

Evaluating Representation in Science through a Peer-Reviewed Research Study

Cissy J. Ballen^{1*}, Abby E. Beatty¹, and Enya Granados²

Affiliations:

¹Department of Biological Sciences, College of Sciences and Mathematics, Auburn University

²Department of Curriculum and Teaching, College of Education, Auburn University

*Correspondence to: mjb0100@auburn.edu

Type of Manuscript: *CourseSource* Lesson Manuscript

Funding and Conflict of Interest: None of the authors have a financial, personal, or professional conflict of interest related to this work.

Copyright: © 2023 Ballen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Primary Image: Many stacked textbooks. Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0
Downloaded from commons.wikimedia.org on May 30, 2023 by authors.

30
31
32
33

Abstract

34 The demographic representation of scientists featured in biology curricular materials do not match
35 that of the undergraduate biology student population or of the U.S. population. In this lesson, we
36 promote awareness of inequity in science through an exercise that encourages students to think
37 about who is depicted as scientists in science curricular materials – specifically, biology textbooks.
38 After a brief lecture on the scientific method, students read an excerpt from the introduction of a
39 peer-reviewed publication that provides background information on the importance of
40 representation in science. Next, students collect data from their own biology textbook about the
41 representation of scientists who possess different identities and make a table depicting their results.
42 Then, students fill in predictive graphs about demographic representation over time with respect to
43 scientist identities including gender and race/ethnicity. Students compare their predictions with the
44 results from the peer-reviewed article and discuss the implications of the results. Finally, students
45 apply their new knowledge by designing an experiment that would examine representation of an
46 alternative scientist identity, such as age. Students conclude by answering questions that gauge their
47 knowledge of the scientific method. This activity uses a peer-reviewed publication as well as
48 authentic data generated by the student to increase ideological awareness and teach societal
49 influences on the process of science.
50

SCIENTIFIC TEACHING CONTEXT

Learning Goal(s)

- 51 Students will:
- Describe the process of the scientific method.
 - Read and interpret findings from a summary of a peer-reviewed publication.
 - Extract data from a biology textbook and produce a summary table.
 - Make predictions of demographic representation of scientists over time.
 - Design an experiment to test their predictions based on the conclusions of the article and their own observations.

Learning Objective(s)

- 52 Students will be able to:
- List the steps of the scientific method.
 - Apply the scientific method to create several novel research questions.
 - Articulate the implications of student exposure to primarily stereotypical (i.e., White, masculine) scientist representation in biology textbooks.
 - Collect and analyze their own data and generate a summary table.
 - Produce observation-based predictions and compare them to peer-reviewed and published results.
 - Define ideological awareness and describe its impact on historical and contemporary science.

INTRODUCTION

Introductory biology textbooks expose students to the science of living organisms and the natural world while highlighting historical and contemporary scientists who make discoveries on the forefront of knowledge. Previous research that examined the intersectional identities of scientists across seven of the most commonly used biology textbooks in the United States found that the majority of featured scientists were White men (1). Intersectionality acknowledges how multiple dimensions of individuals' salient identities (e.g., race, class gender) intersect to create compounded forms of inequality or discrimination (2, 3). Women and scientists of color (which the authors define as scientists who are not White) were dramatically less represented across textbooks. For example, across the approximately 164 scientists mentioned per textbook, the researchers did not observe a single example of a Black woman scientist (1).

The ability to “see oneself in science” impacts students' perceptions of who can do science. Exposing students to a diverse range of scientist role models increases the probability that students find similarity between themselves and scientists. Scientists in curricular materials contribute towards the implicit or hidden curriculum, or the subtextual messaging students observe in an achievement environment (such as the science classroom) which signals to some that they naturally belong there and can succeed (4). In curricular materials, student exposure to counterstereotypical scientists lead to significant shifts in students' relatability to scientists (5–7), perceptions of scientists (5–8), performance outcomes (7), and gains in science identity measures (6, 7). The stereotype inoculation model suggests these positive outcomes are the result of role models functioning as “social vaccines” who increase social belonging and inoculate fellow group members' self-concept against stereotypes (9). Given the benefits of scientist role models, and their absence in contemporary biology textbooks, curricular materials that urge students to ponder their impacts may enhance understanding of the inequalities present in science disciplines.

