

# Aspects of non-associative gauge theory

Sergey Grigorian

**Abstract** A smooth loop is the direct non-associative generalization of Lie group. In this paper, we review the theory of smooth loops and smooth loop bundles. This is then used to define a non-associative analog of the Chern-Simons functional.

## 1 Introduction

One of highly successful areas at the intersection of differential geometry, analysis, and mathematical physics is gauge theory. As it is well-known, this is the study of connections on bundles with particular Lie groups as the structure groups. In [5], the author initiated a theory of smooth loops, which are non-associative analogs of Lie groups, and began the development of gauge theory based on loops, i.e. a non-associative gauge theory. The purpose of this note is to review the theory of smooth loops and loop bundles, and to provide a more rigorous construction of a non-associative Chern-Simons functional on 3-manifolds. In particular, the affine space of connections in a standard gauge theory is replaced by an affine space  $\mathcal{T}$  of torsions, modelled on 1-forms with values in a loop algebra (the tangent space to a loop at identity). We define a 1-form on  $\mathcal{T}$ , show that it is a closed form, and show that it is the exterior derivative of a function on  $\mathcal{T}$ , which we define to be the Chern-Simons functional. Finally, we show how this functional is affected by gauge transformations.

---

Sergey Grigorian  
University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA e-mail:  
sergey.grigorian@utrgv.edu

## 2 Smooth Loops

For a detailed discussion of concepts related to smooth loops, the reader is referred to [5]. The reader can also refer to [6, 7, 9, 11, 12] for a discussion of these concepts.

**Definition 1** A *loop*  $\mathbb{L}$  is a set with a binary operation  $p \cdot q$  with identity 1, and compatible left and right quotients  $p \backslash q$  and  $p / q$ , respectively.

In particular, existence of quotients is equivalent to saying that for any  $q \in \mathbb{L}$ , the left and right product maps  $L_q$  and  $R_q$  are invertible maps. Restricting to the smooth category, we obtain the definition of a smooth loop.

**Definition 2** A *smooth loop* is a smooth manifold  $\mathbb{L}$  with a loop structure such that the left and right product maps are diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{L}$ .

**Definition 3** A *pseudoautomorphism* of a smooth loop  $\mathbb{L}$  is a diffeomorphism  $h : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  for which there exists another diffeomorphism  $h' : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ , known as the partial pseudoautomorphism corresponding to  $h$ , such that for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{L}$ ,

$$h(pq) = h'(p)h(q). \quad (1)$$

In particular,  $h' = R_{h(1)}^{-1} \circ h$ . The element  $h(1) \in \mathbb{L}$  is the *companion* of  $h'$ . As shown in [5], given  $h$  and  $h'$ , we have the following properties

$$h(pq) = h'(p)h(q) \quad h(q \backslash p) = h'(q) \backslash h(p) \quad h'(p/q) = h(p) / h(q). \quad (2)$$

It is then easy to see that the sets of pseudoautomorphisms and partial pseudoautomorphisms are both groups. Denote the former by  $\Psi$  and the latter by  $\Psi'$ . We also see that the *automorphism* group of  $\mathbb{L}$  is the subgroup  $H \subset \Psi$  which is the stabilizer of  $1 \in \mathbb{L}$ . We will use  $\mathbb{L}$  to denote  $\mathbb{L}$  with the action of  $\Psi$  and  $\mathbb{L}'$  to denote  $\mathbb{L}$  with the action of  $\Psi'$ , if a distinction between the  $G$ -sets is needed.

Let  $r \in \mathbb{L}$ , then we may define a modified product  $\circ_r$  on  $\mathbb{L}$  via  $p \circ_r q = (p \cdot qr) / r$ , so that  $\mathbb{L}$  equipped with product  $\circ_r$  will be denoted by  $(\mathbb{L}, \circ_r)$ , the corresponding quotient will be denoted by  $/_r$ . We have the following properties [5].

