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#### Abstract

Let $\mu$ be a measure on the unit circle that is regular in the sense of Stahl Totik, and Ullmann. Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ be the orthonormal polynomials for $\mu$ and $\left\{z_{j n}\right\}$ their zeros. Let $\mu$ be absolutely continuous in an arc $\Gamma$ of the unit circle, with $\mu^{\prime}$ positive and continuous there. We show that uniform boundedness of the orthonormal polynomials in subarcs of $\Gamma$ is equivalent to $n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right)$ being bounded away from 0 . If in addition as $n \rightarrow \infty, n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right) \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left|\varphi_{n}\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$ uniformly.
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## 1. Main Results

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $[-\pi, \pi$ ) (or equivalently on the unit circle) with infinitely many points in its support. Then we may define orthonormal polynomials

$$
\varphi_{n}(z)=\kappa_{n} z^{n}+\ldots, \kappa_{n}>0
$$

$n=0,1,2, \ldots$ satisfying the orthonormality conditions

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{m}(z)} d \mu(\theta)=\delta_{m n}
$$

where $z=e^{i \theta}$. We denote the zeros of $\varphi_{n}$ by $\left\{z_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$. They lie inside the unit circle, and may not be distinct.

We shall often assume that $\mu$ is regular in the sense of Stahl, Totik and Ullmann [14], so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n}^{1 / n}=1
$$

This is true if for example $\mu^{\prime}>0$ a.e. in $[-\pi, \pi)$, but there are pure jump and pure singularly continuous measures that are regular.

Many aspects of the zeros $\left\{z_{j n}\right\}$ have been studied down the years: their asymptotics, their distribution (often when projected onto the unit circle), "clock spacing" of zeros of paraorthogonal polynomials, .... . See

In a very interesting recent paper, Bessonov and Denisov [?] showed that the distance of the zeros to the unit circle is intimately related to asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials. The following is a reformulation of one of their results:

## Theorem

Let $\mu$ be a measure on the unit circle satisfying the Szegő condition

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \mu^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right) d t>-\infty
$$
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For almost every $\zeta$ with $|\zeta|=1$, the following are equivalent:
(I)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}(\zeta)=1
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|\right)=\infty \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove related equivalences for local bounds and asymptotics but for more general regular, rather than Szegő, measures:

## Theorem 1.1

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle that is regular in the sense of Stahl, Totik, and Ullmann. Let $\Delta$ be an arc of the unit circle in which $\mu$ is absolutely continuous, while $\mu^{\prime}$ is positive and continuous there. The following are equivalent:
(I) In every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): z_{j n} \neq 0, \frac{z_{j n}}{\left|z_{j n}\right|} \in \Gamma\right\}\right)=\infty
$$

(II) In every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $\zeta \in \Gamma$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}(\zeta)=1
$$

## Theorem 1.2

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. The following are equivalent:
(I) In every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$, there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): z_{j n} \neq 0, \frac{z_{j n}}{\left|z_{j n}\right|} \in \Gamma\right\} \geq C_{1}
$$

(II) In every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$, there exists $C_{2}>0$ such that for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Gamma)} \leq C_{2}
$$

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present more background as well as more equivalences. ...

We close this section with more notation. We let

$$
\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)=z^{n} \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}
$$

The $n$th reproducing kernel for $\mu$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(z, u)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{j}(z) \overline{\varphi_{j}(u)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Christoffel-Darboux formula asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(z, u)=\frac{\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(u)} \varphi_{n}^{*}(z)-\overline{\varphi_{n}(u)} \varphi_{n}(z)}{1-\bar{u} z} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(z)=\frac{z \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{n \varphi_{n}(z)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive constants independent of $n, z, t$ and polynomials $P$ of degree $\leq n$. The same symbol need not denote the same constant in different occurrences. For sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of non-zero real numbers, we write

$$
x_{n} \sim y_{n}
$$

if there exists $C>1$ such that

$$
C^{-1} \leq x_{n} / y_{n} \leq C \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

## 2. Background and Further Results

Parts of the following theorem appear in Theorem 1.2 in [?], notably (b), (d), (e), while weaker forms of (a), (f) appear there.

## Theorem 2.1

Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle that is regular in the sense of Stahl, Totik, and Ullmann. Let $\Delta$ be an arc of the unit circle in which $\mu$ is absolutely continuous, while $\mu^{\prime}$ is positive and continuous there. Let $\Gamma$ be a proper subarc of $\Delta$. The following are equivalent: in every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$,
(a) Uniformly in $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}(z)=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Uniformly in $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} R_{n}(z)=1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Uniformly in $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{n \varphi_{n}(z)}\right)=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Uniformly in $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{n \varphi_{n}(z)}=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Uniformly in $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}=-1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(f) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$ and $u$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z\left(1+\frac{u}{n}\right)\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}=e^{u} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(g)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): z_{j n} \neq 0, \frac{z_{j n}}{\left|z_{j n}\right|} \in \Gamma\right\}\right)=\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(h) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.2

Let $\mu$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. The following are equivalent: in every proper subarc $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$,
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Gamma)}<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) There exist $n_{0}, C>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, and $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}(z) \geq C \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) There exist $n_{0}, C>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, and $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{n \varphi_{n}(z)}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \geq C \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) There exist $n_{0}, C>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, and $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{ \pm i \pi / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}\right)\right| \geq C \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) There exist $n_{0}, C>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, and $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): z_{j n} \neq 0, \frac{z_{j n}}{\left|z_{j n}\right|} \in \Gamma\right\} \geq C \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(f) There exist $n_{0}, C>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, and $z \in \Gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\zeta \in \Gamma, n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Preliminary Lemmas

Throughout, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. We first recall some asymptotics for Christoffel functions and universality and local limits.

## Lemma 3.1

Let $\Gamma$ be a proper subarc of $\Delta$.
(a) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n}(z, z) \mu^{\prime}(z)=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$ and $a, b$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{n}\left(z\left(1+\frac{i 2 \pi a}{n}\right), z\left(1+\frac{i 2 \pi \bar{b}}{n}\right)\right)}{K_{n}(z, z)}=e^{i \pi(a-b)} \mathbb{S}(a-b) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Let $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\} \subset \Gamma$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\zeta_{n}-z_{j n}}\right|<\infty \text { and } \sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta_{n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}<\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From every infinite sequence of positive integers, we can choose an infinite subsequence $\mathcal{S}$ such that uniformly for $u$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\left(1+\frac{u}{n}\right)\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}=e^{u}+C\left(e^{u}-1\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{n} \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}-1\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof
(a) See for example [13, p. 123, Thm. 2.16.1].
(b) See for example [7, Thm. 6.3, p. 559].
(c) This follows immediatley from Theorem 1.3 in [?] as we have the universality limit ( ) We note that there was a mistake in Lemma 4.2(a) in [?] that was corrected in [ ]. However, the mistake did not affect Theorem 1.3 there.

Many of the assertions in the following lemma appear in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 in [?], but we include proofs for the reader's convenience. We also note there was an error in Lemma 4.2(a) there, leading to an error in Lemma 4.3(d) and a gap in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of [?], but this was corrected in [ ]. Recall that $R_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ were defined by ( ), ( ).

Lemma 3.2
Let $\Gamma$ be a proper subarc of $\Delta$.
(a) For $|z|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[2 g_{n}(z)-1\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$, and fixed real $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left[e^{i \pi \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}\right)}\right] \mu^{\prime}(z)=-\sin \pi \alpha \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$, and fixed real $\alpha$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}\right)}\right] \frac{1}{n} R_{n}\left(z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}\right) \mu^{\prime}(z)  \tag{3.8}\\
-(2 \sin \pi \alpha)\left(\operatorname{Im} g_{n}\left(z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right\}=\cos \pi \alpha
$$

(d) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} R_{n}(z)\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}(z)=1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$, and fixed real $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}(1+o(1))+g_{n}(z) 2 i e^{-\pi i \alpha} \sin \pi \alpha=1+o(1) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

(a) Elementary manipulation shows that

$$
\frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{z-z_{j n}}\right)-1
$$

Dividing by $2 n$ and adding for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ gives ( ).
(b) Let $\zeta=z e^{2 \pi i \alpha / n}$. The Christoffel-Darboux formula and universality limit ( ) (as well as the uniformity of that limit) give uniformly for $\alpha$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{*}(\zeta)-\overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}(\zeta)}{[1-\bar{z} \zeta] K_{n}(z, z)} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{n}(\zeta, z)}{K_{n}(z, z)} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{n}\left(z\left(1+\frac{2 \pi i \alpha}{n}[1+o(1)]\right), z\right)}{K_{n}(z, z)}=e^{i \pi \alpha} \mathbb{S}(\alpha) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here by (4.10),