While we present one activity to promote student thinking about the lack of demographic diversity in biology textbooks, several resources exist to promote scientists with diverse identities (10). For example Project Biodiversify (11) provides slides that can be used in classroom teaching (12), while Scientist Spotlights are written reflection assignments authored primarily by undergraduates (13). 500 women scientists (14) and 500 Queer Scientists (15) feature biographical information that can be used in class lectures or activities.

This lesson encourages students to think about why it's important to have diverse representation of scientists in biology textbooks to foster students' understanding of how biases and stereotypes have the potential to impact science (Supporting File S1). This concept, called

‘ideological awareness’ (16), highlights how dominant ideologies and paradigms shape our knowledge of biology and the application of that knowledge (17). In this activity, we apply an ideological awareness framing through discussions of (1) the historical and contemporary exclusion of certain groups from science and (2) the portrayal of science as exceptional and exclusionary. Ultimately, this activity invites students to question, challenge, and critique structural inequalities in science, rather than treat it as a ‘value-free’ discipline.

Intended Audience

This activity was designed for lower-level biology majors and non-majors students at a large public research university in the Southeastern United States. It was subsequently delivered to lower-level biology majors and non-majors at a smaller, public regional institution in the Southeastern and Northeastern parts of the United States. The slide deck starts by describing the process of science, which may be most suitable for first-year or lower-division students. Then, students engage in an activity aimed to promote ideological awareness, which would be suitable for any undergraduate across lower-division or upper-division coursework (16). For more advanced audiences, instructors can emphasize the use of these sorts of data in a predictive manner to inform policy.

Required Learning Time

The required time to complete this activity is approximately 75 minutes. However, this may vary depending on the classroom because of the inclusion of primarily literature, several open-ended questions, and opportunities for discussion.

Prerequisite Student Knowledge

Students are not required to have any disciplinary biology knowledge prior to completing this lesson. However, before any lesson that involves sensitive topics, such as representation in STEM or structural inequalities, we recommend instructors create a space for respectful and reflective conversation and group discussions.

Prerequisite Teacher Knowledge

We recommend instructors are familiar with Wood et al. (1), the featured publication in the activity, and seek out statistics about the underrepresentation of identities across the scientific workforce. The National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics website can assist in this goal (18). To read more about teaching ideological awareness in biology classrooms, we recommend (17) and (16). While these activities have high potential to benefit students, instructors must be prepared to engage in potentially difficult discussions surrounding race, gender, tokenization, and representation. Problematically, previous research shows instructors infrequently notice racialized events in the

118 classroom, in part due to color-evasive ideologies, which are pervasive in STEM culture (19). Color-
119 evasive ideologies deny that inequalities relate to racism exist, and instead offer different
120 explanations (20, 21). To address this and increase student comfort in discussing topics related to
121 race, we recommend that instructors seek out resources to become more familiar with racial literacy
122 topics (e.g., 19). For example, critical scholarship and anti-racist research at the intersection of
123 biology and education include (23–25).
124

SCIENTIFIC TEACHING THEMES

Active Learning

Several active learning strategies were used in this lesson (26). This activity brings authentic data into the classroom (Supporting File S1), guiding students through the scientific method. Students evaluate data, discuss literature, make claims based on quantitative evidence, and make observations and predictions. Previous research that tested the impact of students engaging in the practices of science in the classroom showed improvement in the ability to construct scientific explanations, and increased self-efficacy in data-related tasks and interest in STEM careers (27). At the end of the activity, students are asked to design their own experiments based on what they have learned. While students do not carry out those experiments, previous calls for change encourage student inquiry in lecture-based activities (28, 29). Most inquiry research in biology is based on modified laboratory courses, and have demonstrated promising results for students including enhanced sense of project ownership, greater identification as scientists, and graduation rates and completion in STEM (30, 31). Group activities are also strongly recommended for this lesson. As any scientific career requires the skills to collaborate, in-class group work offers students with the opportunity to improve communication and collaboration. Group work and cooperative learning is often assumed to include between two to six students (32), and has been shown to improve student performance (33, 34).

Assessment

Various forms of formative assessments are used to evaluate student comprehension of material. Instructors can either rely entirely on the worksheet provided with the lesson or turn some of the multiple choice questions into iClickers to quiz students (35, 36). The worksheet prompts several open-ended responses that instructors can ask students to turn in or they can engage in a peer review activity, where students swap worksheets and discuss each other's answers. Peer review and revision provide opportunities for students to correct their mistakes and consider new perspectives (37). Additionally, the experimental design assignment at the end of the activity can be used to evaluate the students understand of the scientific method, and the variables associate with experimental design.

