# **Your Abstract Submission Has Been Received**

### Click here to print this page now.

You have submitted the following abstract to AGU Fall Meeting 2020. Receipt of this notice does not guarantee that your submission was free of errors.

Evaluation of multiple thermodynamic modeling protocols on metabasites: using the Tso Morari UHP eclogite as a case study

**Ruiguang PAN**<sup>1</sup>, Catherine A. Macris<sup>1</sup> and Carrie A Menold<sup>2</sup>, (1)Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN, United States, (2)Albion College, Geological Sciences, Albion, MI, United States

#### **Abstract Text:**

The development of thermodynamic modeling techniques and availability of updated thermodynamic databases and activity-composition (a-X) relations, call for an evaluation of modeling pressure-temperature (P-T) paths of metabasites. In this study, eclogite from the Tso Morari UHP terrane, NW India, is used as a representative metabasite to compare P-T paths generated from the widely used THERMOCALC (TC) and Theriak-Domino (TD) programs. We also evaluate the effect of using the most updated thermodynamic database ds 62 (Holland and Powell 2011) relative to an older version ds 55 (Holland and Powell 1998), and the most updated garnet a-X relations of White et al. (2014) (W14) relative to an older version of White et al. (2007) (W07), while accounting for the effect of garnet fractionation. The following modeling protocols were assessed: (1) TC33: TC v3.33 with ds 55 and garnet a-X relations of W07; (2) TC47: TC v3.47 with ds 62 and garnet of W14; (3) TDG: TD with ds 62 and garnet of W14, and (4) TDW: TD with ds 62 and garnet of W07. TC47 and TDG modeling protocols yield a similar peak metamorphic P-T of 34 ± 1.5 kbar at 544 ± 15 °C and 551 ± 12 °C, respectively; while TC33 and TDW modeling yield similar peak P-T results: 26 ± 1 kbar at 565 ± 8 °C and 28.5 ± 1.5 kbar at 563 ± 13 °C, respectively. Results indicate that all four modeling protocols generally provide consistent thermodynamic simulations regarding metamorphic compositional and temperature evolution; however, the pressure generated by protocols using W14 (TC47 and TDG) is 5–8 kbar higher than that predicted by protocols using W07 (TC33 and TDW). The difference in peak pressure results for the modeling protocols (TC47 and TDG vs. TC33 and TDW) are beyond the suggested uncertainty using mineral isopleth thermobarometry in pseudosections: ± 50 °C and ± 1 kbar at  $2\sigma$  (Powell and Holland 2008). This study illustrates that the choice of garnet *a-X* relations can affect predictions of peak pressure regardless of program choice, as well as the need of comparison between modeling predictions and petrological observations.

#### References

Holland & Powell J Metamorph Geol 16, 309–343 (1998) Holland & Powell J Metamorph Geol 29, 333–383 (2011) Powell & Holland J Metamorph Geol 26, 155–179 (2008) White et al. J Metamorph Geol 25, 511–527 (2007) White et al. J Metamorph Geol 32, 261–286 (2014)

## Session Selection:

V010. Multidisciplinary insights into tectonic and metamorphic processes at convergent margins

Submitter's E-mail Address: panr@iupui.edu

Abstract Title: Evaluation of multiple thermodynamic modeling protocols on metabasites: using the Tso Morari UHP eclogite as a case study

**Requested Presentation Type:** Assigned by Program Committee (Oral, eLightning, or Poster)

Previously Published?: Yes

#### **Previously Published Material:**

Pan, R., Macris, C.A. & Menold, C.A. Thermodynamic modeling of high-grade metabasites: a case study using the Tso Morari UHP eclogite. Contrib Mineral Petrol 175, 78 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-020-01717-w

AGU On-Demand: No

Abstract Payment: Paid (agu-fm20-764468-0488-7257-9475-9026)

For Students only: I am interested in participating in OSPA.

Advisor Name: Catherine A. Macris

Advisor Email: camacris@iupui.edu

Select your degree-granting institution below. This is the university or college that you will graduate from or that will award your diploma: Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis

First Presenting Author *Presenting Author* 

Ruiguang PAN Primary Email: panr@iupui.edu

Affiliation(s):

Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis Indianapolis IN 46202 (United States)

## Second Author

Catherine A. Macris Primary Email: camacris@iupui.edu

# Affiliation(s):

Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis Indianapolis IN 46202 (United States)

### **Third Author**

Carrie A Menold Primary Email: cmenold@albion.edu

Affiliation(s):

Albion College Geological Sciences Albion MI (United States)

If necessary, you can make changes to your abstract submission

To access your submission in the future, point your browser to: User Portal Your Abstract ID# is: 764468.

Any changes that you make will be reflected instantly in what is seen by the reviewers.

After the abstract proposal is submitted, you are not required to go through all submission steps to make edits. For example, click the "Authors" step in the Abstract Submission Control Panel to edit the Authors and then click save or submit.

When you have completed your submission, you may close this browser window or submit another abstract proposal: Call for Abstracts.

Tell us what you think of the abstract submission process