

**The histone chaperone Nap1 is a major regulator of histone H2A-H2B dynamics at the inducible *GAL* locus**

Xu Chen<sup>a\*</sup>, Sheena D'Arcy<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Catherine A. Radebaugh<sup>a</sup>, Daniel D. Krzizike<sup>a,b</sup>, Holli A. Giebler<sup>a</sup>, Liangquan Huang<sup>a</sup>, Jennifer K. Nyborg<sup>a</sup>, Karolin Luger<sup>a,b\*</sup>, and Laurie A. Stargell<sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1870, USA, <sup>b</sup> Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

Running Head: Nap1 and histone dynamics in vivo

# Address correspondences to Laurie A. Stargell, [Laurie.Stargell@colostate.edu](mailto:Laurie.Stargell@colostate.edu)

\*Present address Xu Chen, Department of Microbiology, Immunology & Pathology, Colorado State University; Sheena D'Arcy, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Dallas; Karolin Luger, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado at Boulder

Word counts:

Abstract: 198

Materials and Methods: 1409 words

Remainder of Text: 3928 (minus figure legends and references)

## Abstract:

Histone chaperones, like Nucleosome Assembly Protein 1 (Nap1), play a critical role in the maintenance of chromatin architecture. Here, we use the *GAL* locus in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to investigate the influence of Nap1 on chromatin structure and histone dynamics during distinct transcriptional states. When the *GAL* locus is not expressed, cells lacking Nap1 show an accumulation of histone H2A-H2B but not histone H3-H4 at this locus. Excess H2A-H2B interacts with the linker DNA between nucleosomes, and the interaction is independent of the inherent DNA-binding affinity of H2A-H2B to these particular sequences as measured *in vitro*. When the *GAL* locus is transcribed, excess H2A-H2B is reversed and levels of all chromatin-bound histones are depleted in cells lacking Nap1. We developed an *in vivo* system to measure histone exchange at the *GAL* locus, and observe considerable variability in the rate of exchange across the locus in wild type cells. We recapitulate this variability with *in vitro* nucleosome reconstitutions, which suggests a contribution of DNA sequence to histone dynamics. We also find that Nap1 is required for transcription-dependent H2A-H2B exchange. Altogether, these results indicate that Nap1 is essential for maintaining proper chromatin composition and modulating the exchange of H2A-H2B *in vivo*.

## Introduction:

The basic unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which forms when DNA is wrapped around two copies each of the four core histones arranged as two histone H2A-H2B dimers and a histone H3-H4 tetramer (1). Nucleosomes are highly dynamic, capable of multiple structural transitions between completely assembled, and entirely disassembled (2). Indeed, H2A-H2B and H3-H4 are actively exchanged during both DNA replication-dependent and -independent events (3-9). Chromatin transitions have the potential to

profoundly affect gene expression, and a diverse spectrum of factors including histone chaperones, participate in this process (10).

Histone chaperones are histone-binding proteins that facilitate nucleosome assembly and/or disassembly in an ATP-independent fashion (11-13). The histone chaperone Nucleosome Assembly Protein 1 (Nap1) is a highly conserved chaperone that binds H2A-H2B in vitro with nanomolar affinity (12, 14) in a conformation that shields interfaces required for nucleosome assembly (15). Although functional in assembly of nucleosomes in vitro (16), a number of studies support a role for Nap1 in transcription-dependent processes of disassembly of nucleosomes. Nap1 is critical for the eviction of histones during transcription in a mammalian in vitro system (17), and Nap1 (with the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeler RSC) can facilitate elongation of RNAPII on chromatin templates using yeast in vitro systems (18, 19). Our previous in vivo studies indicated that Nap1 prevents excess H2A-H2B accumulation on chromatin (20), and here we expand our analysis to investigate the role of Nap1 in histone exchange and occupancy. As a model system, we use the *GAL* locus in yeast under transcriptionally repressed and activated conditions.

The *S. cerevisiae* *GAL* gene cluster (*GAL7*, *GAL1*, and *GAL10*) is a powerful system for studying chromatin dynamics in vivo under variable levels of gene expression. Transcription of *GAL* genes is repressed in the presence of glucose and coordinately activated in the presence of galactose (21, 22). The region is characterized by high levels of nucleosome occupancy in the repressed state (23-25). Upon activation, histones are acetylated (26-33), and a majority are evicted (24, 34-36) with the help of chromatin remodeling factors (37) and histone chaperones (38).

