

## ON THE SINGULAR ABELIAN RANK OF ULTRAPRODUCT $\text{II}_1$ FACTORS

PATRICK HIATT and SORIN POPA

*Dedicated to Jacques Dixmier on his 100th birthday*

*Communicated by Stefaan Vaes*

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that, under the continuum hypothesis  $\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_1$ , any ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$  of separable finite factors  $M_n$  contains more than  $\mathfrak{c}$  many mutually disjoint singular MASAs, in other words the *singular abelian rank* of  $M$ ,  $r(M)$ , is larger than  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Moreover, if the strong continuum hypothesis  $2^{\mathfrak{c}} = \aleph_2$  is assumed, then  $r(M) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . More generally, these results hold true for any  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  with unitary group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that satisfies the bicommutant condition  $(A'_0 \cap M)' \cap M = M$ , for all  $A_0 \subset M$  separable abelian.

**KEYWORDS:**  $\text{II}_1$  factor, ultraproduct factors, singular MASA, singular abelian rank.

**MSC (2020):** 46L10, 46L36.

### INTRODUCTION

Following Dixmier [3], a maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebra (MASA)  $A$  in a von Neumann algebra  $M$  is called *singular* if the only unitary elements  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$  that normalize  $A$  (i.e.,  $uAu^* = A$ ) are the unitaries in  $A$ . The existence of such MASAs in the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $R$  in [3] was a discovery that led to many interesting developments and subsequent research (see e.g., [8], [10], [11], [14], [18], [19]).

Most recently in this direction, the *singular abelian core* of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  was defined in [2] as the (unique up to unitary conjugacy) maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $A \subset \mathcal{M} = \overline{M \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)}$ , with  $|K| \geq 2^{|\mathcal{U}(M)|}$ , that is generated by finite projections of  $\mathcal{M}$ , is singular in  $1_A \mathcal{M} 1_A$  and is maximal in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to inclusion. Also, the *singular abelian rank* of  $M$  was defined as  $r(M) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(1_A)$ , viewed as a cardinality when infinite. Alternatively,  $r(M)$  can be viewed as the “maximal number” of disjoint singular MASAs (or pieces of it) in  $M$ . The *sans-core* and respectively, *sans-rank*  $r_{ns}(M)$  were defined in [2] in a similar way, by

considering the maximal singular abelian purely non-separable core  $A \subset M = M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)$  and respectively the semi-finite trace of its support in  $M$ .

It was pointed out in [2] that by results in [12], [15], for any separable  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  one has  $r(M) = \mathfrak{c}$  and that if  $M$  is an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor,  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$ , associated to a sequence  $M_n$  of separable  $\text{II}_1$  factors and a free ultrafilter  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , then by simply considering ultraproducts of singular MASAs of  $M_n$  one obtains  $r(M) = r_{\text{ns}}(M) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ . But a more exact calculation of the singular abelian rank of such  $M$  was left open.

We prove in this paper that if we assume the continuum hypothesis (CH),  $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ , then for any  $\text{II}_1$  factor of the form  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$ , with  $M_n$  separable tracial factors with  $\dim(M_n) \rightarrow \infty$ , one has  $r(M) = r_{\text{ns}}(M) \geq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , and that if we further assume the strong continuum hypothesis (SCH),  $2^{\mathfrak{c}} = \aleph_2$ , then we actually have equalities,  $r(M) = r_{\text{ns}}(M) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  (see Theorem 2.1). Note that in particular this shows that, under CH, an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor has many more singular MASAs than the ones arising as ultraproducts of MASAs.

To do this calculation, we in fact only use the property of an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$  that any copy  $A_0 \subset M$  of the separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  satisfies the bicommutant condition  $(A'_0 \cap M)' \cap M = A_0$ . When viewed as an abstract property of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ , we call this property  $U_0$ .

We prove that, somewhat surprisingly, a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  has property  $U_0$  if and only if it has *property  $U_1$* , requiring that any isomorphism between two copies of  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  inside  $M$  is implemented by a unitary in  $M$  (see Theorem 1.2), and call a  $\text{II}_1$  factor satisfying any of these equivalent properties a *U-factor*.

We also relate properties  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$  with the weaker property that any two copies of  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  inside  $M$  are unitary conjugate, already considered in [12], [16], and which we label here  $U_2$ . This property for  $M$  implies for instance that  $M$  is prime and has no Cartan subalgebras and that any MASA in  $M$  is purely non-separable (see Proposition 1.4). Thus, for such factors one always has  $r_{\text{ns}}(M) = r(M)$ .

So with this terminology, our main result (Theorem 2.1) shows that if  $M$  is a U-factor with unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(M)$  having cardinality  $|\mathcal{U}(M)| = \mathfrak{c}$ , then with the CH assumption we have  $r(M) \geq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , with equality when SCH is assumed.

We mention that Gao, Kunawalkam Elayavalli, Patchell and Tan have recently been able to construct (under CH) examples of  $\text{II}_1$  U-factors  $M$  with  $|\mathcal{U}(M)|$  equal to  $\mathfrak{c}$  but which cannot be decomposed as an ultraproduct of separable finite factors [7].

Throughout this paper we will systematically use notations, terminology and basic results from [13] (for all things concerning ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factors) and [14] (for intertwining of subalgebras and disjointness in  $\text{II}_1$  factors, in particular

for MASAs, especially singular ones). Our work here has been especially motivated by remarks and considerations in [2], notably Sections 2.3, 2.4 and the remarks therein. We comment at length about this in Section 3 of this paper.

We are very grateful to Adrian Ioana and Stefaan Vaes for many useful comments on a preliminary draft of this paper.

