



K -theory of relative group C^* -algebras and the relative Novikov conjecture

Jintao Deng¹ · Geng Tian² · Zhizhang Xie³ · Guoliang Yu³

Received: 8 September 2022 / Accepted: 2 November 2023 / Published online: 12 February 2024
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

The relative Novikov conjecture states that the relative higher signatures of manifolds with boundary are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs. The relative Baum–Connes assembly encodes information about the relative higher index of elliptic operators on manifolds with boundary. In this paper, we study the relative Baum–Connes assembly map for any pair of groups and apply it to solve the relative Novikov conjecture when the groups satisfy certain geometric conditions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The relative Novikov conjecture	4
2.1	Roe algebras and localization algebras	5
2.2	Rips complex	7
2.3	Relative Roe algebras and relative localization algebras	8
3	Relative Baum–Connes conjecture	12
3.1	Relative reduced assembly map	13
3.2	Relative Baum–Connes conjecture for hyperbolic groups	17
4	A relative Bott periodicity	18

G. Tian is partially supported by the Educational Department of Liaoning Province, Grant number LJKZ0087. Z. Xie is partially supported by NSF 1800737, 1952693 and 2247322. G. Yu is partially supported by NSF 1700021, 2000082, 2247313 and the Simons Fellows Program.

✉ Zhizhang Xie
xie@math.tamu.edu

Jintao Deng
jintao.deng@uwaterloo.ca

Geng Tian
gengtian.math@gmail.com

Guoliang Yu
guoliangyu@math.tamu.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada
² School of Mathematics, Liaoning University, Shenyang, China
³ Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

4.1 C^* -algebras associated with Hilbert spaces	18
4.2 Γ - C^* -algebras associated with coarse embeddings into Hilbert space	20
5 The proofs of the main results	24
5.1 The maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture	24
5.2 The reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture	27
6 Applications to the relative Novikov conjecture	28
References	37

1 Introduction

A fundamental problem in topology is the Novikov conjecture which states that the higher signatures of a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) oriented smooth manifold are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences. The Novikov conjecture has been proved for a large class of manifolds by techniques from noncommutative geometry and geometric group theory. While the Novikov conjecture concerns with closed manifolds, there is a natural analogue, called the relative Novikov conjecture, for compact oriented manifolds with boundary. The relative Novikov conjecture states that the relative higher signatures of a compact oriented smooth manifold with boundary are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs. The purpose of this article is to develop a C^* -algebraic approach to the relative Novikov conjecture. In particular, we prove that the relative Novikov conjecture holds for a compact oriented smooth manifold with boundary if the fundamental groups of the manifold and its boundary satisfy certain geometric conditions.

Suppose M is a compact oriented manifold with boundary ∂M . Let $G = \pi_1(\partial M)$ and $\Gamma = \pi_1 M$ denote their fundamental groups. Moreover, let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the group homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\partial M \hookrightarrow M$. Suppose $\underline{EG}$ (resp. $\underline{E\Gamma}$) is the universal space for proper G (resp. Γ) actions. Then h induces a (G, Γ) -equivariant continuous map from $\underline{EG}$ to $\underline{E\Gamma}$, that is, the map commutes with the actions of G and Γ . One can define a relative Baum–Connes assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E\Gamma}, \underline{EG}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)),$$

where $K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E\Gamma}, \underline{EG})$ is the relative K -homology for the pair $(\underline{E\Gamma}, \underline{EG})$ with respect to h and $C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)$ is the maximal relative group C^* -algebra of the pair of groups (G, Γ) with respect to h . We show that the injectivity of the above relative Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu_{\max}$ implies the relative Novikov conjecture. In general, the injectivity of the relative Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu_{\max}$ remains an open question. In this paper, we verify the injectivity of this relative Baum–Connes assembly map under certain geometric assumptions on the groups Γ and $\ker(h)$. Here $\ker(h) = \{g \in G \mid h(g) = e\}$, where $e \in \Gamma$ is the identity of Γ .

Before we state the main results of this paper, let us first introduce the following notion of group homomorphisms with good kernel property.

Definition 1.1 Let G and Γ be countable discrete groups.

- (1) A homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has maximal good kernel property if for any subgroup $G' \subseteq G$ containing $\ker(h)$ with $[G' : \ker(h)] < \infty$, the maximal Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients holds for G' .
- (2) A homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has reduced good kernel property if for any subgroup $G' \subseteq G$ containing $\ker(h)$ with $[G' : \ker(h)] < \infty$, the reduced Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients holds for G' .

- Example 1.2** (1) If $\ker(h)$ is a-T-menable, then h has both the maximal and the reduced good kernel properties.
- (2) When $\ker(h)$ is word hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov, the map h has the reduced good kernel property.

To motivate one of our main theorems (Theorem B), we first show the following result.

Theorem A *Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism with the maximal good kernel property. Suppose that Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space. Then the maximal relative assembly map*

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective.

For example, if the kernel $\ker(h)$ is a-T-menable and Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space, then the relative assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective. We mention that Y. Kubota also proved the above maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture under slightly stronger assumptions [21]. We thank Y. Kubota for bringing this to our attention.

There are many groups such as hyperbolic groups with property (T) that satisfy the reduced Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients, but fail the maximal Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients. For such groups, it is more natural to consider a reduced version of the relative Baum–Connes assembly map. However, the relative reduced group C^* -algebra for a general group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is *not* defined, unless one imposes strong restrictions on the kernel $\ker(h)$, which would be restrictive for some applications. To overcome this difficulty, we instead consider the relative reduced group C^* -algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})$ with coefficients in a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$, which is well-defined for an arbitrary group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$, due to the presence of $\mathcal{M}$. The use of II_1 -factors is inspired by the work of Antonini, Azzali and Skandalis [1, 2]. Our first main result of the paper is as follows.

Theorem B *Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism with the reduced good kernel property. Assume that Γ is coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space. Then the relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{\text{red}} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is injective, where $K_^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M})$ is the relative K -homology with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ and $K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$ is the K -theory of reduced relative group C^* -algebras with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$.*

As an example, when $\ker(h)$ is hyperbolic and the group Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space, the relative Baum–Connes assembly map μ_{red} is injective.

For a given compact oriented manifold with boundary $(M, \partial M)$, let $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ be the associated pair of signature operators. Then the maximal relative Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu_{\max}$ maps $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ to the maximal relative higher index

$$\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M}) \in K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)).$$

Similarly, the reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map μ_{red} maps $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ to the reduced relative higher index

$$\text{Ind}_{red}(D_M, D_{\partial M}) \in K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})).$$

In order to apply the above theorems to the relative Novikov conjecture, we prove the following theorem which states that maximal (resp. reduced) relative higher indices of signature operators are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs.

Theorem C *Let M be a compact manifold with boundary ∂M and N a compact manifold with boundary ∂N . Let $G = \pi_1(\partial M) = \pi_1(\partial N)$ and $\Gamma = \pi_1 M = \pi_1 N$. Let D_M and D_N be the signature operators on M and N , respectively. If there is an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence $f : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$, then*

$$f_*(\text{Ind}_{max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) \in K_*(C_{max}^*(\Gamma, G)),$$

and

$$f_*(\text{Ind}_{red}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{red}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) \in K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})),$$

where for example $\text{Ind}_{max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ (resp. $\text{Ind}_{red}(D_M, D_{\partial M})$) is the maximal (resp. reduced) relative higher index of the pair of signature operators $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$.

Combining Theorems A, B with Theorem C, we have the following theorem on the relative Novikov conjecture.

Theorem D *Let $(M, \partial M)$ and $(N, \partial N)$ be compact oriented smooth manifolds with boundary. Suppose $f : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$ is an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence. Denote $G = \pi_1(\partial M) \cong \pi_1(\partial N)$ and $\Gamma = \pi_1 M \cong \pi_1 N$. Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the group homomorphism induced by the inclusion map $\partial M \hookrightarrow M$. If the kernel of $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is hyperbolic or a -T-menable, and Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space, then the relative Novikov conjecture holds, i.e., the relative higher signatures of $(M, \partial M)$ and $(N, \partial N)$ are invariant under the homotopy equivalence f .*

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we formulate the maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture for a pair of discrete groups (G, Γ) . In section 3, we introduce the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture and show the assembly map with a II_1 -factor is an isomorphism for a pair of hyperbolic groups. In Sect. 4 and 5, we prove the maximal and reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture under some geometric assumptions on the group G, Γ and the kernel of $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$. In Sect. 6, we define the relative higher index for signature operators on manifolds with boundary, and show that the relative higher indices of signature operators is invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs.

2 The relative Novikov conjecture

In this section, we shall first recall the definition of Roe algebras and localization algebras, then introduce the notions of relative Roe algebras and relative localization algebras associated with a group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$. Finally, we construct the maximal relative Baum–Connes assembly maps.

2.1 Roe algebras and localization algebras

In this subsection, we recall the notions of Roe algebras and localization algebras for a metric space Z endowed with a proper G -action (cf. [29, Chapter 4, 5 and 6]).

Let Z be a metric space with a proper G -action by isometries, and A a G - C^* -algebra. A G -action on a Hausdorff space Z is said to be proper if for every $x, y \in Z$ there exist neighborhood U_x and U_y of x and y respectively such that the set

$$\{g \in G : g \cdot U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. A G -action is said to be cocompact if the quotient space Z/G is compact.

Definition 2.1 Let H be a Hilbert module over the C^* -algebra A , and $\varphi : C_0(Z) \rightarrow B(H)$ a $*$ -representation, where $B(H)$ is the C^* -algebra of all bounded (adjointable) operators on H . Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an adjointable operator.

- (1) The support of T , denoted by $\text{Supp}(T)$, is defined to be the complement of the set of all points $(x, y) \in Z \times Z$ for which there exists $f \in C_0(Z)$ and $g \in C_0(Z)$ such that $f \cdot T \cdot g = 0$, and $f(x) \neq 0$ and $g(y) \neq 0$;
- (2) The propagation of the operator T is defined by

$$\text{propagation}(T) = \sup \{d(x, y) : (x, y) \in \text{Supp}(T)\}.$$

An operator T is said to have finite propagation if $\text{propagation}(T) < \infty$;

- (3) The operator T is said to be locally compact if $f \cdot T$ and $T \cdot f$ are in $K(H)$ for all $f \in C_0(Z)$, where $K(H)$ is the operator norm closure of all finite rank operators on the Hilbert module H .
- (4) The operator T is said to be G -invariant if $g \cdot T = T \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$.

Let H be a G -Hilbert module over A . A $*$ -representation $\varphi : C_0(Z) \rightarrow B(H)$ is covariant if

$$\varphi(\gamma f)v = (\gamma \varphi(f)\gamma^{-1})v$$

for all $\gamma \in G$, $f \in C_0(Z)$ and $v \in H$. The triple $(C_0(Z), G, H)$ is called a covariant system.