Although chromatin structure and histone modifications are well characterized at the GAL locus, probing histone dynamics has been confounded by the fact that typical exchange studies utilize a tagged histone expression system regulated by a galactose-inducible promoter (4-9). We therefore constructed a novel exchange system in which expression of a HA-tagged histone (<sup>HA</sup>H2B or H3<sup>HA</sup>) is regulated by the antibiotic doxycycline. This allowed us to examine histone exchange at these model genes without altering the carbon source. We found that certain nucleosomes are highly dynamic and require Nap1 for high levels of histone H2A-H2B exchange. Nap1 is also needed for maintaining normal histone density and preventing excess H2A-H2B accumulation.

## **Materials and Methods:**

### **Yeast strains, plasmids and culture conditions:**

The following *S.cerevisiae* yeast strains were purchased from Thermo Scientific Open Biosystems: the wild type strain (BY4741, *MATa his3Δ1 ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0*, cat. #YSC1048) and *nap1Δ* strain (BY4741, *nap1Δ::Kan<sup>R</sup>*, cat. #YSC6273-201936599). The strain containing TAP-tagged Htz1 was purchased from GE Dharmacon (*BY4741, Htz1-TAP::HIS3MX6*, cat #YSC1178-202233238). The *TAP-tagged Htz1* in the *nap1Δ* strain was generated by homologous recombination of a PCR product derived from the *TAP-tagged Htz1* in wild type strain. For replication-independent histone exchange studies, alpha factor (Genscript, cat. #RP01002) was added to arrest cells in G1 stage. To prevent alpha factor degradation by Bar1 (39), a *BAR1* gene deletion strain (*bar1Δ*, Thermo Scientific, cat. #YSC6273-201920294) was used as the wild type, and *nap1Δ* was generated in this background using established protocol (40).

Plasmids for doxycycline-regulated <sup>HA</sup>H2B and H3<sup>HA</sup> expression were generated by subcloning from the galactose-regulated plasmids pGAL1 HA-H2B and pGAL<sub>1</sub> H3-HA (both containing 3 contiguous HA sequences, or 3XHA), which were generously provided by

Michel Strubin (4). A Not1-BamH1 DNA fragment from pGAL1 HA-H2B encoding <sup>HA</sup>H2B was cloned into the doxycycline-regulated pCM188 plasmid (ATCC, cat. #87660) using standard techniques (41). The H3<sup>HA</sup> gene was amplified from the pGAL1 H3-HA plasmid via PCR using Pfu turbo. The primers used in the PCR were designed to incorporate a BamH1 or Eag1 site near the ends of the PCR product. The resulting product, the H3<sup>HA</sup> encoding DNA, was cleaved with BamH1 and Eag1, and cloned into the doxycycline-regulated pCM188 plasmid.

To analyze histone density and transcription levels of the *GAL* genes after continuous transcriptional activation, wild type and *nap1Δ* strains were grown overnight in either YP Glucose (2%) medium or YP Galactose (2%) medium, diluted to OD<sub>600</sub> about 0.2, then allowed to undergo 2 cell doublings. When cultures reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.8 - 1.0, the cells were collected and subjected to ChIP, immunoblot or RNA abundance analysis. For the histone exchange experiments, wild type and *nap1Δ* (both in *bar1Δ* background) were cultured in YP Glucose or YP Galactose media continuously (>24 hours) to early log phase (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.2). Alpha factor was then added to the medium to a final concentration of 5 μM and after 90-120 minutes, cells become arrested in G1 phase. Shmooing was confirmed visually using a microscope. Doxycycline was added to a final concentration of 1 μg/ml in YP Glucose or 3 μg/ml in YP Galactose to maintain a consistent histone degradation rate. Following doxycycline treatment, cells were collected every hour for five hours and subjected to chromatin immunoprecipitation.