## 1. SOME ABSTRACT PROPERTIES OF ULTRAPRODUCT $\text{II}_1$ FACTORS

While any separable approximately finite dimensional (AFD) tracial von Neumann algebra  $(B_0, \tau)$  can be embedded into any  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  [9], when  $M$  is an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor,  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$ , such an embedding  $(B_0, \tau) \hookrightarrow M$  follows even unique up to unitary conjugacy in  $M$ . Also, any separable AFD subalgebra  $B_0 \subset M$  satisfies the bicommutant condition  $(B_0' \cap M)' \cap M = B_0$  (see e.g. Theorem 2.1 in [13]).

In particular, the uniqueness of the embedding and the bicommutant property hold true when  $(B_0, \tau)$  is the separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra  $(L^\infty[0, 1], \int \cdot d\lambda)$ . In this section we will consider these two properties as abstract properties of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  and prove that they are in fact equivalent. We also discuss the a priori weaker condition that any two copies of  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  inside  $M$  are unitary conjugate.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Given a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ , we consider the following three properties:

(U<sub>0</sub>) any separable abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A_0 \subset M$  satisfies the bicommutant property  $(A_0' \cap M)' \cap M = A_0$ ;

(U<sub>1</sub>) any trace preserving isomorphism between two separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  is implemented by a unitary element in  $M$ ;

(U<sub>2</sub>) any two separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  are unitary conjugate;

For each  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , we say that  $M$  has *stable property U<sub>i</sub>*, if  $M^t$  satisfies U<sub>i</sub> for any  $t > 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Conditions U<sub>0</sub>, U<sub>1</sub> for a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  are equivalent and they are both stable properties, i.e, if  $M$  satisfies property U<sub>i</sub>, for some  $i = 0, 1$ , then  $M^t$  satisfies it for any  $t > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first show that U<sub>1</sub> is stable. So assume  $M$  satisfies U<sub>1</sub>. We first show that  $N = \mathbb{M}_n(M)$  satisfies U<sub>1</sub> as well. Let  $A_1, A_2 \subset N$  be separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebras and  $\theta : A_1 \simeq A_2$  an isomorphism preserving the trace on  $N$ . Then  $A_1$  contains a partition of 1 with projections  $\{p_j^1\}_{j=1}^n$  of trace equal  $1/n$ . Let  $p_j^2 = \theta(p_j^1)$ . By conjugating with appropriate unitaries  $u_1, u_2 \in N$  we may assume  $p_j^i = e_{jj}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  are the matrix units. Denoting by  $\theta_j$  the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $A_1 e_{jj} \simeq A_2 e_{jj}$  and

viewing them both as subalgebras in  $M \simeq e_{jj}Ne_{jj}$ , by the  $U_1$  property for  $M$  it follows that  $\theta_j$  is implemented by  $u_j \in e_{jj}Ne_{jj}$ . But then  $u = \sum_j u_j \in \mathcal{U}(N)$  implements  $\theta : A_1 \simeq A_2$ .

We now show that if  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  then  $pMp$  satisfies  $U_1$ . If  $A_1, A_2 \subset pMp$  are separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebras and  $\theta : A_1 \simeq A_2$  an isomorphism preserving the trace on  $pMp$ , then there exist separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras  $\tilde{A}_i \subset M$  such that  $p \in \tilde{A}_i$ , and  $\tilde{A}_i p = A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , as well as a trace preserving isomorphism  $\tilde{\theta} : \tilde{A}_1 \simeq \tilde{A}_2$  whose restriction to  $A_1$  is equal to  $\theta$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$  implements  $\tilde{\theta}$ , then  $up \in \mathcal{U}(pMp)$  implements  $\theta$ . Thus,  $U_1$  is stable.

Let us now prove that conditions  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$  are equivalent. Let  $A_0 \subset M$  be a separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra. Denote  $B = A'_0 \cap M$  and  $Z = B' \cap M$ . Note that  $Z = \mathcal{Z}(B)$ . Indeed, because any element in  $M$  that commutes with all elements in  $B = A'_0 \cap M$  must in particular commute with  $A_0$ , so  $B' \cap M \subset B$ , which is equivalent to  $B' \cap M = \mathcal{Z}(B)$ .

Assume  $M$  satisfies  $U_1$ . If  $Z \neq A_0$ , then there exists a projection  $p \in Z$  with  $b = E_{A_0}(p) \neq p$ . There exists a projection  $q \in A_0$  majorized by the support  $s = s(b)$  of  $b$  such that  $cq \leq qb \leq (1 - c)q$  for some  $c > 0$ . Thus, by replacing  $p$  by  $qp$  we may assume  $p$  itself satisfies  $cs \leq b = E_{A_0}(p) \leq (1 - c)s$ . Denote  $B_0 = A_0s \vee \{p\} \subset Zs$ . Note that the inclusion  $L^\infty X \simeq A_0s \subset B_0 \simeq L^\infty Y$  is given by a surjective measure preserving map  $\alpha : Y \rightarrow X$  with two-points fiber  $\forall t \in X$ . Consider then the trace preserving embedding of  $(B_0, \tau_{B_0})$  into a tracial von Neumann algebra  $Q \simeq A_0s \overline{\otimes} R$ , endowed with the trace  $\tau_{A_0s} \otimes \tau_R$ , such that  $A_0s$  identifies with the center  $\mathcal{Z}(Q) = A_0s \otimes 1 \simeq L^\infty X$  and such that when we view  $p$  as a measurable field  $p_t, t \in X$ , with  $p_t \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ , we have  $\tau_R(p_t) = b_t$ , where  $(b_t)_t = b$ .

