

Ethics Case Study Project: Broadening STEM Participation by Normalizing Immersion of Diverse Groups in Peer to Near Peer Collaborations

Dr. Brian Aufderheide, Hampton University

Dr. Brian Aufderheide is Associate Professor in Chemical Engineering at Hampton University. He completed his PhD in Chemical Engineering at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. His areas of expertise are in advanced control, design, and modeling of biomedical, chemical and biological processes.

LaNika M. Barnes, Albemarle County Public Schools (Charlottesville, Virginia)

LaNika Barnes, a certified High School Science and Equity Resource Educator in Charlottesville, VA, holds degrees in Environmental Science and Religious Studies from North Carolina Wesleyan College. Prior to her teaching role, she served as an Academic Advisor for undergraduates and worked as a Chemist for a major pharmaceutical company. LaNika's expertise lies in STEM education, specifically biological and environmental sciences, and she excels in student support research, project management, and Culturally Responsive Teaching methods. Committed to fostering inclusive learning environments, she is passionate about empowering students and promoting educational equity.

Dr. Otsebele E Nare, Hampton University

Otsebele Nare is an Associate Professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at Hampton University, VA. He received his electrical engineering doctorate from Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD, in 2005. His research interests include

Dr. Garrick E. Louis, University of Virginia

Garrick Louis is Associate Professor of Engineering Systems and Environment (ESE), and Associate Professor of Engineering & Society at the University of Virginia. His research investigates local capacity building for sustained access to infrastructure-bas

Mr. Daniel Webster Fairley II, 100 Black Men of Central Virginia

Daniel Fairley II serves as the President of the 100 Black Men of Central Virginia and holds the position of Donor Relations Manager for the Charlottesville Area Community Foundation. A dynamic community leader, Daniel orchestrates mentorship programs, manages a significant budget, and spearheads transformative initiatives. With a Master of Education and ongoing certifications, Daniel combines academic expertise with practical leadership. His commitment to community service has earned him accolades, including being selected for the Charlottesville Daily Progress's Distinguished Dozen Award in 2023 and a member of President Obama's inaugural cohort of 100 Leaders USA at the Obama Foundation. Daniel Fairley II is a catalyst for positive change, embodying visionary leadership and a passion for creating an equitable and empowered future.

Ethics Case Study Project: Broadening STEM Participation by Normalizing Immersion of Diverse Groups in Peer to Near Peer Collaborations

Abstract

To successfully broaden the participation of underrepresented racially minoritized students in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM), students from all demographic groups must routinely work together in STEM as a cohesive community. A Mutual Benefit Approach (MBA) is a way to create longstanding partnerships between members of the community, academia, non-governmental organizations (NGO) to develop equitable opportunities for students from all demographic groups to engage together in STEM. One of the primary objectives for MBA is to provide a continuous series of immersions in deliberately diverse STEM environments for students from K-12 up through the PhD. This will normalize STEM as a diverse experience for students and build their self-efficacy in STEM. The MBA also hypothesizes that peer to near-peer interactions are critical for students to progress continuously through all the levels of STEM, from K-12 to the PhD and STEM workforce. This paper discusses one example of a “normalizing immersion” – a team-based case study project in Ethics. The teams consisted of African American high school students, African American undergraduate students from a Historically Black College or University (HBCU) – Hampton University, and predominantly White graduate students from a PWI – University of Virginia. Student teams were guided by high school teachers in the Charlottesville Virginia area, university faculty members and community mentors – a holistic approach involving STEM in the context of students’ respective communities. The team-based Ethics case study project included visits and campus tours at both universities with opportunities to eat in their dining halls as well as delivering presentations on their work. The paper discusses the methodology employed in the Engineering Ethics case study project, as well as planned future work to expand the project and improve it for the next iteration.

Introduction

Summary of STEM Status for African Americans

In 2021, 24% of all US jobs were in the STEM workforce¹. STEM is vital to the US economy and national security^{1,2}. Furthermore, diversity has been shown to enhance all aspects of the STEM enterprise³. And yet African Americans and other People of Color are underrepresented in STEM education and the workforce^{4,5}. In 2021, African Americans represented 12% of the total US population but only were 9% of the STEM workforce, and 9% of STEM graduates⁴.

Since many colleges banned race-based affirmative action, enrollment by African Americans and other minorities has dropped by 12%⁶. To compound problems, retention of African American students in engineering in 2015 was less than half that of white students⁷. Underrepresentation in STEM is a persistent, unresolved social justice issue in America that needs to be addressed systematically⁸.