#### **Chromatin immunoprecipitation:**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (42) assays were performed as previously described (20). The cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde and lysed by bead beating. The cross-linked chromatin was then sheared to small fragments of approximately 200 bp with sonication. Antibodies and sepharose beads were added to immunoprecipitate the

cross-linked proteins of interest. Cross-linking of the immunoprecipitated material was reversed by overnight incubation at 65°C, and then samples were treated with proteinase K and RNaseA, followed by DNA extraction using the phenol:chloroform method. The isolated DNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). The commercially available antibodies used in this study were anti-H2B (Active Motif, cat. #39237), Anti-H3 (Abcam, cat. #1791) and anti-HA (Santa Cruz, cat. #sc-7392). ChIP assays were performed in biological triplicate. Unnormalized occupancy was directly calculated from the  $\Delta Cq$  between the immunoprecipitated DNA and the input control DNA. For the exchange assays, the ratio of the unnormalized HA-tagged histone occupancy over the respective unnormalized total (endogenous plus HA-tagged) histone occupancy at each region for each time point of doxycycline treatment was calculated. The average ratio from three biological replicates at the 0 hour time point ( $T_0$ ) was set as 100% histone persistence and the average ratios at the remaining time points were calculated as the percent of histone persistence relative to  $T_0$ . The histone persistence percentages are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation in supplementary Figure S7 for comparison between wt and *nap1 $\Delta$* . Heat maps (Figure 5) were generated by assigning colors to the blocks representing each region during the time course according to the histone persistence percentages. For all other ChIP assays, the total occupancy of each protein at the *GAL* region was normalized to its respective occupancy at a telomere proximal position (Chromosome VI 269571-269488), which served as the internal control.

#### **RNA abundance**

S1 nuclease protection assays to quantify the expression levels of <sup>HA</sup>H2B, *GAL7*, *GAL10*, *GAL1*, and *tRNA<sup>w</sup>* were performed as previously described (43). RNA was extracted with the traditional hot phenol method. For each reaction, <sup>32</sup>P-labeled S1 probes were incubated with 40 $\mu$ g of RNA at 55°C overnight. The hybridized samples were digested

by S1 nuclease at 37°C for 30 minutes, separated by 8% acrylamide sequencing gels. The gels exposed to phosphor screens, visualized by Typhoon PhosphorImager and analyzed using ImageQuant software.

#### **Immunoblotting of total protein level**

Cells were harvested at log phase, suspended in lysis buffer (120 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 12% (v/v) glycerol, 3.4% (w/v) SDS, 200 mM DTT, 0.004% (w/v) bromophenol blue) and incubated at 95°C and on ice for 5 min each. Insoluble cell debris was removed by centrifugation and total protein separated on a 15% SDS-PAGE. The same antibodies against HA, H2B, and H3 that were used for ChIP analysis were used for protein detection. In the total protein level quantitation during histone exchange, the protein level of each strain at  $T_0$  was set as 100; the protein levels of the remaining time points were calculated as the percentage relative to  $T_0$ .

#### **In vitro H2A-H2B dimer binding competition assay**

FRET-based competition assays were conducted in a 396-well format using Alexa 488-labeled *Xenopus* histone H2A-H2B (T118C) as described (44). The final reaction buffer was 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.01% (v/v) NP40, 0.01% (v/v) CHAPS, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0 and the reaction volume was 40  $\mu$ L. Each PCR-prepared fragment was titrated against 10 nM histone H2A-H2B in the presence 50 nM 59 bp DNA. Each reaction was performed in duplicate and control titrations containing only one labelled component were used to correct the raw FRET signal to produce FRET<sub>corrected</sub>. Each experiment contained an internal control titration of recombinant 147 bp Widom 601 DNA (data not shown). Reactions were pipetted into a microplate and scanned using a Typhoon 8600 variable mode fluorimager (GE). Three scans were performed using excitation/emission wavelengths of 488/520 nm, 633/670

nm or 488/670 nm. Fluorescence was quantified using ImageQuant software. *GAL* fragment titration produced a sigmoidal curve from which an IC50 was determined using GraphPad Prism software. Data were fit with a  $Y_{\min}$  of 0 and normalized. At least two independent titrations were performed for each fragment and all IC50 values used in the mean calculation were derived from data with an  $R^2 > 0.98$ .

