

1    **Title : A proximate mechanism for evolutionary trade-offs in the bean beetle**

2    ***Callosobruchus maculatus***

3

4    **Running head: A proximate mechanism for trade-offs**

5

6    Desire I. Uwera Nalukwago<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Samuel J. L. Gascoigne<sup>1,3</sup>, Beth DeFoe<sup>1</sup> and Flavia Barbosa<sup>1</sup>

7

8    <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Lake Forest College

9    555 N. Sheridan Rd., Lake Forest, IL, 60045, USA

10    <sup>2</sup>Present address: Department of Biology, Stanford University

11    450 Jane Stanford Way Stanford, CA 94305, USA

12    <sup>3</sup>Present address: Department of Biology, University of Oxford,

13    11a Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3SZ, UK

14    <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author, email: uweradn@stanford.edu

15

16    **Keywords:** copulatory behaviors, dispersal, juvenile hormone, life history, morphology, seed

17    beetle

18

19    **Article type:** Original article

20    **Word count:** 3322/7500 (not including abstract, figure legends nor references)

21

22    *Acknowledgements*

23 The authors are grateful to Frances Rice, Sarah McField, Dariana Gomez, and Iman Shepard for  
24 their consistent support in data collection, animal husbandry, and project set up.

25

26 *Funding*

27 This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Biology at Lake Forest  
28 College and by the National Science Foundation under Grant IOS-2113134. Any opinions,  
29 findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the  
30 author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

31

32 *Conflict of interests*

33 The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

34

35 *Author's Contributions*

36 SJLG contributed to generating the concept of the study as well as manuscript revision. FB  
37 contributed to generating the concept of the study, designing study methods, treatment  
38 preparations and applications, data analysis, manuscript drafting and revision and supervision of  
39 the study. DIUN helped design the study, carried out the outlined experimental methods,  
40 performed male behavioral data analysis, and helped draft the manuscript. BD carried out  
41 dissections, imaging, morphological data collection and helped revise the manuscript.

42

43 **Abstract**

44 The mechanisms underlying resource allocation and covariances in life history traits are at the  
45 core of evolutionary biology. Whilst previous studies have detailed multiple mechanisms for the

46 differential allocation of resources toward life history traits, few studies have tested the  
47 contributions of these mechanisms toward both resource allocation and life history trait  
48 covariance. To address this, we explored the role of Juvenile Hormone (JH) in modulating  
49 resource allocation and trait correlations in the bean beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*. We  
50 hypothesized that JH plays a critical role in determining the differential resource allocation to  
51 dispersal traits and gonads. To test our hypotheses, we manipulated JH levels in developing  
52 larvae using methoprene (a synthetic JH analog) and precocene (a JH synthesis inhibitor). We  
53 found support for our hypothesis as decreased JH generated individuals with larger wings and  
54 smaller gonads relative to the control group. We found consistent negative covariances between  
55 reproductive and dispersal traits across treatments, with JH shifting individuals along this trade-  
56 off axis without altering the relationship. Notably, these effects were sex-specific: in males, wing  
57 size was shaped by both testes size and JH treatment, whereas in females, wing size was related  
58 to ovariole size but unaffected by JH manipulation. Interestingly, we found no significant effect  
59 of increased JH on wing and gonad size. These findings provide direct evidence of JH's  
60 involvement in resource allocation and trait covariances in bean beetles.

61

62 **Introduction**

63 Life history trade-offs are fundamental to understanding the mechanisms behind  
64 ecological and evolutionary processes. Trade-offs are often quantified as negative covariances  
65 between costly traits (e.g., body size, ornament length, pre-copulatory behaviors) in response to  
66 varying environmental conditions. In the face of limited resources, costly traits will compete,  
67 leading to the emergence of environment-specific strategies that selection can act upon, *i.e.*  
68 trade-offs (Roff, 2002; Stearns, 1989). Varying resource availability allows investigators to study  
69 the roles of resource allocation and covariance between an organism's costly traits (Messina &  
70 Fry, 2003). However, simply observing phenotypic correlations may lead to inaccurate accounts  
71 of negative correlations since spurious correlations may be observed between unrelated traits (de  
72 Jong & van Noordwijk, 1992; van Noordwijk & de Jong, 1986). Thus, it is also important to  
73 study the proximate causes of trade-offs since natural selection directly acts upon these  
74 physiological mechanisms (Sinervo & Svensson, 1998; Zera & Harshman, 2001).