Since  $Q$  with its trace can be embedded into any  $\text{II}_1$  factor, we can view it as a von Neumann subalgebra of  $sMs$  and then by using  $U_1$  for  $A_0s \subset sMs$  we may assume the center of  $Q$  coincides with  $A_0s$  and  $B_0$  with  $A_0s \vee \{p\}$ . So  $1 \otimes R$  is in the commutant of  $A_0s$ , and hence of  $A_0$ . Since  $p \in Z$ , we should thus have  $1 \otimes R$  commute with  $p$ . But by averaging  $p$  over the unitaries in  $1 \otimes R$  we get  $b$ , which is not equal to  $p$ , a contradiction.

Thus, we must have  $(A'_0 \cap M)' \cap M = A_0$ , showing that  $U_0$  is satisfied.

Conversely, assume  $M$  satisfies the bicommutant condition  $U_0$ . Let  $A_1, A_2 \subset M^{1/2}$  be separable diffuse abelian and  $\theta : A_1 \simeq A_2$  be an isomorphism preserving the restrictions of the trace on  $M^{1/2}$  to  $A_1, A_2$ . Let  $A = \{ae_{11} + \theta(a)e_{22} : a \in A_1\}$  which we view as a (separable abelian diffuse) von Neumann subalgebra of  $M = \mathbb{M}_2(M^{1/2})$ . Then  $(A' \cap M)' \cap M = A$  implies in particular that the projections  $e_{11}, e_{22} \in A' \cap M$  are equivalent in  $A' \cap M$ , via some partial isometry  $v = ue_{12}$  where  $u$  is a unitary in  $e_{11}Me_{11} = M^{1/2}$ . But this means  $\theta(a) = uau^*$  for any  $a \in A_1$ .

We have thus proved that if  $M$  satisfies  $U_0$  then  $M^{1/2}$  satisfies  $U_1$ . Since we already showed that  $U_1$  is a stable property, this implies  $M$  satisfies  $U_1$ . Thus,  $U_0, U_1$  are equivalent, and since  $U_1$  was shown to be stable,  $U_0$  follows stable as well. ■

**DEFINITION 1.3.** We say that a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  is a *U-factor* if it satisfies the equivalent conditions  $U_0, U_1$ .

We already mentioned that ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factors  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$  satisfy the bicommutant property  $U_0$  and the unique (up to unitary conjugacy) embedding property  $U_1$ . They are the typical examples of U-factors.

Since property  $U_1$  for a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  trivially implies the unitary conjugacy of any two copies of  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  inside  $M$ , i.e., condition  $U_2$ , any U-factor satisfies  $U_2$  as well. Condition  $U_2$  was already considered as an abstract property of  $\text{II}_1$  factors in Proposition 2.3 of [12], where it was noticed that the arguments in Section 7 of [10], showing that an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  has no Cartan subalgebras and all its MASAs are purely non-separable, only use the fact that  $M$  satisfies condition  $U_2$ . It was further noticed in [16] that  $U_2$  factors are prime and have the property that the commutant of any separable abelian  $*$ -subalgebra is of type  $\text{II}_1$ .

We restate all these results here, including their proofs from [10], [12], [16], for the reader's convenience.

**PROPOSITION 1.4** ([10], [12], [16]). *Assume a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfies property  $U_2$  (for instance, if  $M$  is a U-factor). Then  $M$  automatically satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *for any MASA  $A$  in  $M$ , there exists a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $B_0 \subset M$  orthogonal to  $A$ ;*
- (ii) *any separable abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A_0 \subset M$  has type  $\text{II}_1$  relative commutant  $A_0' \cap M$ ;*
- (iii) *any MASA in  $M$  is purely non-separable;*
- (iv)  *$M$  has no Cartan MASA;*
- (v)  *$M$  is prime.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \subset M$  be a MASA. Let  $D \subset A$  be a separable diffuse von Neumann subalgebra. Since any two separable diffuse abelian subalgebras in  $M$  are unitary conjugate and since  $M$  contains copies of the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor (by [9]), we may assume  $D$  is the Cartan subalgebra of such a subfactor  $R \subset M$ , represented as  $D = D_2^{\otimes \infty} \subset M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes \infty} = R$ . Let  $D_2^0 \subset M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$  be a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$  that is perpendicular to  $D_2$  and denote  $D^0 = D_2^0 \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset R$ . Then  $D \perp D^0$  and since both  $D, D^0$  are MASAs in  $R$ , we have  $E_{D' \cap M}(D^0) = E_{D' \cap R}(D^0) = E_D(D^0) = \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.  $D^0 \perp D' \cap M \supset A$ , proving (i).

(ii) By [9], one has  $R \overline{\otimes} R \simeq R$  and so  $R \overline{\otimes} R$  embeds into  $M$ . If one takes any MASA  $B_0 \subset R \otimes 1 \subset R \overline{\otimes} R \simeq R$ , then  $B_0' \cap M \supset 1 \otimes R$ , implying that  $B_0' \cap M$  is type  $\text{II}_1$ . Since  $A_0, B_0$  are unitary conjugate in  $M$ ,  $A_0' \cap M$  is  $\text{II}_1$  as well.

(iii) Let  $A$  be a MASA in  $M$ . If  $Ap$  is separable for some projection  $p \in M$ , then by taking a smaller  $p$  if necessary we may assume  $\tau(p) = 1/n$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $v_1 = p, v_2, \dots, v_n \in M$  be partial isometries with  $v_i^* v_i = p$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\sum_i v_i v_i^* = 1$  and define  $B = \sum_i v_i (Ap) v_i^*$ . Then  $B$  is a separable MASA in  $M$ . But then taking  $B_0 \subset B$  to be any diffuse proper von Neumann subalgebra of  $B$ , it cannot be unitary conjugate to  $B$  because  $B_0$  is not a MASA while  $B$  is, contradiction.