#### ***In vitro* micrococcal nuclease analysis of chromatin**

*In vitro* micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion assays were performed according to the established protocol (45). Canonical mononucleosomes and trinucleosomes were reconstituted by salt dilution using purified recombinant *Xenopus laevis* octamer on a 207 bp DNA fragment containing the 147 bp Widom 601 nucleosome positioning sequence with 30 bp flanking linker DNA (46). Nucleosomal DNA or free DNA (5  $\mu$ g; 0.17  $\mu$ M) was used for each MNase digestion. MNase digestion was performed in the absence and presence of excess recombinant *Xenopus laevis* histone H2A/H2B dimer. A 3-fold molar excess of histone H2A-H2B dimer was added to a final concentration of 0.51  $\mu$ M, incubated for 5 minutes, followed by MNase digestion at room temperature for the indicated time. Reactions were quenched with EDTA to a final concentration of 12.5 mM. The DNA was purified by adding SDS (0.5%) and proteinase K (0.2 mg/ml), incubated at 50°C for 30 minutes, phenol-chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated. The samples were separated on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel and stained with SYBR Gold.

**Micrococcal nuclease analysis of chromatin structure in vivo.** Detailed protocols can be found in the supplement. Nuclei were isolated from wild type (BY4741) and *nap1 $\Delta$*  cells essentially as described (47). The indirect end labeling analysis was completed as described (24) and the primer extension analysis as described (48). The



membranes and gels were exposed to phosphor storage screens, visualized on a Typhoon PhosphorImager and quantitated using ImageQuant TL software.

## **Reconstitution studies on *GAL* fragments**

Nucleosome reconstitution was performed with salt dilution method (49). *Xenopus laevis* histone octamers were titrated against each PCR-prepared DNA fragment. Reactions were separated on 5% native gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV.

## **Results:**

### **Nap1 prevents excess histone H2A-H2B accumulation on linker DNA**

We previously showed that a knockout of the histone chaperone *NAP1* in *S. cerevisiae* results in an atypical chromatin structure with excess H2A-H2B at most positions across the *GAL* locus (20). We next asked whether this was a general feature of Nap-family proteins, by assaying a strain deleted for *Vps75*, a histone chaperone with similar structure to Nap1 (50). We utilized chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays to visualize H2A-H2B (via H2B) and H3-H4 (via H3) occupancy at six different regions at the *GAL* locus. The relative positions of the sites were selected based on extensive studies of nucleosome positioning, histone density, and histone variant H2A.Z occupancy (51-55), and includes three promoter (PRO) and three open reading frame (ORF) sites (Supplemental Figure S1). The *GAL10* and *GAL1* genes are divergently transcribed and share one upstream activating sequence (UAS) (23, 56, 57). As shown previously (20), loss of Nap1 (*nap1Δ*) causes a general increase in H2A-H2B compared to the wild type strain at all but one site (Figure 1A). At these sites, the occupancy of H3-H4 remains at or slightly below wild type levels (Figure 1B). In contrast, deletion of *VPS75* (*vps75Δ*) does not significantly change H2A-H2B or H3-H4 occupancy across the *GAL* locus. We also deleted *ASF1* (*asf1Δ*), a histone chaperone structurally distinct from

Nap1 and Vps75 (58) and detected no significant changes in H2A-H2B or H3-H4 occupancy. Thus, excess H2A-H2B accumulation is not a shared feature of strains deleted for Nap-family proteins or other histone chaperones, but is unique to the *nap1Δ* strain. Together, these data indicate that preventing this atypical chromatin is a unique function of Nap1.

We next characterized chromatin with H2A-H2B enrichment biochemically. To mimic the atypical chromatin observed in vivo in the *nap1Δ* strain, mono-nucleosomes were incubated in the presence (and absence) of excess H2A-H2B and the Micrococcal Nuclease (MNase) digestion patterns were compared (Figure 2A). We used a 207 bp DNA fragment carrying the well-characterized 147 bp Widom 601 nucleosome positioning sequence (59) and 30 bp of flanking linker DNA. As expected, free DNA was rapidly digested by MNase. Incubation of DNA with H2A-H2B resulted in modest protection from MNase. A clearly defined protected region was not observed, consistent with non-specific binding of H2A-H2B to DNA. MNase digestion of mono-nucleosomes revealed a well-defined 140-180 bp region (depending on digestion time) of protection, indicating that the linker DNA is digested more rapidly than nucleosomal DNA (45). Mono-nucleosomes with excess H2A-H2B displayed significantly increased resistance to MNase digestion, and broader DNA protection surrounding the nucleosome site. This suggests that excess H2A-H2B binds to the flanking linker DNA.