Definition 2.2 We define the covariant system $(C_0(Z), G, H)$ to be admissible if

- (1) the G -action on Z is proper and cocompact;
- (2) there exists a G -Hilbert module H_Z such that
 - H is isomorphic to $H_Z \otimes A$ as G -Hilbert modules over A ;
 - $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes I$ for some G -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi_0 : C_0(Z) \rightarrow B(H_Z)$ such that $\varphi_0(f)$ is not in $K(H_Z)$ for any non-zero function $f \in C_0(Z)$ and φ_0 is non-degenerate in the sense that

$$\{\varphi_0(f)v : v \in H_Z, f \in C_0(Z)\}$$

is dense in H_Z ;

- for any finite subgroup $F \subseteq G$ and any F -invariant Borel subset E of Z , there is Hilbert space H_E with trivial F -action such that $\chi_E H_Z$ and $\ell^2(F) \otimes H_E$ are isomorphic as F -representations.

Definition 2.3 Let $(C_0(Z), \varphi, H)$ be an admissible system. The algebraic Roe algebra with coefficients in A , denoted by $\mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$, is defined to be the algebra of G -invariant locally compact operators in $B(H)$ with finite propagation. The Roe algebra $C^*(Z, A)^G$ is the operator norm closure of the $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$.

It is easy to show that the definition of algebraic Roe algebras is independent of the choice of covariant systems (cf. [29, Proposition 4.5.14]).

Let us now recall the definition of maximal Roe algebras. To define the maximal norm on the above $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$, we need some basic concepts of metric spaces. Let $X \subset Z$ be a locally finite subspace of a metric space X . The subspace Y is said to be a net of Z if Y is a locally finite subspace Z and $Z = N_r(Y) = \{z \in Z : d(z, Y) \leq r\}$ for some r . A locally finite metric space Y is said to have bounded geometry if $\sup_{y \in Y} \#B_R(y) < \infty$, where $B_R(y)$ is the ball of radius R centered at y . We say that a metric space Z has bounded geometry if Z has a bounded geometry net. The following result can be proved by the similar arguments in [11, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [11, Lemma 3.4]) *Let G be a countable discrete group, A a G - C^* -algebra, and Z a proper metric space with bounded geometry endowed with a proper G -action by isometries. Let $(C_0(Z), \varphi, H)$ be an admissible system. Then for each $T \in \mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\pi(T)\| \leq C$$

for any $*$ -representation $\pi : \mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G \rightarrow B(H')$.

It follows from the above result that the maximal norm on the $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$ is well-defined.

Definition 2.5 The maximal Roe algebra, denoted by $C_{max}^*(Z, A)^G$, is defined to be the completion of $\mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G$ under the maximal norm

$$\|T\|_{max} = \sup \left\{ \|\pi(T)\| : \pi : \mathbb{C}[Z, A]^G \rightarrow B(H') \text{ is a } * \text{-representation} \right\}.$$

Next, we shall recall the concept of localization algebras.

Definition 2.6 (1) The algebraic maximal localization algebra $\mathbb{C}_{max,L}[Z, A]^G$ is defined to be the $*$ -algebra of all uniformly bounded and uniformly continuous functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(Z, A)^G$ such that

$$\text{propagation}(f(t)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The maximal localization algebra $C_{max,L}^*(Z, A)^G$ is defined to be the completion of $\mathbb{C}_{max,L}[Z, A]^G$ under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|f(t)\|_{max},$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{C}_{max,L}[Z, A]^G$.

(3) The algebraic localization algebra $\mathbb{C}_L[Z, A]^G$ is defined to be the uniformly bounded and uniformly continuous functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow C^*(Z, A)^G$ such that

$$\text{propagation}(f(t)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The localization algebra $C_L^*(Z, A)^G$ is defined to be the completion of $\mathbb{C}_L[Z, A]^G$ under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|f(t)\|,$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{C}_L[Z, A]^G$.

Naturally, we have the evaluation map from the maximal localization algebra to the maximal Roe algebra

$$e : C_{\max, L}^*(Z, A)^G \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(Z, A)^G$$

by

$$e(f) = f(0)$$

for all $f \in C_{\max, L}^*(Z, A)^G$. Similarly, we have the evaluation map

$$e : C_L^*(Z, A)^G \rightarrow C^*(Z, A)^G.$$

These evaluation maps induce homomorphisms

$$e_* : K_*(C_{\max, L}^*(Z, A)^G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(Z, A)^G)$$

and

$$e_* : K_*(C_L^*(Z, A)^G) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(Z, A)^G).$$

at the level of K -theory.

2.2 Rips complex

In this subsection, we review the definition of Rips complexes of a countable discrete group Γ , and the construction of a model of the universal space for proper Γ -actions by using Rips complexes.

Let Γ be any countable discrete group. A proper Γ -space $\underline{E}\Gamma$ is said to be universal if it is a metrizable with the quotient space $\underline{E}\Gamma/\Gamma$ paracompact and if for every proper metrizable Γ -space X with X/Γ paracompact then there is a Γ -equivariant continuous map $X \rightarrow \underline{E}\Gamma$, unique up to Γ -equivariant homotopy.

Let us recall the definition of Rips complexes. For brevity, we assume that the groups we consider are finitely generated.

Definition 2.7 Let Γ be a finitely generated group with a word length metric d . Let $s \geq 0$. The *Rips complex* of Γ at scale s , denoted $P_s(\Gamma)$, is the simplicial complex with vertex set Γ , and a subset $\{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ of Γ spans a simplex if and only if $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) \leq s$ for all i, j .

Each Rips complex $P_s(\Gamma)$ is equipped with the spherical metric. Recall that the spherical metric is the maximal metric whose restriction to each simplex $\{\sum_{i=0}^n c_i t_i\} \subset P_s(\Gamma)$ is the metric obtained by identifying this simplex with

$$S_+^n = \left\{ (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) : \sum_{i=0}^n t_i^2 = 1, t_i \geq 0, \forall 0 \leq i \leq n \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

by

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) \mapsto \left(\frac{c_0}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^n c_i^2}}, \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^n c_i^2}}, \dots, \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^n c_i^2}} \right)$$

where $S_+^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is equipped with the standard Riemannian metric.

Now we define a Γ -action on $P_s(\Gamma)$. For each $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma \gamma \in P_s(\Gamma)$ and $g \in \Gamma$, define

$$g \cdot \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma \gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma g\gamma.$$

It is obvious that this Γ -action is proper.

Let G and Γ be finitely generated groups, and $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a group homomorphism. Assume that $S \subset G$ is a finite and symmetric generating subset of G in the sense that S is finite and $g^{-1} \in S$ for each $g \in S$. One can define a left invariant word length metric d_G on G associated to the generating subset S . In addition, there exists a finite and symmetric generating subset $S' \subset \Gamma$ of Γ containing $h(S)$. One obtains a left invariant metric d_Γ on Γ such that $d_\Gamma(h(g_1), h(g_2)) \leq d_G(g_1, g_2)$ for all g_1, g_2 in G . For each $s > 0$, the map h extends to a continuous map

$$h : P_s(G) \rightarrow P_s(\Gamma),$$

by

$$h \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma \gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma h(\gamma)$$

for each $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} t_\gamma \gamma \in P_s(\Gamma)$. Note that

$$d_{P_s(\Gamma)}(h(x), h(y)) \leq d_{P_s(G)}(x, y)$$

for all $s > 0$ and all $x, y \in P_s(G)$.

2.3 Relative Roe algebras and relative localization algebras

In this section, we will define the relative Roe algebra and relative localization algebra associated with a group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Definition 2.8 A C^* -algebra A is called a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra if A is a G - C^* -algebra and Γ - C^* -algebra simultaneously, and $g \cdot a = h(g) \cdot a$ for all $g \in G, a \in A$.

We remark here that a (G, Γ) -algebra is just a Γ -algebra in the case when the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is injective. If A is a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra, the restriction of the G -action to the subgroup $\ker(h) \subseteq G$ is trivial.

There are natural $*$ -homomorphisms (cf. [29, Section 6.5])

$$h_{max,s} : C_{max}^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma$$

and

$$h_{max,L,s} : C_{max,L}^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_{max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma,$$

If the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel, then h induces a homomorphism from $C_{red}^*(G)$ to $C_{red}^*(\Gamma)$. Indeed, since the trivial representation of $\ker(h)$ is weakly contained in the regular representation of $\ker(h)$, by continuity of induction the regular representation of $G/\ker(h)$ is weakly contained in the regular representation of G . It follows that the reduced C^* -algebra of G maps onto the reduced C^* -algebra of $h(G) \cong G/\ker(h)$. Moreover, the inclusion of $h(G)$ into Γ induces an injective $*$ -homomorphism from $C_{red}^*(h(G))$

into $C_{red}^*(\Gamma)$. By identifying equivariant Roe algebras with the stabilization of reduced group C^* -algebras (see Section 5.3 and 6.3 in [29]), we also have $*$ -homomorphisms

$$h_{red,s} : C^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma$$

and

$$h_{red,L,s} : C_L^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma$$

in the reduced cases.

To define relative Roe algebras, we shall recall the concept of the mapping cone associated to a $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras.

Definition 2.9 Given a $*$ -homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ between C^* -algebras A and B , the *mapping cone* C_h of h is defined to be

$$C_h := \{(a, f) | a \in A, f \in C_0([0, 1), B), h(a) = f(0)\}.$$

Let $i : C_0(0, 1) \otimes B \rightarrow C_h$ be the $*$ -homomorphism defined by $i(f) = (0, f)$ for all $a \in C_0((0, 1), B)$, and $j : C_h \rightarrow A$ the $*$ -homomorphism by $j(a, f) = a$ for all $(a, f) \in C_h$, where $C_0(0, 1) \otimes B$ is the suspension of B which can be viewed as the C^* -algebra of all continuous functions from the open interval $(0, 1)$ to B that vanish at two ends. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(0, 1) \otimes B \xrightarrow{i} C_h \xrightarrow{j} A \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following six-term long exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C_h) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C_h) & \longleftarrow & K_0(B). \end{array}$$

With the $*$ -homomorphisms between Roe algebras and localization algebras, we can define the relative Roe algebras and the relative localization algebras as the suspension of the mapping cones of those $*$ -homomorphisms.

Definition 2.10 Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a homomorphism between finitely generated groups and A a G - Γ - C^* -algebra. Let $s > 0$.

- (1) The maximal relative Roe algebra $C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}$ is defined to be the suspension of the mapping cone associated with the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{max,s} : C_{max}^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma.$$

- (2) The maximal relative localization algebra $C_{max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}$ is the suspension of the mapping cone associated with the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{max,s,L} : C_{max,L}^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_{max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma.$$

We have the following six-term exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma) & \rightarrow & K_1(C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}) & \rightarrow & K_0(C_{max}^*(P_s(G), A)^G) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(C_{max}^*(P_s(G), A)^G) & \leftarrow & K_0(C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}) & \leftarrow & K_0(C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma), \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma) & \rightarrow & K_1(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}) & \rightarrow & K_0(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), A)^G) \\ & \uparrow & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), A)^G) & \leftarrow & K_0(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}) & \leftarrow & K_0(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma). \end{array}$$

Note that for any $r < s$, there exist natural inclusions

$$C_{\max}^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), A)^{\Gamma,G} \hookrightarrow C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G},$$

and

$$C_{\max,L}^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), A)^{\Gamma,G} \hookrightarrow C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}.$$

Thus we obtain an inductive system $\{C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}\}_{s \in [0, \infty)}$. We shall define the relative K -homology for the pair (G, Γ) using this inductive system.