Mutual Benefit Approach

To successfully broaden the participation of minority students in the STEM field, representation from all the groups in that pipeline must be included and ideally work together as a cohesive community. A Mutual Benefit Approach (MBA) is to create a longstanding relationship with various stakeholders in the community, academia, and industry to create a pipeline for minority students in STEM. The MBA provides a series of normalizing immersions from K-12 up through the PhD in deliberately diverse STEM environments. The concept is from Garrick Louis who has brought together high school teachers, mentors from non-governmental organizations (NGO), and faculty from a Historically Black College or University (HBCU)⁹. The collaboration allows students to engage in a diversified classroom environment online, while remaining physically at their respective institutions. The intent is to build relationships between faculty and students at the respective institutions that could lead to other forms of collaboration, including joint research projects and opportunities for graduate study at the PWI for students from the HBCU, where there is no graduate program⁹.

The Mutual Benefit Approach (MBA) project conceptualizes systemic racism in STEM education as the underrepresentation of people of color in all aspects of the STEM enterprise in proportion to their presence in the general population. MBA posits that this underrepresentation is the result of Deliberate, Institutional, Structural, and Historical (DISH) policies and programs that have been racist in intent and effect^{10,11,12}. Deliberate refers to racially discriminatory policies and programs and attempts to remedy them from the Slave Codes to “Separate but Equal,” the Civil Rights Movement, Affirmative Action, Title IX, and Diversity-Equity-Inclusion (DEI)¹³. Institutional refers to the implementation of exclusionary and unequal policies and programs across all levels of educational institutions from K-12 through Colleges and Universities¹⁴. Structural refers to the pervasive and continuous presence of DISH policies across multiple sectors of society, including Education – in the form of school and teacher quality, Housing – in the form of segregation, redlining, and the unequal funding of public schools through property taxes, Employment – in the form of discrimination in hiring and firing, job type, and pay inequity, and in the Financial sector – in the form of access to financing for education and other purposes that affect household wealth^{15,16,17}. Historical refers to the inception, spread, persistence, and legacy effects of the factors that underpin systemic racism in STEM education¹⁸.

Immersive Experiences

To combat systemic racism requires the breakdown of barriers between institutions and communities by working together out of our personal space and within the comfort zone of the other group. It is critical that long lasting relationships are formed from all the communities and organizations along the STEM pipeline and that each group has a voice and a say within the MBA framework.

Our hypothesis is that gradual and continuous immersion in STEM education in learning environments with diverse groups of students, educators, and mentors can normalize STEM education as a diverse endeavor. We arrived at this hypothesis after two years of observing classes of predominantly White students from PWI, the University of Virginia (UVA) and predominantly Black students from HBCU, Hampton University, work together in an Engineering/Research Ethics class taught jointly by the co-authors⁹.

There is also a small body of literature that examines the effects of immersive experiences on normalizing intergroup interaction^{19,20,21}. This suggests that the immersion strategy is worth investigating.

About half of the engineering graduates from Hampton University go on to graduate school. These students all have one or more summer research experiences at PWIs thanks to NSF REU programs and other similar programs. The students see themselves doing research in PWI labs and feel comfortable in that atmosphere due to the immersive summer research internships.

Ethics Case Study Project Methodology

Hybrid Ethics Class Collaboration Between PWI and HBCU

Ethics guides all aspects of an engineer's job from proper safety, process and product design and quality management²². Products and their contents, uses, and potential hazards must be properly disclosed and labeled⁹. Engineers also have an ethical responsibility to credit intellectual property both within and without the company⁹. A growing number of corporations have an Ethics Officer^{23,24}. Every Professional Engineer is required to take an Ethics course every 2 years²⁵ and each professional society has its own code of ethics^{26,27,28}.

A community of practice has been developing over the past 2 years through a collaboration between University of Virginia (UVA) and Hampton University (HU) featured in this paper⁹. The collaboration started through joint engineering ethics courses being taught at the same time so that lectures and outside seminars of common interest were shared in a hybrid learning environment through Zoom and Blackboard for mutual benefit⁹. It was considered a Mutual Benefit Approach (MBA) to begin a natural collaboration between instructors, students, and institutions towards an increased diversity of environments and ideas. The UVA course was directed to graduate level students in engineering, and the HU course was for undergraduate engineering students. Initially, 4 seminars were shared between the two courses covering Professional Engineering Code of Ethics, Corporate Ethics, Quality and Compliance, Intellectual Property, and Climate Ethics⁹. In the subsequent year, the collaboration expanded with the goal to further relationships between faculty and students that could lead to other forms of collaboration, including joint research projects, opportunities for graduate study and other mutual benefits. The current collaboration resulted in an internal grant from UVA to support one-day in-person student exchange visits to expose students to diverse environments, giving many students their first experience at a PWI/HBCU campus, respectively, to foster fluency in operating in a real-world multiracial STEM environment. This endeavor further tapped into existing relationships with community, industry, and government partners, including the local chapter of an NGO, 100 Black Men of Central Virginia. HU faculty presented to the organization's K-12 student group. Furthermore, students from three local High Schools near UVA including co-author LaNika Barnes of Albemarle High School joined the collaboration with the support from the 100 Black Men of Central Virginia. As a result, the second iteration of the engineering ethics course collaboration included the participation of High School students, their STEM teachers, the NGO, and industry partners as speakers, mentors and financial supporters to provide a broader context for the STEM experience for the students. All of the stakeholders are represented in the authorship of this paper.

