



Engineering Educators Bringing the World Together

2025 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition

Palais des congrès de Montréal, Montréal, QC • June 22–25, 2025 



Paper ID #48763

BOARD # 408: NSF ITEST: Broadening Teens' Perceptions of Engineering through a Human-Centered, Accessibility-Focused Engineering Design Internship

Dr. Stacey Forsyth, University of Colorado Boulder

Dr. Stacey Forsyth is the director of CU Science Discovery, a K-12 STEM education outreach organization at the University of Colorado Boulder. In her role, she collaborates with campus and community partners to develop, implement and evaluate innovative STEM education programs for K-12 students and teachers, including summer and after-school STEM classes, teen internships, teacher workshops and community outreach programs. She also serves as Co-PI of the NSF ITEST-funded Build a Better Book Teen Internships project, which engages youth from underrepresented backgrounds in the design and fabrication of accessible books, toys and games for children with visual impairments. Stacey is passionate about inspiring and supporting kids and teens to ask questions and find creative solutions for real world problems, and in diversifying the future STEM workforce by expanding opportunities for youth to explore STEM fields. Prior to joining CU Boulder, Stacey taught biology at a small liberal arts college in New Hampshire and led science outreach efforts at the University of Arizona's BIO5 Institute. She received her Ph.D. in Ecology and Evolutionary Biology from the University of Arizona in 2002.

Tim Ogino, University of Colorado Boulder

Currently the Manager of School & Teacher Programs at CU Science Discovery, a K-12 STEM Outreach organization based at the University of Colorado Boulder, Tim Ogino (M.S., M.Ed.) brings over 10 years of professional experience as an educator in formal and informal spaces. He holds a M.S. in Mechanical Engineering and M.Ed. in Secondary Education and teacher licensure for secondary mathematics and physics. He currently specializes in managing educational STEM outreach programs and community partnerships for CU Science Discovery across the State of Colorado.

Jessica Sickler, J. Sickler Consulting

Jessica Sickler is Principal of J. Sickler Consulting, an evaluation and research firm based in Pittsburgh, PA. She is an evaluator and researcher who specializes in helping organizations understand what works and why in their informal education efforts. In her work, she explores the variety of ways learning happens within museums, gardens, zoos, and public engagement programs, centered on giving educators the data they need to spark insights for improvement and innovation. She holds an M.S.Ed. from Bank Street College of Education in museum education and K-6 teaching.

Angelina Ong

Angie Ong is an Evaluation and Research Associate at J. Sickler Consulting where she collaborates on evaluation, research, and capacity-building projects in the informal learning sector. She has over 15 years of experience in the audience research field as an independent consultant and museum-based evaluator. Angie has also taught graduate-level courses in Museum Evaluation at the University of Washington and University of Toronto. As an advocate for culturally competent and inclusive research practice, her approach centers on building meaningful and productive relationships among stakeholders and audiences. Angie holds an M.A. in Museum Studies from The George Washington University and an Honours Bachelor of Business Administration from Wilfrid Laurier University in Waterloo, Canada.

NSF ITEST: Broadening Teens' Perceptions of Engineering through a Human-Centered, Accessibility-Focused Engineering Design Internship

Abstract

Despite efforts to build and diversify the STEM workforce, many historically marginalized groups continue to be significantly underrepresented in STEM, particularly in engineering [1], [2]. Many teens have a limited perception of engineering, and often this fails to align with how they view their own interests and strengths [2], [3]. This paper describes an NSF ITEST project that addresses the need to attract, motivate, prepare and support a more diverse engineering workforce. The *Build a Better Book* (BBB) project (award no. 2049109) engages teens in an engineering design experience grounded in principles of universal design and focused on engineering for accessibility. From 2022-2024, the project team facilitated eight teen internship programs at four sites around the country, including a university, public library, high school, and science center. Regardless of location or format, all programs incorporated several key elements, based on the project's underlying theoretical framework, including: authentic engineering projects developed in collaboration with community clients that center empathy and accessible design; settings and processes that simulated real-world work environments, including an emphasis on intern agency, collaboration, and accountability; and mentorship, training and support provided throughout the experience [4]. Internship sites strived to engage a diverse cohort of interns who came to the program with varied levels of interest in engineering.