(iv) Let  $A \subset M$  be a MASA. By part (i), there exist separable diffuse abelian subalgebras  $D, D^0$  in  $M$  such that  $D \subset A$  and  $D^0 \perp A$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$  be so that  $uD u^* = D^0$ . Then  $u$  is perpendicular to the normalizer of  $A$  in  $M$ . Indeed, for any  $v \in \mathcal{N}_M(A)$  and any partition  $p_i \in D$  of mesh  $\leq \varepsilon$ , we have

$$|\tau(uv)|^2 = \left| \tau \left( \sum_i p_i u v p_i \right) \right|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_i p_i u v p_i \right\|_2^2 = \sum_i \tau(u^* p_i u v p_i v^*) = \sum_i \tau(p_i)^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $\tau(uv) = 0$ . Thus  $u \perp \mathcal{N}_M(A)''$ .

(v) If  $M = M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$  with  $M_1, M_2$  of type  $\text{II}_1$  then there exist separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras  $A_i \subset M_i$ . By hypothesis, there exists a unitary  $u \in M$  such that  $uA_1u^* = A_2 \perp A_1$ . From the argument in (iv), it follows that for any unitaries  $v_1 \in M_1, v_2 \in M_2$  one has  $\tau(uv_1v_2) = \tau(v_2uv_1) = 0$ . Taking span of  $v_i$  and using that the  $\|\cdot\|_2$  closure of the span of  $1 \otimes M_2 \cdot M_1 \otimes 1$  is  $M$ , it follows that  $\tau(uu^*) = 0$ , contradiction. ■

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *If a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfies property  $\text{U}_2$  (e.g., if  $M$  is a  $\text{U}$ -factor), then  $\text{r}_{\text{ns}}(M) = \text{r}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* By part (iii) of Proposition 1.4, any MASA in a  $\text{U}_2$ -factor is purely non-separable. ■

Let us also mention that it was shown in [12, 2.3.1° (c)] that the Kadison–Singer paving problem over a MASA in a factor satisfying the stable  $\text{U}_2$  property reduces to paving of projections having scalar expectation on the MASA. (Note that by Theorem 3.3 in [17], in order for a MASA  $A$  in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  to have the paving property, it is necessary that  $A$  be purely non-separable.) Whether  $\text{U}_2$  is a stable property was however left open in [12], but upon reading a preliminary draft of our paper Adrian Ioana pointed out to us that an argument in the same vein as the proof of Theorem 1.2 easily implies  $\text{U}_2$  stability as well. We thank him for sharing this with us.

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Condition  $\text{U}_2$  is a stable property.*

*Proof.* Assume the  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfies  $\text{U}_2$ . Since this trivially implies that  $\mathbb{M}_n(M)$  satisfies  $\text{U}_2$ ,  $\forall n$ , to prove the stability it is sufficient to show that  $pMp$  satisfies  $\text{U}_2$  for any projection  $p \in M$ . Let  $A_1, A_2 \subset pMp$  be separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebras. Let  $R \subset M$  be a copy of the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor with  $D \subset R$  its Cartan subalgebra and so that  $p \in D$ . Let also  $\tilde{A}_i \subset M, i =$

1, 2, be separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebras containing  $p$  and such that  $\tilde{A}_i p = A_i$ . By the  $U_2$  property of  $M$ , there exist unitaries  $u_i \in M$  such that  $u_i \tilde{A}_i u_i^* = D$ . Since  $D \subset R$  is Cartan, there exist  $v_i \in \mathcal{N}_R(D)$  such that  $v_i(u_i p u_i^*) v_i^* = p$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . But this means  $w_i = v_i u_i p$  are unitaries in  $pMp$  that conjugate  $A_i$  onto  $Dp$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $A_1, A_2$  are unitary conjugate as well. ■

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *If a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfies property  $U_2$  (e.g., if  $M$  is a  $U$ -factor), then a MASA  $A \subset M$  has the paving property if and only if any projection  $q \in M$  with  $E_A(q) \in \mathbb{C}1$  can be paved.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.6 above, property  $U_2$  is stable, so the statement follows from Proposition 2.3.1° (c) in [12]. ■

**REMARK 1.8.** (i) While  $U_1$  trivially implies  $U_2$ , we have no examples of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor satisfying  $U_2$  but not  $U_1$ . Note in this respect that if  $M$  satisfies property  $U_2$  and  $A_0, A_1 \simeq L^\infty[0, 1]$  are von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  then by conjugating by a unitary in  $M$  we may assume  $A_0 = A_1$  and then property  $U_1$  amounts to whether any automorphism of  $(A_0, \tau)$  is implemented by a unitary in  $M$ . Thus, the following two additional properties of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  are relevant:

( $U_3$ ) given any separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A_0 \subset M$ , any automorphism of  $(A_0, \tau)$  is implemented by a unitary in  $M$ ;

( $U'_3$ ) there exists a separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $A_0 \subset M$  such that any automorphism of  $(A_0, \tau)$  is implemented by a unitary in  $M$ .

Thus, we see that  $U_1 \Rightarrow U_3 \Rightarrow U'_3$ ,  $U_1 \Leftrightarrow (U_2 + U'_3) \Leftrightarrow (U_2 + U_3)$ , and that both  $U_3, U'_3$  are stable properties (proof being similar to the proof of the stability of  $U_1, U_2$ ). Thus, an example of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfying  $U_2$  but not  $U_1$  (so  $M$  not a  $U$ -factor) should contain a copy of the non-atomic probability space  $([0, 1], \lambda)$  whose normalizer in  $M$  does not implement all of its automorphism group.