**Lemma 1** Let  $h \in \Psi$ . Then, for any  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{L}$ ,

$$h'(p \circ_r q) = h'(p) \circ_{h(r)} h'(q) \quad h'(p /_r q) = h'(p) /_{h(r)} h'(q). \quad (3)$$

Consider the tangent space  $\mathbb{I} := T_1 \mathbb{L}$  at  $1 \in \mathbb{L}$ . By analogy with Lie groups, for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{I}$ , define the *fundamental vector field*  $\rho(\xi)$  by pushing forward  $\xi$  by right translation, so that for any  $q \in \mathbb{L}$ ,  $\rho(\xi)_q = (R_q)_* \xi$ .

**Definition 4 ([5])** The Maurer-Cartan form  $\theta$  is an  $\mathbb{I}$ -valued 1-form on  $\mathbb{L}$ , such that  $\theta(\rho(\xi)) = \xi$ . Equivalently, for any vector field  $X$ ,  $\theta(X)|_p = \left(R_p^{-1}\right)_* X_p \in \mathbb{I}$ .

This allows us to define brackets on  $\mathbb{I}$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{L}$  define the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$  given for any  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{I}$  by  $[\xi, \eta]^{(p)} = -\theta([\rho(\xi), \rho(\eta)])|_p$ . We will denote  $\mathbb{I}$

equipped with the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$  by  $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ . Define the *bracket function*  $b : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{l}^*$  to be the map that takes  $p \mapsto [\cdot, \cdot]^{(p)} \in \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{l}^*$ , so that  $b(\theta, \theta)$  is an  $\mathfrak{l}$ -valued 2-form on  $\mathbb{L}$ , i.e.  $b(\theta, \theta) \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l})$ .

**Theorem 1 ([5, Theorem 3.10])** *The form  $\theta$  satisfies  $d\theta = \frac{1}{2}db(\theta, \theta)$ .*

With respect to the action of  $\Psi$ , the bracket satisfies the following property.

**Lemma 2** *If  $h \in \Psi(\mathbb{L})$  and  $q \in \mathbb{L}$ , then, for any  $\xi, \eta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{l}$ ,  $h'_*[\xi, \eta]^{(q)} = [h'_*\xi, h'_*\eta]^{h(q)}$ .*

We will assume that  $\Psi$  is a finite-dimensional Lie group, and suppose the Lie algebras of  $\Psi$  and  $H_s = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{L}, \circ_s)$  are  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_s$ , respectively. In particular,  $\mathfrak{h}_s$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Also, we will assume that  $\Psi$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{L}$ . The action of  $\Psi$  on  $\mathbb{L}$  induces an action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  on  $\mathfrak{l}$ , which we will denote by  $\cdot$ .

**Definition 5** Define the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^*$  such that for each  $s \in \mathbb{L}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\varphi_s(\gamma) = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(\exp(t\gamma)(s)) \right|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{l}. \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 3** *The map  $\varphi$  as in (4) is equivariant with respect to corresponding actions of  $\Psi(\mathbb{L})$ , in particular for  $h \in \Psi$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{L}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have*

$$\varphi_{h(s)}((\text{Ad}_h)_*\gamma) = (h')_*\varphi_s(\gamma). \quad (5)$$

Moreover, the image of  $\varphi_s$  is  $\mathfrak{l}^{(s)}$  and the kernel is  $\mathfrak{h}_s$ , and hence,  $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{h}_s \oplus \mathfrak{l}^{(s)}$ .

**Lemma 4 ([5])** *Suppose  $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\eta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{l}$ , then*

$$\xi \cdot [\eta, \gamma]^{(s)} = [\xi \cdot \eta, \gamma]^{(s)} + [\eta, \xi \cdot \gamma]^{(s)} + a_s(\eta, \gamma, \varphi_s(\xi)) \quad (6a)$$

$$\xi \cdot \varphi_s(\eta) = \eta \cdot \varphi_s(\xi) + \varphi_s([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{p}}) + [\varphi_s(\xi), \varphi_s(\eta)]^{(s)}. \quad (6b)$$

Similarly as for Lie groups, we may define a Killing form  $K^{(s)}$  on  $\mathfrak{l}^{(s)}$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{l}$ , we have

$$K^{(s)}(\xi, \eta) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{\xi}^{(s)} \circ \text{ad}_{\eta}^{(s)}), \quad (7)$$

where  $\circ$  is just composition of linear maps on  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\text{ad}_{\xi}^{(s)}(\cdot) = [\xi, \cdot]^{(s)}$ . Clearly  $K^{(s)}$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{l}$ . In [5] it is shown that for  $h \in \Psi$ , and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{l}$  it satisfies  $K^{(h(s))}(h'_*\xi, h'_*\eta) = K^{(s)}(\xi, \eta)$ .