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}[1-\bar{z} \zeta] K_{n}(z, z)=-2 \pi i \alpha \mu^{\prime}(z)^{-1}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{*}(\zeta)-\overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right] \mu^{\prime}(z) & =-2 \pi i \alpha e^{i \pi \alpha} \mathbb{S}(\alpha) \\
& =-2 i e^{\pi i \alpha} \sin \pi \alpha=1-e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, if $\alpha$ is real,

$$
\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{*}(\zeta)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}
$$

so combining this and the last two limits gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\pi i \alpha}\left\{e^{i \pi \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-e^{-\pi i \alpha} \overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right\} \mu^{\prime}(z)=-2 i e^{\pi i \alpha} \sin \pi \alpha
$$

(c) We go back to ( ), which holds uniformly for $\alpha$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. This uniformity allows us to differentiate with respect to $\alpha$ : after cancelling a factor of $2 \pi i$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{* \prime}(\zeta)-\overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\zeta)\right] \frac{\zeta}{n} \mu^{\prime}(z)=-e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we again specialize to real $\alpha$, and use that for $|\zeta|=1$,

$$
\varphi_{n}^{* \prime}(\zeta)=n \zeta^{n-1} \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-\zeta^{n-2} \overline{\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\zeta)}
$$

so that, recalling the definition of $g_{n}$,

$$
\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}(z)} \varphi_{n}^{* \prime}(\zeta) \frac{\zeta}{n}=e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta) g_{n}(\zeta)}
$$

Substituting in (), and cancelling a factor of $e^{\pi i \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta) g_{n}(\zeta)}-e^{-\pi i \alpha} \overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}(\zeta) g_{n}(\zeta)\right] \mu^{\prime}(z)=-e^{\pi i \alpha} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta) g_{n}(\zeta)}\right\}\right] \mu^{\prime}(z)=-e^{\pi i \alpha}
$$

Taking real parts,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}\left\{1-2 \overline{g_{n}(\zeta)}\right\}\right]=-\cos \pi \alpha
$$

Then using (b),

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}\right] \operatorname{Re}\left[1-2 g_{n}(\zeta)\right] \\
+2 \sin \pi \alpha \operatorname{Im} g_{n}(\zeta)
\end{array}\right\} \mu^{\prime}(z)=-\cos \pi \alpha
$$

Finally apply (a).
(d) Here we set $\alpha=0$, then $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, then $\alpha=1$ in (c).
(e) From (a),

$$
\overline{g_{n}(\zeta)}=2 \operatorname{Re} g_{n}(\zeta)-g_{n}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{n} R_{n}(\zeta)+1-g_{n}(\zeta)
$$

We substitute this in ( ):
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[-e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)} \frac{1}{n} R_{n}(\zeta)+g_{n}(\zeta)\left\{e^{\pi i \alpha} \varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}-e^{-\pi i \alpha} \overline{\varphi_{n}(z)} \varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right\}\right] \mu^{\prime}(z)=-e^{\pi i \alpha}$
Using (d) and (b), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[-e^{\pi i \alpha} \frac{\varphi_{n}(z)}{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}(1+o(1))+g_{n}(\zeta) 2 i(-\sin \pi \alpha)\right]=-e^{\pi i \alpha} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\varphi_{n}(z)}{\varphi_{n}(\zeta)}(1+o(1))+g_{n}(\zeta) 2 i e^{-\pi i \alpha} \sin \pi \alpha\right]=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the uniformity, we can substitute $z e^{-2 \pi i \alpha}$ for $z$ so that $\zeta=z$.
We now prove parts of Theorems 2.1, 2.2:

## Lemma 3.3

(a) The following are equivalent:
(i)

$$
\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): \tau_{j n} \in J, n \geq 1\right\} \geq C>0
$$

(ii)

$$
\sup _{\zeta \in \Gamma, n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta_{n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}<\infty
$$

(b) The following are equivalent:
(i) As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\inf \left\{n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right): \tau_{j n} \in J\right\} \rightarrow \infty
$$

(ii)

$$
\sup _{\zeta \in I} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta_{n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}=o(1)
$$

## Proof

(a) (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} & \leq \frac{C^{-1}}{n} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{C n} R_{n}(\zeta) \leq C_{1}\left|\varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the old (4.8). Now we know from (4.7) that

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right|\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right| \geq 1+o(1)
$$

so it follows that either

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C \text { or } \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta e^{i \pi / n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C
$$

(or possibly both). But because of our hypothesis, for $\tau_{j n}, \zeta \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\zeta-z_{j n}}{\zeta e^{i \pi / n}-z_{j n}}\right| & =\left|1+\frac{\zeta\left(1-e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{\zeta e^{i \pi / n}-z_{j n}}\right| \\
& \leq 1+\frac{2 \sin (\pi / 2 n)}{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|} \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

while a similar bound holds for the reciprocal. So for $\zeta \in J$,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C
$$

Then also for the remaining terms,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \notin J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{C n}{n^{2}}=o(1)
$$

(OK one might need to worry near the boundary).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

Choosing $\zeta=\tau_{j n} \in J$ gives

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{n^{2}\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C
$$

by our hypothesis.
(b) $(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow$ (ii)

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|\zeta-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\inf _{\tau_{j n} \in J} n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}\right)} \\
& =o\left(\frac{1}{n} R_{n}(\zeta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now proceed as in (a).
(b) (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

Again, proceed much as in (a).