75 Multiple ecological and physiological mechanisms have been associated with moderating  
76 the induction of trade-offs, which in turn can contribute to the development and maintenance of  
77 polymorphisms in many insect species (Fry, 2006; Gascoigne et al., 2022; Zera & Mole, 1994).  
78 Ultimate causes, like ecological perturbations, can shape populations through trade-offs. For  
79 example, the generation of dispersal morphs in some species results from intra-specific  
80 competition with increased larval density and low resource availability (Garland et al., 2022;  
81 Gascoigne et al., 2022). At the proximate level, hormones often act as underlying mechanisms  
82 behind life history trade-offs. In insects, one of the primary hormones associated with life history  
83 trade-offs is juvenile hormone (JH) (Sinervo & Svensson, 1998; Stearns, 1989; Zera &  
84 Harshman, 2001). In addition to its conserved role in larval development across insects (Emlen

85 & Nijhout, 2001; Fry, 2006; Gotoh et al., 2011; Nijhout, 1998), JH has also been implicated as a  
86 developmental switch determining the generation of dispersal and non-dispersal morphs in wing-  
87 polymorphic insects . JH acts by concurrently impacting wing size and other costly  
88 morphological and behavioral traits associated with reproduction, *e.g.* gonad size, courtship,  
89 fecundity, and timing of egg production in females and mate acquisition in males (Gascoigne et  
90 al., 2022; Guerra, 2011). Studies across numerous insect species have demonstrated that high  
91 larval density conditions typically lead to reduced juvenile hormone titers, promoting the  
92 development of dispersal morphs with enhanced flight capability, while low-density  
93 environments maintain higher JH levels that favor reproductive investment (Hartfelder & Emlen,  
94 2012; Zera & Tiebel, 1988). This endocrine response to crowding provides a mechanistic link  
95 between ecological conditions and the adaptive resource allocation trade-offs observed in wing-  
96 polymorphic insects.

97 The bean beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) serves as an excellent model organism for  
98 studying trade-offs due to its well-documented wing dimorphism (Caswell, 1960; Messina, 1991;  
99 Roff, 1986; Sanghvi et al., 2022; Southgate et al., 1957; Utida, 1956). Increased competition due  
100 to high larval density in the environment during early development results in individuals with  
101 long wings relative to body size thereby improving flight capability (Messina & Renwick, 1985;  
102 Taylor, 1974; Utida, 1972). Additionally, recent studies have demonstrated correlations between  
103 specific morphological traits and behavioral patterns in *C. maculatus* (Dick & Credland, 1984;  
104 Gascoigne et al., 2022; Katsuki & Lewis, 2015). In Gascoigne et al. (2022), we induced  
105 differential resource allocation to dispersal traits by allowing beetles to develop under different  
106 larval density treatments. We found that increased larval density generated individuals with  
107 larger wings and smaller gonads in both males and females. Overall, Gascoigne et al. (2022)

108 demonstrated that density during development affects trade-offs between dispersal and  
109 reproduction in *C. maculatus*. However, the proximate mechanisms governing the differential  
110 allocation of resources to traits that cause these trade-offs are not fully understood.

111 To test this mechanism, we exposed *C. maculatus* larvae to either a juvenile hormone  
112 (JH) analog, a JH antagonist, or a control. We predicted that if JH mediates trade-offs between  
113 dispersal and reproductive traits in *C. maculatus*, results from JH manipulations would mirror the  
114 effects of larval density on dispersal and reproductive traits observed in Gascoigne et al. (2022)  
115 in comparison to the control group. Specifically, (1) treatment with the JH analog (methoprene)  
116 will shift resource allocation toward reproduction, leading to individuals with relatively shorter  
117 wings and larger gonads, as seen in low density environments, while the JH antagonist  
118 (precocene) would produce the opposite effect, relatively longer wings and smaller gonads as  
119 observed in high larval density environments; and (2) we further predicted that altering JH levels  
120 may influence the trade-off (*i.e.*, the covariance) between dispersal and reproductive traits.  
121 Specifically, if JH regulates the trade-off directly, we expect to see systematic changes in trait  
122 covariation across treatments. However, if trade-offs are developmentally constrained, we expect  
123 JH to shift individuals along an unchanging trade-off slope.

124 **Methods**

125 *Laboratory Population*

126 The individuals in our study were sourced from a controlled laboratory population bred  
127 from a strain of *C. maculatus* at Lake Forest College in Illinois, USA. This cowpea-adapted  
128 strain is housed at 25°C under a 12hr:12hr light:dark cycle in 4-liter plastic containers containing  
129 cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata*) and sealed with mesh plastic covers to ensure adequate ventilation.