Definition 2.11 Given a group homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ and a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra A , the relative equivariant K -homology with coefficients in A of $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is defined to be the inductive limit

$$K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}).$$

Now we are ready to define the evaluation map from the relative localization algebras to the relative Roe algebras.

For each $(a, f) \in C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}$, we can view a as a continuous path $(a(t))_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), A)^G$ and view f as a collection of continuous paths $(f_r(t))_{r \in [0, 1], t \in [0, \infty)}$ in $C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma$. By the definition of mapping cones, for each s we have a natural evaluation map

$$e: C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G} \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}$$

defined by

$$e(a, f) = (a(0), f(0)),$$

for all $(a, f) \in C_{\max,L}^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}$. Passing to inductive limit, we have a homomorphism

$$e_*: K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}).$$

Similarly, we can define the reduced relative Roe algebras and relative localization algebras in the case when the kernel of the homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is amenable.

Definition 2.12 Let $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a homomorphism between finitely generated groups with $\ker(h)$ amenable and A a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra. Let $s > 0$.

- (1) The relative Roe algebra, $C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}$, is defined to be the suspension of the mapping cone of the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{red,s}: C^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma.$$

- (2) The relative localization algebra, $C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma,G}$, to be the suspension of the mapping cone of the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{red,s,L}: C_L^*(P_s(G), A)^G \rightarrow C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), A)^\Gamma.$$

We have defined the relative K -homology groups using maximal localization algebras. We can also consider the reduced relative localization algebras when the group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel. In fact, these two relative K -homology groups coincide. Following the same arguments in the proof of [31, Theorem 3.2], the K -theory of the maximal localization algebra is identical with the K -theory of the reduced localization algebra. It follows from the five lemma that

$$K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}) \cong K_*(C_{max, L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}).$$

As a result, we have that

$$K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}),$$

when $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel. Moreover, in this case, we also have the evaluation map

$$e : C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_r(G), A)^{\Gamma, G} \rightarrow C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}$$

defined by

$$e(a, f) = (a(0), f(0)),$$

for all $(a, f) \in C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}$, which induces the homomorphism

$$e_* : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}),$$

Let $C_{max}^*(G, A)$ and $C_{red}^*(G, A)$ be the maximal and reduced C^* -crossed product of G and A , respectively. Then $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ induces a $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{max} : C_{max}^*(G, A) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\Gamma, A).$$

If h has amenable kernel, then h induces a $*$ -homomorphism

$$h : C_{red}^*(G, A) \rightarrow C_{red}^*(\Gamma, A).$$

We define $C_{max}^*(G, \Gamma, A)$ to be the suspension of the mapping cone of h_{max} , and we call it the *maximal relative group C^* -algebra* of (G, Γ) with coefficients in A . If the kernel of h is amenable, then we can likewise define the *reduced relative group C^* -algebra* $C_{red}^*(G, \Gamma, A)$. We have

$$C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G} \cong C_{max}^*(\Gamma, G, A) \otimes K$$

for each $s > 0$, where K is the algebra of compact operators on Hilbert space. If the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel, we have that

$$C_{red}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G} \cong C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, A) \otimes K,$$

for each $s > 0$.

Definition 2.13 (1) The *maximal relative Baum-Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow K_*(C_{max}^*(\Gamma, G, A))$$

is defined to be the homomorphism

$$e_* : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_{max, L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}) \rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_{max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}).$$

- (2) When the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel, the *reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, A)),$$

is defined to be the homomorphism

$$e_* : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}) \rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), A)^{\Gamma, G}).$$

Let us state the maximal and reduced strong relative Novikov conjectures.

Conjecture 2.14 (*Maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture*) *Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism between countable discrete groups G and Γ , and A a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra. The maximal relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow K_*(C_{max}^*(\Gamma, G, A))$$

is injective.

We can also define a reduced analogue of the above conjecture. Since the reduced relative group C^* -algebra is not defined for general homomorphisms between groups, we shall state the reduced version of the conjecture under the extra assumption that the group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel.

Conjecture 2.15 (*Reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture*) *Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism between countable discrete groups G and Γ and A a (G, Γ) - C^* -algebra. When the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has amenable kernel, the reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective.

In Sect. 3, we shall define a reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture for all group homomorphisms with the help of II_1 -factors.

When the group G is trivial, it follows from the five lemma that the injectivity of the relative assembly map is equivalent to the injectivity of the following (absolute) assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma}(\underline{E}\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma)).$$

The injectivity of μ_{red} is the usual strong Novikov conjecture, which has been verified for a large class of groups [3, 6–8, 19, 22, 25, 32–34].

3 Relative Baum–Connes conjecture

In this section, we shall introduce a reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map for all group homomorphisms $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ between countable discrete groups with the help of II_1 -factors. We show that this relative Baum–Connes assembly map is an isomorphism when both G and Γ are hyperbolic groups.

3.1 Relative reduced assembly map

It is known that for any countable discrete group G , there exists a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$ such that there is a trace-preserving embedding $\phi : C_{red}^*(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Indeed, every group G can be viewed as a subgroup of an ICC group¹ G' whose group von Neumann algebra $L(G')$ is a II_1 -factor. We can take $\mathcal{M} = L(G')$.

Now we shall use the embedding ϕ to define the reduced relative assembly map. Let us equip $\mathcal{M}$ with the trivial actions of G and Γ . Denote by $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ the von Neumann tensor product of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ is still a II_1 -factor when $\mathcal{M}$ is a II_1 -factor. For any group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$, we define a $*$ -homomorphism from $C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M})$ to $C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ as follows.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be any group homomorphism between countable discrete groups and $\mathcal{M}$ a II_1 -factor endowed with a trace-preserving embedding $\phi : C_{red}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a $*$ -homomorphism*

$$h_{red, \mathcal{M}} : C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$$

by

$$h_{red, \mathcal{M}} \left(\sum a_g g \right) = \sum (a_g \otimes \phi(g)) h(g)$$

for all $\sum a_g g \in C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M})$, where the actions of G and Γ on $\mathcal{M}$ are trivial.

Proof Given a group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$, we consider the map

$$G \xrightarrow{h^1} G \times \Gamma$$

defined by

$$h^1(g) = (g, h(g)),$$

for all $g \in G$. Notice that h^1 is injective, thus h^1 induces a $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{red}^1 : C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_{red}^*(G \times \Gamma, \mathcal{M}).$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M}) &\xrightarrow{h_{red}^1} C_{red}^*(G \times \Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} C_{red}^*(G) \otimes C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\phi \otimes id} \mathcal{M} \otimes C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}), \\ &\hookrightarrow C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last map is the inclusion induced by the inclusion from the C^* -tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ into the von Neumann tensor product $\mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$. The composition of the above maps gives the map $h_{red, \mathcal{M}}$. $\square$

¹ A group is said to be an ICC group, or to have the infinite conjugacy class property, if the conjugacy class of every element but the identity element is infinite.

We denote by $C_{h_{red}, \mathcal{M}}$ the mapping cone of the map $h_{red, \mathcal{M}} : C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$.

Definition 3.2 Define the relative group C^* -algebra with coefficients in the Π_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$ to be

$$C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}) = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes C_{h_{red}, \mathcal{M}}.$$

Remark 3.3 It is known that $K_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{R}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for any Π_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$. If the group G is amenable, then the group C^* -algebra $C_{red}^*(G)$ is nuclear. By Künneth's formula for K -theory of operator algebras, we have that

$$K_*(C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M})) \cong K_*(C_{red}^*(G)) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Now let us introduce the relative K -homology with coefficients in a Π_1 -factor. Following the construction of the $*$ -homomorphism between localization algebras, we have a $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{L, \mathcal{M}} : C_L^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^G \rightarrow C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})^\Gamma.$$

Let $C_{h_{L, \mathcal{M}}}$ be the mapping cone of the $*$ -homomorphism $h_{L, \mathcal{M}}$. For each $s > 0$, we define the relative localization algebra with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ to be

$$C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G} = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes C_{h_{L, \mathcal{M}}}.$$

For each $s > 0$, there is a natural evaluation map

$$e : C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G} \rightarrow C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}.$$

For all $r < s$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_*(C_L^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}) & \xrightarrow{e_*} & K_*(C^*(P_r(\Gamma), P_r(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}) & \xrightarrow{e_*} & K_*(C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})) \end{array}$$

Thanks to this compactibility we can define the relative K -homology and the relative assembly map with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ as the following inductive limits.

Definition 3.4 The *relative equivariant K -homology with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$* is defined as the inductive limit

$$K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}).$$

Definition 3.5 The *reduced relative Baum-Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is defined to be the inductive limit of the homomorphisms

$$e_* : K_*(C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G}).$$

Conjecture 3.6 (Reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture) Assume that $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a homomorphism between countable discrete groups G and Γ . Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Π_1 -factor such that there exists a trace-preserving embedding $\phi : C_{red}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The reduced relative Baum-Connes assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is injective.

Conjecture 3.7 (Relative Baum–Connes conjecture) *Assume that $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a homomorphism between countable discrete groups G and Γ . Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a II_1 -factor such that there exists a trace-preserving embedding $\phi : C_{red}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 3.8 (1) We remark that the definition of the reduced Baum–Connes assembly map for $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ does not depend on the choice of the trace-preserving embedding $\phi : C_{red}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Note that every Rips complex $P_d(G)$ can be express as a finite union $P_d(G) = \cup_i G \cdot X_i$ where each X_i is a precompact and open subset of $P_d(G)$ which is F_i -invariant for some finite subgroup F_i of G , and $gX_i \cap X_i = \emptyset$ for all $g \in G - F_i$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C_L^*(G \cdot X_i, \mathcal{M})^G) &\cong K_*(C_L^*(X_i, \mathcal{M})^{F_i}) \\ &\cong K_*(C^*(X_i, \mathcal{M})^{F_i}) \\ &\cong K_*(C^*(X_i)^{F_i}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \\ &\cong K_*(C_L^*(X_i)^{F_i}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \\ &\cong K_*(C_L^*(G \cdot X_i, \mathcal{M})^G) \otimes \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The first and the last equality follow from the definition of the localization algebras. The second and the fourth equality follow from the Baum–Connes conjecture for finite groups and the third equality follows from the Künneth formula for K -theory of operator algebras. It follows from the six-term exact sequence for the K -theory of localization algebras and the five lemma that

$$K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \cong K_*^G(\underline{E}G) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, the definition of K -homology $K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M})$ does not depend on the choice of the II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$ by the five lemma.

(2) Let BG and $B\Gamma$ be the classifying space for G and Γ , respectively. There is a natural map

$$h : BG \rightarrow B\Gamma$$

induced by the group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$. The injectivity of the reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

implies that the relative assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is injective, the latter of which we shall now review.