Suppose now  $K^{(s)}$  is nondegenerate and  $\mathfrak{p}$ -invariant, so that the action of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is skew-adjoint with respect to  $K^{(s)}$ . Moreover suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is semisimple itself, so that it has a nondegenerate, invariant Killing form  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We will use  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{(s)}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to denote the inner products using  $K^{(s)}$  and  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , respectively. Then, given the map  $\varphi_s : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}^{(s)}$ , we can define its adjoint with respect to these two bilinear maps.

**Definition 6** Define the map  $\varphi_s^t : \mathfrak{l}^{(s)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  such that for any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{l}^{(s)}$  and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\langle \varphi_s^t(\xi), \eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = \langle \xi, \varphi_s(\eta) \rangle^{(s)}. \quad (8)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}_s \cong \ker \varphi_s$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{h}_s \oplus \text{Im } \varphi_s^t$ , so that  $\mathfrak{h}_s^\perp = \text{Im } \varphi_s^t$ .

**Lemma 5 ([5, Lemma 3.43])** *Suppose  $\Psi$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{l}$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and suppose the base field of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that for any  $s \in \mathbb{L}$ ,  $\varphi_s \varphi_s^t = \lambda \text{id}_{\mathbf{l}}$  and  $\varphi_s^t \varphi_s = \lambda \pi_{\mathfrak{h}_s^\perp}$ .*

Thus, given our prior assumption of the transitivity of the action of  $\Psi$ , the maps  $\varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_s^t$  are isomorphisms between  $\mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_s^\perp$ . If  $s \in \mathbb{L}$  is fixed, and there is no ambiguity, we will use the following notation. Given  $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\check{\xi} = \varphi_s(\xi) \in \mathbf{l}$  and given  $\eta \in \mathbf{l}$ ,  $\check{\eta} = \frac{1}{\lambda_s} \varphi_s^t(\eta) \in \mathfrak{h}_s^\perp$ . We can also use  $\varphi_s^t$  to define a new bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\varphi_s}$  on  $\mathbf{l}$ , such that for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{l}$ ,

$$[\xi, \eta]_{\varphi_s} = \varphi_s \left( [\check{\xi}, \check{\eta}]_{\mathfrak{p}} \right). \quad (9)$$

**Lemma 6 ([5, Lemma 3.50])** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{L}$ , then under the assumptions of Lemma 5, the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\varphi_s}$  satisfies the following properties. Suppose  $\xi, \eta, \gamma \in \mathbf{l}$ , then*

1.  $\langle [\xi, \eta]_{\varphi_s}, \gamma \rangle^{(s)} = -\langle \eta, [\xi, \gamma]_{\varphi_s} \rangle^{(s)}$ .
2. For any  $h \in \Psi$ ,  $[\xi, \eta]_{\varphi_{h(s)}} = (h')_* \left[ (h')^{-1} \xi, (h')^{-1} \eta \right]_{\varphi_s}$ .

### 3 Loop bundles

Let  $M$  be a smooth, finite-dimensional manifold with a  $\Psi$ -principal bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M$ .

**Definition 7** Let  $s : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  be an equivariant map. In particular, the equivalence class  $[p, s_p]_\Psi$  defines a section of the bundle  $Q = \mathcal{P} \times_\Psi \mathbb{L}$ . We will refer to  $s$  as the *defining map* (or *section*).