(ii) The equivalence between the bicommutant property  $U_0$  and the conjugacy of embeddings  $U_1$  for  $B = L^\infty[0, 1]$  in Theorem 1.2 raises the possibility that a correlation between these two properties may occur for other tracial von Neumann algebras  $(B, \tau)$ . If one takes  $B$  to be the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor,  $B = R$ , then it is easy to see that both  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are stable and that the proof of  $U_1 \Rightarrow U_0$  goes exactly the same way as in the case  $B = L^\infty[0, 1]$  in Theorem 1.2. It would be interesting to see if one has  $U_0 \Rightarrow U_1$  as well.

## 2. CONSTRUCTING DISJOINT SINGULAR MASAS IN $U$ -FACTORS

We show in this section that, under the continuum hypothesis, the size of the singular abelian core of any  $U$ -factor is quite “large” and can be estimated.

We briefly recall (see e.g., [11]) that if  $M$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor and  $A \subset M$  is a MASA, then  $A$  is singular in  $M$  if and only if any partial isometry  $v \in M$  satisfying  $v^*v, vv^* \in A$ ,  $vAv^* \subset A$  must be contained in  $A$ . Also, using notations

from intertwining theory (see e.g., 1.5 in [13], for 1.3 in [14]) given two MASAs  $A_1, A_2 \subset M$  one has  $A_1 \prec_M A_2$  if and only if there exists a non-zero partial isometry  $v \in M$  such that  $v^*v \in A_1, vv^* \in A_2$  and  $vA_1v^* \subset A_2$  (note this is symmetric, i.e.  $A_1 \prec_M A_2$  if and only if  $A_2 \prec_M A_1$ ). If there exists no such  $v$  we write  $A_1 \not\prec_M A_2$  (equivalently  $A_2 \not\prec_M A_1$ ) and say that  $A_1, A_2$  are *disjoint*.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  U-factor  $M$  with the property that the cardinality of its unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(M)$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{c}$ . If the continuum hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_1$ , is assumed, then  $M$  contains more than  $\mathfrak{c}$  many mutually disjoint singular MASAs, i.e.,  $r(M) > \mathfrak{c}$ . Moreover, if the strong continuum hypothesis  $2^\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$  is assumed, then  $r(M) = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $(I, <)$  the set of ordinals  $< \aleph_1 = \mathfrak{c}$  endowed with its well ordered relation. Since  $|\mathcal{U}(M)| = \mathfrak{c}$ , it follows that  $|\mathcal{P}(M)| = \mathfrak{c}$ , and thus the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(M) = \{up : u \in \mathcal{U}(M), p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$  of partial isometries of  $M$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{c}$  as well. Let  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an enumeration with repetition of  $\mathcal{V}$ , where each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  appears  $\mathfrak{c}$ -many times.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a maximal family of disjoint singular abelian wo-closed subalgebras  $A \subset 1_A M 1_A$  (which apriori may be an empty set). Assume  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c} = \aleph_1$ . Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of MASAs in  $M$  indexed by our set  $I$ , such that each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  appears as a direct summand of some  $A_i$ .

Note that if we can show that under these assumptions there exists a singular MASA  $B \subset M$  such that  $B \not\prec_M A_i, \forall i \in I$ , then this would contradict the fact that  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  contains all of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which was chosen to be the maximal singular core for  $M$ . This contradiction would show that one necessarily have  $|\mathcal{A}| > \mathfrak{c}$ , thus finishing the proof of the first part. If in addition we have  $2^\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$ , since the total number of distinct MASAs in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  with  $|\mathcal{U}(M)| = \mathfrak{c}$  is obviously majorized by  $2^\mathfrak{c}$ , it would then also follow that  $r(M) = |\mathcal{A}| = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ .

We construct  $B$  as the wo-closure of the union of an increasing family  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  of separable diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ , which we construct by transfinite induction over  $i \in I$ , in the following way.

Assume that  $B_j$  have been constructed for all  $j < i$ . We want to construct  $B_i$  so that  $v_i$  is not intertwining  $B_i$  into  $B'_i \cap M$ , nor  $B_i$  into  $A_j$  for  $j \leq i$ . To this end, we proceed as follows:

(a) Denote  $B_i^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{j < i} B_j}$ . Note that  $B_i^0$  is separable abelian diffuse.

(b) If  $v_i^*v_i \notin B_i^0$  then by  $U_0$  there exists a self-adjoint element  $a \in (B_i^0)^\prime \cap M$  such that  $[v_i^*v_i, a] \neq 0$  and we let  $B_i = B_i^0 \vee \{a\}$ . Note that  $B_i$  is then still separable abelian and  $[v_i^*v_i, B_i] \neq 0$ .

(c) If  $v_i^*v_i \in B_i^0$  then we let  $K_i = \{j \in I, j \leq i : v_i B_i^0 v_i^* \not\subset A_j\}$  and  $L_i = \{j \in I, j \leq i : v_i B_i^0 v_i^* \subset A_j\}$ . Note that  $K_i, L_i$  are disjoint, countable sets, with  $K_i \cup L_i = \{j \in I : j \leq i\}$ . Denote  $p_i = v_i^*v_i \in B_i^0$  and notice that for each  $j \in L_i$  we have  $v_i^* A_j v_i \subset Q_i^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (B_i^0 p_i)^\prime \cap p_i M p_i$ , with  $v_i^* A_j v_i$  a MASA in  $Q_i^0$ . Thus, if

we denote  $S_i := \bigcup_{j \in L_i} v_i^* A_j v_i$  then the set  $S_i \subset Q_i^0$  is a countable union of abelian von Neumann algebras (even MASAs) in the  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra  $Q_i^0$ , so  $Q_i^0 \setminus S_i$  is a  $G_\delta$  dense subset of  $Q_i^0$ .