High School Students Local to PWI

Charlottesville High School (HS1), according to the 2022-2023 Virginia Department of Education's School Quality Profiles (HS1SQP), accommodates approximately 1,200 students, offering a diverse range of educational opportunities^{29,30}. The school provides access to 28 college-

level courses, featuring programs like an engineering curriculum and the Sigma Lab, dedicated to fostering coding and engineering skills²⁹. The school has a racially diverse student body, with 41.9% White, 28.9% Black, 14.2% Hispanic/Latino, 4.5% Asian, <1.0% Native American, and 10.3% identifying as Multiple Races²⁹. Nearly half of the student population (48.6%) falls under the economically disadvantaged category²⁹.

Academically, the school demonstrates notable achievements, boasting a 92.9% on-time graduation rate²⁹. A significant portion of its students (28%) takes at least one Advanced Placement (AP) exam, with 75% achieving scores of 3 or higher²⁹. Examining state-mandated exams, data from the HS1SQP indicates an overall pass rate of 70% for secondary science courses (Earth Science, Biology, and Chemistry), surpassing the state average of 67%²⁹. However, it's crucial to acknowledge variations among specific demographic groups, as students who identify as Asian, Black, and Multiple Races scored below their peers across the state.

Albemarle High School (HS2), as per the 2022-2023 Virginia Department of Education's School Quality Profiles (HS2SQP), accommodates approximately 2,000 students and provides a diverse cadre of educational offerings^{29,31}. HS2 provides over 25 college-level courses and features programs like AVID (Advancement Via Individual Determination) and the STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Math) Career Learning Community, open to all HS2 students as well as those through the shared school division²⁹.

Demographically, the student body at HS2 breaks down as follows: 49.4% White, 16.9% Black, 18.9% Hispanic/Latino, 7.9% Asian, <1.0% Native American, and 6.8% identifying as Multiple Races²⁹. About 33.2% of the student population falls under the economically disadvantaged category²⁹. Academically, HS2 maintains a 92.0% on-time graduation rate, just below the 94% division rate but aligning with the state rate of 91.9%²⁹. Approximately 27.5% of students are enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or Dual Enrollment (DE) class²⁹.

Examining state-mandated exams, data from the HS2SQP indicates an overall pass rate of 64% for secondary science courses (Earth Science, Biology, and Chemistry), falling below both the state and division rates, both at 67%²⁹. Notably, variations exist among demographic groups, with Black students having a pass rate of 40%, higher than their division peer groups but still below the state average²⁹. Hispanic students demonstrate a passing rate of 38%, slightly lower than their division peers (39%) and below their state peers (52%)²⁹.

Monticello High School (HS3), as per the 2022-2023 Virginia Department of Education's School Quality Profiles (HS3SQP), accommodates approximately 1,178 students^{29,32}. HS3, much like the other high schools in the area, provides students with a diverse program of academic studies but also emphasizes the opportunities in the areas of arts, technical education, and vocational courses. HS3 also encourages their graduates more so than some of the area schools to enroll in college courses at the local community college as well as to engage in coursework that leads to certifications and on-the-job training at the local career and technology center.

Demographically, the student body at HS3 breaks down as follows: 60.6% White, 12.2% Black, 17.0% Hispanic/Latino, and 7.0% identifying as Multiple Races²⁹. About 35.9% of the student population falls under the economically disadvantaged category²⁹. Academically, HS3 maintains a 94.8% on-time graduation rate, which is just above 94% division rate and is above the state rate

of 91.9%²⁹. Approximately 27.5% of students are enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or Dual Enrollment (DE) class²⁹.

