

Nicholas Gaubatz¹, Peter Johnson²

¹Department of Mathematics & Statistics Murray State University Murray, Kentucky 42071, USA

²Department of Mathematics & Statistics Auburn University Auburn, Alabama 36849, USA

email: ngaubatz@murraystate.edu, johnspd@auburn.edu

(Received October 3, 2021, Accepted November 3, 2021)

Abstract

For integers n > 1, the n-abundancy index, analogous to the abundancy index on the positive integers, is defined on $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$. Some basic results, founded on basic results about divisor sets in \mathbb{Z}_n , are obtained, including the result that if n is a prime power, then the n-abundancy index is one-to-one on $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$.

1 Introduction

Throughout, \mathbb{Z} will denote the set of integers and \mathbb{Z}^+ the set of positive integers. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, n > 1, the elements of the ring of integers modulo n will be denoted \mathbb{Z}_n . We allow each congruence class mod n to be represented by any integer in that congruence class. For instance, 13 and 33 represent the same congruence class in (i.e., element of) \mathbb{Z}_{20} ; this is the same assertion as $13 \equiv 33 \pmod{20}$.

Each congruence class mod n has a representative among $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. In the definitions in the next section, we will use these favored representatives

Key words and phrases: Abundancy index, ring of integers modulo n. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 11A07, 11A25. This work was supported by NSF DMS grant no. 1950563. ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net

of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_n . We must warn that we will be switching back and forth between \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_n in these definitions— $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ may be an element of \mathbb{Z}_n in one part of the definition and a plain old integer in another part. But we will take pains to make these matters clear.

As usual, d|n stands for d divides n, which is the same as stating that n is a multiple of d. When this notation appears, it is understood that $d, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and that the multiplication involved is the usual multiplication in the ring \mathbb{Z} .

On \mathbb{Z}^+ , the sum-of-divisors function is defined by $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$. The abundancy index of $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is defined by

$$I(n) = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}.$$

This parameter has been of interest for many decades (see [1] and [5]), not least because of its connection with the question, descending from antiquity, of *perfect numbers*, which are positive integers n such that I(n) = 2.

Positive integers m and n are said to be *friends* if and only if $m \neq n$ and I(m) = I(n). Thus, all the perfect numbers are friends with each other. It is not known whether or not there is an infinite cohort of mutual friends; the perfect numbers are the only likely candidate, at present.

At the other end of the friendship spectrum, a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is said to be *solitary* if it has no friends. It is known (see [4]) that 1 and all prime powers are solitary. The only integers among $1, \ldots, 13$ other than 1 or prime powers are 6, 10, and 12; 6 is perfect (therefore, with quite a few friends), and 12 has at least one friend, namely, 234 [2]. At present, the leading candidate for the smallest solitary n > 1 which is not a prime power is 10.

In the next section we define, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, n > 1, the *n-abundancy index* $\overline{I_n}: (\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathbb{Q} = \{\text{rational numbers}\}$. In the last section we prove some basic results about this index, culminating in a proof that if n is a prime power, then every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ is n-solitary.

2 Divisors and the Abundancy Index in \mathbb{Z}_n

Definition. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 0 < a, b < n and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \ge 2$, we say that a is an n-divisor of b, denoted $a|_n b$, if there exists a $d \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $da \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

We denote the set of *n*-divisors of *b* as $D_{b,n} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < a < n \text{ and } a|_n b\}.$

The next few lemmas state some well-known number theory facts and relate them to our notation.

Lemma 2.1. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$, $a|_n b$ if and only if $(n-a)|_n b$.

This immediately implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We have that $a \in D_{b,n}$ if and only if $n - a \in D_{b,n}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$. Then gcd(a, n)|b if and only if $a|_nb$.

Proof. Let d = gcd(a, n). To prove this lemma, we utilize Bézout's Identity: There exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that d = ua + vn.

- (\Rightarrow) Suppose d|b, with b=cd for some $c\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exist $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $d=au+nv\iff au\equiv d\pmod n$, so $b\equiv c(au)\pmod n\equiv a(cu)\pmod n$, so $a|_nb$.
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose $a|_n b$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $b \equiv ac \pmod{n}$, so there exists $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that b = ac + ny. But, d|a and d|n, so d|(ac + ny) = b.