Note already that if  $a_0 \in Q_i^0 \setminus S_i$  is a self-adjoint element then any separable abelian von Neumann algebra that contains the abelian algebra  $B_i^1 = B_i^0 \vee \{a_0\}$  cannot be intertwined by the partial isometry  $v_i$  into  $A_j$  for any  $j \leq i$ .

In order to choose  $B_i \supset B_i^1$  so that to exclude  $v_i$  from properly normalizing any MASA  $B$  containing  $B_i$ , let us note that there are several possibilities:

- (i)  $v_i \in B_i^1$ , in which case we just put  $B_i = B_i^1$ .
- (ii)  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \not\subset (B_i^1)'$  in which case we again let  $B_i = B_i^1$ .
- (iii)  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \subset (B_i^1)'$  but  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \not\subset B_i^1$ . This means there exists  $a \in B_i^1 p_i$  such that  $v_i a v_i^* \in ((B_i^1)') \cap M$  but  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \not\subset B_i^1$ , and by applying  $U_0$  there exists  $a_1 = a_1^* \in (B_i^1)'$  such that  $[a_1, v_i a v_i^*] \neq 0$ . We then let  $B_i = B_i^1 \vee \{a_1\}$ .
- (iv)  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \subset B_i^1$  but  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* \neq B_i^1 v_i v_i^*$ . In this case we have that  $v_i^* B_i^1 v_i$  strictly contains  $B_i^1 v_i^* v_i$ . Like in (iii) above, by  $U_0$  there exist  $a' \in B_i^1$  and a self-adjoint  $a'_1 \in (B_i^1)'$  such that  $[v_i^* a' v_i, a'_1] \neq 0$ . We then define  $B_i = B_i^1 \vee \{a'_1\}$ .
- (v)  $v_i B_i^1 v_i^* = B_i^1 v_i v_i^*$  but  $v_i \notin B_i^1$ . This implies the partial isometry  $v_i$  normalizes the  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra  $Q_i = (B_i^1)'$   $\cap M$ , acting non-trivially on it, having left and right supports in  $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i) = B_i^1$ . There are two possibilities:
  - (v1)  $v_i \in Q_i$ . In this case  $v_i^* v_i = v_i v_i^* = p_i \in \mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$  and so  $v_i$  is a non-central unitary in the  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra  $Q_i p_i$ .

We claim that if this is the case, then there exists a unitary  $u \in Q_i p_i$  such that  $v_i u v_i^*$  does not commute with  $u$ .

To see this, first note that by Proposition 1.4(ii),  $Q_i p_i$  is of type  $\text{II}_1$ , so  $Q_i p_i \not\prec_N \mathcal{Z}(Q_i p_i)$  in any ambient  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $N$  that we would embed  $Q_i p_i$ . Taking  $N$  to be a free product of  $Q_i p_i$  with a diffuse tracial algebra, we can assume  $Q_i p_i$  is embedded in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $N$  so that its relative commutant in  $N$  is equal to  $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i p_i)$ . But then we can apply Theorem 0.1 (a) in [13] to get a Haar unitary  $u \in Q_i p_i$  that is approximately free to  $x = v_i - E_{\mathcal{Z}(Q_i p_i)}^N(v_i) \neq 0$ . In particular, one can take  $u$  to be  $\varepsilon$  4-independent to  $x$ , which for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small insures that  $[v_i u v_i^*, u] \neq 0$ .

Taking now  $u \in Q_i p_i$  to be any unitary satisfying this property, we define  $B_i = B_i^1 \vee \{u\}$ .

(v2)  $v_i \notin Q_i$ . In this case  $v_i$  acts non-trivially on the center of  $Q_i$ , so there exists mutually orthogonal projections  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$  such that  $z_1 \leq v_i^* v_i$ ,  $z_2 \leq v_i v_i^*$  and  $v_i z_1 v_i^* = z_2$ . Since  $Q_i z_1$  is  $\text{II}_1$ , there exists a copy of  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$  inside it. So there exist self-adjoint unitaries  $u, w \in Q_i z_1$  such that  $uw = -wu$ . Let  $c = u + v_i w v_i^*$  and define  $B_i = B_i^1 \vee \{c\}$ . Note that  $c, z_1, z_2$  are elements in  $B_i$  such that  $[v_i(cz_1)v_i^*, cz_2] \neq 0$ .

Finally, we define  $B = \overline{\bigcup_i B_i}^{\text{wo}}$ . Let us first show that  $B$  is a MASA in  $M$ , i.e.,  $B = B' \cap M$ . To see this, it is sufficient to prove that any selfadjoint unitary

$v \in B' \cap M$  lies in  $B$ . Since  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , it is of the form  $v_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . This means  $v_i$  is being considered in step  $i$  of the induction and we see that we are necessarily in the situation (v1), where we have chosen  $B_i$  (which is a subalgebra of  $B$ ) so that to contain some  $b$  such that  $v_i b v_i^* b \neq b v_i b v_i^*$ , contradicting  $[B, v_i] = 0$ .

Assume now that  $B$  is not singular. This implies there exists a non-zero partial isometry  $w \in M$  with  $w^*w, ww^*$  mutually orthogonal projections in  $B$ . Thus  $w \in \mathcal{V}$  so  $w = v_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and so we have considered  $w$  at step  $i$  of the induction, and we are necessarily in one of the situations (iii), (iv), (v1), (v2), which all lead to contradictions.