Inclusive Teaching

Diversity, equity, and inclusion underpins the design and focus of this class lesson. Specifically, this lesson explores the representation of scientists in biology textbooks. Perceptions of who can do science are shaped by contextual cues, and previous work shows exposure to stereotypical representations of scientists are persistent in biology, and impacts interest in science among women

and students of color (38–41). This lesson promotes understanding of who has historically had access to biology (i.e., White men) and has students reflect on what this communicates to aspiring biology students.

Beyond the content in the activity, plenty of inclusive teaching opportunities exist throughout the lesson. We recommend using ‘many hands, many voices’ to encourage students to participate and share ideas. In this strategy, an instructor waits for multiple hands to raise before starting to call on students. This extra wait time broadens participation as well as the range of ideas shared in the class (42). Students work in small groups for many of the activities, which allows students to rehearse their ideas and gain confidence, lowering the stakes of participating in class (43). For example, students draw predictive graphs on white boards with their groups and the instructor encourages groups to walk around and examine what others drew. Additionally, given the nature of this topic, we recommend instructors praise effort and improvement of student understanding. Biology students may have never encountered discussions that sit at the intersection of biology and society, or may not expect for them to come up in their biology course. Supporting student responses recognizes growth and encourages participation (44).

LESSON PLAN

Components of the class

Introductory lecture

This lecture sets the stage for the forthcoming activity and defines the scientific method (Table 1). First, the instructor describes the scientific method as a process of inquiry that includes making observations, asking questions, forming testable explanations (hypotheses), and making predictions (Supporting File S2). Then, scientists develop experiments to test the predictions, and analyze the results to form conclusions. In the presentation, the example focuses on the question of whether increasing pre-season football practices from 1 practice per day to 3 practices per day is associated with more winning, with students walking through each step of the scientific method as the example is presented. The lecture then cautions on the limitations of science and then contrasts the process of science to that of pseudoscience.

The lecture concludes with various types of scientific studies, defining descriptive studies, analytical studies, correlational studies, and experimental causation studies. The supporting PowerPoint file includes examples of these terms, but they are easily interchangeable with geographically local examples or with examples of science being conducted at the instructor’s institution.

Student handout

1. Wood et al. (2020) excerpt.

Students read a short excerpt from the Wood et al. (2020) paper describing the important influence of curricular materials and role models in science (1)(Table 1). The purpose of this excerpt is to highlight the influence that curricular materials have on students, and the importance of seeing oneself in science through these materials (Supporting File S1).

2. Textbook data collection

Next, students are instructed to find 10 random photos of scientists in their biology textbook (Table 1). This is a good opportunity to ask students how to randomize the process of selecting scientists in a way that will not bias sampling. One suggestion might be to use a random number generator and insert the number of pages in the textbook, then move forward/backwards from that page until they encounter a scientist. Wood et al. (1) found there to be an average of 164 scientists per textbooks, so students will likely encounter one easily using this approach. If the class doesn't have a textbook, students can find the most recent edition of any introductory biology textbook at the library. As an online option, students can use the following open access text, available as a PDF:

<https://openstax.org/details/books/biology-2e>. As a helpful tip, if students are using a web-based text, they can search for key term "Scientist" and scroll through search results to locate scientists. For each scientist, students fill in a table to report their name, perceived gender, race, age, other details on their visible appearance, and the activities in the photo (e.g., looking through a microscope, standing and smiling) (Supporting File S1).

Note, in the Wood et al. paper, the researchers stressed the limitations of their work as it related to gender and race/ethnicity assignments of scientists. For binary gender, they identified scientists as either men or women based on the pronouns used in the textbooks to describe them. If gender could not be inferred from the textbook, the researchers used their Wikipedia profile information. However, doing this made several assumptions about the scientist that were in some cases impossible to verify, especially for historical scientists. One assumption was scientists were cisgender and identified with gender that aligns with their gender presentation. Defining gender expression and describing the limitations of the researchers' methods may be an important inclusive measure, particularly for students who identify with a gender that is marginalized. For more information about addressing sex and gender in the biology classroom we recommend (45). For race/ethnicity assignments, the researchers used the National Institutes of Health guidelines for defining racial categories in the context of the United States (46) as American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific

Islander, or White. These are also limiting and imperfect, normally used for classification of federal data. The scientists used the term 'scientists of color' to describe all scientists who are not White, while acknowledging this does not recognize the variation within and among groups. Some individuals in this classification might not identify with the term or reject the term. Further, as the authors pointed out, they are established by an authority (rather than the individual), and do not recognize people who are mixed race. Importantly, they conclude with “binary gender and race are only two of many human social identities that have subpopulations which are marginalized and under-represented in STEM fields; while imperfect, our categories allow us to establish baselines of identity representation in the most commonly used biology textbooks in the US.” As the students complete this task, we strongly recommend instructors communicate these limitations to the activity.

