# Cliques with many colors in triple systems

DHRUV MUBAYI\* AND ANDREW SUK<sup>†</sup>

Erdős and Hajnal constructed a 4-coloring of the triples of an N-element set such that every n-element subset contains 2 triples with distinct colors, and N is double exponential in n. Conlon, Fox and Rödl asked whether there is some integer  $q \geq 3$  and a q-coloring of the triples of an N-element set such that every n-element subset has 3 triples with distinct colors, and N is double exponential in n. We make the first nontrivial progress on this problem by providing a q-coloring with this property for all  $q \geq 9$ , where N is exponential in  $n^{2+cq}$  and c > 0 is an absolute constant.

AMS 2000 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS: Primary 05D10, 05C55. KEYWORDS AND PHRASES: Ramsey theory, hypergraphs.

#### 1. Introduction

The Ramsey number  $r_k(n;q)$  is the minimum integer N such that for any q-coloring of the k-tuples of an N-element set V, there is a subset  $A \subset V$  such that all of the k-tuples of A have the same color. Estimating  $r_3(n;2)$  is one of the most central problems in combinatorics. The best known bounds, due to Erdős, Hajnal and Rado [5, 4], state that there are positive constants c and c' such that

(1) 
$$2^{cn^2} < r_3(n;2) < 2^{2^{c'n}}.$$

Erdős conjectured that the upper bound is closer to the truth, namely,  $r_3(n;2)$  grows double exponentially in  $\Theta(n)$ , and he even offered a \$500 reward for a proof. His conjecture is supported by the fact that a double exponential growth rate is known when we have 4 colors [3, 4], that is, for fixed  $q \geq 4$ 

(2) 
$$r_3(n;q) = 2^{2^{\Theta(n)}}$$
.

arXiv: 2005.03078

<sup>\*</sup>Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1763317.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research partially supported by an NSF CAREER award, NSF award DMS-1952786, and an Alfred Sloan Fellowship.

In this paper, we study the following generalization of  $r_3(n;q)$ . For integers  $n > q \ge t \ge 2$ , let f(n;q,t) denote the maximum integer N such that there is a q-coloring of the triples of an N-element set V with the property that every subset of V of size n induces at least t distinct colors. Thus when t = 2, we have

$$f(n;q,2) = r_3(n;q) - 1,$$

and for  $q \ge t \ge 3$ , we have  $f(n; q, t) < r_3(n; q)$ . When t = 3, Conlon, Fox, and Rödl raised the following problem [2].

**Problem 1.1** (Conlon-Fox-Rödl). Is there an integer  $q \ge 3$  and a positive constant c such that  $f(n;q,3) > 2^{2^{cn}}$  holds for all n > 2?

A simple application of the Probabilistic Method (see [1]) shows that  $f(n; q, 3) > 2^{cn^2}$ , where c = c(q). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that for all integers  $n > q \ge 9$ ,

$$f(n;q,3) \ge 2^{n^{2+c \cdot q}}.$$

For larger values of t, we show the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** Given integers  $q \ge t \ge 2$ , there is an  $n_0 = n_0(q, t)$  such that for all integers  $n > n_0$ ,

$$f(n;q,t) \ge 2^{n^{\log(q/(t-1))}/4}.$$

Both proofs are based on a stepping-up argument introduced by Erdős and Hajnal [3]. We start with the proof of Theorem 1.3 in the next section, as it is a direct application of the stepping-up method. The proof of Theorem 1.2 combines a more general stepping-up argument with induction, and is given in Section 3. Throughout this paper, all logarithms are in base 2.

## 2. Forcing many colors

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Given integers  $q \ge t \ge 2$ , there is an integer  $m_0$  such that the following holds. For every  $m \ge m_0$ , there is a q-coloring  $\phi$  of the pairs of  $U = \{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (q/(t-1))^{m/4} \rfloor - 1\}$  such that every subset of size m induces at least t distinct colors.

