12TH INTERNATIONAL KIMBERLITE CONFERENCE
30 YEARS OF DIAMONDS IN CANADA
8–12 July 2024 • Yellowknife
12th International Kimberlite Conference Extended Abstract No. 12IKC-179, 2024

Temporal changes in diamond formation by subduction through Earth history: thermal modeling, seismology, & petrology evidence

Steven B Shirey[1], Karen V Smit[2], D Graham Pearson[3], Michael J Walter[1], & Thomas Stachel[3]
[1]*Carnegie Institution for Science, Earth and Planets Lab, Washington, DC USA, sshirey@carnegiescience.edu*
[2]*University of the Witwatersraand, School of Geosciences, Johannesburg, South Africa, karen.smit@wits.ac.za*
[3]*University of Alberta, Dept Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, Edmonton, AB Canada, gdpearso@ualberta.ca*

Introduction

Lithospheric diamonds and sublithospheric diamonds both contain evidence for the recycling of surficial components that have equilibrated at low temperatures in ocean floor hydrothermal systems. The known way to inject these materials into diamond-forming regions of the mantle is the oceanic lithosphere or "slab" subduction of plate tectonics. Both diamond types have thus formed by variants of slab subduction that may have differed in depth and style over geologic time.

Sublithospheric diamonds

In the current plate tectonic regime, thermal modeling, petrology, and seismology (Shirey et al. 2021) show that cold slabs avoid the devolatilization occurring with normal arc and wedge subduction and carry some of their volatiles into the deep upper mantle, mantle transition zone, and uppermost lower mantle. Crust near the slab surface devolatilizes by melting at these depths when it intersects its carbonated solidus (Shirey, et al. 2024; Thomson, et al. 2016; Walter, et al. 2022). Mantle that is deeper and colder towards the slab interior will devolatilize later because it has to heat up to melt or re-crystallize 'dryer' mineral assemblages (Shirey, et al. 2024; Shirey, et al. 2021; Walter, et al. 2022). Fluids in cracks and faults will become diamond-forming as they react with enclosing mantle rocks (Shirey, et al. 2021). Diamonds formed in this way will record deformation produced by mantle convection, trap mantle minerals reacting with diamond-forming fluids, and can become lodged beneath mantle keels by diapiric uprise (e.g. Timmerman, et al. 2023). Sublithospheric diamonds are the prime natural samples we have from modern deep mantle geodynamics.

Comparison of lithospheric vs sublithospheric diamonds

Lithospheric diamonds, stored in static ancient continental keels, lack the connection to the type of deep geodynamic regime that is evident from sublithospheric diamonds. A comparison between the two diamond types can lead to a geologic model for lithospheric diamond formation in the ancient past. Lithospheric diamonds are different from sublithospheric diamonds in critical ways: 1) much higher average N content including heavy $\delta^{15}N$ (Stachel, et al. 2022), 2) older ages extending into the Paleoarchean (Smit et al. 2022), 3) inclusion assemblages indicating formation at lower pressure, 4) lack of certain internal deformation features, and 5) having sulfide inclusions some with MIF S isotopic compositions (Farquhar, et al. 2002).

Nitrogen in the slab

Nitrogen content is critical to relating lithospheric diamonds to a lithospheric diamond subduction model. Nitrogen occurs in clays and sediments at the slab surface or uppermost crust (Bebout, et al. 2016). For sublithospheric diamonds derived from supercritical aqueous fluids/melts or carbonatitic liquids, their low nitrogen content occurs because they crystallized from low nitrogen fluids produced once nitrogen has been largely devolatilized (Bebout, et al. 2016; Li and Keppler 2014), from rocks deeper in the slab where nitrogen is scarce (e.g. Mikhail and Howell 2016), or from equilibration with a phase that has a high affinity for nitrogen (Rustioni, et al. 2024; Smith and Kopylova 2014). For lithospheric diamonds, the converse is true —their high nitrogen content is evidence that diamond-forming fluids are much richer in nitrogen. This basic fact leads to a clear constraint on Archean subduction and continental craton assembly.

Lithospheric diamonds —the most important continental growth indicator?