After completing the table, students should discuss what these photos imply about the types of people who do science with a partner or group. At the time of this article, our experience has consistently been that students find most scientists to be older, masculine, and White. The instructor can call on several students to participate.

3. Graphical predictions

Students graph their predictions of scientists with different identities (perceived gender and race) as they change over the history of biological discoveries (Table 1). In Wood et al. (2020), the 'history of biological discoveries' referred to the citations from the textbooks for which the scientist was mentioned. This is because scientists are mentioned in textbooks in conjunction with some groundbreaking work at the forefront of science. After students discuss their graphs with their group, instructors reveal the actual data of scientist representation over the history of biological discovery from the Wood et al. (2020) data in the lecture presentation (Supporting File S2). Notably, while White women scientists have increased in representation over time, scientists of color are relatively underrepresented, though increasing in representation among more contemporary citations. Some groups were not represented at all. For example, researchers did not observe any examples of a Black woman scientists across the textbooks. This activity asks student to make many predictions (eight), and is the instructor is worried about time, they could modify this part of the activity to reduce the amount that students predict.

4. Reflection

Students reflect on the publication, the activity, and the extent to which the data they collected align with the Wood et al. (2020) study (Table 1). The last question asks how these results might impact student perceptions of who can be a scientist. Instructors might encourage students to discuss their responses with a partner and share with the class. In the lecture presentation (Supporting File S2),

the instructor presents and interprets one more graph from Wood et al. (2020) which shows the amount of time it would take, assuming current rates of change, for textbook representations to reflect the proportion of individuals with different identities (e.g., Asian, or Black/African American) in the biology student population and the U.S. population. For example, assuming current rates of change, if textbook citations from Black/ African American scientists continue at the same rate, it will take over 1000 years to reflect the general population in the United States (14%), and nearly 500 years to reflect the biology student population (7.7%). Among Hispanic/Latinx scientists highlighted in textbooks, the researchers projected it will take 45 years until they reflect the general population in the United States and 30 years until they reflect the biology student population. This part of the discussion is particularly important illustrate the dramatic extent that barriers have held back individuals on the basis of their race, gender, or other factors. In our experience as authors of the featured activity (1), we have found that some individuals interpret these stark findings with a shrug, communicating a dangerous message that that there is just something inherently different about white men that justify their disproportionate inclusion in science textbooks. Even among researchers advocating for justice and inclusion in STEM, there are still debates about the nature and magnitude of problems posed by the lack of representation in STEM and the best ways to deal with them. Previous work suggests instructors worry that the students who hold the strongest biases will be the most vocal (47), but this is far from our experience, even in the relatively conservative southeastern United States where we have implemented this module. Even so, we recommend instructors are intentional in how they discuss the research, ask questions, respond to students, and are prepared for challenging discussions. We have found that reflections at the end of the activity give students the time and space to consider the implications of this work and the activity.

The instructor may ask (1) “why does this matter? And what are the implications for students in biology, our society, and science?” Here students consider the societal implications of these results. In our previous experience teaching this module, students ponder impacts of a monolithic group of scientists on the most pressing scientific and societal issues. Such a group may prioritize and fund projects that do not reflect the needs of the broader population it serves. In contrast, a group composed of many backgrounds bring different perspectives that are less likely to bias outcomes or misinterpret results. (2) “What new questions arise from the activity?” This question models the scientific method because often results inspire new investigations and questions to explore. From our previous experience teaching this module, students have asked far-ranging questions that were inspired by the activity, such as how these results would compare to e.g., physics or chemistry textbooks, how each scientist is described (e.g., how much text is used to describe different groups),

the visual depictions of scientists in textbooks, and whether any text that humanizes the scientists are included in the descriptions of scientists. (3) “What do you think needs to change in the sciences to encourage more diverse representation?” Here students think about what actions they can take to promote meaningful change. From our previous experience teaching this module, this question has resulted in the most varied responses. Students generally start by advocating for increased representation of scientists in textbooks, but also call for more equity, diversity, and inclusion across higher education. For some students, this may be the first time they have thought about this question, and others may have targeted and specific ideas that would reduce systemic barriers and encourage more diverse representation (Supporting File S2).

In the process of the larger group discussion, instructors may wonder how to have a respectful conversation among students who enter the classroom holding varying opinions and ideologies. Griswold and Chowning (48) considered several strategies to scaffold student understanding and discussions of ethical issues in the context of socioscientific classroom activities, while supporting students’ abilities to arrive at evidence-based decisions about those activities. We encourage instructors to read this work for ideas on how to promote safe and structured opportunities for students to discuss potentially sensitive topics. For example, they recommend providing using the principles-based ethical framework developed by Beauchamp and Childress (2001) prior to launching into discussion. This follows the three following tenets in which students should: (1) respect the inherent worth and dignity for each individual and acknowledge each person’s right to make their own choices and opinions; (2) prioritize maximizing benefits and minimizing harms; (3) center justice, which considers how to treat people fairly and equitably. Using intentional strategies such as these can provide an effective way for students to structure their thoughts and justify their positions.

5. Designing an experiment

Students design an experiment to test if textbooks representation varies by the age of the scientist (Table 1). While we use scientist age as the example, this exercise can be accomplished with any identity in science. Students are encouraged to use writing, drawings, and graphs to demonstrate the experimental design. Students discuss the experiments with their group and the instructor encourages students to share with the class (Supporting File S1).

6. Assessment

The assessment can be completed at the end of class or as a post-course assignment. Six short answer or multiple-choice questions assess student knowledge of the scientific method, and resemble what students might encounter on a summative assessment.

1. List the steps of the scientific method in order.
2. A hypothesis must be all of the following except:
 - a. Testable
 - b. Proven
 - c. Refutable
 - d. Precise
3. Which of the following should be considered when determining scientific validity?
 - a. Scientific Literacy
 - b. Biases
 - c. Means of sharing information
 - d. All of the above
4. What type of study is the Wood et al. paper?
 - a. Descriptive
 - b. Analytical
 - c. Correlational
 - d. Experimental
5. According to the limitations of science, this study alone tells us whether our current practices are morally right or wrong.
 - a. True
 - b. False
6. If representation in biology and textbook representation are correlated, you can assume one variable leads to another (causation).
 - a. True
 - b. False

TEACHING DISCUSSION

The impact of this lesson was reported by the authors in previous research (17, 49). In (17), we implemented a curriculum consisting of this activity (“Representation in STEM”) and two other modules that were meant to promote ideological awareness among students. Ideological awareness is “an understanding of biases, stereotypes, and assumptions that shape contemporary and historical science” (16). We found that the students who engaged with the curriculum reported a preference for these materials over those in a traditional biology curriculum, with persons excluded because of their ethnicity and race (PEERs) reporting greater approval than non-PEERs. Other research implemented a similar semester-long ideological awareness curriculum and students created concept maps for their final exam, which were coded for ‘society’ and ‘biology’ content (49). We compared concept maps to another section who completed the same assignment after a semester with traditional biology content. In both sections, the concept map was worth 20% of students’ final exam. Concept maps consist of nodes and links between the nodes representing relationships between concepts. Students in the ideologically aware section (which included the “Representation in STEM” module) included more societal content in their concept maps than the students in the traditional section. There were no differences in the amount of biology content across the two sections. In the ideologically aware section, 13% of concept maps mentioned representation in STEM specifically, along with many other societal nodes that aligned with the ideological awareness curriculum. Interestingly, in the traditional section, 15% of students mentioned “representation in STEM”, even though this was not covered in class (49). This shows how this topic is of interest to students, even if they are not exposed to it in their curriculum.

Across these studies, the materials were delivered in a similar way to nonmajors students taking Introductory Biology during different semesters at the same public university in the southeast region of the United States. The Introductory Biology course was a three-credit class that includes two 75-minute class sessions each week. Because the materials required for this lesson can be shared electronically - consisting of a PowerPoint lecture, a worksheet, a PDF publication, and an e-textbook – this lesson is suitable for either online or in-person teaching formats. Additionally, the modular nature of the lesson lends itself well to shorter or longer classes, and certain elements can be completed as homework or in subsequent class periods.

Teaching ideologically aware topics such as representation in STEM is important for both nonmajors and biology majors populations. Previous reports suggest desired outcomes resulting from nonmajors participation in science are developed scientific literacy skills, or the ability to make sense of science that is relevant to their daily lives (50, 51). Considering the scientific method as it

applies to societally relevant problems will assist in this goal. For biology majors, lower-level biology courses may one of few opportunities for students to discuss the prevalence of biases, stereotypes, and assumptions that shape the demographic landscape of scientists and science.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

- S1. Student handout - Representation in STEM: A Textbook Analysis
- S2. Introduction Slides - The Scientific Method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the many individuals who helped develop curricular materials, including Amanda Clark, Emily Driessen, Robin Costello, Sharday Ewell, Sheritta Fagbodun, Randy Klabacka, Todd Lamb, Kimberly Mulligan, and Jeremiah Henning. We thank the Auburn University Montgomery students who graciously chose to participate in the studies referenced in the manuscript. This work was supported by NSF-DUE-2120934 awarded to A.E.B. and C.J.B., NSF-DUE-2011995 awarded to C.J.B, and the iEMBER network (RCN-UBE-1919654).

400

401 REFERENCES

- 402 1. Wood S, Henning JA, Chen L, McKibben T, Smith ML, Weber M, Zemenick A, Ballen CJ.
403 2020. A scientist like me: demographic analysis of biology textbooks reveals both progress
404 and long-term lags. *Proc R Soc B* 287:20200877.
- 405 2. Crenshaw K. 1989. Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique
406 of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *U Chi Leg F* 139-168.
- 407 3. Cho S, Crenshaw KW, McCall L. 2013. Toward a field of intersectionality studies: Theory,
408 applications, and praxis. *Signs J women Cult Soc* 38:785–810.
- 409 4. Margolis E. 2001. *The hidden curriculum in higher education*. Routledge, New York.
- 410 5. Aranda ML, Diaz M, Mena LG, Ortiz JI, Rivera-Nolan C, Sanchez DC, Sanchez MJ,
411 Upchurch AM, Williams CS, Boorstin SN. 2021. Student-authored scientist spotlights:
412 Investigating the impacts of engaging undergraduates as developers of inclusive curriculum
413 through a service-learning course. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 20:ar55.
- 414 6. Ovid D, Abrams L, Carlson T, Dieter M, Flores P, Frischer D, Goolish J, Bernt ML-F,
415 Lancaster A, Lipski C. 2023. Scientist Spotlights in Secondary Schools: Student Shifts in
416 Multiple Measures Related to Science Identity after Receiving Written Assignments. *CBE—*
417 *Life Sci Educ* 22:ar22.
- 418 7. Schinske JN, Perkins H, Snyder A, Wyer M. 2016. Scientist spotlight homework assignments
419 shift students’ stereotypes of scientists and enhance science identity in a diverse introductory
420 science class. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 15:ar47.
- 421 8. Yonas A, Sleeth M, Cotner S. 2020. In a “Scientist Spotlight” Intervention, Diverse Student
422 Identities Matter. *J Microbiol Biol Educ* 21(1): 10-1128.
- 423 9. Stout JG, Dasgupta N, Hunsinger M, McManus MA. 2011. STEMing the tide: using ingroup
424 experts to inoculate women’s self-concept in science, technology, engineering, and
425 mathematics (STEM). *J Pers Soc Psychol* 100:255.
- 426 10. Simpson DY, Beatty AE, Ballen CJ. 2020. Teaching between the Lines: Representation in
427 Science Textbooks. *Trends Ecol Evol* 36(1): 4-8.
- 428 11. Project Biodiversify. 2023. Retrieved from <https://projectbiodiversify.org/> (accessed May 1,
429 2023).