Note that this means $a \in D_{b,n}$ if and only if gcd(a,n)|b. Furthermore, $D_{1,n} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < a < n \text{ and } gcd(a,n) = 1\}.$

It is also useful to note that for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$, if $a|_n b$ and $b|_n c$, then $a|_n c$. This immediately implies that if $a \in D_{b,n}$ and $b \in D_{c,n}$, then $a \in D_{c,n}$; i.e., n-divisibility is transitive.

Definition. We define the sum of n-divisors function $\overline{\sigma_n} : \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\overline{\sigma_n}(m) = \sum_{d|_n m} d.$$

It is important to note that this sum is taken in the ring of integers, for if the sum is taken mod(n), very often it results in 0.

Example. In \mathbb{Z}_6 , $\overline{\sigma_6}(1) = 1 + 5 = 6$ and $\overline{\sigma_6}(2) = 1 + 2 + 4 + 5 = 12$.

Definition. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we define the *n*-abundancy index of m as

$$\overline{I_n}(m) = \frac{\overline{\sigma_n}(m)}{m}.$$

Example. In \mathbb{Z}_6 , $\overline{I_6}(1) = \frac{6}{1} = 6$ and $\overline{I_6}(2) = \frac{12}{2} = 6$.

Definition. If $\overline{I_n}(a) = \overline{I_n}(b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}, a \neq b$, we say that a and b are n-friends. A number with at least one n-friend is called n-friendly, while a number with no n-friends is called n-solitary.

Example. The following table shows 6-abundancy indices for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_6 \setminus \{0\}$:

Because 1 and 2 both have 6 as their 6-abundancy indices, 1 and 2 are 6-friends. Likewise, 3 and 4 are also 6-friends. However, 5 is 6-solitary.

3 New Results

Based on everything stated so far, we can show a simple result involving p-friends, where p is any prime.

Proposition 3.1. If p is prime, then every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ is p-solitary.

Proof. Since \mathbb{Z}_p is a field, every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ is p-divisible by every $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$.

Therefore,
$$\overline{\sigma_a} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$$
 for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, for every a ,

$$b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$$
 such that $a \neq b$, $\overline{I_p}(a) = \frac{p(p-1)}{2a} \neq \frac{p(p-1)}{2b} = \overline{I_p}(b)$.

Before we state our next result, let us present some notation. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, 0 < k < n. Denote

$$kA := \{ka \pmod{n} | a \in A\}.$$

Thus, $kA \subset \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$.

We will denote $\sum A$ as the integer sum of elements of a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, in view of our goal to characterize n-friend relations in generality, we first build toward a result relating 1 and 2 in \mathbb{Z}_{2m} , where m is odd.

Proposition 3.2. Consider \mathbb{Z}_{2m} , where m is odd. Then the following statements hold:

- 1) $D_{2,2m} = D_{1,2m} \cup 2D_{1,2m}$,
- 2) $D_{1,2m} \cap 2D_{1,2m} = \emptyset$, and
- 3) $|D_{1,2m}| = |2D_{1,2m}|$.

Proof. 1)

(\supseteq) Suppose $a \in D_{1,2m}$. Then $a|_{2m}1$, so $1 \equiv ad \pmod{2m}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$. Thus, $2 \equiv 2ad \pmod{2m} \equiv a(2d) \pmod{2m}$, so $a|_{2m}2$ and thus $a \in D_{2,2m}$.

Instead suppose $a \in 2D_{1,2m}$. Then $a \equiv 2b \pmod{2m}$ for some $b \in D_{1,2m}$; i.e., $bd \equiv 1 \pmod{2m}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$. Thus, $ad \equiv 2bd \pmod{2m} \equiv 2 \pmod{2m}$, so $a|_{2m}2$ and thus $a \in D_{2,2m}$.

(\subseteq) Now suppose $a \in D_{2,2m}$; that is, $a|_{2m}2$. By Lemma 2.3, $gcd(a,2m)|_2$. This means that gcd(a,2m)=1 or gcd(a,2m)=2.

If gcd(a, 2m) = 1, then $a|_{2m}1$, so $a \in D_{1,2m}$.

If gcd(a, 2m) = 2, then 2|a, so a is even with a = 2c for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since 1 < a < 2m, we have that $1 \le c < m$; also, gcd(c, m) = 1.

If c is odd, then gcd(c, 2m) = 1, so $a = 2c \in 2D_{1,2m}$. So, suppose c is even. Since m is odd, m + c is also odd. Therefore, gcd(m + c, 2m) = 1; also, $1 \le m + c < 2m$. Consequently, $m + c \in D_{1,2m}$. Therefore, $a = 2c \equiv 2(m + c) \pmod{2m} \in 2D_{1,2m}$.