*Proof.* Given  $q \ge t \ge 2$ , let  $m_0 = m_0(q, t)$  be a sufficiently large integer that will be determined later. Color the pairs of  $U = \{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor (q/(t-1))^{m/4} \rfloor$  uniformly independently at random with colors  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q\}$ . Let X denote

the number of subsets  $A \subset U$  of size m that have less than t distinct colors among their pairs. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \binom{|U|}{m} \binom{q}{t-1} \left(\frac{t-1}{q}\right)^{\binom{m}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{q}{t-1}\right)^{m^2/4} q^{t-1} \left(\frac{t-1}{q}\right)^{m^2/2}$$

$$= q^{t-1} \left(\frac{q}{t-1}\right)^{-m^2/4}.$$

By setting  $m_0 = m_0(q, t)$  sufficiently large, we have for all  $m \ge m_0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X] < 1$ . Hence, there is a q-coloring  $\phi : \binom{U}{2} \to \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  such that every subset  $A \subset U$  of size m has at least t distinct colors among its pairs.  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given  $q \geq t \geq 2$ , let  $n_0 = n_0(q,t)$  be a sufficiently large integer that will be determined later. Set  $M = \lfloor (q/(t-1))^{m/4} \rfloor$ ,  $U = \{0,1,\ldots,M-1\}$ , and let  $\phi:\binom{U}{2} \to \{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_q\}$  be a q-coloring of the pairs of U with the properties described in Lemma 2.1. Set  $V = \{0,1,\ldots,2^M-1\}$ . In what follows, we will use  $\phi$  to define a q-coloring  $\chi:\binom{V}{3} \to \{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_q\}$  of the triples of V with the desired properties.

of the triples of V with the desired properties. For each  $v \in V$ , write  $v = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} v(i)2^i$  with  $v(i) \in \{0,1\}$  for each i. For  $u \neq v$ , let  $\delta(u,v) \in U$  denote the largest i for which  $u(i) \neq v(i)$ . Notice that we have the following stepping-up properties (see [6]).

**Property I:** For every triple u < v < w,  $\delta(u, v) \neq \delta(v, w)$ . **Property II:** For  $v_1 < \cdots < v_r$ ,  $\delta(v_1, v_r) = \max_{1 \le i < r-1} \delta(v_i, v_{i+1})$ .

Using  $\phi: \binom{U}{2} \to \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$ , we define  $\chi: \binom{V}{3} \to \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  as follows. For vertices  $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$  in V and  $\delta_i = \delta(v_i, v_{i+1})$ , we define  $\chi(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\phi(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \alpha_j$ . We now need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $m \geq 2$  set  $n = 2^m$ . Then for any set of n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$ , where  $v_1 < \cdots < v_n$ , there is a subset  $B \subset \{\delta(v_i, v_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  with at least m distinct elements such that for each pair  $(\delta_r, \delta_s) \in {B \choose 2}$ , there is a triple  $v_i < v_j < v_k$  in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\chi(v_i, v_j, v_k) = \phi(\delta_r, \delta_s)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on m. The base case m=2 follows from Property I. For the inductive step, assume that the statement holds for all m' < m. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$  such that  $v_1 < \cdots < v_n$  and  $n=2^m$ . Let  $\delta_i = \delta(v_i, v_{i+1})$ , for  $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ . Set  $\delta_w = \max\{\delta_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ 

and notice that, by Properties I and II above,  $\delta_w > \delta_i$  for all  $i \neq w$ . Set  $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_w\}$  and  $T = \{v_{w+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ . Then either |S| or |T| has size at least  $2^{m-1}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $|S| \geq 2^{m-1}$  since a symmetric argument would follow otherwise. By the induction hypothesis, there is a subset  $B_0 \subset \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{w-1}\} \subset U$  with at least m-1 distinct elements and for each pair  $(\delta_r, \delta_s) \in {B_0 \choose 2}$ , there is a triple  $v_i < v_j < v_k$  in S such that

$$\chi(v_i, v_j, v_k) = \phi(\delta_r, \delta_s).$$

Set  $B = \{\delta_w\} \cup B_0$ , which implies  $|B| \geq m$ . Then notice that for each pair  $(\delta_w, \delta_r)$ , where  $\delta_r \in B_0$ , by Property I above, we have

$$\chi(v_r, v_{r+1}, v_{w+1}) = \phi(\delta_w, \delta_r).$$

Hence  $B \subset U$  has the desired properties, and this completes the proof of the claim.

Set  $n_0 = \lceil 2^{m_0} \rceil$  where  $m_0$  is defined in Lemma 2.1. Then for all  $n > n_0$  we have  $m > m_0$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, any set of n vertices in V induces at least t distinct colors with respect to  $\chi$ . Since  $|V| = 2^{(q/(t-1))^{m/4}}$  and  $n = 2^m$ , we have  $|V| = 2^{n^{\log(q/(t-1))}/4}$ .

### 3. Forcing three colors

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let r > 3 and set  $V_3 = \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor 2^{r^2/24} \rfloor - 1\}$ . Then there is a 3-coloring  $\phi_3 : \binom{V_3}{3} \to \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  of the triples of  $V_3$  such that every subset of size r induces at least three distinct colors.

