39] to conclude that

$$\|v_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C t^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|h_\epsilon^m - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} h_\epsilon^m\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C t^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \quad (4.9)$$

for $0 < t < T$.

While for v_2 , we can multiply (4.8)₁ by $|v_2|^{p-2} v_2$, $p > 2$, and integrate the resulting equation over $\mathbb{T}^3$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)}^p &\leq \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \|g_\epsilon^m(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|v_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{p-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\mathbb{T}^3|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g_\epsilon^m(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|v_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\mathbb{T}^3|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g_\epsilon^m(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

and hence

$$\|v_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq \|v_2(0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \frac{\kappa^2}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} |\mathbb{T}^3|^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^T \|g_\epsilon^m(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} dt, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq T.$$

Sending $p \rightarrow \infty$ and applying (4.4), we obtain that for $0 < t < T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_2(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ & \leq C \|h_\epsilon^m\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \frac{\kappa^2}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \int_0^T \|Q^m(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 dt \\ & \leq \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|Q_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \|F_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)}, g_0, \kappa \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Putting (4.9) and (4.10) together yields (4.6).

It is not hard to see that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, there exists $v^m \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ such that $v_\epsilon^m \rightarrow v^m$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$. Passing to the limit in the equation (4.5), we see that v^m is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^m + \mathbf{u}^m \cdot \nabla v^m = \Delta v^m + \frac{\kappa^2}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} |Q^m|^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T], \\ v^m = G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q_0) & \text{on } \mathbb{T}^3 \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, passing to the limit of (4.6), we have that for any $0 < t < T$,

$$\|v^m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C_0. \quad (4.12)$$

Now observe that by the comparison principle on (4.3), we know that for $m \geq m_0$, it holds.

$$G_{\text{BM}}^m(Q^m)(x, t) \leq v^m(\cdot, t) \leq Ct^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C_0,$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T]$. This, combined with (G2), yields (3.39). $\square$

Note that passing to the limit in (3.39), the suitable weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ to (3.2), constructed in §3.2, satisfies that for any $0 < \delta < T$,

$$\|G_{\text{BM}}(Q)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [\delta, T])} \leq C_0 \delta^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|G_{\text{BM}}(Q_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} + C_0. \quad (4.13)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

5. PARTIAL REGULARITY, PART I

This section is devoted to establishing an ϵ_0 -regularity for suitable weak solutions $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ in terms of renormalized L^3 -norm of $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$. The argument we will present is based on a blowing up argument, motivated by that of Lin [24] on the Navier-Stokes equation, which works equally well for both the Landau-De Gennes potential F_{LdG} and the Ball-Majumdar potential F_{BM} . More precisely, we want to establish the following property.

Lemma 5.1. *For any $M > 0$, there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $0 < \tau_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, and $C_0 > 0$, depending on M , such that if $(\mathbf{u}, Q, P)$ is a suitable weak solution of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, which satisfies, for $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (r^2, \infty)$ and $r > 0$,*

$$\begin{cases} |Q| \leq M & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{LdG}} \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3, \\ |G_{\text{BM}}(Q)| \leq M & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{BM}} \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{T}^3, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_r(z_0), \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \leq \varepsilon_0^3, \quad (5.2)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_0 r)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0 r}(z_0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left((\tau_0 r)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0 r}(z_0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2, C_0 r^3 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion were false. Then there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that for any $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we can find $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, $C_i \rightarrow \infty$, and $r_i > 0$, and $z_i = (x_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (r_i^2, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |Q| \leq M_0 & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{LdG}}, \\ |G_{\text{BM}}(Q)| \leq M_0 & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{BM}}, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i), \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$r_i^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r_i^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 = \varepsilon_i^3, \quad (5.5)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau r_i)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left((\tau r_i)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau r_i}(z_i)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \\ & > \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \varepsilon_i^3, C_i r_i^3 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

From (5.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} C_i r_i^3 & \leq 2(\tau r_i)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + 2 \left((\tau r_i)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau r_i}(z_i)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \\ & \leq 2\tau^{-4} \left\{ r_i^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r_i^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \right\} \\ & = 2\tau^{-4} \varepsilon_i^3 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$r_i \leq \left(\frac{2\varepsilon_i^3}{C_i \tau^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Also from (5.4), we know that there exist $C_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that in the case $F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{BM}}$,

$$Q(z) \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta_0} \text{ and } |f_{\text{BM}}(Q(z))| + |\nabla Q f_{\text{BM}}(Q(z))| \leq C_0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i). \quad (5.7)$$

Define a rescaled sequence of maps

$$(\mathbf{u}_i, Q_i, P_i)(x, t) = (r_i \mathbf{u}, Q, r_i^2 P)(x_i + r_i x, t_i + r_i^2 t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t > -1.$$

Then $(\mathbf{u}_i, Q_i, P_i)$ is a weak solution of the scaled Beris-Edwards system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q_i + \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \nabla Q_i - [\omega(\mathbf{u}_i), Q_i] = \Delta Q_i - r_i^2 f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_i), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_i + \nabla P_i = \Delta \mathbf{u}_i - \nabla Q_i \cdot \Delta Q_i - \text{div}[\Delta Q_i, Q_i], \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u}_i = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\omega(\mathbf{u}_i) = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}_i - (\nabla \mathbf{u}_i)^T}{2}.$$

Moreover, $(\mathbf{u}_i, Q_i, P_i)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} (|\mathbf{u}_i|^3 + |\nabla Q_i|^3) dxdt + \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} |P_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 = \varepsilon_i^3, \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} (|\mathbf{u}_i|^3 + |\nabla Q_i|^3) dxdt + \left(\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} |P_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \varepsilon_i^3, C_i r_i^3 \}. \quad (5.10)$$

Define the blowing-up sequence $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_i) : \mathbb{P}_1(0) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, of $(\mathbf{u}_i, Q_i, P_i)$, by letting

$$(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_i)(z) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_i}{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i}, \frac{Q_i - \bar{Q}_i}{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i}, \frac{P_i}{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i} \right)(z), \quad \forall z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{P}_1(0),$$

where

$$\bar{Q}_i = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{P}_1(0)|} \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} Q_i$$

denotes the average of Q_i over $\mathbb{P}_1(0)$. Then $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_i)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} \hat{Q}_i = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^3 + |\nabla \hat{Q}_i|^3) dxdt + \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} |\hat{P}_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 = 1, \\ \tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^3 + |\nabla \hat{Q}_i|^3) dxdt + \left(\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} |\hat{P}_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \max \{ 1, C_i \frac{r_i^3}{\varepsilon_i^3} \}, \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

and $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_i)$ is a suitable weak solution of the following scaled Beris-Edwards equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{Q}_i + \varepsilon_i \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i \cdot \nabla \hat{Q}_i - [\omega(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i), \hat{Q}_i] = \Delta \hat{Q}_i - \frac{r_i^2}{\varepsilon_i} f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_i), \\ \partial_t \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i + \varepsilon_i \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i + \nabla \hat{P}_i = \Delta \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i - \varepsilon_i \nabla \hat{Q}_i \Delta \hat{Q}_i + \text{div}[Q_i, \Delta \hat{Q}_i] \\ \text{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