We will define several associated bundles related to  $\mathcal{P}$ . As it is well-known, sections of associated bundles are equivalent to equivariant maps. With this in mind, we also give properties of equivariant maps that correspond to sections of these bundles. Let  $h \in \Psi$  and, as before, denote by  $h'$  the partial action of  $h$ .

Bundle	Equivariant map	Equivariance property
$\mathcal{P}$	$k : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Psi$	$k_{ph} = h^{-1} k_p$
$Q' = \mathcal{P} \times_{\Psi'} \mathbb{L}'$	$q : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}'$	$q_{ph} = (h')^{-1} q_p$
$Q = \mathcal{P} \times_\Psi \mathbb{L}$	$r : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$	$r_{ph} = h^{-1} (r_p)$
$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\Psi'_*} \mathbf{l}$	$\eta : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{l}$	$\eta_{ph} = (h')_*^{-1} \eta_p$
$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \times_{(\text{Ad}_\xi)_*} \mathfrak{p}$	$\xi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$	$\xi_{ph} = \left( \text{Ad}_h^{-1} \right)_* \xi_p$
$\text{Ad}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Ad}_\Psi} \Psi$	$u : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Psi$	$u_{ph} = h^{-1} u_p h$

(10)

Given equivariant maps  $q, r : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}'$ , define an equivariant product using  $s$ , given for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  by

$$q \circ_s r|_p = q_p \circ_{s_p} r_p. \quad (11)$$

Due to Lemma 1, the corresponding map  $q \circ_s r : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}'$  is equivariant, and hence  $\circ_s$  induces a fiberwise product on sections of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Analogously, we define fiberwise quotients of sections of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Similarly, we define an equivariant bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]^{(s)}$  and the equivariant map  $\varphi_s$ . Other related objects such as the Killing form  $K^{(s)}$  and the adjoint  $\varphi_s^t$  to  $\varphi_s$  are then similarly also equivariant.

Suppose the principal  $\Psi$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  has a principal Ehresmann connection given by the decomposition  $T\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{V}\mathcal{P}$  and the corresponding vertical  $\mathfrak{p}$ -valued connection 1-form  $\omega$ . Given an equivariant map  $f : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow S$ , define

$$d^\omega f := f_* \circ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}} : T\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow TS. \quad (12)$$

This is then a horizontal map since it vanishes on any vertical vectors. The map  $d^\omega f$  is moreover still equivariant, and hence induces a covariant derivative on sections of the associated bundle  $\mathcal{P} \times_\Psi S$ . If  $S$  is a vector space, then this reduces to the usual definition of the exterior covariant derivative of a vector bundle-valued function and  $d^\omega f$  is a vector-bundle-valued 1-form. Note that due to our initial assumption that  $K^{(s)}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -invariant, we also see that  $d^\omega$  is metric-compatible with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{(s)}$ .

Following [5], let us define the torsion of the defining map  $s$  with respect to the connection  $\omega$ .

**Definition 8** The *torsion*  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  of the defining map  $s$  with respect to  $\omega$  is a horizontal  $\mathbb{L}$ -valued 1-form on  $\mathcal{P}$  given by  $T^{(s, \omega)} = (s^* \theta) \circ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , where  $\theta$  is Maurer-Cartan form of  $\mathbb{L}$ . Equivalently, at  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$T^{(s, \omega)} \Big|_p = \left( R_{s_p}^{-1} \right)_* d^\omega s|_p. \quad (13)$$

Thus,  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  is the horizontal component of  $\theta_s = s^* \theta$ . We also easily see that it is  $\Psi$ -equivariant. Thus,  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  is a *basic* (i.e. horizontal and equivariant)  $\mathbb{L}$ -valued 1-form on  $\mathcal{P}$ , and thus defines a 1-form on  $M$  with values in the associated vector bundle  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\Psi^*} \mathbb{L}$ . We have the following properties.