130

131 *Juvenile Hormone (JH) Treatments*

132 To test the effect of JH in changing resource allocation towards wing and gonad size in  
133 *C. maculatus*, we treated the cowpeas used for larval development. Specifically, the cowpeas  
134 used for the generation of experimental individuals were treated with either precocene (a  
135 synthetic JH inhibitor), methoprene (a synthetic JH analog) and acetone as a control. We soaked  
136 50 grams of cowpeas in a solution of either precocene diluted in acetone to a concentration of 10  
137 mg/ml, methoprene diluted in acetone to a concentration of 10 mg/ml or pure acetone for 48  
138 hours. The concentrations for all treatments were based on previous work in *C. maculatus* using  
139 juvenile hormone analogs applied to cowpea seeds (Abo El-Ghar, 1992) and supported by  
140 studies demonstrating strong developmental effects of methoprene in Coleoptera at lower  
141 concentrations when applied topically (Parthasarathy & Palli, 2009). This combination of prior  
142 research and our own pilot testing supports the biological relevance of our chosen treatment level  
143 and larval exposure method. Subsequently, the cowpeas were added to 48-well cell culture plates  
144 with a single bean in each well. To minimize potential plate effects, all three treatments were  
145 evenly distributed across every plate. Females selected haphazardly from the laboratory  
146 population were allowed 48 hours to oviposit, after which they were removed from all plates.  
147 Each female was confined to a single cowpea placed in an individual well of the 48-well plate,  
148 with the wells closed off to prevent access to other cowpeas. After oviposition, we manipulated  
149 larval densities to achieve medium density (5-7 eggs per cowpea) to minimize the confounding  
150 effects of larval density on resource allocation for the treatment groups. The plates were kept in  
151 an incubator (Powers Scientific environmental chamber DS27SD) at 27°C under a 12hr:12hr  
152 light:dark photoperiod for three to four weeks, the generation time of an adult *C. maculatus*.  
153 Towards the end of the third week, we checked the plates daily for the emergence of adults.

154 Once adults emerged, they were sexed and isolated. We only collected a single adult from each  
155 cowpea to standardize individuals by age and not use individuals who were siblings.

156

157 *Dissections and morphological trait measurements*

158 We dissected experimental individuals under a microscope (Leica MZ60-series stereo  
159 microscope) using FST fine forceps and Pyrex nine-well dissection well-plates. For all  
160 experimental individuals, we obtained one hind wing and gonad (a testis for males and an  
161 ovariole for females) as primary traits of interest. All specimens were mounted in DMHF (2,5-  
162 dimethyl-4-hydroxy-3(2H)-furanone) medium. Imaging was done using a Leica MZ16A  
163 stereoscope with a Leica DFC429 digital camera and Leica Application Suite imaging software.  
164 Trait sizes were quantified using ImageJ (Rasband, 1997).

165

166

167 *Statistics and analysis*

168 All gonad and wing size measurements were natural log-scaled to correct for increases in  
169 variance as absolute measures increase. Furthermore, we measured relative trait size as a  
170 measure of selective resource allocation by dividing the natural log of an individual's trait size by  
171 the natural log of the same individual's body mass (Karp et al., 2012).

172 Rather than categorizing individuals into discrete groups, we treated dispersal and  
173 reproductive relative trait sizes as continuous variables since we found them to vary continuously  
174 (Messina & Renwick, 1985). This approach allowed us to detect treatment-induced shifts in trait  
175 allocation without imposing artificial categorical boundaries. To test our first prediction that  
176 increased juvenile hormone (JH) will alter resource allocation leading to the development of

177 non-dispersal morphs, one-way ANOVAs were used to test for sex-specific main effects of the  
178 treatments on relative gonad and wing size and relative dispersal traits. Subsequently, Tukey-  
179 HSD post-hoc tests were used to show differences between groups.

180 To test our second prediction that JH may influence the covariation between  
181 reproductive and dispersal traits, we conducted sex-specific analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs)  
182 to identify the effects of JH treatment, gonad size, and gonad size  $\times$  treatment interaction on  
183 relative wing length. Whilst the one-way ANOVA testing the first prediction demonstrates the  
184 main effect of JH treatment on reproductive and dispersal trait size, these effects are not  
185 independent. In many ectotherms, increases in reproductive trait size often lead to reductions in  
186 dispersal trait size and *vice versa* (Gascoigne et al., 2022; Miller et al., 2019; Roff & Fairbairn,  
187 2007; Zera & Denno, 1997). Importantly, such trade-offs may either remain developmentally  
188 constrained, resulting in a consistent negative covariation across treatments, or they may be  
189 plastic. Manipulations to the underlying mechanisms from which trade-offs arise can alter the  
190 slope of allometric scaling between traits (Shingleton et al., 2007; Shingleton & Frankino, 2013).  
191 To distinguish between these possibilities, we included the gonad size  $\times$  treatment interaction in  
192 the ANCOVA model. A significant interaction would indicate that JH modulates the slope of the  
193 trade-off, whereas a non-significant interaction would suggest that JH shifts individuals along a  
194 fixed trade-off axis.

195 All statistical analyses were performed in JMP® 13 Software (SAS Institute Inc. 2016).

196

## 197 **Results**

198 *Resource allocation: Reduced juvenile hormone leads to allocation to dispersal*

199 To test our first prediction that increased juvenile hormone (JH) will alter resource  
200 allocation by increasing investment in gonads, we measured gonad and wing sizes in beetles  
201 exposed to varying levels of JH during larval development.