From (5.11), we assume that there exists

$$(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) \in L^3(\mathbb{P}_1(0)) \times L_t^3 W_x^{1,3}(\mathbb{P}_1(0)) \times L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_1(0))$$

such that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_i) \rightharpoonup (\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) \text{ in } L^3(\mathbb{P}_1(0)) \times L_t^3 W_x^{1,3}(\mathbb{P}_1(0)) \times L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_1(0)).$$

It follows from (5.11) and the lower semicontinuity that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \hat{Q}|^3) + \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} |\hat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^2 \leq 1. \quad (5.13)$$

Moreover, we claim that

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\nabla \hat{Q}_i\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} \leq C < \infty. \quad (5.14)$$

To show (5.14), choose a cut-off function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_1(0))$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \quad \phi \equiv 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0), \text{ and } |\partial_t \phi| + |\nabla \phi| + |\nabla^2 \phi| \leq C.$$

Define

$$\phi_i(x, t) = \phi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{r_i}, \frac{t - t_i}{r_i^2}\right), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with ϕ replaced by ϕ_i^2 and applying Hölder's inequality, we would arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_i - \frac{r_i^2}{4} \leq t \leq t_i} \int_{B_{r_i}(x_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \phi_i^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \phi_i^2 dxdt \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) |(\partial_t + \Delta) \phi_i^2| dxdt \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 + |P|) |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \phi_i^2| dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |\nabla Q|^2 |\nabla^2(\phi_i^2)| \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} (|\Delta Q| + |f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)|) |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \phi_i^2| + |\nabla_Q f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)| |\nabla Q|^2 \phi_i^2 dxdt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |\Delta Q| |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \phi_i^2| dxdt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |\Delta Q|^2 \phi_i^2 dxdt + C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(z_i)} |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \phi_i|^2 dxdt.$$

Substituting this into the above inequality and performing rescaling, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-\frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 0} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^2 + |\Delta \hat{Q}_i|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^2 + |\nabla^2 \hat{Q}_i|^2) dxdt \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^2 + |\nabla \hat{Q}_i|^2) + (\varepsilon_i |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^2 + \varepsilon_i |\nabla \hat{Q}_i|^2 + |\hat{P}_i|) |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i| dxdt \right] \\ & \quad + C \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} \frac{r_i^2}{\varepsilon_i} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i| dxdt + r_i^2 \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} |\nabla \hat{Q}_i|^2 dxdt \right] \\ & \leq C(1 + \frac{r_i^2}{\varepsilon_i} + r_i^2) \leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

This yields (5.14). From (5.14), we may also assume that

$$(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{Q}_i) \rightharpoonup (\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}) \text{ in } L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \times L_t^2 H_x^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)). \tag{5.16}$$

Since $r_i \leq \varepsilon_i$ and by (5.7) $|Q_i| \leq M_0$ and $|f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_i)| + |\nabla_Q f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_i)| \leq C_0$ in $\mathbb{P}_1(0)$, there exists a constant $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$, with $|\bar{Q}| \leq M_0$, such that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$Q_i \rightarrow \bar{Q} \quad \text{in } L^3(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)),$$

$$\frac{r_i^2}{\varepsilon_i} f_{\text{bulk}}(Q_i) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)).$$

Hence $(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \widehat{Q}, \widehat{P}) : \mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} \times \mathbb{R}$ solves the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{Q} - \Delta \widehat{Q} = [\omega(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}), \overline{Q}], \\ \partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{u}} - \Delta \widehat{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \widehat{P} = \operatorname{div}([\overline{Q}, \Delta \widehat{Q}]), \\ \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.17)$$

Applying Lemma 5.2 and (5.13), we know that

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \widehat{Q}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}), \quad \widehat{P} \in L^\infty([-(\frac{1}{4})^2, 0], C^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)))$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \widehat{Q}|^3) dxdt + (\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt)^2 \\ & \leq C\tau^3 \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \widehat{Q}|^3) dxdt + (\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0)} |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}})^2 \\ & \leq C\tau^3, \quad \forall \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{8}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

We now claim that

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \nabla \widehat{Q}_i) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \widehat{Q}) \text{ in } L^3(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)). \quad (5.19)$$

To prove (5.19), first observe that (5.15) and the equation (5.12) imply that

$$\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i \in (L_t^2 H_x^{-1} + L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}} + L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} W_x^{-1, \frac{3}{2}})(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)); \quad \partial_t \widehat{Q}_i \in L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)),$$

enjoy the following uniform bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{(L_t^2 H_x^{-1} + L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}} + L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} W_x^{-1, \frac{3}{2}})(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0))} \\ & \leq C[\|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\nabla \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\nabla \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))}] \\ & \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0))} \\ & \leq C[\|\widehat{Q}_i\|_{L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\nabla \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\nabla \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} + \|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))}] \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can apply Aubin-Lions' compactness Lemma to conclude the L^3 -strong convergence as in (5.19).

It follows from the L^3 -strong convergence property (5.19) that for any $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{8})$,

$$\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \widehat{Q}|^3) = \tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \widehat{Q}|^3) + \tau^{-2} o(1) \leq C\tau^3 + \tau^{-2} o(1), \quad (5.20)$$

where $o(1)$ stands for a quantity such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} o(1) = 0$.

Now we need to estimate the pressure $\widehat{P}_i$. First, by taking divergence of the second equation (5.8)₂, we see that $\widehat{P}_i$ solves

$$\Delta \widehat{P}_i = -\epsilon_i \operatorname{div}^2 [\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i + (\nabla \widehat{Q}_i \otimes \nabla \widehat{Q}_i - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \widehat{Q}_i|^2 I_3)] \text{ in } B_1, \quad (5.21)$$

where we have applied Lemma 2.3 to guarantee

$$\operatorname{div}^2 [Q_i, \Delta \widehat{Q}_i] = 0 \text{ in } B_1.$$

We need to show that

$$\tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} |\widehat{P}_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt \leq C \tau^{-2} (\varepsilon_i + o(1)) + C \tau, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \quad (5.22)$$

To prove (5.22), let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$ be a cut-off function such that $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. For any $-(\frac{3}{8})^2 \leq t \leq 0$, define $\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by letting

$$\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_x^2 G(x - y) \eta(y) \varepsilon_i [\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i + (\nabla \widehat{Q}_i \otimes \nabla \widehat{Q}_i - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \widehat{Q}_i|^2 I_3)](y, t) dy, \quad (5.23)$$

where $G(\cdot)$ is the fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then it is easy to check that $\widehat{P}_i^{(2)}(\cdot, t) = (\widehat{P}_i - \widehat{P}_i^{(1)})(\cdot, t)$ satisfies

$$-\Delta \widehat{P}_i^{(2)}(\cdot, t) = 0 \text{ in } B_{\frac{3}{8}}(0). \quad (5.24)$$

For $\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}$, we can apply the Calderon-Zygmund theory to show that

$$\|\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \varepsilon_i [\|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L^3(B_1(0))}^2 + \|\nabla \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^3(B_1(0))}^2] \quad (5.25)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{3}}(0))} &\leq C \varepsilon_i (\|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{P}_1(0))}^2 + \|\nabla \widehat{Q}_i\|_{L^3(\mathbb{P}_1(0))}^2) \\ &\leq C (\varepsilon_i + o(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

From the standard theory on harmonic functions, $\widehat{P}_i^{(2)}(\cdot, t) \in C^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))$ satisfies: for any $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\tau(0)} |\widehat{P}_i^{(2)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} &\leq C \tau \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{3}}(0)} |\widehat{P}_i^{(2)}|^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \tau \left[\int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{3}}(0)} (|\widehat{P}_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} + |\widehat{P}_i^{(1)}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right] \\ &\leq C \tau (1 + \varepsilon_i + o(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Putting (5.26) and (5.27) together, we obtain (5.22).