Now, let us define the relative K -homology group $K_*(BG, B\Gamma)$. Following the construction in Sect. 2.3, we can construct $*$ -homomorphisms

$$h_L : C_L^*(BG) \rightarrow C_L^*(B\Gamma),$$

and

$$h_L : C_{\mathcal{M},L}^*(BG, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_L^*(B\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}),$$

induced by the continuous map $h : BG \rightarrow B\Gamma$. Define the relative K -homology group $K_*(BG, B\Gamma)$ to be the K -theory of the suspension of the mapping cone associated to the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_L : C_L^*(BG) \rightarrow C_L^*(B\Gamma).$$

By the five lemma, the relative K -homology group $K_*(BG, B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is equivalent to the K -theory of the suspension of the mapping cone associated to the $*$ -homomorphism

$$h_{\mathcal{M},L} : C_L^*(BG, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_L^*(B\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}).$$

Following the constructions in [4], we have the relative local index map

$$\sigma_{\Gamma,G} : K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}).$$

By the Connes–Chern character [4], we know that the $K_*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a direct summand of the K -homology group $K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*+1}(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_G} & K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}(B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\Gamma} & K_{*+1}^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Gamma,G}} & K_{*+1}^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_G} & K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*(B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\Gamma} & K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}). \end{array}$$

Note that the left and right vertical sequences are exact and the horizontal maps preserve the direct summands. As a consequence of diagram chasing, the relative local index map

$$\sigma_{\Gamma,G} : K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M})$$

is injective. Alternatively, following [2, Theorem 5.4], for any group G , one can define a natural left inverse of σ_G , denoted by $t_G : K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, from which follows the injectivity of the relative local index map $\sigma_{\Gamma,G}$.

In summary, we have the composition

$$K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Gamma,G}} K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mu_{red}} K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

which we still call the relative assembly map. For simplicity, we also denote it by μ_{red} . As a result, the injectivity of the reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$ implies that the relative assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*(B\Gamma, G) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is injective.

(3) Similarly, there is also the maximal relative assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)) \otimes \mathbb{R},$$

defined by the composition

$$K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Gamma, G}} K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}} K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

As a result, the injectivity of the maximal relative Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$ implies the injectivity of $\mu_{\max} : K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

3.2 Relative Baum–Connes conjecture for hyperbolic groups

We will conclude this subsection by showing that any pair of hyperbolic groups (G, Γ) satisfies the relative Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 3.9 (Gromov [12]) Let G be a finitely generated group equipped with a left invariant word length metric. The group G is said to be hyperbolic if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that each geodesic triangle is δ -thin in the sense that for any $x, y, z \in G$, the geodesic, denoted by $[x, y]$, joining x and y , is contained the δ -neighborhood of the union of other two geodesics $[x, z]$ and $[y, z]$.

Lafforgue showed that the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients holds for all hyperbolic groups [22].

Theorem 3.10 ([22]) *Let G be a hyperbolic group and A any G - C^* -algebra. Then the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in A holds for G , i.e. the Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu : K_*^G(\underline{E}G, A) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(G, A))$$

is an isomorphism.

Combining Lafforgue’s theorem ([22]) with the six-term K -theory exact sequence, we show that the relative Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in a II_1 factor holds for a pair of hyperbolic groups.

Proposition 3.11 *Let G and Γ be hyperbolic groups, and $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a group homomorphism. Let $\phi : C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a trace-preserving embedding of $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ into a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$. Then the relative Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ holds for $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$, i.e., the reduced relative Baum–Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_{\text{red}} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mu_G} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_{*+1}^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mu_\Gamma} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_{*+1}^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{red}} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mu_G} & K_*(C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{M})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mu_\Gamma} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})).
 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.10, the assembly maps μ_G and μ_Γ are isomorphic. It follows from the five lemma that the relative assembly map

$$\mu_{red} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is an isomorphism. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Using the same arguments above, we can generalize Proposition 3.11 to the following result.

Proposition 3.12 *Let G and Γ be any discrete groups and $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a group homomorphism. Let $\phi: C_{red}^*(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a trace preserving embedding of $C_{red}^*(G)$ into a Π_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$. Assume that the Baum–Connes conjecture holds for G and Γ . Then the relative Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}$ holds for $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$.*

4 A relative Bott periodicity

In this section, we shall prove a Bott periodicity for the relative Roe algebras associated with a pair of groups (G, Γ) with Γ coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space.

4.1 C^* -algebras associated with Hilbert spaces

Let E be a separable, infinite-dimensional Euclidean space. For any finite-dimensional, affine subspace E_a , denote by E_a^0 the finite-dimensional linear subspace of E consisting of differences of elements in E_a . Let $\mathcal{C}(E_a)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra of continuous functions from E_a to the complexified Clifford algebra of E_a^0 vanishing at infinity. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on $\mathcal{C}(E_a)$ is induced from the even and odd parts of $\text{Cliff}(E_a^0)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ vanishing at infinity, where $\mathcal{S}$ is graded according to odd and even functions. Let $\mathcal{A}(E_a)$ be the graded tensor product $\mathcal{S} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}(E_a)$.

For a pair of finite-dimensional, affine subspaces E_a and E_b with $E_a \subset E_b$, there exists a decomposition

$$E_b = E_{ba}^0 \oplus E_a,$$

where E_{ba}^0 is the orthogonal complement of E_a^0 in E_b^0 . For each element $v_b \in E_b$, there exists a unique decomposition $v_b = v_{ba} + v_a$, for some $v_{ba} \in E_{ba}^0$ and $v_a \in E_a$.

For each function $h \in \mathcal{C}(E_a)$, we can extend it to a function on E_b via $\tilde{h}(v_b) = h(v_a)$, for all $v_b = v_{ba} + v_a$. The decomposition $E_b = E_{ba}^0 \oplus E_a$ gives rise to a Clifford algebra valued function, denoted by $C_{ba} : E_b \rightarrow \text{Cliff}(E_b^0)$ on E_b which maps $v_b \in E_b$ to $v_{ba} \in E_{ba}^0 \subset \text{Cliff}(E_b^0)$.

Denote by $X : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the operator of multiplication by x on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that X is a degree one, essentially selfadjoint, unbounded multiplier of $\mathcal{S}$ with domain the compactly supported functions in $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 4.1 ([15])

- (1) Let $E_a \subset E_b$ be a pair of finite-dimensional, affine subspaces of E . One can define a homomorphism

$$\beta_{ba} : \mathcal{A}(E_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(E_b)$$

by

$$\beta_{ba}(f \widehat{\otimes} h) = f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C_{ba})(1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{h})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $h \in \mathcal{C}(E_a)$.

- (2) We define a C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{A}(E) := \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(E_a),$$

where the direct limit is over all finite-dimensional affine subspaces.

Given any discrete group Γ , $\mathcal{S}$ is equipped with trivial Γ -action. If Γ acts on the Euclidean space E by linear isometries, then the Γ -action on E induces a Γ -action on the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Note that $\mathcal{A}(\{0\}) = \mathcal{S}$. For each $f \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\beta_t(f) = f_t(X \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} C)$ for every $t \in [1, \infty)$, where $f_t(x) = f(x/t)$.

We define the Bott map

$$\beta_* : K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S})) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(E)))$$

to be the homomorphism induced by the asymptotic morphism

$$\beta_t : C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(E))$$

given by $f \mapsto \beta_t(f)$ for each $t \in [1, \infty)$. The following result is due to Higson–Kasparov–Trout [15].

Theorem 4.2 (Infinite-dimensional Bott periodicity [15]) *Let Γ be a countable discrete group, E an infinite-dimensional Euclidean space with a Γ -action by linear isometries. Then the Bott map*

$$\beta_* : K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S})) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(E)))$$

is an isomorphism.

4.2 Γ - C^* -algebras associated with coarse embeddings into Hilbert space

In the rest of this section, we shall define a proper Γ - C^* -algebra associated to a coarse embedding of Γ into Hilbert space. Let us recall that a Γ - C^* -algebra A is said to be proper if there exists a locally compact Γ -space Y with a proper Γ -action such that $C_0(Y)$ is contained in the center of the multiplier algebra of A and $C_0(Y)A$ is dense in A under the norm topology.

In order to define the proper Γ - C^* -algebra, we will generalize the construction of Higson–Kasparov–Trout [15] to the case of continuous fields. The following construction is essentially due to Kasparov–Yu [20], Skandalis–Tu–Yu [25], and Tu [27].

Suppose $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow H$ is a coarse embedding into Hilbert space. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define a bounded function $f_\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_\gamma(y) = \|\varphi(y) - \varphi(y\gamma)\|$$

for all $y \in \Gamma$. The function f_Γ is bounded since φ is a coarse embedding.

Let $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded complex-valued functions on Γ and $c_0(\Gamma) \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ the C^* -subalgebra consisting of all functions vanishing at infinity. We define a Γ -action on $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ by $(\gamma \cdot f)(y) = f(y\gamma)$ for all $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ and $x, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Let X' be the spectrum of the commutative Γ -invariant C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ generated by all constant functions, $c_0(\Gamma)$ functions and all functions f_γ as defined above together with their translations by group elements of Γ . Then X' admits a right action of Γ induced by the Γ -action on $C(X')$ where $C(X')$ can be viewed as a Γ -invariant C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$.

Note that Γ is a dense subset of X' . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the function $f_\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ extends to a continuous function $\varphi'(\cdot, \gamma) : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the definition of X' . One can define a continuous function $\varphi' : X' \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by continuously extending the function

$$\varphi'(y, \gamma) = f_\gamma(y)$$

for all $x, \gamma \in \Gamma$, where the space $X' \times \Gamma$ is equipped with product topology.

The continuous function φ' on $X' \times \Gamma$ is a proper, continuous, conditionally negative definite function in the sense that it satisfies

- (1) $\varphi'(x, e) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, where $e \in \Gamma$ is the identity element;
- (2) $\varphi'(xg, g^{-1}) = \varphi(x, g)$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in \Gamma$;
- (3) $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i t_j \varphi'(xg_i, g_i^{-1}g_j) \leq 0$ for all $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 0$, $g_i \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$;
- (4) $\varphi' : X' \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is proper in the sense that every preimage of a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ is compact.

We say that the Γ -action on X' is a-T-menable if there exists a proper, continuous, conditionally negative definite function on $X' \times \Gamma$.

Let X be the space of probability measures on X' . It is a convex and compact topological space endowed with the weak-* topology. The space X admits a Γ -action induced by the Γ -action on X' . We define a continuous function on $X \times \Gamma$ by

$$\varphi(m, \gamma) = \int_{X'} \varphi'(y, \gamma) dm(y)$$

for all $m \in X$.

For each pair $(m, g) \in X \times \Gamma$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(mg, g^{-1}) &= \int_{X'} \varphi'(y, \gamma^{-1}) d(mg) \\ &= \int_{X'} \varphi'(yg, \gamma^{-1}) dm \\ &= \int_{X'} \varphi'(y, \gamma) dm(y) \\ &= \varphi(m, g).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi(x, e) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. By the definition of the function φ and the properties of φ' , we have that the continuous function φ is a proper, and conditionally negative definite function. Note that the Γ -space X satisfies the following

- (1) for each finite subgroup $F \subseteq G$, X is F -contractible;
- (2) the Γ -action is a-T-menale.