202 JH treatment had a significant effect on testis size and wing size in male and female  
203 *Callosobruchus maculatus*. In males, treatment with the JH inhibitor precocene led to a  
204 significant decrease in testis size ( $F_{(2,57)} = 4.25$ ,  $p = 0.019$ , Figure 1A) and increased wing length  
205 relative to body mass ( $F_{(2,57)} = 9.93$ ,  $p = 0.001$ , Figure 1B) compared to the acetone  
206 control. Similarly, treatment with the JH inhibitor precocene in females led to a decrease in  
207 ovariole size ( $F_{(2,57)} = 3.61$ ,  $p = 0.03$ , Figure 1C) and an increase in wing length relative to body  
208 mass ( $F_{(2,57)} = 9.08$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , Figure 1D) compared to the acetone control. In turn, treatment with  
209 a JH inhibitor led to an increased investment in dispersal-related morphological traits and a  
210 decreased investment in reproductive-related morphological traits in both male and female *C.*  
211 *maculatus*. However, the JH analog methoprene did not show the opposite effect of the JH  
212 inhibitor precocene. Contrary to our prediction, the JH analog methoprene showed no effect on  
213 gonad size (Figure 1A,C) or wing size (Figure 1B,D) in male and female *C. maculatus* relative to  
214 the acetone control ( $p > 0.05$ ).

215

216 *Trait covariances: Reproductive and dispersal traits covary negatively across treatments*

217 To test our second prediction that JH levels may influence the trade-off (*i.e.*, the  
218 covariance) between dispersal and reproductive traits, we regressed relative wing length against  
219 relative gonad size controlling for JH treatment and relative gonad size  $\times$  treatment interaction.

220 We found negative covariances between reproductive and dispersal traits in both sexes.

221 In males, there was a significant effect of relative testis area ( $F_{1,63} = 29.6$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ) and JH

222 treatment ( $F_{1,63} = 3.72, p = 0.03$ ) on wing size, but no testis area  $\times$  treatment interaction ( $F_{1,63} =$   
223  $0.04, p = 0.19$ , Figure 2A). In females, we found an effect of relative ovariole area on wing size  
224 ( $F_{1,63} = 31.85, p < 0.0001$ ), but no effect of treatment ( $F_{1,63} = 0.26, p = 0.77$ ) or ovariole area  
225  $\times$  treatment interaction ( $F_{1,63} = 2.25, p = 0.11$ , Figure 2B). These results, along with the average  
226 trait shifts shown in Figure 1, suggest that while JH affects mean trait values, it does not change  
227 the underlying allometric scaling (the trade-off slope) between these traits. Instead, JH treatment  
228 shifts individuals along the trade-off slope without altering the slope itself, indicating that the  
229 relationship between reproductive and dispersal traits remains stable despite the JH treatment  
230 (Frankino et al., 2019).

231

232 **Discussion**

233 Our results support the hypothesis that juvenile hormone (JH) mediates the physiological  
234 relationship governing trade-offs between dispersal and reproductive traits in response to  
235 environmental conditions. In *Callosobruchus maculatus*, these conditions, specifically larval  
236 crowding, appear to generate shifts in trait investment. Using the juvenile hormone analog  
237 (methoprene) and antagonist (precocene), we investigated the physiological effect of varying  
238 environmental conditions during development, *i.e.*, larval density, observed at a phenotypic level  
239 in Gascoigne et al. (2022). We found significant effects of the JH treatment on the reproductive  
240 and dispersal traits of both males and females. Treatment with the JH antagonist precocene  
241 mirrored the effects of high larval density during development, resulting in trade-offs between  
242 gonad size and wing length, with individuals developing smaller gonads and longer wings,  
243 indicative of a shift toward dispersal. However, treatment with the JH analog methoprene did not  
244 significantly show the reverse effects, with larger gonads and shorter wing lengths as expected.

245 This asymmetry may be explained by threshold effects in hormone responses. According to  
246 the classical JH-wing-morph hypothesis, trait expression is determined by whether JH titers fall  
247 above or below certain thresholds, with elevated levels typically reducing wing development  
248 (Zera, 2016). If beetles reared at medium density (5-7 eggs per cowpea) already maintain  
249 endogenous JH levels favoring reproduction, further methoprene exposure may not have been  
250 sufficient to induce further shifts and thus show significant differences between the control and  
251 methoprene group. Additionally, acetone itself has been shown to have an effect on morph  
252 determination in some insects, potentially through stress-mediated responses regulated by  
253 neurohormones (Zera & Tanaka, 1996). If acetone produced a mild brachypterizing (wing-

254 reducing) effect in our control beetles, this could have blurred the observable differences  
255 between control and methoprene treatments.

