
Sedition Hunters: Countering Extremism through Collective Action

Sukrit Venkatagiri

Virginia Tech
Arlington, VA, USA
sukritv@vt.edu

Tianjiao Yu

Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA, USA
tianjiao@vt.edu

Vikram Mohanty

Virginia Tech
Arlington, VA, USA
vikrammohanty@vt.edu

Kurt Luther

Virginia Tech
Arlington, VA, USA
kluther@vt.edu

ABSTRACT

Online extremism can quickly spill over into the physical world and have dangerous consequences, as when rioters attacked the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021. While information and communication technologies have enabled extremists to plan and organize violent events, they have also enabled collective action by others to identify the perpetrators and hold them accountable. Through a mixed-methods case study of Sedition Hunters, a Twitter-based community whose goal is to identify individuals who took part in the Capitol attack, we explore: 1) how the community formed and changed over time; 2) the motives, ethos, and roles of its members; and 3) the methods and software tools they used to identify individuals and coordinate their activities.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CSCW 2021 Workshop on Addressing Challenges and Opportunities in Online Extremism Research, 2021

© 2021 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).



Figure 1: Two (of four) composite images created by the Sedition Hunters community that are referenced in an official DOJ arrest warrant [3].

¹<https://twitter.com/SeditionHunters>

CCS CONCEPTS

- Human-centered computing → Empirical studies in collaborative and social computing.

KEYWORDS

crowdsourcing, collective action, investigations, crowdsourced investigations, extremism, expertise, Capitol riot

ACM Reference Format:

Sukrit Venkatagiri, Vikram Mohanty, Tianjiao Yu, and Kurt Luther. 2021. Sedition Hunters: Countering Extremism through Collective Action. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages.

INTRODUCTION

Prior research on extremism has studied how to characterize [23], track [25], and prevent [27] extremism in both offline and online contexts. For example, the U.S. government has engaged in in-person outreach measures, as well as targeted interventions by training educators, social workers, and police officers [27].

Despite these efforts, instances where online extremism leads to violence are on the rise [12]. Most recently, on January 6, 2021, the U.S. Capitol was violently attacked by hundreds of rioters, resulting in at least one death, hundreds of injuries, and millions of dollars in property damages [7]. This was the most severe assault on the U.S. Capitol since the War of 1812 and, by one account, “shook the very foundation of American Democracy” [10]. The attack was partially planned online [19] and fueled by online extremism [20].

Traditionally, law enforcement professionals are tasked with dealing with the aftereffects of such violent extremism by identifying, tracking down, and prosecuting perpetrators [26]. Following the Capitol attack, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) began its investigation on what was arguably the most documented crime in history, with hundreds of individuals livestreaming and uploading social media posts during and after the attack [5]. This overabundance of evidence coupled with the large number of suspects involved has resulted in “the most complex investigation ever prosecuted by the Department of Justice” [6]. Despite over 500 arrests, hundreds of suspects remain unidentified, prompting the DOJ to seek assistance from the public [4].

Immediately after the Capitol attack, a Twitter-based community of tens of thousands of individuals calling themselves “Sedition Hunters”¹ began to collaboratively collate, investigate, and distribute images of suspects on social media. The community’s efforts are notable not only for their longevity — they remain active over nine months after the attack — but also their success, having directly contributed to numerous arrests, and being cited in legal proceedings [2, 3, 18, 22]. As we will show,



Figure 2: One (of two) images submitted to the DOJ by the Sedition Hunters community that was referenced in an official DOJ arrest warrant. The warrant states, “*FBI received a tip that originated from a group known as the Sedition Hunters, that provided a possible identity for the individual depicted in Photograph #211-AOM*” [2].

one reason for the community’s success may be the ways it crowdsources the collection, investigation, and dissemination of the vast amount of visual evidence that exists from the day of the attack.