Now, we are ready to construct a continuous field of Hilbert spaces using the action of Γ on the space X . Let us first recall the definition of continuous fields of Hilbert spaces over a compact space. Let $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in X}$ be a family of Banach spaces. Denote $\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}_x$. A section of the bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is a function $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $s(x) \in \mathcal{H}_x$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 4.3 Let X be a compact space. A continuous field of Banach spaces over X is a family of Banach spaces $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in X}$ with a set of sections $\Theta(X, \mathcal{H})$, such that

- (1) the set $\Theta(X, \mathcal{H})$ is a linear subspace of the direct product $\prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}_x$;
- (2) for every $x \in X$, the set $\{s(x) : s \in \Theta(X, \mathcal{H})\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_x$;
- (3) for every $s \in \Theta(X, \mathcal{H})$, the function $x \mapsto \|s(x)\|$ is a continuous function on X ;
- (4) let $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a section, i.e. $s(x) \in \mathcal{H}_x$, for all $x \in X$. If for every $x \in X$, and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a section $s' \in \Theta(X, \mathcal{H})$ such that $\|s(y) - s'(y)\| < \epsilon$ for all y in some neighborhood of x , then $s \in \Theta(X, \mathcal{H})$.

If every fiber $\mathcal{H}_x$ is a Hilbert space, we say $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in X}$ is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over X . If every fiber is a C^* -algebra and the collection of sections is closed under the $*$ -operation and the multiplication, the continuous field is called a continuous field of C^* -algebras.

Let $\varphi : X \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, proper conditionally negative definite function. We can define a continuous field of Hilbert spaces as follows.

Consider a linear subspace

$$C_c^0(\Gamma) := \left\{ f \in C_c(\Gamma) : \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f(g) = 0 \right\} \subset C_c(\Gamma).$$

For each $x \in X$, we define a sesqui-linear form

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_x = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{g, g' \in \Gamma} \xi(g) \overline{\eta(g')} \varphi(xg^{-1}, gg'),$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in C_c^0(\Gamma)$. Since φ is of conditionally negative definite type, the form above is positive semidefinite and so one can quotient out by the zero subspace and complete to get a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_x$. Following the arguments in [10], we have a continuous field of Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in X}$.

Since each fiber of the continuous field is a Hilbert space, we can define a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_x)$ associated with each fiber $\mathcal{H}_x$ following the construction in Sect. 4.1. Furthermore, by the first author's construction in [10], one obtains a C^* -algebra with proper Γ -action.

Theorem 4.4 ([10]) *Let $(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_x))_{x \in X}$ be the collection of C^* -algebras defined above.*

- (1) *There exists a structure of a continuous field of C^* -algebras for the bundle $(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_x))_{x \in X}$.*
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by all the continuous sections over the continuous field. Then there exists a proper Γ -action on the $\mathcal{A}(X)$.*

We also define a G -action on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ by

$$g \cdot a = h(g) \cdot a$$

for all $g \in G$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(X)$. Then we obtain a G - Γ - C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}(X)$. We can view $\mathcal{S}$ as a G - Γ -algebra with trivial G -action and Γ -action.

Next, we shall discuss about the K -theory of $\mathcal{A}(X)$. Indeed, the computation of its K -theory plays a crucial role in the proof of the relative Novikov conjecture.

For each $x \in X$, we have the asymptotic morphism

$$\beta_{x,t} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_x),$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Accordingly, we have an asymptotic morphism

$$\beta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$$

defined by

$$\beta_t(f)(x) = \beta_{x,t}(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \in [1, \infty)$. Following the arguments in [15], we can define asymptotic morphisms

$$\beta_t : C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X))$$

and

$$\beta_t : C_{max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C_{max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)),$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$.

In order to define the asymptotic morphisms between localization algebras, we shall define the asymptotic morphisms between Roe algebras. For each element $T = (T_{x,y})_{x,y \in Z_s} \in \mathbb{C}[P_s(G)]^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}$, we define a Z_s -by- Z_s -matrix

$$(\beta_t(T))_{x,y} = T_{x,y} \widehat{\otimes} \beta_{x,t}(f)$$

for each $t \in [1, \infty)$ and all $x, y \in Z_s$. It is obvious that $\beta_t(T)$ is an element in $\mathbb{C}[P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X)]^G$. As a result, we can define asymptotic morphisms

$$\beta_t : \mathbb{C}[P_s(G)]^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X)]^G.$$

and

$$\beta_t : \mathbb{C}[P_s(\Gamma)]^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X)]^\Gamma,$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$. Similarly, we define asymptotic morphisms

$$\beta_{L,t} : \mathbb{C}_{max,L}[P_s(G)]^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{max,L}[P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X)]^G.$$

and

$$\beta_{L,t} : \mathbb{C}_{\max,L}[P_s(\Gamma)]^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\max,L}[P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X)]^\Gamma,$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover, the above asymptotic morphism between algebraic Roe algebras and localization algebras induce the following asymptotic morphisms:

- (1) $\beta_t : C_{\max}^*(P_s(G))^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^G$;
- (2) $\beta_t : C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma))^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X))^\Gamma$;
- (3) $\beta_{L,t} : C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G))^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^G$;
- (4) $\beta_{L,t} : C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma))^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X))^\Gamma$,

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$.

Since the group actions of G and Γ on $\mathcal{S}$ are trivial, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\max}^*(P_s(G))^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} &\cong C_{\max}^*(G, \mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\ C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma))^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} &\cong C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\ C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G))^G \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} &\cong C_{\max,L}^*(G, \mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma))^\Gamma \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S} \cong C_{\max,L}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

As a consequence of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence and the five lemma, we have the following Bott periodicity.

Proposition 4.5 *For each $s > 0$, the maps*

$$\beta_{L,*} : K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{S})^G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^G)$$

and

$$\beta_{L,*} : K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{S})^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X))^\Gamma)$$

induced by the asymptotic morphisms $(\beta_{L,t})_{t \in [1, \infty)}$ on K -theory are isomorphisms.

By the definition of the above asymptotic morphisms, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\max}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{S})^G & \xrightarrow{\beta_t} & C_{\max}^*(P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{S})^\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\beta_t} & C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), \mathcal{A}(X))^\Gamma \end{array}$$

asymptotically commutes. As a result, we obtain an asymptotic morphism between relative Roe algebras

$$\beta_t : C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{S})^{\Gamma,G} \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^{\Gamma,G}$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$ and $s > 0$. Similarly, the asymptotic morphism $(\beta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X))_{t \in [1, \infty)}$ also induces an asymptotic morphism between relative localization algebras

$$\beta_{L,t} : C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{S})^{\Gamma,G} \rightarrow C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^{\Gamma,G},$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$. Therefore, we have a homomorphism

$$\beta_{L,*} : K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{S})^{\Gamma,G}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max,L}^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^{\Gamma,G})$$

induced by the asymptotic morphism above on K -theory. Passing to inductive limits, we have the relative Bott map

$$\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G} : K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, S) \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)).$$

We shall prove the following relative Bott periodicity.

Proposition 4.6 (Relative Bott periodicity) *The relative Bott map*

$$\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G} : K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, S) \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X))$$

induced by the asymptotic morphism between relative localization algebras is an isomorphism.

Proof We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, S) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_{L,*}^G} & K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, S) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_{L,*}^\Gamma} & K_{*+1}^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, S) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G}} & K_{*+1}^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^G(\underline{E}G, S) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_{L,*}^G} & K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, S) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_{L,*}^\Gamma} & K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)). \end{array}$$

Since the Bott maps $\beta_{L,*}^G$ and $\beta_{L,*}^\Gamma$ are isomorphic, it follows from the five lemma that the map

$$\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G} : K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, S) \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X))$$

is an isomorphism. □

5 The proofs of the main results

In this section, we shall prove the maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture and the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture with coefficients in a Π_1 -factor for a pair of groups (G, Γ) under certain assumptions on the geometry of Γ and the kernel of the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$.

5.1 The maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture

Let us first prove the maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture for the following pairs of groups (G, Γ) .

Theorem 5.1 *Let G and Γ be finitely generated groups and $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a group homomorphism with the maximal good kernel property (cf. Definition 1.1). Assume that Γ admits a*

coarse embedding into Hilbert space. Then the maximal strong Novikov conjecture holds for (G, Γ) , i.e. the maximal relative assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective.

In particular, if the group Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space and $\ker(h)$ is a-T-menable, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that the maximal strong Novikov conjecture holds for (G, Γ) , i.e. the maximal relative assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is an isomorphism. The special case where both G and Γ are a-T-menable was proved by the second author in [26].

Proof of Theorem 5.1 For each $s > 0$, we have the asymptotically commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), S)^{\Gamma, G} & \longrightarrow & C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X))^{\Gamma, G} & \longrightarrow & C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X)). \end{array}$$

Passing to inductive limits gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, S) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}} & K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, S)) \\ \downarrow \beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma, G} & & \downarrow \beta_* \\ K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X))). \end{array}$$

Since $\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma, G}$ is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.6, it suffice to show that the relative assembly map with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}(X)$

$$\mu_{\max}^{\mathcal{A}(X)} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X)))$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{\max}^*(G, \mathcal{A}(X))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^{\Gamma}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{\max}^*(G, \mathcal{A}(X))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^{\Gamma}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X))). \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a proper Γ - C^* -algebra, then we have that the assembly map

$$\mu_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}(X)} : K_{*}^{\Gamma}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)))$$

is an isomorphism. We will use the five lemma to prove that the assembly map $\mu_{max}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}$ is an isomorphism. For this purpose, we shall show that the assembly map

$$\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)} : K_{*}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(G, \mathcal{A}(X)))$$

is an isomorphism. We remark here that although the action of G on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is not proper, we can prove that the assembly map $\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)}$ is an isomorphism using the cutting-and-pasting method.

Since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a proper Γ -algebra, it is also an $h(G)$ -proper algebra. We can express the algebra as a direct limit

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}_{\alpha},$$

where each $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}(X)$, and a proper $h(G)$ - C^* -algebra over a proper, cocompact and locally compact Hausdorff $h(G)$ -space W_{α} . It suffices to prove that the maximal assembly map

$$\mu_{max} : K_{*}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}) \longrightarrow K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(G, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Let $F \subset h(G)$ be a finite subgroup, and Y a F -space. Denote $Y \times_F h(G)$ to be the quotient space of the product space $Y \times h(G)$ over the F -action by $\gamma \cdot (y, g) = (\gamma y, \gamma g)$ for all $\gamma \in F$, $(y, g) \in Y \times h(G)$. If $Y \subset W_{\alpha}$ is a F -invariant subset such that $gY \cap Y = \emptyset$ for each $g \notin F$, then we can view $Y \times_F h(G)$ as the subset $h(G) \cdot Y$ of Y via the map $[(y, g)] \mapsto gy$ for all $[(y, g)] \in Y \times_F h(G)$.