We omit the proof of Lemma 3.1 as it follows by the same probabilistic argument used for Lemma 2.1. Hence, Lemma 3.1 implies that  $f(n;3,3) \ge 2^{n^2/24}$ . Together with the following recursive formula, Theorem 1.2 quickly follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** For integers  $n > q \ge 9$ , we have

$$f(n;q,3) \ge (f(\lfloor n/\log n \rfloor, q-6,3))^{n^{1/4}/2}.$$

We will also need the following lemma, whose proof is also omitted since it follows from the same probabilistic argument as in Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let s > 3 and set  $V_2 = \{0, 1, ..., \lfloor 2^{s/4} \rfloor \}$ . Then there is a 3-coloring  $\phi_2 : {V_2 \choose 2} \to \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  of the pairs of  $V_2$  such that every subset of size s induces at least three distinct colors.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Given  $n > q \ge 9$ , let  $r = \lfloor n/\log n \rfloor$  and  $s = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ . Set  $N_2 = \lfloor 2^{s/4} \rfloor$ ,  $N_3 = f(r; q - 6, 3)$ , and

$$V_2 = \{0, 1, \dots, N_2 - 1\}$$
 and  $V_3 = \{0, 1, \dots, N_3 - 1\}.$ 

Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain  $\phi_2: \binom{V_2}{2} \to \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  such that every subset of  $V_2$  of size s induces at least three colors. Likewise, by definition of f(r, q-6, 3), we obtain  $\phi_3: \binom{V_3}{3} \to \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{q-6}\}$  such that every subset of  $V_3$  of size r induces at least three distinct colors. We now apply the following more general stepping-up procedure.

Set  $N = N_3^{N_2}$  and  $V = \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ . For each  $v \in V$ , write  $v = \sum_{i=0}^{N_2-1} v(i)(N_3)^i$  with  $v(i) \in V_3$  for each i. For  $u, v \in V$  with u < v, let  $\delta(u, v) \in V_2$  denote the largest i for which  $u(i) \neq v(i)$ . Notice that we no longer have Property I from the previous stepping-up procedure, but we do have the following properties.

**Property II:** For  $v_1 < \cdots < v_r$ ,  $\delta(v_1, v_r) = \max_{1 \le j \le r-1} \delta(v_j, v_{j+1})$ . **Property III:** For  $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$  such that  $\delta(v_1, v_2) = \delta(v_2, v_3) = i$ ,  $v_1(i) < v_2(i) < v_3(i)$ .

Using  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_3$ , we define  $\chi: \binom{V}{3} \to \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q\}$  as follows. For vertices  $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$  in V, let  $\delta_1 = \delta(v_1, v_2)$  and  $\delta_2 = \delta(v_2, v_3)$ . Then for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

- set  $\chi(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \gamma_i$  if and only if  $\delta_1 > \delta_2$  and  $\phi_2(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \alpha_i$ ,
- set  $\chi(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \gamma_{3+i}$  if and only if  $\delta_1 < \delta_2$  and  $\phi_2(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \alpha_i$ ,

and for  $i \in \{1, ..., q - 6\},\$ 

• set  $\chi(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \gamma_{6+i}$  if and only if  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = j$  and we also have  $\phi_3(v_1(j), v_2(j), v_3(j)) = \beta_i$ ,

Notice that  $n \geq \max\{s \cdot r, 2^s\}$ . We claim that any set of n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$  induces at least 3 distinct colors with respect to  $\chi$ . For sake of contradiction, let  $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subset V$  such that  $v_1 < \cdots < v_n$  and  $\chi(v_i, v_j, v_k) \in \{\gamma_x, \gamma_y\}$  for all triples  $(v_i, v_j, v_k) \in \binom{A}{3}$ . Set  $\delta_i = \delta(v_i, v_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . The proof now falls into the following cases.

Case 1. Suppose  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ . Then we have  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > \dots > \delta_{n-1}$ . However,  $\delta_i \in U = \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor 2^{s/4} \rfloor - 1\}$  and  $n = 2^s$  which is a contradiction. A similar argument follows if  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y \in \{\gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6\}$ .

