

## Distance graphs on normed function spaces

Timothy Eller<sup>1</sup>, Peter Johnson<sup>2</sup>, William Jones<sup>3</sup>, Jakub Kraus<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Georgia Southern University  
Statesboro, GA 30460, U.S.A

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849, U.S.A

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
SUNY at Binghamton  
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, U.S.A

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, U.S.A

email: te02816@georgiasouthern.edu, johnspd@auburn.edu,  
wjones4@binghamton.edu, jakraus@umich.edu

(Received July 13, 2022, Accepted August 23, 2022)

### Abstract

It is easy to see that the unit distance graphs on the classical real normed sequence and function spaces —  $L^p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $C[0, 1]$ , and  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , the continuous bounded functions from  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  into itself — have infinite clique and chromatic numbers, because each graph contains a countably infinite clique. The question remains to determine exactly which infinite cardinals these numbers are. A related question is of interest as well: can the chromatic number be greater than the clique number?

---

**Keywords and phrases:** Distance graphs, chromatic number, clique number, independent sets of vertices, infinite cardinals.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 05C15, 05C63.

This paper was supported by NSF grant no. 1950563.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2023, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

## 1 Introduction

$\mathbb{N}$  will stand for the set of non-negative integers and  $\mathbb{R}$  will stand for the real numbers. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets, the notation  $X^Y$  will be used to denote the set of all functions from  $Y$  into  $X$ . As usual,  $\{0, 1\}^Y$  will be abbreviated  $2^Y$ , and identified, in the usual way, with the set of all subsets of  $Y$  — also called the *power set* of  $Y$ .

Also as usual,  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be identified with the set of infinite sequences  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$  of elements of  $X$ ;  $f \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$  corresponds to the sequence  $(f(0), f(1), \dots)$ .

For any set  $X$ , the cardinality of  $X$  will be denoted  $|X|$ .

For any set  $Y \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  can be made into a vector space in a natural way via pointwise addition and scalar multiplication of functions.

Our questions concern single-distance graphs on infinite-dimensional normed subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  when  $Y = \mathbb{N}$  or  $Y$  is a subinterval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The spaces  $L^p(I)$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $I$  is a real interval, do not exactly fall into this category, but bear with us.

If  $V$  is a real vector space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and  $d > 0$ , the distance- $d$  graph on  $V$  — let us denote it  $G(V, \|\cdot\|, d)$ , or just  $G(V, d)$  if the norm is fixed in the discussion — is the graph with vertex set  $V$  in which two vectors  $u, v \in V$  are adjacent if and only if they are a distance of  $d$  apart, i.e.  $\|u - v\| = d$ . Clearly scalar multiplication by  $d^{-1}$  induces a graph isomorphism  $G(V, d) \rightarrow G(V, 1)$ , so we restrict our discussion to  $G(V, 1)$  in this paper.

A proper coloring of  $G(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  with colors from a set  $C$  is a function  $\phi : V \rightarrow C$  such that if  $u, v \in V$  and  $\|u - v\| = 1$ , then  $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$  — in other words, adjacent vertices cannot be the same color. The *chromatic number* of  $G(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  is the least cardinal  $|C|$  such that there is such a proper coloring; we shall denote this chromatic number by  $\chi(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  or  $\chi(V, 1)$ , suppressing the superfluous “ $G$ .”

A *clique* in a simple graph  $H$  is a complete subgraph of  $H$ . The *clique number* of  $H$  is

$$\omega(H) = \sup \{|V(K)| : K \text{ is a clique in } H\},$$

where  $V(K)$  stands for the vertex set of  $K$ . We shall denote the clique number of  $G(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  by  $\omega(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  or  $\omega(V, 1)$ .

Certainly, if  $\omega(H)$  is finite, the supremum in its definition is a maximum, but clearly this is not necessarily the case when  $\omega(H)$  is infinite. It is easy to describe a graph  $H$  with no infinite clique, but containing cliques of all

positive integer orders. For such an  $H$ ,  $\omega(H) = |\mathbb{N}|$  and there is no clique in  $H$  of order  $\omega(H)$ .