- 2) Suppose $a \in D_{1,2m}$. Then $a|_{2m}1$, so $gcd(a,2m)|1 \Rightarrow gcd(a,2m) = 1$, so a must be odd. Now, note that all elements of $2D_{1,2m}$ are even, since if $b \in 2D_{1,2m}$ then there exists a $c \in D_{1,2m}$ such that $b \equiv 2c \pmod{2m} \Rightarrow b = 2c + 2mv = 2(c + mv)$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $a \notin 2D_{1,2m}$, so $D_{1,2m} \cap 2D_{1,2m} = \emptyset$.
- 3) Consider the map $\phi: D_{1,2m} \to 2D_{1,2m}$, $\phi(a) \equiv 2a \pmod{2m}$. We will show that ϕ is a bijection.

(Injection:) Suppose $\phi(a) \equiv \phi(b) \pmod{2m}$ for some $a, b \in D_{1,2m}$. By the above, both a and b are odd. Then $2a \equiv 2b \pmod{2m}$ implies that $2(a-b) \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$. Thus, $a-b \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$, in which case $a \equiv b \pmod{2m}$ and we are done, or $a-b \equiv m \pmod{2m}$, in which case a and b being odd means that m is even, a contradiction. Thus, ϕ is injective.

(Surjection:) By definition, if $a \in 2D_{1,2m}$, then $a \equiv 2b \pmod{2m}$ for some $b \in D_{1,2m}$. Thus, ϕ is surjective.

Therefore, $|D_{1,2m}| = |2D_{1,2m}|$.

We are now ready to state our first "new" result.

Theorem 3.3. If m is odd, then 1 and 2 are 2m-friends.

Proof. Note that $\overline{I_{2m}}(1) = \overline{\sigma_{2m}}(1) = \sum D_{1,2m}$. We will show that $\sum 2D_{1,2m} = \sum D_{1,2m}$; this, in view of Proposition 3.2, will prove the theorem's claim.

To this end, recall that by Corollary 2.2, $a \in D_{1,2m}$ if and only if $2m - a \in D_{1,2m}$, so $D_{1,2m} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k, 2m - a_1, \ldots, 2m - a_k\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

we arrange the elements so that $1 \leq a_1, \ldots, a_k < m$. Thus, $\sum D_{1,2m} = a_1 + \cdots + a_k + (2m - a_1) + \cdots + (2m - a_k) = k(2m)$.

Furthermore, since arrangements have been made so that $1 \leq a_1, \ldots, a_k < m$, we have that $2 \leq 2a_j < 2m$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Meanwhile, $2(2m - a_j) = 4m - 2a_j \equiv 2m - 2a_j \pmod{2m}$, and $0 < 2m - 2a_j < 2m$. Therefore, $2D_{1,2m} = \{2a_1, \ldots, 2a_k, 2m - 2a_1, \ldots, 2m - 2a_k\}$, where each of the 2k integers listed are distinct because $|D_{1,2m}| = |2D_{1,2m}|$. Therefore,

$$\sum 2D_{1,2m} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (2m - 2a_j) = k(2m) = \sum D_{1,2m}.$$

Thus, $\sum D_{2,2m} = \sum (D_{1,2m} \cup 2D_{1,2m}) = \sum D_{1,2m} + \sum 2D_{1,2m} = 2 \sum D_{1,2m}$ since $D_{1,2m}$ and $2D_{1,2m}$ are disjoint. Therefore, 1 and 2 are 2*m*-friends.

Now that we have established the result that 1 and 2 are 2m-friends for any odd m, we must build up some more theory before trying to tackle any other cases. The following lemma is essential for constructing $mD_{1,n}$ for any m and n.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose a, b, and m > 1 are positive integers and gcd(m, b) = 1. Then at least one of the integers a + kb, $0 \le k \le m - 1$, is relatively prime to m.

Proof. We will show that the congruence classes modulo m of the integers $a, a + b, \ldots, a + (m-1)b$ are distinct.

Suppose $0 \le k < q \le m-1$ with $a+kb \equiv a+qb \pmod m$. Then $m|(q-k)b \Longrightarrow m|(q-k)$ since gcd(m,b)=1. But $1 \le q-k \le m-1$, so it is impossible that m|(q-k).