**Theorem 2** Suppose  $s : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ , then

$$d^\omega \varphi_s = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot T^{(s, \omega)} - \left[ \varphi_s, T^{(s, \omega)} \right]^{(s)} \quad (14a)$$

$$d^\omega \varphi_s^t = \varphi_s^t (\check{T} \cdot \text{id}_{\mathbb{L}}) - [\check{T}, \varphi_s^t]_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (14b)$$

where  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{L}}$  are the identity maps of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathbb{L}$ , respectively, and  $\cdot$  denotes the action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  on  $\mathbb{L}$ .

**Proof** Equation (14a) follows from [5, Theorem 4.11]. However to obtain (14b), suppose  $\xi$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -valued map and  $\eta$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -valued map. Then,

$$\langle (d^\omega \varphi_s^t)(\xi), \eta \rangle^{(s)} = \langle \xi, (d^\omega \varphi_s) \eta \rangle^{(s)}.$$

Using (14a) and (6b), we obtain (14b).  $\square$

Recall that the curvature  $F^{(\omega)} \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{p})$  of the connection  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by

$$F^{(\omega)} = d\omega \circ \text{proj}_{\mathcal{H}} = d\omega + \frac{1}{2} [\omega, \omega]_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (15)$$

where wedge product is implied. Given the defining map  $s$ , define  $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l})$  to be the projection of the curvature  $F^{(\omega)}$  to  $\mathfrak{l}$  with respect to  $s$ , such that for any  $X_p, Y_p \in T_p \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} = \varphi_s \left( F^{(\omega)} \right). \quad (16)$$

**Theorem 3 ([5, Theorem 4.19])**

$\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$  and  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  satisfy the following structure equation

$$\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} = d^\omega T^{(s, \omega)} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ T^{(s, \omega)}, T^{(s, \omega)} \right]^{(s)}, \quad (17)$$

where a wedge product between the 1-forms  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  is implied.

In the case of an octonion bundle over a 7-dimensional manifold, this relationship between the torsion and a curvature component has been shown in [2]. Using  $\varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_s^t$ , let us define an adapted covariant derivative as a map from the space of  $\mathfrak{l}$ -valued equivariant functions  $\Omega_{basic}^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l})$  to the space of horizontal  $\mathfrak{l}$ -valued equivariant (i.e. basic) 1-forms  $\Omega_{basic}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l})$ :

$$d_{\varphi_s}^\omega = \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_s \circ d^\omega \circ \varphi_s^t : \Omega_{basic}^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{basic}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l}). \quad (18)$$

We can see that this covariant derivative is metric-compatible as long as  $d^\omega$  is.

**Lemma 7** Suppose  $\xi, \eta \in \Omega_{basic}^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l})$ , then  $d^\omega$  is metric-compatible if and only if

$$d \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_s = \langle d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi, \eta \rangle_s + \langle \xi, d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \eta \rangle_s.$$

**Proof** This can be shown by explicitly expanding  $d_{\varphi_s}^\omega$  and noting that since  $\varphi_s \varphi_s^t = \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ ,  $(d^\omega \varphi_s) \varphi_s^t = -\varphi_s (d\varphi_s^t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4**  $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$  satisfies the following Bianchi identity

$$d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} = F_{\mathfrak{h}_s} \hat{\wedge} T^{(s, \omega)}, \quad (19)$$

where  $F_{\mathfrak{h}_s} = \pi_{\mathfrak{h}_s} F$  and  $\hat{\wedge}$  denotes the action of  $\mathfrak{p}$  on  $\mathfrak{l}$  combined with the wedge product of  $p$ -forms.

**Proof** We can write  $F = F_{\mathfrak{h}_s} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_s^t (\hat{F})$ , so applying  $\varphi_s \circ d^\omega$ , the left-hand side vanishes due to the standard Bianchi identity, and we are left with

$$d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \hat{F} = -\varphi_s (d^\omega F_{\mathfrak{h}_s}) = (d^\omega \varphi_s) \wedge F_{\mathfrak{h}_s}.$$