It is elementary that for any graph  $H$ ,  $\omega(H) \leq \chi(H)$ . Each finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  is finite for any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : tile  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n$ -dimensional cubes of diameter  $< 1$ , and then color the tiles periodically with a copious, but finite, set of colors in such a way that all points in different cubes bearing the same color will be distances  $> 1$  from each other. Therefore  $\chi(V, \|\cdot\|, 1) < \infty$  for all finite-dimensional normed real vector spaces  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ . Consequently,  $\omega(V, \|\cdot\|, 1) < \infty$  for all such vector spaces  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ . Therefore  $\omega(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  is achieved.

**Question 1.** If  $V$  is an infinite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  (so  $Y$  is infinite), with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , is there necessarily a clique in  $G(V, 1)$  whose vertex set has cardinality  $\omega(V, 1)$ ?

The Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$ . It is well known that  $\omega(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1) = n + 1$ . Determining or even estimating  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1)$  is a famous problem; the journal *Geombinatorics* owes its existence to the posing of this problem in the case  $n = 2$ . One of the shocking early results in this area, due to Raiskii [2], was the discovery that for  $n > 1$ ,  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1) \geq n + 2$ . Thus,  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1) > \omega(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1)$  for all  $n > 1$ . It has been shown [1] that  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1)$  grows exponentially with  $n$ . Hence,

$$\frac{\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1)}{\omega(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2, 1)} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$ , it turns out that  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = \omega(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = 2^n$ .

**Question 2.** For an infinite-dimensional normed real vector space  $V$ , is it possible that  $\omega(V, 1) < \chi(V, 1)$ ?

We have questions auxiliary to Question 2 that are a bit embarrassing as they reveal our ignorance, but here they are, with discussion.

**Question 3.** For an infinite-dimensional normed real vector space  $V$ , is it possible that  $\omega(V, 1) < \infty$ ?

Thanks to a famous result of P. Erdős about the existence of (finite) graphs with arbitrarily large girth and arbitrarily large chromatic number, it

is easy to see that infinite graphs  $G$  exist such that  $\omega(G) = 2$  and  $\chi(G) = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

**Question 4.** If  $G$  is a graph with  $\omega(G) \geq |\mathbb{N}|$ , can it be that  $\omega(G) < \chi(G)$ ?

In the rest of this paper, we inspect the unit distance graphs on particularly well known normed sequence and function spaces.

## 2 $l^\infty(Y)$

Suppose that  $Y$  is an infinite set. Define

$$l^\infty(Y) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^Y : \text{for some } M > 0, |f(y)| < M, \text{ for all } y \in Y\}.$$

In other words,  $l^\infty(Y)$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^Y$  consisting of all bounded functions. (Note: if  $Y$  is finite, then  $\mathbb{R}^Y = l^\infty(Y) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{|Y|}$ .) Clearly,  $l^\infty(Y)$  is naturally equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  defined by  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{y \in Y} |f(y)|$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $Y$  is an infinite set, then  $\omega(l^\infty(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = \chi(l^\infty(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = |2^Y|$ .

*Proof.* The proof uses some well-known facts about cardinal arithmetic, including  $|2^{\mathbb{N}}| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

Since  $\{0, 1\}^Y \subseteq l^\infty(Y)$ , and  $\{0, 1\}^Y$  is the vertex set of a clique in the graph

$$G(l^\infty(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |2^Y| &= |\{0, 1\}^Y| \leq \omega(l^\infty(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \\ &\leq \chi(l^\infty(Y), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \\ &\leq |l^\infty(Y)| \leq |\mathbb{R}^Y| \\ &= |(2^{\mathbb{N}})^Y| = |2^{\mathbb{N} \times Y}| = |2^Y|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that this result extends the result about  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  mentioned earlier.

### 3 $l^p(Y)$ , $1 \leq p < \infty$

If  $Y$  is infinite and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $l^p(Y) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}^Y : \sum_{y \in Y} |f(y)|^p < \infty \right\}$ ; clearly a subspace of  $l^\infty(Y)$ ,  $l^p(Y)$  is naturally normed by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , which is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \sum_{y \in Y} |f(y)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Theorem 2.** If  $Y$  is an infinite set, and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\omega(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) = \chi(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) = |Y|.$$

*Proof.* For  $y \in Y$ , let  $e_y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the characteristic function for the singleton set  $\{y\}$ , i.e.

$$e_y(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = y \\ 0 & \text{if } z \neq y \end{cases}.$$

Then the functions  $2^{-\frac{1}{p}}e_y$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are the vertices of a clique in  $G(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1)$ . Therefore  $\omega(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) \geq |Y|$ .