Finally, assume  $B \prec_M A_j$  for some countable ordinal  $j \in I$ . This means there exists a partial isometry  $v \in M$  such that  $v^*v \in B$ ,  $vv^* \in A_j$  and  $vBv^* = A_jvv^*$ . Because of our choice of repeating  $v$   $\mathfrak{c}$ -many times in  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ , there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $i > j$  and  $v = v_i$ . But then the choices we made in (ii), (iii) for the algebra  $B_i \subset B$ , easily imply that we cannot have  $v_i B v_i^* \subset A_i$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of separable tracial factors with  $\dim(M_n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\omega$  a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$  the associated ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor. If we assume the continuum hypothesis then  $r(M) > \mathfrak{c}$ . If we further assume the strong continuum hypothesis, then  $r(M) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since any ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$  satisfies the bicommutant axiom  $U_0$ , it is a  $U$ -factor. If in addition  $M_n$  are all separable, then  $|\mathcal{U}(M_n)| = \mathfrak{c}$ , so  $|\mathcal{U}(M)| = \mathfrak{c}^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$ . Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.1 to conclude that under the CH condition we have  $r(M) > \mathfrak{c}$ . Since the total number of distinct MASAs in  $M$  is majorised by the number of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}(M)$ , it is bounded by  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . Thus,  $r(M) \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . So, if SCH is assumed then  $r(M) = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . ■

### 3. FURTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The motivation behind our calculations of singular abelian rank of ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factors was the hope that this invariant might be able to differentiate among some of these factors (for instance, between  $\prod_{\omega} \mathbb{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ , with  $k_n \nearrow \infty$ , and  $M^{\omega}$ , for a separable non-Gamma  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ ). But our calculations, which anyway depend on CH/SCH, show that, like in the separable case where one has  $r(M) = \mathfrak{c}$  for any separable  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  (cf. [12], [15]; see Remark 2.7 in [2]), the singular abelian rank is the same, equal to  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , for all ultraproducts  $\text{II}_1$  factors.

One can try to “diminish” the number of disjoint singular MASAs by restricting our attention to MASAs that satisfy various stronger versions of singularity, thus attempting to bring them to a “small cardinality”, even finite if possible.

Thus, in the spirit of the terminology in Definitions 2.5, 2.9 in [2], let us denote by  $\mathcal{A}_M^*$  a maximal family of disjoint “special” singular MASAs in the  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  satisfying a “generic” stronger singularity property  $*$ . As in [2], we will in fact view  $\mathcal{A}_M^*$  in “unfolded” form, as one single singular abelian wo-closed  $*$ -subalgebra generated by finite projections in the  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor  $\mathcal{M} = M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)$ , where  $K$  is a set of sufficiently large cardinality ( $K \geq 2^{|\mathcal{U}(M)|}$  will do), which is so that any of its finite corners has the property  $*$ , and which is maximal (with respect to inclusion) with these properties. Note that these requirements force the definition of disjointness to be taken possibly stronger as well.

One then takes the corresponding rank  $r_*(M)$  to be the trace  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}$  of the support of  $\mathcal{A}_M^* \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Like in [2] one clearly has the amplification formula  $r_*(M^t) = r_*(M)/t, \forall t > 0$ , making such considerations particularly interesting if the rank of the “special” singular core could be shown finite.

We illustrate below with four examples of such a possible strengthening.

**3.1. THE SUPERSINGULAR ABELIAN CORE.** Following [12], we will say that a wo-closed abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $A$  in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  is *supersingular* if there is no automorphism  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(M)$  such that  $\theta(Ap) \subset A$  for some non-zero  $p \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  other than the inner automorphisms of  $M$  that act trivially on  $pMp$ . Two such supersingular abelian subalgebras  $A_1, A_2 \subset M$  are *disjoint* if there exists no automorphism  $\theta$  of  $M$  satisfying  $\theta(A_1 p_1) \subset A_2$  for some non-zero projection  $p_1 \in A_1$ . Note that this is the same as requiring that  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  be supersingular in  $M^2 = \mathbb{M}_2(M)$ .

As we mentioned above, like in [2], we in fact view any family  $\mathcal{A}$  of disjoint (in this stronger sense) supersingular abelian subalgebras in  $M$  in its “unfolded” form, as one single supersingular abelian algebra generated by finite projections in  $M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)$ , for a sufficiently large  $K$ . One clearly has a maximal such algebra with respect to inclusion,  $\mathcal{A}_M^{\text{ss}}$ , which is moreover unique up to unitary conjugacy in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and which we will call the *supersingular abelian core*. The corresponding *supersingular rank*  $r_{\text{ss}}(M)$  is then given by the trace  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}$  of the support of  $\mathcal{A}_M^{\text{ss}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , viewed as a cardinality when infinite.

**3.2. THE COARSE ABELIAN CORE.** In the same spirit, this time following [15], one can take in  $\mathcal{M} = M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)$  the *coarse abelian core* to be a wo-closed abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_M^c \subset \mathcal{M}$  generated by finite projections with the property that  $Ap$  is coarse in  $pMp$  for any finite projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}_M^c$ , and which is maximal with respect to inclusion. Note that disjointness for coarse abelian  $A_1, A_2 \subset M$  amounts to  $A_1, A_2$  being a coarse pair (as defined in [15]).

The coarse core this way defined is clearly unique in  $\mathcal{M}$  up to unitary conjugacy. The *coarse abelian rank* is then  $r_c(M) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(1_{\mathcal{A}_M^c})$ .

Note however that by results in [15], for any separable  $M$  one has  $r_c(M) > \aleph_0$ , so if we assume CH then  $r_c(M) = c = \aleph_1$ .