We also performed MNase digestion assays on two other nucleosome templates. First, a 621 bp DNA fragment capable of forming tri-nucleosomes with three consecutive 207 bp Widom 601 nucleosome-positioning sequences with linker DNA (Supplemental Figure 2A); and second, a 588 bp native promoter DNA fragment without detectable positioning sequences (60) (Supplemental Figure 2B). Similar results are observed for all DNA

fragments: the addition of excess H2A-H2B to reconstituted nucleosomes significantly increased resistance to MNase digestion. These results indicate that excess H2A-H2B binds to linker DNA in the context of both mono- and multi-nucleosome templates.

We next assayed how excess H2A-H2B affects chromatin architecture in vivo by measuring MNase digestion patterns of chromatin from wild type and *nap1Δ* cells. Cleavage patterns within the *GAL* locus were assessed by high resolution (single base pair) reiterative primer extension analysis. The *GAL1* and *GAL10* promoters were analyzed, since by ChIP the *GAL1* promoter had normal and the *GAL10* promoter had excess levels of H2A-H2B in the *nap1Δ* strain (Figure 1). As expected, at the *GAL1* promoter there were no differences observed in the MNase digestion pattern of chromatin from wild type or *nap1Δ* cells (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 3A). The *GAL10* promoter region is hypersensitive to MNase cleavage and this is reflected in the amount of product that terminates within the hypersensitive region (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 3B). Although subtle, MNase cleavage of the chromatin from the *nap1Δ* cells is reproducibly reduced in the region of *GAL10* that exhibits excess H2A-H2B by ChIP when compared to the chromatin from wild type cells. The minor reduction in MNase cleavage is not unexpected. Nucleosomes in the *GAL* locus evaluated at high resolution in fact occupy multiple positions (36). Thus, the excess dimer is most likely binding at multiple positions, leading to the subtle difference seen in the primer extension products. Taken together, the in vitro and in vivo MNase results suggest that the excess H2A-H2B is binding to the linker DNA.

#### **Occupancy of the histone variant H2A.Z is unchanged in the *nap1Δ* strain**

Histone H2A.Z (Htz1 in yeast) is a variant of histone H2A that substitutes for the canonical major H2A in a wide, but nonrandom, genomic distribution (61). H2A.Z is

involved in transcription regulation, gene activation and silencing, DNA repair and chromosomal stability (62). Since Nap1 also interacts with H2A.Z (63), we investigated whether occupancy of H2A.Z is altered in the absence of Nap1. Chromatin-tandem affinity purification (TAP) was performed using TAP-tagged Htz1 (Htz1-TAP) in wild type or *nap1Δ* strains with analyses of the *GAL* locus genes. In wild type cells, significant occupancy of Htz1 is detected across the region and this occupancy is unaffected by the loss of Nap1 (Supplemental Figure 4). These results indicate that Nap1 is not required for normal levels of Htz1 occupancy at these specific, nor does Htz1 accumulate in the same manner as major H2A in this region in the absence of Nap1.

### **Histone H2A-H2B accumulation in the *nap1Δ* strain is independent of DNA sequence**

The varying degrees of H2A-H2B accumulation across the *GAL* locus in *nap1Δ* cells (Figure 1), prompted us to test the role of DNA sequence. Some studies suggest that DNA sequence is a major factor in nucleosome positioning (64, 65), while others argue it may only be a partial factor (66-69). To test the role of sequence in vitro, we used a Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) competition assay (44) to compare H2A-H2B affinity for the six different *GAL* promoter (PRO) and open reading frame (ORF) sequences, all of approximately 150 bp. We competed FRET of a complex between H2A-H2B and a 59 bp DNA fragment containing the H2A-H2B-binding region of the well-characterized Widom 601 nucleosome positioning sequence (59). We titrated each of the *GAL* locus DNAs and measured the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) values as the FRET signal decreases to zero (Figure 3A). A lower IC<sub>50</sub> reflects a lower *K<sub>d</sub>*, or a tighter interaction between the *GAL* locus DNA and H2A-H2B. All *GAL* locus DNAs were able to fully compete with the 59 bp DNA for H2A-H2B, indicating efficient H2A-H2B-*GAL* DNA interactions. Slight differences in IC<sub>50</sub>s were observed among the *GAL* DNAs (10-30 nM), which do not correlate with H2A-H2B accumulation in *nap1Δ* cells. For example, the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> was measured with the *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>* and *GAL1<sup>PRO</sup>*