With  $\mathbb{Q}$  denoting the rational numbers, let

$$F(Y) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}^Y : \{y : f(y) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

It is elementary that  $F(Y)$  is dense in  $(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p)$  for all  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  and, because  $Y$  is infinite and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, that  $|F(Y)| = |Y|$ . Therefore,  $l^p(Y)$  can be covered with  $|Y|$  metric balls of diameter  $7/9$ . (Why  $7/9$ ? Feeble attempt at humor.) Each of these balls is an independent set in  $G(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1)$ . Therefore, we have  $\chi(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) \leq |Y|$ , which, with the previous inequality  $\omega(l^p(Y), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) \geq |Y|$ , establishes the claim.  $\square$

### 4 $C(I) \cap l^\infty(I)$

For a real interval  $I$  let

$$C(I) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^I : f \text{ is continuous on } I\},$$

where the continuity is with respect to the usual topologies on both spaces. Then,  $C(I) \cap l^\infty(I)$  is the real vector space of continuous, bounded, real-valued functions on the interval  $I$ , normed by the restriction of  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  to  $C(I) \cap l^\infty(I)$ . The following are facts familiar to many, but not all.

1. If  $I$  is an open interval, then  $(C(I) \cap l^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is linearly and isometrically isomorphic to  $(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . To see this, one obtains a monotone, continuous surjection  $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and then maps  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  one-to-one and onto  $C(I) \cap l^\infty(I)$  by  $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$ , the composition of  $f$  and  $\phi$ .

Therefore, to study the unit distance graphs on  $(C(I) \cap l^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , it suffices to study the unit distance graph on  $(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  when  $I$  is an open interval.

2. It is well-known that every continuous, real-valued function on an interval  $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  achieves both a maximum and a minimum on  $I$ . Therefore, for  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ ,

$$C([a, b]) \cap l^\infty([a, b]) = C([a, b]),$$

and for  $f \in C([a, b])$ ,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|.$$

Clearly, the different normed spaces  $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ ,  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  are isometrically isomorphic (see 1) to each other. So, their unit distance graphs are isomorphic as well. We will take  $C([0, 1])$  as the representative of this tribe of spaces.

3.  $(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is separable, i.e.  $C([0, 1])$  has a countable subset which is dense with respect to the topology induced by  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . One way to see this arises from the well-known fact that each  $f \in C([0, 1])$  is *uniformly continuous* on  $[0, 1]$ . This means that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  and  $|s - t| < \delta$  imply that  $|f(s) - f(t)| < \epsilon$ . It is then easy to see that  $f$  can be uniformly approximated by functions in  $C([0, 1])$  devised as follows— choose a positive integer  $n$ , rational numbers

$$x_0 = 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1,$$

more rational numbers  $y_0, \dots, y_n$ , and use these choices to define a function  $g$  by the following:

- (a)  $g(x_i) = y_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ;
- (b)  $g$  is linear on each interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Such functions  $g$ , called *linear splines*, are continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and the collection of them is dense in  $C([0, 1])$ . This collection is in one-to-one correspondence with a subset of the set of all finite sequences of ordered pairs of rational numbers; therefore, this collection of splines is countable.

4. On the other hand,  $(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not separable. We can prove this by a “diagonal” argument. Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of integers, and let  $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a subset of  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $f$  be the spline defined by

$$f(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g_k(k) < 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } g_k(k) \geq 0 \end{cases},$$

such that  $f$  is linear on  $[k-1, k]$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (in fact,  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ ) and  $\|f - g_k\|_\infty \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 3.**  $\omega(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = \chi(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

*Proof.* For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \setminus \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ 2n((n+1)x - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{n+1} < x < \frac{2n+1}{2n(n+1)} \\ -2(n+1)(nx - 1) & \text{if } \frac{2n+1}{2n(n+1)} \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}.$$

The main thing is that  $f_n$  is continuous, takes values between 0 and 1, is zero outside the interval  $(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$ , and that  $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$ . Clearly,  $\|f_i - f_j\|_\infty = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i < j$ . Therefore  $\omega(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \geq |\mathbb{N}|$ .