To produce lithospheric diamonds with higher N content, their fluids would have to have been derived from the surface of warmer slabs, since this is where nitrogen resides. Such devolatilization from slab surfaces and of warmer slabs occurs shallower than 300 km and releases fluids into the mantle wedge when one exists. At these depths, under normal island arc or continental arc settings, the mantle wedge is too oxidizing to promote diamond crystallization. Furthermore, any magmas formed will be basalts unable to transport diamonds without dissolving them. To explain the high nitrogen content of most lithospheric diamonds, we propose a setting where subduction occurs against the dipping edge of a subcontinental mantle keel and that this condition is a requirement so that they can crystallize from nitrogen-rich fluids. This setting allows for mantle-wedge-free transfer of diamond-forming fluids from the subducting plate to the *hanging wall* of the reducing and nascent subcontinental mantle keel. Such settings have been proposed for the formation of Mesoarchean diamonds in the Kimberley Block of the Kaapvaal Craton (Shirey, et al. 2013) and the Phanerozoic fibrous diamonds of the Slave Craton (Weiss, et al. 2015) among others. The existence and location of high nitrogen, lithospheric diamonds thus become indicators of slab subduction directly against the continental mantle keel. As such, they are better *fossils* of Archean and Proterozoic mantle geodynamics than detrital zircons or TTGs which must be viewed through the lens of crustal differentiation.

Implications for temporal changes in subduction and blueschist preservation

A gradual temporal change from shallow, keel-adjacent, mantle-wedge-poor subduction that produced lithospheric diamonds starting in the Mesoarchean (e.g. Shirey and Richardson 2011) to cold and deep subduction that produced sublithospheric diamonds in the Paleozoic (Timmerman, et al. 2023) has recently been proposed to have started in the in the late Paleoproterozoic (e.g. Zhang, et al. 2024). This temporal change is consistent with many geologic features that have been proposed for the Archean: an early stagnant lid and a buoyant Archean oceanic lithosphere (Foley 2018); shallow formation of the subcontinental lithospheric mantle (Stachel, et al. 1998); advective thickening of the cratonic keel (Jordan 1988; Pearson, et al. 2021); slab-imbrication accompanying lithospheric thickening (Timmerman, et al. 2022); the diamond endowment of portions of mantle keels; and the anomalously diamond-rich nature of ancient eclogites (Taylor, et al. 2000).

More importantly, keel-adjacent, mantle-wedge-absent subduction that is part of the advective lithosphere thickening process would likely not preserve blueshists in the geologic record. The absence of blueschists greater than 1 Ga has been extensively promoted as the key indicator that subduction started late in Earth history (e.g. Stern 2018). Yet, the youth age of blueschists and paucity of > 1Ga blueschist occurrences could be explained by preservation bias. Low T– high P terrains of the type that host blueschists are found today only at young, non-cratonic continent edges where the lithosphere is thin (Brown and Johnson 2019). The eclogite found in continental mantle keels, while likely minor (Schulze 1989) is wide-spread and generally thought to be ancient incorporated oceanic slab crust (Jacob 2004). But some of the eclogite could be the ancient blueschist from keel-adjacent, mantle-wedge-absent subduction that was incorporated during advective thickening of the lithosphere. Proterozoic to Archean cratonic lithosphere has been thickened substantially to >200 km in a time frame that roughly follows the ages (Brown & Johnson 2019) of crustal metamorphic terranes. Lithospheric diamonds then, are our best indicator that modern-style plate tectonics started on Earth at least in the Mesoarchean.

References

Bebout GE, Lazzeri KE, Geiger CA (2016) Pathways for nitrogen cycling in Earth's crust and upper mantle: A review and new results for microporous beryl and cordierite. Am Miner 101:7–24

Brown M, Johnson T (2019) Metamorphism and the evolution of subduction on Earth. Am Miner 104:1065–1082

Farquhar J, Wing BA, McKeegan KD, Harris JW, Cartigny P, Thiemens MH (2002) Mass-Independent sulfur of Inclusions in diamond and sulfur recycling on early Earth. Science 298:2369–2372

Foley BJ (2018) The dependence of planetary tectonics on mantle thermal state: applications to early Earth evolution. Philos Trans R Soc A: Math, Phys Eng Sci 376:20170409