Examining state-mandated exams, data from the HS3SQP indicates an overall pass rate of 58% for secondary science courses (Earth Science, Biology, and Chemistry), falling below both the state and division rates, both at 67%²⁹. Notably, variations exist among demographic groups, with Black students having a pass rate of 44%, higher than their division peer groups but still below the state average²⁹. Hispanic students demonstrate a passing rate of 37%, slightly lower than their division peers (39%) and below their state peers (52%)²⁹.

All three high schools in the area boast programs that emphasize and promote the inclusion of STEM education for their diverse student populations. HS2 was the site of their school division Math, Engineering, and Science Academy (MESA) for more than 10 years prior to the shift from academies to the STEM Career Learning Clusters (CLCs) during the 2023-2024 academic year, which still maintains a rigorous yet accessible pathway for a diverse group of students to access the curriculum. This school is also the hub of the collaborative NSBE (National Society of Black Engineers), Jr. Chapter which is supported by the local UVA's NSBE PCI (Pre-College Initiative) Chapter. HS2, much like HS3, was the site of their school division's Health and Medical Sciences Academy which has now become a similar CLC where students are challenged to complete high-level math and sciences courses during their four-year commitment. Lastly, HS1, which is not a part HS2 and HS3 school division but located in the same area, has invested in state-of-the-art engineering labs in which students are supported by the same UVA as they complete a five year, engineering focused curriculum that culminates with a capstone project.

Minority Serving NGO: 100 Black Men of Central Virginia

The Sentara 2022 MAPP2Health report highlights the urgent need to address racial inequities in education, socio-emotional health, and financial stability³³. In the specific context of the HS1 and HS2 communities in UVA's general community, there is a pressing demand for mentorship, literacy, math, and financial literacy programs. These programs are crucial for tackling the diverse challenges and disparities that exist in the region, ultimately contributing to the overall well-being and future prospects of individuals and families.

Within HS1 and HS2, numerous young people confront obstacles related to academic achievement, personal development, and decision-making. Economic disparities in these areas disproportionately impact marginalized communities, reflecting a broader trend observed in many regions. To address these challenges, the implementation of free and low-cost peer-to-peer and near-to-peer collaborative programs is recommended. These initiatives can offer guidance, support, and role models, assisting young individuals in navigating the complexities of adolescence.

To bridge existing gaps, it is essential to establish programs that actively involve middle and high school students, as well as their families, with working professionals, professors, and undergraduate and graduate students from colleges and universities. This collaborative approach

represents a significant step towards providing emotional support and encouragement, aiding individuals in coping with life's challenges³³. Moreover, a considerable number of the young people supported by these initiatives struggle with basic literacy skills, limiting their access to education, employment opportunities, and effective community engagement. Therefore, ensuring ready access to focused activities within the community becomes pivotal for enhancing academic and career readiness and improving long-term educational outcomes.

Overview Collaborative Ethics Case Study Project

The collaboration expanded to include a 4-week multi-level exercise, focusing on Engineering Ethics and Safety, with students from three participating High Schools near to UVA as well as UVA and HU students. The high school students were chosen based on demonstrated academic excellence and an expressed desire to pursue Engineering as a field of study after graduation. The objectives for high school students working with graduate and undergraduate students were to:

- collaborate on a series of projects, designed to explore their interests in field of engineering.
- challenge their academic aptitude through problem solving and teamwork building.
- take part in a campus tour and have discussions with professors, students and professionals.
- grow their interest in the field.
- present their team projects for evaluation at the end of the collaboration.

Ethics Case Study Topics and Their Selection

Students were provided a Google form that had 12 topics for ethics case study projects. The students were to pick their top five projects out of the list. To assist the students in understanding the topics a base reference for each topic was provided for them to read if desired. Out of these 12 topics 9 were selected. The selection was done on a first request basis. Each team was then filled so that had 2 to 3 HBCU undergraduate students, 2 to 3 PWI graduate students and one African American high school student. In the end the AI Chat GPT and AI Algorithm Bias were considered similar enough to combine and let students decide which of the two areas they would focus on which they chose the Algorithm Bias more than the writing tool. Since topics were chosen by first individuals finishing the assignment not all the topics chosen necessarily represented the overall interest of all the participating students. The students filling out the form were 38 out of a total of 46 or 82.6%. The breakdown of students who stated their preferred topics was 17 (85%) from HBCU, 9 (100%) from high school, and 12 (70.6%) from PWI. Over 90% of the students who filled out the form got one of their top three choices. See table 1 below for more information on topics and student choices. In the end, those not chosen were Drone Use and Global Justice, AI and Written Papers ChatGPT, and Flint Michigan Water.