256 Importantly, our results suggest that JH modulates where individuals fall along a fixed  
257 trade-off axis by enhancing investment in one trait at the expense of another, rather than altering  
258 the shape or slope of the trade-off itself. This further suggests that the underlying resource-  
259 allocation “architecture” is developmentally constrained, and hormonal cues serve to toggle trait  
260 prioritization within these limits. From an evolutionary perspective, such constraints may  
261 channel phenotypic variation along predictable axes, shaping life history strategies in response to  
262 environmental cues without requiring shifts in the trade-off structure itself. Such findings are  
263 consistent with other insect systems, such as the wing-polymorphic cricket where JH application  
264 shifts individuals along a trade-off slope between flight muscle and ovarian development (Zera  
265 & Bottsford, 2001). Similarly, in planthoppers, JH analogs influence wing length without  
266 disrupting the negative correlation between wing and reproductive development (Ayoade et al.,  
267 1999). It has also been observed in caste differentiation in social insects, where endocrine  
268 regulation preserves trade-off integrity while enabling plastic responses (Hardie & Lees, 1985).  
269 Our work extends these findings to Coleoptera, suggesting the evolutionary conservation of these  
270 physiological mechanisms across diverse insect orders and thus supports the view of endocrine  
271 mechanisms acting as modulators rather than designers of phenotypes. This developmental  
272 constraint perspective helps explain why life history trade-offs are often maintained across  
273 environments despite selection potentially favoring their breakdown (Frankino et al., 2019).

274 Our results also reveal some sex-specific influences of JH. While many studies in  
275 *Callosobruchus maculatus* have focused on males due to clear trade-offs between testes and  
276 wing size, females also allocate resources between reproduction and dispersal traits (Canal et al.

277 2021). We included both males and females in our study to test whether juvenile hormone (JH)  
278 similarly regulates reproductive and dispersal trait allocation in both sexes. In males, wing size  
279 was shaped by both testes size and JH treatment, whereas in females, wing size was tightly  
280 coupled to ovariole size but unaffected by JH manipulation. This aligns with our previous  
281 findings in Gascoigne et al. 2022, where larval density influenced wing and gonad size more  
282 strongly in males than females. Together, these findings suggest that the dispersal–reproduction  
283 trade-off in response to larval crowding is more hormonally plastic in males, likely reflecting sex  
284 differences in life-history strategies (Gascoigne et al. 2022). Male fitness may benefit from  
285 flexible dispersal strategies under variable mating opportunities, whereas female fitness is more  
286 strongly tied to maximizing reproductive output, favoring tighter integration of reproductive and  
287 dispersal traits and reduced hormonal modulation. Although we observed some treatment effects  
288 on female wing and gonad size, significant trade-offs mediated by juvenile hormones may  
289 involve other unmeasured traits, such as immunity or lifetime fecundity, which could be more  
290 relevant to female fitness and mediate their resource allocation patterns (Rolff & Siva-Jothy,  
291 2002; Zuk & McKean, 1996).

292 The trade-offs we observed likely stem from selective resource application shaped by a a  
293 physiological hierarchy regulated by JH (Flatt & Kawecki, 2007; Zera & Zhao, 2006). While we  
294 frame our results in terms of environmental “pressure,” it is more accurate to describe the context  
295 as developmental conditions simulating resource limitation. These conditions likely trigger stress  
296 pathways that modulate hormone sensitivity or production (Noriega, 2014). For example,  
297 precocene, which suppresses JH activity, led to greater investment in dispersal traits, while  
298 methoprene was expected to promote reproductive investment. These results are consistent with  
299 the idea that organisms must balance growth, survival and reproduction under developmental

300 constraints, and that developmental hormone cues help manage this balance, resulting in  
301 phenotypic dimorphism (Clifton & Noriega, 2012; Zera et al., 2007).

302 Mechanistically, JH interacts with nutrient-sensitive signaling pathways such as the  
303 insulin/insulin-like growth factor (IIS)/target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway, where reduced  
304 insulin signaling has been linked to lower JH levels and decreased fecundity (Pan et al., 2022).

305 High JH titers promote vitellogenin synthesis, a hormone critical for ovarian development,  
306 through binding to the transcription factor methoprene-tolerant (Parthasarathy et al., 2009).

307 These dynamics may explain our expectations of enhanced gonad development in methoprene-  
308 treated, low-density individuals (Gascoigne et al., 2022), though the lack of observed effect  
309 could stem from suboptimal dosing or limited trait variance in our laboratory population (Zera,  
310 2016). Conversely, JH downregulation has been associated with increased lipid storage,  
311 particularly under reduced reproductive investment (Li et al., 2022). In insects, energy resources  
312 often fuel both flight and reproduction, and investment in dispersal traits like wing development  
313 may trade off with fecundity, particularly in crowded conditions where dispersal offers a fitness  
314 advantage (Katsuki & Lewis, 2015).