DNAs, which in fact possess the highest and lowest levels respectively of H2A-H2B accumulation in vivo. We did notice, however, that the in vitro binding of H2A-H2B correlates with the DNA GC content (Figure 3B). DNA with a lower CG content is more flexible (70), consistent with lower  $K_d$  for H2A-H2B binding. Overall, these data indicate that the DNA sequences themselves do not account for the varied H2A-H2B accumulation across the *GAL locus* in the absence of Nap1 in vivo. This implies the involvement of other extrinsic factors.

### **Transcription removes accumulated histone H2A-H2B in the *nap1Δ* strain**

The aforementioned accumulation of H2A-H2B in the *nap1Δ* strain is observed under repressed conditions for *GAL* gene expression (glucose medium). We next asked if this atypical H2A-H2B distribution remained when the *GAL* genes are actively transcribed. Histone occupancy was assayed using ChIP after growth under inducing conditions (galactose medium) for 24 hours or approximately 10 generations. The ratio of H2A-H2B occupancy (H2B) to H3-H4 occupancy (H3) at each location revealed no significant difference between the wild type and *nap1Δ* strains, nor between different *GAL* loci (Figure 4A and B, Supplemental Figure 5A). This indicates that excess H2A-H2B does not stably accumulate during active transcription. It is important to note, however, that in the *nap1Δ* strain, occupancy of both H2B and H3 is lower than wild type at every site tested except *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>*, where occupancy is similar to wild type. Analysis of extracts confirmed that this decrease in histone occupancy is not due to lower overall levels of histones in *nap1Δ* strain (Supplemental Figure 5B). Lower nucleosome occupancy in galactose in cells lacking Nap1 thus reflects a change in histone deposition, supporting a nucleosome assembly role for Nap1. Since the transcribing *GAL* locus in the *nap1Δ* strain has lower histone occupancy, we tested whether this impacted gene expression

using S1 nuclease protection assays (Figure 4C). Higher expression levels are observed from the *GAL* genes in the *nap1Δ* cells relative to the wild type strain. Thus, the reduced histone density at the *GAL* locus observed in the absence of Nap1 is linked to increased transcription levels, implicating Nap1 in repression of gene expression via maintenance of histone occupancy, potentially through nucleosome assembly in vivo.

#### **Establishment of an in vivo doxycycline-regulated histone exchange system**

To further investigate the role of Nap1, we developed a method to measure histone exchange at the *GAL* locus. We created an in vivo system in which expression of epitope-tagged histones is controlled using doxycycline (Figure 5A). Previously published histone exchange studies utilized a system in which tagged histone expression is *GAL* promoter-regulated and therefore induced by the presence of galactose (4-9). Since we wanted to evaluate histone exchange specifically at the *GAL* locus, we needed a different means to control histone expression. We sub-cloned HA-tagged versions of histone H2B (<sup>HA</sup>H2B) and H3 (H3<sup>HA</sup>) (4) into a doxycycline-controlled expression vector. In this system, expression of the HA-tagged histones is repressed when doxycycline is present. These plasmids were introduced into both wild type and *nap1Δ* strains, in a *bar1Δ* background. The *bar1Δ* background increases alpha-factor sensitivity, which arrests cells in G1 (39), such that subsequent studies are replication-independent. As expected, addition of doxycycline results in transcriptional repression of the HA-tagged histone and does not affect *GAL* gene expression in either wild type or *nap1Δ* cells (Supplemental Figure 6). After addition of doxycycline, the level of total H2B protein (endogenous plus <sup>HA</sup>H2B, detected by anti-H2B antibody) is not affected, whereas the level of <sup>HA</sup>H2B protein (detected by anti-HA antibody) gradually diminishes (Supplemental Figure 7A and B). After 5 h of repression by doxycycline, the <sup>HA</sup>H2B level

360 drops to less than 20% of the pre-doxycycline levels, with no impact on endogenous  
361 histone levels.