On the other hand, the separability of  $C([0, 1])$  allows us to cover  $C([0, 1])$  with  $|\mathbb{N}|$  sets that are independent in  $G(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1)$ , by an argument similar to that deployed in the proof of Theorem 2. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{N}| &\leq \omega(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \\ &\leq \chi(C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \leq |\mathbb{N}|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.**  $\omega(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = \chi(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

*Proof.* For each  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , extend  $f$  to a continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $[0, 1]$  by linear interpolation on each interval  $[n - 1, n], n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly, if  $f, g \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and  $f \neq g$ , then, letting  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  denote the spline extensions of  $f$  and  $g$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 = \|\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}\|_{\infty}$ . Thus,

$$\omega(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, 1) \geq |2^{\mathbb{Z}}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}| = |\mathbb{R}|.$$

We are grateful for the prompt to complete this proof from posts on Mathematics Stack Exchange illustrating why  $|C(\mathbb{R})| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Notice that  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  injects into  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Q}}$  by mapping each function to its values on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (this map is injective because the domain's functions are continuous). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, 1) &\leq |C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Q}}| = |\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}| = |\mathbb{R}|. \end{aligned}$$

The penultimate equality comes from the proof of Theorem 1, where it is shown that  $|\mathbb{R}^Y| = |2^Y|$  for any infinite set  $Y$ .  $\square$

What about half-open, half-closed intervals  $I$ ? It is straightforward to see that for every such  $I$ , the metric space  $(C(I) \cap l^{\infty}(I), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is isometrically isomorphic to the metric space  $(C([0, \infty)) \cap l^{\infty}([0, \infty)), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ . By modifying previous arguments, it is easily seen that this space is not separable, and that the unit distance graph on this space has clique number no less than  $|\mathbb{R}|$ . (Come to think of it, the latter implies the former, since if the space were separable, then its chromatic number would not be greater than  $|\mathbb{N}|$ .) As this space has cardinality  $\leq |C(\mathbb{R}) \cap l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})| = |\mathbb{R}|$ , the chromatic and clique numbers are both  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .

## 5 Step Functions

If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the characteristic function of  $S$  is the function  $ch_S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  defined by

$$ch_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin S. \end{cases}$$

A *step* function on an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a finite linear combination  $\sum_i \lambda_i ch_{S_i}$  in which each  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and each  $S_i \subseteq I$  is either a subinterval of  $I$  or a singleton. Clearly, each step function is bounded, so, with  $Step(I)$  denoting the set of all step functions on the interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we can equip  $Step(I)$  with the



norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

**Theorem 5.** For each real interval  $I$ ,

$$\omega(\text{Step}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = \chi(\text{Step}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) = |\text{Step}(I)| = |\mathbb{R}|.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Step}(I)$  is the set of all real-valued functions on  $I$  representable as finite linear combinations, with coefficients from  $\mathbb{R}$ , of characteristic functions for singletons from  $I$  and subintervals of  $I$ , elementary cardinality arguments show that  $|\text{Step}(I)| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

Clearly,  $\chi(\text{Step}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \leq |\text{Step}(I)| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . On the other hand, if  $J$  and  $K$  are different subintervals of  $I$ , then  $\|ch_J - ch_K\|_\infty = 1$ . Thus, the characteristic functions of the different intervals in  $I$  are the vertices of a clique in  $G(\text{Step}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1)$ , so  $|\{\text{intervals in } I\}| = |\mathbb{R}| \leq \omega(\text{Step}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1)$ . □

## 6 Simple Functions

A *simple function* on a real interval  $I$  is a function representable as a finite linear combination  $\sum_i \lambda_i ch_{S_i}$  in which each  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and each  $S_i$  is a Lebesgue-measurable subset of  $I$ . We refer the reader to almost any graduate textbook on real analysis for the definition of “Lebesgue-measurable.”