Table 1: Ethics Case Study Topics

	% Student Choices
--	-------------------

No.	Topic	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
1.	Tuskegee Experiment ³⁴	10.5	15.8	15.8	5.4	10.8
2.	Drone Use and Global Justice ³⁵	5.3	2.6	7.9	13.5	5.4
3.	AI and Algorithm Bias ³⁶	5.3	7.9	2.6	2.7	8.1
4.	AI and Written Papers ChatGPT ³⁷	0	10.5	7.9	10.8	10.8
5.	E-Waste in Ghana ³⁸	10.5	10.5	13.5	13.5	10.8
6.	Engineering for Planned Obsolescence ³⁹	10.5	10.5	7.9	5.4	2.7
7.	Henrietta Lacks and HeLa Cervical Cancer Cells ⁴⁰	26.3	10.5	7.9	5.4	2.7
8.	Flint Michigan Water ⁴¹	2.6	10.5	15.8	8.1	10.8
9.	Boeing Ultra Max Plane Crashes ⁴²	13.2	0	10.5	13.5	13.5
10.	Solar Panels and Sustainability Analysis ⁴³	10.5	7.9	2.6	2.7	13.5
11.	Facebook Privacy Breach ⁴⁴	7.9	5.3	7.9	13.5	5.4
12.	PFAS: Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances ⁴⁵	2.6	7.9	5.3	5.4	5.4

HBCU Visit and Preliminary Presentations

The table below gives an outline of the day at Hampton University. The travel between institutions is three hours. This was the first day where group team members met each other.

Table 2: HBCU Day Timeline

Time	Activity
10 AM	High School and UVA Students Arrive at Hampton University
10-11 AM	Student introductions. Preliminary discussion of engineering ethics project. Agreed Rules of Engagement
11-12 PM	HU students give guided tour of their campus
12-1 PM	Lunch in Hampton University cafeteria
1-2 PM	HU and UVA students work on project
1-2 PM	High School students meet with HU Admissions Officer
2-3 PM	Teams give preliminary presentations on projects and plans for completion.
3-3:45 PM	Wrap Up proceedings

Some students could not attend due to illness or other activities they could not miss. All high school students did attend as well as some parents, teachers, and mentors from Nongovernmental groups assisting Black students to get into STEM fields. Missing students came from PWI and

HBCU. A couple of students who could not attend in person joined their groups online via Zoom and worked in breakout rooms. The Hampton University Dean of the School of Engineering Architecture and Aviation stopped by to welcome everyone and heard most of the preliminary presentations given by the teams.

PWI Visit, Rubric, and Final Presentations

The visit by the high school students and the HBCU undergraduates to University of Virginia was similar to the HBCU day including campus tours, meeting with campus officials involved in admissions or support of minority students at UVA and having lunch in the University of Virginia cafeteria. The highlight was the groups providing their ethics case study presentations which were outstanding. 100 Black Men of Central Virginia awarded the high school students with certificates and provided box dinners for everyone in attendance. Final words were given by faculty, mentors, teachers and some students.

A Google form rubric was provided to all in attendance to evaluate each talk for its content, organization, and teamwork (see Table 3).

Results & Discussion

HBCU Day

Overall the day at the HBCU went well. This was the first time that all three high schools participated in an activity together. The teachers, mentors, and parents of some of the high school students had a lengthy chat discussing the day and the ethics case study projects which was overwhelmingly supportive. The problems that did occur were mostly logistical in nature due to not having planned and started this as a group sooner with all supervisors involved. Participants' assignments to teams were in some cases last minute for those that had not chosen any topics. The concept and teaching of a group contract was not necessarily familiar to all of the students not from Hampton University. Some groups were shorthanded due to lack of attendance, but by giving all the students a good base reference, everyone who participated at the HBCU day did a great job in their preliminary presentations. The high school students did very well, and for many groups the topic was one of their top choices, so the students demonstrated real enthusiasm for the case study they were working on as a group.

Teamwork and Preparation

This was the biggest struggle for some groups: to get together virtually, assign tasks, and have everyone do them in a timely manner. Most groups used shared Google docs and slides. One group did its work in Canva. The biggest issue was choosing times that worked for all the team members. High school students have such an earlier timetable than the undergraduate and graduate university students that having a late meeting at night is not a great solution nor necessarily feasible. The need for all teams to do group contracts prior to or at completion of the HBCU visit. Part of these issues too was having all the supervisors working more coherently. Teachers and mentors were brought in late and did an amazing job helping out but having them on board from the beginning would have helped the team's work more efficiently. Even though teams could have worked more cohesively there was sufficient time that all in the end did an

excellent job, and had done more than adequate research for their case study with additional references.