It follows from (5.20) and (5.22) that there exist sufficiently small $\tau_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and sufficiently large i_0 , depending on τ_0 , such that for any $i \geq i_0$, it holds that

$$\tau_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_i|^3 + |\nabla \widehat{Q}_i|^3) dx dt + (\tau_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0}(0)} |\widehat{P}_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

This contradicts to (5.11). The proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed. $\square$

We now need to establish the smoothness of the limit equation (5.17), namely,

Lemma 5.2. Assume that $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}) \in (L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1)(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \times (L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2)(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\hat{P} \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a weak solution of the linear system (5.17), then $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}})$, and the following estimate

$$\theta^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_\theta} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \hat{Q}|^3 + |\hat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \leq C \theta^3 \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\nabla \hat{Q}|^3 + |\hat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \quad (5.28)$$

holds for any $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{8})$.

Proof. The regularity of the limit equation (5.17) doesn't follow from the standard theory of linear parabolic equations in [21], since the source term $\operatorname{div}(\bar{Q} \Delta \hat{Q} - \Delta \hat{Q} \bar{Q})$ in the second equation of (5.17) depends on third order derivatives of $\hat{Q}$. It is based on higher order energy methods, for which the cancellation property, as in the derivation of local energy inequality for suitable weak solutions of (1.6), plays a critical role.

For nonnegative multiple indices α, β , and γ such that $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ and γ is of order 1, it is easy to see that $(\nabla^\alpha \hat{Q}, \nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla^\beta \hat{P})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\nabla^\alpha \hat{Q}) - \Delta(\nabla^\alpha \hat{Q}) = [\omega(\nabla^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{u}}), \bar{Q}], \\ \partial_t(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}) - \Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}) + \nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{P}) = \operatorname{div}[\bar{Q}, \Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})], \\ \operatorname{div}(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.29)$$

Now we want to derive an arbitrarily higher order local energy inequality for (5.29). For any given $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))$, multiplying the first equation of (5.29) by $\nabla^\alpha \hat{Q} \phi^2$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain that by summing over all γ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})|^2 \phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})|^2 \phi^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})|^2 (\partial_t + \Delta) \phi^2 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\bar{Q}, \omega(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}})] : (\Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q}) \phi^2 + \nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q}) \cdot \nabla \phi^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

While, by multiplying the second equation of (5.17) by $\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}} \phi^2$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}})|^2 \phi^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 (\partial_t + \Delta) \phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^\beta \hat{P} \nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \phi^2 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\bar{Q}, \Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})] : (\nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}) \phi^2 + \nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \nabla \phi^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

As in above, we observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [[\bar{Q}, \omega(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}})] : \Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q}) \phi^2 + [\bar{Q}, \Delta(\nabla^\beta \hat{Q})] : \nabla(\nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}}) \phi^2] = 0.$$

By integration by parts we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^\beta \hat{P} \nabla^\beta \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \phi^2 = (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla^\beta (\nabla^\beta \hat{P} \nabla \phi^2). \quad (5.32)$$

It follows from the second equation of (5.17) that $\widehat{P}$ solves

$$\Delta \widehat{P} = \operatorname{div}^2[\overline{Q}, \Delta \widehat{Q}] = 0, \text{ in } B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0),$$

where we have applied Lemma 2.3. Hence by the standard regularity theory of harmonic functions,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)} |\nabla^l \widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad l = k, k+1, \dots, 2k, \quad (5.33)$$

so that by Young's inequality we can derive from (5.32) and (5.33) that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^\beta \widehat{P} \nabla^\beta \widehat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \phi^2 \right| \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Hence, by adding (5.30) and (5.31) together and then taking summation over all β 's with $|\beta| = k \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) \phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} \widehat{Q}|^2) \phi^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) (|\partial_t(\phi^2)| + |\nabla^2(\phi^2)|) \\ & + C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}| |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}| + |\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}| |\nabla^{k+2} \widehat{Q}|) |\nabla \phi^2| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) (|\partial_t(\phi^2)| + |\nabla^2(\phi^2)|) \\ & + C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} \widehat{Q}|^2) \phi^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) |\nabla \phi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) \phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} \widehat{Q}|^2) \phi^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) (|\partial_t(\phi^2)| + |\nabla^2(\phi^2)|) \\ & + C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\widehat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ & + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^k \widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \widehat{Q}|^2) |\nabla \phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

By choosing suitable test functions ϕ , it is not hard to see that (5.34) implies that for $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-\frac{1}{16} \leq t \leq 0} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)} (|\nabla^k \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \hat{Q}|^2) + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)} (|\nabla^{k+1} \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} \hat{Q}|^2) \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)} (|\nabla^k \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \hat{Q}|^2) + C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\hat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

It is clear that with suitable adjustment of radius, applying (5.35) inductively on k yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-\frac{1}{16} \leq t \leq 0} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)} (|\nabla^k \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \hat{Q}|^2) + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)} (|\nabla^{k+1} \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} \hat{Q}|^2) \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{3}{8}}(0)} (|\nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\nabla^2 \hat{Q}|^2) + C \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} (|\hat{\mathbf{u}}|^3 + |\hat{P}|^{\frac{3}{2}}), \quad \forall k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

With (5.36), we can apply the regularity theory for both the linear Stokes equation and the linear parabolic equation to conclude that $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0))$. Furthermore, applying the elliptic estimate for the pressure equation (5.21) we see that $\nabla^k \hat{P} \in C^0(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0))$ for any $k \geq 1$. For $l \geq 1$, taking t -derivative ∂_t^l of both sides of (5.21), we can also see that $\nabla^k \partial_t^l \hat{P} \in C^0(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0))$. Therefore $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0))$ and the estimate (5.28) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Now we can iterate Lemma 5.1 and utilize the **Reisz Riesz** potential estimates in Morrey spaces to obtain the following ε_0 -regularity.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $M > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, depending on M , such that if $(\mathbf{u}, Q, P)$ is a suitable weak solution of (1.6) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, which satisfies, for $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times (r_0^2, \infty)$ and*

$$\begin{cases} |Q| \leq M & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{LdG}} \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3, \\ |G_{\text{BM}}(Q)| \leq M & \text{if } F_{\text{bulk}} = F_{\text{BM}} \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{T}^3, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0), \quad (5.37)$$

and

$$r_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r_0^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \leq \varepsilon_0^3, \quad (5.38)$$

then for any $1 < p < \infty$, $(\mathbf{u}, P, \nabla Q) \in L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))$ and

$$\|(\mathbf{u}, P, \nabla Q)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))} \leq C(p, \varepsilon_0, M). \quad (5.39)$$