Using a mix of qualitative and quantitative methods, including pre-post surveys, audio reflections, and focus group discussions, the team assessed teens' motivations to participate in the program and measured the impact of the internship program on teens' perceptions of engineering, their confidence and competence in an array of technical and general workplace skills, and their awareness of disabilities and the importance of universal design. Over the three-year period, 184 teens across the four sites participated in the internship program and of these, 152 participated in the research study. Results suggest that the human-centered focus of the internship motivated youth to participate, and the experience expanded their perceptions of engineering, increased their confidence and competency with technical and general workplace skills, and significantly increased their awareness of accessibility issues. Ongoing and future analyses will examine the relative impacts of different educational environments and program formats on intended outcomes and assess the longer-term impacts of the BBB teen internship experience by surveying program alumni one to three years after their participation.

Introduction

In alignment with NSF ITEST priorities, the *Build a Better Book* project addresses the need to motivate, prepare and support a more diverse STEM workforce, particularly in the field of engineering. The continuing lack of diversity in engineering and other STEM fields limits the field's ability to tackle the world's greatest challenges, as more diverse teams tend to generate more innovative solutions [5]. The program leverages teens' interests in helping others to engage them in an authentic engineering design experience in which they create accessible materials (e.g., games, books, toys, tactile maps, etc.) for children or youth who are blind or have low

vision (BLV). Previous work suggests that this project's empathy-driven, human-centered focus appeals broadly to teens from diverse backgrounds, including those who do not otherwise consider themselves to be interested in STEM [4], [6]. By researching this internship model across four diverse learning settings, the project aims to advance the field's understanding of how to design and support effective pre-collegiate engineering internship experiences that motivate teens' participation in engineering and influence their self-perceptions related to persistence in this field. In this paper, we provide an overview of findings from the project's first three years, including data collected from eight internship programs delivered at four sites.

Program Design and Implementation

From 2022-24, 184 teens engaged in one of eight engineering design internship experiences offered at four sites around the country (in CO, NJ, and NM). Internship host sites were selected based on organizations' interest in and prior work with the program, their experience working with teens from diverse backgrounds, and their capacity to support a 50+ hour teen internship program, as well as to ensure that a balance of organization types and regions were represented. The internship model was implemented in an intensive summer format (n=5), a semester-long afterschool program (n=2), and a seven-month in-school program (n=1) (Table 1). Regardless of location or format, each internship program focused on the iterative design and fabrication of accessible books, games, toys, or other media requested by community clients who are BLV or have other special needs. Across all sites, the project's theoretical framework, which integrates two bodies of theory—The People Part of Engineering [7] and Persistence of Interest theory [8]—guided the design of the internship program by outlining several required program elements, including authenticity of projects, collaboration with community partners, opportunity to develop technical and STEM workplace skills, mentorship and support, and time and opportunity to approach prototype completion [4]. Endeavoring to model principles of authentic engineering design work environments (appropriate for teens), staff mentors provided coaching and training while teens collaborated in small teams to prototype, test, and refine client-requested products.

Table 1. Summary of internship programs held from 2022-2024.

