

Towards An Operationalization of Mathematization

Elizabeth Roan
Texas State University

Jennifer Czocher
Texas State University

Keywords: mathematical modeling, quantitative reasoning, covariational reasoning

Mathematization, the process of transforming a real-world situation into a mathematical model, is historically difficult for students, called horizontal mathematizing by Freudenthal (2002). Literature on mathematizing typically frames the research problem as identifying some sort of “blockage” or that an action associated with mathematizing was “difficult” to perform (Brahmia, 2014; Galbraith & Stillman, 2006; Jankvist & Niss, 2020; Stillman & Brown, 2014). While these studies further our understanding of students’ difficulties with the mathematizing step in modeling, there is more to be learned about how students’ mathematical reasoning influences mathematizing a dynamic situation. Previous studies have indicated that quantitative reasoning promotes mathematization (Ellis, 2007; Ellis, Ozgur, Kulow, Williams, & Amidon, 2012; Mkhathshwa, 2020), and is a lens with which to understand students’ reasoning while mathematizing during a modeling task (Carlson, Larsen, & Lesh, 2003; Czocher & Hardison, 2021; Larson, 2013). Given these arguments, it is appropriate to use a quantitative, covariational, and multivariational reasoning theories to describe students reasoning when mathematizing. The goal of this poster is to integrate and synthesize a definition of modeling (Lesh & Doerr, 2003), Thompson (2011)’s conception of quantities and quantitative reasoning, Carlson, Jacobs, Coe, Larsen, and Hsu (2002)’s conception of covariational reasoning, and Jones (2018)’s conception of multivariational reasoning to operationalize mathematization for future study of the mental processes students exhibit while performing a modeling task.

According to Lesh and Doerr (2003), a mathematical model is a conceptual system consisting of elements, the relationships between elements, operations, and rules of governing interactions. Because a mathematical model is a conceptual system, it is held, at least partially, internally and is expressed into the world through different representations. These different representations are dictated by a student’s use of any external notation systems (Lesh & Doerr, 2003). The individual’s mental representation of the real-world situation dictates the objects with attributes that the individual has the intention of measuring, and the rules governing interactions between objects. Through quantification, the act of conceptualizing the object with an attribute with a measure so that the measure has a proportional relationship with its unit, the objects with attributes are conceptualized as quantities. The quantities then define the elements of the model. The relationships between quantities can then be described by an individual’s covariational reasoning, defined as “the cognitive activities involved in coordinating two varying quantities while attending to the ways in which they change in relation to each other” (Carlson et al. 2002 p 354), and multivariational reasoning, is the extension of covariational reasoning to two or more varying quantities (Jones, 2018). The operations on the quantities are determined by the schema of action employed by the students, where schema of action is defined as organized pattern of thoughts or behaviors (actions) that can be applied to different cognitive objects in different situations (Nunes & Bryant, 2021).

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1750813

References

- Brahmia, S. M. (2014). *Mathematization in Introductory Physics*. (Doctor of Philosophy). The State University of New Jersey,
- Carlson, M., Jacobs, S., Coe, E., Larsen, S., & Hsu, E. (2002). Applying covariational reasoning while modeling dynamic events: A framework and a study. 33, 352-378.
- Carlson, M., Larsen, S., & Lesh, R. (2003). Integrating a models and modeling perspective with existing research and practice. *Beyond constructivism: Models and modeling perspectives on mathematics problem solving, learning, and teaching*, 465-478.
- Czocher, J., & Hardison, H. L. (2021). Attending to Quantities through the Modelling Space. In F. Leung, G. Stillman, G. Kaiser, & K. L. Wong (Eds.), *Mathematical Modelling Education in East and West* (pp. 263-272): Springer.
- Ellis, A. (2007). The Influence of Reasoning with Emergent Quantities on Students' Generalizations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 25(4), 439-478.
- Ellis, A., Ozgur, Z., Kulow, T., Williams, C., & Amidon, J. (2012). Quantifying exponential growth: The case of the Jactus. *Quantitative reasoning and mathematical modeling: A driver for STEM integrated education and teaching in context*, 2, 93-112.
- Freudenthal, H. (2002). *Revisiting Mathematics Education: China Lectures*. New York: Springer
- Galbraith, P. L., & Stillman, G. (2006). A Framework for Identifying Student Blockages during Transitions in the Modelling Process. *ZDM: Mathematics Education*, 38(2), 143-161.
- Jankvist, U. T., & Niss, M. (2020). Upper secondary school students' difficulties with mathematical modelling.
- Jones, S. R. (2018). *Building on Covariation: Making Explicite Four Types of "Multivariation."*. Paper presented at the 21st annual Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education.
- Larson, C. (2013). Modeling and quantitative reasoning: The summer jobs problem. In R. Lesh, P. L. Galbraith, C. Haines, & A. Hurford (Eds.), *Modeling students' mathematical modeling competencies* (pp. 111-118). Boston, MA: Springer.
- Lesh, R., & Doerr, H. M. (2003). Foundations of a Models and Modeling Perspective on Mathematics Teaching, Learning, and Problem Solving. In R. Lesh & H. M. Doerr (Eds.), *Beyond constructivism: Models and modeling perspectives on mathematics problem solving, learning, and teaching* (pp. 3-33): Taylor & Francis Group.
- Mkhatshwa, T. P. (2020). Calculus students' quantitative reasoning in the context of solving related rates of change problems. *Mathematical thinking and learning*, 22(2), 139-161.
- Nunes, T., & Bryant, P. (2021). *Using Mathematics to Understand the World: How Culture Promotes Children's Mathematics*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Stillman, G., & Brown, J. P. (2014). Evidence of implemented anticipation in mathematising by beginning modellers. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 26, 763-789.
- Thompson, P. W. (2011). Quantitative Reasoning and Mathematical Modeling. In L. L. Hatfield, S. Chamberlain, & S. Belbase (Eds.), *New perspectives and directions for collaborative research in mathematics education* (Vol. 1, pp. 3357). Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming.