

Bulletins of **American Paleontology**

Number 402, April 2023

Systematics and Phylogeny of Plio-Pleistocene Species of Turritellidae (Gastropoda) from Florida and the Atlantic Coastal Plain

Dana S. Friend, Brendan M. Anderson, Elizabeth Altier,
Stephanie Sang, Elizabeth Petsios, Roger W. Portell,
and Warren D. Allmon



SYSTEMATICS AND PHYLOGENY OF PLIO-PLEISTOCENE SPECIES OF TURRITELLIDAE (GASTROPODA) FROM FLORIDA AND THE ATLANTIC COASTAL PLAIN

DANA S. FRIEND

Paleontological Research Institution, 1259 Trumansburg Road, Ithaca, NY 14850; dsf88@cornell.edu

and

BRENDAN M. ANDERSON

Geosciences Department, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97354, Waco, TX 76798; Paleontological Research Institution,
1259 Trumansburg Road, Ithaca, NY 14850; bma53@cornell.edu

and

ELIZABETH ALTIER

Geology Department, Oberlin College, Oberlin, OH 44047; ealtier@oberlin.edu

and

STEPHANIE SANG

Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637; stephaniesang@uchicago.edu

and

ELIZABETH PETSIOS

Geosciences Department, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97354, Waco, TX 76798; elizabeth_petsios@baylor.edu

and

ROGER W. PORTELL

Florida Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 117800, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611; portell@flmnh.ufl.edu

and

WARREN D. ALLMON

Paleontological Research Institution, 1259 Trumansburg Road, Ithaca, NY 14850; wda1@cornell.edu

ABSTRACT

Turritellid gastropods are among the most widespread, abundant, and diverse mollusks in Plio-Pleistocene deposits of the Atlantic coastal plain and Florida, with at least 46 species and subspecies described over almost two centuries. Yet the systematic status of these common fossil species and their phylogenetic relationships—to each other and to turritellids living today in the western Atlantic—have never been investigated in detail. We make use of recent molecular phylogenetic work on living turritellids and new analyses of shell characters to review the group from this time interval to the present in a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis and assessment of their evolutionary history in the region. We conclude that 20 fossil and two Recent species are valid. Four of these species are placed in the genus *Torcula* Gray, 1847; five in *Cavitturritella* new genus, and eleven in “*Turritella*” *sensu lato*. We identify *Torcula perattenuata* as the likely direct ancestor of one of the two turritellid species living today off the southeastern U.S. coast, *Torcula exoleta*, and we elucidate the fossil record of the other extant species, “*Turritella*” *perexilis* (senior synonym of *Turritella acropora*). We show that *Cavitturritella* was extirpated from the United States Gulf and Atlantic coastal plains in the Early Pleistocene but is still represented in the western Atlantic by the living species *C. variegata* in the southern Caribbean. We also present the first detailed treatment of Plio-Pleistocene turritellid fossils from Georgia. Our analysis shows that the Plio-Pleistocene Pinecrest beds of Florida contain 18 co-occurring turritellid species, which is the highest turritellid species diversity in one formation known in the fossil record.

INTRODUCTION

“In the Pliocene the conditions of existence for the *Turritellas* seem to have been particularly favorable.”

- Dall (1892, pp. 314–316)

Turritellid gastropods (family Turritellidae Lovén, 1847, *sensu* Marwick, 1957) originated in the Jurassic (Das et al., 2018). They are common components of many benthic marine assemblages of Early Cretaceous to Recent age worldwide (Allmon, 1988, 2007, 2011a), and they are among the most biostratigraphically important molluscan groups for this time interval (e.g., Kauffman, 1977; Sohl, 1977; Squires, 1988). They are especially abundant and diverse in Plio-Pleistocene sediments of the United States Atlantic coastal plain and Florida (e.g., Allmon, 1992a; Allmon et al., 1995; Fallon et al., 2014), where at least 46 fossil and two Recent species and subspecies have been named over almost 200 years. In this paper, we report the results of a detailed analysis of these forms, and find that only 20 fossil and two Recent species should be recognized as valid species (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis in extant and fossil turritellids has long been difficult due to a lack of molecular data, a paucity of shell characters, presumed widespread homoplasy, and nomenclatural confusion (Allmon, 1996; Beu, 2010). This has begun to change with more recent work, and the present paper is the first analysis of these fossil turritellids to take recent molecular phylogenetic analyses into account (Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019). The use of morphological characters can provide support for molecular phylogenies, often resolving internal nodes not recovered by means of molecular data (e.g., Walberg et al., 2005). With this in mind, we provide a hypothesis based on a parsimony analysis of morphological (conchological) data. Our results provide a framework of morphological characters that can serve as a basis for a more comprehensive understanding of fossil and Recent turritellid evolutionary relationships in other regions of the world. We present here the first-ever phylogenetic analyses of Neogene North American turritellids, and we connect living North American species to fossil lineages present in the southeastern United States. During this study, we observed in some turritellids a shell character previously unnoted: an opening in the base of the shell where an umbilicus is sometimes located. We have named this feature a hollow newel (Friend et al., 2023), and it is an important synapomorphy for recognizing a clade in these turritellids, for which we herein propose the genus *Caviturritella* Friend and Anderson. Our analysis does not include species assigned to the turritellid genus *Vermicularia* Lamarck, 1799, which are treated comprehensively elsewhere (Anderson, 2018).


Our results have important implications for phylogenetic

analysis of other fossil turritellids worldwide. We also present a general discussion of the complex and topic of turritellid genera, which has bedeviled systematists for decades and hindered a general understanding of the evolution of this important group of gastropods. As the first large-scale phylogenetic analysis of fossil and Recent turritellids using both molecular data and a large number of conchological characters, this study provides a basis for similar analyses of turritellids elsewhere, including attempts to place other species into appropriate genera.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphic record of the U.S. Atlantic coastal plain is a complex horizontal and vertical mosaic of mostly unlithified, variously fossiliferous sands, silts, and clays, which accumulated in a series of embayments during sea level highstands (Ward and Strickland, 1985; Ward and Blackwelder, 1987; Ward et al., 1991; Ward, 1992). Exposures are present along rivers and creeks, and in quarries. Geological and paleontological studies of the region date back to colonial times (Ward and Allmon, 2019). Although stratigraphic relationships began to be well-understood in the mid-twentieth century, correlations of certain units have continued to improve since then (Ward and Blackwelder, 1987; Ward et al., 1991) and chronostratigraphic relationships continue to be refined to the present day (e.g., Saupe et al., 2014; Sime and Kelley, 2016; Johnson et al., 2019; Dowsett et al., 2021). The correlations presented in Text-fig. 1 represent a summary of the most recent stratigraphic interpretations.

The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphic record of Florida is an even more complex mosaic of variously fossiliferous siliciclastic sands and siliciclastic-bearing carbonates (shell beds) (Jones, 1997; Lyons, 1991; Scott, 1997). In northern Florida (i.e., the central panhandle), this record is exposed at a few long-studied riverbank exposures, such as Alum Bluff (Liberty County) and Jackson Bluff (Leon County) (Puri, 1966; Means, 2010). In southern Florida (i.e., south of the latitude of Tampa Bay), the record is known principally from sections temporarily exposed in quarries (“pits”) and canals, and from cores and a few riverbanks (Scott, 1992, 1997). Although stratigraphic and paleontological works date back more than a century (e.g., Heilprin, 1886; Dall, 1892), both biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy have suffered from considerable confusion and instability in terminology, especially in the southern part of the peninsula. This has been due to a combination of factors, including paucity of explicit biostratigraphic analyses, ephemeral outcrops, and extreme lateral variability of facies (Lyons, 1991; Scott, 1992, 1997, 2001; Allmon, 1993; Jones, 1997). Only in the last 20 years have stratigraphic nomenclature and biostratigraphic correlations begun to stabilize.



Text-fig. 1. Stratigraphic nomenclature and generalized correlation of Plio-Pleistocene geologic units discussed in this study. The Yorktown Formation of Virginia and North Carolina includes (from youngest to oldest) the Moorehouse, Mogarts Beach, and Rushmere members (also known as Zone 2) and the Sunken Meadow Member (also known as Zone 1). The Tamiami Formation of Florida includes (from youngest to oldest) the Pinecrest beds (upper = units 2–4, lower = 5–9), the Ochopee Limestone Member, and the Buckingham Limestone Member. As stated by Hendricks (2009: p. 9), “Absolute ages for most formations and/or their boundaries are controversial” (also see Lyons, 1991; Campbell et al., 1993; Ward and Gilinsky, 1993; Allmon et al., 1996; Hendricks, 2009; Kittle et al., 2013; Saupe et al., 2014; Dowsett et al., 2021; and references therein).

In Florida, the Plio-Pleistocene Tamiami Fm. is a poorly-defined lithostratigraphic unit containing a wide range of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic facies and associated fossil assemblages (Missimer, 1992; Scott, 1992, 1997, 2001; Portell et al., 2012). It is present in the subsurface across most of the southern part of the peninsula, but natural surface exposures are few. Many named and unnamed subunits have been recognized within the Tamiami Fm. (see, e.g., Petuch and Roberts, 2007), but not all have achieved widespread acceptance or use. Subunits include the Buckingham Limestone; Ochopee Limestone; Bonita Springs Marl; several unnamed sands and limestone facies; Golden Gate Reef Member; an oyster (*Hyotissa* Stenzel, 1971) facies; and the Pinecrest Sand or Pinecrest beds. The Pinecrest beds, which are a particular focus of the present paper, are the best-known and perhaps most widespread facies in the Tamiami Fm. They contain abundant, diverse, and well-preserved fossil mollusks (Allmon, 1993; Allmon et al., 1996; Portell et al., 2012). Petuch (1982) divided the Tamiami Fm. at Sarasota, Florida into 11 numbered biostratigraphic units, and this scheme has been used with modifications by most subsequent workers. Today Petuch’s unit 1 is placed in the Caloosahatchee Fm., units 2–9 are placed in the Pinecrest beds, and units 10 and 11 are considered lower Tamiami Fm. (Portell et al., 2012). Based on $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope dating of bivalves, paleomagnetism,

and invertebrate and vertebrate biochronology, Jones et al. (1991) estimated the age of units 2 through 4 at Sarasota as being $2.25 (\pm 0.25)$ Ma and units 5 through 10 as being $3.0 (+0.5)$ Ma. The boundary between Pliocene and Pleistocene is set at 2.588 Ma (Gradstein et al., 2020). Therefore, given the minimum age of 2.0 Ma and maximum age of 2.5 Ma, units 2–4 fall within the Early Pleistocene. Units 5–10, with a minimum age of 2.5 Ma and a maximum age of 3.5 Ma, straddle the Pliocene-Pleistocene boundary, and the underlying unit 11 is probably Late Pliocene in age. Ongoing research may alter some of these dates.

The Plio-Pleistocene fossiliferous sands and limestones overlying the Pinecrest beds have long been referred to as “formations” (e.g., Cooke, 1945; Puri and Vernon, 1964; Vernon and Puri, 1964; Brooks, 1982), but most are probably biostratigraphic units (Scott, 1992, 2001). Scott (1992) suggested grouping the latest Pliocene through Late Pleistocene Caloosahatchee, Bermont, and Fort Thompson “formations” into a single lithostratigraphic unit, the Okeechobee Fm. This proposal has not been widely adopted, however, and the traditional units continue to be treated as separate formal or informal stratigraphic units in recent literature (e.g., Harper, 2002; Dietl et al., 2004; Knowles et al., 2009; Portell and Kittle, 2010; Tao and Grossman, 2010; Scott, 2011; Stringer et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2019) (Text-fig. 1).

Table 1. Previously described turritellid taxa (other than *Vermicularia*) from the Pliocene–Recent of the Atlantic Coastal Plain, and their designations in this paper.

Original species/subspecies name	Assigned to this species herein
<i>acropora</i> Dall, 1889	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>alaguaensis</i> Mansfield, 1935	<i>Torcula cookei</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>alticostata</i> Conrad, 1834	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>alticostata</i> Conrad, 1834
<i>alumensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	<i>Caviturritella alumensis</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>apicalis</i> Heilprin, 1886	<i>Torcula apicalis</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>beaufortensis</i> Ward and Blackwelder, 1987	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>alticostata</i> Conrad, 1834
<i>bipertita</i> Conrad, 1844	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>burdenii</i> Tuomey and Holmes, 1856	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>burnsii</i> Dall, 1892	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>buckinghamensis</i> Mansfield, 1939	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>carolinensis</i> Conrad, 1875	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>cingulata</i> Heilprin, 1886	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>gladeensis</i> Mansfield, 1931
<i>clarksvillensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	<i>Torcula clarksvillensis</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>cookei</i> Mansfield, 1930	<i>Torcula cookei</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>duplinensis</i> Gardner and Aldrich, 1919	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>fluxionalis</i> Rogers and Rogers, 1837
<i>etiwanensis</i> Tuomey and Holmes, 1856	<i>Caviturritella etiwanensis</i> (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856)
<i>exaltata</i> Tuomey and Holmes, 1856	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>exoletus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>Torcula exoleta</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>fluxionalis</i> Rogers and Rogers, 1837	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>fluxionalis</i> Rogers and Rogers, 1837
<i>gardnerae</i> Mansfield, 1930	<i>Caviturritella alumensis</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>gladëensis</i> Mansfield, 1931	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>gladeensis</i> Mansfield, 1931
<i>harveyensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	<i>Torcula cookei</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>holmesii</i> Dall, 1892	<i>Caviturritella mansfeldi</i> (Olsson, 1967)
<i>intermedia</i> Dall, 1892	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>jacksonensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>jacula</i> Gardner, 1947	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>jacula</i> Gardner, 1947
<i>leonensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>magnasulcus</i> Petuch, 1991	<i>Caviturritella magnasulcus</i> (Petuch, 1991)
<i>mansfeldi</i> Olsson, 1967	<i>Caviturritella mansfeldi</i> (Olsson, 1967)
<i>mediosulcata</i> Dall, 1892	<i>Torcula apicalis</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>miamiensis</i> Petuch, 1994	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>miamiensis</i> (Petuch, 1994)
<i>obsoleta</i> Dall, 1892	<i>Torcula perattenuata</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>ochlockoneensis</i> Mansfield, 1930	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>perattenuata</i> Heilprin, 1886	<i>Torcula perattenuata</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>perincisa</i> Dall, 1892	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>permenteri</i> Mansfield, 1935	<i>Torcula cookei</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>pilsbryi</i> Gardner, 1928	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>pilsbryi</i> Gardner, 1928
<i>pontoni</i> Mansfield, 1931	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>pontoni</i> Mansfield, 1931
<i>seminole</i> Petuch, 1994	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>seminole</i> Petuch, 1994
<i>striata</i> Tuomey and Holmes, 1856	<i>nomen nudum</i>

Table 1, continued.


Original species/subspecies name	Assigned to this species herein
<i>subannulata</i> Heilprin, 1886	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>perexilis</i> Conrad, 1875
<i>tensa</i> Dall, 1892	<i>Torcula apicalis</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>terebriformis</i> Dall, 1892	<i>nomen nudum</i>
<i>terstriata</i> Rogers and Rogers, 1837	<i>Caviturritella terstriata</i> (Rogers and Rogers, 1837)
<i>undula</i> Dall, 1892	<i>Torcula perattenuata</i> (Heilprin, 1886)
<i>vaughanensis</i> Mansfield, 1935	<i>Torcula cookei</i> (Mansfield, 1930)
<i>virginica</i> Campbell, 1993	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>virginica</i> Campbell, 1993
<i>wagneriana</i> Olsson and Harbison, 1953	" <i>Turritella</i> " <i>wagneriana</i> Olsson and Harbison, 1953

TAXON SAMPLING AND SPECIMEN REPOSITORIES

This paper treats only species that lived during the Pliocene and later. This was an arbitrary decision driven by the need to circumscribe the project. Post-Miocene faunas have traditionally been studied separately from older assemblages, and significant unconformities are present between the Pliocene and Miocene units across much of the coastal plain. It is clear, however, that some Pliocene and later turritellid species either occur or have obvious close relatives in older deposits, and these should be the focus of future detailed analyses.

Turritellid taxa used for this study are listed in Table 1 and the geographic locations of examined material are illustrated in Text-fig. 2 and listed in Appendix 1. *Turritella* species names have been used with widely varying accuracy in the Atlantic coastal plain and Florida over almost two centuries, and the appearance of a particular name in a list that has not been verified is therefore of uncertain value. We primarily relied on fossil specimens in collections for occurrence data. For literature occurrences without referenced specimens or illustrations, we annotate with a "?" before the reference. We have chosen to omit locality records reported in some publications that were not subject to peer-review (Petuch, 1994, 2004; Petuch and Roberts, 2007) because previous experience has suggested these may be unreliable (Allmon, 2005, 2011b). In addition, the quality of illustrations in some of these publications makes verification of species identifications difficult or impossible.

Most of the studied material is held in collections at the Paleontological Research Institution (PRI) and the Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida (UF). Additional material, mainly type and figured specimens, is deposited in the following institutions: American Museum of Natural History (Fossil Invertebrates), New York (AMNH FI); Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University, Philadelphia (ANSP); Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh (CM); Departments of Invertebrate Paleontology



Text-fig. 2. Geographic distribution of localities referenced in the present manuscript. For a complete list of locality information see Appendix 1.

and Mollusks, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA (MCZIP, MCZM); Department of Geology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill (UNC); National Museum of Natural History (Invertebrate Paleontology and Mollusk Collections), Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC (USNM IP, USNM MO); Virginia Museum of Natural History, Martinsville (VMNH); Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Malacology Collection, Los Angeles, CA (LACM); and the Wagner Free Institute of Science, Philadelphia (WFI).

TAXONOMIC CONCEPTS

SPECIES CONCEPT

In this paper, we use the conception of fossil species advocated by Allmon (1996, p. 13): “fossil species are groups of morphologically distinct populations within which variation is of the magnitude displayed by closely-related living species and their local populations, and between which the differences are of the kind and degree expected to result from reproductive isolation of populations in such related or analogous species” (see also Allmon, 2016). Almost all modern turritellid gastropod species are based exclusively on shell characters (e.g., Ryall and Vos, 2010; Herbert, 2013), but there are unfortunately no detailed studies of genetic, anatomical, or behavioral differences between species or populations defined by differences in shell form. We therefore use ranges of conchological variation observed in most traditionally recognized modern turritellid species (e.g., Charles, 1977; Allmon, 1996) as a guide for ranges of variation in fossil species.

GENUS CONCEPT

Turritellid genus-level systematics has long been unclear in regards to how species should be assigned to genera and how many genera should be recognized (Allmon, 1996). It is commonly accepted that most species placed in the genus *Turritella* s.s. (*sensu stricto*) Lamarck, 1799 do not belong there, but lacking a comprehensive revision of the group, the name remains in widespread use for most turritellid species. For this reason, *Turritella* has frequently been considered a classic example of a “wastebasket taxon” (Allmon, 1996; Plotnick and Wagner, 2006). Genera are of course constructed arbitrarily (Allmon 1992b; Hendricks et al., 2014; Garbino, 2015; Villmoare, 2018), but should represent monophyletic clades and thereby convey hypothesized evolutionary relationships (Hennig, 1965, 1966; Dubois, 1982; Hendricks et al., 2014; Villmoare, 2018). The frequent use of a single *sensu lato* genus “*Turritella*” existing from the Jurassic–Recent obscures biogeographic, biostratigraphic, and phylogenetic patterns in anciently diverging clades and limits our ability to use these

extremely abundant fossils in macroevolutionary studies (Harzhauser and Landau, 2019). Numerous genus names have been proposed for clades within the family (Allmon, 2011a), but few are widely used for extant species beyond *Maoricolpus* Finlay, 1926, *Mesalia* Gray, 1847, *Protoma* Baird, 1870, *Turritella* Lamarck, 1799, and *Vermicularia* Lamarck, 1799. This is in part because the shell features that have been used to identify turritellid genera frequently require either the preservation of the protoconch and early neanic whorls or are subtle combinations of growth line and/or sculpture features that require careful examination for proper assessment (Marwick, 1957; Garrard, 1972; Allmon, 1992b).

We propose that turritellid genera should be erected when biogeographically plausible clades are typified by characters or combinations of characters that are rare across turritellids as a whole (also see Harzhauser and Landau, 2019). The unique appearance of a particular characteristic feature (e.g., hollow newel morphology; see Friend et al., 2023) in several species in one region strongly implies that those species are likely to be each other’s closest relatives. The genus-rank clades discussed herein appear to have originated in or prior to the Miocene and are therefore comparable in age to clades given generic rank in recent molecular phylogenies of other gastropod families (e.g., 10–55 my divergence times of clades within Conidae, Muricidae, and Littorinidae; Castelin et al., 2012; Claremont et al., 2013; Puillandre et al., 2014; Reid et al., 2012). Recently, multi-gene molecular phylogenies for turritellids have become available—with particular emphasis on western Atlantic/eastern Pacific species—allowing an informed assessment of how well particular morphological characters may correspond to phylogenetic relationships (Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019).

Where morphological features, or unique combinations of features, can be found to consistently describe clades—even if these features are limited in number or require experience to properly assess—we argue that turritellid species should be assigned membership in genera to convey important information about their relationships and evolutionary history. For example, the two extant species *Turritella exoleta* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Turritella radula* Kiener, 1843 are both consistent with the morphological definition of *Torcula* Gray, 1847. These form a clade that is itself more distant from the remaining extant neotropical American turritellids than those non-*Torcula* American species are from southeast Asian forms assigned to *Turritella sensu stricto* (Sang et al., 2019). Therefore, referring to all of these species as *Turritella sensu lato* is less informative of evolutionary history than assigning each of these clades to its own genus. Yet when species cannot be confidently assigned to a turritellid genus, we resort to the convention of naming them to “*Turritella*” *sensu lato* (e.g., Allmon, 1996; DeVries, 2007; Anderson and Allmon, 2020),

largely because we are cautious in assigning species to genera with no morphological synapomorphies. To be clear, we do not believe these are closely related to *Turritella* s.s. (i.e., *sensu* Marwick, 1957), which is biogeographically implausible for the Americas, being restricted to the tropical Indo-Pacific.

MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES OF TURRITELLID GASTROPODS


For descriptions of shell morphology we follow Allmon (1996) and Harzhauer and Landau (2019). We recognize several principal sources of morphological information available to help in describing and delineating species: (1) gross morphology (i.e., size, angles of growth, whorl profile, suture depth); (2) protoconch form and number of whorls; (3) apical spiral sculpture ontogeny; (4) teleoconch sculpture; (5) basal and lateral growth lines (sinus); and (6) aperture.

GROSS MORPHOLOGY

In describing gross morphology we provide measurements of maximum length (ML), maximum width (MW), pleural angle (PA), and apical angle (AA) (Text-fig. 3). Description of shell sizes within species descriptions are relative to the other species included in this paper. Easily observed is whorl profile (shape of an individual whorl) and the depth and/or form of the suture. Allmon (1996) proposed a classification based on whorl profiles, modified from Ida (1952) and Marwick (1971b), which we use here (Text-fig. 4). Both of these characters may change during the course of an individual's life and so may be described in terms of juvenile and mature adult profiles.

PROTOCONCH


All known turritellid protoconchs consist of between one and four (or rarely five) smooth, unsculptured whorls (Allmon, 1996, 2011). Associated with differences in whorl number and diameter are often differences in overall form, which may vary from having a first whorl well immersed within the others to an erect, high-spined cone. The boundary with the teleoconch is marked only by the development of spiral sculpture, which may be quite faint initially (Text-fig. 5). All protoconchs observed in the present study are similar in form (paucispiral). For those species for which representative protoconchs could be found (15 of the 20 fossil species we accept as valid), the structure was detached from the shell, cleaned in an ultrasonic bath, and mounted on an aluminum stub. The specimens were imaged using the Jeol Scanning Electron Microscope at PRI.




Text-fig. 3. Gross morphological features of adult turritellid shells used in classification and species description. Measurements to describe maximum length (ML), maximum width (MW), pleural angle (PA), and apical angle (AA) (adapted from Harzhauer and Landau, 2019).

ONTOGENY OF SPIRAL SCULPTURE

The order of the development of spiral sculpture at the protoconch-teleoconch boundary is of particular interest (Text-fig. 5) because turritellid systematics depends heavily on recognition of the early ontogenetic sequence of the introduction of spiral cords on the shell (see Allmon, 1996). Marwick (1957) highlighted the importance in the order of appearance of the cords on the neanic whorls and used a lettering system for the spiral cords in which B was the medial primary, D the anterior-most primary generally involved with the suture, A was the first to appear adapical to B, and C the first to appear abapical to B, between B and D (Marwick, 1957, p. 148). The order of introduction of these



Text-fig. 4. Classification of whorl profiles following Allmon (1996) and Harzhauser and Landau (2019). 1, convex, 2 = subquadrate, 3 = flat-sided, 4 = frustate, 5 = imbricate, 6 = concave, 7 = keeled, 8 = telescoped, 9 = campanulate, 10 = double campanulate.




Text-fig. 5. Initiation of primary spiral cords at the protoconch-teleoconch boundary (illustrated above as a dashed line). In this example the C cord appears first, followed by the B cord, and the A cord last. The D cord is the anterior-most primary generally involved in the suture.

spiral cords can be expressed as an “apical sculpture formula”; thus C1B2A3 means that the C cord appears first, followed by the B cord, and then the A cord. This system was also used by Kotaka (1959) and Allmon (1996) and is followed herein. We designate as A' a prominent cord adapical of A that appears in the early teleoconch whorls, within 2–3 whorls of earliest primaries, and retains similar strength as other primaries. Schematic illustrations depicting the ontogenetic development of spiral cords in the species discussed here are shown in Text-figs. 6–9. As in Allmon (1996), we refer to these as “Marwick Diagrams” in recognition of the use of a similar technique for illustrating turritellid ontogeny by Marwick (1971b). Numbers at the bottom represent whorl number, the protoconch and youngest whorls being on the left. All whorl profiles are depicted standardized to the same height. Beaded cords are marked with white dots. We lack protoconchs of several species so beginning whorl number in these species is an approximation.

TELEOCONCH


The turritellid shell is an accretionary structure on which spiral cords are formed at points along the growing edge, and there is usually no clear morphological mark of the border between a given cord and the troughs lying to each side. Our limited understanding of the mechanisms of formation of a shell and its sculpture also restricts our insight on issues of homology regarding spiral cords. For these reasons it has remained unclear whether each spiral cord can be treated as an individualized part (character) of the shell. Moreover, the presence of spiral cords of varying prominence (i.e., primary, secondary, etc.) on the same shell adds complexity



Text-fig. 6. Marwick diagrams depicting the ontogenetic development of spiral cords in *Caviturritella* Friend and Anderson, n. gen. (herein). Numbers at the bottom represent whorl number, the protoconch and youngest whorls being on the left. All whorl outlines are standardized to the same height. Note that *Caviturritella alumensis* cord A does not appear until ~whorl 14 (not shown). We lack protoconchs of *C. terstriata* and *C. mansfieldi* and so therefore the beginning whorl numbers are approximations. See pp. 7, 8 for more information on these schematic diagrams.

to the problem. It is therefore crucial that all assumptions inherent in the use of spiral cords in gastropod systematics are stated clearly. Here we assume that spiral ribs in similar relative vertical position on the whorl, and their order of appearance, represent homologous characters (Text.-fig. 5;

see Allmon, 1996). Data on traditional teleoconch characters (the presence, spacing, and relative strength of spiral cords on the whorls) were collected through the observation of the various shell structures, often under a binocular microscope for better accuracy.




Text-fig. 7. Marwick diagrams depicting the ontogenetic development of spiral cords in *Torcula* Gray, 1847. We lack protoconchs of *Torcula clarksvillensis* so beginning whorl number is an approximation.

GROWTH LINES


Marwick (1957) drew special attention to the shape of the trace of the outer lip of the aperture (= growth line), using the terms “lateral sinus” for the trace on the whorl sides and the “basal sinus” for the trace on the whorl base (Text-fig. 10). The various types of the growth lines, separated in lateral and basal sinuses, were described by Allmon (1996), emphasizing the depth of the sinus, its relative position within the whorl, and the number of inflection points (see section “Characters and Character States,” p. 42). In many cases, growth lines do not develop a full inflection point but display a sinuosity against growth direction close to the adapical and/or abapical suture. We follow Harzhauer and Landau (2019) in describing these as “faint inflection points.”


APERTURE

Most turritellids are characterized as having non-entire apertures (Marwick, 1957; Allmon, 1996). Their shells have not previously been reported to possess an umbilicus or other basal axial opening. We have, however, noticed openings in the bases of several of the species discussed here. These openings, visible in both broken and unbroken shells (Text-fig. 11), are not a true umbilicus because they are visible only inside the aperture, and the inner walls of the whorls are not separated from each other to form a space. This feature does not appear to have been recognized or named before. We refer to it as a hollow newel, based on its resemblance to the architecture of spiral staircases, in which a *newel* is the central supporting post or pillar, and a *hollow newel* is the absence of such a structure (Friend et al., 2023). This feature is discussed in greater detail in Friend et al. (2023).




Text-fig. 8. Marwick diagrams depicting the ontogenetic development of spiral cords in *"Turritella."* We lack protoconchs of *Turritella* (s.l.) *jacula* so the beginning whorl number is an approximation.

Text-fig. 9. Marwick diagrams depicting the ontogenetic development of spiral cords in *"Turritella,"* continued.



Text-fig. 10. “Lateral and Basal Sinus.” 1, the method by which measurements used to classify the morphology of the lateral sinus. WH = whorl height, LS° = lateral sinus angle, $LSpos$ = lateral growth line sinus position, LSd = lateral sinus depth. A, whorl height is the length of a line perpendicular to and between two adjacent sutures. B, to measure lateral sinus depth, we first drew a straight line (a) from the top to the bottom of the lateral sinus. A second line (b) was drawn between the most lateral point of the growth curve to the line (a) mentioned previously (note line b is at a right angle to line a). Line b measures LSd (lateral sinus depth). C, to measure lateral sinus angle (α), a vertical line parallel to the coiling axis (gray dashed vertical line) was drawn. To calculate lateral sinus position, line a is divided into x and y based upon its intersection point with line b. (Adapted from Harzhauser and Landau, 2019). 2, classification of basal growth line trace type following Allmon, 1996 (redrawn from Allmon, 1996).



Text-fig. 11. Turritellids with hollow newels. These species lack a columella and instead have cavities visible from the basal surface that opens into the body chamber, facilitating access to the body chamber of more apical whorls than the apertural whorl (e.g., when probed with a pin). 1, *Caviturritella gonostoma*, PRI 104787, Recent, tropical eastern Pacific, diameter 27.5 mm. 2, *Caviturritella alumensis*, PRI 41858, FL-02, diameter 24.1 mm. 3, *Caviturritella magnasulcus*, UF 332525, FL-80, diameter 9.2 mm.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

In the species accounts below, each unique locality has a code preceded by the state in which it occurs. For details on fossil localities, see Appendix 1.

Phylum **MOLLUSCA** Cuvier, 1795a*

Class **GASTROPODA** Cuvier, 1795b*

Subclass **CAENOGASTROPODA** Cox, 1960

Superfamily **CERITHIOIDEA** Fleming, 1822

Family **TURRITELLIDAE** Lovén, 1847

Subfamily **TURRITELLINAE** Woodward, 1851

CAVITURRITELLA new genus Friend and Anderson

Type species.—*Turritella gonostoma* Valenciennes, 1832. Pliocene–Recent, eastern Pacific Ocean, California to Peru (Text-fig. 12).


Original species diagnosis.—“Surrounded by thin transverse cords; white at the apex, horn colored below; variegated with dark flammules; flat whorls; (top) whorls halved by carina; angled aperture” (translated from Valenciennes, 1832, p. 275).

Other species referred to this genus.—*Turritella banksii* Gray in Reeve, 1849 (Recent; tropical eastern Pacific). *Turritella leucostoma* Valenciennes, 1832 (Recent; tropical eastern Pacific). *Turritella broderipiana* d’Orbigny, 1840 (Recent; tropical eastern Pacific). *Turritella alumensis* Mansfield, 1930 (Neogene; Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain). *Turritella etiwanensis* (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856) (Neogene; Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain). *Turritella magnasulcus* (Petuch, 1991) (Neogene; Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain). *Turritella terstriata* Rogers and Rogers, 1837 (Neogene; Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain). *Turritella abrupta* Spieker, 1922 (Neogene; tropical and subtropical eastern Pacific and tropical western Atlantic). *Turritella variegata* Linnaeus, 1758 (Recent; tropical western Atlantic).

Diagnosis.—Medium to large turritellines with hollow newels and an apical sculptural formula C1B2A3. Lateral growth lines prosocline, with apex medially located, or located slightly adaperturally of the middle of the whorl. Generally have lineated basal surfaces.

Etymology.—Referring to *Turritella* and the hollow newel cavity.

* See Donovan (1996).




Text-fig. 12. *Caviturritella gonostoma* (Valenciennes, 1832), the type species designated for *Caviturritella* Friend and Anderson, new genus. Specimen PRI 104787 is from an unspecified locality but extant *Caviturritella gonostoma* are found in the eastern Pacific from the Gulf of California to Peru. Specimen length 116.6 mm.

Genus stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Miocene–Recent, western Atlantic and eastern Pacific.

Remarks.—Two morphological apomorphies characterize this genus: a C1B2A3 apical sculpture formula and the hollow newel character state. The lateral growth line trace is prosocline, but there is some variability among species with respect to the location of the apex and whether there is a weak inflection point present (Text-fig. 13). The genus name is attributed herein to Friend and Anderson.

Allmon (1988) and Allmon et al. (1992) recorded ecological information regarding habitat, feeding, growth, and reproduction of *Caviturritella gonostoma*. No information about the radulae or detailed soft anatomy have previously been published for the genus.

We are not the first to suggest a potential relationship among the species referred here to *Caviturritella*. The new genus encompasses several modern species that Olsson (1964) assigned to the subgenus *Broderiptella* Olsson, 1964 (*C. broderipiana* d’Orbigny, 1840, *C. banksii* Gray in Reeve, 1849, *C. gonostoma* Valenciennes, 1832, and *C. variegata* Linnaeus, 1758), and to which Olsson also referred *C. mansfieldi* (Olsson, 1967). This subgeneric assignment was based on early whorls sharing a medial spiral keeled appearance, medium to large size, similar growth line trace, and an abundance of fine spiral ornaments on adult whorls, and a “backwards inclined aperture,” (Olsson, 1964: p. 188) which may have been an alternate terminology for hollow newel morphology. However,



Text-fig. 13. Lateral and basal sinus shape traced from *Caviturritella gonostoma*, the type species of *Caviturritella* Friend and Anderson, new genus.

Olsson did not make reference to order of appearance of spiral ornamentation and did not refer other hollow newel-bearing taxa (e.g., *C. leucostoma* Valenciennes, 1832, *C. abrupta* Spieker, 1922) to *Broderiptella*, as they lacked the *Broderiptella*-defining characteristic of a single medially-placed spiral keel in early whorls (Olsson, 1964, p. 189). Additional neotropical American species, especially Oligocene-Miocene species which have been at times referred to *Broderiptella* (e.g., *Turritella bifastigata* Nelson, 1870 and *Turritella mimetes* Brown and Pilsbry, 1911) should be examined for potential placement in *Caviturritella*, but a complete treatment of Cenozoic–Recent North and South American turritellids is beyond the scope of this manuscript.

Species of *Caviturritella* have the same apical sculpture formula as those assigned to *Haustator* Montfort, 1810, but have hollow newels, typically lineated basal surfaces, and minimal inflection points of the lateral sinus. *Caviturritella* is distinguished from *Oligodia* Handmann, 1882 by hollow newels and a C-B-A apical formula, while *Oligodia* is characterized by B–D succession of ornamentation (Harzhauser and Landau, 2019). Most members of *Caviturritella* are also generally large for turritellids, while *Oligodia* are medium sized.

***Caviturritella alumensis* (Mansfield, 1930)**

Text-figs. 6.1, 14, Table 2

Turritella alumensis Mansfield, 1930: p. 105, pl. 15, figs. 1, 2, 5.

Turritella alumensis gardnerae Mansfield, 1930: p. 106, pl. 15, fig. 4.

Eichwaldiella alumensis (Petuch, 1994): p. 66, pl. 13, figs. C, D.

Eichwaldiella gardnerae (Petuch, 1994): pl. 13, fig. E.

Torcula alumensis (Petuch, 2004): p. 160, pl. 50, fig. G.

Not *T. gardnerae* LeBlanc in Barry and LeBlanc, 1942; see Allmon (1996, p. 84).

Type material.—Lectotype of *T. alumensis* (Mansfield, 1930) (herein designated), USNM 370319; paralectotype of *T. alumensis* Mansfield, 1930, USNM 370321; holotype of *T. alumensis gardnerae* Mansfield, 1930, USNM 370328.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 2.


Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene). South Carolina: Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Georgia: Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Upper bed at Alum Bluff, Liberty County, Florida.

Other localities.—VA-09, VA-13, SC-05, SC-07, GA-01 to GA-02, FL-01 to FL-04, FL-06, FL-07, FL-09, FL-10, FL-15, FL-17, FL-19, FL-33, FL-39, FL-41, FL-46, FL-50, FL-57, FL-68, and FL-69.

Original description.—“Shell large, solid, moderately stout, probably of about 25 whorls on complete specimens. Suture impressed. Whorls nearly flat, medially depressed between primary spirals, upper whorls more so than later. Apical whorl rather large, flatly coiled, tip shallowly immersed. Spiral sculpture, on the earliest whorls, of a sharp basal keel. On ascending the whorl, another spiral begins below the suture, weak at first but later nearly equals in strength the basal one. Sculpture on later whorls of 3 primary spirals, one at the upper fourth and two at the anterior fourth and base. The basal spiral is weakest, the other two being of about equal strength. Aside from the primaries, flat secondary threads, usually intercalated by threadlets, overrun the surface” (Mansfield, 1930: p. 105).

Revised description.—Large shell with a pleural angle of 13.5°. Protoconch with a large first whorl flatly coiled (tip shallowly immersed). Apical sculpture formula C1B2A3. Spiral sculpture on the earliest whorls appears first as a sharp



Text-fig. 14. *Caviturritella alumensis* (Mansfield, 1930). 1, lectotype, USNM 370319, FL-76, 114.3 mm. 2, paralectotype, USNM 370321, FL-76, 68.5 mm. 3, holotype of *C. alumensis gardnerae*, USNM 370328, FL-76, 50.9 mm. 4, *C. alumensis* (*Turritella terebriformis* of Harris), PRI 104759, NC-07, 46.6 mm. 5, UF 329858, FL-68, 57.6 mm. 6, Protoconch, UF 329861, FL-04, scale bar = 1 mm.

Table 2. Measurements of type and other specimens of *Caviturritella alumensis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>C. alumensis</i>	USNM 370319	lectotype	114.3	26.1	10.7°	-
<i>C. alumensis</i>	USNM 370321	paralectotype	68.5	12.3	10.1°	13.3°
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 329858	non-type	57.6	13	13.3°	14.9°
<i>C. alumensis gardnerae</i>	USNM 370325	holotype	50.9	10.6	12°	15.7°
<i>C. alumensis terebriformis</i>	PRI 104759	non-type	46.6	13.8	13°	-

basal keel, after which B cord appears and quickly develops, reaching near equal strength to the C cord. Juvenile whorl profile concave, adult profile subquadrate. Juvenile sculpture controlled by strong A and C cords and a wide concave sulcus between them. C overhangs D; D more pronounced in larger whorls because suture becomes more deeply incised. Threads begin to appear as the individual grows, starting with large threads directly below A and above C. Threads grow more numerous in maturity while major cords recede, creating a less exaggerated sculpture. On the largest whorls, 5 to 10 threads appear between C and D, threads too numerous to

count above A. Basal sinus type 3; lateral sinus extremely pronounced on later whorls, a single inflection point on the bottom with an exaggerated apex in the middle. Growth lines prosocline. Aperture shape subquadrate. Hollow newel present.

Remarks.—The name *Turritella terebriformis* has sometimes been associated with *T. alumensis*. Conrad (1863) named but did not describe, illustrate, or give a locality for *Turritella terebriformis*. Dall (1892, p. 311) reported *T. terebriformis* from the “Older Miocene of the Chipola beds...” and the

Table 3. Reports of the presence of embryonic shells within adult turritellid fossils, suggesting that larvae were brooded.

Species	Age	Locality	Formation	Number of embryonic shells	Reference
<i>Turritella alticostata</i>	Miocene–Pliocene?	Hampton, VA	Yorktown	41	Antill (1974)
<i>Caviturritella alumensis</i>	Pliocene	Alum Bluff, FL	Jackson Bluff	48	Sutton (1935)
<i>T. cumberlandia</i>	Miocene	Plum Point, MD	Calvert	>200	Burns (1899)
<i>T. indenta</i>	Miocene	Plum Point, MD	Calvert	“by the hundreds”	Burns (1899)
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	Pliocene	Kirby, SC	Duplin	4	this paper
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	Pliocene	Yorktown, VA	Yorktown	104	Palmer (1958)
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	Pliocene	Yorktown, VA	Yorktown	47	Gardner (1948)
<i>Zeacolpus taranakiensis</i>	Miocene	Wellington, New Zealand	?	40–100	Marwick (1971a, b)

“Chesapeake Miocene of Yorktown, VA” (he later corrected the latter locality to “Greenboro, Maryland” [1903, p. 1653]). Dall mentioned that Gilbert Harris had found what he thought was Conrad’s type specimen for *terebriformis* in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences, and that this specimen “enabled us to identify the Chipola fossil with his [Conrad’s] manuscript name.” (The “Chipola beds” in this case very likely refer to the Jackson Bluff Fm. at Alum Bluff, which is the type locality of *C. alumensis*.) Neither Harris nor Dall, however, recorded any other information about this specimen, and neither Moore (1962) nor Richards (1968) list *T. terebriformis* among the types at ANSP. Harris’s student Carlotta Maury (1902, p. 59) included *T. terebriformis* in a list of species from the “Chipola marls at Alum Bluff,” crediting Harris with the identification. Smith and Aldrich (1902) also reported *T. terebriformis* from the “Alum Bluff horizon” in a core taken at Mobile, Alabama. There are three specimens in the USNM collection (USNM 13478, USNM 113480, and USNM 113481) labeled (by Dall?) as *Turritella terebriformis* from the Chipola Fm. These (and one in the UF collection [UF 35985] labeled as Chipola) are all very likely from the Jackson Bluff Fm. The same may also be true for the record for *T. alumensis* from the Choctawhatchee Fm. from locality FL-08 reported by Mansfield (1930). Gardner (1948, p. 199) was the first to figure specimens referred to *T. terebriformis* (the basis of her identification was not stated), but her two specimens (USNM 113479) are from the Miocene Choptank Fm. of Maryland. Ward (1992, p. 118) designated one of Gardner’s specimens (1948, pl. 27, fig. 27), which he said was likely a topotype, as the neotype of *T. terebriformis*.

During our own study, we found two specimens in the PRI collection apparently deposited by Harris and labeled as *Turritella terebriformis* “holotype” from Alum Bluff. It is possible that one of these is the specimen Dall previously referred to as found by Harris, who may have removed this specimen from the Academy, but there is no evidence to

confirm that this is the case. These have now been numbered PRI 104759 and PRI 104822.


We conclude that the name *T. terebriformis*, if used at all, should be restricted to specimens from the Choptank Fm. and not to the Jackson Bluff Fm. Ward’s designation of one of Gardner’s specimens as a neotype may provide the basis for a future formal description of the taxon. For now, however, it must be regarded as a *nomen nudum*.

Caviturritella alumensis is a large and distinctive species that is very abundant in the Jackson Bluff Fm. at its type locality of Alum Bluff (see, e.g., Fallon et al., 2014). Sutton (1935) reported finding 48 embryonic shells inside a specimen of *C. alumensis* from what was probably the Jackson Bluff Fm. at Alum Bluff. This is one of at least seven fossil turritellid species known to have brooded their young (Table 3; see further discussion below).

Caviturritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856) Text-figs. 6.2, 15, Table 4

- Terebellum etiwanensis* Tuomey and Holmes, 1856: p. 122, pl. 26, figs. 9, 10.
Terebellum etiwanensis Tuomey and Holmes. Emmons, 1858: p. 270.
Turritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes). Dall, 1892: p. 313 (in part).
Turritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes). Gardner and Aldrich, 1919: p. 18.
Turritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes). Mansfield, 1930: p. 106, pl. 15, fig. 3.
Turritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes). Gardner, 1948: pl. 27, fig. 20.
Turritella etiwanensis (Tuomey and Holmes). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. F.

Type material.—Nine (9) syntypes of *Terebellum etiwanensis* Tuomey and Holmes, 1856, AMNH FI 11154; lectotype of *C. etiwanensis* (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856) (herein designated),



Text-fig. 15. *Caviturritella etiwanensis* (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856). 1, PRI 104826, NC-07, 52.5 mm. 2, UF 7683, FL-68, 40.4 mm. 3, PRI 104828, NC-07, 17.3 mm. 4, USNM 370331, FL-19, 103.5 mm. 5, protoconch, PRI 104737, NC-10, scale bar = 1 mm. 6, lectotype, AMNH FI 31851, SC-13. 7, PRI 104827 from NC-07, 37.6 mm.

Table 4. Measurements of type specimens of *Caviturritella etiwanensis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	PRI 104826	non-type	52.5	10	12.5°	-
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	PRI 104827	non-type	37.6	12.7	7.3°	-
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	PRI 104828	non-type	17.3	3.6	8.2°	-
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	UF 7683	plesiotype	134.1	23.8	9.6°	-
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	USNM 370331	hypotype	103.5	18.1	9.5°	11.2°
<i>C. etiwanensis</i>	AMNH 31851	lectotype	40.0	7.5	9.7°	-

AMNH FI 31851; hypotype of *Turritella etiwanensis* (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856), USNM 370331 (figured by Mansfield, 1930 and Gardner, 1948); three (3) "plesiotypes" (as labeled by G.D. Harris) of *C. etiwanensis* (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856), PRI 104826, PRI 104827, and PRI 104828.

Other material examined.— See Appendix 2.

Measurements.— See Table 4.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.— Virginia: Yorktown Fm., Zone 1 (Pliocene). North Carolina: Duplin Fm. (Pliocene); Chowan River Fm. (Early Pleistocene). South Carolina: Goose Creek Fm., Raysor Fm. (Pliocene); Bear Bluff Fm. (Early Pleistocene). Georgia: Duplin/Raysor

Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Peedee, South Carolina (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856).

Other localities.—VA-20, NC-03, NC-07, SC-03, SC-06, SC-09, SC-11, GA-04, FL-01, FL-05, FL-34, FL-52, FL-53, FL-64, and FL-68.

Original description.—"Shell subulate, elongated; with two prominent spiral striæ equidistant from the sutures, and fine intermediate ones on each whorl" (Tuomey and Holmes, 1856, p. 122).

Revised description.—Medium-small shell; pleural angle of 12.4° and an apical angle of 15.7°. Protoconch of one whorl, round and squat. Apical sculpture formula C1A2B2; C begins very strong, creating a campanulate whorl profile by the second whorl. Area above C cord straightens by fourth whorl, rapidly develops to a subquadrate whorl profile. A and C are always the most prominent as the individual grows, with two minor cords between. Numerous fine threads below C. The D cord becomes more prominent in adulthood, very close and immediately below C cord. Growth lines prosocline and beaded. Basal sinus unknown, lateral sinus of single inflection on bottom, with apex in the middle. Aperture shape circular, basement is convex. Basal surface columellar growth margin present.

Remarks.—This species is similar in size to *C. alumensis* but *C. etiwanensis* has a more slender shell and weaker major spiral cords. It is distinct from “*Turritella*” *pilsbryi* because the spiral cords of *C. etiwanensis* are not closely spaced at the anterior half of the whorls. Additionally, the whorl profile of *T. pilsbryi* is rounded while that of *T. etiwanensis* is subquadrate.

Mansfield (1930, pp. 106, 107) reported *C. etiwanensis* as “abundant” in the Choctawhatchee Fm. in northern Florida (e.g., locality FL-51), but these occurrences are likely to be from the Jackson Bluff Fm.

A specimen of *etiwanensis* from the Duplin Fm. at Kirby’s Pond Quarry, Florence County, South Carolina (Loc. SC-11) was found to contain four embryonic shells. This adds another species to the list of fossil turritellids found to contain embryonic shells, implying brooding of crawl-away larvae (Table 3).

***Caviturritella magnasulcus* (Petuch, 1991)**

Text-figs. 6.3, 16, Table 5

Eichwaldiella magnasulcus Petuch, 1991: p. 13, pl. 1, fig. 9.

Eichwaldiella magnasulcus Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. V.

Eichwaldiella magnasulcus Petuch, 2004: p. 175, pl. 56, fig. H.

Type material.—Holotype, CM 35625; paratype, CM 35626.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 5.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds and Ochopee Limestone (Pliocene).

Type locality.—Alligator Alley, Monroe County, Florida.

Other localities.—FL-07, FL-17, FL-33, FL-39, FL-41, FL-54 to FL-56.

Description.—Medium-large shell with a pleural angle of 11.8°. Protoconch 1.5 to 2 whorls. Apical sculpture formula C1B2A3. Adult C cord begins on the third whorl, strengthens to produce keeled whorls, followed by appearance of B and A cords respectively. The D cord becomes prominent on the fourth whorl. Nine cords present on the largest whorl, anterior most 3 are the most prominent. Cords border the deeply indented suture, creating an overhang on the sulcus. Suture depth and sulcus width increase in size with growth. Basal sinus type 2; lateral sinus single inflection point on top; apex in lower half. Growth line prosocline. Aperture shape circular; columellar growth margin prominent. Basal surface partially lineated, normal columella.

Remarks.—This species is easily identifiable among other co-occurring turritellines by the incised suture, which makes it appear as if whorls are beginning to separate (not unlike in *Vermicularia*) but never fully detach.

Petuch (1991) erroneously placed this species into *Eichwaldiella* Friedberg, 1933, which is an invalid genus name due to preoccupation by *Eichwaldiella* Whitley, 1930 (Pisces). Note that *Eichwaldiella* Friedberg, 1933 was later considered a junior synonym of *Oligodia* Handmann, 1882 as these share the type species *Turritella bicarinata* Eichwald, 1830 (van Dingenen et al., 2016; Harzhauser and Landau, 2019).

***Caviturritella mansfieldi* (Olsson, 1967)**

Text-figs. 6.4, 17, Table 6

Not *Turritella striata* of Schumacher (1817; *taxon inquirendum*), Sowerby (1825; *nomen nudum*), Woodward (1830; *nomen nudum*), or Anton (1838; *taxon inquirendum*).

Terebellum striatum Tuomey and Holmes, 1856: p. 120, pl. 26, fig. 7.

Terebellum burdenii Tuomey and Holmes, 1856. Emmons, 1858: p. 270, fig. 163.

Turritella striata holmesii Dall, 1892: p. 313.

Turritella aff. *T. cartagensis* Mansfield, 1939: p. 46, pl. 1, figs. 2, 12 (not of Pilsbry and Brown, 1917).

Turritella cf. *T. pontoni* Mansfield, 1931. Mansfield, 1939: p. 47, pl. 1, figs. 3, 8.

Turritella holmesii Dall. Gardner, 1948: p. 198, pl. 27, fig. 23.


Turritella (Broderiptella) mansfieldi Olsson, 1967: p. 37, pl. 4, fig. 9, pl. 5, fig. 6.

Eichwaldiella mansfieldi (Olsson). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. U.

Eichwaldiella pontoni (Mansfield). Petuch, 2004: p. 175, pl. 56, fig. J.

Type material.—Holotype, *Turritella mansfieldi*, USNM 645175; paratype, USNM 645883.

Other material examined.—UF 266940, UF 329963, UF 268191. See Appendix 2.



Text-fig. 16. *Caviturritella magnasulcus* (Petuch, 1991). 1, 2, CM 35625, FL-81, 76.0 mm. 3, protoconch, PRI 108269, FL-41, scale bar = 1 mm. 4, paratype, CM 35626, FL-81, 79.4 mm. 5, UF 275915, FL-41, 115.7 mm.

Table 5. Measurements of a specimen of *Caviturritella magnasulcus*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	CM 35625	holotype	76.0	17.2	11.3°	15.7°
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	CM 35626	paratype	79.4	16.7	9.9°	18.3°
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 181362	non-type	97	19.8	8.7°	13.9°

Measurements.—See Table 6.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—North Carolina: Chowan River, Waccamaw Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Duplin Fm. (Pliocene). South Carolina: Duplin Fm. (Pliocene); Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Georgia: Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).


Type locality.—Pinecrest, Monroe County, Florida (Olsson, 1967).

Other localities.—NC-03, NC-04, NC-07, SC-03 to SC-06, SC-09 to SC-11, GA-01 to GA-04, FL-03, FL-07, FL-10, FL-14, FL-33, FL-39, FL-77, and FL-78.

Description.—Large shell with a pleural angle of 19.2°, apical angle 21.8°. Protoconch unknown. Whorl profile is subquadrate; 9 to 11 spiral threads of relatively equal strength. Space between anterior threads increases with shell growth.

Basal sinus is type 2; lateral sinus in abapical half of whorl with a single inflection point in adapical half of whorl; growth lines prosocline. Aperture shape is subquadrate, basement convex. Columellar growth margin prominent. Basal surface lineated, largest specimens have hollow newels.

Remarks.—The name *T. striatum* was first used by Tuomey and Holmes (1856), but the AMNH type is missing (B. Hussein, pers. comm.). Dall (1892) renamed it *T. striata holmesii* due to *striatum* being preoccupied (by *Turritella striata* of Schumacher (1817), Sowerby (1825), Woodward (1830), and Anton (1838)). We were not able to locate the type of *holmesii* in the WFI collections. We therefore consider *striatum* Tuomey and Holmes and *holmesii* Dall to be *nomena nuda*. The genus *Terebellum* Bruguière, 1798 (see Kronenberg and Weneke, 2020) was applied to some species here placed in Turritellidae by Tuomey and Holmes (1856) and Harris (1890), but is now restricted to the family Seraphsidae (WoRMS, 2021).



Text-fig. 17. *Caviturritella mansfieldi* (Olsson, 1967). 1, holotype, USNM 645175, "Kissimmee, FL," Monroe County, Pinecrest beds, 87.4 mm. 2, paratype, USNM 645883, "Kissimmee, FL," Monroe County, Pinecrest beds, 83.4 mm. 3, 4, UF 181384, FL-03, 72.6 mm.

Table 6. Measurements of type and other specimens of *Caviturritella mansfieldi*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>C. mansfieldi</i>	USNM 645175	holotype	87.4	27.3	19.5°	20.3°
<i>C. mansfieldi</i>	USNM 645883	paratype	83.4	20.1	15.4°	-
<i>C. mansfieldi</i>	UF 181384	non-type	72.6	19.3	15.2°	-

***Caviturritella terstriata* (Rogers and Rogers, 1837)**

Text-figs. 6.5, 18, Table 7

Turritella ter-striata Rogers and Rogers, 1837: p. 331.

Turritella ter-striata Rogers and Rogers, 1839: p. 377, pl. 26, fig. 1.

Turritella ter-striata Rogers, 1884: p. 661.

Turritella variabilis var. A; Martin, 1904: p. 239, pl. 57, figs. 6, 7, 8.

Turritella terstriata Rogers and Rogers. Mansfield, 1937: p. 608, pl. 85, figs. 5, 9.

Turritella terstriata Rogers and Rogers. Gardner, 1948: p. 198, pl. 27, figs. 18, 19.

Turritella terstriata Rogers and Rogers. Campbell, 1993: p. 64, pl. 29, figs. 293, 293a.

Type material.—Holotype, MCZIP 113588; hypotypes (Mansfield, 1937), USNM IP 351756 and USNM MO 496428; hypotypes (two; Gardner, 1948), USNM MO 325456.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.


Measurements.—See Table 7.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: Yorktown Fm., Sunken Meadow Member (Pliocene). Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Pliocene).

Type locality.—Williamsburg, VA (Rogers and Rogers, 1837).

Other localities.—VA-10, VA-14 to VA-22, and FL-57.

Description.—Medium shell with pleural angle of 11.5°. Protoconch unknown. Whorl profile is sharply concave, with a groove between cords. Sculpture consists of two major cords. The anterior most cord is the most prominent, appearing bifurcated in later whorls. Between the two major cords is one very deeply incised sulcus (which appears at first glance to be the suture). Wide and sloping shoulders between sutures



Text-fig. 18. *Caviturritella terstriata* (Rogers and Rogers, 1837). 1, holotype, MCZIP 113588, VA-31, 40.5 mm. 2, MCZIP 113591, VA-31, 34.7 mm. 3, *Caviturritella* cf. *terstriata*, USNM 496428, FL-57, 18.3 mm. 4, USNM 351756, VA-14, 43.2 mm.

Table 7. Measurements of type and other specimens of *Caviturritella terstriata*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>C. terstriata</i>	MCZIP 113588	holotype	40.5	8.6	8.6°	15.7°
<i>C. terstriata</i>	MCZIP 113591	non-type	34.7	7.7	7.9°	-
<i>C. terstriata</i>	USNM 496428	non-type	18.3	6.3	10.6°	-
<i>C. terstriata</i>	USNM 351756	non-type	43.2	10.7	8°	-

and the major cords. Basal sinus unknown. Lateral growth lines prosocline with a medially located apex. Aperture shape rounded, columellar growth margin is absent.

Remarks.—This species is easily identifiable due to the deeply incised equatorial sulcus. Without more information on early ontogeny, however, it is difficult to compare it with others. Gardner noted that she thought it was related to *terebriformis*; we compared the holotype to other specimens and they are distinct.


We also examined protoconchs from Chowan River Fm. specimens which may or may not be *T. terstriata*. Further determination requires additional specimens of larger size in order to link protoconch with adult morphology.

Genus *TORCULA* Gray, 1847

Type species.—*Turbo exoletus* Linnaeus, 1758. Pleistocene–Recent, western Atlantic Ocean, South Carolina to Brazil.

Original description.—“*Torcula. Haustator*, Gray, 1840; 1844, 60; not Montf. *Turritella* sp. (*biangulata*), Lam. *Turbo exoletus*, Linn.” (Gray, 1847, p. 155; mention of “1844” is an error).

Revised description.—Turritellids with strongly concave whorl profiles, distinguished by two prominent cords (A and C) on each whorl separated by a deep sulcus; the C cord being first to develop during ontogeny. Lateral growth line trace prosocline, shallow to moderately deep. Apex of lateral growth line trace located medially.



Text-fig. 19. Lateral and basal growth line sinus shape proposed by Marwick (1957) to characterize the genus *Torcula*, in agreement with our observations of the growth line trace of the type species *Torcula exoleta*.

Genus stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Eocene–Recent, subtropical and tropical Americas.

Remarks.—Gray’s first use of *Torcula* was not accompanied by a morphological description, leading subsequent authors to attempt the delineation of reliable characters. Marwick (1957) mentioned a turbinat protoconch and cingulate neanic whorls, and he figured the lateral and basal sinus for *Torcula* (Text-fig. 19). Campbell (1993) took a wholly different approach, describing *Torcula* as a subgenus of “straight-sided Turritellas with uneven spiral sculpture.” We agree with Petuch and Berschauer’s (2020) description of *Torcula* as exhibiting “a sculpture pattern composed of two strong cords on each whorl and a deep central depressed sulcus between the cords” (p. 176).

***Torcula apicalis* (Heilprin, 1886)**

Text-figs. 7.1, 20, Table 8

Turritella apicalis Heilprin, 1886: p. 88, pl. 8, figs. 14, 14a.

Turritella mediosulcata Heilprin, 1886: p. 89, pl. 8, fig. 16.

Terebellum burdenii Tuomey and Holmes, 1856: p. 122, pl. 26, fig. 11.

Turritella burdenii(?) Tuomey and Holmes. Dall, 1892: p. 313

Turritella apicalis Heilprin. Dall, 1892: p. 316–318, pl. 16, figs.

10, 11, 12, 13.

Turritella apicalis var. *mediosulcata* Dall, 1892: p. 317, pl. 16, fig. 12.

Turritella apicalis var. *tensa* Dall, 1892: p. 317, pl. 16, fig. 13.

Not *Turritella apicalis* var. *cingulata* Heilprin. Dall, 1892: p. 317, pl. 16, fig. 11.

Turritella apicalis Heilprin. Mansfield, 1939: p. 48, pl. 1, figs. 9, 10.

Turritella buckinghamensis Mansfield, 1939: pg. 47, pl. 1, fig. 1.

Turritella apicalis (subgenus *Torcula*, section *Apicula*) Heilprin.

Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 314, pl. 44, figs. 5, 5a.

Turritella (*Torcula*) *mediosulcata* Dall. Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 313, pl. 44, figs. 2, 2a, 3, 3a.

Turritella apicalis Heilprin. DuBar, 1958: p. 203, pl. 10, fig. 14.

Apicula apicalis Heilprin. Petuch, 1994: p. 68, pl. 12, fig. A.

?*Apicula mediosulcata* (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. K.

Apicula tensa (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. D.

Not *Apicula buckinghamensis* (Mansfield). Petuch, 1994: p. 256, pl. 12, fig B.

Type material.—Holotype of *T. apicalis*, WFI 982; hypotypes (six; Olsson and Harbison, 1953), ANSP 18880; hypotypes (two; Mansfield, 1939), USNM 497967; holotype of *Turritella apicalis mediosulcata*, WFI 16933; holotype of *T. apicalis* var. *tensa*, USNM 113461.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.


Measurements.—See Table 8.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Buckingham Limestone and Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Bermont Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Ft. Thompson Fm.? (Late Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Caloosahatchee River, below Fort Thompson (Heilprin, 1886).

Other localities.—FL-17, FL-19 to FL-23, FL-29, FL-38, FL-41, and FL-62.

Description.—Small shell, pleural angle of 15.4°, apical angle 18.8°. Protoconch one smooth whorl. Apical sculpture formula C1A2B3. Order of adult cord prominence C > A > B. Early teleoconch whorls keeled, adult whorls double hypercampanulate (concave with sharply angled cords). A and C cords strong and beaded; a weak and beaded B cord lies directly above the C cord; prominent s-cord beaded; minor r-cord beaded. Suture lightly incised, deepening with age. Basal sinus type 4, lateral sinus deep inflection point on bottom, apex in middle. Growth lines prosocline, aperture shape subquadrate, columellar growth margin prominent.



Text-fig. 20. *Torcula apicalis* (Heilprin, 1886). 1, PRI 108264, FL-85, 48.4 mm. 2, UF 181433, FL-62, 41.0 mm. 3, protoconch, UF 181402, FL-19. Scale bar is 0.5 mm. 4, holotype, *Turritella apicalis buckinghamensis*, USNM 497966, FL-21, 40.4 mm. 5, holotype, *Turritella apicalis*, WFI 982, FL-26, 43.2 mm. 6, holotype, *T. apicalis tensa*, USNM 113461, FL-18, 67.0 mm.

Table 8. Measurements of type and other specimens of *Torcula apicalis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. apicalis</i>	ANSP 18880	hypotype	27.7	7	15.3°	-
<i>T. apicalis</i>	WFI 982	holotype	43.2	10.5	14.9°	24.7°
<i>T. apicalis</i>	PRI 108264	non-type	48.4	10.9	13.0°	11.5°

Remarks.—Coding character states for *T. apicalis* is difficult, partly because of the wide range of variation present. Dall (1892, p. 316) noted that his illustrations “represent only extremes; the gradations between which are extant and may be examined in the collection; but which I could hardly try to figure *in extenso*.” He proposed no less than four varieties but insisted that they represented the extremes of a continuous spectrum of morphological characters. Our own observations of *T. apicalis* agree with those of Dall, but we also suggest that some of the variation is explained by progressive dissolution and abrasion of the outer shell layers, flattening the appearance of major cords, effectively decreasing suture depth, and distorting whorl profile.

The largest of the six ANSP hypotypes never displays a B cord. The holotype of *T. apicalis* figured by Olsson and Harbison (1953) clearly shows a beaded B cord, only slightly weaker than the A and C cords, so we find the presence of the

B cord is important to the identification of this species.

The holotype of *T. cingulata* (WFI 16932) appears to belong to “*Turritella gladeensis* and the description by Dall (who puts it under *apicalis*) does not match *apicalis* because A is equal to or lesser than the strength of other minor cords. In comparing *T. apicalis* hypotypes with specimens formerly assigned to *T. cingulata* and *T. apicalis cingulata*, we find the A cord is prominent in *T. apicalis*, but not in *cingulata*.

In describing *T. buckinghamensis*, Mansfield (1939, p. 47) suggested that it is related to *T. apicalis tensa* and *T. burdenii*. The holotype for *T. buckinghamensis* is a very incomplete and recrystallized specimen partially embedded in matrix, and we are unable to match any other supposed subsequent instances of *T. buckinghamensis* to the holotype. There are similarities between the type of *T. buckinghamensis* and *T. apicalis tensa*, including equally strong C and A/B cords. There are, however, differences: the A and B cords of *T. tensa* are clearly defined

and separate. In *T. buckinghamensis*, the A and B cords coalesce to form a thick double cord. *Turritella buckinghamensis* was described as beaded (like *T. tensa*) but the holotype specimen does not show clear beading on any of the cords.

There is a single specimen of *Turritella apicalis* in the UF collection (UF 222282) that may be from the Ft. Thompson Fm., but this specimen was not collected in situ, and we consider its age uncertain. Excluding this specimen, the latest known occurrence of the species is Bermont Fm.

Torcula clarksvillensis (Mansfield, 1930)

Text-figs. 7.2, 21.1, 21.2, Table 9

Turritella cookei clarksvillensis Mansfield, 1930: p. 108, pl. 15, fig. 9.

Type material.—Holotype USNM 370355.

Other material.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 9.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Choctawhatchee Fm. (Late Miocene); Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—USGS station 8862, half a mile northeast of Clarksville, Calhoun County, Florida.

Other localities.—FL-06, FL-14, FL-31 to FL-33, FL-41, FL-57, and FL-69.

Description.—Medium shell, pleural angle of 13.9°, apical angle unknown. Protoconch unknown. Whorls have 2 very prominent primary cords (likely A and C) which are heavily beaded. Beads are node-like and shinier than the whorl wall. The posterior primary cord may be doubled, the subsutural one is weaker. Suture medium. Basal sinus type 3, lateral sinus shallow, single inflection point on bottom, apex in middle. Growth lines prosocline. Aperture shape subquadrate

Remarks.—The only specimens we have observed indicate *T. clarksvillensis* is the smallest of all the forms previously considered subspecies of *T. cookei* and it is unclear why it was associated with *T. cookei* to begin with other than that both *cookei* and *clarksvillensis* lack a B cord. In the latter, however, the A and C cords are heavily beaded, almost nodose.

Torcula cookei (Mansfield, 1930)

Text-figs. 7.3, 21.3–21.5, Table 10

Turritella cookei Mansfield, 1930: p. 107, pl. 16, figs. 1, 10.

Turritella cookei harveyensis Mansfield, 1930: p. 107, pl. 16, figs. 2, 4.

Turritella alaquaeensis Mansfield, 1935: p. 42, pl. 4, figs. 3, 7.

Turritella alaquaeensis vaughanensis Mansfield, 1935: p. 42, pl. 4, fig. 8.

Turritella cookei permenteri Mansfield, 1935: p. 43, pl. 4, figs. 4, 6.

Type material.—Holotype, USNM 370345; paratype, USNM 370346; holotype, *T. cookei harveyensis*, USNM 370352; two syntypes, *T. cookei permenteri*, USNM 373154 (Mansfield 1935); two syntypes, *T. alaquaeensis* USNM 373152; holotype, *T. alaquaeensis vaughanensis*, USNM 373153.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 10.


Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Choctawhatchee Fm. (Late Miocene); Tamiami Fm., Ochopee Limestone (Pliocene); Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene).

Type locality.—USGS station 3421, Harveys Creek, half a mile above abandoned mill, Leon County, Florida. Type locality of *T. cookei harveyensis* is USGS station 10946, Harveys Creek, half a mile above abandoned mill, Leon County, Florida.

Other localities.—FL-14, FL-17, FL-19, FL-34, FL-35, FL-41, FL-50, FL-55, FL-60, and FL-69.

Description.—Medium-sized shell with pleural angle of 15.2°. On protoconch, cord C appears by second whorl to create a keeled shape. Around whorl 4–5, A appears. Apical sculpture formula C1A2. In adult specimen, a wide median sulcus with several spiral threads separate A and C cords, creating a slightly concave whorl profile. Both major cords equally removed from the upper and lower sutures of the whorls. C is slightly more prominent than A. Both cords obliquely beaded. Obscurely beaded, minor cord a appears in fifth whorl from aperture on holotype. Overhanging the suture is a D cord that becomes more prominent with age. Basal sinus type 5; lateral sinus of one inflection point on bottom, lower middle apex; growth line prosocline. Aperture shape subquadrate with flat basement; columellar growth margin prominent.

Remarks.—Mansfield's description of *T. harveyensis* largely matches that of *T. cookei*. But *T. harveyensis* does not match the holotype specimen in that the specimen has five spiral cords (includes A' and D) and Mansfield says it has four spiral



Text-fig. 21. *Torcula clarksvillensis* (Mansfield, 1930) and *Torcula cookei* (Mansfield, 1930). 1, holotype of *Turritella cookei clarksvillensis*, USNM 370355, FL-57, 39.6 mm. 2, *T. clarksvillensis*, UF 221559, FL-69, 42.0 mm. 3, holotype of *Turritella cookei*, USNM 370345, FL-05, 84.0 mm. 4, holotype of *Turritella cookei harveyensis* (Mansfield, 1930), USNM 370352, FL-05, 56.8 mm. 5, protoconch of *T. cookei*, PRI 104744, FL-03, scale bar = 0.5 mm.

Table 9. Measurements of the holotype of *Torcula clarksvillensis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	USNM 370355	holotype	39.6	9.9	13.9°	-

Table 10. Measurements of the holotype of *Torcula cookei*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. cookei</i>	USNM 370345	holotype	84.0	18.9	15.9°	11.7°

cords (includes the A' but excludes D). Mansfield's account of the strength of the cords does not match the specimen either. "This subspecies differs from *T. cookei* in having more spirals. In *T. cookei* the medial area has only three to four fine spirals, whereas *T. cookei harveyensis* is centrally ornamented by a rather strong spiral" (Mansfield, 1930, p. 108).


The hypotype of *T. harveyensis* looks more like *T. gladeensis* than *T. cookei* because both *harveyensis* and *gladeensis* have equal number of cords, the same pattern of cord strength, the same whorl profile, and the same aperture shape. We superimposed photos of both, and the apical angle looks similar but whorl expansion rate (Raup, 1966) *w* is higher in *T. gladeensis* than *T. harveyensis*.

Both *T. harveyensis* and *T. cookei*'s strongest cords are A and C. However, *T. cookei* does not have B; whorl profile of *T. cookei* is more convex and the sutures are more indented than in *T. harveyensis*.

Comparing *T. permenteri* to *T. cookei*, the latter has a B cord, while no type specimen of the former has this. *Turritella permenteri* also has more strongly raised cords and a more prominent A'. Mansfield (1935) compared *T. permenteri* and *T. harveyensis*, but *T. harveyensis* has five weak cords (compared to four) and a different whorl profile.

***Torcula perattenuata* (Heilprin, 1886)**
Text-figs. 7.4, 22, Table 11

Turritella perattenuata Heilprin, 1886: p. 88, pl. 8, fig. 13.
Turritella perattenuata Heilprin. Dall, 1892: p. 316, pl. 16, figs. 5, 9.
Turritella perattenuata undula. Dall, 1892: p. 316.
Turritella perattenuata obsoleta. Dall, 1892: p. 316.
Protoma (Bactrospira) perattenuata (Heilprin). Cossmann, 1912: pp. 129, 130, pl. 8, figs. 8, 9.



Text-fig. 22. *Torcula perattenuata* (Heilprin, 1886). 1, hypotype (Olsson and Harbison, 1953), ANSP 550, FL-75, 72.1 mm. 2, holotype as figured by Heilprin (1886), WFI 33612, 43.4 mm. 3, hypotype, USNM 113459, FL-75, 43.5 mm. 4, Heilprin's specimen found at WFI, presumed to be from type locality, 48.0 mm. 5, protoconch from USNM 113459, FL-75, scale bar = 1 mm. 6, holotype of *T. perattenuata undula*, USNM 113458, FL-18, 40.2 mm. 7, holotype of *T. perattenuata obsoleta*, USNM 113455, FL-18, 41.0 mm. 8, PRI 104749, FL-66, 67.8 mm. 9, UF 181701, FL-46, 81.5 mm.

Table 11. Measurements of specimens of *Torcula perattenuata*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	USNM 347417a	non-type	112.3	13.9	6.4°	-
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	USNM 347417b	non-type	38.8	5.1	6.9°	10°
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	USNM 347417c	non-type	49	6.1	7.4°	-
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	USNM 113456	hypotype	85.8	11.5	6.6°	-
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	ANSP 550	hypotype	72.1	7.5	6.1°	-
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	ANSP 550	hypotype	54.3	7.2	7.3°	-
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332449	non-type	80.8	16	12.3°	14°
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332446	non-type	68.8	13.9	11.6°	13.6°

Not *Turritella perattenuata praecellens* Heilprin. Pilsbry and Brown, 1917: p. 36, pl. 5, fig. 12.

Turritella perattenuata (subgenus *Torcula*, section *Bactrospira*) Heilprin. Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 316, pl. 44, figs. 4, 4a–c.

Turritella (*Bactrospira*) *perattenuata* Heilprin. DuBar, 1958: p. 204, pl. 12, fig. 9.

Bactrospira perattenuata (Heilprin). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. O. *Bactrospira obsoleta* (Heilprin). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. Q.

Type material.—Neotype (herein designated), WFI 33612; hypotypes (Dall, 1892), USNM 113459; hypotypes (eight; Olsson and Harbison, 1953), ANSP 550; hypotypes (39; Olsson and Harbison, 1953), ANSP 17378; hypotype, USNM MO 113456 (Olsson, 1967); hypotype, USNM MO 371319 (Olsson, 1967); hypotype, USNM 347416, 347417 (Olsson, 1967); holotype, *T. perattenuata obsoleta* USNM 113455; holotype *T. undula*, USNM 113458.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 11.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene) and Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Banks of the Caloosahatchee River below Fort Thompson, Hendry County, Florida.

Other localities.—FL-03, FL-07, FL-12, FL-17, FL-20 (*T. perattenuata undula* type locality), FL-25, FL-36 to FL-49, FL-65, FL-67, FL-70 to FL-73.

Description.—Large, slender shell. Pleural angle 8.8°, apical angle 7.5°. Apical sculpture formula C1A2. Protoconch is one whorl before becoming keeled with the appearance of C. By third whorl, cord A appears, gradually growing more prominent, changing the whorl profile to hypercampanulate. No B cord is present. Whorl profile of adult shell is concave. Beading appears on all cords. One or two s cords are inserted between A and C, the top one being more prominent. Some specimens may have a beaded r cord just above A. Sutures deeply incised, appearing more so because of prominent shoulders. Basal sinus type 4, lateral sinus has single inflection point on bottom; apex in upper middle. Growth lines prosocline, particularly visible on largest, most basal whorl. Aperture shape rounded square, columellar growth margin present. Basal surface irregular.

Remarks.—As Heilprin noted, “this shell can at once be distinguished by its extremely elongated or attenuated outline, surpassing in this character all other forms of the genus”

(1886, p. 88); the longest non-composite specimen we have seen in museum collections is PRI 45394 (103.5 mm), which has a reconstructed total length (using methods of Johnson et al., 2017) of approximately 147 mm. The degree to which the whorl surface is ornamented by very fine spiral threads is variable. Dall referred to specimens lacking these threads on the middle sulcus as either the “*obsoleta*” or the “*undula*” varieties. The A and C cords of the *obsoleta* variety are faintly beaded, but obliquely beaded in the “*undula*” variety.

We encountered several composite specimens (e.g., PRI 104749) of *T. perattenuata* in museum collections, the longest measuring 20.3 cm with a maximum diameter of only 13.5 mm (WFI 33612). The only specimen of *T. perattenuata* in the WFI collection (WFI 33612) labeled as “Type” does not agree with the specimen figured by Heilprin (1886) (see Text-fig. 22.2, 22.4). Heilprin’s figured specimen is a composite of at least two individuals, and is presumed lost. We herein designate WFI 33612 as the neotype. We do not believe these reconstructed specimens accurately reflect the maximum size of this species because the foot of the snail would have been unrealistically large (in order to drag such a long, heavy shell in the sand behind it) for such a restricted aperture.


The proportion of shared characters lead us to agree with Dall (1892, p. 316) that *T. perattenuata*’s closest living relative is likely *Torcula exoleta*. *Torcula perattenuata* appears to grade into *T. exoleta* rather than the two overlapping stratigraphically.

Genus “*TURRITELLA*” Lamarck, 1799

Original description.—“Coq. Turriculée; l’ouverture arrondie, entiere, mais ayant un sinus au bord droit” (Shell turriculate; aperture round, entire, possessing a sinus at the outer edge) (Lamarck, 1799, p. 74).

Subsequent description.—“The lateral and basal parts of the outer lip combine to form one wide shallow sinus, sweeping back from the adapical suture and crossing the base in a straight line to the columella where it is slightly withdrawn The primary spirals appear in the unusual order B-A-C, and a secondary (soon rivaling B) starts between A and B before C begins. On the neanic whorls, the lateral sinus is narrowly rounded, high on the whorl, and has a small growth-line angle... As already noted, this is a primitive kind of sinus widespread in the Cretaceous and early Tertiary” (Marwick, 1957, p. 161).

Remarks.—Where species cannot be confidently assigned to a turritellid genus, we follow the convention of naming them to “*Turritella*” *sensu lato* (e.g., Allmon, 1996; DeVries, 2007; Anderson and Allmon, 2020), in part because we are cautious in assigning species to genera with no synapomorphies, but



Text-fig. 23. “*Turritella*” *alticostata* Conrad, 1834. 1, lectotype, ANSP 81702, VA-09, 19.1 mm. 2, UF 299729, VA-23, 38.3 mm. 3, protoconch, PRI 104731, NC-14, scale bar = 1 mm. 4, UF 329907, VA-06, 55.9 mm. 5, holotype, *Turritella beaufortensis* Ward and Blackwelder, 1987, USNM 204038, NC-01, 29.5 mm. 6, syntype, ANSP 30592, VA-09, 24.7 mm.

Table 12. Measurements of specimens of “*Turritella*” *alticostata*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 299729	non-type	38.3	10.5	15.6°	17.5°
<i>T. beaufortensis</i>	USNM 204038	holotype	29.5	8.6	11.4°	23°

also because we want to emphasize we do not believe these are closely related to *Turritella* s.s. (i.e., *sensu* Marwick, 1957), which is biogeographically implausible for the Americas, being restricted to the tropical Indo-Pacific.

“*Turritella*” *alticostata* Conrad, 1834

Text-figs. 8.1, 23, Table 12

Turritella alticostata Conrad, 1834: p. 144.

Turritella alticostata. Conrad, 1863: p. 567.

Not *Turritella variabilis* var. *alticostata* Martin, 1904: p. 237, pl. 57, fig. 2.

Turritella alticosta [sic] Conrad. Cooke, 1936: p. 125.

Turritella alticostata Conrad. Mansfield, 1943: pp. 9–11.

Turritella alticosta [sic] Conrad. Richards, 1947: p. 29.

Turritella alticostata Conrad. Gardner, 1948: p.196, pl. 27, fig. 17.

Turritella beaufortensis Ward and Blackwelder, 1987: p.167, pl. 35, figs. 1, 2.

Turritella alticostata Conrad. Campbell, 1993: p. 63, pl. 29, figs. 288, 288a.

Turritella beaufortensis Ward and Blackwelder. Campbell, 1993: p. 63.

Torcula alticostata (Conrad). Petuch, 2004: p. 148, pl. 46, fig. K.

Type material.—Lectotype (designated by Campbell, 1993), ANSP 81702; syntypes (4; ANSP 30592); hypotype, *T. alticostata variabilis*, USNM 325455 (Gardner, 1948); holotype, *T. beaufortensis*, USNM 204038 (Ward and Blackwelder, 1987); hypotype, USNM 403441 (Campbell, 1993).

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 12.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene); Chowan River Fm. (Early Pleistocene). North Carolina: Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene); Chowan River Fm. (Early Pleistocene). South Carolina: Waccamaw Fm. (Early Pleistocene). Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest Beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type Locality.—James River, Virginia (VA-09).

Other localities.—VA-01 to VA-03, VA-05 to VA-10, VA-13, VA-15, VA-23 to VA-34, NC-01 to NC-02, NC-08, SC-01, and FL-62.

Original description.—“Shell much elongated, subulate, whorls twelve to fourteen, each profoundly carinated near the base, and with prominent spiral striæ.” (Conrad, 1834, p. 144).

Revised description.—Small shell, pleural angle 14.9°, apical angle 21.4°. Round, bulbous protoconch; 2.5 smooth whorls, keeled for 1 whorl before the B cord begins to overhang the anterior half of whorl with A cord arising soon thereafter. Apical sculpture formula B1A2. Early teleoconch whorls concave, adult whorls basally carinate (campanulate). Order of adult cord prominence B>A. Three or four spiral threadlets between A and B. Cords D, C, and B closely grouped on the anterior half of the whorl. D slightly overhangs a shallow suture. Basal sinus type 3; lateral sinus single inflection point on bottom, middle apex. Growth line prosocline, aperture shape is subquadrate. Columellar growth margin is absent.