While some crowdsourced investigations have resulted in similar successes, such as identifying objects linked to child exploitation [1] and supporting crisis response efforts [16], others have led to their own forms of harmful behavior, including doxxing and misidentification [9, 17, 21]. What factors contribute to the success of some crowdsourced investigations and the failure of others? In this work, we present preliminary findings from a mixed-methods case study of Sedition Hunters, addressing the following research questions:

- **RQ1:** What is the history and narrative around the Sedition Hunters community?
- **RQ2:** Who participates in the Sedition Hunters community? How and why do they participate, and what are their roles?
- **RQ3:** What methods and software tools do members of the Sedition Hunters community use to identify suspects and coordinate their work?

APPROACH

We are conducting a mixed methods analysis of the Sedition Hunters community on Twitter. Our qualitative methods include interviews, trace ethnography, and content analysis, while the quantitative methods include social network analysis and natural language processing.

Specifically, regarding qualitative methods, we are conducting interviews with prominent individuals who participate in the Sedition Hunters community to understand the history of the community, their perspectives and motives for participating, and the methods they employ. Through trace ethnography [11] of tweets, we seek to understand how hashtags are used, as well as the various roles within the community, such as passive broadcasters, investigators, and trolls. Also, content analysis will allow us to categorize the various techniques and tools that community members use when investigating suspects.

Regarding quantitative methods, social network analysis will give us a better understanding of the user accounts that comprise the network and how participation changes over time. Additionally, through natural language processing, we will be able to characterize the discourse within the community and how it differs between subgroups.

SEDITION HUNTERS

The Sedition Hunters community is centered around the eponymous hashtag #SeditionHunters and Twitter account @SeditionHunters. The account, hashtag, and community emerged immediately following the Capitol attack and remains active over nine months later.



Figure 3: An example tweet posted by the @SeditionHunters account. Note the unique hashtag for this individual, #SmokeyInsider, and explicit instructions to contact the FBI and to not post names on social media (inset in the top center of the image).

²<http://capitolmap.com/faces>,
<https://facesoftheriot.com/>

There are several other prominent, yet anonymous accounts within the community, including: @SeditionTrack, @capitolhunters, and @riotfaces. Other frequently co-occurring hashtags include: #DoYouKnow, #CapitolFaces, #CapitolRiots, and #SeditionInsiders.

This community is notable for its efforts that have directly contributed to several arrests by the FBI and their use in court proceedings [2, 3]. For example, the DOJ has cited composite images created by the Sedition Hunters community in prosecuting an individual who allegedly assaulted police officers [3] (see Fig. 1). A federal judge has also delayed the sentencing hearing of a rioter after the Sedition Hunters found and disseminated new video evidence of the rioter allegedly assaulting a police officer [8]. This was a crime that the rioter had not been charged with at the time of the hearing, although he had plead guilty to less serious offenses. Further, and as a result of the Sedition Hunters' successes, numerous mainstream media outlets have featured and even incorporated this community in its reporting of the attack on the U.S. Capitol [18, 22].

How has the Sedition Hunters community successfully sifted through and investigated such a vast, distributed trove of online imagery to support the DOJ? We have found three methods that may have contributed to their success: 1) leveraging crowdsourcing to scale up data collection and analysis, 2) using preexisting and custom-built software tools to further their analysis, and 3) using their large social media following to increase the likelihood of identifying a suspect.

First, to help identify suspects, community members have leveraged crowdsourcing to collect and investigate imagery of suspects. Capitol attack suspects may be difficult to identify due to face coverings and low quality imagery. The community has attempted to overcome this challenge by collecting multiple images of each suspect to create *composite images*. To increase the likelihood of identifying and locating a suspect, the community has also crowdsourced the identification of objects within these images, such as brand names, equipment, and clothing, some of which is distinctive or unique and can be sourced to specific geographic locations, organizations, or merchants.

Second, to support their own investigative efforts as well as the broader community's, members have drawn upon their knowledge across a range of domains, from law enforcement to software development. For example, some have taken advantage of publicly accessible software tools and APIs, including tools for mapping and satellite imagery, face recognition, and image enhancement. To aid in finding other imagery of suspects, members have even built custom face recognition databases consisting of images and videos that can be searched by uploading target images².