Since the locally compact space W_{α} is $h(G)$ -proper and cocompact, it is a finite union of the form

$$W_{\alpha} = \bigcup_i^n Y_i \times_{F_i} h(G),$$

where each F_i is a finite subgroup of $h(G)$, and Y_i is a precompact F_i -space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For each i , denote by $B = C_0(Y_i \times_{F_i} h(G)) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ the proper $h(G)$ - C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$. Let $B_0 = C_0(Y_i) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$. The C^* -algebra B is equipped with a G -action by lifting the $h(G)$ -action on B and B_0 is equipped with an $h^{-1}(F_i)$ -action by lifting the F_i -action on B_0 . Note that

$$C_{max}^{*}(G, B) \cong C_{max}^{*}(h^{-1}(F_i), B_0) \otimes K,$$

where K is the algebra of compact operators. As a result, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*}^G(\underline{E}G, B) & \longrightarrow & K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(G, B)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_{*}^{h^{-1}(F_i)}(\underline{E}(h^{-1}(F_i)), B_0) & \longrightarrow & K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(h^{-1}(F_i), B_0)). \end{array}$$

Since $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ has the maximal good kernel property, and $[h^{-1}(F_i) : \ker(h)] < \infty$, the bottom map is isomorphic. Therefore, the assembly map

$$\mu : K_{*}^G(\underline{E}G, B) \rightarrow K_{*}(C_{max}^{*}(G, B))$$

is an isomorphism. It follows from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence and the five lemma that the assembly map

$$\mu_G^{A_\alpha} : K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}_\alpha) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(G, \mathcal{A}_\alpha))$$

is an isomorphism. Passing to the inductive limit, we have that the assembly map

$$\mu_G^{A(X)} : K_*^G(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(G, \mathcal{A}(X)))$$

is isomorphic. As a result,

$$\mu_{\max}^{A(X)} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X)))$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore, the relative assembly map

$$\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective. This finishes the proof. $\square$

5.2 The reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture

In this subsection, we shall prove the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture with coefficients in a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$ for the following pairs of groups (G, Γ) .

Theorem 5.2 *Let $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a group homomorphism with the reduced good kernel property (cf. Definition 1.1). Let $\phi: C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a trace-preserving embedding. Assume that Γ admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space. Then the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture holds for $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$, i.e., the reduced relative assembly map*

$$\mu_{\text{red}} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$$

is injective.

As an example, when the group Γ is coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space and $\ker(h)$ is a subgroup of a hyperbolic group, the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture holds for $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar to that of Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be the proper Γ -algebra defined in Sect. 4.2. We have the Bott asymptotic morphism

$$\beta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X),$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$. It induces asymptotic morphisms

$$\beta_t : C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})$$

and

$$\beta_t : C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G} \rightarrow C_L^*(P_s(\Gamma), P_s(G), \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})^{\Gamma, G},$$

for all $t \in [1, \infty)$. The latter induces the following Bott map

$$\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma, G} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}).$$

Proposition 5.3 *The Bott map*

$$\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G} : K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})$$

is an isomorphism.

Since the proof of the above result follows from the same arguments in its maximal analogue (Proposition 4.6), we omit its proof.

Proof of Theorem 5.2 Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{red}} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow \beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G} & & \downarrow \beta_* \\ K_*^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{red}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})). \end{array}$$

Since $\beta_{L,*}^{\Gamma,G}$ is an isomorphism, to prove that the relative assembly map μ_{red} is injective, it suffices to show that $\mu_{red}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}$ is isomorphic. Indeed, it can be proved by the same cutting-and-pasting method used in the proof of Theorem 5.1. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*+1}^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_\Gamma^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{*+1}^{\Gamma,G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{red}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_{*+1}(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^G(\underline{E}G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_G^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{red}^*(G, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_\Gamma^{\mathcal{A}(X)}} & K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})). \end{array}$$

By the five lemma, we have that $\mu_{red}^{\mathcal{A}(X)}$ is an isomorphism. This finishes the proof. $\square$

6 Applications to the relative Novikov conjecture

In this section, we shall discuss an application of the maximal (reduced) strong relative Novikov conjecture to the relative Novikov conjecture regarding the homotopy invariance of relative higher signatures of manifolds with boundary. We shall first construct the relative higher indices of signature operators on compact manifolds with boundary. Then we will show that the relative higher indices for signature operators are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of manifolds with boundary as pairs. We refer the reader to [9, 17, 18, 23, 28] for some related discussions on the relative index theory on manifolds with boundary and its connection to secondary index theoretic invariants.

Let M be an oriented compact smooth manifold with boundary ∂M . Suppose $\pi_1(\partial M) = G$ and $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$. Let

$$h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$$

be the natural homomorphism induced by the inclusion of ∂M into M .

In [5], Chang, Weinberger and the fourth author defined a relative higher index

$$\text{Ind}(D_M, D_{\partial M}) \in KO_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

for Dirac operators $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ on spin manifolds with boundary. Here the group $KO_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$ is the KO -theory of the maximal relative group C^* -algebra associated with the group homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$. They applied their relative higher index to detect the existence of positive scalar curvature metrics on manifolds with boundary and furthermore non-compact manifolds.

We shall define an analogous relative higher index for signature operators on manifolds with boundary. The same construction below can be used to define both the maximal relative higher index and the reduced relative higher index (with coefficients in a Π_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$) for signature operators. For simplicity, we shall only work out the details for the maximal relative higher index.

We only carry out the details of the even dimensional case; the odd case is similar. Assume that M is an even dimensional manifold with boundary and D is the signature operator on M . Define

$$M_\infty = M \bigcup_{\partial M} (\partial M \times [0, \infty))$$

to be the manifold obtained by attaching an infinity cylinder to M . Let D_∞ be the signature operator on M_∞ . Let $\tilde{M}_\infty$ be the universal cover of M_∞ and $\tilde{D}_\infty$ the lifting of D_∞ on $\tilde{M}_\infty$. Since $\tilde{M}_\infty$ is a complete manifold (without boundary), a standard construction of higher indices for elliptic operators on complete manifolds (cf. [29, Section 8.3]) gives the higher index

$$\text{Ind}_{\max}(\tilde{D}_\infty) = [p] \in K_0(C_{\max}^*(\tilde{M}_\infty)^\Gamma),$$

where p is an idempotent in the matrix algebra of the unitization of $C^*(\tilde{M}_\infty)^\Gamma$. By the definition of the higher index (see [29]), we can choose p so that its propagation is as small as we want.

Denote $\tilde{M}$ to be the universal covering space of M and we can view $\tilde{M}$ as a subspace of $\tilde{M}_\infty$. Let χ be the characteristic function of the subspace $\tilde{M}$ of $\tilde{M}_\infty$. Consider the invertible element

$$u = e^{2\pi i(\chi p \chi)} \in (C_{\max}^*(\tilde{M})^\Gamma)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))^+.$$

Denote by $(\partial M)_\Gamma$ the space of the restriction of the covering space $\tilde{M}$ on $\partial M \subseteq M$. The space $(\partial M)_\Gamma$ is a manifold equipped with a proper and cocompact Γ -action. Let

$$[u] \in K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma))$$

be the higher index of the signature operator $\tilde{D}_{\partial M}$ on $(\partial M)_\Gamma$.

Note that there is an integer n_0 such that

$$\left| \exp(2\pi i x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n_0} \frac{(2\pi i x)^k}{k!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1000},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_0} \frac{(2\pi i x)^k}{k!}. \quad (6.1)$$

We define $v = \varphi(2\pi i(\chi p\chi))$ in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(\tilde{M})^\Gamma)^+$.

By [29, Proposition 3.2.4], we can choose p so that its propagation is arbitrarily small. Therefore, the operator $\chi p\chi$ is an idempotent away from a small tubular neighborhood of $(\partial M)_\Gamma$. By a standard finite propagation argument, we have that away from a small tubular neighborhood of $(\partial M)_\Gamma$, the operator v is very close (in operator norm) to 1. Consequently, v restricts to an invertible element in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*((\partial M)_\Gamma \times (-\epsilon, 0))^\Gamma)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))^+$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Here the constant ϵ depends on the propagation of p .

We shall repeat the above construction on the complete manifold $\partial M \times \mathbb{R}$. Note that the product space $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ is a Γ -covering space of $\partial M \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $D_{(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$ the signature operator on $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$. We denote the higher index of $D_{(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$ by

$$[p'] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}) \in K_0(C_{\max}^*((\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma),$$

where p' is an idempotent in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*((\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma)^+$ with small propagation. Let χ' be the characteristic function of the subspace $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times (-\infty, 0]$ in $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$u' = e^{2\pi i(\chi' p' \chi')}.$$

By a similar argument as above, we have that

- (1) $v' = \varphi(2\pi i(\chi' p' \chi'))$ is invertible in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*((\partial M)_\Gamma \times (-\infty, 0])^\Gamma)^+$;
- (2) away from a small tubular neighborhood of $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \{0\}$, the operator v' is close (in operator norm) to 1.

Similar as before, the element v' restricts to an invertible element in

$$(C_{\max}^*((\partial M)_\Gamma \times (-\epsilon, 0))^\Gamma)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))^+.$$

By construction, we have

$$v' = v.$$

Now we also repeat the above construction for the covering space $\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \partial M \times \mathbb{R}$ where $\widetilde{\partial M}$ is the universal covering space of ∂M . Denote $D_{\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}}$ the signature operator on $\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$[p''] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}}) \in K_0(C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R})^G)$$

be the higher index of the signature operator $D_{\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}}$ on $\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let χ'' is the characteristic function of the subspace $\widetilde{\partial M} \times (-\infty, 0]$ in $\widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$v'' = e^{2\pi i(\chi'' p'' \chi'')}, \quad (6.2)$$

which by the same argument above restricts to an invertible element in the matrix algebra of

$$(C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{\partial M} \times [-\epsilon, 0])^G)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))^+.$$

By the functoriality of the higher index, we have that

$$h_{\max}(v'') = v',$$

where $h_{\max} : C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{\partial M} \times [-\epsilon, 0])^G \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{\partial M} \times [-\epsilon, 0])^\Gamma$ is induced by the natural maps as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{h} & (\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial M \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \partial M \times \mathbb{R}. \end{array}$$

Consider the path of invertibles

$$v(t) = \varphi(2\pi i(t\chi p\chi)), t \in [0, 1].$$

We obtain a path joining v and λ in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{M})^\Gamma)^+$, where λ is a constant very close to 1. By connecting λ to 1 by the linear path $(1-s)\lambda + s$, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.1 *v is homotopic to 1 through a continuous path of invertible elements in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(\widetilde{M})^\Gamma)^+$.*

Now, we are ready to define the relative higher index for the signature operators $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ on the pair $(M, \partial M)$. Let $h_{\max} : C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma)$ be the homomorphism induced by the homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$. Denote by $C_{h_{\max} \otimes id}$ the suspension of the cone associated with the homomorphism

$$h_{\max} \otimes id : C_{\max}^*(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Clearly, we have

$$C_{h_{\max} \otimes id} \cong C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G),$$

where $C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)$ is the suspension of the cone of the homomorphism

$$h_{\max} : C_{\max}^*(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Definition 6.2 Let M be an even-dimensional compact oriented manifold with boundary ∂M . Define the relative higher index of the signature operators $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ on $(M, \partial M)$ to be

$$\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M}) = [(v'', f)] \in K_0(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)),$$

where v'' is defined in line (6.2) and f is the continuous path of invertible elements given by Lemma 6.1.

When the boundary ∂M is empty, this relative higher index is precisely the higher index of the signature operator on M .

We consider the function $\varphi_s(t) = \varphi(t/(s+1))$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for each $s \geq 0$. Replacing the function φ with φ_s in the definition of relative higher index, we obtain a continuous path of representatives of $\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ whose propagations approach 0 as $s \rightarrow \infty$. This continuous path of representatives defines the local relative index

$$[D_M, D_{\partial M}] \in K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G),$$

such that

$$\mu_{\max}([D_M, D_{\partial M}]) = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M}),$$

where $\mu_{\max} : K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$ is the maximal relative assembly map.