Remarks.—In naming *T. alticostata*, Conrad reported it from James River, Virginia and Choptank River, Maryland. As noted by Campbell (1993, p. 63), however, Conrad's syntypes were labeled only “Virginia”, thus excluding the specimen from the Choptank Fm. (which is not exposed in Virginia) figured by Martin (1904) (which in any case we doubt to be the same species as the remaining syntypes). In choosing a lectotype, Campbell (1993) correctly dismissed the other four syntypes but misidentified them as *T. terstriata*. Campbell's hypotype of *T. beaufortensis* has flat-sided whorls as a juvenile which become subquadrate as an adult. The hypotype is missing a B cord. Sutures are less indented in the hypotype than in the holotype. The largest hypotype appears to be *T. alumensis* with cords tightly spaced at the anterior half of each whorl.

Ward and Blackwelder (1987) named *T. beaufortensis* from the Chowan River Fm. We synonymize it with *T. alticostata* because the holotype of *T. beaufortensis* resembles the lectotype of *T. alticostata* in having deep sutures, subquadrate aperture shape, and a whorl profile dominated by strong A and B cords, B being strongest.

Mansfield (1928; 1943, p. 7, 8) divided the Yorktown Fm. into two biostratigraphic zones: Zone 1, or the *Pecten clintonius* zone, below, and Zone 2, or the *Turritella alticostata* zone, above. Ward and Blackwelder (1980) proposed four member names for the Yorktown Fm. in Virginia that encompass Mansfield's zones: the lowermost Sunken Meadow Member corresponds to Zone 1, and overlying Morgarts Beach, Rushmere, and Moore House members correspond to Zone 2 (*Turritella alticostata* zone) (see Dowsett et al., 2021, and Text-fig. 1). Synonymizing *T. beaufortensis* means *T. alticostata* is no longer restricted to the upper Yorktown Fm.

Antil (1974) reported finding embryonic shells inside a specimen of *T. alticostata* from what was probably the Yorktown Fm. at Rice's Pit in Hampton, Virginia (Table 3).

***“Turritella” fluxionalis* Rogers and Rogers, 1837**
Text-figs. 8.2, 24, Table 13

Turritella fluxionalis Rogers and Rogers, 1837: p. 319, pls. 26, 27.

Turritella duplinensis Gardner and Aldrich, 1919: p. 41, pl. 2, fig. 4.

Turritella duplinensis Gardner and Aldrich. Mansfield, 1930: p. 108, pl. 15, fig. 7.

?*Turculoidella duplinensis* (Gardner and Aldrich). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. Q.

Type material.—Holotype, *T. duplinensis*, USNM 499110; paratype, *T. duplinensis*, ANSP 60461; hypotype, *T. duplinensis* (Mansfield, 1930), USNM 370359; holotype, *T. fluxionalis* lost (fide Campbell, 1993); hypotype of *T. fluxionalis* (Campbell, 1993), USNM 403443.


Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 13.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: upper (Zone 2) Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene); Duplin Fm. (Pliocene); South Carolina: Raysor Fm. (Pliocene); Duplin Fm. (Pliocene); Bear Bluff Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Waccamaw Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Georgia: Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest Beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Williamsburg, Virginia (Rogers and Rogers, 1839).

Other localities.—VA-04, VA-08, VA-33, NC-03, NC-10 to NC-11, SC-02, SC-05 to SC-06, SC-09 to SC-10, GA-01 to GA-02, GA-04, FL-01, FL-05, FL-11, and FL-42.



Text-fig. 24. “*Turritella*” *fluxionalis* Rogers and Rogers, 1837. 1, holotype, *Turritella duplinensis*, USNM 499110, NC-15, 23.5 mm. 2, hypotype (Mansfield, 1930), *Turritella duplinensis*, USNM 370359, FL-05, 27.7 mm. 3, protoconch, PRI 104736, NC-10, scale bar = 0.5 mm. 4, 5, UF 329965, SC-05, 37.6 mm.

Table 13. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *fluxionalis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. duplinensis</i>	USNM 370359	hypotype	27.7	7.5	12.7°	27.2°
<i>T. duplinensis</i>	USNM 499110	holotype	23.5	6.2	13.6°	20.1°
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 329965	non-type	37.6	8.9	10.8°	23.1°
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	USNM 403443	hypotype	28.4	6.8	14.4°	20.5°

Description.—Small-medium shell, pleural angle of 15°, apical angle 21°. Smooth protoconch for 2.25 whorls. Apical structural formula C1B2A3A'4. Nepionic whorls keeled, adult whorls flat sided. Order of adult cord prominence $C > A, A', B$. Delicate threads separate the major cords; approximately two spiral threads below C, six between C and B; three between B and A; two to three threads between A and A'; three to four threads above A'. Basal sinus type 3, lateral sinus has no inflection points with the apex on the lower half. Growth lines prosocline. Aperture rounded, basement slightly convex. Basal surface is lineated, normal columella.

Remarks.—Campbell argued that *T. fluxionalis*, thoroughly described by Rogers and Rogers (1837), is synonymous with *T. duplinensis*. We agree, and therefore *T. fluxionalis* takes precedence. *Turritella fluxionalis* has a convex whorl profile, while *T. duplinensis* has a straight-sided whorl profile, but the order, location, distance, of primary cords are identical.

Gardner and Aldrich (1919: p. 41) suggested that *T. duplinensis* was similar to *T. carolinensis*, which as they noted was “vaguely described and badly figured by Conrad in 1875,” with no locality given and no existing type specimens. Campbell (1993) likewise suggested it is a synonym of *T. duplinensis*. We consider *T. carolinensis* a *nomen nudum*.

“*Turritella*” *gladeensis* Mansfield, 1931

Text-figs. 8.3, 25, Table 14

Turritella cingulata Heilprin, 1886: p. 89, pl. 8, fig. 15; not of Sowerby, I (1825), Hisinger (1831), or Hupe (1854).

Turritella apicalis var. *cingulata* Heilprin. Dall, 1892: p.317, pl. 16, fig. 11.

Turritella cookei gladeensis Mansfield, 1931: p. 7, pl. 2, figs. 1–3.

Turritella gladeensis (subgenus *Torcula*, section *Apicula*) Mansfield. Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 315, pl. 44, figs. 6, 6a, pl. 60, fig. 8.

Apicula gladeensis (Mansfield). Petuch, 1994: p. 68, pl. 12, fig. H; 2004, p. 175, pl. 56, fig. G.


Turritella gladeensis Mansfield. Allmon et al., 1995: p. 78, fig. 3.

Type material.—Holotype, USNM 371337; paratypes (two, Mansfield, 1931), USNM 371338; hypotypes (Olsson and Harbison, 1953), ANSP 19312; hypotype, *T. apicalis cingulata*, USNM 113468.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 14.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrence.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene).



Text-fig. 25. “*Turritella*” *gladeensis* Mansfield, 1931. 1, holotype, *T. cookei gladeensis*, USNM 371337, FL-12, 56.8 mm. 2, hypotype, *T. apicalis cingulata* Dall, 1892, USNM 113468, FL-20, 34.5 mm. 3, protoconch, PRI 104729, FL-33, scale bar = 1 mm. 4, PRI 41862, FL-33, 50.3 mm. 5, paratype, *T. cookei gladeensis*, USNM 371338, FL-12, 56.9 mm.

Table 14. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *gladeensis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	PRI 41862	non-type	50.3	12	14.5°	10.3°
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	USNM 371338	paratype	56.9	20.1	19.2°	13.9°
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	USNM 113468	hypotype	34.5	8	11.3°	13.9°
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	USNM 371337	holotype	56.8	16.1	22°	13.6°

Type locality.—Tamiami Trail, 42 miles west of Miami, Miami-Dade County, FL (Mansfield, 1931).


Other localities.—FL-03, FL-10, FL-12, FL-13, FL-17, FL-29 to FL-30, FL-41, FL-64, FL-65, and FL-67.

Description.—Medium shell with a pleural angle of 19.8° and an apical angle of 17.7°. Protoconch of 2 whorls. Apical sculpture formula C1A2B3. Beading appears early (whorl 5 or 6). At apex, whorl profile is keeled, as individual grows, profile becomes more concave with A, C, and D cords controlling the shape. Posterior whorls become relatively flat-sided. Final whorl displays incongruent allometry. Sculpture of anterior whorls is controlled by a strong C cord. Above are approximately 4 smaller cords of equal strength; below is a slight concave groove leading to D, which is about the strength of the cords above C. All cords strongly beaded. Adult body

whorl changes severely, becoming very convex and sometimes uncoiling slightly; all cords become less prominent, with no beading. Basal sinus type 5; lateral sinus has inflection point on bottom with apex in middle; growth line is prosocline. Aperture circular, columellar growth margin acute. Basal surface unlineated, without hollow newel.

Remarks.—The species was first figured by Heilprin (1886) but received an invalid specific name, as *cingulata* was preoccupied. Dall (1892) did not recognize it as unique and erroneously demoted *cingulata* to one of many subspecies of *apicalis*. We confidently place both Heilprin’s and Dall’s specimens in *T. gladeensis*.

This species is unique in that the adult body whorl differs from the preceding whorls in being inflated and round while the spire is flat-sided (with cords being the only positive relief). It is possible that this feature represents sexual maturity, and



Text-fig. 26. “*Turritella*” *jacula* Gardner, 1947. 1, holotype, USNM 498021, FL-24, Walton County, Florida, 34.7 mm. 2–4, UF 268119, SC-05, 51.9 mm.

Table 15. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *jacula*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. jacula</i>	USNM 498021	holotype	34.7	11	16.1°	-
<i>T. jacula</i>	UF 268119	non-type	51.9	15.1	18.8°	-

possibly determinate growth, which is not otherwise known in turritellids.

“*Turritella*” *jacula* Gardner, 1947
Text-figs. 8.4, 26, Table 15

Turritella (*Torcula*?) *jacula* Gardner, 1947: p. 596, pl. 57, fig. 6.

Type material.—Holotype, USNM 498021.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 2.


Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—South Carolina: Raysor Fm. (Pliocene); Bear Bluff Fm. (Late Pleistocene). Florida: Shoal River Fm. (Middle Miocene), Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—USGS 10603, “Gully south of the road and east

of the bridge over Whites Creek, on road from Eucheeanna to Knox Hill, 6.7 miles south of Argyle, 1.7 miles southeast of Eucheeanna, Walton County, Florida” (Gardner, 1947: p. 596) (=FL-24).

Other localities.—SC-05, SC-09, SC-13, FL-25, and FL-26.

Description.—Shell size medium, with a pleural angle of 16.4°. Protoconch unknown. The earliest whorl of the holotype is triliriate, the anterior most cord close to the suture. The B cord is slightly anterior to whorl midline and lightly beaded. A cord within the adapical third of the whorl. Minor cords r and s are inserted later in ontogeny. Adult whorls of the holotype have four beaded primary cords, arranged as two pairs separated by a concave sulcus. The posterior cord of each pair is slightly more prominent. Entire surface of whorl may be finely threaded. Basal sinus type 2; lateral sinus in abapical half of whorl with a single inflection point in adapical half of whorl; growth lines prosocline. Aperture shape is subquadrate. Columellar growth margin prominent. Basal surface lineated.



Text-fig. 27. “*Turritella*” *miamiensis* (Petuch, 1994). 1, 2, holotype, UF 66198, FL-03, 42.9 mm. 3, broken apex of holotype, UF 66198, FL-03. 4, 5, UF 332572, FL-03, 40.8 mm.

Table 16. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *miamiensis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 66198	holotype	42.9	12.5	18.4°	15.9°
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332568	non-type	41.5	11.4	17.2°	15.7°

Remarks.—The range of variation is large; the posterior pair of beaded cords may be less prominent than the anterior pair.

“*Turritella*” *miamiensis* (Petuch, 1994)
Text-figs. 8.5, 27, Table 16

Apicula miamiensis Petuch, 1994: p. 255, pl. 12, fig. F.

Type material.—Holotype, UF 66198.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 16.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrence.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Ochopee Limestone (Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Lakes of the Meadows, Bird Road, Miami-Dade County, FL (Petuch, 1994).

Other localities.—FL-03, FL-16, FL-17.


Original description.—“Shell average size for genus, with rounded, turritid whorls; sutures deeply impressed, producing overhanging whorls; whorls ornamented with 2 wide, rounded spiral cords, spiral cords of early whorls heavily

sculptured with large, rounded beads; beaded cords on last 2 whorls break down into 6 thin, nearly obsolete spiral threads, thin spiral thread present between large beaded cord on early whorls; base of shell smooth; aperture circular.” (Petuch, 1994, p. 255). Apical structural formula C1A2. Protoconch unknown.

Remarks.—Suture is wider and deeper than most of the other species mentioned here. Numerous specimens other than the holotype of this species have been identified in UF collections (Appendix 2).

“*Turritella*” *perexilis* Conrad, 1875
Text-figs. 9.1, 28, Table 17

- Turritella perexilis* Conrad, 1875: p. 22, pl. 4, fig. 9.
Turritella subannulata Heilprin, 1886: p. 89, pl. 8, fig. 17.
Turritella (*Torcula*) *acropora* Dall, 1889: p. 264.
Turritella subannulata var. *subannulata* Heilprin. Dall, 1892: p. 314, pl. 16, fig. 2.
Turritella subannulata var. *acropora* Dall, 1892: p. 315, pl. 16, fig. 4.
Turritella subannulata var. *intermedia* Dall, 1892: p. 316, pl. 16, fig. 3.
Turritella subannulata var. *perincisa* Dall, 1892: p. 316, pl. 16, fig. 1.
Turritella subannulata var. *Burnsii* Dall, 1892: p. 315.
Torcula acropora Dall. Maury, 1922: pp. 134, 135.



Text-fig. 28. “*Turritella*” *perexilis* Conrad 1875. 1, *T. subannulata acropora*, hypotype, USNM 113444, FL-18, 20.1 mm. 2, hypotype, USNM 204041, NC-05, 19.2 mm. 3, *T. acropora*, syntype, MCZM 7452, FL-27, 16.7 mm. 4, protoconch, PRI 104734, NC-09, scale bar = 1 mm. 5, *T. subannulata acropora*, USNM 113445, FL-37, 25.3 mm. 6, *T. subannulata*, hypotype, ANSP 18263, FL-23, 33.0 mm. 7, *T. subannulata*, holotype, WFI 17281, NC-05, 24.1 mm. 8, *T. acropora*, syntype, MCZM 7453, FL-27, 19.4 mm.

Table 17. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *perexilis*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. perexilis</i>	USNM 204040	hypotype	23.5	6	12.1°	20.9°
<i>T. perexilis</i>	USNM 204041	hypotype	19.2	5.5	16.9°	20.6°
<i>T. acropora</i>	MCZM 7452	syntype	16.7	6.0	17.3°	25.1°
<i>T. subannulata</i>	WFI 17281	holotype	24.1	6.3	13.2°	22.5°

Turritella subannulata jacksonensis Mansfield, 1930: p. 109, pl. 15, fig. 8.
Turritella subannulata ochlockoneënsis Mansfield, 1930: p. 109, pl. 16, fig. 3.
Turritella subannulata leonensis Mansfield, 1935: p. 43.

Turritella (*Eichwaldiella*) *subannulata* Heilprin. Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 312, pl. 44, fig. 8.
Turritella subannulata acropora Dall. Perry and Schwengel, 1955: p. 135, pl. 25, fig. 181.
Turritella (*Eichwaldiella*) *subannulata* Heilprin. DuBar, 1958: p. 203, pl. 12, fig. 13.

Turritella acropora Dall. Abbott, 1974: p. 95, fig. 904.
Turritella perexilis Conrad. Ward and Blackwelder, 1987: p. 167, pl. 35, fig. 3, 4.
Torculoidella ochlockoneensis (Mansfield). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. I.
Torculoidella burnsi [sic] (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. J.
Torculoidella subannulata (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. L.
Torculoidella perincisa (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. M.
Torculoidella acropora (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. P.
Torculoidella intermedia (Dall). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. S.
Turritella acropora Dall. Rosenberg et al., 2009: p. 628.

Type material.—Holotype, *T. perexilis* (Conrad, 1873/1875) unknown; hypotypes of *T. perexilis* (Ward and Blackwelder, 1987), USNM 204040, 204041; syntypes, *T. acropora*, MCZM 7452 (five specimens), 7453 (three specimens), 7454 (one specimen); holotype, *T. subannulata*, WFI 17281; holotype, *T. subannulata acropora*, USNM 112344; hypotype (Dall, 1892), USNM 113444; plesiotypes? (Schuchert et al., 1905), *T. subannulata* USNM 112342; hypotypes, *T. subannulata*, ANSP 18263, 18878 (Olsson and Harbison, 1953); holotype, *T. subannulata leonensis*, USNM 370362 (formerly called *T. subannulata jacksonensis* by Mansfield, 1930); holotype, *T. subannulata* var. *burnsii*, USNM 113446; holotype, *T. subannulata intermedia*, USNM 113451; holotype, *T. subannulata ochlockoneensis*, USNM 370363; holotype, *T. subannulata perincisa*, USNM 113450; syntypes (12), *T. subannulata burnsi*, USNM 113446 (listed as “cotypes” by Schuchert et al., 1905).

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 17.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—North Carolina: Chowan River Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Waccamaw Fm. (Early Pleistocene). South Carolina: Goose Creek Fm., Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene); Canepatch Fm. (Middle Pleistocene); Chowan River Fm. (Early Pleistocene). Georgia: Duplin/Raysor (Pliocene). Florida: Tamiami Fm., Ochopee Limestone (Pliocene); Jackson Bluff Fm. (Late Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Nashua Fm. (Early Pleistocene); Bermont Fm. (Early Pleistocene).

Type locality of T. acropora.—Recent; Banana River, Fort Denaud, Hendry County, Florida (FL-28).

Type locality of T. perexilis.—Wilmington, North Carolina (Conrad, 1875).

Other localities.—NC-01, NC-03, NC-05, NC-06, NC-09, NC-12, SC-05, SC-06, SC-08, SC-11, SC-12, GA-01, GA-


02, GA-04, GA-05, FL-01, FL-03, FL-04, FL-07, FL-17, FL-19, FL-20, FL-25, FL-27 to FL-30, FL-36, FL-38, FL-40, FL-41, FL-43, FL-46, FL-50, FL-55, FL-56, FL-64, FL-70 to FL-73, FL-75, and FL-79. Recent, western Atlantic: North Carolina to Texas; Yucatan to the Antilles.

Original description.—“Shell slender, volutions convex, 3 acute prominent revolving ribs on each, a prominent line below the suture, and fine revolving lines between the ribs; obscure, oblique longitudinal plications which subintercalate the ribs; sutural area deeply impressed” (Conrad, 1875, p. 22).

Revised description.—Small shell with a pleural angle of 14.7° and an apical angle of 25°. Protoconch has 2 smooth whorls followed by the rapid appearance of numerous spirals. Apical sculpture formula B1C2A3. On the first sculptured whorl, A, B, and C are equally strong, surrounded by a number of small threads. Between whorl 3 and whorl 4, intercalated beaded threads appear between the main cords, A' arises, and A and C recede dramatically. On the 5th whorl, undulation begins. In adulthood, the shape is keeled. Adult cord prominence B > C > A. Below C there are 1–2 spiral threads; there is a canal between C and B with 1–3 spiral threads; between B and A there are 1–2 spiral threads; above A there are 2–3 spiral threads in addition to A'. Undulations/plications are strong and consistent above the midline on all specimens. Basal sinus is type 3; lateral sinus is faint. Suture shallow. Aperture shape subquadrate with flat basement; columellar growth margin prominent. Basal surface lineated, normal columella.

Remarks.—The most conspicuous feature shared by all *T. perexilis* varieties, including some *T. acropora*, are axial undulations (called “longitudinal waves” by Dall (1889, p. 265)).

Dall (1892, pp. 314, 315) placed his modern species *T. acropora* as a variety of Heilprin's fossil species *T. subannulata* and figured Heilprin's hypotype (USNM 113444) for the fossil form. Dall stated: “This is a very remarkable species, which has been collected in such abundance as to throw a flood of light on the variations which may occur in a single species under essentially similar conditions, while the same species exhibits such an inflexibility of constitution as to persist, practically unchanged, for such an immense period as implied by the interval between the deposition of the old Miocene Chipola beds and the present epoch. The figures on Plate 16 represent extremes which no one who did not possess intermediate gradations would for a moment hesitate to regard as ‘good species,’ ...” (1892, p. 314) and “Here we have a form which is not distinguishable by any marked characters from the recent one described by the writer in 1889... as *T. (Torcula) acropora*.”



Text-fig. 29. “*Turritella*” *pontoni* Mansfield, 1931. 1, holotype, USNM 371335, FL-12, 65.7 mm. 2, protoconch, PRI 104729, FL-10, scale bar = 0.5 mm. 3, hypotype (Olsson, 1967), USNM 347411, FL-12, 80.8 mm. 4, paratype, USNM 371336, FL-12, 51.2 mm.

Table 18. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *pontoni*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. pontoni</i>	USNM 371335	holotype	65.7	20.2	19°	-
<i>T. pontoni</i>	USNM 347411	hypotype	80.8	23.9	18°	28.5°
<i>T. pontoni</i>	USNM 371336	paratype	51.2	17.1	19.7°	-

We agree with Ward and Blackwelder (1987) and synonymize *T. perexilis* and *T. subannulata*. We also agree with Dall and synonymize *acropora* and *perexilis*.

“*Turritella*” *pontoni* Mansfield, 1931
Text-figs. 9.3, 29, Table 18

Turritella pontoni Mansfield, 1931: pp. 6, 7, pl. 2, figs. 4, 5, 7.
Turritella cf. *T. pontoni* Mansfield, 1939: p. 47, pl. 1, figs. 3, 8.
 ?*Turritella* aff. *T. cartagenensis* Mansfield, 1939: p. 46, pl. 1, figs. 2, 12.
Turritella (*Eichwaldiella*) *pontoni* Mansfield. Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 311, pl. 30, figs. 9a, b; pl. 44, fig. 7.
Turritella pontoni Mansfield. Olsson, 1967: p. 38, pl. 3, fig. 6.
Eichwaldiella pontoni (Mansfield). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, figs. A, B.

Type material.—Holotype, USNM MO 371335; paratype USNM MO 371336; hypotypes (three), ANSP 19311 (Olsson and Harbison, 1953); hypotypes, USNM MO 497964 and 497965 (Mansfield, 1939); hypotype, USNM PAL 347411 (Olsson, 1967).

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 18.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Ochopee Limestone (Pliocene); Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Nashua Fm. (Early Pleistocene).

Type locality.—USGS station 12082, Tamiami Trail, 42 miles west of Miami, Monroe County, FL (Mansfield, 1931).

Other localities.—Pinecrest Sand, Tamiami Fm. (Mansfield, 1939; Olsson and Harbison, 1953; Petuch, 1994); “Buckingham marls” (Olsson and Harbison, 1953). FL-07, FL-12, FL-15, FL-17, FL-25, FL-30, FL-39, FL-41, FL-46, FL-48 to FL-50, FL-54, FL-56, FL-58, FL-59, FL-61 to FL-64, and FL-79.

Description.—Large shell; pleural angle 20.1°, apical angle 22.7°. Protoconch of 1.5 smooth, convex whorls. Apical sculpture formula C1B2A3. The C cord defines keeled shape in nepionic whorls; in adult whorls, B recedes while D becomes the most prominent. Adult whorl profile frustate, still retains slight curvature of B cord. Seven cords interspaced with between 14 and 18 threads. Cords relatively smooth, rather than raised. Basal sinus type unknown, lateral sinus has no inflection points; apex in lower half; growth line inclination prosocline. Aperture shape rounded square. Basal surface lineated.

Remarks.—Olsson (1967, p. 38) calls *T. pontoni* “the common *Turritella* at Pinecrest.” Mansfield (1931) suggested that the species is related to *T. gatunensis* (Miocene of Panama). *Turritella pontoni* is one of the larger species discussed here (along with *C. mansfieldi*).

“*Turritella*” *pilsbryi* Gardner, 1928

Text-figs. 9.2, 30, Table 19

Turritella pilsbryi Gardner, 1928: p. 561, fig. 1.

Turritella pilsbryi. Gardner, 1948: p. 196, pl. 27, figs. 11, 25.

Turritella pilsbryi Gardner. Palmer, 1958: pp. 210–213, figs. 1–3.

Not *Torcula pilsbryi* (Gardner). Petuch, 1994: pl. 13, fig. G.

Type material.—Holotype, *T. pilsbryi*, USNM 325457; hypotypes (three; Palmer, 1958), PRI 25176, PRI 25177, PRI 25178.

Other material examined.—PRI 8936, PRI 8937, PRI 25368, PRI 45410. See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 19.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Schmidt’s Bluff, Zone 1 of Yorktown Fm., 26 to 34 feet above the base of the bluff (Gardner, 1928, p. 562).

Other localities.—VA-11, VA-12, VA-26, VA-35, and FL-65.

Description.—Large shell with pleural angle of 10.6° and apical

angle of 23.5°. Apical sculpture formula C1B2A2. Protoconch smooth, first half whorl slightly offset from the normal plane of coiling. C appears on first whorl, immediately begins migrating proportionally downwards as 2 major spiral cords are inserted above it. In the adult form, four major cords are equally prominent; whorl profile convex. The sulcus between D and C is wider than between any other cords (which are evenly spaced) but it decreases as the shell grows; A, B, and C descend proportionally down whorl as the individual grows. Threadlets exist between and on top of major chords in the most basal whorls; occasionally larger threads in between major chords. Space between A and suture increases with growth, obscure threadlets too numerous to count that become more obscure in body whorl. Suture remains slightly incised until body whorl, where it deepens dramatically. Basal sinus is type 4; lateral sinus has single inflection point on bottom with apex in lower middle; growth lines prosocline, sometimes appear so strongly on later whorls as to make cords appear beaded. Aperture shape is rounded; internal lirae possible but rare; columellar growth margin is present.

Remarks.—Internal lirae (Text-fig. 30.5) were observed only in a few specimens, and were not observed in any other Plio-Pleistocene–Recent turritellids from Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain. Harzhauser and Landau (2019) first described lirae in a number of Miocene Paratethyan turritellid species, but—like us—did not find this feature to be of taxonomic value.

Gardner (1948) and Palmer (1958) reported finding numerous embryonic shells inside specimens of *T. pilsbryi* from the Yorktown Fm. in Virginia (Table 3), implying brooding development.

We disagree with Campbell (1993), who identified *T. bipertita* Conrad, 1844 from the Yorktown Fm. at Petersburg, Virginia as being synonymous with *T. aluminensis* and *T. pilsbryi*. The holotype of *T. bipertita* is missing (Moore, 1962) but was figured by Conrad (1868). We consider *T. bipertita* a *nomen nudum*.

“*Turritella*” *seminole* (Petuch, 1994)


Text-figs. 9.4, 31

Apicula seminole Petuch, 1994: pp. 255, 256, pl. 13, fig. c.

Type material.—Holotype, UF 66197.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrence.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene).



Text-fig. 30. “*Turritella*” *pilsbryi* Gardner, 1928. 1, holotype, USNM 325457, VA-11, 115.5 mm. 2, hypotype (Palmer, 1958), PRI 25177, VA-12, larval shell found within the specimen as described in Palmer (1958), scale bar = 1 mm. 3–6, UF 332447, FL-65, 119.2 mm. 5, view inside aperture. 6, basal view.