Proof. From (5.38), we have

$$\left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(\left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 \leq 8\varepsilon_0^3 \quad (5.40)$$

holds for any $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$. By applying Lemma 5.1 repeatedly on $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$, there are $C_0 > 0$ and $\tau_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_0^k r_0)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0^k r_0}(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + ((\tau_0^k r_0)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\tau_0^k r_0}(z)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt)^2 \\ & \leq 2^{-k} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{r_0}{2} \right)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(\left(\frac{r_0}{2} \right)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{C_0 r_0^3}{1 - 2\tau_0^3} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

Therefore for $\theta_0 = \frac{\ln 2}{3|\ln \tau_0|} \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, it holds that for any $0 < s < \frac{r_0}{2}$ and $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$

$$s^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_s(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3 + |P|^{\frac{3}{2}}) dxdt \leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0^3) \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right)^{3\theta_0}. \quad (5.42)$$

By (5.37) and Lemma 3.2, there exists $C > 0$, depending on M , such that

$$|Q| + |f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)| + |\nabla_Q f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)| \leq C \text{ in } \mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0). \quad (5.43)$$

Now we can apply the local energy inequality (1.12) for $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z)$, for $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$, to get that for $0 < s < \frac{r_0}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}_s(z)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) dxdt \\ & \leq C \left[(2s)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{2s}(z)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) + (2s)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{2s}(z)} (|u|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3 + |P|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2s)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{2s}(z)} |u| + (2s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{2s}(z)} |\nabla Q|^2 \right] \\ & \leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0^3) \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right)^{2\theta_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Next we employ the estimate of Riesz potentials in Morrey spaces to prove the smoothness of $(\mathbf{u}, P, Q)$ near z_0 , analogous to that by Huang-Wang [20], Hineman-Wang [18], and Huang-Lin-Wang [19].

For any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 5$, define the Morrey space $M^{p,\lambda}(U)$ by

$$M^{p,\lambda}(U) := \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(U) : \|f\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(U)}^p = \sup_{z \in U, r > 0} r^{\lambda-5} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z)} |f|^p dxdt < \infty \right\}.$$

It follows from (5.42) and (5.44) that there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(\mathbf{u}, \nabla Q) \in M^{3,3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)), \quad P \in M^{\frac{3}{2},3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)), \quad (\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla^2 Q) \in M^{2,4-2\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)).$$

Write (3.2)₁ as

$$\partial_t Q - \Delta Q = f, \quad f \equiv -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q + [\omega, Q] - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q) \in M^{\frac{3}{2},3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)). \quad (5.45)$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ be a cut off function of $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$, $|\partial_t \eta| + |\nabla^2 \eta| \leq C r_0^{-2}$, Set $w = \eta^2(Q - Q_{z_0, r_0})$, where Q_{z_0, r_0} is the average of Q over $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$. Then

$$\partial_t w - \Delta w = F, \quad F := \eta^2 f + (\partial_t \eta^2 - \Delta \eta^2)(Q - Q_{z_0, r_0}) - \nabla \eta^2 \cdot \nabla Q. \quad (5.46)$$

We can check that $F \in M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and satisfies

$$\|F\|_{M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.47)$$

Let Γ denote the heat kernel in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then

$$|\nabla \Gamma|(x, t) \leq C \delta^{-4}((x, t), (0, 0)), \quad \forall (x, t) \neq (0, 0),$$

where $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the parabolic distance on $\mathbb{R}^4$. By the Duhamel formula, we have that

$$|w(x, t)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - s)| |F(y, s)| dy ds \leq C \mathcal{I}_1(|F|)(x, t), \quad (5.48)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_\beta$ is the **Riesz** potential of order β on $\mathbb{R}^4$, $\beta \in [0, 4]$, defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_\beta(g)(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|g(y, s)|}{\delta^{5-\beta}((x, t), (y, s))} dy ds, \quad \forall g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

Applying the Riesz potential estimates (see [20] Theorem 3.1), we conclude that $\nabla w \in M^{\frac{3(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and

$$\|\nabla w\|_{M^{\frac{3(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C \|F\|_{M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.49)$$

Since $\lim_{\alpha \uparrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{3(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} = \infty$, we conclude that for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\nabla w \in L^p(\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0))$ and

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0))} \leq C(p, r_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.50)$$

Since $Q - w$ solves

$$\partial_t(Q - w) - \Delta(Q - w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0),$$

it follows from the theory of heat equations that for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\nabla Q \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$ and

$$\|\nabla Q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0))} \leq C(p, r_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.51)$$

We now proceed with the estimation of $\mathbf{u}$. Let $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ solve the Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{v} - \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla P \\ = -\operatorname{div}[\eta^2(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q|^2 I_3))] + \operatorname{div}[\eta^2[Q, \Delta Q]] & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^4, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^4, \\ \mathbf{v}(\cdot, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (5.52)$$

Using the Oseen kernel (see Leray [22]), an estimate of $\mathbf{v}$ can be given by

$$|\mathbf{v}(x, t)| \leq C \mathcal{I}_1(|X|)(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (5.53)$$

where

$$X = \eta^2 [\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 I_3) + [Q, \Delta Q]].$$

As above, we can check that $X \in M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_{M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} &\leq C \left[\|\mathbf{u}\|_{M^{3, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0))}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{M^{3, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0))}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\Delta Q - f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)\|_{M^{3, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0))} \right] \\ &\leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that $\mathbf{v} \in M^{\frac{3(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{M^{\frac{3(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C \|X\|_{M^{\frac{3}{2}, 3(1-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(1 + \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.54)$$

As $\alpha \uparrow \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude that for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathbf{v} \in L^p(\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0))$ and

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_{r_0}(z_0))} \leq C(p, r_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.55)$$

Note that $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$ solves the linear homogeneous Stokes equation in $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0)$:

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) - \Delta(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) + \nabla P = 0, \quad \text{div}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0).$$

Then $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))$. Therefore for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))} \leq C(p, r_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.56)$$

For P , since it satisfies the Poisson equation: for $t_0 - \frac{r_0^2}{4} \leq t \leq t_0$,

$$-\Delta P = \text{div}^2 [\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + (\nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 I_3)] \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0). \quad (5.57)$$

Hence $P \in L^p(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))$ and satisfies the (5.39). The proof is now complete. $\square$

The higher order regularity of (3.2) does not follow from the standard theory, since the equation for $\mathbf{u}$ involves $\nabla^3 Q$ and the equation for Q involves $\nabla \mathbf{u}$. It turns out the higher order regularity of (3.2) can be obtained through higher order energy methods. Roughly speaking, if $(\mathbf{u}, P, \nabla Q)$ is in L^p for any $1 < p < \infty$, then (3.2) can be viewed as a perturbed version of the linear equation (5.17) with controllable error terms. Here higher order versions of the cancellation properties (1.13) and (1.16) in the local energy inequality (1.12) also plays an important role. This kind of idea has been previously employed by Huang-Lin-Wang (see [19] Lemma 3.4) for general Ericksen-Leslie systems in dimension n . More precisely, we have

Lemma 5.4. *Under the same assumptions as Lemma 5.3, we have that for any $k \geq 0$, $(\nabla^k \mathbf{u}, \nabla^{k+1} Q) \in (L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1)(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1+2^{-(k+1)}}{2} r_0}(z_0))$ and the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \left(\frac{1+2^{-(k+1)}}{2} r_0\right)^2 \leq t \leq t_0} \int_{B_{\frac{1+2^{-(k+1)}}{2} r_0}(x_0)} (|\nabla^k \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} Q|^2) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1+2^{-(k+1)}}{2} r_0}(z_0)} (|\nabla^{k+1} \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} Q|^2 + |\nabla^k P|^{\frac{5}{3}}) dx dt \\ & \leq C(k, r_0, \varepsilon_0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

In particular, $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0)$.