Jacob DE (2004) Nature and origin of eclogite xenoliths from kimberlites. Lithos 77:295–316
Jordan TH (1988) Structure and formation of the continental tectosphere. J Petrol Special Volume:11–37
Li Y, Keppler H (2014) Nitrogen speciation in mantle and crustal fluids. Geochim Cosmochim Ac 129:13–32
Mikhail S, Howell D (2016) A petrological assessment of diamond as a recorder of the mantle nitrogen cycle. Am Miner 101:780–787
Pearson DG, Scott JM, Liu J, Schaeffer A, Wang LH, van Hunen J, Szilas K, Chacko T, Kelemen PB (2021) Deep continental roots and cratons. Nature 596:199–210
Rustioni G, Wiedenbeck M, Miyajima N, Chanyshev A, Keppler H (2024) Magnesiowüstite as a major nitrogen reservoir in Earth's lowermost mantle. Geochem Perspect Lett 28:43-47
Schulze DJ (1989) Constraints on the abundance of eclogite in the upper mantle. Journal of Geophysical Research 94:4205–4212
Shirey SB, Cartigny P, Frost DJ, Keshav S, Nestola F, Nimis P, Pearson DG, Sobolev NV, Walter MJ (2013) Diamonds and the geology of mantle carbon. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 75:355–421
Shirey SB, Pearson DG, Stachel T, Walter MJ (2024) Sublithospheric diamonds: plate tectonics from Earth's deepest mantle samples. Annual Reviews of Earth and Planetary Sciences 52:9.1–9.45
Shirey SB, Richardson SH (2011) Start of the Wilson Cycle at 3 Ga shown by diamonds from subcontinental mantle. Science 333:434–436
Shirey SB, Wagner LS, Walter MJ, Pearson DG, van Keken PE (2021) Slab transport of fluids to deep focus earthquake depths – thermal modeling constraints and evidence from diamonds. AGU Advances 2:e2020AV000304
Smith EM, Kopylova MG (2014) Implications of metallic iron for diamonds and nitrogen in the sublithospheric mantle. Can J Earth Sci 51:510–516
Stachel T, Cartigny P, Chacko T, Pearson DG (2022) Carbon and nitrogen in mantle-derived diamonds. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 88:809–875
Stachel T, Viljoen KS, Brey G, Harris JW (1998) Metasomatic processes in lherzolitic and harzburgitic domains of diamondiferous lithospheric mantle: REE in garnets from xenoliths and inclusions in diamonds. Earth Planet Sci Lett 159:1–12
Stern RJ (2018) The evolution of plate tectonics. Philos Trans R Soc A: Math, Phys Eng Sci 376:20170406
Taylor LA, Keller RA, Snyder GA, Wang WY, Carlson WD, Hauri EH, McCandless T, Kim KR, Sobolev NV, Bezborodov SM (2000) Diamonds and their mineral inclusions, and what they tell us: A detailed "pull-apart" of a diamondiferous eclogite. International Geology Review 42:959–983
Thomson AR, Walter MJ, Kohn SC, Brooker RA (2016) Slab melting as a barrier to deep carbon subduction. Nature 529:76–79
Timmerman S, Reimink JR, Vezinet A, Nestola F, Kublik K, Banas A, Stachel T, Stern RA, Luo Y, Sarkar C, Ielpi A, Currie CA, Mircea C, Jackson V, Pearson DG (2022) Mesoarchean diamonds formed in thickened lithosphere, caused by slab-stacking. Earth Planet Sci Lett 592:117633
Timmerman S, Stachel T, Koornneef JM, Smit KV, Harlou R, Nowell GM, Thomson AR, Kohn SC, Davies JHFL, Davies GR, Krebs MY, Zhang Q, Milne SEM, Harris JW, Kaminsky F, Zedgenizov D, Bulanova G, Smith CB, Neto IC, Silveira FV, Burnham AD, Nestola F, Shirey SB, Walter MJ, Steele A, Pearson DG (2023) Sublithospheric diamond ages and the supercontinent cycle. Nature 623:752–756
Walter MJ, Thomson AR, Smith EM (2022) Geochemistry of silicate and oxide inclusions in sublithospheric diamonds. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 88:393-–450
Weiss Y, McNeill J, Pearson DG, Nowell GM, Ottley CJ (2015) Highly saline fluids from a subducting slab as the source for fluid-rich diamonds. Nature 524:339–342
Zhang Q, Timmerman S, Stachel T, Chinn I, Stern RA, Davies J, Nestola F, Luth R, Pearson DG (2024) Sublithospheric diamonds extend Paleoproterozoic record of cold deep subduction into the lower mantle. Earth Planet Sci Lett 634:118675