Therefore, the congruence classes of the integers a+kb, $0 \le k \le m-1$, are distinct. Since there are m of these congruence classes, it must be that $a+kb \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ for some $k \in \{0,\ldots,m-1\}$. Thus, $\gcd(a+kb,m) = 1$.

In fact, the conclusion of the previous lemma can be sharpened to the following: $\varphi(m)$ of the integers a+kb, $0 \le k \le m-1$, are relatively prime to m, where φ is Euler's totient function.

Proposition 3.5. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, with 0 < m < n. If $m \mid n$, then

$$mD_{1,n} = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} | 0 < d < n \text{ and } gcd(d,n) = m\}.$$

Proof. (\subseteq) Suppose $d \in mD_{1,n}$. Then $d \equiv mj \pmod{n}$ for some $j|_n1$. Thus, d = mj + nv for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$; but, m|m and m|n, so m|d. Thus, $gcd(d, n) \geq m$. However, since $j|_n1$, $1 \equiv jl \pmod{n}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $m \equiv mjl \pmod{n} \equiv dl \pmod{n}$, so $d|_nm$, which implies that gcd(d, n)|m and thus $gcd(d, n) \leq m$. Therefore, gcd(d, n) = m.

(\supseteq) Suppose that n, d, and m are positive integers satisfying 0 < d < n and m = gcd(d, n). Let integers c and t be defined by d = mc and n = mt. Then gcd(c, t) = 1.

We will show that $d \equiv mx \pmod{n}$ for some $x \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ satisfying gcd(x, n) = 1.

Using the Unique Factorization Theorem, we can refactor n as n = MT, in which M|m, t|T, and gcd(M,T) = 1; also, every prime divisor of T is a prime divisor of t. Because gcd(c,t) = 1, it follows that gcd(c,T) = 1.

We will look for x in the arithmetic progression c+kT, $0 \le k \le M-1$. Observe that for all such k, $m(c+kT) = mc + kmT = d + kmT \equiv d \pmod{n}$, because t|T implies that n = mt|kmT. Therefore, it will suffice to show the existence of $k \in \{0, \ldots, M-1\}$ such that gcd(c+kT, n) = 1.

Since gcd(M,T)=1, Lemma 3.4 allows us to conclude that gcd(c+kT,M)=1 for some $k'\in\{0,\ldots,M-1\}$. But since n=MT, it follows that gcd(c+k'T,n)=gcd(c+k'T,T). But, any common divisor of T and c+k'T must divide c, so gcd(c+k'T,T)=gcd(c,T)=1.

The following corollary is a generalization of parts (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.2:

Corollary 3.6. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with 0 < k < n, and let M be the set of all positive integers m such that m|k and m|n. Then

$$D_{k,n} = \bigcup_{m \in M} m D_{1,n}.$$

2)
$$mD_{1,n} \cap m'D_{1,n} = \emptyset \text{ for all } m, m' \in M, m \neq m'.$$

Proof. 1) (\subseteq) Suppose $a \in D_{k,n}$. Then by Lemma 2.3, gcd(a,n)|k. Let $m_a = gcd(a,n)$. Then $a \in m_aD_{1,n}$ by Proposition 3.5, where $m_a|k$ and $m_a|n$ implies that $m_a \in M$.

 (\supseteq) If $a \in mD_{1,n}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with m|k and m|n, then $a \equiv mj \pmod n$ for some $j|_n 1$ and k = mp for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $jl \equiv 1 \pmod n$ for some

 $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$k = mp$$

$$\equiv m(jl)p \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv a(lp) \pmod{n},$$

so $a|_{n}k$ and thus $a \in D_{k,n}$.

2) By way of contradiction, suppose there exists an a such that $a \in m_1D_{1,n}$ and $a \in m_2D_{1,n}$, where $m_1 \neq m_2$. Then by Proposition 3.5, $gcd(a,n) = m_1$ and $gcd(a,n) = m_2$, so $m_1 = m_2$.

Indeed, if we let k=2 and n=2m for an odd $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then by Corollary 3.6 we get parts (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.2.