A supervisors' survey was given to the students a week prior to the final presentations as a Google form to determine how the groups were progressing. Only 16 of the participants filled it out although almost all groups were represented by those 16. Out of those 15 stated their groups had completed their group contracts; all had completed draft of their presentation; 14 had defined roles for each team member in the presentation; all had found their required additional references; and only 10 had identified their stakeholders for their case studies. Again, in the future, the group contracts are due as soon as possible. And in addition, have a survey earlier than last week and possibly a second one later to make sure folks feel comfortable and on track.

PWI Day with Final Presentations

Due to not having enough time allotted at University of Virginia with tours and meeting special counselors who work with Black students at both undergraduate and graduate levels at UVA, the talks were allotted 15 minutes each from the 20 minutes the students had practiced for prior to the visit. For some groups, individuals who could not attend in person joined in hybrid fashion to present over Zoom with their groups. Still, even with these added hurdles, the actual work done by each group was outstanding.

A Google Form rubric was available for all students, teachers, mentors, etc. to evaluate each presentation done. 17 people participated and evaluated each project. Each topic was given a value from 0 to 5 scale with 5 being highest. Explanations for low and high ranges given for each topic. For example, in Stake Holders, a top mark of 5 would be the following: "The students understand the complete scope of the case and all the parties affected by it. Determines all who should be involved in the decision-making process and their viewpoints."

Table 3: Final Presentation Rubric and Scores

Rubric Topic	Weighting	Google Form Evaluation Statistics Average (Std Deviation)
Overview Summary Case Study	20%	5.0 (0)
Stake Holders	10%	4.82 (0.39)
Ethical Issues	30%	4.76 (0.44)
Question and Answer	5%	5.0 (0)
Organization and Progression of Thought	5%	4.88 (0.33)
Data Presentation and Slide Quality	5%	4.82 (0.39)
Team Participation	10%	4.65 (0.61)
Citation of Information Sources	15%	4.65 (0.61)

Overall, all the presentations were outstanding. Each high school student contributed as much or more than the other team members in the presentations. The average scores were very high across the board.

Teachers wanted to present a case study of their own too but no time was available for them to do so. All participants get an opportunity to see the HBCU and PWI but sadly not the high schools and the work that they are doing there with their students, especially with engineering specialties offered at some of the schools. The mentors from 100 Black Men of Central Virginia also did not get much chance to speak and discuss their work in depth to the HU and UVA students. Perhaps those can be done in a hybrid fashion in the future iteration.

Future Work and Conclusion

For the next iteration, the goal is to expand the program to include two to three times the number of high school students. Have teachers and mentors involved early in the process. Have topics and group contracts chosen and completed prior to HBCU day. Considering the quality of the work and the interest of the ethics case study topics, the goal will be to try and have a larger audience of people to attend the final presentations to include students, faculty and administrators too. Need to include pre and post surveys of the participants to see how their personal views and understanding of the other groups may have changed from the case study project, and their knowledge of ethics in general has improved.

At UVA, there is an undergraduate and master student conference dealing with system engineering and design. The goal is to take advantage of this opportunity and have our ethics case study teams go through the whole conference process from writing an abstract to a conference paper and then a presentation at the conference itself. The authors have met and discussed this opportunity and think it is simply too good to not pursue fully. An initial timeline for the ethics case study project next year has been developed with the conference in mind so that abstracts and paper submissions can be turned in initially as drafts that can be graded and reviewed and redone prior to submission to the conference. This expansion of the ethics case study project to now include a written abstract and conference paper will be reflected in the syllabus for both HU and UVA ethics courses. It will be a lot more work for the faculty, mentors and especially the high school teachers. But the opportunity to give the students especially the high school students a chance at a peer reviewed conference publication and presentation is simply too special to not pursue.

For other schools and universities wanting to do this, a lot can be done online and in a hybrid fashion with all participants. That said the biggest issue still will be proximity of the groups to meet one another physically. The authors feel strongly that it is paramount that the PWI students walk and eat at an HBCU to be immersed in that different environment. Likewise for the high school students and HBCU students to do the same when visiting the PWI. The hope is that these normalizing immersions help foster a sense of belonging and respect from all parties and help generate peer to near peer relationships.

Overall, the Mutual Benefit Approach, involving all the stakeholders along the STEM pipeline from high schools, mentors, undergraduate and graduate faculty and students has been very

rewarding. Our objective is to add to the normalizing immersive experiences between all groups beyond the ethics case study project and have written joint grants towards this goal.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge the following for their contribution to the ethics case study project in which without their help would not have been as successful.