362  
363 We next utilized ChIP assays to measure occupancy of <sup>HA</sup>H2B and total H2B at a  
364 telomere-proximal location as a standard in the assay. H2B exchange is observed at this  
365 location (Supplemental Figure 7C and D). During the 5-hours following doxycycline  
366 addition, the decrease in <sup>HA</sup>H2B protein levels correlates directly with the decrease in  
367 <sup>HA</sup>H2B occupancy, whereas the occupancy of total H2B is not affected by the  
368 doxycycline treatment. Histone-exchange data at the telomere-proximal control region  
369 with doxycycline-regulated H3<sup>HA</sup> is similar to that obtained with <sup>HA</sup>H2B (data not shown),  
370 and it is important to note that neither H2B (tagged or untagged) nor H3 (tagged or  
371 untagged) levels are impacted by the deletion of Nap1 (Supplemental Figure 8).

### 372 373 **Histone exchange rates vary across the *GAL* locus**

374 We utilized the doxycycline-regulated histone-exchange system to determine exchange  
375 rates at the *GAL* locus. We tested both wild type and *nap1Δ* strains, and compared them  
376 under repressed and transcriptionally active conditions (Figure 5B). To visualize and  
377 compare the complex histone exchange patterns at the different *GAL* loci, the  
378 percentage of HA-tagged histone that persists at each site is shown as a heat map.  
379 Under transcriptionally repressed conditions, exchange of both H2B and H3 is observed  
380 in the wild type strain at all promoter and open reading frame sites tested (Figure 6A and  
381 B). However, the exchange kinetics differ, depending on the site. Histone H2B exchange  
382 is the least dynamic at the *GAL1<sup>PRO</sup>* and *GAL1<sup>ORF</sup>*, where it is similar to the exchange  
383 rate at the telomere-proximal region. The most dynamic exchange is observed at the  
384 *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>*, where persistence of the tagged derivative is down to 50% within an hour. In  
385 general, H2B exchange is significantly more dynamic than H3 across the *GAL* locus, a

trend that has also been shown in a previous study (4). The one exception to this trend is the *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>*, where exchange of H3 is as dynamic as that of H2B. The rapid exchange of both H2A-H2B and H3-H4 at the *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>* indicates a highly dynamic chromatin structure at this location, even when transcription is off. Under transcriptionally repressed conditions, there was very little impact of the loss of Nap1 on histone H2B or H3 exchange at the promoter and open reading frame of all *GAL* sites tested, except for a decrease in exchange of H2B at the otherwise highly dynamic *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>*. The absence of Nap1 reduces H2B exchange, but does not affect H3 exchange. This indicates that the “old” or tagged version of H2B is being reassembled into chromatin in the absence of Nap1. This suggests that when present, Nap1 facilitates incorporation of “new” histones and not reassembly of the “old” histones, at least at this highly dynamic position. It is important to note, that these studies were performed in cell-cycle arrested cells, so this Nap1 function is independent of histone deposition during replication.

When *GAL* gene transcription is activated, the exchange kinetics in the wild type strain of both H2B and H3 are highly dynamic across the locus (Figure 5, and 6C and D), to a level comparable to the *GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>* in the absence of transcription. This rapid transcription-mediated histone exchange is consistent with previous observations that nucleosomes are highly dynamic at the *GAL* locus upon activation of transcription (20, 25, 30, 31, 71). In the *nap1Δ* strain, H2B exchange kinetics are less dynamic across the region, whereas histone H3 exchange is similar to wild type (Figure 6C and D). Therefore, when transcription is active and chromatin is highly dynamic in the wild type strain, H2A-H2B exchange is consistently slower in the absence of Nap1.