Table 19. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *pilsbryi*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	USNM 325457	holotype	115.5	24	9.4°	12.2°
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	UF 332447	non-type	119.2	19.8	7.8°	-


Type locality.—Lakes of the Meadows, 147th and Bird Road, Miami-Dade County, FL (Petuch, 1994).

Other localities.—FL-29, FL-33, FL-82, and FL-83.

Description.—Medium-sized shell with pleural angle of 14.3° and apical angle of 12.7°. Apical sculpture formula C1B3A2. Smooth protoconch of 1.5 whorls immediately followed by appearance of the C cord, followed by the A cord after two additional whorls. A weak B cord appears soon after A. Numerous very fine spiral threads present between spiral cords. Juvenile whorl profile keeled; adult whorl profile flat sided. Suture very shallow. Raised growth lines produce beaded cords. Lateral sinus has no inflection points, apex in middle of whorl; growth lines prosocline. Aperture shape is square; basement is flat; adapical base smooth.



Text-fig. 31. “*Turritella*” *seminole* Petuch 1994. Holotype and its protoconch (scale bar = 1 mm), UF 66197, FL-03, 45.0 mm. Scale bar = 1.0 mm.



Text-fig. 32. “*Turritella*” *virginica* Campbell, 1993. 1, holotype, USNM 403442, VA-01, 18.5 mm. 2, protoconch of PRI 104752, SC-11. 3–5, UF 330229, VA-19, 31.1 mm.

Table 20. Measurements of the holotype of “*Turritella*” *virginica*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. virginica</i>	USNM 403442	holotype	18.5	5.7	16.3°	22.4°

“*Turritella*” *virginica* Campbell, 1993
Text-figs. 9.5, 32, Table 20

Turritella virginica Campbell, 1993: p. 63, pl. 29, fig. 290.
Turritella virginica. Campbell and Campbell, 1995: p. 175.
Not *Archimediella virginica* of Petuch, 1994, p. 66[68], pl. 13,
fig. T.

Type material.—Holotype, USNM 403442.

Other material examined.—UF 330228, UF 330229, UF 330230. See Appendix 2.

Measurements.—See Table 20.


Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Virginia: Yorktown Fm., Chowan River Fm. (Pliocene). North Carolina: Duplin Fm. (Pliocene); Yorktown Fm. (Pliocene). Georgia: Duplin/Raysor Fm. (Pliocene). Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Crane Lake, formerly the Lone Star Cement Company pit, Chuckatuck, City of Suffolk, Virginia (VA-23) (Campbell, 1993).

Other localities.—VA-05, VA-19, NC-02, NC-03, NC-13, GA-01, GA-02, GA-04, GA-05, and FL-03.

Description.—Shell of small to medium size, pleural angle of 15.6°, apical angle 20.9°. Nuclear whorls rounded, smooth; nuclear suture very narrow and shallow. Cord sculpture incipient on volutive fourth whorl. Apical sculpture formula C1B3A2. Sculpture of rather regular, even spiral cords, lower cord somewhat stronger on each whorl. Adult whorl profile subquadrate. Suture broadly indented, forming a very low, even “v”-shaped notch. Basal sinus unknown. Lateral sinus prosocline, simple concave, with apex in middle of whorl. Aperture shape round; basement convex. Basal surface smooth, normal columella.

Remarks.—According to Campbell (1993), exceptional specimens preserve the color pattern of rectangular dots arranged in axial rows. *Turritella virginica* from Chuckatuck consistently showed this same pattern under ultraviolet light, but *T. alticostata* from those same beds showed no fluorescent pattern.



Text-fig. 33. “*Turritella*” *wagneriana* Olsson and Harbison, 1953. 1, holotype, ANSP 556, FL-37, 72.6 mm. 2, paratype, ANSP 79272, FL-37, 55.0 mm. 3, UF 181622, FL-81, 50.4 mm. 4, protoconch, PRI 108263, FL-84, scale bar = 0.5 mm.

Table 21. Measurements of type and other specimens of “*Turritella*” *wagneriana*.

Taxon	Catalog no.	Type status	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Pleural angle	Apical angle
<i>T. wagneriana</i>	UF 332447	non-type	122.7	19.6	7.5	-
<i>T. wagneriana</i>	ANSP 79272	paratype	55.0	10.6	10	9.4

“*Turritella*” *wagneriana* Olsson and Harbison, 1953
Text-figs. 9.6, 33, Table 21

Turritella (*Torcula*) *wagneriana* Olsson and Harbison, 1953: p. 313, pl. 44, figs. 1, 1a, 1b.

Apicula wagneriana (Olsson and Harbison). Petuch, 1994: pl. 12, fig. N.

Type material.—Holotype, ANSP 566 (Olsson and Harbison 1953); paratype, ANSP 79272.

Other material examined.—See Appendix 2.

Measurements.— See Table 21.

Stratigraphic and geographic occurrences.—Florida: Tamiami Fm., Pinecrest beds (Plio-Pleistocene); Caloosahatchee Fm. (Early Pleistocene).

Type locality.—Shell Creek, Charlotte County, Florida (Olsson and Harbison, 1953).

Other localities.—FL-10, FL-18, FL-40, FL-41, FL-66, FL-71, FL-72, and FL-81.

Description.—Large shell with a pleural angle of 10.5° and apical angle of 13.2°. Smooth protoconch of 1.5 whorls. Apical sculpture formula is C1B3A2. Whorl profile is concave in juveniles and as adults primarily flat sided to subquadrate. A and C are the most prominent cords throughout the individual’s life, and the B cord becomes more prominent with growth. In adult individuals, three beaded main cords are equally as strong, separated by concave sulci of similar strength. A beaded ‘r’ cord sits immediately above the A cord and some specimens show a fine ‘s’ thread between the A and B cords. Medium-shallow suture. Basal sinus is type 4, lateral sinus prosocline, simple concave with an apex midwhorl. Aperture shape is rectangular, basement convex and nonlineated. Columellar growth margin is acute, normal columella.

Remarks.—When naming the new species *Turritella wagneriana*, Olsson and Harbison (1953) noted that many

specimens of this form had been previously identified as *Turritella tensa* Dall, 1892, but did not agree with Dall's figure (Olsson and Harbison, 1953, p. 314).

Juvenile specimens of *T. wagneriana* may be confused with *T. apicalis*. Three cords of *T. wagneriana* are more closely spaced and located mid-whorl, whereas major cords A and C of *T. apicalis* are closer to the top and bottom margins of the whorl.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

Because many morphological features of turritellid shells are known to be highly variable and homoplasious across time and geography (Kotaka, 1978; Allmon, 1992b; Beu, 2010; Anderson, 2018), the uncritical application of morphological cladistic techniques across all species considered together is highly problematic. However, by restricting analyses to groups of species which we already believe are likely to be clades due to unique shared features (be they protoconch morphology, unusual spiral sculpture order of appearance, etc.), the risk of repeated evolution of a character state resulting in incorrect phylogenetic inference is reduced (Anderson, 2018).

Two separate parsimony analyses were run for *Caviturritella* and *Torcula* including Pliocene to Recent members of each clade, identified based on the genus-defining characters described above. We analyzed each independently, as molecular studies have indicated deep divergence between these two clades, with species assigned herein to *Caviturritella* more closely related to the Indian Ocean/tropical western Pacific *Turritella sensu stricto* than *Torcula* (Lieberman et al., 1993; Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019). Further, we anticipated high potential for homoplasy in many teleoconch characters across the family as a whole.

TAXA ANALYZED

Plio-Pleistocene to Recent species referred to *Caviturritella* above based on hollow newel morphology and biogeography, but for which protoconch data were not available, were not included in this analysis (*C. broderipiana* and *C. abrupta*). "*Turritella*" *nodulosa* King, 1832 (year fide Coan et al., 2011) was selected as the outgroup for *Caviturritella* (Text-fig. 34) and "*Turritella*" *consersa* Adams and Reeve, 1849, and *Maoricolpus roseus* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1834) were selected as outgroups to *Torcula* (Text-fig. 35). These taxa were chosen based on close relationships with their respective clades found in molecular analyses of Anderson (2018) and Sang et al. (2019). *Vermicularia* was found to be sister to *Torcula* in molecular analyses (Anderson, 2018), but due to its unusual uncoiled morphology *Vermicularia* was not selected as the sole outgroup. Instead, three species representing a range of

morphologies found in *Vermicularia* were included in the analysis with *Torcula*.

CHARACTERS AND CHARACTER STATES

We expanded character and character state descriptions beyond previous analyses via detailed comparative examination of shell features. In total, 33 characters were identified, including a combination of qualitative and quantitative characters (Appendix 3). Quantitative characters were measured for coding using the program ImageJ. A variety of means for coding characters exist, and we have followed the precepts and principles presented by Wiley and Lieberman (2011) for identifying hypotheses of homologies and coding them. No explicit models of character weighting were used, but different morphological systems varied in the number of characters they contained, conferring de facto weighting. Further, some characters may display more homoplasy than others; thus, different characters may provide varying degrees of phylogenetic resolution.

Of the 33 morphological characters coded for the morphological analysis, three refer to the protoconch; three to the apical sculpture; five to the growth line sinus morphology; two to whorl profile; two to angles of growth; seven to cord morphology; two to the suture; four to the aperture/growth margin; two to axial ornaments; one to size; one to the columella; and two respecting uncoiling. Protoconch diameter, order of appearance of spiral ribs A, B, and C, lateral sinus depth, lateral angle, lateral sinus apex location, pleural angle, apical angle, size class, aperture shape, suture depth, and the average whorl number of uncoiling were treated as ordered characters in phylogenetic analysis, all others were unordered. Characters and their states are presented in Appendix 3.


ANALYSES

A parsimony analysis was implemented using the morphological character matrices in Appendices 4 and 5 in PAUP 4.0 build 168 (Swofford, 2003). Characters were set to equal weighting, with uninformative characters excluded (e.g., the hollow newel character state was uniformly "absent" in the analysis of the *Torcula* tree and was therefore excluded from that analysis). An exhaustive search was performed for each matrix with all other settings left as defaults. Trees were visualized on FigTree v. 1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2016).

RESULTS

Caviturritella

Analysis of the *Caviturritella* matrix resulted in a single most parsimonious tree (length 79; CI 0.620; RI 0.464 ; RC 0.288; Text-fig. 36). The earliest diverging clade consists of *C. terstriata* and *C. etiwaniensis* which are united by small



Text-fig. 34. Species included in the phylogeny of *Caviturritella* that are not from the Plio-Pleistocene of Florida or the Atlantic coastal plain. 1, *Caviturritella gonostoma* (Valenciennes, 1832), PRI 104787, tropical eastern Pacific, 116.6 mm. 2, the outgroup species “*Turritella*” *nodulosa* King, 1832, LACM 34-128.13, Bahia Culebra, Costa Rica, 44.2 mm. 3, *Caviturritella variegata* (Linnaeus 1758), PRI 104784, Puerto La Cruz, Venezuela, 77.0 mm. 4, *Caviturritella banksii* (Gray in Reeve, 1849), PRI 104780, Ecuador, 31.0 mm. 5, *Caviturritella leucostoma* (Valenciennes, 1832), PRI 68077, Boca de Soledad, Mexico, 107.8 mm.

protoconch diameter, circular aperture shape and earlier appearance of primary spiral A after *Caviturritella magnasulcus* branches off from the remaining *Caviturritella* species. The extant eastern Pacific species *C. gonostoma* and *C. leucostoma* form a clade sister to a clade consisting of the extant species *C. variegata* and *C. banksii* along with the extinct species *C. alumensis* and *C. mansfieldi*, with *C. alumensis* as sister to the remaining three species and *C. variegata* + *C. banksii* forming a clade. The sister relationship between *C. variegata* (occurring along the northern coast of South America) and *C. banksii* (occurring in the tropical eastern Pacific, including Panama) is biogeographically plausible but also implies a divergence time for this node no later than the closure of the Central American Seaway (ca. 3.5 Ma) (O’dea et al., 2016). The relationship among extant *banksii*, *gonostoma*, and *leucostoma* is consistent with recent molecular analysis (Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019).


Torcula

Analysis of the *Torcula* matrix resulted in six equally parsimonious trees (tree length of 89; CI 0.742; RI 0.709,


RC 0.526). A strict consensus tree recovers a monophyletic *Vermicularia* sister to a monophyletic *Torcula*, with *Torcula apicalis* sister to all other species. The strict consensus tree also unites three Recent species (*T. exoleta*, *T. radula*, and *T. clarionensis*) with the Pleistocene species *T. perattenuata*, but does not resolve the relationships among *T. perattenuata*, *T. exoleta*, and the clade of *T. clarionensis* + *T. radula* (Text-fig. 37). As *T. clarionensis* and *T. radula* are both extant tropical eastern Pacific species, it is most biogeographically plausible to presume that *T. perattenuata* and *T. exoleta* are more closely related to one another. The observed range of variation in *T. perattenuata* and lack of stratigraphic overlap suggest that *T. exoleta* may be its direct descendant. The closest relative of this clade is either *T. cookei* or *T. clarksvillensis*, with equal support.

DISCUSSION

Of the 46 previously named Plio-Pleistocene and two Recent species and subspecies from the Atlantic coastal plain and Florida, we find that that 20 fossil and 2 Recent species should be considered valid species (Table 1), a “synonymy rate” (Gaston and Mound, 1993; Solow et al., 1995) of



Text-fig. 35. Species included in the phylogeny of *Torcula* that are not from the Plio-Pleistocene of Florida or the Atlantic coastal plain. 1, *Torcula exoleta* (Linnaeus, 1758), USNM MO 1197262, Trinidad and Tobago (Sarah Klontz, Creative Commons CC0 public domain license, <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>), 53.2 mm. 2, *Torcula clarionensis* (Hertlein and Strong, 1951) LACM 34-10.4, Isla Clarion, Mexico, 52.3 mm. 3, *Torcula radula* (Kiener, 1843), LACM 72.55-15, Pacific coastal waters, Costa Rica, 51.8 mm. 4, *Vermicularia lumbricalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (= *Vermicularia knorrii* Deshayes, 1843), LACM 91751, Lee County, Florida, 52.4 mm. 5, *Vermicularia milleti*† (Deshayes, 1850), UF 230914, Miocene, France, 18.7 mm. 6, *Vermicularia pellucida* (Broderip and Sowerby, 1829), LACM 70-3, Nayarit, Mexico, 33.6 mm. 7, the outgroup species *Maoricolpus roseus* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1834), PRI 104792, Akaroa, New Zealand, 48.4 mm. 8, the outgroup species “*Turritella*” *conspersa* Adams and Reeve, 1849, PRI 104782, Dakar, Senegal, 38.5 mm. This diverse set of outgroup species to *Torcula* was included in our analysis because the closest relatives of *Torcula*, the *Vermicularia*, exhibit highly unusual morphologies for turrillids.



Text-fig. 36. Phylogenetic relationships among fossil (marked with †) and extant *Caviturritella*. “*Turritella*” *nodulosa* is used as an outgroup. This was the single most parsimonious tree generated from a maximum parsimony analysis of morphological characters conducted using PAUP* 4.0 build 168 (Swofford, 2003).

44.7%. This falls between the synonymy rates found in two other recent revisions of fossil turritellid faunas: 35% for the Paleocene and Eocene of the U.S. Gulf and Atlantic coastal plains (Allmon, 1996) and 78% for the Miocene of the European Paratethys (Harzhauser and Landau, 2019).


We recognize five names as *nomen nuda*. The majority of these are the result of a lack of type material for species named by Tuomey and Holmes (1855–1857). Only one of their species was deemed valid, *Caviturritella etiwansensis*, due to its unique morphology. Tuomey and Holmes’ species *Terebellum burdenii*, *Terebellum striatum*, and *Turritella exaltata* are not described sufficiently nor figured clearly enough to provide a stable basis for identification of any lectotypes or neotypes.

This study represents an important addition to our understanding of Atlantic coastal plain Plio-Pleistocene turritellid diversity by including fossils from Georgia. Florida species were previously treated by Conrad (1868, et seq.), Dall (1892, 1903), Gardner (1928), and Mansfield (1930), Olsson and Harbison (1953), and others; Virginia species by Conrad (1834, 1844), Gardner (1948), and Campbell (1993); North Carolina species by Conrad (1875), Gardner (1948), and Ward and Blackwelder (1987); and South Carolina species by Tuomey and Holmes (1856) and Gardner and Aldrich (1919). Specimens from Georgia, however, have never been discussed in the paleontological literature. We can do so here due to the efforts of collector Mr. Chet Kirby, without whose generous donation of samples to the Florida Museum of Natural History, Georgia occurrences would have remained unaddressed. Turritellids identified in sampled material from Georgia include *T. fluxionalis*, *T. perexilis*, *C. etiwansensis*, and

T. virginica, all of which were found in the Pliocene-aged Duplin or Raysor fms.

Most of the species considered valid here occur in the Pinecrest beds, meaning that this unit contains 19 co-occurring turritellid species, which is the highest turritellid species diversity in one formation known in the fossil record (Text-fig. 38). How or why so many species of the group managed to co-occur in this deposit is unclear and should be the topic of future research, but may be related to high primary productivity (Allmon, 1992a, 1993). The subsequent reduction in turritellid diversity throughout the tropical western Atlantic has been linked to a widespread shift to lower nutrient conditions (likely related to both the closure of the Central American Seaway altering circulation/upwelling and changing nutrient delivery via runoff due to modifications to the hydrologic cycle) and the expansion of hardground habitats (Allmon, 1992a, 2001; Collins, 1996; Todd et al., 2002; O’Dea et al. 2016; Anderson et al., 2017; Grossman et al., 2019; Sang et al., 2019; Scholz et al. 2020; Anderson and Allmon, 2020). It is also notable that each of the three extant non-*Vermicularia* turritellid species in the western Atlantic appears to represent a different clade, with *Caviturritella* extirpated from the US Gulf and Atlantic coasts but *C. variegata* remaining in northern South America, principally where upwelling still occurs (Leigh et al., 2014; Lessios, 2008).

There remain a significant number of species assigned to *Turritella s.l.* in our analysis, but this study demonstrates that, at least within a basin or biogeographic province where there are unusual morphological features or derived spiral




Text-fig. 37. Phylogenetic relationships among fossil (marked with †) and extant *Torcula*. Representatives of the *Vermicularia* are included as they were found to be the closest relatives of *Torcula* in recent molecular analyses (Anderson, 2018); however, as these have an extremely unusual morphology for turritellines, two additional regularly coiled turritelline species were included as outgroup taxa: “*Turritella*” *conspersa* and *Maoricolpus roseus*. This represents the strict consensus of six equally parsimonious trees generated from a maximum parsimony analysis of morphological characters conducted using PAUP* 4.0 build 168 (Swofford, 2003).

ornament ontogenies, it is possible to identify turritellid genera. The historically identified clade *Torcula* (when applied consistently based on defining shell characters described in this paper) was recoverable in previous molecular analyses (Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019), and—conversely—the extant members of *Cavitturritella* were found to belong to a clade prior to the identification of the hollow newel state as a clade morphological apomorphy (Anderson, 2018; Sang et al., 2019).

Within clades circumscribed by (*Cavitturritella* and *Torcula* + *Vermicularia* herein), it is thus possible to perform intelligible species-level phylogenetic analyses including fossils (Text-figs. 36–38). As turritellids are among the most abundant marine macrofossils of the Cenozoic, this suggests that careful analysis of the fossil species found in additional regions and time periods may provide substantial future opportunities for phylogenetically-informed macroevolutionary and paleoecological analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to B. Hussein (AMNH), K. Estes-Smargiassi (ANSP), A. Kollar (CM), J. Cundiff and A. Rivera (MCZ), M. Florence and J. Nakano (USNM), G. Dietl, L. Skibinski, and V. Wang (PRI), and S. Glassman (WFI) for access to collections. Thanks to S. Roberts (FLMNH) and Lilian Gunderson (SUNY Plattsburgh/PRI) for assistance with photography, E. Sessa for discussion, and M. Harzhauser, T. DeVries, and J. Hendricks for comments on previous drafts that substantially improved the manuscript. We are especially grateful to C. Kirby for the donation of specimens from Georgia. This project has been supported in part by: NSF grants EAR 1925627 and 1053517 to WDA; NSF collection improvement grants DBI 1458151, DBI 1055588, and DBI 0645865 to RWP; NSF DEB 2225014 to J. Hendricks (supporting BMA); and the Donors to the Director’s Discretionary Fund at the Paleontological Research Institution.



Text-fig. 38. Stratigraphic ranges of species of “*Turritella*,” *Torcula*, and *Caviturritella* from the Plio–Pleistocene of Florida and the Atlantic coastal plain. Bold vertical lines represent stratigraphic ranges known from the fossil record. The latter two genera are shown in their phylogenetic context as reconstructed in our analyses. *Torcula perattenuata* and *T. exoleta* were coded as separate species and our analysis assessed the two as closely related (see Text-fig. 37). We consider the extant *T. exoleta* as likely to have descended anagenetically from *T. perattenuata* and present them as a single lineage here. Note that “*Turritella*” *perexilis* Conrad, 1875 is the valid name for the extant “*Turritella*” *acropora* Dall, 1889.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abbott, R. T. 1974. *American seashells*. Second edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 663 pp.
- Adams, A., and L. A. Reeve. 1849 [1850]. Mollusca [in] Adams A. (ed.), *The zoology of the voyage of H. M. S. Samarang under the command of Captain Sir Edward Belcher, C. B., F. R. A. S., F. G. S., during the years 1843–1846*. Reeve, Benham & Reeve: London. Parts I–III.
- Allmon, W. D. 1988. Ecology of living turritelline gastropods (Prosobranchia, Turritellidae): Current knowledge and paleontological implications. *Palaos*, 3: 259–284.
- Allmon, W. D. 1992a. Role of nutrients and temperature in extinction of turritelline gastropods in the northwestern Atlantic and northeastern Pacific. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 92: 41–54.
- Allmon, W. D. 1992b. Genera in paleontology: Definition and significance. *Historical Biology*, 6: 149–158.
- Allmon, W. D. 1993. Age, environment and mode of deposition of the densely fossiliferous Pinecrest Sand (Pliocene of Florida): Implications for the role of biological productivity in shell bed formation. *Palaos*, 8: 183–201.
- Allmon, W. D. 1996. Evolution and systematics of Cenozoic American Turritellidae (Gastropoda). I. Paleocene and Eocene species related to “*Turritella mortonii* Conrad” and “*Turritella humerosa* Conrad” from the U.S. Gulf and Atlantic coastal plains. *Palaeontographica Americana*, 59, 134 pp., 14 pls.
- Allmon, W. D. 2001. Nutrients, temperature, disturbance, and evolution: a model for the Late Cenozoic marine record of the Western Atlantic. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 166: 9–26.

- Allmon, W. D. 2005. Review of: "Cenozoic seas. The view from eastern North America", by Edward J. Petuch. *Palaios*, 20: 208–209.
- Allmon, W. D. 2007. Cretaceous marine nutrients, greenhouse carbonates, and the abundance of turritelline gastropods. *Journal of Geology*, 115: 509–524.
- Allmon, W. D. 2011a. Natural history of turritelline gastropods (Cerithioidea: Turritellidae): a status report. *Malacologia*, 54: 159–202.
- Allmon, W. D. 2011b. Review of: "Molluscan paleontology of the Chesapeake Miocene" by Edward J. Petuch and Mardie Drolshagen. *Palaios* (doi: 10.2110/palo.2011.BR64).
- Allmon, W. D. 2016. Studying species in the fossil record: A review and recommendations for a more unified approach. Pp. 59–120, in: *Species and Speciation in the Fossil Record*, W. D. Allmon and M. M. Jacobucci (eds.), University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Allmon, W. D., D. S. Jones, and N. Vaughan. 1992. Observations on the biology of *Turritella gonostoma* Valenciennes (Prosobranchia: Turritellidae) from the Gulf of California. *The Veliger*, 35: 52–63.
- Allmon, W. D., M. P. Spizuco, and D. S. Jones. 1995. Taphonomy and paleoenvironment of two turritellid-gastropod-rich beds, Pliocene of Florida. *Lethaia*, 28: 75–84.
- Allmon, W. D., G. Rosenberg, R. Portell, and K. Schindler. 1996. Diversity of Pliocene-Recent mollusks in the western Atlantic: Extinction, origination and environmental change. Pp. 271–302, in: *Evolution and environment in Tropical America*, J. B. C. Jackson, A. G. Coates and A. F. Budd (eds.), University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Anderson, B. M. 2018. The evolution of unusual shell morphologies in fossil and living Turritellidae (Gastropoda). Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 222 pp.
- Anderson, B. M., and W. D. Allmon. 2020. High calcification rates and inferred metabolic trade-offs in the largest turritellid gastropod, *Turritella abrupta* (Neogene). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 544: 109623.
- Anderson, B. M., A. Hendy, E. H. Johnson, and W. D. Allmon. 2017. Paleoecology and paleoenvironmental implications of turritelline gastropod-dominated assemblages from the Gatun Formation (Upper Miocene) of Panama. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 470: 132–146.
- Antill, J. 1974. Another fossil ovoviparous *Turritella*. *The Nautilus*, 88: 67.
- Anton, H. E. 1838. *Verzeichniss der Conchylien*. Halle, published by the author, 110 p.
- Baird, W. 1870 Description of a new genus and species of shells from the Whydah, of the west coast of Africa, with some remarks on the genus *Proto* of DeFrance. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1870: 59–61.
- Barry, J. O., and R. J. LeBlanc. 1942. Lower Eocene faunal units of Louisiana. *Louisiana Geological Survey Bulletin*, 23: 1–208.
- Beu, A. G. 2010. Marine Mollusca of isotope stages of the last 2 million years in New Zealand. Part 3. Gastropoda (Vetigastropoda – Littorinimorpha). *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 40: 59–180.
- Broderip, W. J., and G. B. Sowerby I. 1829. Observations on new or interesting Mollusca contained, for the most part, in the Museum of the Zoological Society. *The Zoological Journal*, 4: 359–379.
- Brooks, H. K. 1982. Geologic map of Florida. Center for Environmental and Natural Resources, University of Florida.
- Brown, A. P., and H. A. Pilsbry. 1911. Fauna of the Gatun Formation, Isthmus of Panama. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 63: 336–373.
- Bruguière, J. -G. 1798. *Encyclopédie méthodique, ou par ordre de matières; par une société de gens de lettres, de savants et d'artistes*. Précédée d'un Vocabulaire universel, servant de table pour tout l'ouvrage, ornée des portraits de MM. Diderot et d'Alembert, premiers editeurs de l'Encyclopédie. Vol. 64 (21): pls 287–390.
- Burns, F. 1899. Viviparous Miocene Turritellidae. *The Nautilus*, 13: 68–69.
- Campbell, L. D. 1993. Pliocene molluscs from the Yorktown and Chowan River Formations in Virginia. *Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Publication*, 127: 259 pp.
- Campbell, L. D., and M. R. Campbell. 1995. Preliminary biostratigraphy and molluscan fauna of the Goose Creek Limestone of eastern South Carolina. *Tulane Studies in Geology and Paleontology*, 27: 53–100.
- Castelin, M., J. Lorion, J. Brisset, C. Cruaud, P. Maestrati, J. Utge, and S. Samadi. 2012. Speciation patterns in gastropods with long-lived larvae from deep-sea seamounts. *Molecular Ecology*, 21: 4828–4853.
- Charles, C. 1977. Variabilité de *Turritella communis* en relation avec l'environnement. *Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches de Paleontologie biostratigraphique. Notes et contributions*, 14: 1–111.
- Claremont, M., G. J. Vermeij, S. T. Williams, and D. G. Reid. 2013. Global phylogeny and new classification of the Rapaninae (Gastropoda: Muricidae), dominant molluscan predators on tropical rocky seashores. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 66: 91–102.
- Coan, E. V., R. E. Petit, and D. G. Zelaya. 2011. Authorship and date of a key South American paper by Phillip P. King (1832). *The Nautilus*, 5: 332–349.
- Collins, L. 1996. Environmental changes in Caribbean shallow waters relative to the closing tropical American seaway. Pp. 130–167, in: *Evolution and Environment in Tropical America*, J. B. C. Jackson, A. F. Budd, and A. G. Coates (eds.), University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Conrad, T. A. 1834. Observations on the Tertiary and more recent formations of a portion of the southern states: Descriptions of new Tertiary fossils from the southern states. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences Philadelphia*, 7: 116–157.
- Conrad, T. A. 1844. Descriptions of nineteen species of Tertiary fossils of Virginia and North Carolina. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences Philadelphia*, 1: 323–329.
- Conrad, T. A. 1863. Catalogue of the Miocene shells of the Atlantic slope. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences Philadelphia*, 14: 559–582.
- Conrad, T. A. 1868. Descriptions of new genera and species of Miocene shells, with notes on other fossil and recent species. *American Journal of Conchology*, 3: 257–270.