- 430 12. Zemenick AT, Jones SC, Webster AJ, Raymond E, Sandelin K, Hessami N, Kowalczyk T,
431 Weber MG, Lund Dahlberg CL. 2022. Diversifying and Humanizing Scientist Role Models
432 Through Interviews and Constructing Slide Decks on Researchers' Research and Life
433 Experiences. CourseSource 9.
- 434 13. Scientist Spotlight Initiative. 2023. Retrieved from <https://scientistspotlights.org/> (accessed
435 May 1, 2023).
- 436 14. 500 women scientists. 2023. Retrieved from <https://500womenscientists.org/> (accessed
437 May 12, 2023).
- 438 15. 500 Queer Scientists. 2023. Retrieved from <https://500queerscientists.com> (accessed May
439 12, 2023).
- 440 16. Costello RA, Beatty AE, Dunk RDP, Ewell SN, Pruett JE, Ballen CJ. 2023. Re-envisioning
441 Biology Curricula to Include Ideological Awareness. *Res Sci Educ* 1–14.
- 442 17. Beatty AE, Driessen EP, Gusler T, Ewell S, Grilliot A, Ballen CJ. 2021. Teaching the tough
443 topics: fostering ideological awareness through the inclusion of societally impactful topics in
444 introductory biology. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 20:ar67.
- 445 18. National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics. 2023. Retrieved from
446 <https://nces.nsf.gov/> (accessed May 12, 2023).
- 447 19. King GP, Russo-Tait T, Andrews TC. 2023. Evading Race: STEM Faculty Struggle to
448 Acknowledge Racialized Classroom Events. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 22:ar14.
- 449 20. Russo-Tait T. 2022. Color-blind or racially conscious? How college science faculty make
450 sense of racial/ethnic underrepresentation in STEM. *J Res Sci Teach* 59:1822–1852.
- 451 21. Bonilla-Silva E. 2006. Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and the persistence of racial
452 inequality in the United States. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- 453 22. National Education Association Center for Social Justice. 10 principles for talking about race
454 in school. 2020. Retrieved from [https://www.nea.org/professional-excellence/student-](https://www.nea.org/professional-excellence/student-engagement/tools-tips/10-principles-talking-about-race-school)
455 [engagement/tools-tips/10-principles-talking-about-race-school](https://www.nea.org/professional-excellence/student-engagement/tools-tips/10-principles-talking-about-race-school) (accessed May 12, 2023).
- 456 23. Hales KG. 2020. Signaling inclusivity in undergraduate biology courses through deliberate
457 framing of genetics topics relevant to gender identity, disability, and race. *CBE—Life Sci*
458 *Educ* 19:es2.
- 459 24. Archila PA, Molina J, Danies G, Truscott de Mejía A-M, Restrepo S. 2022. Using the

- Controversy over Human Race to Introduce Students to the Identification and the Evaluation of Arguments. *Sci Educ* 1–32.
25. Donovan BM, Weindling M, Salazar B, Duncan A, Stuhlsatz M, Keck P. 2021. Genomics literacy matters: Supporting the development of genomics literacy through genetics education could reduce the prevalence of genetic essentialism. *J Res Sci Teach* 58:520–550.
26. Driessen EP, Knight JK, Smith MK, Ballen CJ. 2020. Demystifying the meaning of active learning in postsecondary biology education. *CBE Life Sci Educ* 19(4): ar52.
27. Schultheis EH, Kjolvik MK, Snowden J, Mead L, Stuhlsatz MAM. 2022. Effects of Data Nuggets on Student Interest in STEM Careers, Self-efficacy in Data Tasks, and Ability to Construct Scientific Explanations. *Int J Sci Math Educ* 1–24.
28. Wood WB. 2003. Inquiry-based undergraduate teaching in the life sciences at large research universities: a perspective on the Boyer Commission Report. *Cell Biol Educ* 2:112–116.
29. Zagallo P, Meddleton S, Bolger MS. 2016. Teaching real data interpretation with models (TRIM): Analysis of student dialogue in a large-enrollment cell and developmental biology course. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 15:ar17.
30. Hester SD, Nadler M, Katcher J, Elfring LK, Dykstra E, Rezende LF, Bolger MS. 2018. Authentic Inquiry through Modeling in Biology (AIM-Bio): An introductory laboratory curriculum that increases undergraduates’ scientific agency and skills. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 17:ar63.
31. Rodenbusch SE, Hernandez PR, Simmons SL, Dolan EL. 2016. Early engagement in course-based research increases graduation rates and completion of science, engineering, and mathematics degrees. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 15:ar20.
32. Miller S, Tanner KD. 2015. A portal into biology education: An annotated list of commonly encountered terms. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 14:fe2.
33. Gaudet AD, Ramer LM, Nakonechny J, Cragg JJ, Ramer MS. 2010. Small-group learning in an upper-level university biology class enhances academic performance and student attitudes toward group work. *PLoS One* 5:e15821.
34. Springer L, Stanne ME, Donovan SS. 1999. Effects of small-group learning on undergraduates in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology: A meta-analysis. *Rev Educ Res* 69:21–51.