Finally, to increase the likelihood that a suspect is identified, community members widely distribute the composite images on Twitter with the hashtag, #DoYouKnow, and a call to action asking individuals to provide additional information or retweet (see Fig. 3). To collectively refer to and keep track of different images of suspects, as well as distinguish suspects from one another, community members have created unique (and often pejorative) hashtags based on suspects' attire or actions, such as "#RackFighter" and "#FlagGaiterCopHater." The latter hashtag was referred to 13 times in an official

Image	Main Hashtag	Hashtag	Composites
	#BaldBadFlag	#BaldBadFlag	
	#BaldBryantBolton	#BaldBryantBolton	
	#BaldEagle	#BaldEagle	
	#BaldJerichoMarchGuy	#BaldJerichoMarchGuy	

Figure 4: A crowdsourced spreadsheet created by the Sedition Hunters community with images, hashtags, and links to composite images for unidentified suspects.

DOJ arrest warrant for that individual [3]. The community's efforts are not only coordinated on Twitter, but also through Facebook Groups, spreadsheets (see Fig. 4), and other collaborative documents. These platforms enable community members to more easily track each others' efforts and collect information about suspects.

Despite its successes, Sedition Hunters, like other crowdsourced investigations, has also made errors. We have found instances where individuals were misidentified [13] or visual evidence was incorrectly interpreted. For example, individuals incorrectly inferred that the logo on a suspect's hat was taped over because of artifacts created by deep learning-based image enhancement [15]. A suspect who wore a hat with the letters "CFD" on it was misidentified as a retired firefighter from the Chicago Fire Department (CFD) with similar facial features [13]. Tweets with this incorrect information can still be found, including a tweet [14] that was posted by an account with over 26,000 followers.

We have found that, despite initial setbacks, the community can be willing to learn from its mistakes. After a string of misidentifications, several prominent accounts within the Sedition Hunters community began explicitly instructing others to refrain from publicly naming suspects, and instead, to submit identifying information directly to the FBI [24] (see Fig. 3).

We welcome feedback on how to protect study participants and researchers from outside harm, as well as other research questions, data sources, and methods of analysis to consider.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the members of the Crowd Intelligence Lab for their contributions to this paper. This research is supported in part by NSF IIS-1651969.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2019. *Stop Child Abuse – Trace an Object*. www.europol.europa.eu/stopchildabuse
- [2] 2021. United States of America v. Chase Kevin Allen. *United States District Court for the District of Columbia* (June 2021). www.justice.gov/usao-dc/case-multi-defendant/file/1408341/download
- [3] 2021. United States of America v. David Nicholas Dempsey. *United States District Court for the District of Columbia* (Aug. 2021). www.justice.gov/usao-dc/case-multi-defendant/file/1428151/download
- [4] 2021. U.S. Capitol Violence — FBI Most Wanted. www.fbi.gov/wanted/capitol-violence
- [5] Vera Bergengruen and W.J. Hennigan. 2021. The Capitol Attack Was the Most Documented Crime in History. Will That Ensure Justice? *Time* (April 2021). time.com/5953486/january-capitol-attack-investigation/
- [6] Kyle Cheney and Josh Gerstein. 2021. Prosecutors seek a slowdown in Capitol attack cases, calling probe the 'most complex' in history. *POLITICO* (March 2021). www.politico.com/news/2021/03/12/prosecutors-capitol-riot-investigation-475505
- [7] Emily Cochrane and Luke Broadwater. 2021. Capitol Riot Costs Will Exceed \$30 Million, Official Tells Congress - The New York Times. *New York Times* (Feb. 2021). www.nytimes.com/2021/02/24/us/politics/capitol-riot-damage.html
- [8] TuAnh Dam. 2021. Capitol rioter's sentencing delayed after new video evidence of alleged police assault emerges. *Axios* (2021). www.axios.com/capitol-riot-sentencing-delay-new-videos-05e6103e-3546-4082-9cea-344666463ae8.html

- [9] Victor Daniel. 2017. *Amateur Sleuths Aim to Identify Charlottesville Marchers, but Sometimes Misfire*. www.nytimes.com/2017/08/14/us/charlottesville-doxxing.html
- [10] Scott Detrow and Juana Summers. 2021. Biden: Democracy 'Under Unprecedented Assault' As Pro-Trump Extremists Occupy Capitol. *NPR* (Jan. 2021). www.npr.org/sections/congress-electoral-college-tally-live-updates/2021/01/06/954063529/watch-live-joe-biden-speaks-as-protesters-force-u-s-capitol-into-lockdown
- [11] R Stuart Geiger and David Ribes. 2011. Trace ethnography: Following coordination through documentary practices. In *2011 44th Hawaii international conference on system sciences*. IEEE, 1–10.
- [12] Seth G Jones. 2018. *The rise of far-right extremism in the United States*. JSTOR.
- [13] Mark Konkol. 2021. Trolls Wrongly Accused Retired Firefighter Of Capitol Riot Murder. *Chicago, IL Patch* (Jan. 2021). patch.com/illinois/chicago/trolls-wrongly-accused-retired-firefighter-capitol-riot-murder
- [14] KT CounterIntelligence (@KremlinTrolls). 2021. This is David Quintavalle, a retired Chicago Firefighter. t.co/fGOeuAJBrw. twitter.com/KremlinTrolls/status/1349079001306976258
- [15] Kurt Luther (@kurtluther). 2021. Here is a fascinating example of how photo "enhancement" can actually derail a crowdsourced investigation. t.co/9A3QJl7XQg. twitter.com/kurtluther/status/1349021288313073664
- [16] Nicolas J LaLone, Jess Kropczynski, and Andrea H Tapia. 2018. The Symbiotic Relationship of Crisis Response Professionals and Enthusiasts as Demonstrated by Reddit's User-Interface Over Time. In *ISCRAM*.
- [17] ArLuther Lee. 2019. Police deny link to mysterious 'Umbrella Man,' who broke windows during riot. *Atlanta Journal Constitution* (2019). www.ajc.com/news/police-deny-link-mysterious-umbrella-man-who-broke-windows-during-riot/3j8cSrRBHjvnx0PRwLYIP/
- [18] Tim Mak. 2021. The FBI Keeps Using Clues From Volunteer Sleuths To Find The Jan. 6 Capitol Rioters. *NPR* (Aug. 2021). www.npr.org/2021/08/18/1028527768/the-fbi-keeps-using-clues-from-volunteer-sleuths-to-find-the-jan-6-capitol-rioters
- [19] Jemima McEvoy. 2021. Capitol Attack Was Planned Openly Online For Weeks: Police Still Weren't Ready. www.forbes.com/sites/jemimamcevoy/2021/01/07/capitol-attack-was-planned-openly-online-for-weeks-police-still-werent-ready/?sh=b07e07176e2e
- [20] Luke Munn. 2021. More than a mob: Parler as preparatory media for the U.S. Capitol storming. *First Monday* (Feb. 2021). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v26i3.11574>
- [21] Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey, and Ryan Broll. 2017. Diligantism: An analysis of crowdsourcing and the Boston marathon bombings. *The British journal of criminology* 57, 2 (2017), 341–361.
- [22] Sarah Parvini and Melissa Gomez. 2021. Amateur social media sleuths track violent Capitol rioters - Los Angeles Times. *Los Angeles Times* (Jan. 2021). www.latimes.com/california/story/2021-01-17/amateur-social-media-sleuths-track-violent-capitol-rioters
- [23] Shruti Phadke and Tanushree Mitra. 2021. Educators, Solicitors, Flamers, Motivators, Sympathizers: Characterizing Roles in Online Extremist Movements. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* (2021).
- [24] Sedition Hunters (@SeditionHunters). 2021. #SeditionHunters on Twitter. twitter.com/SeditionHunters/status/1354076433610657792
- [25] Kate Starbird, Ahmer Arif, and Tom Wilson. 2019. Disinformation as Collaborative Work: Surfacing the Participatory Nature of Strategic Information Operations. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 3, CSCW, Article 127 (Nov. 2019), 26 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359229>
- [26] Sukrit Venkatagiri, Aakash Gautam, and Kurt Luther. 2021. CrowdSolve: Managing Tensions in an Expert-Led Crowdsourced Investigation. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 5, CSCW1, Article 118 (April 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449192>
- [27] Lorenzo Vidino and Seamus Hughes. 2015. Countering violent extremism in America. *The George Washington University Center for Cyber and Homeland Security* (2015).