- Conrad, T. A. 1875 [1873]. Descriptions of new genera and species of fossil shells of North Carolina, in the state cabinet at Raleigh. Pp. 1–18, in: *Report of the Geological Survey of North Carolina*, W. C. Kerr (ed.) [Appendix issued 1873, in advance of report, fide Moore, 1962].
- Cooke, C. W. 1936. Geology of the coastal plain of South Carolina. *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin*, 867: 196 pp.
- Cooke, C. W. 1945. Geology of Florida. *Florida Geological Survey Bulletin*, 29: 339 pp.
- Cossmann, A. E. M. 1912. *Essais de Paléoconchologie comparée*, livr. 9. Privately published, Paris, 215 pp., 10 pl.
- Cox, L. R. 1960. Thoughts on the classification of the Gastropoda. *Proceedings of the Malacological Society of London*, 33: 239–261.
- Cuvier, G.L.C.F.D. 1795a. Memoire sur la structure interne et externe, et sur les affinités des animaux auxquels on a donne le nom de vers. *La Decade Philosophique. Litteraire et Politique*, 5: 385–396. (Dated 30 May 1795).
- Cuvier, G. L. C. F. D. 1795b. Second memoire sur l'organisation et les rapports des animaux a sang blanc, dans lequel on traite de la structure des Mollusques et de leur division en ordre. *Magazin Encyclopédique, ou Journal des Sciences, des Lettres et des Arts*, 2: 433–449.
- Dall, W. H. 1889. Reports on the results of dredging, under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, in the Gulf of Mexico (1877–78) and in the Caribbean Sea (1879–80), by the U.S. Coast Survey steamer “Blake,” Lieutenant-Commander C. D. Sigsbee, U.S.N., and commander J. R. Bartlett, U.S.N., Commanding. XXIX, Report on the Mollusca. Part II, Gastropoda and Scaphopoda. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology*, 18: 1–492.
- Dall, W. H. 1892. Contributions to the Tertiary fauna of Florida, with special reference to the Miocene Silex-beds of Tampa and the Pliocene beds of the Caloosahatchee River. *Transactions of the Wagner Free Institute of Science, Philadelphia*, 3(2): 201–473.
- Dall, W. H. 1903. Contributions to the Tertiary fauna of Florida, with special reference to the Miocene Silex-beds of Tampa and the Pliocene beds of the Caloosahatchee River. *Transactions of the Wagner Free Institute of Science, Philadelphia*, 3(6): 1219–1654.
- Das, S. S., S. Saha, S. Bardhan, S. Mallick, and W. D. Allmon. 2018. The oldest turritelline gastropods from the Oxfordian (upper Jurassic) of Kutch, India. *Journal of Paleontology*, 92: 373–387.
- Deshayes, G. P. 1843. Histoire naturelle des animaux sans vertèbres, présentant les caractères généraux et particuliers de ces animaux, leur distribution, leurs classes, leurs familles, leurs genres, et la citation des principales espèces qui s'y rapportent, par J. B. P. A. de Lamarck, in: Milne-Edwards, H. (ed.), *Histoire des Mollusques*. 2nd ed. Baillière, Paris, 728 pp.
- Deshayes, G. P. 1850. *Traité élémentaire de conchyliologie: avec les applications de cette science à la géologie*. Masson, Paris: pp. 129–824, explanation of plates: pp. 25–48.
- DeVries, T. J. 2007. Cenozoic Turritellidae (Gastropoda) from southern Peru. *Journal of Paleontology*, 81: 331–351.
- Dietl, G. P., G. S. Herbert, and G. J. Vermeij. 2004. Reduced competition and altered feeding behavior among marine snails after a mass extinction. *Science*, 306: 2229–2231.
- Donovan, D. T. 1996. Origins of the terms Cephalopod, Cephalopoda and Gastropoda. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*, 53: 47.
- d'Orbigny, A. D. (1834–1847). *Voyage dans l'Amérique méridionale (le Brésil, la république orientale de l'Uruguay, la République argentine, la Patagonie, la république du Chili, la république de Bolivie, la république du Pérou)*, exécuté pendant les années 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831, 1832 et 1833: *Mollusques*, 5(3): pp. i–xlili, 1–758, 85 plates [pls. 1, 2, 1834; pp. 1–104, pls. 3–7, 10, 12, 1835; pp. 105–184, pls. 8, 9, 11, 13–23, 25–28, 1836; pls. 24, 29–43, 41, 45–46, 1837; pp. 185–376, pls. 44, 47–52, 55, 1838; pls. 54, 56–65, 1839; pl. 66, 1840; pp. 377–488, pls. 53, 67–77, 80, 1841; pp. 489–758, 1846; pls. 78, 79, 82–85, 1847].
- Dowsett, H. J., M. M. Robinson, K. M. Foley, and T. D. Herbert. 2021. The Yorktown Formation: Improved stratigraphy, chronology, and paleoclimate interpretations from the U.S. mid-Atlantic coastal plain. *Geosciences*, 11: 486. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11120486>
- DuBar, J. R. 1958. Stratigraphy and paleontology of the Late Neogene strata of the Caloosahatchee River area of southern Florida. *Florida Geological Survey Bulletin*, 40: 267 pp.
- Dubois A. 1982. Les notions de genre, sous-genre et groupe d'espèces en zoologie à la lumière de la systématique. *Monitore Zoologico Italiano-Italian Journal of Zoology*, 16: 9–65.
- Eichwald, E. 1830. *Naturhistorische Skizze von Lithauen, Volhynien und Podolien in Geognostisch-Mineralogischer, Botanischer und Zoologischer Hinsicht*. Voss, Wilna, 256 pp.
- Emmons, E. 1858. *Report of the North Carolina Geological Survey: Agriculture of the eastern counties together with descriptions of the fossils of the marl beds*. H. D. Turner, Raleigh, NC, 314 pp.
- Fallon, T. J., T. L. Whorley, P. J. Harries, and B. Andres. 2014. Reconstructing the paleoenvironment and paleoecology of a Turritella-rich horizon in the Plio-Pleistocene Jackson Bluff Formation of the Florida panhandle. *The Paleontological Society Special Publications*, 13: 31.
- Finlay, H. J. 1926. A further commentary on New Zealand molluscan systematics. *Transactions of the New Zealand Institute*, 57: 320–485.
- Fleming, J. 1822. *The philosophy of zoology or a general view of the structure, functions, and classifications of animals, etc.* Edinburgh.
- Friend, D. S., B. M. Anderson, and W. D. Allmon. 2023. The hollow newel state in gastropods: When snails are open-axis. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* (in press).
- Friedberg, W. 1933. Notes sur quelques gastéropodes de l'Helvétien de la Touraine. *Journal de Conchologie*, 77: 20–40.
- Garbino, G. S. T. 2015. Defining genera of New World monkeys: the need for a critical view in a necessarily arbitrary task. *International Journal of Primatology*, 36: 1049–1064.
- Gardner, J. A. 1928. A new gastropod from the Miocene of Virginia. *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences*, 18: 561–563.
- Gardner, J. A. 1947. The molluscan fauna of the Alum Bluff Group of Florida, part VIII: Ctenobranchia (remainder) Aspidobranchia, and Scaphopoda. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*, 142-H: 493–656.
- Gardner, J. A. 1948. Mollusca from the Miocene and lower Pliocene of Virginia and North Carolina, part 2: Scaphopoda and Gastropoda. *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*, 199-B: 179–310.

- Gardner, J. A., and T. H. Aldrich. 1919. Mollusca from the Upper Miocene of South Carolina with descriptions of new species. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 71: 17–53.
- Garrard, T. 1972. A revision of Australian Recent and Tertiary Turritellidae (Gastropoda: Mollusca). *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*, 2: 267–338.
- Gaston, K. J. and L. A. Mound. 1993. Taxonomy, hypothesis testing and the biodiversity crisis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, 251: 139–142.
- Gradstein, F. M., J. G. Ogg, M. D. Schmitz, and G. M. Ogg. 2020. Geologic time scale 2020. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2 vols., p. 1357.
- Gray, J. E. 1840. *Synopsis of the contents of the British Museum*. 42nd Edition. G. Woodfall and Son, London, 344 pp.
- Gray, J. E. 1847. A list of the genera of Recent Mollusca, their synonyms and types. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 15: 129–219.
- Grossman, E. L., J. A. Robbins, P. G. Rachello-Dolmen, K. Tao, D. Saxena, and A. O'Dea. 2019. Freshwater input, upwelling, and the evolution of Caribbean coastal ecosystems during formation of the Isthmus of Panama. *Geology*, 47: 857–861.
- Handmann, R. 1882. Zur Tertiärfauna des Wiener Beckens. *Verhandlungen der k.k. Geologischen Reichsanstalt*, 1882: 210–222.
- Harper, E. M. 2002. Plio-Pleistocene octopod drilling behavior in scallops from Florida. *Palaaios*, 17: 292–296.
- Harris, G. D. 1890. The genus *Terebellum* in American Tertiaries. *The American Geologist*, 5: 315.
- Harzhauser, M., and B. Landau. 2019. Turritellidae (Gastropoda) of the Miocene Paratethys Sea with considerations about turritellid genera. *Zootaxa*, 4681: 1–136.
- Heilprin, A. 1886–1887. Explorations on the west coast of Florida and in the Okeechobee wilderness. *Transactions of the Wagner Free Institute of Science of Philadelphia*: 1886: 65–127; 1887: 1–64, 64A, 64B, 128–136.
- Hendricks, J. R. 2009. The genus *Conus* (Mollusca: Neogastropoda) in the Plio-Pleistocene of the southeastern United States. *Bulletins of American Paleontology*, 375: 180 pp.
- Hendricks, J. R., E. E. Saupe, C. E. Myers, E. J. Hermesen, and W. D. Allmon. 2014. The generification of the fossil record. *Paleobiology*, 40: 511–528.
- Hennig, W. 1965. Phylogenetic systematics. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 10: 97–116.
- Hennig, W. 1966. *Phylogenetic Systematics*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois, 263 pp.
- Herbert, D. G. 2013. *Turritella declivis* Adams & Reeve, in Reeve, 1849 (Mollusca: Gastropoda) – a South African not an Australian species, and a characteristic component of the Agulhas Bank benthos. *African Zoology*, 48: 412–417.
- Hertlein L. G., and A. M. Strong. 1951. Eastern pacific expeditions of the New York Zoological Society. XLIII. Mollusks from the west coast of Mexico and Central America, part X. *Zoologica*, 36: 67–120, 11 pls.
- Hisinger, W. 1831. Bidrag Till Sveriges Geognosie. Fortsättning Af Anteckningar I Physik Och Geognosie. Tillägningar Och Register. *Anteckningar i Physik och Geognosie under resor uti Sverige och Norrige*, 5: 174 pp., 8 pls.
- Hupe, H. 1854. Fauna Chilena: Moluscos. P. 499, in: *Historia Fisica y Politica de Chile*, 8 (*Zoologia*) and *Atlas*, C. Gay (ed.), Maulde et Renou, Paris, 499 pp.
- Ida, K. 1952. A study of fossil *Turritella* in Japan. *Geological Survey of Japan*, 150: 64 pp.
- Johnson, E. H., B. M. Anderson, and W. D. Allmon. 2017. Can we learn anything from all those pieces? Obtaining data from fragmented high-spired gastropod shells. *Palaaios*, 32(5): 271–277.
- Johnson, A. L., A. M. Valentine, M. J. Len, B. R. Schöne, and H. J. Sloane. 2019. Life history, environment and extinction of the scallop *Carolinapecten eboreus* (Conrad) in the Plio-Pleistocene of the US eastern seaboard. *Palaaios*, 34: 49–70.
- Jones, D. S. 1997. The marine invertebrate fossil record of Florida. Pp. 89–117, in: *The Geology of Florida*, A. F. Randazzo and D. S. Jones (eds.), University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Jones, D. S., B. J. Macfadden, S. D. Webb, P. A. Mueller, D. A. Hodell, and T. M. Cronin. 1991. Integrated geochronology of a classic Pliocene fossil site in Florida: Linking marine and terrestrial biochronologies. *The Journal of Geology*, 99: 637–648.
- Kauffman, E. G. 1977. Evolutionary rates and biostratigraphy. Pp. 109–142, in: *Concepts and methods of biostratigraphy*, E. G. Kauffman and J. E. Hazel (eds.), Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.
- Kiener, L. C. 1843–1844. Famille des Turbinacées. Genre Turritelle (*Turritella*, Lam.). *Spécies général et iconographie des coquilles vivantes*, 10: 1–46, pl. 1–14 [pp. 1–46 (1844), pl. 1–3, 5, 7–14 (1843)].
- King, P. P. 1832. Description of the Cirrhipeda, Conchifera and Mollusca, in a collection formed by the officers of H. M. S. Adventure and Beagle employed between the years 1826 and 1830 in surveying the southern coasts of South America, including the Straits of Magalhaens and the coast of Tierra del Fuego. *Zoological Journal*, 5: 332–349.
- Kittle, B. A., R. W. Portell, H. G. Lee, and S. W. Roberts. 2013. Mollusca. Nashua Formation (Late Pliocene to Early Pleistocene). *Florida Fossil Invertebrates*, 15: 1–40.
- Knowles, T., P. D. Taylor, M. Williams, A. M. Haywood, and B. Okamura. 2009. Pliocene seasonality across the North Atlantic inferred from cheilostome bryozoans. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 277: 226–235.
- Kotaka, T. 1959. The Cenozoic Turritellidae of Japan. *Tohoku University Scientific Reports, Series 2 (Geology)*, 3: 1–135.
- Kotaka, T. 1978. World-wide biostratigraphic correlation based on turritellid phylogeny. *The Veliger*, 21: 189–196.
- Kronenberg, G. C., and U. Wieneke. 2020. Röding's Stromboidea (Caenogastropoda): the remains of the Bolten collection in the Museum der Natur Gotha (Germany), a critical review of Röding's taxa, and notes on the Schmidt catalogue. *Basteria*, 84: 85–126.
- Lamarck, J. B. 1799. Prodrome d'une nouvelle classification des coquilles; comprenant une redaction appropriée des caractères génériques, et l'établissement d'un grand nombre de genres nouveaux. *Mémoires de la Société d'histoire Naturelle de Paris*, 7: 63–91.

- Leigh, E. G., A. O'Dea, and G. J. Vermeij. 2014. Historical biogeography of the Isthmus of Panama. *Biological Reviews*, 89: 148–172.
- Lessios, H. A. 2008. The great American schism: divergence of marine organisms after the rise of the Central American Isthmus. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 39: 63–91.
- Lieberman, B. S., W. D. Allmon, and N. Eldredge. 1993. Levels of selection and macroevolutionary patterns in the turritellid gastropods. *Paleobiology*, 19: 205–215.
- Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema naturae. vol. 1, regnum animale, 10th edition*, Stockholm, 824 pp.
- Lovén, S. L. 1847. Malacozoologi. *Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar*, 4: 175–199.
- Lyons, W. G. 1991. Post-Miocene species of *Latirus* Montfort, 1810 (Mollusca: Fasciariidae) of southern Florida with a review of regional marine biostratigraphy. *Bulletin of the Florida Museum of Natural History, Biological Sciences*, 35: 131–208.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1928/9. New fossil mollusks from the Miocene of Virginia and North Carolina, with a brief outline of the divisions of the Chesapeake group. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum*, 74 (14): 1–11, 5 pls.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1930. Miocene gastropods and scaphopods of the Choctawhatchee Formation of Florida. *Florida Geological Survey: Geological Bulletin*, 3: 189 pp.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1931. Some Tertiary mollusks from southern Florida. *Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum*, 79, 12 pp.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1935. New Miocene gastropods and scaphopods from Alaquá Creek Valley, Florida. *Florida Geological Survey: Geological Bulletin*, 12: 64 pp.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1937. New mollusks from the Choctawhatchee Formation of Florida. *Journal of Paleontology*, 11: 608–612.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1939. Notes on the Upper Tertiary and Pleistocene mollusks of peninsular Florida. *Florida Geological Survey: Geological Bulletin*, 18: 75 pp.
- Mansfield, W. C. 1943. Stratigraphy of the Miocene of Virginia and the Miocene and Pliocene of North Carolina. Pp. 1–19, in: Mollusca from the Miocene and lower Pliocene of Virginia and North Carolina, Gardner, J. A. (ed.), *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*, 199-A.
- Martin, G. C. 1904. *The Miocene gastropod fauna of Maryland*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 148 pp.
- Marwick, J. 1957. Generic revision of the Turritellidae. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 32: 144–166.
- Marwick, J. 1971a. An ovoviviparous gastropod (Turritellidae, *Zeacolpus*) from the Upper Miocene of New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 14: 66–70.
- Marwick, J. 1971b. New Zealand Turritellidae related to *Zeacolpus* Finlay. *New Zealand Geological Survey, Paleontological Bulletin*, 44: 87 pp.
- Maurry, C. J. 1902. A comparison of the Oligocene of western Europe and the southern United States. *Bulletins of American Paleontology*, 15: 311–404.
- Maurry, C. J. 1922. Recent Mollusca of the Gulf of Mexico and Pleistocene and Pliocene species from the Gulf States. Part 2. Scaphopoda, Gastropoda, Amphineura, Cephalopoda. *Bulletins of American Paleontology*, 38: 1–142.
- Means, H. 2010. Fall Meeting and Field Trip, Alum Bluff. *Southeastern Geological Society Guidebook*, 51: 1–19.
- Missimer, T. M. 1992. Stratigraphic relationships of sediment facies within the Tamiami Formation of southwestern Florida: Proposed intraformational correlations. Pp. 63–92, in: The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida, T. M. Scott and W. D. Allmon (eds.), *Florida Geological Survey Special Publication*, 36.
- Montfort, P. D. de. 1808–1810. *Conchyliologie systématique et classification méthodique des coquilles, vols. 1 and 2*. Schoell, Paris, lxxxvii + 409 pp. (1808), 676 + 16 pp. (1810).
- Moore, E. J. 1962. Conrad's Cenozoic fossil marine mollusk type specimens at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 114: 23–120.
- Nelson, E. T. 1870. On the molluscan fauna of the later Tertiary of Peru. *Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Science*, 2: 186–206.
- O'Dea, A., H. A. Lessios, A. G. Coates, R. I. Eytan, S. A. Restrepo-Moreno, A. L. Cione, L. S. Collins, A. De Queiroz, D. W. Farris, R. D. Norris, R. F. Stallard, M. O. Woodburne, O. Aguilera, M. P. Aubry, W. A. Berggren, A. F. Budd, M. A. Cozzuol, S. E. Coppard, H. Duque-Caro, S. Finnegan, G. M. Gasparini, E. L. Grossman, K. G. Johnson, L. D. Keigwin, N. Knowlton, E. G. Leigh, J. S. Leonard-Pingle, P. B. Marko, N. D. Pyenson, P. G. Rachello-Dolmen, E. Soibelzon, L. Soibelzon, J. A. Todd, G. J. Vermeij, and J. B. C. Jackson. 2016. Formation of the Isthmus of Panama. *Science Advances*, 8: p.e1600883.
- Olsson, A. A. 1964. *Neogene mollusks from northwestern Ecuador*. Paleontological Research Institution, Ithaca, NY, 256 pp.
- Olsson, A. A. 1967. *Some Tertiary mollusks from south Florida and the Caribbean*. Paleontological Research Institution, Ithaca, New York, 61 pp., 9 pls.
- Olsson, A. A., and A. Harbison. 1953. Pliocene Mollusca of southern Florida with special reference to those from north Saint Petersburg. *Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Monograph*, 8: 457 pp.
- Palmer, K. V. W. 1958. Viviparous *Turritella pilsbryi* Gardner. *Journal of Paleontology*, 32: 210–213.
- Perry, L. M., and J. S. Schwengel. 1955. *Marine shells of the western coast of Florida*. Paleontological Research Institution, Ithaca, NY, 262 pp.
- Petuch, E. J. 1982. Notes on the molluscan paleoecology of the Pinecrest Beds at Sarasota, Florida with the description of *Pyrrella*, a stratigraphically important new genus (Gastropoda: Melongenidae). *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 134: 12–30.
- Petuch, E. J. 1991. New gastropods from the Plio-Pleistocene of southwestern Florida and the Everglades Basin. *W. H. Dall Paleontological Research Center Special Publication*, 1: 63 pp.
- Petuch, E. J. 1994. *Atlas of Florida fossil shells*. Chicago Spectrum Press, Evanston, IL, 394 pp.
- Petuch, E. J. 2004. *Cenozoic seas. The view from eastern North America*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 308 pp.
- Petuch, E. J., and D. P. Berschauer. 2020. New gastropods from Texas, the western Caribbean, and southern Brazil. *The Festivus*, 52: 173–183.

- Petuch, E. J., and C. E. Roberts. 2007. *The geology of the Everglades and adjacent areas*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 212 pp.
- Pilsbry, H. A., and A. P. Brown. 1917. Oligocene fossils from the neighborhood of Cartagena, Colombia, with notes on some Haitian species. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 89: 32–41.
- Plotnick, R. E., and P. J. Wagner. 2006. Round up the usual suspects: Common genera in the fossil record and the nature of wastebasket taxa. *Paleobiology*, 32: 126–146.
- Portell, R. W., and B. Kittle. 2010. Mollusca: Bermont Formation (Middle Pleistocene). *Florida Fossil Invertebrates*, 13: 1–40.
- Portell, R. W., G. H. Means, and R. C. Hulbert, Jr. 2012. SMR Aggregates, Inc., Sarasota, Florida. *Southeastern Geological Society Field Trip Guidebook*, 56: 24 pp.
- Puillandre, N., P. Bouchet, T. Duda Jr., S. Kaufenstein, A. Kohn, B. Olivera, M. Watkins, and C. Meyer. 2014. Molecular phylogeny and evolution of the cone snails (Gastropoda, Conoidea). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 78: 290–303.
- Puri, H. 1966. Marine upper Miocene biofacies at Jackson Bluff, Leon County, Florida. Pp. 25–30, in: *Geology of the Miocene and Pliocene series in the north Florida-south Georgia area*. N. K. Norman (ed.) Atlantic Coastal Plain Geological Association and Southeastern Geological Society field trip guidebook.
- Puri, H., and R. O. Vernon. 1964. Summary of the geology of Florida. *Florida Geological Survey Special Publication*, 5 (Revised): 312 pp.
- Quoy, J. R. C., and J. P. Gaimard. 1832–1835. *Voyage de la corvette l'Astrolabe: exécuté par ordre du roi, pendant les années 1826-1827-1828-1829, sous le commandement de M. J. Dumont d'Urville: Zoologie*. 1: i-l, 1–264; 2(1): 1–321 [1832]; 2(2): 321–686 [1833]; 3(1): 1–366 [1834]; 3(2): 367–954 [1835]; Atlas (Mollusques): pls. 1–93 [1833]. Paris.
- Rambaut, A. 2016. *FigTree v1.4.3*. Available at: <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>.
- Raup, D. M. 1966. Geometric analysis of shell coiling: General problems. *Journal of Paleontology*, 40: 1178–1190.
- Reeve, L. A. 1849. Monograph of the genus *Turritella*. Pp. 232–259, in: *Conchologica iconica: or text-figures and descriptions of the shells of molluscous animals*, 5.
- Reid, D. G., P. Dyal, and S. T. Williams. 2012. A global molecular phylogeny of 147 periwinkle species (Gastropoda, Littorininae). *Zoologica Scripta*, 41: 125–136.
- Richards, H. G. 1947. Invertebrate fossils from deep wells along the Atlantic coastal plain. *Journal of Paleontology*, 21: 23–37.
- Richards, H. G. 1968. Catalogue of invertebrate fossil types at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. *Academy of Natural Sciences Special Publication*, 8: 222 pp.
- Rogers, W. B., and H. D. Rogers. 1837. Contributions to the geology of the Tertiary formations of Virginia. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society*, 5: 319–341.
- Rogers, W. B., and H. D. Rogers. 1839. Contributions to the geology of the Tertiary formations of Virginia. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society*, 6: 347–377.
- Rogers, W. B. 1884. *Geology of the Virginias (Reprint of the annual reports and other papers on the Geology of the Virginias, edited by Mrs. W. B. [Emma] Rogers)*. D. Appleton and Co., New York, 832 pp.
- Rosenberg, G., F. Moretzsohn, and E. F. García. 2009. Gastropoda (Mollusca) of the Gulf of Mexico. Pp. 579–699, in: *Gulf of Mexico. Origin, Waters, and Biota*, 1, D. L. Felder and D. K. Camp (eds.), Texas A & M University Press, College Station.
- Ryall, P., and C. Vos. 2010. Two new species of *Turritella* (Gastropoda: Turritellidae) from western Africa. *Novapex*, 11: 13–20.
- Sang, S., B. M. Anderson, D. S. Friend, and W. D. Allmon. 2019. Protoconch enlargement in western Atlantic turritelline gastropod species following the closure of the Central American Seaway. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9: 5309–5323.
- Saupe, E. E., J. R. Hendricks, R. W. Portell, H. J. Dowsett, A. Haywood, S. J. Hunter, and B. S. Lieberman. 2014. Macroevolutionary consequences of profound climate change on niche evolution in marine molluscs over the past three million years. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 281: 20141995.
- Scholz, S. R., S. V. Petersen, J. Escobar, C. Jaramillo, A. J. Hendy, W. D. Allmon, J. H. Curtis, B. M. Anderson, N. Hoyos, J. C. Restrepo, and N. Perez. 2020. Isotope sclerochronology indicates enhanced seasonal precipitation in northern South America (Colombia) during the Mid-Miocene Climatic Optimum. *Geology*, 48: 668–672.
- Schuchert, C., W. H. Dall, T. W. Stanton, and R. S. Bassler. 1905. Catalogue of the type specimens of fossil invertebrates in the Department of Geology, United States National Museum. *U.S. National Museum Bulletin*, 53: 704 pp.
- Schumacher, C. F. 1817. *Essai d'un nouveau système des habitations des vers testacés*. Schultz, Copenhagen, 288 pp.
- Scott, T. M. 1992. Coastal Plains stratigraphy: The dichotomy of biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy - A philosophical approach to an old problem. Pp. 21–26, in: *The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida*, T.M. Scott and W.D. Allmon, (eds.), *Florida Geological Survey Special Publication*, 36.
- Scott, T. M. 1997. Miocene to Holocene history of Florida. Pp. 57–67, in: *The geology of Florida*, A. F. Randazzo and D. S. Jones (eds.), University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Scott, T. M. 2001. Text to accompany the geologic map of Florida. *Florida Geological Survey Open File Report*, 80: 28 pp.
- Scott, T. M. 2011. Geology of the Florida Platform – Pre-Mesozoic to Recent. Pp. 17–32, in: *Gulf of Mexico Origin, Waters, and Biota. Vol. 3, Geology*, N.A. Buster and C.W. Holmes (eds.), Texas A & M University Press, College Station, TX.
- Sime, J. A., and P. H. Kelley. 2016. Common mollusk genera indicate interactions with their predators were ecologically stable across the Plio-Pleistocene extinction. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 463: 216–229.
- Smith, E. A., and T. H. Aldrich. 1902. The Grand Gulf Formation. *Science*, 16(412): 835–837.
- Sohl, N. F. 1977. Utility of gastropods in biostratigraphy. Pp. 519–540, in: *Concepts and methods of biostratigraphy*, E. G. Kauffman and J. E. Hazel (eds.), Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.
- Solow, A. R., L. A. Mound, and K. J. Gaston. 1995. Estimating the rate of synonymy. *Systematic Biology*, 44: 93–96.