Case 2. Suppose  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y \in \{\gamma_7, \dots, \gamma_{q-6}\}$ . Then we must have  $\delta_1 = \dots = \delta_{n-1} = i$  and  $v_1(i) < \dots < v_{n-1}(i)$ . Since  $n \ge r$ , by definition of  $\chi$  and  $\phi_3$ , the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  induces at least three distinct colors, contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose  $\gamma_x \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$  and  $\gamma_y \in \{\gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6\}$ . Then in this case, for any triple  $v_i < v_j < v_k$ , we have  $\delta(v_i, v_j) \neq \delta(v_j, v_k)$  and

$$\phi_2(\delta(v_i, v_j), \delta(v_j, v_k)) = \alpha_z$$

for some fixed z. Set  $\delta_w = \max\{\delta_i : 1 \le i \le n-1\}$  and notice that, by Property II above,  $\delta_w > \delta_i$  for all  $i \ne w$ . Therefore, a straight-forward adaptation of Lemma 2.2 gives us the following claim.

Claim 3.4. For  $s \geq 2$ , any set of  $2^s$  vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2^s} \in V$ , with the properties described above, there is a subset  $B \subset \{\delta(v_i, v_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 2^s - 1\}$  with at least s distinct elements such that  $\phi_2(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \alpha_z$  for every pair  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) \in \binom{B}{2}$ .

However, this contradicts Lemma 3.3.

Case 4. Suppose  $\gamma_x \in \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_6\}$  and  $\gamma_y \in \{\gamma_7, \ldots, \gamma_q\}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\gamma_x = \gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_y = \gamma_7$  since a symmetric argument would follow otherwise. Notice that there is an integer  $w_1 \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$  such that  $\delta(v_1, v_{w_1}) > \delta(v_{w_1}, v_{w_1+1})$ . Indeed, otherwise if  $\delta_1 = \cdots = \delta_r$ , by the definition of  $\chi$  and the properties of  $\phi_3$  described above, the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$  induces at least three distinct colors with respect to  $\chi$ , contradiction.

The same argument shows that there must be an integer  $w_2 \in \{w_1 + 1 \dots, w_1 + r\}$  such that  $\delta(v_{w_1}, v_{w_2}) > \delta(v_{w_2}, v_{w_2+1})$ . Since  $n \geq s \cdot r$ , a repeated application of the argument above shows that there are integers  $w_1 < \dots < w_{s-1}$ , such that

$$\delta(v_1, v_{w_1}) > \delta(v_{w_1}, v_{w_2}) > \delta(v_{w_2}, v_{w_3}) > \dots > \delta(v_{w_{s-1}}, v_{w_{s-1}+1}).$$

By Property II,  $\chi$  colors every triple in  $\{v_1, v_{w_1}, \dots, v_{w_{s-1}}, v_{w_{s-1}+1}\}$  with color  $\gamma_1$ . However, this implies that the set

$$S = \{\delta(v_1, v_{w_1}), \delta(v_{w_1}, v_{w_2}), \dots, \delta(v_{w_{s-2}}, v_{w_{s-1}}), \delta(v_{w_{s-1}}, v_{w_{s-1}+1})\} \subset U,$$

has the property that |S| = s and  $\phi_2 : {S \choose 2} \to \alpha_1$ , which is a contradiction. Since  $|V| = N_3^{N_2}$ ,

$$f(n;q,3) \ge |V| \ge (f(\lfloor n/\log n \rfloor; q-6,3))^{n^{1/4}/2}$$
.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Combining Theorem 3.2 with the fact that  $f(n;3,3) > 2^{n^2/24}$  gives the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** For fixed  $q \ge 3$  and for all n > 3 we have

$$f(n;q,3) > 2^{n^{2+\frac{1}{4}\left\lfloor \frac{q-3}{6}\right\rfloor - o(1)}}.$$

#### References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1992. MR1140703
- [2] D. Conlon, J. Fox, V. Rödl, Hedgehogs are not colour blind, J. Combin.
   8 (2017), 475–485. MR3668877
- [3] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On Ramsey like theorems, problems and results, Combinatorics (Proc. Conf. Combinatorial Math., Math. Inst., Oxford, 1972), pp. 123–140, Inst. Math. Appl., Southhend-on-Sea, 1972. MR0337636
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, and R. Rado, Partition relations for cardinal numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **16** (1965), 93–196. MR0202613
- [5] P. Erdős and R. Rado, Combinatorial theorems on classifications of subsets of a given set, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3** (1952), 417–439. MR0065615
- [6] R. Graham, B. Rothschild, and J. Spencer, Ramsey Theory, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1990. MR0591457

DHRUV MUBAYI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

University of Illinois

CHICAGO

USA

E-mail address: mubayi@uic.edu

Andrew Suk Department of Mathematics University of California San Diego USA

E-mail address: asuk@ucsd.edu

RECEIVED MAY 23, 2020