Let  $\text{Simple}(I)$  denote the set of simple functions on  $I$ . Clearly,  $\text{Simple}(I)$  is a vector subspace of  $l^\infty(I)$ . We will consider  $\text{Simple}(I)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(I)$  denote the set of Lebesgue-measurable subsets of  $I$ . Clearly,

$$|\mathbb{R}| = |I| \leq |\mathcal{L}(I)| \leq |2^I| = |2^\mathbb{R}|.$$

By the proof of Theorem 5, we have the following.

**Theorem 6.** For any real interval  $I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\text{Simple}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) &= \chi(\text{Simple}(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \\ &= |\text{Simple}(I)| = |\mathcal{L}(I)|. \end{aligned}$$

We have questions about  $|\mathcal{L}(I)|$ . We have been told by reliable sources that if the Zermelo-Fraenkel (ZF) axioms are consistent, then so are those axioms with the additional axiom LM: every subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue-measurable. In ZF+LM, clearly  $|\mathcal{L}(I)| = |2^I| = |2^\mathbb{R}|$  for each real interval  $I$ . However, in

Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice (ZFC), the negation of the axiom LM is provable! We know from [3] and [4] that a change in axiom systems can change cardinalities, even the chromatic numbers of infinite graphs. If anyone can enlighten us on  $|\mathcal{L}(I)|$  in ZFC, we will be most appreciative.

## 7 $L^p(I)$ , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

If  $I$  is a real interval and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $L^p(I)$  is usually thought of as the set of Lebesgue-measurable functions  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\int_I |f|^p < \infty$ , where  $\int_I$  denotes the Lebesgue integral over  $I$ . (The Lebesgue integral agrees with the Riemann integral, but can be applied to a broader class of functions.) As all students of real analysis know, this definition is not quite right. Actually, the elements of  $L^p(I)$  are *equivalence classes* of Lebesgue-measurable functions, with respect to the equivalence relation  $\simeq$  defined by:  $f \simeq g$  (for Lebesgue-measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$ ) if and only if  $\{x \in I : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  has Lebesgue measure zero.

However, we shall, as is customary, treat the elements of  $L^p(I)$  as functions.  $L^p(I)$  is a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|f\|_p = (\int_I |f|^p)^{1/p}$ . Note that we also used  $\|\cdot\|_p$  to stand for the canonical norm on  $l^p(Y)$ . We hope that the distinction will be clear from context.

The elements of  $L^\infty(I)$  are also equivalence classes of Lebesgue-measurable functions under the equivalence relation described above. The equivalence class of a Lebesgue-measurable function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $L^\infty(I)$  if and only if, for some  $M \geq 0$ ,  $\mu(\{x \in I : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0$ , where  $\mu$  denotes the Lebesgue measure. Essentially,  $L^\infty(I)$  is the set of  $\simeq$  classes of Lebesgue-measurable functions whose members are bounded almost everywhere. We equip  $L^\infty(I)$  with the norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{M \geq 0 : \mu(\{x \in I : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0\}$ , the essential supremum of  $|f|$ . As with  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , we underscore that this  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is not the same as norms appearing previously in this paper with the same notation.

**Theorem 7.** For any real interval  $I$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\omega(L^p(I), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) = \chi(L^p(I), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) = |\mathbb{N}|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $J_n = (a_n, b_n)$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint open subintervals of  $I$ , and let  $f_n = (2(b_n - a_n))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{J_n}$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $\|f_s - f_t\|_p = 1$  whenever  $1 \leq s < t$ . Thus,  $\omega(L^p(I), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) \geq |\mathbb{N}|$ .

On the other hand,  $(L^p(I), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a separable normed space (this is a long story, which shall not be told here). Therefore, as in earlier proofs in this paper,  $\chi(L^p(I), \|\cdot\|_p, 1) \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** For any real interval  $I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{R}| &\leq \omega(L^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \\ &\leq \chi(L^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \leq |2^{\mathbb{R}}|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $J$  and  $K$  are two different open intervals in  $I$ , then  $\|ch_J - ch_K\|_\infty = 1$ . Thus,  $\omega(L^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \geq |\{(a, b) : a, b \in I \text{ and } a < b\}| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

On the other hand, clearly  $\chi(L^\infty(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty, 1) \leq |L^\infty(I)| \leq |\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}| = |2^{\mathbb{R}}|$ . (Again, recall from the proof of Theorem 1 that  $|\mathbb{R}^Y| = |2^Y|$  for any infinite set  $Y$ .)  $\square$

Out of sheer curiosity, we hope that Theorem 8 can be improved so that both the clique number and chromatic number are completely determined.