Using (14a), we obtain (19).  $\square$

### 3.1 Gauge theory

As discussed earlier, equivariant horizontal forms on  $\mathcal{P}$  give rise to sections of corresponding associated bundles over the base manifold  $M$ . So let us now switch perspective, and work in terms of sections of bundles. Recall that the space of connections on  $\mathcal{P}$  is an affine space modelled on  $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{P}})$ . Thus, any connection  $\bar{\omega} = \omega + A$  for some  $A \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{P}})$ . Then,

$$T^{(s, \bar{\omega})} = T^{(s, \omega)} + \varphi_s(A) \quad (20)$$

The space of possible torsions of  $s$  therefore comes from deformations by elements of  $\varphi_s^t(\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}))$ . So define the *torsion space*  $\mathcal{T}_s \cong \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, for any  $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ , the torsion and curvature of  $\omega_{\xi} = \omega + \xi$  are given by

$$T^{(s, \omega_{\xi})} = T^{(s, \omega)} + \xi \quad (21a)$$

$$\hat{F}^{(s, \omega_{\xi})} = \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} + d_{\varphi_s}^{\omega} \xi + \frac{1}{2} [\xi, \xi]_{\varphi_s}. \quad (21b)$$

Since our prior assumption of transitivity of the action of  $\Psi$  implies that  $\varphi_s$  is surjective, we can find a reference connection  $\omega_0$  for which  $T^{(s, \omega_0)} = 0$ . In particular,  $\omega_0$  will have curvature with values in  $\mathfrak{h}_s$ , and in particular  $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega_0)} = 0$ . The torsion will be unchanged if we add to  $\omega$  an  $\mathfrak{h}_s$ -valued 1-form, hence the equivalence  $\mathcal{T}_s \cong \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$  is independent of the choice of a particular  $\omega_0$ .

Suppose  $h$  is a section of the associated bundle  $\text{Ad}(\mathcal{P})$ , then it defines a gauge-transformation and the gauge transformed connection is  $h^*\omega$ . In particular, for the section  $s \in \Gamma(Q)$ , we have

$$d^{h^*}\omega_s = (h_*)^{-1} d^{\omega}(h(s)). \quad (22)$$

Since the torsion is determined by the covariant derivative of  $s$ , transformations of the connection and the defining section  $s$  are very closely related. Indeed, as shown in [5], the corresponding transformation of torsion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(h(s), \omega)} &= (R_{h(s)})_*^{-1} d^{\omega}(h(s)) \\ &= h'_* \circ (R_s)^{-1} \circ (h_*)^{-1} d^{\omega}(h(s)) \\ &= h'_* T^{(s, h^*\omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which follows from Definition 8 and properties of  $h$  (2). Recall that we assumed that  $\Psi$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{L}$ , so that, for a fixed connection  $\omega$ , all the possible torsions are obtained by the action of  $\Psi$  on  $s$ , with the non-trivial transformations given by cosets of  $\Psi/H_s$ , where  $H_s = \text{Stab}(s)$ . On the other hand, as (23) shows, transformations of  $s$  correspond to gauge transformations of the connection. Since,

$$d^{h^*}\omega_s = d^{\omega}s + (h)_*^{-1} (d^{\omega}h) \cdot s, \quad (24)$$

we obtain

$$T^{(s, h^* \omega)} = T^{(s, \omega)} + \varphi_s \left( (h_*)^{-1} (d^\omega h) \right). \quad (25)$$

We will define *loop gauge transformations* to be precisely those that act non-trivially on  $s$ . Infinitesimally this corresponds to taking  $h = \exp(\tilde{\eta})$  for  $\eta \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{A})$ , so that

$$T^{(s, u^* \omega)} = T^{(s, \omega)} + d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \eta, \quad (26)$$

hence at  $T^{(s, \omega)} \in \mathcal{T}_s$ , the tangent vectors to  $\mathcal{T}_s$  in the directions of loop gauge transformations correspond precisely to the image of  $d_{\varphi_s}^\omega$ . Although this is beyond the scope of this note, the  $L_2$ -norm of  $T$  may be considered as a functional on gauge orbits in  $\mathcal{T}_s$ . Critical points then become analogues of the Coulomb gauge condition in gauge theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8].

The above considerations allow us to consider analogues of various functionals defined in gauge theory [5]. The key difference of course is that  $\hat{F}$  does not satisfy the standard Bianchi identity.