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

## Proof of Theorem 2.1

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b)

This is immediate from Lemma 3.2(d).
(b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c)

This is immediate from Lemma 3.2(a).
$(\mathrm{c}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$
It is immediate that (d) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Now assume (c) holds. From Lemma 3.2(c) with $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, and Lemma 3.2(d),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}}{\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|\left|\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right|}\right]-2\left(\operatorname{Im} g_{n}\left(z e^{\pi i / n}\right)\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using (a) for $\zeta=z, z e^{i \pi / n}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\varphi_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}(\zeta)=1,
$$

while from $3.2(\mathrm{~d})$ with $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[\varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}\right] \mu^{\prime}(z)=-1
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}}{\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|\left|\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right|}\right]=-1
$$

and hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\varphi_{n}(z) \overline{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}}{\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|\left|\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right|}\right]=0 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im} g_{n}\left(z e^{\pi i / n}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the unformity in $z$, we may replace $z e^{i \pi / n}$ by $z$. So indeed (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d).
(d) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e)

From Lemma 3.2(a) with $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{\pi i / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}(1+o(1))+2 g_{n}(z)=1+o(1)
$$

and so ( ) holds iff $g_{n} \rightarrow 1$.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (f)

Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be a proper subarc of $\Delta$ containing $\Gamma$. Assume first the conclusion () of (a) holds. We apply Lemma 3.1(c), so must verify there. The first condition in ( ) follows immediately from (d). For the second, observe first from Lemma 3.2(d) and our hypothesis, that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{z_{j n} \in \Gamma_{1}} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{z_{j n} \in \Gamma_{1}} \frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{n} R_{n}(z) \leq C .
$$

Next, for $z \in \Gamma$, if $d$ is the distance from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma_{1}$,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{z_{j n} \notin \Gamma_{1}} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{n}{n^{2} d}=o(1) .
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq C
$$

and we have the second condition in (). From Lemma 3.4, we obtain that every subsequence of positive integers contains a further subsequence $\mathcal{S}$ such that uniformly for $u$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, we have ( ), where $C$ is given by ( ). But
from (d), $C=0$, so the limit is independent of the subsequence, and we have ( ).
Now conversely assume we have the local limit ( ). Then setting $u=i \pi / n$ and using the uniformity,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z\left(1+\frac{i \pi}{n}[1+o(1)]\right)\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}=e^{i \pi}=-1
$$

so we have ( ) and hence the result from (x?).
$(\mathrm{f}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{g})$
This is a consequence of the fact that $e^{u}$ has no zeros. Indeed, if there were a subsequence of zeros $z_{j n}, n \in \mathcal{S}, j=j(n)$, then writing $z_{j n}=\zeta_{n}\left(1+i \alpha_{n} / n\right)$, we have $\alpha_{n}=O(1)$, and by the local limit,

$$
0=\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\left(1+i \alpha_{n} / n\right)\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}=e^{\pi i \alpha_{n}}+o(1)
$$

leading to a contradiction.
(g) $\Leftrightarrow$ (h)

This is Lemma 3.3.
(h) $\Rightarrow$ (f)

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} g_{n}^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[1+\frac{z_{j n}}{z-z_{j n}}\right]\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{z_{j n}}{\left(z-z_{j n}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our hypothesis gives uniformly for $z \in \Gamma$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} g_{n}^{\prime}(z)=o(1)
$$

and hence for $\zeta, z \in \Gamma$ with $|\zeta-z| \leq A / n$,

$$
\left|g_{n}(z)-g_{n}(\zeta)\right|=o(1)
$$

Then from Lemma 3.2(e), with $\zeta=z e^{-i \pi / n}$,

$$
\left|\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}-\frac{\varphi_{n}(z)}{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}\right|=o(1)
$$

so that in view of ( ),

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(z e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(z)}=-1
$$

Then we have the conclusion of (e) and ( ) gives the result.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