- Teachers: William Trent of Monticello High School, and Alexis Mason of Charlottesville High School
- Mentors: Daniel Sparks and Rick White of 100 Black Men of Central Virginia and Chad Ratcliff of M-Cubed

UVA - President & Provost's Fund for Institutionally Related Research to Garrick Louis.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation 568 under Grant # 1827820.

References

1. IEEE, STEM and the American Workforce, FTI Consulting for IEEE Public Policy, 29 January, 2020. <https://ieeepusa.org/stem-supports-two-thirds-of-u-s-jobs/>. Accessed 23.08.22.
2. AAAS et al. STEM and the American Workforce: An Inclusive Analysis of the Jobs, GDP, and OUTPUT Powered by Science and Engineering, Aerospace Industries Association, American Association for the Advancement of Science, et al., 2020.
3. Østergaard, C.R; B. Timmermans, and K. Kristinsson. (2011). "Does a different view create something new? The effect of employee diversity on innovation," Research Policy, V. 40, I. 3. Pp. 500-509.
4. NCSES, Diversity in STEM: Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities 2023, National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, NSF 23-315.
5. NSB, The State of U.S. Science & Engineering 2022: Science and Engineering Indicators, National Science Foundation, National Science Board, January 2022. NSB-2022-1. <https://nces.nsf.gov/indicators>.
6. Newsome, Melba, "Even as colleges pledge to improve, share of engineering and math graduates who are Black declines", The Hechinger Report, April 12th, 2021.
7. Riegle-Crumb, C., B., King, and Y. Irizarry, "Does STEM Stand Out? Examining Racial/Ethnic Gaps in Persistence Across Postsecondary Fields", Educational Researcher, 48(3), Feb. 21, 2019.
8. Spriggs, W.E; "Missing Millions." Issues in Science and Technology 37, no. 3 (Spring 2021).
9. Aufderheide, B; G. Louis; and O. Nare; "Diversifying STEM Higher Education through Online Collaborative Instruction: The Case of an Engineering Ethics Course between an MSI and PWI," 2023 ASEE Southeastern Section Conference, Arlington, VA. March 12-14.
10. Woodson, C.G; The Mis-Education of the Negro, The Associated Publishers, Washington DC. 1933.

11. Bailey, Z. D., Krieger, N., Agénor, M., Graves, J., Linos, N., & Bassett, M. T. (2017). Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: Evidence and interventions. *Lancet*, 389(10077), 1453–1463.
12. McGee (2020). Ibid.
13. Ramsey, S. (2017). “The Troubled History of American Education after the Brown Decision,” *The American Historian*, Organization of American Historians.
14. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2023. *Advancing Antiracism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in STEMM Organizations: Beyond Broadening Participation*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
15. Bonilla-Silva, E. (2015). The structure of racism in color-blind, “postracial” America. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 59, 1358–1376.
16. Bonilla-Silva, E. (2018). *Racism without Racists: Color-blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in America*. Rowman & Littlefield.
17. Gosztyla ML, Kwong L, Murray NA, Williams CE, Behnke N, Curry P, Corbett KD, DSouza KN, Gala de Pablo J, Gicobi J, Javidnia M, Lotay N, Prescott SM, Quinn JP, Rivera ZMG, Smith MA, Tang KTY, Venkat A, Yamoah MA. “Responses to 10 common criticisms of anti-racism action in STEM”. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2021 Jul 15;17(7):e1009141.
18. NASEM (2023). “The Historical and Contemporary Context for Structural, Systemic, and Institutional Racism in the United States,” Chapter 2. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education; Board on Behavioral, Cognitive, and Sensory Sciences; Committee on Advancing Antiracism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in STEM Organizations; Vargas EA, Scherer LA, Fiske ST, et al., editors. *Advancing Antiracism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in STEMM Organizations: Beyond Broadening Participation*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2023 Feb 14.
19. Richeson, J. A., & Shelton, J. N. (2007). Negotiating Interracial Interactions: Costs, Consequences, and Possibilities. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16(6), 316–320.
20. Ramos, M.R; M.R. Bennett; D.S. Massey, and M. Hewstone. (2019). “Humans adapt to social diversity over time,”.
21. PNAS V.116, N.25. pp. 12244-12249. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818884116>.
22. Aufderheide B, Nare OE, “An Engineering Ethics and Safety Course Integrated with Professional Skills”, 2022 ASEE Southeastern Section Conference Proceedings. 2022 March; (48).
23. Forbes Insights, “Rise of the Chief Ethics Officer”, Forbes, May 27, 2019
24. Hallam, Sarah, “The Rise of the Chief AI Ethics Officer”, The Org, April 13, 2021
25. National Society of Professional Engineers
<https://www.nspe.org/resources/licensure/what-pe>
26. AIChE, “AIChE Code of Ethics”, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 2015.
<https://www.aiche.org/about/governance/policies/code-ethics>
27. IEEE, “IEEE Code of Ethics”, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2021.
<https://www.ieee.org/about/corporate/governance/p7-8.html>
28. NSPE, “Code of Ethics for Engineers”, National Society of Professional Engineers, 2019.
<https://www.nspe.org/resources/ethics/code-ethics>