**3.3. THE MAXIMAL AMENABLE ABELIAN CORE.** We define the *maximal amenable abelian core*  $\mathcal{A}_M^{\text{ma}}$  of the  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  as the wo-closed abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} =$

$\mathcal{A}_M^{\text{ma}} \subset \mathcal{M} = M \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\ell^2 K)$  generated by finite projections with the property that  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal amenable in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and which is maximal with respect to inclusion. Its *maximal amenable abelian rank* is  $r_{\text{ma}}(M) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(1_{\mathcal{A}_M^{\text{ma}}})$ .

While it is not clear how this invariant fares for separable  $\text{II}_1$  factors, note that by Theorem 5.3.1 in [12] any ultraproduct  $A = \prod_{\omega} A_n$  of singular MASAs in  $\text{II}_1$  factors  $A_n \subset M_n$ , is maximal amenable in  $M = \prod_{\omega} M_n$ . Thus, for such factors one has  $r_{\text{ma}}(M) \geq c$ . It would be interesting to know whether any singular MASA in an ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factor (and more generally in a U-factor) is automatically maximal amenable.

**3.4. THE SINGULAR S-MASA CORE.** Following [14], a MASA  $A$  in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  is an s-MASA if  $A \vee A^{\text{op}}$  is a MASA in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2 M)$ . By a well known result of Feldman and Moore [6], any Cartan subalgebra satisfies this property. It has been shown in [14] that if the  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  is separable and has s-MASAs, then it has singular s-MASAs, and in fact it has  $> \aleph_0$  many disjoint s-MASAs.

One defines the *s-MASA core* of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ , as the weakly-closed abelian  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_M^s \subset \mathcal{M}$  generated by finite projections with the property that  $\mathcal{A}p$  is a singular s-MASA in  $p\mathcal{M}p$  for any finite projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ , and which is maximal with respect to inclusion. Again, this is obviously unique in  $\mathcal{M}$  up to unitary conjugacy. The *s-MASA rank* of  $M$  is then  $r_s(M) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(1_{\mathcal{A}_M^s})$ . So by [14], in this case as well the associated rank is huge,  $r_s(M) > \aleph_0$ , so equal to  $c$  when CH is assumed. It is not clear if ultraproduct factors, or even more generally U-factors, can have singular s-MASAs at all. Since existence of an s-MASA in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor is a “thinness” property that ultraproducts are unlikely to have, it seems that such factors cannot have s-MASAs, but this remains an open problem.

*Acknowledgements.* This paper is dedicated to Jacques Dixmier, whose seminal monographs [4], [5] and many pioneering contributions to operator algebras played a crucial role in the development of this area. May this be just a small token of gratitude, on the occasion of his 100th anniversary, in the name of the several generations of mathematicians who benefitted from his work over more than seven decades.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. ANANTHARAMAN, S. POPA, An introduction to  $\text{II}_1$  factors, [www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/Books/IIun-v13.pdf](http://www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/Books/IIun-v13.pdf)
- [2] R. BOUTONNET, D. DRIMBE, A. IOANA, S. POPA, Non-isomorphism of  $A^{*n}$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ , for a non-separable abelian von Neumann algebra  $A$ , *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **34**(2024), 393–408.
- [3] J. DIXMIER, Sous-anneaux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini, *Ann. of Math.* **59**(1954), 279–286.

- [4] J. DIXMIER, *Les algébres d'opérateurs sur l'espace Hilbertien (Algébres de von Neumann)*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1957.
- [5] J. DIXMIER, *Les  $C^*$ -algebres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1964.
- [6] J. FELDMAN, C. MOORE, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **234**(1977), 323–359.
- [7] D. GAO, S. KUNNAWALKAM ELAYAVALLI, G. PATCHELL, H. TAN, A highly indecomposable  $\text{II}_1$  factor, in preparation.
- [8] C. HOUDAYER, S. POPA, Singular MASAs in type III factors and Connes' bicentralizer problem, in *Proceedings of the 9th MSJ-SI "Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics" held in Sendai, Japan* 2016.
- [9] F.J. MURRAY, J. VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators. IV, *Ann. of Math.* **44**(1943), 716–808.
- [10] S. POPA, Orthogonal pairs of  $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **9**(1983), 253–268.
- [11] S. POPA, Singular maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebras in continuous von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **50**(1983), 151–166.
- [12] S. POPA, A  $\text{II}_1$  factor approach to the Kadison–Singer problem, *Comm. Math. Physics.* **332**(2014), 379–414.
- [13] S. POPA, Independence properties in subalgebras of ultraproduct  $\text{II}_1$  factors, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266**(2014), 5818–5846.
- [14] S. POPA, Constructing MASAs with prescribed properties, *Kyoto J. Math.* **59**(2019), 367–397.
- [15] S. POPA, Coarse decomposition of  $\text{II}_1$  factors, *Duke Math. J.* **170**(2021), 3073–3110.
- [16] S. POPA, Topics in  $\text{II}_1$  factors, in *Graduate Courses at UCLA*, Winter 2020, Fall 2022.
- [17] S. POPA, S. VAES, Paving over arbitrary MASAs in von Neumann algebras, *Anal. PDE* **8**(2015) 1001–1023.
- [18] L. PUKANSZKY, On maximal abelian subrings in factors of type  $\text{II}_1$ , *Canad. J. Math.* **12**(1960), 289–296.
- [19] F. RADULESCU, Singularity of the radial subalgebra of  $L(\mathbb{F}_N)$  and the Pukanszky invariant, *Pacific J. Math.* **151**(1991), 297–306.

PATRICK HIATT, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* pjhiatt@math.ucla.edu

SORIN POPA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* popa@math.ucla.edu

Received March 11, 2024; revised March 16, 2024.