Proof. For simplicity, assume $z_0 = (0, 0)$ and $r_0 = 8$. (5.58) can be proved by an induction on k . It is clear that when $k = 0$, (5.58) follows directly from the local energy inequality (1.12). Here we indicate how to prove (5.58) for $k = 1$. First, recall from Lemma 5.3 that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|Q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P}_2)} + \|\nabla^i f_{\text{bulk}}(Q)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P}_2)} \leq C(i, \varepsilon_0), \quad \|(\mathbf{u}, P, \nabla Q)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_2)} \leq C(p) \varepsilon_0. \quad (5.59)$$

Taking spatial derivative of (1.6)¹, we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q_\alpha + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q_\alpha + \mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla Q - [\omega_\alpha, Q] - [\omega, Q_\alpha] \\ = \Delta Q_\alpha - (f_{\text{bulk}}(Q))_\alpha, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\alpha + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha + \mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P_\alpha \\ = \Delta \mathbf{u}_\alpha - \nabla Q \cdot \Delta Q_\alpha - \nabla Q_\alpha \cdot \Delta Q + \text{div}[Q, \Delta Q]_\alpha, \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u}_\alpha = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_1. \quad (5.60)$$

Here $\omega_\alpha = \omega(\mathbf{u}_\alpha)$. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$ be such that

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{1+2^{-2}}, \quad \eta \equiv 0 \text{ out } B_{1+2^{-1}}, \quad |\nabla \eta| + |\nabla^2 \eta| \leq 16.$$

Taking ∇ of (5.60)₁ and multiplying it by $\nabla Q_\alpha \eta^2$, and multiplying (5.60)₂ by $\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha \eta^2$, and then integrating resulting equations over B_2^2 , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla^2 Q|^2 \eta^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \Delta Q_\alpha \eta^2 - \int_\Omega (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) Q_\alpha \cdot (\Delta Q_\alpha \eta^2 + \nabla Q_\alpha \nabla \eta^2) \\ & - \int_\Omega (\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \nabla Q_\alpha \nabla \eta^2 - \int_\Omega [Q, \omega_\alpha] \cdot (\Delta Q_\alpha \eta^2 + \nabla Q_\alpha \nabla \eta^2) \\ & = \int_\Omega [[Q_\alpha, \omega] - (\Delta Q_\alpha - (f_{\text{bulk}}(Q))_\alpha)] \cdot (\Delta Q_\alpha \eta^2 + \nabla Q_\alpha \nabla \eta^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \eta^2 - \int_{\Omega} P_{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \eta^2 \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 - \frac{|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \Delta \eta^2) - \int_{\Omega} ((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \Delta Q_{\alpha} \eta^2 + (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla) Q_{\alpha} \cdot \Delta Q \eta^2) \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} [Q_{\alpha}, \Delta Q] \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \eta^2 + \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \otimes \nabla \eta^2) - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q_{\alpha}] \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \eta^2 + \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \otimes \nabla \eta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equations together and regrouping terms, and using the cancellation identity

$$\int_{\Omega} [Q, \omega_{\alpha}] \cdot \Delta Q_{\alpha} \eta^2 = \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q_{\alpha}] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \eta^2,$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \eta^2 + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta \nabla Q|^2) \eta^2 \\
&= \int_{\Omega} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) Q_{\alpha} \cdot (\Delta Q_{\alpha} \eta^2 + \nabla Q_{\alpha} \nabla \eta^2) + (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla) Q \cdot \nabla Q_{\alpha} \nabla \eta^2] \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} ([Q, \omega_{\alpha}] - \Delta Q_{\alpha}) : \nabla Q_{\alpha} \nabla \eta^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} ([Q_{\alpha}, \omega] + (f_{\text{bulk}}(Q))_{\alpha}) : (\Delta Q_{\alpha} \eta^2 + \nabla Q_{\alpha} \nabla \eta^2) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} [\frac{|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2}{2} (\Delta \eta^2 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta^2) - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} + \nabla Q_{\alpha} : \Delta Q) \eta^2 + P_{\alpha} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \eta^2] \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} [Q_{\alpha}, \Delta Q] : (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \eta^2 + \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \otimes \nabla \eta^2) - \int_{\Omega} [Q, \Delta Q_{\alpha}] : \mathbf{u}_{\alpha} \otimes \nabla \eta^2 \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^6 A_i.
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate A_i 's separately as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_6| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 (\eta^2 + |\nabla \eta|^2)), \\
|A_5| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 |\Delta Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2, \\
|A_4| &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta \nabla Q|^2) \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 |\Delta \eta^2| + |\mathbf{u}|^2 (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \eta^2] \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) |\nabla \eta|^2 + C \int_{\Omega} (|P|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 + |P| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\Delta \eta^2|), \\
|A_3| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) \eta^2 \\
&\quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2), \\
|A_2| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) |\nabla \eta|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$|A_1| \leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{\Omega} [(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \Delta Q]^2 \eta^2 + (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) |\nabla \eta|^2.$$

Substituting these estimates on A_i 's into the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \eta^2 + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta \nabla Q|^2) \eta^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2 + |P|^2) \\ & \quad + C \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\Delta Q|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 |\Delta Q|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2) \eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to estimate the second term in the right hand side. By Sobolev-interpolation inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 \\ & \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla(\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla(\nabla \mathbf{u} \eta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2, \\ & \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\Delta Q|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} |\Delta Q|^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^4 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta Q|^2 \eta^2, \\ & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 |\Delta Q|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \nabla Q|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} |\Delta Q|^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla Q\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^4 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta Q|^2 \eta^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla Q|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2 + C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla Q\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these estimates into the above inequality, we would arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \eta^2 + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta \nabla Q|^2) \eta^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{B_{1+2^{-1}}} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2 + |P|^2) \\ & \quad + C(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \nabla Q)\|_{L^{12}(B_{1+2^{-1}})}^2) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \eta^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

From (5.59), we can apply Gronwall's inequality to (5.61) to show that (5.58) holds for $k = 1$. For $k \geq 2$, we can perform an induction argument as in [19] Lemma 3.4. We leave the details to interested readers.

It is readily seen that by the Sobolev embedding theorem, Lemma 5.3 implies that $(\nabla^k u, \nabla^{k+1} Q) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0))$ for any $k \geq 1$. This, combined with the theory of linear Stokes equation and heat equation, would imply the smoothness of $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\frac{r_0}{4}}(z_0)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Applying Lemma 5.3, we can prove a weaker version of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, there exists a closed subset $\Sigma \subset \Omega \times (0, \infty)$, with $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Sigma) = 0$, such that $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty) \setminus \Sigma)$.*

Proof. First it follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 that for any $\delta > 0$, Q and $f_{\text{BM}}(Q)$ are bounded in $\Omega \times (\delta, \infty)$. Define

$$\Sigma_\delta = \left\{ z \in \Omega \times (\delta, \infty) : \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dxdt + \left(r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxdt \right)^2 > \varepsilon_0^3 \right\}.$$

From Lemma 5.3, we know that Σ_δ is closed and $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (\delta, \infty) \setminus \Sigma_\delta)$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we have that $(\mathbf{u}, Q) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty) \setminus \cup_{\delta > 0} \Sigma_\delta)$.