	University (CO)	Public Library (NJ)	High School (NJ)	Science Center (NM)
# of Interns	44 teens	45 teens	85 teens	10 teens
(# in Research)	(n = 44)	(n = 44)	(n = 54)	(n = 10)
Format (and #) of Programs	Extracurricular; Summer (3)	Extracurricular; Afterschool (2) Summer (1)	In-school Program (1)	Extracurricular; Summer (1)

Research Methods

In collaboration with site leads, the project's research team collected pre/post surveys and audio reflections and facilitated a focus group discussion at the end of each internship program. Following some refinement early in the project, the pre/post survey included measures adapted from validated instruments including the *Engineering Design Self-Efficacy Instrument* [9], the *Short Instrument for Measuring Students' Confidence with Key Skills* [10], and the *STEM Professional Identity Overlap* measure (STEM-PIO-1) [11]. These measures assess teens' self-efficacy in engineering and the design process and their self-perceptions as engineers. Based on

themes that emerged from the project's first two years, the team added a retrospective (post-only) survey in the project's third year to assess changes in teens' understanding of and attitudes toward issues related to accessibility. This included questions related to interns' abilities to consider accessibility issues in the design process, adapt designs based on the needs and wants of a person with a disability, and the importance of perspective-taking in the engineering design process. Qualitative reflections (collected via self-administered audio recordings) focused on participants' perceptions of engineering, their experience and sense of accomplishment, and their reflections on the most impactful and challenging parts of the program. Focus group questions asked about teens' experience in the program, including what they liked about the program, what was most challenging, the accomplishments they were most proud of, and ways that the program could be improved for future participants. Open-ended survey responses, audio reflections, and focus group responses were coded and analyzed for patterns and emergent themes.

As a design-based research project, the team used a cyclical process of design, data collection, analysis, reflection, and redesign. After each round of implementation and data-gathering, the PIs, researchers, and site leads collaboratively reviewed and discussed preliminary results to make any necessary modifications to the program or data collection measures. Insights from these sessions guided revisions to the internship program at each site, clarified key design elements of the internship program, and influenced research priorities for the next iteration.

Results

Of the 184 teens who participated in a BBB internship program, 152 assented to participate in the research study. Across sites, quantitative and qualitative data suggest that the internship's human-centered approach is effective in attracting diverse participants and broadening their perceptions of engineers in ways that align more closely with their own self-identities. As interns collaborate to design and fabricate an accessible product for a real-world client, they develop technical and workplace skills and deepen their understanding of issues related to disabilities.

- **Motivations to Participate:** The project's focus on helping others was a strong motivator to participate. 59% of interns cited helping others and/or their community as a primary motivator for their participation in the project. Other common themes included the opportunity to gain new skills (46%), create something tangible (27%), and further their STEM interests (19%).
- **Perceptions of Engineering:** Aggregated across all sites, quantitative and qualitative data suggest that the BBB program broadens teens' perceptions of engineering, with participants demonstrating a deeper understanding of engineering as a field. Prior to their participation, teens largely described engineering as being focused on 'building things' or by listing different engineering fields. After their participation, however, interns were more likely to describe engineering as being human-centered and being an iterative and collaborative process.
- **STEM/Engineering Identity:** Across sites, interns demonstrated a small positive shift in their engineering identity as measured by the STEM-PIO-1 measure, which asks them to indicate the level of overlap between themselves and their perception of an engineer. On the measure's 7-point scale, the average rating increased from 4.11 to 4.45 (paired t-test, n=152, p<0.01).

- **Technical Skills and Workplace Competencies:** Interns demonstrated increased confidence in their ability to complete a variety of engineering design tasks, as measured by the *Engineering Design Self-Efficacy Instrument*, with 68% of participants showing increased confidence (paired t-test, $n=152$, $p<0.01$). Technical skill growth was particularly noted in areas such as 3D printing, digital design and laser cutting, and computer programming. Interns also demonstrated positive and significant growth in key workplace skills, as measured by the *SICKS* measure. The largest shift was in communication, in which 58% of interns showed increased confidence; other growth areas included self-direction, critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration.
- **Accessibility Mindset:** In retrospective pre-/post-survey questions added in the third year, interns self-reported how well they understood several foundational ideas related to accessibility, including how to consider accessibility in the engineering process, ways to adapt designs to accommodate the needs of someone with a disability, and the importance of perspective-taking in engineering. On each measure, paired pre/post data indicated significant shifts (paired-t test, $n=109$, $p<0.001$). These survey findings were echoed in interns' self-reflections, which cited their growing awareness of accessibility issues (48%), importance of empathy and perspective-taking (46%), and importance of integrating empathy in the engineering process (42%). As one intern commented, "I have never really thought about accessibility and how not everybody has the same abilities that I have, and I took them for granted. But now I'm realizing there are so many ways and different views people have on the world and I should be more aware of that..."