## Lemma 5.1

Assume

$$
\sup _{n \leq 1}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Gamma)}<\infty
$$

then there exists $C>0$ such that for $n \geq 1$ and $z_{j n} \neq 0, \frac{z_{j n}}{\left|z_{j n}\right|} \in \Gamma$

$$
n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right) \geq C
$$

## Proof

Suppose the conclusion is false. Then we can can choose an infinite subsequence $\mathcal{S}$ of integers, and for $j=j(n) \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
n\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Write

$$
z_{j n}=\tau_{j n}\left(1+2 \pi i \frac{\alpha_{n}}{n}\right) ; u=\tau_{j n}\left(1+2 \pi i \frac{\bar{v}}{n}\right)
$$

where $v=v(n)$ and $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then from the university limit, uniformly for $v$ in compact sets,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{K_{n}\left(z_{j n}, u\right)}{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)} & =e^{i \pi\left(v-\alpha_{n}\right)} \mathbb{S}\left(v-\alpha_{n}\right)+o(1) \\
& =e^{i \pi v} \mathbb{S}(v)+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next from the Christoffel-Darboux formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{j n}\right)} \varphi_{n}(u)=\left\{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)\left(1-\bar{u} z_{j n}\right)\right\} \frac{K_{n}\left(z_{j n}, u\right)}{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and setting $u=\tau_{j n}$ so that $v=0$ in both formulas, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{j n}\right)} \varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}\right) & =K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j n}\right|\right) \frac{K_{n}\left(z_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)}{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)} \\
& =o(1)(1+o(1))=o(1) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now apply the above with $u=\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}$, so that $v=-\frac{1}{2}+o(1)$,

$$
\frac{K_{n}\left(z_{j n}, \tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right)}{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)}=e^{-i \pi / 2} \mathbb{S}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+o(1)
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{j n}\right)} \varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right) \\
= & \left\{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)\left(1-e^{-i \pi / n}\left|z_{j n}\right|\right)\right\} \frac{K_{n}\left(z_{j n}, u\right)}{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)} \\
= & \left\{K_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}, \tau_{j n}\right)\left(1-e^{-i \pi / n}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right\}\left\{e^{-i \pi / 2} \mathbb{S}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+o(1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left|\overline{\varphi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{j n}\right)} \varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right| \sim 1
$$

Dividing (5.2) by this, gives

$$
\left|\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right)}\right|=o(1)
$$

But from the old (4.7),

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right) \varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}\right)\right| \geq 1+o(1)
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right)}\right|^{-1}\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n} e^{i \pi / n}\right) \varphi_{n}\left(\tau_{j n}\right)\right| \\
& \rightarrow \infty \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting boundedness.
Proof of Theorem 2.1
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b)

This is immediate from Lemma 3.2(d).
(b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c)

This is immediate from Lemma 3.2(a).
(c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d)

This follows from Lemma 3.2(e).
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (e)

This follows from Lemma 5.1.
(e) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathbf{f})$

This was proved in Lemma 3.3.
$(\mathrm{f}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$
Assume the result is false. Then can choose a sequence $\mathcal{S}$ and for $n \in \mathcal{S}, \zeta_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then from ( )

$$
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

But then for $\left|\zeta_{n}-z_{n}\right| \leq \frac{C}{n}$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Then also

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1}{\left|z_{n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{\tau_{j n} \in J} \frac{1-\left|z_{j n}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{n}-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}=o(1)
$$

while the tail sum is smaller, so

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{j n}\right|^{2}}=o(1)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{z \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{n \varphi_{n}(z)}\right) & =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{z}{z-z_{j n}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[1+\frac{z_{j n}}{z-z_{j n}}\right]\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{z_{j n}}{\left(z-z_{j n}\right)^{2}}=o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that if $\left|z_{n}-\zeta_{n}\right| \leq C / n$,

$$
\frac{z_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{n \varphi_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)}-\frac{\zeta_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}{n \varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}=o(1)
$$

From the old (4.12),

$$
\left|\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n} e^{i \pi / / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}-\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n} e^{i \pi / / n}\right)}\right|=o(1)
$$

which forces

$$
\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n} e^{i \pi / / n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}=1+o(1)
$$

which forces

$$
\frac{\zeta_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}{n \varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)}=1+o(1)
$$

which forces from the old (4.9) that

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \mu^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=1+o(1)
$$

which forces

$$
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=1+o(1)
$$

This contradicts our hypothesis. So uniformly in $n$ and $\zeta \in J$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} R_{n}(\zeta) \geq C
$$

which forces

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(J)} \leq C
$$
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