## 8 Distance Graphs Defined By More Than One Distance

In this last section we shall consider distance graphs in a more general setting than we began this paper with. Suppose that  $(X, \rho)$  is a metric space and  $D \subset (0, \infty)$ . The distance graph  $G(X, \rho, D)$  is the graph with vertex set  $X$  in which vertices  $x, y \in X$  are adjacent if and only if  $\rho(x, y) \in D$ . When  $D = \{d\}$  we write  $G(X, \rho, d)$ . If  $P$  is a graph parameter (e.g.,  $\chi$ ) we write  $P(X, \rho, D)$ , suppressing the superfluous “ $G$ .” When  $V$  is a real vector space with distance induced by a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , we write  $P(V, \|\cdot\|, D)$  as previously, except now  $D$  need not be a singleton.

**Theorem 9.** Suppose that  $(X, \rho)$  is a metric space, and  $D \subseteq (0, \infty)$  is a finite, nonempty set. Then:

$$\max_{d \in D} \omega(X, \rho, d) \leq \omega(X, \rho, D) \leq \chi(X, \rho, D) \leq \prod_{d \in D} \chi(X, \rho, d)$$

*Proof.* The first two inequalities are straightforward to see. As for the last: for each  $d \in D$ , let  $C_d$  be a set of colors so that  $|C_d| = \chi(X, \rho, d)$  and let  $\varphi_d : X \rightarrow C_d$  be a proper coloring of  $G(X, \rho, d)$ . Then the function

$\varphi : X \rightarrow \prod_{d \in D} C_d$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = (\varphi_d(x))_{d \in D}$  corresponds to a proper coloring of  $G(X, \rho, D)$ , since if  $x, y \in X$  and  $\rho(x, y) = d \in D$ , then the  $d$ th coordinates of the  $|D|$ -tuples  $\varphi(x), \varphi(y)$  will be different. Consequently:

$$\chi(X, \rho, D) \leq \left| \prod_{d \in D} C_d \right| = \prod_{d \in D} \chi(X, \rho, D)$$

□

**Corollary.** Suppose that  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a real normed space and  $\chi(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  is infinite. Then for each finite, nonempty  $D \subseteq (0, \infty)$ :

$$\omega(V, \|\cdot\|, 1) \leq \omega(V, \|\cdot\|, D) \leq \chi(V, \|\cdot\|, D) = \chi(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$$

*Proof.* The corollary follows from Theorem 9, the fact that  $P(V, \|\cdot\|, d) = P(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  for each  $d > 0$  when  $P \in \{\omega, \chi\}$ , and the fact that if  $c$  is an infinite cardinal and  $k$  is a positive integer, then  $c^k = c$ . □

The corollary implies generalizations of almost all the previous results of this paper from  $P(V, \|\cdot\|, 1)$  to  $P(V, \|\cdot\|, D)$  for  $P \in \{\omega, \chi\}$  and finite  $D \subseteq (0, \infty)$ . Extensions of the proposition to the case of infinite  $D \subseteq (0, \infty)$  are available, but we will leave these matters for another time.

## References

- [1] P. Frankl, R.M. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, *Combinatorica*, **1**, (1981), 357–358.
- [2] D.E. Raiskii, Realizing all distances in a decomposition of the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $n + 1$  parts, *Matematicheskie Zametki*, **7**, (1970), 319–323, English translation in *Math Notes*, **7**, (1970), 194–196.
- [3] Saharon Shelah, Alexander Soifer, Axiom of choice and the chromatic number of the plane, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, series A*, **103**, (2003), 387–391.
- [4] Saharon Shelah, Alexander Soifer, Chromatic number of the plane and its relatives, part III: its future, *Geombinatorics*, **13**, (2003), 41–46.