We shall show that the relative higher index is invariant under orientation preserving homotopy equivalence. Before that, let us recall the homotopy invariance of higher signatures for closed manifolds following the approach of Higson-Roe [13], cf. [30, Section 8]. The same approach works simultaneously for the case of reduced group C^* -algebras and the case of maximal group C^* -algebras. Therefore, in the following review of the homotopy invariance of higher signature, we shall not specify which C^* completion we are using.

Let X and Y be two closed oriented smooth manifolds of dimension n . We will only discuss the even dimensional case; the odd dimensional case is completely similar (cf. [30, Section 8]). We denote the de Rham complex of differential forms on X by

$$\Omega^0(X) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(X) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n(X)$$

whose L^2 -completion is

$$\Omega_{L^2}^0(X) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{L^2}^1(X) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{L^2}^n(X). \quad (6.3)$$

Let $T = *_X : \Omega_{L^2}^k(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{L^2}^{n-k}(X)$ be the Hodge star operator on X , which is defined by

$$\langle T\alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_X \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}$$

where $\bar{\beta}$ is the complex conjugation of β . The Hodge star operator T satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $T^*\alpha = (-1)^{k(n-k)}T\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \Omega_{L^2}^k(X)$;
- (2) $Td\alpha + (-1)^k d^*T\alpha = 0$ for any smooth $\alpha \in \Omega^k(X)$;
- (3) $T^2\alpha = (-1)^{n+k}\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Omega_{L^2}^k(X)$.

We consider the dual complex of (6.3)

$$\Omega_{L^2}^n(X) \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega_{L^2}^{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{d^*} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega_{L^2}^0(X),$$

where d^* is the adjoint of d . With the above duality operator T , we get a Hilbert–Poincaré complex in the sense of [13, Definition 3.1]. Define $S = i^{k(k-1)+m}T$, where $m = \frac{n}{2}$. It follows from properties (1) and (3) above that S is a self-adjoint involution. Furthermore, $d + d^* + S$ and $d + d^* - S$ are invertible [13, Lemma 3.5]. Now in the even dimensional case, the signature of the above Hilbert–Poincaré complex is defined to be the formal difference $[P_+] - [P_-]$ of the positive projections of $d + d^* + S$ and $d + d^* - S$. Here a positive projection of a self-adjoint invertible operator is defined to be the spectral projection of the operator on the positive part of the spectrum.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an orientation preserving homotopy equivalence. We denote the induced pullback map on differential forms by $f^* : \Omega^*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$. In general, f^* does not extend to a bounded linear map between the spaces of L^2 -forms $\Omega_{L^2}^*(N)$ and $\Omega_{L^2}^*(M)$. In order to fix this issue, we need the following construction due to Hilsum and Skandalis [16]. First, suppose $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a submersion between two closed manifolds. It is easy to see that ϕ^* extends to a bounded linear operator from $\Omega_{L^2}^*(Y)$ to $\Omega_{L^2}^*(X)$. Now let $\iota : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an embedding. Suppose U is a tubular neighborhood of Y in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\pi : U \rightarrow Y$ is the associated projection. Without loss of generality, we assume $\iota(Y) + \mathbb{B}^n \subset U$, where $\mathbb{B}^n$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $p : X \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow Y$ be the submersion defined by $p(x, t) = \pi(f(x) + t)$. Furthermore, let ω be a volume form on $\mathbb{B}^n$ whose integral is 1. Then the formula

$$\alpha \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} p^*\alpha \wedge \omega$$

defines a morphism of chain complexes $A : \Omega^*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ where $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n}$ denotes fiberwise integration along $\mathbb{B}^n$. It is easy to see that A extends to a bounded linear operator from $\Omega_{L^2}^*(Y)$ to $\Omega_{L^2}^*(X)$. We shall still denote this extension by $A : \Omega_{L^2}^*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{L^2}^*(X)$.

Now a routine calculation shows that A is a homotopy equivalence between the two complexes $(\Omega_{L^2}^*(Y), d_Y)$ and $(\Omega_{L^2}^*(X), d_X)$ such that ATA^* is chain homotopy equivalent to T' , where T (resp. T') is the Hodge star operator on Y (resp. X). It follows that the operator

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & AT \\ TA^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

together with the chain complex $(\Omega_{L^2}^*(X) \oplus \Omega_{L^2}^*(Y), d_X \oplus d_Y)$ gives rise to an (unbounded) Hilbert-Poincaré complex.

Higson and Roe showed that the signature of this Hilbert-Poincaré complex coincides with the formal difference $\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_X) - \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_Y)$ of the higher signature indices of X and Y [14, Theorem 5.5]. On the other hand, observe that, for each $t \in [0, 1]$, the following operator

$$S_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\pi t} AT \\ e^{-i\pi t} TA^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

also defines a duality operator for the chain complex $(\Omega_{L^2}^*(X) \oplus \Omega_{L^2}^*(Y), d_X \oplus d_Y)$. If we let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} d_X + d_X^* & \\ & d_Y + d_Y^* \end{pmatrix},$$

then the positive projection $[(P_+)_t]$ of $B + S_t$ forms a continuous path of projections for $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that $S_1 = -S_0 = S$. Therefore, we see that $[P_+] = [(P_+)_0]$ is connected to $[P_-] = [(P_+)_1]$ via a continuous path of projections. It follows that

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_X) - \text{Ind}(\tilde{D}_Y) = [P_+] - [P_-] = 0.$$

This shows that the higher signature is invariant under orientation preserving homotopy equivalence. We remark that the above discussion of homotopy invariance of higher signature works for both reduced group C^* -algebras and maximal group C^* -algebras.

Now we show that the relative higher index is invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs.

Theorem 6.3 *The higher index $\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ is a homotopy invariant, that is, if $\phi : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$ is an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence between two compact oriented manifolds with boundary, then*

$$\phi_*(\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) \in K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

and

$$\phi_*(\text{Ind}_{\text{red}}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{\text{red}}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) \in K_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M})).$$

Proof The same proof below works for both the maximal relative higher index and the reduced relative higher index (with coefficients in a II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$). For simplicity, we shall only give the details for the maximal case. Also, we shall only prove the even dimensional case. The odd dimensional case is completely similar.

Let us write

$$\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M}) = [v_0'', f_0] \in K_0(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

and

$$\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) = [v_1'', f_1] \in K_0(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)),$$

where v_i'' and f_i are given as in Definition 6.2. We shall show that (v_0'', f_0) is homotopic to (v_1'', f_1) in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(G, \Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$.

The homotopy equivalence $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ induces an equivariant homotopy equivalence

$$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}.$$

Denote by D_{M_∞} and D_{N_∞} the signature operators on M_∞ and N_∞ , respectively.

By the homotopy invariance of higher signatures (cf. the discussion before the theorem), we have a continuous path of idempotents $(p_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(\tilde{N})^\Gamma)^+$ connecting $\phi_*(p_0)$ and p_1 .

Define a path of invertibles

$$v_t = \varphi(2\pi i(\chi p_t \chi))$$

in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*(\tilde{N})^\Gamma)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes K)^+$, where χ be the characteristic function of the subspace $\tilde{N}$ in $\tilde{N}_\infty$ and φ is the function given in line (6.1).

The homotopy equivalence $\phi : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$ also induces a Γ -equivariant homotopy equivalence

$$\phi_\partial^\Gamma \times id : (\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\partial N)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $(\partial M)_\Gamma$ (resp. $(\partial N)_\Gamma$) is the restriction of the covering space $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ (resp. $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N$) over ∂M (resp. ∂N). Let $D_{(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$ and $D_{(\partial N)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}}$ be the signature operator on $(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\partial N)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ respectively. Similarly (cf. [30, Section 8]), there exists a continuous path of idempotents $(p'_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ connecting $(\phi_\partial^\Gamma)_*(p'_0)$ and p'_1 , where $[p'_0] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{(\partial M)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}})$ and $[p'_1] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{(\partial N)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}})$. As a result, we obtain a path of invertibles

$$v'_t = \varphi(2\pi i(\chi' p'_t \chi')),$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ in the matrix algebra of $(C_{\max}^*((\partial N)_\Gamma \times [-\epsilon, 0])^\Gamma)^+ \cong (C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes K)^+$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, where χ' be the characteristic function of the subspace $(\partial N)_\Gamma \times (-\infty, 0]$ in $(\partial N)_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$. Since the paths p_t and p'_t are constructed using the same formula, we have by construction that

$$v'_t = v_t,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The homotopy equivalence $\phi : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$ also induces a G -equivariant homotopy equivalence

$$\tilde{\phi}_\partial : \tilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \tilde{\partial N} \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\tilde{\partial M}$ (resp. $\tilde{\partial N}$) is the universal covering space of ∂M (resp. ∂N). Similarly, there is a continuous path of idempotents $(p''_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in the matrix algebra of $C_{\max}^*(\tilde{\partial N} \times \mathbb{R})^G$ connecting $(\tilde{\phi}_\partial)_*(p''_0)$ and p''_1 , where $[p''_0] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{\tilde{\partial M} \times \mathbb{R}})$ and $[p''_1] = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_{\tilde{\partial N} \times \mathbb{R}})$. Hence we obtain a path of invertibles

$$v''_t = \varphi(2\pi i(\chi'' p''_t \chi''))$$

in the matrix algebra of $C_{\max}^*(\tilde{\partial M} \times (-\epsilon, 0])^G \cong C_{\max}^*(G) \otimes K$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, where χ'' be the characteristic function of the subspace $\tilde{\partial N} \times (-\infty, 0]$ in $\tilde{\partial N} \times \mathbb{R}$.

By the definitions of v'_t and v''_t , we have that

$$h_{\max}(v''_t) = v'_t, \quad (6.4)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, where $h_{\max} : C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma)$ is the $*$ -homomorphism induced by $h : G = \pi_1(\partial N) \rightarrow \Gamma = \pi_1(N)$.

For each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\{f_t(s)\}_{s \in [0, 1]}$ be the path constructed out of v_t as in Lemma 6.1. In particular, $f_t(0) = v_t$ and $f_t(1) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Consequently, we have a continuous path $\{(v''_t, f_t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ connecting (v''_0, f_0) and (v''_1, f_1) . As a result, we have that

$$\phi_*(\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}).$$

□

Remark 6.4 The analogue of the equality (6.4) for the reduced case requires a bit more care. Recall that, in the reduced case, we have used the map (cf. Lemma 3.1)

$$h_{\text{red}, \mathcal{M}} : C_{\text{red}}^*(G, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$$

in place of $h_{\max} : C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow C_{\max}^*(\Gamma)$. First observe that v''_t has finite propagation, hence $h_{\text{red}, \mathcal{M}}(v''_t)$ makes sense. A priori we do not have $h_{\text{red}, \mathcal{M}}(v''_t) = v'_t$, as the definition of the map $h_{\text{red}, \mathcal{M}}$ involves an extra trace-preserving map $\phi : C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. However, if we enlarge $\mathcal{M}$ by its matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ if necessary, there exists a unitary U acting on $C_0(\partial \widetilde{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ such that U intertwines the two actions $\alpha \otimes \phi$ and $\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of G on $C_0(\partial \widetilde{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M}$, where α is the usual action of G on $C_0(\partial \widetilde{M})$ by translation and ϕ is the action of G on $\mathcal{M}$ induced by the trace-preserving map $\phi : C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Roughly speaking, the existence of such a unitary U follows from the fact that the bundle $(\partial \widetilde{M}) \times_G (M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M})$ over ∂M is trivial whenever k is sufficiently large. See for example [1, Lemma 3.4] for more details. In particular, it follows that

$$U(h_{\text{red}, \mathcal{M}}(v''_t))U^* = v'_t. \quad (6.5)$$

Note that conjugation by a unitary induces the identity map on K -theory. With the equality (6.4) replaced by the above modified equality (6.5), the rest of the argument for the reduced case is the same as the maximal case.