315 Despite these insights, our study has several limitations. First, we did not directly quantify *in*  
316 *vivo* concentrations of methoprene or precocene, and the efficiency of larval uptake remains  
317 uncertain. While previous studies have shown that surface application to cowpea seeds can  
318 influence *C. maculatus* development (Abo El-Ghar, 1992), direct measurement of hormone  
319 uptake would improve the precision of causal inference. Future work using tracer compounds or  
320 analytical chemistry approaches could help clarify dose-response dynamics. Second, we did not  
321 measure endogenous JH levels under different rearing densities. Although the hypothesis that  
322 density modulates hormone production is well supported in other insect systems (Ishikawa et al.,

323 2013; Iwanaga & Tojo, 1986) empirical confirmation in *C. maculatus* would strengthen the link  
324 between environment, endocrine response, and phenotype. Finally, while topical JH analog  
325 application is widely used and effective, genetic tools such as RNA interference or CRISPR-  
326 based modulation of JH pathway genes would offer more targeted tests of causality. Such  
327 methods remain challenging in *C. maculatus* due to larval concealment within seeds and limited  
328 genomic resources, but adapting these tools could open new avenues for dissecting endocrine  
329 regulation of life history trade-offs.

330 In conclusion, our study demonstrates that juvenile hormone is a key proximate mechanism  
331 mediating the trade-off between dispersal and reproduction in *Callosobruchus maculatus*. By  
332 experimentally altering JH signaling, we demonstrate that hormonal modulation can shift  
333 resource allocation between competing traits without altering the underlying covariance  
334 structure. These findings underscore the importance of physiological pathways in translating  
335 environmental variation into adaptive phenotypic outcomes and offer a mechanistic foundation  
336 for understanding how life history strategies evolve in response to ecological constraints.

337

338 **References**

339 Abo El-Ghar, G. E. S. (1992). Effects of insect growth regulators with juvenile hormone activity  
340 against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Anzeiger Für  
341 Schädlingskunde, Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz*, 65(7), 137–140.

342 Ayoade, O., Morooka, S., and Tojo, S. (1999). Enhancement of short wing formation and  
343 ovarian growth in the genetically defined macropterous strain of the brown planthopper,  
344 *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Journal of insect physiology*, 45(1), 93-100.

345 Canal, D., Garcia-Gonzalez, F., & Garamszegi, L. Z. (2021). Experimentally constrained early  
346 reproduction shapes life history trajectories and behaviour. *Scientific reports*, 11(1), 4442.  
347 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83703-1>

348 Caswell, G. H. (1960). Observations on an Abnormal Form of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.).  
349 *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 50(4), 671–680.  
350 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300054705>

351 Clifton, M.E. & Noriega, F.G. (2012). The fate of follicles after a blood meal is dependent on  
352 previtellogenesis and juvenile hormone in *Aedes aegypti*. *Journal of Insect  
353 Physiology* 58, 1007–1019.

354 de Jong, G., & van Noordwijk, A. J. (1992). Acquisition and Allocation of Resources: Genetic  
355 (CO) Variances, Selection, and Life Histories. *The American Naturalist*, 139(4), 749–770.  
356 <https://doi.org/10.1086/285356>

357 Dick, K. M., & Credland, P. F. (1984). Egg production and development of three strains of  
358 *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products  
359 Research*, 20(4), 221–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X\(84\)90007-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(84)90007-9)

360 Emlen, D. J., & Nijhout, H. F. (2001). Hormonal control of male horn length dimorphism in  
361        *Onthophagus taurus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae): a second critical period of sensitivity to  
362        juvenile hormone. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 47(9), 1045–1054.  
363        [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910\(01\)00084-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(01)00084-1)

364 Flatt, T., Tu, M.P., & Tatar, M. (2005). Hormonal pleiotropy and the juvenile hormone  
365        regulation of *Drosophila* development and life history. *BioEssays*, 27(10), 999–1010.

366 Frankino, W. A., Bakota, E., Dworkin, I., Wilkinson, G. S., Wolf, J. B., & Shingleton, A. W.  
367        (2019). Individual Cryptic Scaling Relationships and the Evolution of Animal Form.  
368        *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 59(5), 1411–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icz135>

369 Fry, C. L. (2006). Juvenile hormone mediates a trade-off between primary and secondary sexual  
370        traits in stalk-eyed flies. *Evolution & Development*, 8(2), 191–201.  
371        <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2006.00089.x>

372 Garland, T., Downs, C. J., & Ives, A. R. (2022). Trade-Offs (and Constraints) in Organismal  
373        Biology. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 95(1), 82–112.  
374        <https://doi.org/10.1086/717897>

375 Gascoigne, S. J. L., Uwera Nalukwago, D. I., & Barbosa, F. (2022). Larval Density, Sex, and  
376        Allocation Hierarchy Affect Life History Trait Covariances in a Bean Beetle. *The American  
377        Naturalist*, 199(2), 291–301. <https://doi.org/10.1086/717639>

378 Guerra, P.A. (2011). Evaluating the life-history trade-off between dispersal capability and  
379        reproduction in wing dimorphic insects: A meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews* 86, 813–  
380        835.