- Sowerby I, G. B. 1825. *A catalogue of the shells contained in the collection of the late Earl of Tankerville: arranged according to the Lamarckian conchological system: together with an appendix, containing descriptions of many new species*. London, vii + 92 + xxxiv pp.
- Spieker, E. M. 1922. *The paleontology of the Zorritos Formation of the North Peruvian oil fields*. Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 197 pp.
- Squires, R. L. 1988. Rediscovery of the type locality of *Turritella andersoni* and its geologic age implications for West Coast Eocene strata. Pp. 203–208, in: Paleogene Stratigraphy, West Coast of North America, M. V. Filewicz and R. L. Squires (eds.), *Pacific Section, S.E.P.M., West Coast Paleogene Symposium*, 58.
- Stenzel, H. B. 1971. Oysters. Pp. N953–N1224, in: *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, vol. 3, part N, Mollusca 6, Bivalvia*, R. C. Moore (ed.), Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence.
- Stringer, G. L., R. C. Hulbert Jr., D. Nolf, P. Roth, and R. W. Portell. 2017. A rare occurrence of matched otoliths and associated skeletal remains of *Apogon townsendi* (Osteichthyes) from the Caloosahatchee Formation (Lower Pleistocene) of Florida. *Bulletin of the Florida Museum of Natural History*, 55: 89–103.
- Sutton, A. H. 1935. Ovoviviparous reproduction of Miocene Turritellidae. *American Midland Naturalist*, 16: 107–109.
- Swofford, D. 2003. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Sunderland, Sinauer Associates.
- Tao, K., and E. L. Grossman. 2010. Origin of high productivity in the Pliocene of the Florida platform: evidence from stable isotopes and trace elements. *Palaos*, 25: 796–806.
- Todd, J. A., J. B. Jackson, K. G. Johnson, H. M. Fortunato, A. Heitz, M. Alvarez, and P. Jung. 2002. The ecology of extinction: Molluscan feeding and faunal turnover in the Caribbean Neogene. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B*, 1491: 571–577.
- Tuomey, M., and F. S. Holmes. 1855–1857, *Pliocene fossils of South Carolina*. Russell and Jones, Charleston, SC, 152 pp., 30 pls. (1–30, pls. 1–12 [1855]; 31–144, pls. 13–28 [1856]; 145–152, i–xvi, pls. 29–30 [1857].) (Reprinted 1974, Paleontological Research Institution, Ithaca, NY)
- Valenciennes, A. 1832. Coquilles univalves marines de l'Amérique équinoxiale, recueillies pendant le voyage de MM. A. de Humboldt et A. Bonpland. Pp. 262–339, in: *Recueil d'observations de zoologie et d'anatomie comparée: faites dans l'océan atlantique, dans l'intérieur du nouveau continent et dans la mer du sud pendant les années 1799, 1800, 1801, 1802 et 1803, v.2*, A. von Humboldt and A. Bonpland (eds.), Paris.
- Van Dingenen, F., L. Ceulemans, and B. M. Landau. 2016. The lower Pliocene gastropods of Le Pigeon Blanc (Loire-Atlantique, northwest France), 2. Caenogastropoda. *Cainozoic Research*, 16: 109–219.
- Vernon, R. O., and H. S. Puri. 1964. Geologic map of Florida. Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series 18.
- Villmoare, B. 2018. Early *Homo* and the role of the genus in paleoanthropology. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 165: 72–89.
- Wahlberg, N., M. F. Braby, A. V. Z. Brower, R. de Jong, M. Lee, S. Nylin, N. E. Pierce, F. A. H. Sperling, R. Vila, A. D. Warren, and E. Zakharov. 2005. Synergistic effects of combining morphological and molecular data in resolving the phylogeny of butterflies and skippers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 272: 1577–1586.
- Ward, L. W. 1992. Molluscan biostratigraphy of the Miocene, middle Atlantic coastal plain of North America. *Virginia Museum of Natural History Memoir*, 2: 159 pp.
- Ward, L. W., and W. D. Allmon. 2019. History of paleontology in Virginia 1607–2007. *Bulletins of American Paleontology*, 397: 198 pp.
- Ward, L. W., and B. W. Blackwelder. 1980. Stratigraphic revision of Upper Miocene and Lower Pliocene beds of the Chesapeake Group, middle Atlantic coastal plain. *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin*, 1482-D: 61 pp.
- Ward, L. W., and B. W. Blackwelder. 1987. Late Pliocene and early Pleistocene Mollusca from the James City and Chowan River formations at the Lee Creek Mine. Pp. 113–283, in: *Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, II*, C. E. Ray (ed.), *Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology*, 61.
- Ward, L. W., and N. L. Gilinsky. 1993. Molluscan assemblages of the Chowan River Formation. Part A. Biostratigraphic analysis of the Chowan River Formation (upper Pliocene) and adjoining units, the Moore House Member of the Yorktown Formation (upper Pliocene) and the James City Formation (lower Pliocene). *Virginia Museum of Natural History Memoir*, 3: 32 pp., 1 pl.
- Ward, L. W., and G. L. Strickland. 1985. Outline of Tertiary stratigraphy and depositional history of the U.S. Atlantic coastal plain. Pp. 87–123, in: *Geologic Evolution of the United States Atlantic Margin*, C. W. Poag (ed.), Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Ward, L. W., R. H. Bailey, and J. Carter. 1991. Pliocene and Early Pleistocene stratigraphy, depositional history, and molluscan paleobiogeography of the Coastal Plain. Pp. 274–289, in: *The Geology of the Carolinas: Carolina Geological Society 50th anniversary volume*, J. W. Horton Jr. and V.A. Zullo (eds.), University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville.
- Whitley, G. P. 1930. Additions to the check-list of the fishes of New South Wales, part 3. *The Australian Zoologist*, 6: 117–123.
- Wiley, E. O., and B. S. Lieberman. 2011. *Phylogenetics: Theory and practice of phylogenetic systematics*, 2nd edition. Wiley-Blackwell, New York, 432 pp.
- Woodward, S. 1830. *A synoptical table of British organic remains: in which all the edited British fossils are systematically and stratigraphically arranged, in accordance with the views of the geologists of the present day, and a reference given to their localities, strata, and engraved Text-figures, accompanied by a lithograph of the fossil turtle in the Norfolk and Norwich Museum*. Longman, Rees, Orme, Brown, and Green, London, 50 pp.
- Woodward, S. P. 1851. *A manual of the Mollusca, part 1*. John Weale, London, 158 pp.
- WoRMS Editorial Board. 2021. World Register of Marine Species. Available from <https://www.marinespecies.org> at VLIZ. doi:10.14284/170 Accessed 2021-10-15.

APPENDIX 1

Alpha-numeric codes for localities referenced in text, along with their U.S. state counties, geologic formations, epochs, and other locality codes corresponding to each. Other locality code abbreviations: FLMNH = Florida Museum of Natural History; TU = Tulane University; USGS = United States Geological Survey.

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
Florida						
FL-01	Jackson Bluff (General)	Leon	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LN004; USGS 3422; USGS 3423
FL-02	Alum Bluff	Liberty	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LI002; USGS 2211; PRI Sta. 9681
FL-03	Bird Road	Miami-Dade	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality DA001; PRI Sta. 6926
FL-04	Arvida Pits	Miami-Dade	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality DA015; TU 1493
FL-05	Harvey's Creek	Leon	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LN003; USGS 3421; USGS 10964
FL-06	Dripping Springs 01	Calhoun	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality CA070; TU 0073
FL-07	Richardson Road Shell Pit 01B	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO013; TU 1524
FL-08	Watsons Landing	Liberty	Choctawhatchee? (probably Jackson Bluff)		Miocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality 5716; USGS 10962
FL-09	Darlings Slide 01	Calhoun	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality CA071; USGS 10960
FL-10	unnamed	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	PRI Sta. 9708
FL-11	Double Branch 01	Leon	Choctawhatchee? (probably Jackson Bluff)		Miocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LN015; USGS 10966
FL-12	Tamiami Trail	Monroe	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	
FL-13	unnamed	Pinellas	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	
FL-14	unnamed	Leon	Choctawhatchee? (probably Jackson Bluff)		Miocene, Late	
FL-15	Kissimmee Canal 01	Okeechobee	Tamiami		Pliocene	
FL-16	Ochopee 03	Collier	Tamiami	Ochopee Ls	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR008
FL-17	Alligator Alley 01	Collier	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR007; TU 0797
FL-18	Caloosahatchee River 16	Hendry	Caloosahatchee/ Ft. Thompson		Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality HN051
FL-19	Jackson Bluff 01	Leon	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LN001
FL-20	Shell Creek, Myakka River	Charlotte	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	USNM 113451
FL-21	Olga	Lee	Tamiami	Buckingham Ls	Pliocene, Late	USGS 14194; USGS 14190

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
FL-22	Belle Glade	Palm Beach	Ft. Thompson/ Bermont		Pleistocene, Late	
FL-23	St. Petersburg	Pinellas	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	
FL-24	White's Creek	Walton	Shoal River		Miocene, Middle	FLMNH-IP Locality WL003; USGS 10603c, USGS 10608c
FL-25	Orangetree 01	Collier	Tamiami		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR028; TU 1174
FL-26	unnamed	Broward	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality 5422
FL-27	Sombrero Light	Monroe	-		Recent	PRI 68078
FL-28	Banana Branch, Fort Denaud	Hendry	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	
FL-29	Cochran Shell Pit	Hendry	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality HN004; PRI Sta. 3735
FL-30	Fort Denaud Rock Pit	Hendry	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality HN023
FL-31	Red Head Still	Washington	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	USGS 10951
FL-32	Bailey Post Office	Calhoun	Choctawhatchee? (probably Jackson Bluff)		Miocene, Late	USGS 3418
FL-33	APAC Shell Pit	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	PRI Sta. 6053
FL-34	Hamlin Pond	Washington	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality WG015; USGS 10422
FL-35	Gully Pond/Sink	Washington	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality WG014; USGS 1955
FL-36	Miami Canal	Miami Dade	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	USNM 347417
FL-37	Shell Creek	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	USNM 113456
FL-38	Como Waterway 02	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CH043; TU 0532
FL-39	Quality Aggregates Phase 7	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO022; Lyons EJXX488
FL-40	Mule Pen	Collier	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR004; TU 1177
FL-41	MacAsphalt/Warren Brothers/APAC Pit	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO017; TU 1000
FL-42	Brighton Canal	Highlands	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality HG002; TU 0520
FL-43	Snell Isle	Pinellas	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality PI009
FL-44	Estero 02	Lee	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality LE008
FL-45	Fort Lee	Hendry	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality HN031
FL-46	Harney Pond Canal 01	Glades	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality GL013; TU 0523
FL-47	Moore Haven 01	Glades	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality GL036; TU 0206
FL-48	Port Charlotte	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
FL-49	Fortymile Bend 01	Miami-Dade	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality DA004
FL-50	Fort Basinger	Okeechobee	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality OB001; TU 0729
FL-51	Econfina Bridge	Bay	Choctawhatchee? (probably Jackson Bluff)		Miocene, Late	USGS 10953
FL-52	Hosford	Liberty	Jackson Bluff	<i>Cancellaria</i> zone	Pliocene, Late	USGS 3671, 3672
FL-53	Polk Creek	Leon	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	
FL-54	Lomax-King Pit	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CH028
FL-55	Florida Shell and Fill	Charlotte	Tamiami	Ochopee Ls	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CH076
FL-56	Acline Borrow Pits	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CH051; TU 0200
FL-57	Clarksville	Calhoun	Jackson Bluff	<i>Ecphora</i> zone	Pliocene, Late	USGS 8862
FL-58	Sunniland	Collier	Tamiami	Ochopee Ls	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR009
FL-59	Tamiami Canal, Levee 29	Miami-Dade	Tamiami		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality DA003
FL-60	Interceptor Canal	Hendry	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality HN027
FL-61	Coral Springs	Broward	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality BD009; TU 0742
FL-62	Elkcam Waterway	Charlotte	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CH045; TU 0756
FL-63	Big Cypress	Broward	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality BD003
FL-64	University Parkway Shell Pit	Manatee	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality MA017
FL-65	Proctor Road-Metal Pit	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO032
FL-66	La Belle Picnic	Hendry	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 9707
FL-67	Bermont Excavating	Charlotte	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality CH117
FL-68	Jackson Bluff 02	Leon	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality LN002
FL-69	Hayes Waterfall 01	Calhoun	Jackson Bluff		Pliocene, Late	FLMNH-IP Locality CA068
FL-70	South Bay 04	Palm Beach	Bermont		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality PB007
FL-71	SMR Aggregates Phase 10A	Sarasota	Tamiami	Lower	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO054
FL-72	Sommers Pit 01	Sarasota	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO041
FL-73	Kissimmee River	Okeechobee	Tamiami (Pinecrest beds)/ Caloosahatchee		Plio-Pleistocene	
FL-74	Arcadia	De Soto	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
FL-75	Caloosahatchee River 01	Hendry	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality HN002
FL-76	Alum Bluff 01B	Liberty	Chipola		Miocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality LI003
FL-77	Kissimme Canal 02	Highlands	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	
FL-78	unnamed	Polk/Osceola	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality 2665
FL-79	Cracker Swamp Ranch 01	Putnam	Nashua	Upper	Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality PU004
FL-80	Quality Aggregates Phase 6	Sarasota	Tamiami (Pinecrest beds)/ Caloosahatchee		Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality SO033
FL-81	Alligator Alley 06	Collier	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	FLMNH-IP Locality CR023
FL-82	APAC pit	Sarasota	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 7400
FL-83	Star Pit	Palm Beach	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 7856
FL-84	Sarasota unspecified	Sarasota	Caloosahatchee		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 7400
FL-85	Clewiston	Hendry	Tamiami	Pinecrest beds	Plio-Pleistocene	PRI Sta. 6049
Georgia						
GA-01	Andrews Island	Glynn	Duplin/Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZG047
GA-02	Turtle River 01	Glynn	Duplin/Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZG043
GA-03	Porters Landing, Savannah River	Effingham	Duplin		Pliocene	
GA-04	Fancy Bluff Creek 01	Glynn	Duplin/Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZG038
GA-05	Lanier Bridge 01	Glynn	Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZG046
South Carolina						
SC-01	Crescent Beach 01	Horry	Waccamaw		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS015
SC-02	Mayesville	Sumter	Bear Bluff		Pleistocene	
SC-03	Muldrow Place	Sumter	Duplin		Pliocene	
SC-04	unnamed	Darlington	Duplin		Pliocene	
SC-05	Stokes Sand and Gravel Eagle Point Pit	Darlington	Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS022
SC-06	unnamed	Berkeley	Goose Creek		Pliocene	
SC-07	Ned Moore Farm 01	Florence	Duplin		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS056
SC-08	unnamed	Darlington	Raysor		Pliocene	
SC-09	Lockheed Martin Berkeley Quarry 01	Berkeley	Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS013
SC-10	Tearcoat Branch 01	Sumter	Duplin		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS005

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
SC-11	Kirby's Pond 01	Florence	Duplin		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZS031; PRI Sta. 7799
SC-12	unnamed	Horry	Canepatch		Pleistocene, Middle	
North Carolina						
NC-01	Lee Creek Mine	Beaufort	Chowan River/ James River		Pleistocene, Early	USGS 25370
NC-02	Murfreesboro	Hertford	Yorktown		Pliocene	
NC-03	Natural Well	Duplin	Duplin		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN003
NC-04	Neils Eddy Landing 01	Columbus	Waccamaw		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN077
NC-05	Wilmington	New Hanover	Waccamaw		Pleistocene, Early	
NC-06	Bertie	Bertie	Chowan River		Pleistocene, Early	
NC-07	Chocowinity	Beaufort	Chowan River		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 2140
NC-08	Tar River 01	Pitt	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN035; TU 0862
NC-09	Williamson's Pit	Columbus	Waccamaw		Pleistocene, Early	PRI Sta. 9699
NC-10	Lumber River 03	Robeson	Raysor		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN049; PRI Sta. 9698
NC-11	Old Dock 01	Columbus	Waccamaw		Pleistocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN022
NC-12	Robeson Farm 01	Bladen	Duplin		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN052
NC-13	Tarboro 01	Edgecombe	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZN043
NC-14	Colerain Beach	Bertie	Yorktown	Moorehouse	Pliocene	PRI Sta. 9697
Virginia						
VA-01	unnamed	Isle of Wight	Yorktown		Pliocene	PRI Loc. 1611
VA-02	unnamed	Glouster	Yorktown	Rushmere	Pliocene	USGS 2250
VA-03	unnamed	York	Yorktown	Moore House	Pliocene	USGS 2247a
VA-04	unnamed	Hampton	Yorktown		Pliocene	
VA-05	unnamed	Chesapeake	Chowan River		Pleistocene	
VA-06	Rices Pit	Hampton	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV004
VA-07	James City	James City	Yorktown		Pliocene	
VA-08	Yates Cut 01	York	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV066
VA-09	James River	Surry	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	
VA-10	Kingsmill 01	James City	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV006
VA-11	Schmidt's Bluff	Surry	Yorktown		Pliocene	
VA-12	York River	Glouster	Yorktown		Pliocene	PRI Sta. 1388
VA-13	Chestnut Bluffs	Surry	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV031; TU 0858

Locality code	Alias	County	Formation	Member	Epoch	Other locality codes
VA-14	Watkins Mill	King and Queen	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	USGS 11783
VA-15	James River 01	Isle of Wight	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV036; TU 0077
VA-16	Walkerton	King and Queen	Yorktown		Pliocene	
VA-17	Piankitank River 02	Middlesex	Yorktown	Sunken Meadow	Pliocene, Early	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV096
VA-18	Carter's Grove 01	James City	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV008
VA-19	Chesapeake Development Company Pit 01	Chesapeake	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV007
VA-20	Tutter's Neck Dam 01	James City	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV009
VA-21	Chippokes Plantation 01	Surry	Yorktown	Zone 1	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV046
VA-22	Haynes Mill 01	Gloucester	Yorktown	<i>Leptopecten</i> bed	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV099
VA-23	Lone Star Marl Pit	Suffolk	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV003
VA-24	A.B. Southall Pit	York	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV074
VA-25	Days Point 02	Isle of Wight	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV055
VA-26	Burwells Bay 02	Isle of Wight	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV097; TU 0856
VA-27	Fort Boykins 01	Isle of Wight	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV037; TU 0855
VA-28	Chesapeake Development Company Pit 02A	Chesapeake	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV048
VA-29	Chuckatuck 04	Isle of Wight	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV064
VA-30	Craney Island 02	Portsmouth	Yorktown		Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV054
VA-31	Williamsburg 01	Williamsburg	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV057
VA-32	Mogarts Beach 01	Isle of Wight	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV060
VA-33	Poquoson Lone Star Industries Pit 01	Poquoson	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV059
VA-34	Turners Landing 01	Isle of Wight	Yorktown	Zone 2	Pliocene	FLMNH-IP Locality ZV076
VA-35	Evergreen	Appomattox	Yorktown		Pliocene	PRI Sta. 4793

APPENDIX 2

Additional fossil material positively identified by D. Friend.

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>Caviturritella alumensis</i>	USNM 113480	Calhoun Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	USNM 113478	Calhoun Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	USNM 113481	Calhoun Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104743	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104757	Liberty Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104758	Liberty Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104759	Liberty Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104760	Liberty Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 104743	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>C. alumensis</i>	PRI 70273	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 329859	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 332412	Liberty Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 329858	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 329861	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 138690	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. alumensis</i>	UF 160724	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>Caviturritella etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104827	Chocowinity, NC	Duplin
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 45410	Okeechobee Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104751	Florence Co., SC	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104755	Florence Co., SC	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104735	Robeson Co., NC	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104738	Robeson Co., NC	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	PRI 104737	Robeson Co., NC	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 7683	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332664	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332662	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332661	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332665	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 216619	Horry Co., SC	Bear Bluff
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 216620	Horry Co., SC	Bear Bluff
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332666	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332667	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>C. etiwanaensis</i>	UF 332663	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Rayson
<i>Caviturritella magnasulcus</i>	PRI 104745	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 41862	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 41856	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 45385	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 70077	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 40389	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	PRI 40387	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332471	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 275915	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332473	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332474	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332472	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332475	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332469	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332470	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 332468	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 160658	Charlotte Co., FL	Ochopee
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 160723	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>C. magnasulcus</i>	UF 185998	Charlotte Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>Caviturritella terstriata</i>	UF 329971	Piankitank River, VA	Yorktown
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 329972	Piankitank River, VA	Yorktown
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 329973	Piankitank River, VA	Yorktown
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 332659	Middlesex Co., VA	Yorktown, Sunken Meadow
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 332660	Middlesex Co., VA	Yorktown, Sunken Meadow
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 332658	Middlesex Co., VA	Yorktown, Sunken Meadow
<i>C. terstriata</i>	UF 280911	James City, VA	Yorktown, Sunken Meadow
<i>Torcula apicalis</i>	PRI 45371	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. apicalis</i>	PRI 40410	De Soto Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. apicalis</i>	PRI 70274	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. apicalis</i>	UF 332363	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. apicalis</i>	UF 332489	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. apicalis</i>	UF 332534	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. apicalis</i>	USNM 113463	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>Torcula clarksvillensis</i>	UF 221559	Calhoun Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	UF 332690	Calhoun Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	UF 78857	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	UF 168330	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	UF 180425	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. clarksvillensis</i>	UF 332497	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>Torcula cookei</i>	PRI 104744	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. cookei</i>	PRI 45361	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. cookei</i>	UF 329911	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. cookei</i>	UF 132838	Leon Co., FL	Jackson Bluff

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>Torcula perattenuata</i>	PRI 104749	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 104750	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 40392	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 45378	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 40409	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 40410	De Soto Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 41074	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 45378	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 40406	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	PRI 40396	De Soto Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 210596	Charlotte Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 67832	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 181701	Glades Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332459	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332460	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332461	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332462	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332463	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332464	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332465	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332466	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332467	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 332656	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 329968	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 329969	Collier Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 329970	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 181354	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 181359	Collier Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perattenuata</i>	UF 275909	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>"Turritella" alticostata</i>	PRI 104731	Bertie Co., NC	Yorktown, Moorehouse
<i>T. alticostata</i>	PRI 104730	Bertie Co., NC	Yorktown, Moorehouse
<i>T. alticostata</i>	USNM 325455	Hartford Co., NC	Yorktown
<i>T. alticostata</i>	USNM 204039	Beaufort Co., NC	Chowan River
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 181432	Charlotte Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 329908	Isle of Wight, VA	Yorktown
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 329907	Hampton Co., VA	Yorktown
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 191081	Hampton Co., VA	Yorktown
<i>T. alticostata</i>	UF 181435	Isle of Wight, VA	Yorktown
<i>"Turritella" fluxionalis</i>	PRI 104736	Robeson Co., NC	Raysor
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	PRI 2740	Hampton, VA	Yorktown
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 268147	Darlington Co., SC	Raysor

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 329965	Darlington Co., SC	Raysor
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 329966	Glenn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 329967	Glenn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. fluxionalis</i>	UF 332652	Highlands Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>"Turritella" gladeensis</i>	PRI 108267	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	PRI 40782	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	PRI 40403	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	UF 181869	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	UF 181870	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	UF 209500	Charlotte Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds/Caloosahatchee
<i>T. gladeensis</i>	UF 314753	Charlotte Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>"Turritella" jacula</i>	PRI 45382	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. jacula</i>	PRI 45383	Broward Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. jacula</i>	UF 171622	Collier Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>T. jacula</i>	UF 171623	Collier Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>T. jacula</i>	UF 172307	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. jacula</i>	UF 268119	Darlington Co., SC	Raysor
<i>"Turritella" mansfieldi</i>	PRI 41859	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI T.0224	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 21434	Okeechobee Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 41859	Sarasota Co., FL	Duplin
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 104754	Florence Co., SC	Duplin
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 104786	Hendry Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 104790	Highlands Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	PRI 104791	Highlands Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	UF 181385	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	UF 219472	Polk/Osceola Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	UF 162594	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	UF 332680	Sumter Co., SC	Duplin
<i>T. mansfieldi</i>	UF 332692	Darlington Co., SC	Raysor
<i>"Turritella" miamiensis</i>	PRI T.0110	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	PRI T.0112	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	PRI 45379	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	PRI 104741	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332567	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332564	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332563	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332573	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332575	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332634	Collier Co., FL	Ochopee
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332561	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332560	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332568	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332574	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332566	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332569	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332571	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332562	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 66198	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332576	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332458	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332565	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332572	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332594	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332570	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. miamiensis</i>	UF 332537	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>"Turritella" perexilis</i>	PRI 41018	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecr ^e st Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	PRI 45401	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	PRI 45403	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	PRI 104742	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Caloosahatchee
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 179622	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332577	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332578	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332579	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332580	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332581	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332582	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332583	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332584	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332585	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332586	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332587	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332588	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332589	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. perexilis</i>	UF 332590	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>"Turritella" pilsbryi</i>	PRI 108265	Appomattox, VA	Yorktown
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	UF 329909	Isle of Wight Co., VA	Yorktown
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	UF 332447	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	UF 225231	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pilsbryi</i>	UF 332443	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds

Taxon	Catalog No.	Locality	Stratigraphy
<i>"Turritella" pontoni</i>	PRI 45396	Okeechobee Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>T. pontoni</i>	PRI 45397	Okeechobee Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>T. pontoni</i>	PRI 41859	Sarasota Co., FL	Tamiami/Caloosahatchee
<i>T. pontoni</i>	PRI 48902	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 332525	Manatee Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 181727	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 332640	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 332530	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 252668	Collier Co., FL	Ochopee Ls
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 12303	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Tamiami
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 36108	Hendry Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 159858	Broward Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 181431	Charlotte Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 248732	Broward Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. pontoni</i>	UF 181376	Sarasota Co., FL	Tamiami/Caloosahatchee
<i>"Turritella" seminole</i>	PRI 40393	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. seminole</i>	PRI 50131	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. seminole</i>	PRI 108266	Hendry Co. FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. seminole</i>	PRI 50131	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>"Turritella" virginica</i>	UF 329967	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 332687	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 329966	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 164189	Glynn Co., GA	Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 164195	Glynn Co., GA	Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 164308	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 164310	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 165279	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 166712	Glynn Co., GA	Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 171920	Glynn Co., GA	Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 332693	Glynn Co., GA	Raysor
<i>T. virginica</i>	UF 332668	Glynn Co., GA	Duplin/Raysor
<i>"Turritella" wagneriana</i>	PRI 40397	De Soto Co., FL	Calosahatchee
<i>T. wagneriana</i>	UF 9742	Sarasota Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. wagneriana</i>	UF 181622	Miami-Dade Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds
<i>T. wagneriana</i>	UF 332629	Collier Co., FL	Pinecrest Beds

APPENDIX 3

Character coding for phylogenetic analyses.