29. Virginia Department of Education. (n.d.). School Quality Profiles.
<https://schoolquality.virginia.gov/>
30. Charlottesville City Schools. (n.d.). Home. Charlottesville High School.
<https://chs.charlottesvilleschools.org/>
31. Albemarle High School. (n.d.). Home. Albemarle High School.
<https://ahs.k12albemarle.org/>
32. Monticello High School. (n.d.). Home. Monticello High School.
<https://mohs.k12albemarle.org/>
33. Virginia Department of Health. (2023). 2022 MAPP2Health Report [PDF]. Retrieved from
https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/content/uploads/sites/196/2023/01/2022MAPP2Health_ReportFinal-1.pdf
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “The Untreated Syphilis Study at Tuskegee Timeline”. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/tuskegee/timeline.htm>
35. Friedersdorf, Conor,” Obama’s Weak Defense of His Record on Drone Killings”, The Atlantic, Dec. 23, 2016. Retrieved from
<https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2016/12/president-obamas-weak-defense-of-his-record-on-drone-strikes/511454/>
36. Cossins, Daniel,” Discriminating algorithms: 5 times AI showed prejudice”, New Scientist, April 12, 2018. Retrieved from
<https://www.newscientist.com/article/2166207-discriminating-algorithms-5-times-ai-showed-prejudice/>
37. Sundar, Sindhu and Aaron Mok,” What is ChatGPT? Here's everything you need to know about ChatGPT, the chatbot everyone's still talking about”, Business Insider, Aug. 21, 2023 Retrieved from <https://www.businessinsider.com/everything-you-need-to-know-about-chat-gpt-2023-1>
38. Yeung, Peter, “The Toxic Effects of Electronic Waste in Accra, Ghana”, Bloomberg, May 29, 2019. Retrieved from <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-05-29/the-rich-world-s-electronic-waste-dumped-in-ghana>
39. Hadhazy, Adam,’ Here’s the truth about the ‘planned obsolescence’ of tech”, BBC, Feb 24, 2022. Retrieved from <https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20160612-heres-the-truth-about-the-planned-obsolescence-of-tech#:~:text=Does%20planned%20obsolescence%20really%20exist%3F&text=The%20answer%3A%20yes%2C%20but%20with,giving%20people%20goods%20they%20desire.>
40. Fresh Air, “Henrietta Lacks’: A Donor's Immortal Legacy”, NPR, Feb 2, 2010. Retrieved from <https://www.npr.org/2010/02/02/123232331/henrietta-lacks-a-donors-immortal-legacy>
41. Kennedy, Merrit, “Lead-Laced Water In Flint: A Step-By-Step Look At The Makings Of A Crisis”, NPR, April 20,2016. Retrieved from <https://www.npr.org/sections/thetwo-way/2016/04/20/465545378/lead-laced-water-in-flint-a-step-by-step-look-at-the-makings-of-a-crisis>
42. Gates, Dominic,” Final report on Boeing 737 MAX crash sparks dispute over pilot error”, The Seattle Times, Jan. 6, 2023. Retrieved from
<https://www.seattletimes.com/business/boeing-aerospace/final-report-on-boeing-737-max-crash-disputed-agencies-note-pilot-error-as-a-factor/>

43. Mulvaney, Dustin and Morgan D. Bazilian,” The Downside of Solar Energy”, Scientific American, Dec. 1, 2019. Retrieved from <https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/the-downside-of-solar-energy/>
44. AP,” Facebook parent settles suit in Cambridge Analytica scandal”, CNBC, Aug 27, 2022. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbc.com/2022/08/27/facebook-parent-settles-suit-in-cambridge-analytica-scandal.html>
45. Sneed, Anne,” Forever Chemicals Are Widespread in U.S. Drinking Water”, Scientific American, Jan. 22, 2021. Retrieved from <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/forever-chemicals-are-widespread-in-u-s-drinking-water/>