Since $u \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$ and $\nabla Q \in L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$, we see that $(\mathbf{u}, \nabla Q) \in L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$. Moreover, since P solves the Poisson equation (??) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, we conclude that $P \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$. By Hölder's inequality, we see that Σ_δ is a subset of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\delta = \left\{ z \in \Omega \times (\delta, \infty) : \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-\frac{5}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z)} (|\mathbf{u}|^{\frac{10}{3}} + |\nabla Q|^{\frac{10}{3}}) dxdt \right. \\ \left. + \left(r^{-\frac{5}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z)} |P|^{\frac{5}{3}} dxdt \right)^2 > \varepsilon_0^{\frac{10}{3}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple covering argument implies that $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathcal{S}_\delta) = 0$, see [33]. Hence $\Sigma = \cup_{\delta > 0} \Sigma_\delta$ has $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Sigma) = 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

6. PARTIAL REGULARITY, PART II

In this section, we will utilize the results from the previous section and the Sobolev inequality to first show the so-called A-B-C-D Lemmas (see [5] and [24]) and then establish an improved ε_1 -regularity property for suitable weak solutions to (1.6).

Theorem 6.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $(\mathbf{u}, Q) : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}$ is a suitable weak solution of (1.5), which satisfies, for $z_0 \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$,*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(z_0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dxdt < \varepsilon_1^2, \quad (6.1)$$

then $(\mathbf{u}, Q)$ is smooth near z_0 .

For simplicity, we assume $z_0 = (0, 0) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$. To streamline the presentation, we introduce the following dimensionless quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &:= \sup_{-r^2 \leq t \leq 0} r^{-1} \int_{B_r(0) \times \{t\}} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx, \\ B(r) &:= \frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(0,0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt, \\ C(r) &:= \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dx dt, \\ D(r) &:= r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(0,0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Also set

$$(\mathbf{u})_r(t) := \frac{1}{|B_r(0)|} \int_{B_r(0)} \mathbf{u}(x, t) dx, (\nabla Q)_r(t) := \frac{1}{|B_r(0)|} \int_{B_r(0)} \nabla Q(x, t) dx.$$

We also let $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq cB$ for some universal positive constant $c > 0$.

We recall the following interpolation Lemma, whose proof can be found in [5].

Lemma 6.1. *For $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(0)} |v|^q(x, t) dx &\lesssim \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |\nabla v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}-a} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^a \\ &\quad + r^{3(1-\frac{q}{2})} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

for every $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $2 \leq q \leq 6$, $a = \frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{q}{6})$.

Applying Lemma 6.1, we can have

Lemma 6.2. *For any $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty([-\rho^2, 0], L^2(B_\rho(0))) \cap L^2([-\rho^2, 0], H^1(B_\rho(0)))$, and $Q \in L^\infty([-\rho^2, 0], H^1(B_\rho(0))) \cap L^2([-\rho^2, 0], H^2(B_\rho(0)))$, it holds that for any $0 < r \leq \rho$,*

$$C(r) \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. From (6.1) with $q = 3$, $a = \frac{3}{4}$, we obtain that for any $v \in H^1(B_\rho(0))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(0)} |v|^3(x, t) dx &\lesssim \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |\nabla v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\quad + r^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |v|^2(x, t) dx \right)^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Applying Poincaré's inequality, we obtain that for $0 < r \leq \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_r(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx \\
& \lesssim \int_{B_r(0)} (||\mathbf{u}|^2 - (|\mathbf{u}|^2)_\rho| + ||\nabla Q|^2 - (|\nabla Q|^2)_\rho|) dx + \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx \\
& \lesssim \rho \int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\mathbf{u}||\nabla \mathbf{u}| + |\nabla Q||\nabla^2 Q|) dx + \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx \\
& \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} (\rho^{-1} \int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx \\
& \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho) \left(\int_{B_\rho(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \rho A(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this estimate into the second term of the right hand side of the previous inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_r(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dx \\
& \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} (\rho^{-1} \int_{B_r(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2)(x, t) dx)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \quad + r^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2)(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2)(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \quad + r^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2)(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left(\rho^{\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{\rho^{\frac{9}{4}}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) + \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality over $[-r^2, 0]$, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C(r) &= \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) dx \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \left(\rho^{\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{\rho^{\frac{9}{4}}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \int_{-r^2}^0 \left(\int_{B_r(0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} dt A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + r^{-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\rho^{\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{\rho^{\frac{9}{4}}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^3\right] A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^3 A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (5.2). $\square$

Next we want to estimate the pressure function.

Lemma 6.3. *Under the same assumption with Lemma 6.2, it holds for any $0 < r \leq \frac{\rho}{2}$*

$$D(r) \lesssim \frac{r}{\rho} D(\rho) + \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^2 A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. From the scaling invariance of all quantities, we only need to consider the case $\rho = 1$, $0 < r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By taking divergence of the equation (1.5)₁, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta P &= \operatorname{div}^2 [\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q] \\ &= \operatorname{div}^2 [(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) \otimes (\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) + \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q] \\ &= \operatorname{div}^2 [(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) \otimes (\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) + (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) \otimes (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1)] \\ &\quad + \operatorname{div}^2 [(\nabla Q)_1 \otimes (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) + (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) \otimes (\nabla Q)_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a cut off function of $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \eta = 1, & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0), \\ \eta = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0), \\ 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, & |\nabla \eta| \leq 8. \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

Define the following auxillary function

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x, t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_y^2 G(x - y) : \eta^2(y) [(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) \otimes (\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) \\ &\quad + (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) \otimes (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) + (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1) \otimes (\nabla Q)_1 \\ &\quad + (\nabla Q)_1 \otimes (\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1)](y, t) dy, \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$-\Delta P_1 = \operatorname{div}^2 [(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) \otimes (\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1) + \nabla Q \otimes \nabla Q] \text{ in } B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0),$$

and

$$-\Delta(P - P_1) = 0 \text{ in } B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0).$$