- 490 35. Smith MK, Knight JK. 2020. Clickers in the biology classroom: strategies for writing and
491 effectively implementing clicker questions that maximize student learning. *Act Learn Coll Sci*
492 case evidence-based Pract 141–158.
- 493 36. Premuroso RF, Tong L, Beed TK. 2011. Does using clickers in the classroom matter to
494 student performance and satisfaction when taking the introductory financial accounting
495 course? *Issues Account Educ* 26:701.
- 496 37. Zhang F, Schunn CD, Baikadi A. 2017. Charting the routes to revision: An interplay of
497 writing goals, peer comments, and self-reflections from peer reviews. *Instr Sci* 45:679–707.
- 498 38. Cheryan S, Plaut VC, Davies PG, Steele CM. 2009. Ambient belonging: how stereotypical
499 cues impact gender participation in computer science. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 97:1045.
- 500 39. DeWitt J, Archer L, Osborne J. 2013. Nerdy, brainy and normal: Children’s and parents’
501 constructions of those who are highly engaged with science. *Res Sci Educ* 43:1455–1476.
- 502 40. Tanner KD. 2009. Learning to see inequity in science. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 8:265–270.
- 503 41. Schinske J, Cardenas M, Kaliangara J. 2015. Uncovering scientist stereotypes and their
504 relationships with student race and student success in a diverse, community college setting.
505 *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 14:ar35.
- 506 42. Tanner KD. 2013. Structure matters: twenty-one teaching strategies to promote student
507 engagement and cultivate classroom equity. *CBE-Life Sci Educ* 12:322–331.
- 508 43. Ballen CJ, Aguilon SM, Awwad A, Bjune AE, Challou D, Drake AG, Driessen M, Ellozy A,
509 Ferry VE, Goldberg EE, Harcombe W, Jensen S, Jørgensen C, Koth Z, McGaugh S, Mitry C,
510 Mosher B, Mostafa H, Petipas RH, Soneral PAG, Watters S, Wassenberg D, Weiss SL, Yonas
511 A, Zamudio KR, Cotner S. 2019. Smaller classes promote equitable student participation in
512 STEM. *Bioscience* 69(8): 669-680.
- 513 44. Lemov D. 2010. *Teach like a champion: 49 techniques that put students on the path to*
514 *college (K-12)*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 515 45. Zemenick AT, Turney S, Webster AJ, Jones SC, Weber MG. 2022. Six principles for
516 embracing gender and sexual diversity in postsecondary biology classrooms. *Bioscience*
517 72:481–492.
- 518 46. Health NI of. 2015. Racial and ethnic categories and definitions for NIH diversity programs
519 and for other reporting purposes. US Dep Heal Hum Serv.

47. Beatty AE, Driessen EP, Clark AD, Costello RA, Ewell S, Fagbodun S, Klabacka RL, Lamb T, Mulligan K, Henning JA. 2023. Biology Instructors See Value in Discussing Controversial Topics but Fear Personal and Professional Consequences. *CBE—Life Sci Educ* 22:ar28.
48. Carlton Griswold J, Ting Chowning J. 2013. Strategies to Support Ethical Reasoning in Student Argumentation. *Issues Teach Educ* 22:63–75.
49. Adams P, Driessen EP, Granados E, Ragland P, Henning JA, Beatty AE, Ballen CJ. 2023. Embracing the inclusion of societal concepts in biology improves student understanding. *Front Educ* 8: 1154609.
50. Feinstein NW, Allen S, Jenkins E. 2013. Outside the pipeline: Reimagining science education for nonscientists. *Science* (80-) 340:314–317.
51. Ballen CJ, Blum JE, Brownell S, Hebert S, Hewlett J, Klein JR, McDonald EA, Monti DL, Nold SC, Slemmons KE, Soneral PAG, Cotner S. 2017. A call to develop course-based undergraduate research experiences (CUREs) for Nonmajors courses. *CBE Life Sci Educ* 16:mr2.

536

537 **TABLE AND FIGURE CAPTIONS**

538 **TABLES**

539 **Table 1.** Lesson Timeline. The lesson includes one 75 minute class period and one post-assignment.

540

541