Protoconch characters (1–3)

Character 1. Protoconch, diameter.

- 0 = small (<250µm) (see Text-fig. 5)
- 1 = medium (250–350 µm)
- 2 = large (>350 µm)

Character 2. Protoconch, number of whorls.

- 0 = one
- 1 = two
- 2 = more than 2

Character 3. Protoconch, type.

- 0 = submerged
- 1 = normal
- 2 = nucleus raised/pointed
- 3 = *Gazameda* type
- 4 = conical
- 5 = many spiral

Apical sculpture characters (4–6)

Note: Cords that appear simultaneously share codes. For example, if cord A and C co-appear following cord B, the apical sculpture formula will be B1A2B2.

Character 4. Apical sculpture formula, order of appearance for cord A.

- 0 = first
- 1 = second
- 2 = third
- ? = never

Character 5. Apical sculpture formula, order of appearance for cord B.

- 0 = first
- 1 = second
- 2 = third
- ? = never

Character 6. Apical sculpture formula, order of appearance for cord C.

- 0 = first
- 1 = second
- 2 = third
- ? = never

Sinus characters (7–11)

Character 7. Sinus, basal sinus shape (see Text-fig. 10).

- 0 = type one
- 1 = type two
- 2 = type three
- 3 = type four
- 4 = type five
- 5 = type six

Character 8. Sinus, lateral sinus depth.

- 0 = shallow
- 1 = medium
- 2 = deep

Character 9. Sinus, lateral angle.

- 0 = prosocline
- 1 = straight
- 2 = opisthocline

Character 10. Sinus, lateral type (inflection points).

- 0 = single on bottom
- 1 = double
- 2 = single on top
- 3 = none

Character 11. Sinus, lateral sinus apex location.

- 0 = upper
- 1 = middle
- 2 = lower

Angle characters (12, 13) (see Text-fig. 3)

Character 12. Angle, pleural.

- 0 = >10 degrees
- 1 = 10–15 degrees
- 2 = <15 degrees

Character 13. Angle, apical.

- 0 = >10 degrees
- 1 = 10–15 degrees
- 2 = 15–20 degrees
- 3 = >20 degrees

Whorl profile characters (14, 15) (see Text-fig. 4):

Character 14. Whorl profile, juvenile.

- 0 = convex
- 1 = subquadrate
- 2 = flat-sided
- 3 = frustate
- 4 = imbricate
- 5 = concave
- 6 = keeled
- 7 = telescoped
- 8 = campanulate
- 9 = hypercampanulate
- 10 = straight-sided
- 11 = telescoped acute

Character 15. Whorl profile, adult.

- 0 = convex
- 1 = subquadrate
- 2 = flat-sided
- 3 = frustate
- 4 = imbricate
- 5 = concave
- 6 = keeled
- 7 = telescoped
- 8 = campanulate
- 9 = hypercampanulate
- 10 = straight-sided
- 11 = telescoped acute

Aperture characters (16, 17)

Character 16. Aperture, shape.

- 0 = square
- 1 = rounded square (subquadrate)
- 2 = circle
- 3 = teardrop

Character 17. Aperture, internal lirae. Note that the presence of lirae was found to be autapomorphic in our dataset and was not included in analyses.

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Suture characters (18, 19)

Character 18. Suture, depth.

- 0 = shallow
- 1 = medium
- 2 = deep or incised

Character 19. Suture, depth change.

- 0 = none
- 1 = depth increases with length

Juvenile chord morphology characters (20–22)

Character 20. Juvenile cord morphology, most prominent.

- 0 = absent/multiway tie
- 1 = A
- 2 = B
- 3 = C
- 4 = D

Character 21. Juvenile cord morphology, number of primary cords.

- 0 = one
- 1 = two
- 2 = three
- 3 = four

Character 22. Juvenile cord morphology, presence of minor cords.

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Adult chord morphology characters (23–25)

Character 23. Adult cord morphology, most prominent.

- 0 = absent/multiway tie
- 1 = A
- 2 = B
- 3 = C
- 4 = D

Character 24. Adult cord morphology, number of primary cords.

- 0 = one
- 1 = two
- 2 = three
- 3 = four

Character 25. Adult cord morphology, presence of minor cords.

- 0 = zero
- 1 = one
- 2 = two
- 3 = three
- 4 = many

Axial ornament characters (26–28)

Character 26. Axial ornaments, beading.

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Character 27. Axial ornaments, longitudinal flammules. Unlike other axial ornaments, longitudinal flammules are not the result of exaggerated growth lines and are sometimes vertically aligned from one whorl to the next.

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Character 28. Axial ornaments, raised growth lines. This character is coded using adult (relatively large) specimens only as this is almost always a gerontic condition in turritellids.

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Hollow newel (see Text-fig. 11)

Character 29. Hollow newel. First described by Friend et al. (2023), a hollow newel is the opening in the basal end of the columellar region. Like a true umbilicus, this opening can be accessed from outside the shell. It is not, however, a true umbilicus because its opening is *inside* the aperture (and thus a part of the aperture), rather than next to the aperture. A comparison to spiral staircases serves to clarify our observations and nomenclature. In architectural terminology, a *newel* is the central support post or pillar of spiral staircases. A *hollow newel* is “an opening in the center of a winding staircase in place of a newel post, the stairs being supported each step by those below, and all held in place by the wall” (<https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/hollow%20newel>). In gastropods, the presence of a hollow newel is the result of an absence of complete inner shell wall deposition that would otherwise form the columella, or in cases where $D > 0$, the wall bounding the umbilicus. Hollow newel construction is rare in high spired gastropods, including turritellids. We find it to be most parsimonious to consider these species a clade as this feature is generally rare but occurs in a number of

fossil and extant species which share similar biogeography, as well as only in extant species which were found to be closely related in recent molecular analyses (Sang et al. 2019; Anderson 2018). Hollow newel construction also has the advantage of being readily identifiable, even by non-specialists. Some hollow newel species outside of the Western Atlantic may belong in *Protoma*. This genus includes the largest known turritellid, *Caviturritella abrupta* (See Anderson and Allmon 2020). For additional comments on the genus, see Friend et al. (2023).

- 0 = absent
- 1 = present

Character 30. Shell size, class.

- 0 = < 40mm
- 1 = 40–60mm
- 2 = > 60mm

Basal surface

Character 31. Basal surface, texture. The rough state is always convex in our species and lineated basal surfaces characterize those specimens with flat basal surfaces.

- 0 = unlineated
- 1 = lineated

Uncoiling

Character 32. Uncoiling, typical of ontogeny

- 0 = does not uncoil
- 1 = later whorls uncoil

Character 33. Uncoiling, average number of whorls. The number of whorls at the time of uncoiling varies infraspecifically, therefore average values were used with divisions reflecting the most consistent species differences observed.

- 0 = never
- 1 = >10 whorls
- 2 = 7–9 whorls
- 3 = 6 or fewer whorls

Character matrix used in phylogenetic analysis of *Cavitturritella*. The outgroup taxon is indicated by (OG).

	<i>nodulosa</i> (OG)	<i>eituanensis</i>	<i>tstriata</i>	<i>variegata</i>	<i>musfeldti</i>	<i>magnasulus</i>	<i>gonostoma</i>	<i>leucostoma</i>	<i>banksii</i>	<i>alimensis</i>
Character										
1. Protoconch, diameter	0	0	0	1	?	1	2	1	2	1
2. Protoconch, number of whorls	1	0	0	2	?	1	0	1	0	0
3. Protoconch, type	1	1	1	1	?	1	1	1	1	1
4. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord A	2	1	1	2	?	2	2	2	1	2
5. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord B	1	1	2	1	?	1	1	1	1	1
6. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord C	0	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	0	0
7. Sinus, basal sinus shape	2	1	?	1	1	1	0	0	5	2
8. Sinus, lateral sinus depth	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	2
9. Sinus, lateral angle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Sinus, lateral type (inflection points)	3	0	?	3	2	2	2	2	3	0
11. Sinus, lateral sinus apex location	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
12. Angle, pleural	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	1	2	1
13. Angle, apical	3	?	?	3	3	1	2	1	3	2
14. Whorl profile, juvenile	6	6	3	6	0	6	2	6	6	5
15. Whorl profile, adult	7	1	3	2	1	0	1	3	4	1
16. Aperture, shape	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
17. Aperture, internal lirae	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18. Suture, depth	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
19. Suture, depth change	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
20. Juvenile cord morphology, most prominent	3	0	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	3
21. Juvenile cord morphology, number of primary spiral cords	2	3	1	3	2	1	2	2	1	1
22. Juvenile cord morphology, presence of minor spiral cords	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
23. Adult cord morphology, most prominent	3	3	0	0	0	2	2	3	2	0
24. Adult cord morphology, number of primary cords	2	1	1	3	3	2	2	2	1	2
25. Adult cord morphology, number of minor cords	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
26. Axial ornaments, beading	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
27. Axial ornaments, longitudinal flammules	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28. Axial ornaments, raised growth lines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29. Hollow newel	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
30. Shell size, class	0	1	1	2	3	1	3	3	3	3
31. Basal surface, texture linedated	1	0	?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

APPENDIX 5

Character matrix used in phylogenetic analysis of *Torcula*. The outgroup taxon is indicated by (OG).

Character	<i>apiculis</i>	<i>cookei</i>	<i>clarksvillensis</i>	<i>clarionensis</i>	<i>radula</i>	<i>peratenuata</i>	<i>exoleta</i>	<i>conspersa</i>	<i>lunbriculis</i>	<i>milleri</i>	<i>pellicida</i>	<i>M. roseus</i> (OG)
1. Protoconch, diameter	1	1	?	?	?	1	1	?	2	2	1	2
2. Protoconch, number of whorls	0	?	?	?	?	0	0	?	1	?	1	2
3. Protoconch, type	1	1	?	?	?	1	1	?	1	1	1	2
4. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord A	1	1	?	?	?	1	1	?	1	1	1	2
5. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord B	?	2	?	?	?	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
6. Apical sculptural formula, order of appearance for cord C	0	0	?	?	?	0	0	1	?	?	?	1
7. Sinus, basal sinus shape	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	1	0	0	0	2
8. Sinus, lateral sinus depth	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	0	2	0	1	1
9. Sinus, lateral angle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0
10. Sinus, lateral type (inflection points)	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	2	0	3
11. Sinus, lateral sinus apex location	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2
12. Angle, pleural	1	2	1	?	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	2
13. Angle, apical	?	0	?	?	?	0	2	3	1	0	0	3
14. Whorl profile, juvenile	6	6	6	5	5	6	6	6	8	8	8	3
15. Whorl profile, adult	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	?	?	?	3
16. Aperture, shape	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1
17. Aperture, internal lirae	0	0	?	?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18. Suture, depth	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
19. Suture, depth change	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	?	?	?	0
20. Juvenile cord morphology, most prominent	1	3	3	1	0	3	3	2	3	4	3	0
21. Juvenile cord morphology, number of primary spiral cords	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	3	3	2
22. Juvenile cord morphology, presence of minor spiral cords	0	0	0	?	0	1	1	1	0	0	4	1
23. Adult cord morphology, most prominent	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	3	3
24. Adult cord morphology, number of primary cords	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	2
25. Adult cord morphology, number of minor cords	2	1	1	3	4	3	3	4	0	0	4	4
26. Axial ornaments, beading	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
27. Axial ornaments, longitudinal flammules	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
28. Axial ornaments, raised growth lines	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
29. Hollow newel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30. Shell size, class	0	3	1	1	2	3	2	1	0	0	1	1
31. Basal surface, texture lineated	0	0	0	?	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
32. Uncoiling, typical of ontogeny	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
33. Uncoiling, average number of whorls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	0

INDEX

Page numbers in **bold** refer to the primary discussion; numbers in *italics* refer to illustrations.

- abrupta*, *Turritella/Caviturritella* 14, 15, 42
 Academy of Natural Sciences 5
 Acline Borrow Pits, Florida 56
acropora, *Turritella* 4, 34, 36, 47
alabaensis, *Turritella* 4
 Alligator Alley, Florida 19, 54, 57
alticostata, *Turritella* “*Turritella*” 4, 11, 17, **29**, 29, 30, 40, 47, 62
alticostata zone (see Yorktown Formation)
 Alum Bluff, Florida 2, 15, 17, 54, 57
alumensis, *Turritella/Caviturritella* 4, **9**, 13, 14, **15**, 16, 17, 19, 38, 45, 47, 60
 American Museum of Natural History 5
 Andrews Island, Georgia 57
 APAC shell pit, Sarasota, Florida 54, 55, 57
apicalis, *Turritella/Torcula* 4, **10**, **23**, 24, 42, 46, 47, 61
Apicula 31, 41
 Arcadia, Florida 56
 Arvida Pits, Florida 54
- Bactrospira* 26
 Bailey Post Office, Florida 55
 Banana Branch, Fort Denaud, Florida 55
 Banana River, Florida 36
banksii, *Turritella/Caviturritella* 14, 45
 Bear Bluff Formation 3, 18, 30, 33
beaufortensis, *Turritella* 4, 29, 30
 Belle Glade, Florida 55
 Bermont Excavating, Florida 56
 Bermont Formation 3, 23, 36
 Bertie, North Carolina 58
biangulata, *Turritella* 22
bicarinata, *Turritella* 19
bifastigata, *Turritella* 15
 Big Cypress, Florida 56
bipertita, *Turritella* 4, 38
 Bird Road, Miami, Florida 34, 39, 54
 body size 7
 Bonita Springs Marl (see Tamiami Formation)
 Brighton Canal, Florida 55
broderipiana, *Turritella/Caviturritella* 14, 42
Broderiptella 14, 15
 brooding (see embryonic shells)
 Buckingham Limestone (see Tamiami Formation)
buckinghamensis, *Turritella* 4, 24
burdenii, *Turritella* 4, 24, 45
burnsii, *Turritella* 34, 36
 Burwells Bay, Virginia 59
- Caloosahatchee Formation 3, 23, 25, 28, 31, 36, 41
 Caloosahatchee River, Florida 23, 28, 54, 57
 Cane Patch Formation 3, 36
 Carnegie Museum of Natural History 5
carolinensis, *Turritella* 4, 31
cartagensis, *Turritella* 37
- Carter’s Grove, Virginia 59
Caviturritella 9, 15, 42, 45–47
 characters 42 (see also morphological features)
 character matrix 69, 70
 Chesapeake Development Company Pit, Virginia 59
 Chestnut Bluffs, Virginia 58
 Chipola Beds/Formation 16
 Chippokes Plantation, Virginia 59
 Chocowinity, North Carolina 58
 Chocktawhatchee Formation 25
 Choptank Formation 17, 30
 Choptank River, Maryland 30
 Chowan River Formation 3, 20, 22, 30, 36
 Chuckatuck, Virginia 40, 59
cingulata, *Turritella* 4, 32
clarionensis, *Turritella/Torcula* 44, 46
 Clarksville, Florida 25, 56
clarksvillensis, *Turritella/Torcula* 4, **10**, **25**, 26, 46, 47, 61
 Clewiston, Florida 57
 Cochran Shell Pit, Florida 55
 Colerain Beach, North Carolina 58
 color preserved on shell 40
 Como Waterway, Florida 55
 composite specimens 28
conspersa, “*Turritella*” 42, 44, 46
cookei, *Turritella/Torcula* 4, **10**, **25**, 26, 46, 47, 61
 Coral Springs, Florida 56
 Cracker Swamp Ranch, Florida 57
 Crane Lake, Chuckatuck, Virginia 40
 Craney Island, Virginia 59
 Crescent Beach, South Carolina 57
cumberlandia, *Turritella* 17
- Darlings Slide, Florida 54
 Days Point, Virginia 59
 determinate growth 33
 Double Branch, Florida 54
 Dripping Springs, Florida 54
 Duplin Formation 3, 15, 18, 20, 30, 36
duplinensis, *Turritella* 4, 30
- Econfina Bridge, Florida 56
Eichwaldiella 15, 19, 35, 37
 Elkam Waterway, Florida 56
 embryonic shells (see also morphological features, protoconch)
 17, 19, 30, 38
 Estero, Florida 55
etiwanensis, *Turritella/Caviturritella* 4, **9**, 14, **17**, 18, 19, 45, 47, 60
 Evergreen, Virginia 59
exaltata, *Turritella* 4, 45
exoleta, *Turritella/Torcula* 4, 6, 22, 28, 44, 46, 47

- Fancy Bluff Creek, Georgia 57
 Florida Museum of Natural History 5
 Florida Shell and Fill, Florida 56
fluxionalis, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 11, **30**, 31, 45, 47, 62, 63
 Fort Basinger, Florida 56
 Fort Boykins, Virginia 59
 Fort Denaud Rock Pit, Florida 55
 Fort Lee, Florida 55
 Fort Thompson, Florida 28
 Ft. Thompson Formation 3, 23
 Fortymile Bend, Florida 56
- gardnerae*, *Turritella* 4, 16
gatenensis, *Turritella* 38
 genus concept 6
 Georgia, fossils from 45
gladeensis, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 11, 24, **31**, 32, 47, 63
 Golden Gate Reef Member (see Tamiami Formation)
gonostoma, *Turritella*/*Caviturritella* 13, 14, 15, 45
 Goose Creek Formation 3, 18, 36
 growth lines (see morphological features)
 Gully Pond/Sink, Florida 55
- Hamlin Pond, Florida 55
 Hampton, Virginia 30
 Harney Pond Canal, Florida 55
 Harris, Gilbert 17
harveyensis, *Turritella* 4, 25
 Harvey's Creek, Florida 25, 54
Haustator 15, 22
 Hayes Waterfall, Florida 56
 Haynes Mill, Virginia 59
 hollow newell (see morphological features)
holmesii, *Turritella* 4
 homologous characters 9
 Hosford, Florida 56
Hyotissa bed (see Tamiami Formation)
- indenta*, *Turritella* 17
 Interceptor Canal, Florida 56
intermedia, *Turritella* 4, 36
- Jackson Bluff, Florida 54, 56
 Jackson Bluff Formation 3, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30, 36
jacksonensis, *Turritella* 4, 35, 36
jacula, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 11, **33**, 33, 47, 63
 James City, Virginia 58
 James City Formation 3
 James River, Virginia 30, 58, 59
- Kings Mill, Virginia 58
 Kirby, Chet 45
 Kirby's Pond Quarry, South Carolina 19, 58
 Kissimmee Canal, Florida 54, 57
 Kissimmee River, Florida 56
- La Belle, Florida 56
 Lake of the Meadows, Florida 34, 39
 Lanier Bridge, Georgia 57
 larval shells (see embryonic shells)
 Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina 58
leonensis, *Turritella* 4, 35
leucostoma, *Turritella*/*Caviturritella* 14, 15, 45
 Lockheed Martin Berkeley Quarry, South Carolina 57
 Lomax King pit, Florida 56
 Lone Star Cement Company pit, Chucakatuck, Virginia 40, 59
 Lumber River, North Carolina 58
- Macasphalt pit, Sarasota, Florida (see APAC)
magnasulcus, *Turritella*/*Caviturritella* 4, **9**, 13, 14, **19**, 20, 45, 47, 60, 61
mansfieldi, *Turritella*/*Caviturritella* 4, **9**, 14, **19**, 21, 38, 45, 47, 63
Maoricolpus 6, 44, 46
 Marwick Diagram 8–12
 Maury, Carlotta 17
 Mayesville, South Carolina 57
mediosulcata, *Turritella* 4
Mesalia 6
 Miami Canal, Florida 55
miamiensis, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 11, **34**, 34, 47, 63, 64
mimetes, *Turritella* 15
 Moore Haven, Florida 55
 Moore House Member (see Yorktown Formation)
 Morgarts Beach, Virginia 59
 Morgarts Beach Member (see Yorktown Formation)
 morphological features (see also characters) 7, 66–68
 aperture 7, 10
 apical angle 7
 apical spiral sculpture formula 7, 14
 basal sinus (of growth line) 7, 10, 13, 15, 23
 growth lines 7, 10, 13, 15, 23
 hollow newel 2, 10, 13, 14
 lateral sinus (of growth line) 13, 15, 23
 maximum length 7
 maximum width 7
 outer lip 7
 pleural angle 7
 protoconch 7, 11, 22
 protoconch-teleoconch boundary 7, 8
 spiral sculpture 7–9
 suture 7
 teleoconch 8, 9
 whorl profile 7, **8**
- Muldrow Place, South Carolina 57
 Mule Pen, Florida 55
 Murfreesboro, North Carolina 58
 Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University 5
- Nashua Formation 3, 36, 37
 National Museum of Natural History (Smithsonian Institution) 5
 Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County 5
 Natural Well, North Carolina 58
 Ned Moore Farm, South Carolina 57

- Neils Eddy Landing, North Carolina 58
nodulosa, “*Turritella*” 45
 nomen nudum 4, 17, 31, 38, 45
- obsoleta*, *Turritella* 4, 27, 28
ochlockoneensis, *Turritella* 4, 35, 36
 Ochopee, Florida 54
 Ochopee Limestone Member (see Tamiami Formation)
 Okeechobee Formation 3
 Old Dock, North Carolina 58
 Olga, Florida 54
Oligodia 15, 19
 ontogeny 7, 8
 Orangetree, Florida 55
 outer lip (see morphological features)
- Paleontological Research Institution 5
Pecten clintonius zone (see Yorktown Formation)
 Peedee, South Carolina 18
perattenuata, *Turritella*/*Torcula* 4, 10, **26**, 27, 46, 47, 62
perexilis, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 12, **34**, 35, 37, 45, 47, 64
perincisa, *Turritella* 4, 36
permenteri, *Turritella* 4
 phylogenetic analysis 2, 42
 Piankitank River, Virginia 59
pilsbryi, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 12, 17, 19, **38**, 39, 47, 64
 Pinecrest Beds (see Tamiami Formation)
 Pinecrest, Florida 20
 pleural angle (see morphological features)
 Polk Creek, Florida 56
pontoni, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 12, **37**, 37, 38, 47, 65
 Poquoson Lone Star Industries Pit, Virginia 59
 Port Charlotte, Florida 55
 Porters Landing, Savannah River, Georgia 57
 Proctor Road-Metal Pit, Florida 56
 protoconch (see morphological features; embryonic shells)
Protoma 6
- Quality Aggregates quarry, Sarasota, Florida 55–57
- radula*, *Turritella*/*Torcula* 6, 44, 46
 Raysor Formation 3, 15, 18, 20, 30, 33, 36
 Red Head Still, Florida 55
 Rice’s Pit, Virginia 30, 58
 Richardson Road Shell Pit, Florida (see APAC)
 Robeson Farm, North Carolina 58
roseus, *Maoricolpus* (see *Maoricolpus*)
 Rushmere Member (see Yorktown Formation)
- Sarasota, Florida 3, 57
 Schmidt’s Bluff, Virginia 58
 sculpture (see morphological features)
seminole, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 4, 12, **38**, 39, 47, 65
 Seraphsidae 20
 Shell Creek, Myakka River, Florida 41, 54, 55
 shell size 7 (see also morphological features)
 Shoal River Formation 33
- SMR Aggregates, Florida 56
 Snell Isle, Florida 55
 Sombrero Light, Florida 55
 Sommers Pit, Florida 56
 South Bay, Florida 56
 Southall Pit, Virginia 59
 species concept 6
 spiral sculpture (see morphological features)
 St. Petersburg, Florida 55
 Star Pit, Florida 57
 stratigraphy 2, 3
 Stokes Sand and Gravel Eagle Point Pit, South Carolina 57
striata, *Turritella* 4, 20, 45
subannulata, *Turritella* 5, 34, 36, 37
 Sunken Meadow Member (see Yorktown Formation)
 Sunniland, Florida 56
- Tamiami Canal, Florida 56
 Tamiami Formation 3
 Bonita Springs Marl 3
 Buckingham Limestone 3, 23
 Golden Gate Reef Member 3
 Hyoissa bed 3
 Ochopee Limestone Member 3, 19, 25, 36, 37
 Pinecrest Beds 3, 15, 18–20, 23, 25, 28, 30, 31, 33, 36–38, 41
 Tamiami Trail, Florida 32, 37, 54
 Tampa Bay, Florida 2
 Tar River, North Carolina 58
taranakiensis, *Zeacolpus* 17
 Tarboro, North Carolina 58
 Tearcoat Branch, South Carolina 57
 teleoconch (see morphological features)
tensa, *Turritella* 5, 24
Terebellum 20, 45
terebriiformis, *Turritella* 5, 16
terstriata, *Turritella*/*Cavitturritella* 5, **9**, 14, **21**, 22, 45, 47, 61
Torcula 6, 10, **22**, 42, **44**, 47
 Turners Landing, Virginia 59
 “*Turritella*” 6, 11, **28**, 47
Turritella 6
Turritella sensu lato (see also “*Turritella*”) 6, 28, 45
Turritella sensu stricto 6, 7
 Turritellidae 2, 14, 20
 Turtle River, Georgia 57
 Tutter’s Neck Dam, Virginia 59
- undula*, *Turritella* 5, 27, 28
 University Parkway Shell Pit, Sarasota, Florida (see Quality Aggregates quarry)
- vaughanensis*, *Turritella* 5
variegata, *Turritella*/*Cavitturritella* 14, 45
Vermicularia 2, 6, 19, 42, 44, 45, 46
virginica, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 5, 12, **40**, 40, 45, 47, 65

Waccamaw Formation 3, 20, 30, 36
Wagner Free Institute of Science 5
wagneriana, *Turritella*“*Turritella*” 5, 12, **41**, 41, 42, 47, 65
Walkerton, Virginia 59
Warren Brothers pit, Sarasota, Florida (see APAC)
wastebasket taxon 6
Watkins Mill, Virginia 59
Watsons Landing, Florida 54
Whites Creek, Florida 33, 55
whorl profile (see morphological features)
Williamsburg, Virginia 21, 30, 59
Williamson's Pit, North Carolina 58
Wilmington, NC 36, 58

Yates Cut, Virginia 58
York River, Virginia 58
Yorktown Formation 3, 15, 18, 30, 38
 Moore House Member 3
 Morgarts Beach Member 3
 Pecten clintonius zone 30
 Rushmere Member 3
 Sunken Meadow Member 3, 21
 Turritella alticostata zone 30
 Zone 1 3, 30, 38
 Zone 2 3, 30

Zone 1/2 (see Yorktown Formation)