381 Hardie, J. I. M., & Lees, A. D. (1985). The induction of normal and teratoid viviparae by a  
382 juvenile hormone and kinoprene in two species of aphids. *Physiological Entomology*, 10(1),  
383 65-74.

384 Hartfelder, K. & Emlen. D. J. (2012). Endocrine control of insect polyphenism. *Insect  
385 endocrinology*. Academic Press, 464-522.

386 Ishikawa, A., Gotoh, H., Abe, T., & Miura, T. (2013). Juvenile hormone titer and wing-morph  
387 differentiation in the vetch aphid *Megoura crassicauda*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 59(4),  
388 444–449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.02.004>

389 Iwanaga, K., & Tojo, S. (1986). Effects of juvenile hormone and rearing density on wing  
390 dimorphism and oocyte development in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Journal  
391 of Insect Physiology*, 32(6), 585–590. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(86\)90076-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(86)90076-4)

392 Karp, N. A., Segonds-Pichon, A., Gerdin, A.-K. B., Ramírez-Solis, R., & White, J. K. (2012).  
393 The fallacy of Ratio Correction to address confounding factors. *Laboratory Animals*, 46(3),  
394 245–252. <https://doi.org/10.1258/la.2012.012003>

395 Katsuki, M., & Lewis, Z. (2015). A trade-off between pre- and post-copulatory sexual selection  
396 in a bean beetle. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 69. [015-1971-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-<br/>397 015-1971-4)

398 Li, Y.-Y., Chen, J.-J., Liu, M.-Y., He, W.-W., Reynolds, J. A., Wang, Y.-N., Wang, M.-Q., &  
399 Zhang, L.-S. (2022). Enhanced Degradation of Juvenile Hormone Promotes Reproductive  
400 Diapause in the Predatory Ladybeetle *Coccinella Septempunctata*. *Frontiers in Physiology*,  
401 13. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2022.877153>

402 Messina, F. J. (1991). Life-history variation in a seed beetle: Adult egg-laying vs. larval  
403 competitive ability. *Oecologia*, 85(3), 447–455. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00320624>

404 Messina, F. J., & Fry, J. D. (2003). Environment-dependent reversal of a life history trade-off in  
405 the seed beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 16(3), 501–  
406 509. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00535.x>

407 Messina, F., & Renwick, J. A. (1985). Ability of Ovipositing Seed Beetle to Discriminate  
408 Between Seeds With Differing Egg Loads. *Ecological Entomology*, 10, 225–230.  
409 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.1985.tb00552.x>

410 Miller, C. W., Joseph, P. N., Kilner, R. M., & Emberts, Z. (2019). A weapons–testes trade-off in  
411 males is amplified in female traits. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,  
412 286(1908), 20190906. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0906>

413 Nijhout, H. F. (1998). *Insect Hormones*. Princeton University Press.

414 Noriega, F. G. (2014). Juvenile Hormone Biosynthesis in Insects: What Is New, What Do We  
415 Know, and What Questions Remain?, *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 967361,  
416 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/967361>

417 Pan, X., Pei, Y., Zhang, C., Huang, Y., Chen, L., Wei, L., Li, C., Dong, X., & Chen, X. (2022).  
418 Effect of Insulin Receptor on Juvenile Hormone Signal and Fecundity in *Spodoptera litura*  
419 (F.). *Insects*, 13(8), 701. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13080701>

420 Parthasarathy, R., & Palli, S. R. (2009). Molecular analysis of juvenile hormone analog action in  
421 controlling the metamorphosis of the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *Archives of*  
422 *insect biochemistry and physiology*, 70(1), 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.20288>

423 Parthasarathy, R., Tan, A., Sun, Z., Chen, J., Rainkin, M., & Palli, S. R. (2009). Juvenile  
424 hormone regulation of male accessory gland activity in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium*  
425 *castaneum*. *Mechanisms of Development*, 126(7), 563–579.  
426 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2009.03.005>

427 Rasband, W.S. (1997). ImageJ. Bethesda, MD.

428 Roff, D. A. (1986). The Evolution of Wing Dimorphism in Insects. *Evolution*, 40(5), 1009–1020.

429 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1986.tb00568.x>

430 Roff, D.A. (2002). Life history evolution. *Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts*.

431 Roff, D. A., & Fairbairn, D. J. (2007). The Evolution and Genetics of Migration in Insects.

432 *BioScience*, 57(2), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1641/B570210>

433 Rolff, J., & Siva-Jothy, M. T. (2002). Copulation corrupts immunity: a mechanism for a cost of

434 mating in insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

435 *of America*, 99(15), 9916–9918. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.152271999> Sanghvi, K.,

436 Iglesias-Carrasco, M., Zajitschek, F., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Head, M. L. (2022). Effects of

437 developmental and adult environments on ageing. *Evolution*, 76(8), 1868–1882.