Let us now specialize to the case of  $M$  being a smooth compact 3-dimensional manifold. Following the standard theory, as in [10], let us define a 1-form  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{T}_s$ , for  $\chi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ , which is also interpreted as an element of  $T_\omega \mathcal{T}$ , by

$$\rho(\chi)|_\omega = \int_M \left\langle \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}, \chi \right\rangle^{(s)}. \quad (27)$$

**Theorem 5** *Suppose  $M$  is a smooth compact 3-dimensional manifold, then  $\rho = d\vartheta$ , where  $\vartheta$  is a functional on  $\mathcal{T}_s \cong \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$  given by*

$$\vartheta(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left\langle d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi + \frac{1}{3} [\xi, \xi]_{\varphi_s}, \xi \right\rangle^{(s)} dt. \quad (28)$$

*The critical points of  $\vartheta$  correspond to  $\omega_\xi = \omega_0 + \check{\xi}$  for which  $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega_\xi)} = 0$ .*

**Proof** Consider  $\omega_\xi = \omega + \check{\xi}$ , then using Stokes' Theorem, to first order we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\chi)|_{\omega_\xi} - \rho(\chi)|_\omega &= \int_M \left\langle d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi, \chi \right\rangle^{(s)} + O(|\xi|^2) \\ &= \int_M d \left\langle \xi, \chi \right\rangle^{(s)} + \int_M \left\langle \xi, d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \chi \right\rangle^{(s)} + O(|\xi|^2) \\ &= \int_M \left\langle \xi, d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \chi \right\rangle^{(s)} + O(|\xi|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as in [10], we see that  $d\rho = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_s$  is a contractible space, by Poincare lemma,  $\rho = d\vartheta$  for some function  $\vartheta$  on  $\mathcal{T}_s$ . Consider now a path  $\omega(t) = \omega_0 + t\check{\xi}$  from  $\omega_0$  to  $\omega = \omega_0 + \check{\xi}$ , where  $\omega_0$  is such that  $T^{(s, \omega_0)} = 0$ . Integrating it explicitly, and noting that since  $\rho$  is closed, this is path-independent, we get,



$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(\xi) - \vartheta(0) &= \int_0^1 \rho_{\omega(t)}(\varphi_s(\dot{\omega}(t))) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M \left\langle \hat{F}^{(s, \omega(t))}, \xi \right\rangle^{(s)} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M \left\langle t d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi + \frac{1}{2} t^2 [\xi, \xi]_{\varphi_s}, \xi \right\rangle^{(s)} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left\langle d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi + \frac{1}{3} [\xi, \xi]_{\varphi_s}, \xi \right\rangle^{(s)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ , and noting that  $\xi = T^{(s, \xi)}$  and  $d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \xi = \hat{F}^{(s, \xi)} - [\xi, \xi]_{\varphi_s}$ , we recover

$$\vartheta(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \langle T, \hat{F} \rangle^{(s)} - \frac{1}{6\lambda^2} \langle T, [T, T]_{\varphi_s} \rangle^{(s)} \right), \quad (29)$$

which (up to a factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$ ), is the Loop Chern-Simons Functional defined in [5]. In particular, we see that  $d\vartheta|_\omega = 0$  if and only if  $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} = 0$ , that is, connections for which this holds are critical points of the functional  $\vartheta$ .  $\square$

Unlike in the case of the standard Chern-Simons Functional,  $\rho$  does not necessarily vanish along orbits of the non-associative gauge action. As we see from (26), vectors tangent to the orbits are given by  $d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \eta$  for some  $\eta \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{A})$ . Using (19), we find

$$\rho(d_{\varphi_s}^\omega \eta)|_\omega = \int_M \left\langle F_{\mathfrak{h}_s}^\omega \wedge T^{(s, \omega)}, \eta \right\rangle^{(s)}. \quad (30)$$