We would like to point out that the invariance of relative higher signatures was also dealt with in [17] for PL manifolds by a different method.

At the end of this section, let us show that the maximal (reduced) strong relative Novikov conjecture together with Theorem 6.3 implies the relative Novikov conjecture regarding the homotopy invariance of relative higher signatures of manifolds with boundary. Let us focus only on the maximal case, since the reduced case is completely analogous.

Let $(M, \partial M)$ be a compact oriented manifold with boundary. Let $\psi_M : M \rightarrow B\Gamma$ (resp. $\psi_{\partial M} : \partial M \rightarrow B\Gamma$) be the classifying map associated with the universal covering space. Let $\mathcal{L}_M$ be the L -class of M and $\mathcal{L}_{\partial M}$ the L -class of the boundary ∂M , respectively. For each element $(\xi, \eta) \in H^*(B\Gamma, B\Gamma)$, one can define a relative index pairing as follows:

$$\langle (D_M, D_{\partial M}), (\xi, \eta) \rangle = \int_M \mathcal{L}_M \cup \psi_M^*(\xi) - \int_{\partial M} \mathcal{L}_{\partial M} \cup \psi_{\partial M}^*(\eta), \quad (6.6)$$

where $H^*(B\Gamma, B\Gamma)$ is the relative group cohomology for the group homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $(D_M, D_{\partial M})$ is the signature operator of $(M, \partial M)$. The right hand side of the above equation is usually referred to as a relative higher signature of $(M, \partial M)$. We refer the reader to [24] for more details on relative index pairings.

Conjecture 6.5 (Relative Novikov conjecture) *All relative higher signatures are invariant under orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences of pairs. More precisely, assume that $\phi: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (N, \partial N)$ is an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence of pairs, then*

$$\int_M \mathcal{L}_M \cup \psi_M^*(\xi) - \int_{\partial M} \mathcal{L}_{\partial M} \cup \psi_{\partial M}^*(\eta) = \int_N \mathcal{L}_N \cup \psi_N^*(\xi) - \int_{\partial N} \mathcal{L}_{\partial N} \cup \psi_{\partial N}^*(\eta) \quad (6.7)$$

for all $(\xi, \eta) \in H^*(B\Gamma, BG)$, where $\psi_N: N \rightarrow B\Gamma$ and $\psi_{\partial N}: \partial N \rightarrow BG$ are continuous maps such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M, \partial M) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (N, \partial N) \\ & \searrow (\psi_M, \psi_{\partial M}) & \swarrow (\psi_N, \psi_{\partial N}) \\ & (B\Gamma, BG) & \end{array}$$

There is a relative Connes–Chern character map

$$\text{Ch}: K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

It is known that the relative Connes–Chern character Ch is an isomorphism, cf. [4]. The pairing in line (6.6) can be viewed as the natural pairing

$$H_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C} \times H^*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows that, if the two K -homology classes $\phi_*([D_M, D_{\partial M}])$ and $[D_N, D_{\partial N}]$ coincide in $K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then the equality (6.7) holds, hence proves the relative Novikov conjecture in this case. However, by Theorem 6.3, we have that

$$\phi_*(\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M})) = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}) \in K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)).$$

Now if the maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture (Conjecture 2.14) holds for $h: G \rightarrow \Gamma$, that is,

$$\mu_{\max}: K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G))$$

is injective, then it follows that

$$K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}} K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma, G)) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is injective, since the natural homomorphism $K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow K_*^{\Gamma, G}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \underline{E}G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is always injective. Since we have

$$\mu_{\max}(\phi_*([D_M, D_{\partial M}])) = \phi_*(\text{Ind}_{\max}(D_M, D_{\partial M}))$$

and

$$\mu_{\max}([D_N, D_{\partial N}]) = \text{Ind}_{\max}(D_N, D_{\partial N}),$$

it follows that

$$\phi_*([D_M, D_{\partial M}]) = [D_N, D_{\partial N}] \in K_*(B\Gamma, BG) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore, this shows that the maximal strong relative Novikov conjecture implies the relative Novikov conjecture. The implication that the relative Novikov conjecture follows from the reduced strong relative Novikov conjecture is similar. We omit the details.

Remark 6.6 In [23], Leichtnam–Lott–Piazza defined a higher index for signature operators on manifolds with boundary, under certain invertibility assumptions of the signature operator on the boundary. We point out that the relative higher index of signature operators defined in the current paper is generally different from the higher index of Leichtnam–Lott–Piazza. While the construction of the higher index by Leichtnam, Lott and Piazza requires an invertibility condition of the signature operator on the boundary, the relative higher index in our paper is always defined without any invertibility condition on the boundary. On the other hand, the higher index of Leichtnam, Lott and Piazza lies in $K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma))$ instead of the K -theory of the relative group C^* -algebra, due to the extra invertibility condition on the boundary. The two (relative) higher indices are related as follows. Let us assume the invertibility condition on the boundary as in [23] so that the higher index of Leichtnam–Lott–Piazza is defined. Then the image of Leichtnam–Lott–Piazza’s higher index under the boundary map $K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M})) \rightarrow K_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma, G, \mathcal{M}))$ coincides with our relative higher index for the pair $(M, \partial M)$.

References

1. Antonini, P., Azzali, S., Skandalis, G.: Bivariant K -theory with $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients and rho classes of unitary representations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270**(1), 447–481 (2016)
2. Antonini, P., Azzali, S., Skandalis, G.: The Baum–Connes conjecture localised at the unit element of a discrete group. *Compos. Math.* **156**(12), 2536–2559 (2020)
3. Aparicio, M.P.G., Julg, P., Valette, A.: The Baum–Connes conjecture: an extended survey. In: *Advances in Noncommutative Geometry*, pp. 127–244. Springer, New York (2019)
4. Baum, P., Connes, A.: Chern character for discrete groups. In: Matsumoto, Y., Mizutani, T., Morita, S. (eds.) *A Fête of Topology*, pp. 163–232. Academic Press, London (1988)
5. Chang, S., Weinberger, S., Yu, G.: Positive scalar curvature and a new index theory for noncompact manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **149**, 103575 (2020)
6. Chen, X., Wang, Q., Wang, Z.: Fibrated coarse embedding into non-positively curved manifolds and higher index problem. *J. Funct. Anal.* **267**(11), 4029–4065 (2014)
7. Chen, X., Fu, B., Wang, Q., Zhou, D.: Equivariant higher-index problems for proper actions and nonpositively curved manifolds. *Kyoto J. Math.* **60**(2), 575–591 (2020)
8. Connes, A., Moscovici, H.: Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups. *Topology* **29**(3), 345–388 (1990)
9. Deeley, R.J., Goffeng, M.: Relative geometric assembly and mapping cones Part II: Chern characters and the Novikov property. *Münster J. Math.* **12**(1), 57–92 (2019)
10. Deng, Jintao: The Novikov conjecture and extensions of coarsely embeddable groups. *J. Noncommutative Geom.* **16**(1), 265–310 (2022)
11. Gong, G., Wang, Q., Yu, G.: Geometrization of the strong Novikov conjecture for residually finite groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelles J.)* **2008**(621), 159–189 (2008)
12. Gromov, M.: Hyperbolic groups. In: *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*, vol. 2. Essays in Group Theory, vol. 8, pp. 75–263. Springer, New York (1987)
13. Higson, N., Roe, J.: Mapping surgery to analysis. I. Analytic signatures. *K-Theory* **33**(4), 277–299 (2005)
14. Higson, N., Roe, J.: Mapping surgery to analysis. II. Geometric signatures. *K-Theory* **33**(4), 301–324 (2005)
15. Higson, N., Kasparov, G., Trout, J.: A Bott periodicity theorem for infinite-dimensional Euclidean space. *Adv. Math.* **135**(1), 1–40 (1998)
16. Hilsum, Michel, Skandalis, Georges: Invariance par homotopie de la signature à coefficients dans un fibré presque plat. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **423**, 73–99 (1992)
17. Hou, B., Liu, H.: On the relative L -theory and the relative signature of PL manifolds with boundary. [arXiv:1908.07451](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.07451) (2019)
18. Kaminker, J., Tang, X.: Hopf algebroids and secondary characteristic classes. *J. Noncommutative Geom.* **3**(1), 1–25 (2009)
19. Kasparov, G., Skandalis, G.: Groups acting properly on “bolic” spaces and the Novikov conjecture. *Ann. Math. (2)* **158**(1), 165–206 (2003)

20. Kasparov, G., Yu, G.: The Novikov conjecture and geometry of Banach spaces. *Geom. Topol.* **16**(3), 1859–1880 (2012)
21. Kubota, Y.: The relative Mishchenko–Fomenko higher index and almost flat bundles. I. The relative Mishchenko–Fomenko index. *J. Noncommutative Geom.* **14**(3), 1209–1244 (2020)
22. Lafforgue, V.: Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients for hyperbolic groups. *J. Noncommutative Geom.* **6**(1), 1–197 (2012)
23. Leichtnam, E., Lott, J., Piazza, P.: On the homotopy invariance of higher signatures for manifolds with boundary. *J. Differ. Geom.* **54**(3), 561–633 (2000)
24. Lesch, M., Moscovici, H., Pflaum, M. J.: Connes–Chern character for manifolds with boundary and eta cochains. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **220**(1036), viii+92 (2012)
25. Skandalis, G., Tu, J.L., Yu, G.: The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture and groupoids. *Topology* **41**(4), 807–834 (2002)
26. Tian, G.: Strong Relative Novikov Conjecture. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Texas A&M University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor (2019)
27. Tu, J.L.: La conjecture de Baum–Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables. *K-Theory* **17**(3), 215–264 (1999)
28. Weinberger, S., Xie, Z., Yu, G.: Additivity of higher rho invariants and nonrigidity of topological manifolds. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **74**(1), 3–113 (2021)
29. Willett, R., Yu, G.: Higher Index Theory, vol. 189. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2020)
30. Xie, Z., Yu, G.: Higher invariants in noncommutative geometry. In: *Advances in Noncommutative Geometry—On the occasion of Alain Connes’ 70th Birthday*, pp. 691–751. Springer, Cham (2019)
31. Yu, G.: Localization algebras and the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture. *K-Theory* **11**(4), 307–318 (1997)
32. Yu, G.: The Novikov conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension. *Ann. Math. (2)* **147**(2), 325–355 (1998)
33. Yu, G.: The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.* **139**(1), 201–240 (2000)
34. Yu, G.: The Novikov conjecture. *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **74**(3), 167–184 (2019)

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.