Example. Consider \mathbb{Z}_{12} :

$$D_{1,12} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$$

$$2D_{1,12} = \{2, 10\}$$

$$3D_{1,12} = \{3, 9\}$$

$$4D_{1,12} = \{4, 8\}$$

$$6D_{1,12} = \{6\}$$

Indeed,

$$D_{1,12} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$$

$$D_{2,12} = \{1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 2D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{3,12} = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 3D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{4,12} = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 2D_{1,12} \cup 4D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{5,12} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\} = D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{6,12} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 2D_{1,12} \cup 3D_{1,12} \cup 6D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{7,12} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\} = D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{8,12} = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 2D_{1,12} \cup 4D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{9,12} = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 3D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{10,12} = \{1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 11\} = D_{1,12} \cup 2D_{1,12}$$

$$D_{11,12} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\} = D_{1,12}$$

Proposition 3.7. For any integer $n \geq 2$ with prime factorization $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$,

$$\overline{I_n}(1) = \sum D_{1,n} = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^{2k_1 - 1}(p_1 - 1) \cdots p_r^{2k_r - 1}(p_r - 1)).$$

Proof. Consider Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$, the number of positive integers k < n such that k is relatively prime to n. Then, by definition, $|D_{1,n}| = \varphi(n)$. It is well-known that

$$\varphi(n) = p_1^{k_1-1}(p_1-1)\cdots p_r^{k_r-1}(p_r-1).$$

Next, note that by Corollary 2.2, the values of $D_{1,n}$ come in pairs, each summing to n. Thus, the average of the values of $D_{1,n}$ is equal to $\frac{n}{2}$. Therefore, to get $\sum D_{1,n}$ we take the average and multiply it with the cardinality to get that

$$\overline{I_n}(1) = \sum_{n \ge 1} D_{1,n}
= \frac{n}{2} \cdot |D_{1,n}|
= \frac{n}{2} \cdot \varphi(n)
= \frac{p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}}{2} \cdot (p_1^{k_1-1}(p_1-1) \cdots p_r^{k_r-1}(p_r-1))
= \frac{1}{2} p_1^{2k_1-1}(p_1-1) \cdots p_r^{2k_r-1}(p_r-1).$$

Examples.

$$\overline{I_6}(1) = 1 + 5 = 6 = \frac{1}{2}(2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2).$$

$$\overline{I_{10}}(1) = 1 + 3 + 7 + 9 = 20 = \frac{1}{2}(2 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 4).$$

$$\overline{I_{12}}(1) = 1 + 5 + 7 + 11 = 24 = \frac{1}{2}(2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2).$$

$$\overline{I_{32}}(1) = \frac{1}{2}(2^9 \cdot 1) = 256.$$

Note in the case that the power of each prime in the prime factorization of n is 1 (i.e., $k_i = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le r$), $\overline{I_n}(1) = \frac{1}{2}(p_1(p_1 - 1) \cdots p_r(p_r - 1)) = \frac{n}{2}((p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_r - 1))$.

Lemma 3.8. If p is prime and $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le j < k$, then

$$|p^j D_{1,p^k}| = p^{k-(j+1)}(p-1).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, $d \in p^j D_{1,p^k}$ for some $0 \le j < k$ if and only if $0 < d < p^k$ and $gcd(d, p^k) = p^j$. There are exactly $p^{k-j-1}(p-1)$ such $d < p^k$ satisfying this requirement, which completes the proof.

Example. Consider \mathbb{Z}_{27} :

$$\begin{split} D_{1,27} &= \{1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,13,14,16,17,19,20,22,23,25,26\} \\ 3D_{1,27} &= \{3,6,12,15,21,24\} \\ 9D_{1,27} &= \{9,18\}. \end{split}$$

Indeed,

$$|D_{1,27}| = 18 = 3^{2}(3-1)$$
$$|3D_{1,27}| = 6 = 3^{1}(3-1)$$
$$|9D_{1,27}| = 2 = 3^{0}(3-1).$$

Corollary 3.9. If p is prime and $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $0 \le m < k$, then

$$\sum D_{p^m,p^k} = \frac{1}{2} p^{2k-(m+1)} (p^{m+1}-1).$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 3.8,

$$\sum D_{p^m,p^k} = \sum \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^m p^i D_{1,p^k} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\sum p^i D_{1,p^k} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{p^k}{2} |p^i D_{1,p^k}|$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m p^{k-(i+1)} (p-1)$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{2} p^{k-(m+1)} \sum_{i=0}^m (p^{m-i}) (p-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} p^{2k-(m+1)} (p^{m+1} - 1).$$

Lemma 3.10. Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with b < a < n and b|n. If $a \in bD_{1,n}$, then $D_{a,n} = D_{b,n}$.