438 <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14567>

439 SAS Institute Inc. (2016). JMP® Version 13. Cary, NC.

440 Shingleton, A. W., & Frankino, W. A. (2013). New perspectives on the evolution of exaggerated

441 traits. *BioEssays*, 35(2), 100–107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201200139>

442 Shingleton, A. W., Frankino, W. A., Flatt, T., Nijhout, H. F., & Emlen, Douglas. J. (2007). Size

443 and shape: The developmental regulation of static allometry in insects. *BioEssays*, 29(6),

444 536–548. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20584>

445 Sinervo, B., & Svensson, E. (1998). Mechanistic and Selective Causes of Life History Trade-

446 Offs and Plasticity. *Oikos*, 83(3), 432–442. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3546671>

447 Southgate, B. J., Howe, R. W., & Brett, G. A. (1957). The specific Status of *Callosobruchus*

448 *maculatus* (F.) and *Callosobruchus analis* (F.). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 48(1),

449 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300054110>

450 Stearns, S. C. (1989). Trade-Offs in Life-History Evolution. *Functional Ecology*, 3(3), 259–268.

451 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2389364>

452 Taylor, T. A. (1974). Observations on the effects of initial population densities in culture, and

453 humidity on the production of ‘active’ females of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.)

454 (Coleoptera, Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 10(2), 113–122.

455 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X\(74\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(74)90018-6)

456 Utida, S. (1956). “Phase” dimorphism observed in the laboratory population of the cowpea

457 weevil, *Callosobruchus quadrimaculatus* 2nd report. *Researches on Population Ecology*,

458 3(1), 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02758216>

459 Utida, S. (1972). Density dependent polymorphism in the adult of *Callosobruchus maculatus*

460 (Coleoptera, Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 8(2), 111–125.

461 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X\(72\)90028-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(72)90028-8)

462 van Noordwijk, A. J., & de Jong, G. (1986). Acquisition and Allocation of Resources: Their

463 Influence on Variation in Life History Tactics. *The American Naturalist*, 128(1), 137–142.

464 Zera, A. J., & Bottsford, J. (2001). The endocrine-genetic basis of life-history variation: The

465 relationship between the ecdysteroid titer and morph-specific reproduction in the wing-

466 polymorphic cricket *Gryllus firmus*. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic*

467 *Evolution*, 55(3), 538–549.

468 Zera, A., & Denno, R. (1997). Physiology and ecology of dispersal polymorphism in insects.

469 Annu Rev Ent, 42: 207-230. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 42, 207–230.

470 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.42.1.207>

471 Zera, A. J. (2016). Juvenile Hormone and the endocrine regulation of wing polymorphism in  
472 insects: New insights from circadian and functional-genomic studies in *Gryllus* crickets.  
473 *Physiological Entomology*, 41(4), 313–326. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12166>

474 Zera, A. J., & Mole, S. (1994). The physiological costs of flight capability in wing-dimorphic  
475 crickets. *Researches on Population Ecology*, 36(2), 151–156.  
476 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02514930>

477 Zera, A. & Harshman, L.G. (2001). The Physiology of Life History Trade-Offs in Animals.  
478 *Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics* 32, 95–126.

479 Zera, A. J., & Tanaka, S. (1996). The role of juvenile hormone and juvenile hormone esterase in  
480 wing morph determination in *Modicogryllus confirmatus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*,  
481 42(9), 909–915. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(96\)00005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(96)00005-4)

482 Zera, A. J., & Tiebel, K. C. (1988). Brachypterizing effect of group rearing, juvenile hormone III  
483 and methoprene in the wing-dimorphic cricket, *Gryllus rubens*. *Journal of Insect  
484 Physiology*, 34(6), 489–498. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(88\)90190-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(88)90190-4)

485 Zera, A. J. & Zhao Z. (2006). Intermediary metabolism and life-history trade-offs: differential  
486 metabolism of amino acids underlies the dispersal-reproduction trade-off in a wing-  
487 polymorphic cricket. *The American Naturalist*, 167(6) 889-900.

488 Zera, A. J., Zhao, Z., & Kaliseck, K. (2007). Hormones in the Field: Evolutionary Endocrinology  
489 of Juvenile Hormone and Ecdysteroids in Field Populations of the Wing-Dimorphic  
490 Cricket *Gryllus firmus*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 80(6), 592–606.  
491 <https://doi.org/10.1086/521803>

492 Zuk, M., & McKean, K. A. (1996). Sex differences in parasite infections: patterns and  
493 processes. *International journal for parasitology*, 26(10), 1009–1023.