For P_1 , we apply the Calderon-Zygmund theory to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} &\lesssim \|\eta^2 |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1|^2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\eta^2 |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + \|\eta^2 |(\nabla Q)_1| |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{B_1(0)} (|\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1|^3 + |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^3) dx \\ &\quad + |(\nabla Q)_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Since $P - P_1$ is harmonic in $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, we get

$$\|P - P_1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_r(0))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim r \|P - P_1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_1(0))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim r (\|P\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_1(0))}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|P_1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_1(0))}^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Integrating it over $[-r^2, 0]$ and applying (5.8), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(0,0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt \\
& \lesssim r \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1|^3 + |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^3) dx dt \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sup_{-1 \leq t \leq 0} |(\nabla Q)_1(t)| \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt \\
& \lesssim r \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1|^3 + |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^3) dx dt \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{r^2} A^{\frac{3}{4}}(1) \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This, combined with the interpolation inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_1|^3 + |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^3) dx dt \\
& \lesssim \sup_{-1 \leq t \leq 0} \left(\int_{B_1(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \right)^{\frac{3}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

and Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx dt \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}_1(0,0)} |\nabla Q - (\nabla Q)_1|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{3}{4}},$$

implies that

$$D(r) \lesssim rD(1) + \frac{1}{r^2} A^{\frac{3}{4}}(1) B^{\frac{3}{4}}(1).$$

This, after scaling back to ρ , yields (6.5). The proof is now complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. For $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0, 0))$ be a function such that

$$\varphi = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{P}_{\frac{\theta\rho}{2}}(0, 0), \quad |\nabla \varphi| \lesssim \frac{1}{\theta\rho}, \quad |\nabla^2 \varphi| + |\varphi_t| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\theta\rho}\right)^2.$$

Applying the local energy inequality in Lemma 2.2, the maximum principles Lemmas 4.1 and 3.2, and the integration by parts, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{-(\theta\rho)^2 \leq t \leq 0} \int_{\Omega} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \varphi^2 dx + \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \varphi^2 dx dt \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (|\varphi_t| + |\nabla \varphi|^2 + |\nabla^2 \varphi|) dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} [(|\mathbf{u}|^2 - (\mathbf{u})_{\theta\rho}^2) + (|\nabla Q|^2 - (\nabla Q)_{\theta\rho}^2) + |P|] |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} |\nabla Q|^2 \varphi^2 dx dt + \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla Q| + |\mathbf{u}| |\Delta Q|) |\varphi| |\nabla \varphi| dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This, with the help of Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla Q| + |\mathbf{u}| |\Delta Q|) |\varphi| |\nabla \varphi| \, dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \varphi^2 \, dx dt \\ & \quad + 4 \int_{\Omega \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & A(\frac{1}{2}\theta\rho) + B(\frac{1}{2}\theta\rho) \\ & = \sup_{-(\frac{\theta\rho}{2})^2 \leq t \leq 0} \frac{2}{\theta\rho} \int_{B_{\frac{\theta\rho}{2}}(0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \, dx + \frac{2}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\frac{\theta\rho}{2}}(0,0)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \, dx dt \\ & \lesssim \sup_{-(\theta\rho)^2 \leq t \leq 0} \frac{1}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \varphi^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) \varphi^2 \, dx dt \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) (|\varphi_t| + |\nabla \varphi|^2 + |\nabla^2 \varphi|) \, dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} [(|\mathbf{u}|^2 - (|\mathbf{u}|^2)_{\theta\rho}) + (|\nabla Q|^2 - (|\nabla Q|^2)_{\theta\rho}) + |P|] |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \varphi| \, dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\theta\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times [-(\theta\rho)^2, 0]} |\nabla Q|^2 \varphi^2 \, dx dt \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^3} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2) \, dx dt + \frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} |P| |\mathbf{u}| \, dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} (||\mathbf{u}|^2 - (|\mathbf{u}|^2)_{\theta\rho}| + ||\nabla Q|^2 - (|\nabla Q|^2)_{\theta\rho}|) |\mathbf{u}| \, dx dt \\ & = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that

$$|I_1| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3) \, dx dt \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \lesssim C^{\frac{2}{3}}(\theta\rho),$$

$$|I_2| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} |\mathbf{u}|^3 \, dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta\rho}(0,0)} |P|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx dt \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \lesssim C^{\frac{1}{3}}(\theta\rho) D^{\frac{2}{3}}(\theta\rho),$$

while, by employing Hölder's and Poincaré's inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| & \lesssim \frac{1}{(\theta\rho)^2} \int_{-(\theta\rho)^2}^0 \int_{B_{\theta\rho}(0)} (|\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| + |\nabla Q| |\nabla^2 Q|) \left(\int_{B_{\theta\rho}(0)} |\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\nabla Q|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \, dt \\ & \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta\rho) B^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta\rho) C^{\frac{1}{3}}(\theta\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Putting together all the estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\frac{1}{2}\theta\rho) + B(\frac{1}{2}\theta\rho) &\lesssim [C^{\frac{2}{3}}(\theta\rho) + A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta\rho)B^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta\rho)C^{\frac{1}{3}}(\theta\rho) + C^{\frac{1}{3}}(\theta\rho)D^{\frac{2}{3}}(\theta\rho)] \\ &\lesssim [C^{\frac{2}{3}}(\theta\rho) + A(\theta\rho)B(\theta\rho) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}(\theta\rho)] \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}\theta\rho) \lesssim [C(\theta\rho) + A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta\rho) + D^2(\theta\rho)].$$

While

$$D^2(\theta\rho) \lesssim \theta^2[D^2(\rho) + \theta^{-6}A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)],$$

and

$$C(\theta\rho) \lesssim \theta^3A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \theta^{-3}A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho).$$

Also note that

$$A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\theta\rho) \leq \theta^{-3}A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho).$$

Therefore we conclude that for $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0\rho) + D^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0\rho) \\ &\leq c[\theta_0^2D^2(\rho) + (\theta_0^{-3} + \theta_0^{-4})A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \theta_0^3A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \theta_0^{-3}A^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{4}}(\rho)] \\ &\leq c[\theta_0^2(D^2(\rho) + A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)) + \theta_0^{-8}A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho)B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + \theta_0^2] \\ &\leq c(\theta_0^2 + \theta_0^{-8}B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho))(A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + D^2(\rho)) + c\theta_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ given by Theorem 5.1, let $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$c\theta_0^2 = \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1^2\right\}.$$

From (6.1), we know that

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} B(\rho) \leq \varepsilon_1^2,$$

hence there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$c\theta_0^{-8}B^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \rho_0.$$

Therefore we conclude that there exist $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0\rho) + D^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2}(A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + D^2(\rho)) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1^2, \quad \forall 0 < \rho < \rho_0.$$

Iterating this inequality yields that

$$A^{\frac{3}{2}}((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^k\rho) + D^2((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^k\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}(A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + D^2(\rho)) + \varepsilon_1^2 \quad (6.9)$$

The Trial Version holds for all $0 < \rho < \rho_0$ and $k \geq 1$.

Employing (5.2) and (6.9), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} C((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^k \rho) &\leq c[(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^3 A^{\frac{3}{2}}((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{k-1} \rho) + (\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{-3} A^{\frac{3}{4}}((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{k-1} \rho) B^{\frac{3}{4}}((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{k-1} \rho)] \\ &\leq c[(\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^3 + (\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{-3} \varepsilon_1^{\frac{3}{2}}] [\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} (A^{\frac{3}{2}}(\rho) + D^2(\rho)) + \varepsilon_1^2] \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

holds for all $0 < \rho < \rho_0$ and $k \geq 1$.