Proof. Suppose $a \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ for some $c \in D_{1,n}$, where $cd \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (\subseteq) Suppose $m \in D_{a,n}$. Then $mk \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, b $\equiv bcd \pmod{n} \equiv ad \pmod{n} \equiv mkd \pmod{n}$, so $m|_n b$, and thus $m \in D_{b,n}$.
- (\supseteq) Suppose $m \in D_{b,n}$. Then $m|_n b$, which implies that $ml \equiv b \pmod{n}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $bc \equiv mlc \pmod{n} \implies a = bc \equiv mlc \pmod{n}$, and thus $m|_n a$.

We are now ready to characterize friendliness in rings \mathbb{Z}_n of cardinality equal to a prime power.

Theorem 3.11. If p is prime and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then every $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \setminus \{0\}$ is p^k -solitary.

Proof. Let $a \in p^j D_{1,p^k}$ for some $0 \le j < k$; then by Lemma 3.10, $D_{a,p^k} = D_{p^j,p^k}$. We will show that a has no p^k -friends.

Consider b with $0 < b < p^k$ and $b \neq a$.

Suppose $b \in p^j D_{1,p^k}$. In this case, by Lemma 3.10 we have that $D_{b,p^k} = D_{p^j,p^k} = D_{a,p^k}$. Thus,

$$\overline{I_{p^k}}(a) = \frac{\overline{\sigma_{p^k}}(a)}{a} \\
= \frac{\sum D_{a,p^k}}{a} \\
= \frac{\sum D_{b,p^k}}{a} \\
\neq \frac{\sum D_{b,p^k}}{b} \\
= \overline{I_{p^k}}(b).$$

This takes care of $b \in p^j D_{1,p^k}$.

Now, suppose $b \in p^i D_{1,p^k}$, where $i \neq j$. Then by Lemma 3.10, $D_{b,p^k} = D_{p^i,p^k}$, so by Corollary 3.9,

$$\overline{I_{p^k}}(b) = \frac{p^{2k - (i+1)}(p^{i+1} - 1)}{2b}.$$

Thus, if $\overline{I_{p^k}}(a) = \overline{I_{p^k}}(b)$, then

$$\frac{p^{2k-(j+1)}(p^{j+1}-1)}{2a} = \frac{p^{2k-(i+1)}(p^{i+1}-1)}{2b},$$

which implies that $a(1-p^{-(i+1)}) = b(1-p^{-(j+1)})$. But, $a \in p^j D_{1,p^k}$ and $b \in p^i D_{1,p^k}$, so by Proposition 3.5 we have that $gcd(a,p^k) = p^j$ and $gcd(b,p^k) = p^i$. Thus, $a = a'p^j$ for some integer a' with $0 < a' < p^{k-j}$ and $p \nmid a'$, and $b = b'p^i$ for some integer b' with $0 < b' < p^{k-i}$ and $p \nmid b'$.

Therefore,

$$a(1 - p^{-(i+1)}) = b(1 - p^{-(j+1)})$$

$$\implies ap^{j+1}(p^{i+1} - 1) = bp^{i+1}(p^{j+1} - 1)$$

$$\implies a(p^{i+j+2} - p^{j+1}) = b(p^{i+j+2} - p^{i+1})$$

$$\implies a'(p^{i+2j+2} - p^{2j+1}) = b'(p^{2i+j+2} - p^{2i+1})$$

$$\implies a'p^{2j}(p^{i+1} - 1) = b'p^{2i}(p^{j+1} - 1).$$

Without loss of generality, assume i < j. Then

$$a'p^{2(j-1)}(p^{i+1}-1) = b'(p^{j+1}-1).$$

Now, p divides the left side but not the right side, a contradiction. Therefore, $\overline{I_{p^k}}(a) \neq \overline{I_{p^k}}(b)$ for all $0 < b < p^k$ with $a \neq b$, so a is p^k -solitary.

The natural question to ask next is does the converse of the statement hold? That is, do n-friends necessarily exist when n is not a prime power? In addition, is there any connection between the notion of n-friends and friends in the regular integers?

References

- [1] P. Erdős, On the distribution of numbers of the form $\sigma(n)/n$ and on some related questions, Pacific J. Math 52 (1), 1974.
- [2] Doyon Kim, Friends of 12, Alabama Journal of Mathematics (ajmonline) 39 (2015), 3 pp.
- [3] R. Laatsch, Measuring the abundancy of integers, Math. Magazine 59 (1986), 84-92.
- [4] Jeffrey Ward, Does ten have a friend?, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science 3 (2008), 153-158.
- [5] P.A. Weiner, The abundancy ratio, a measure of perfection, Math. Magazine 73 (2000), 307-310.