Now let us consider how  $\vartheta$  is affected by gauge transformations. Consider a path  $t \in [0, 1]$  connecting  $T^{(s, \omega)}$  to  $T^{(s, u^* \omega)}$ . In particular, this is equivalent to a path  $\xi(t) \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\xi(0) = 0$  and  $\xi(1) = \varphi_s(u^* \omega - \omega)$ . Then, define  $\omega(t) = \omega + \xi(t)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(\xi(1)) - \vartheta(0) &= \int_0^1 \rho_{\omega(t)}(\varphi_s(\dot{\omega}(t))) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M \left\langle \hat{F}^{(s, \omega(t))}, \dot{\xi}(t) \right\rangle^{(s)} dt.
\end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

As in the standard gauge theory [10], we may extend  $\mathcal{P}$ , and all the associated bundles, to a bundle over  $\tilde{M} = M \times [0, 1]$ . In a local trivialization, let us define the connection  $A = A_0 dt + A_i dx^i$  on  $\tilde{M}$  with  $A_0 = 0$  and  $(A_i)_{(p, t)} = \omega_i(t)_p$ . Then, we see that the curvature  $F_A$  of this connection is given by  $(F_A)_{0i} = \dot{A}_i(t)$  and  $(F_A)_{ij} = (F^{(\omega)})_{ij}$ . Hence

$$\hat{F}_A = \dot{\xi}_i(t) dt \wedge dx^i + \left( \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} \right)_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j = -\dot{\xi}(t) \wedge dt + \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}, \quad (32)$$

so that  $\langle \hat{F}_A, \hat{F}_A \rangle = -2 \langle \hat{F}^{(s, \omega(t))}, \dot{\xi}(t) \rangle^{(s)} \wedge dt$ , and thus (31) becomes

$$\vartheta(\xi(1)) - \vartheta(0) = -2 \int_{\tilde{M}} \langle \hat{F}_A, \hat{F}_A \rangle. \quad (33)$$

This shows that there is a relation between Chern-Simons and a Chern-Weil-like functionals, similar to standard gauge theory. However, the 4-form  $\langle \hat{F}_A, \hat{F}_A \rangle$  on a 4-manifold is not necessarily independent of the choice of connection, so it is not a topological invariant. On the other hand, the above discussion shows that in this particular case, it is independent of the path  $\omega(t)$ , so it is important to understand if there is an invariant theory that is related to this non-associative gauge theory.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1811754.

## References

1. S. K. Donaldson. Gauge theory: Mathematical applications. In *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, pages 468–481. Academic Press/Elsevier Science, Oxford, 2006.
2. S. Grigorian.  $G_2$ -structures and octonion bundles. *Adv. Math.*, 308:142–207, 2017, 1510.04226. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.12.003.
3. S. Grigorian. Estimates and monotonicity for a heat flow of isometric  $G_2$ -structures. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 58(5):Art. 175, 37, 2019. doi:10.1007/s00526-019-1630-0.
4. S. Grigorian. Isometric flows of  $G_2$ -structures. 2020, arXiv:2008.06593.
5. S. Grigorian. Smooth loops and loop bundles. *Adv. Math.*, 393:Paper No. 108078, 115, 2021, 2008.08120. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2021.108078.
6. K. H. Hofmann and K. Strambach. Topological and analytic loops. In *Quasigroups and loops: theory and applications*, volume 8 of *Sigma Ser. Pure Math.*, pages 205–262. Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
7. H. Kiechle. *Theory of K-loops*, volume 1778 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. doi:10.1007/b83276.
8. E. Loubeau and H. N. Sá Earp. Harmonic flow of geometric structures. 2019, arXiv:1907.06072.
9. P. T. Nagy and K. Strambach. *Loops in group theory and Lie theory*, volume 35 of *De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2002. doi:10.1515/9783110900583.
10. H. N. Sá Earp. *Instantons on  $G_2$ -manifolds (with Addendum)*. PhD thesis, Imperial College London, 2009.
11. L. V. Sabinin. *Smooth quasigroups and loops*, volume 492 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999. doi:10.1007/978-94-011-4491-9.
12. J. D. H. Smith. *An introduction to quasigroups and their representations*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.