Conclusion

Findings suggest that the BBB Teen Internship's human-centered approach and its emphasis on an authentic engineering design experience attracts teens from diverse backgrounds, broadens their understanding of engineering, builds their confidence, self-efficacy, and engineering identities, and deepens their awareness and understanding of accessibility. The model is adaptable for different settings, including formal and informal learning environments, but requires effective mentoring to support interns through an iterative engineering design process.

Although the project has completed its data collection, ongoing and future analyses will examine variation in impacts and outcomes among sites, including comparisons between informal and formal learning environments, and comparisons among programs with different levels of structure and support. The team is also examining longer-term program impacts with an alumni study, surveying program alumni 1-3 years after their participation in the program. This survey will assess the degree to which the internship experience influenced interns' interests, plans, and persistence in pursuing engineering or STEM in higher education and/or their career, as well as any enduring impacts on their awareness and understanding of issues related to accessibility.

Acknowledgements

This paper is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation, award #2049109.

References

[1] National Science Board, National Science Foundation, "Science and Engineering Indicators 2022: The State of U.S. Science and Engineering," Alexandria, VA. NSB-2022-1, 2022.

[2] M. Hynes, C. Joslyn, A. Hira, J. Holly, and N. Jubelt, “Exploring diverse pre-college students’ interests and understandings of engineering to promote engineering education for all,” *The International Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 32, pp. 2318–2327, 2016.

[3] National Academy of Engineering Committee on Public Understanding of Engineering Messages, “Changing the conversation: Messages for improving public understanding of engineering,” Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2008.

[4] S. Forsyth, T. Ong, A. Ong, and J. Sickler. “Engineering for Accessibility: Impacts of a High School Engineering Internship Model Across Different Settings (Work in Progress, DEI).” in ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition 2024, Portland, OR, USA, June 23-26, 2024.

[5] W.A. Wulf, “The importance of diversity in engineering,” in *Diversity in Engineering: Managing the Workforce of the Future*, National Academy of Engineering. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2002, pp. 8–14.

[6] S. Forsyth, J. Sickler, and G. Yang. "Engineering with empathy in the Build a Better Book Project: Meaningful making supports STEM participation," in *Developing and Sustaining STEM Programs Across the K-12 Education Landscape*, J. L. Spott, L. J. Sobehrad, and R. L. Hite, Eds. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 2023, pp. 1-22.

[7] N.D. Fila, J. Hess, A. Hira, C.H. Joslyn, D. Tolbert, and M.M. Hynes, *The people part of engineering: Engineering for, with, and as people*, IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) Proceedings, Oct. 22-25, 2014, Madrid, Spain, 2014. pp. 1–9.

[8] M. Prenzel, “The selective persistence of interest,” in *The Role of Interest in Learning and Development*, K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi, and A. Krapp, Eds. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., 1992, pp. 71–98.

[9] A. Carberry, M. Ohland, and H. Lee, “Developing an instrument to measure engineering design self-efficacy: A pilot study,” in *Proceedings of the ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Austin, TX, USA, June 14-17, 2009*.

[10] A. Bray, P. Byrne, and M. O’Kelly, “A short instrument for measuring students’ confidence with ‘key skills’ (SICKS): Development, validation and initial results,” *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, vol. 37, 2020, 100700.

[11] M.M. McDonald, V. Zeigler-Hill, J.K. Vrabel, and M. Escobar, “A single-item measure for assessing STEM identity,” *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 4, 2019.