Putting (6.9) and (6.10) together, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [C((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^k \rho) + D^2((\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^k \rho)] \leq c[1 + (\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^3 + (\frac{1}{2}\theta_0)^{-3} \varepsilon_1^{\frac{3}{2}}] \varepsilon_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0^3, \quad (6.11)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$, provided $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\theta_0, \varepsilon_0) > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small. Therefore, by Lemma 5.4 $(\mathbf{u}, Q, P)$ is smooth near $(0, 0)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 1.1 can be proved by the following covering argument. Let Σ be the singular set of suitable weak solutions $(\mathbf{u}, Q, P)$. If $(x, t) \in \Sigma$, then by the theorem 6.1,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(x, t)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \geq \varepsilon_1. \quad (6.12)$$

Let V be a neighborhood of Σ and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $(x, t) \in \Sigma$, we can find $r < \delta$ such that $\mathbb{P}_r(x, t) \subset V$ and

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_{\mathbb{P}_r(x, t)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \geq \varepsilon_1.$$

By Vitali's covering lemma, $\exists (x_i, t_i) \in V, 0 < r_i < \delta$ such that $\{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(x_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint and

$$\Sigma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{5r_i}(x_i, t_i).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{5\delta}^1(\Sigma) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 5r_i \leq \frac{5}{\varepsilon_1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{r_i}(x_i, t_i)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{5}{\varepsilon_1} \int_{\bigcup_i \mathbb{P}_{r_i}(x_i, t_i)} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{5}{\varepsilon_1} \int_V (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that Σ is of zero Lebesgue measure. Then we can choose $|V|$ to be arbitrarily small, from the fact that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt = \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\Delta Q|^2) dx dt < \infty$$

and the absolute continuity of integral, we have

$$\lim_{|V| \rightarrow 0} \int_V (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 Q|^2) dx dt \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\mathcal{P}^1(\Sigma) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{P}_{5\delta}^1(\Sigma) = 0,$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Acknowledgements. Both the first and third authors are partially supported by NSF grant 1764417. The second author is partially supported by the GRF grant (Project No. CityU 11332216). The third author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Fanghua Lin for helpful discussions related to the blowing up argument in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abels, G. Dolzmann, Y. Liu, *Well-Posedness of a Fully Coupled Navier-Stokes/Q-tensor System with Inhomogeneous Boundary Data*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), no. 4, 3050-3077.
- [2] H. Abels, G. Dolzmann, Y. Liu, *Strong solutions for the Beris-Edwards model for nematic liquid crystals with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions*. Adv. Differential Equations 21 (2016), no. 1-2, 109-152.
- [3] J. Ball, A. Majumdar, A., *Nematic liquid crystals: from Maier-Saupe to a continuum theory*. Molecular crystals and liquid crystals, 525 (2010) (1), pp.1-11.
- [4] A. Beris, B. Edwards, *Thermodynamics of Flowing Systems: With Internal Microstructure*. Oxford University Press (1994).
- [5] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 771-831.
- [6] C. Cavaterra, E. Rocca, H. Wu, X. Xu, *Global Strong Solutions of the Full Navier-Stokes and Q-Tensor System for Nematic Liquid Crystal Flows in Two Dimensions*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 48(2016), 1368-1399.
- [7] P. Constantin, A. Kiselev, L. Ryzhik, A. Zlatoš, *Diffusion and mixing in fluid flow*. Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 2, 643-674.
- [8] P. De Gennes, J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*. 2nd edition, Oxford University Press (1995).
- [9] S. Ding, J. Huang, *Global well-posedness for the dynamical Q-tensor model of liquid crystals*. Sci. China Math. 58 (2015), no. 6, 1349-1366.
- [10] M. Doi, S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*. Oxford University Press (1988).
- [11] I. Ekeland, R. Temam, *Convex analysis and variational problems*. North-Holland. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. 1, (1976).
- [12] J. Ericksen, *Conservation laws for liquid crystals*. Trans. Soc. Rheol. 5 (1961), 22-34.
- [13] J. Ericksen, *Continuum theory of nematic liquid crystals*. Res. Mechanica 21 (1987), 381-392.
- [14] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol 19 (American Mathematical Society: Providence, Rhode Island) (1998).
- [15] E. Feireisl, G. Schimperna, E. Rocca, A. Zarnescu, *Nonisothermal nematic liquid crystal flows with the Ball-Majumdar free energy*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 194(2015), 1269-1299.
- [16] F. Guillen-Gonzalez, M. Rodriguez-Bellido, *A uniqueness and regularity criterion for Q-tensor models with Neumann boundary conditions*. Differential Integral Equations 28 (2015), 537-552.
- [17] F. Guillen-Gonzalez, M. Rodriguez-Bellido, *Weak time regularity and uniqueness for a Q-tensor model*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), no. 5, 3540-3567.
- [18] J. Hineman, C. Wang, *Well-posedness of nematic liquid crystal flow in $L^3_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$* . Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 210 (2013), no. 1, 177-218.
- [19] J. Huang, F. Lin, C. Wang, *Regularity and existence of global solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system in $\mathbb{R}^3$* . Comm. Math. Phys. 331 (2014), no. 2, 805-850.
- [20] T. Huang, C. Wang, *Notes on the regularity of harmonic map systems*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 6, 2015-2023.
- [21] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. American Mathematical Society. (1968).
- [22] L. de la Hire, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*. Acta. Math. 63, 183-248 (1934).
- [23] E. M. Leslie, *Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 28 (1968), 265-283.

- [24] F. Lin, *A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), 241-257.
- [25] F. Lin, C. Liu, *Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 48(1995), 501-537.
- [26] F. Lin, C. Liu, *Partial regularity of the dynamic system modeling the flow of liquid crystals*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.. 2 (1996), 1-22.
- [27] F. Lin, J. Lin, C. Wang, *Liquid crystal flows in two dimensions*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 197 (2010), 297-336.
- [28] F. Lin, C. Wang, *Recent developments of analysis for hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals*. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 372 (2014), 20130361.
- [29] F. Lin, C. Wang, *Global existence of weak solutions of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016), no. 8, 1532-1571.
- [30] J. Mottram, C. Newton, Introduction to Q -tensor Theory. University of Strathclyde, Department of Mathematics, Research Report (2004), 10.
- [31] M. Paicu, A. Zarnescu, *Energy dissipation and regularity for a coupled Navier-Stokes and Q -tensor system*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 203 (2012), 45-67.
- [32] M. Paicu, A. Zarnescu, *Global existence and regularity for the full coupled Navier-Stokes and Q -tensor system*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 43 (2011), pp. 2009-2049.
- [33] V. Scheffer, *Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*. Pacific J. Math. 66(1976), 535-552.
- [34] A.M. Sonnet, E.G. Virga, Dissipative Ordered Fluids: Theories for Liquid Crystals. Springer Science & Business Media (2012).
- [35] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions (PMS-30). Princeton university press (2016).
- [36] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis. American Mathematical Soc. (2001).
- [37] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1980).
- [38] W. Wang, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, *Rigorous derivation from Landau-De Gennes theory to Ericksen-Leslie theory*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 47(1) (2015), 127-158.
- [39] M. Wilkinson, *Strictly physical global weak solutions of a Navier-Stokes Q -tensor system with singular potential*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 218 (2015) (1), pp.487-526.
- [40] H. Wu, X. Xu, A. Zarnescu, *Dynamics and flow effects in the Beris-Edwards system modeling nematic liquid crystals*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 231(2019), 1217-1267.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN, 47907, USA.
 Email address: du155@purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG, PRC.
 Email address: xianpehu@cityu.edu.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN, 47907, USA.
 Email address: wang2482@purdue.edu