**In vitro nucleosome reconstitution recapitulates some of the in vivo dynamics of the *GAL* locus**



The various regions of the *GAL* locus exhibit distinctive exchange profiles in the wild type strain (Figure 5B). Since DNA sequence can directly affect nucleosome stability and contribute to in vivo nucleosome dynamics (64, 65), we tested the fragments for their intrinsic ability to form nucleosomes. Using the 601 positioning sequence (59) as a control, the *GAL* fragments were analyzed for the formation of stable, positioned nucleosomes by salt reconstitution with native histones (49)(Supplemental Figure 9). This allowed us to group the *GAL* fragments into three classes, represented by 601 (601 and *GAL7*<sup>ORF</sup>), *GAL10* promoter (*GAL10*<sup>PRO</sup>, *GAL10*<sup>ORF</sup>, and *GAL7*<sup>PRO</sup>), and the *GAL1* promoter (*GAL1*<sup>PRO</sup> and *GAL1*<sup>ORF</sup>). In some cases, multiple forms of DNA-histone complexes are evident. To identify the histone composition of the complexes, nucleosome reconstitution was performed with fluorescently labeled histones (Supplemental Figure 10). In addition, heat-shifting and lower salt experiments were used to determine if complexes were well-positioned (Supplemental Figure 11). The results indicate that the 601 class forms hexasome and positioned nucleosome at low concentrations of octamer, with an increase in nucleosome as octamer is titrated. The *GAL1* promoter class formed stable, positioned nucleosomes more efficiently than 601, in that only a single band was observed even at low octamer levels. Both of the fragments in this class (*GAL1* promoter and *GAL1* ORF) had the least dynamic nucleosomes in vivo in the exchange assay for both H2B and H3, suggesting that sequence plays an important role in these properties. The *GAL10* promoter class formed intermediate complexes and different forms of nucleosomes at every ratio in vitro, suggesting that nucleosomes are not stable and/or that there are multiple nucleosome positions. The *GAL10* promoter region was the highly dynamic site in the in vivo exchange assay (Figure 5), but the other two fragments in this unstable in vitro class (*GAL10* ORF and *GAL7* promoter), did not share this behavior in vivo.

## Discussion:

We previously found that Nap1 prevents the formation of atypical chromatin characterized by excess H2A-H2B, across the *GAL* locus under transcriptionally repressed conditions (20). Here we show that H2A-H2B accumulation does not occur in a strain deleted for the Nap1 family member Vps75, nor the H3-H4 histone chaperone Asf1. In addition, this effect is specific for the major histone variants, as H2A.Z does not accumulate in these same regions. Although H2A-H2B accumulation varies at different locations in the absence of Nap1, we found this is not likely due to variable H2A-H2B-DNA binding, as in vitro affinities did not correlate with in vivo accumulation. The excess H2A-H2B appears to bind between nucleosomes in the linker regions as evidenced by in vitro and in vivo MNase protection assays. Notably, the process of transcription results in loss of the excess H2A-H2B, and in fact, the absence of Nap1 leads to lower overall histone density on chromatin, indicative of critical nucleosome assembly functions of Nap1.

Nucleosomal histones are readily exchanged into and out of chromatin (4, 5). This histone exchange is a combination of partial nucleosome disassembly and reassembly, and histone chaperones are directly involved in this process (3, 7, 8, 72-74). However, the requirement for histone chaperones in histone exchange within nucleosomes has primarily focused on H3-H4 histone chaperones (7, 9, 75). Also, most histone exchange studies utilize a galactose-inducible promoter for regulation of tagged histone expression (4-9), therefore, *GAL* locus gene transcription is affected when expression of the tagged histones is turned on or off. In this study, we designed a doxycycline-regulated histone-exchange system that does not affect *GAL* gene transcription. Histone exchange is generally observed across the *GAL* locus under repressed conditions, with exchange of both H2B and H3 being highly dynamic at the *GAL10* promoter (*GAL10<sup>PRO</sup>*). Furthermore,

the highly dynamic H2B exchange is Nap1-dependent whereas H3 exchange is not. It is intriguing that even without active transcription, the nucleosome at the *GAL10* promoter is highly dynamic. One unique feature of the chromatin at this site is a lower H2B occupancy in general; the *GAL10* promoter has only half the H2B occupancy of other *GAL* sites (20). Moreover, in the absence of Nap1, this decrease in H2B occupancy is reversed (20) and the H2A-H2B exchange rate is severely reduced. Importantly, the *GAL10* promoter region has the binding site for the Gal4-Gal80 activator complex and the RSC chromatin-remodeling complex in the transcriptionally repressed condition (55). In vitro studies have shown that RSC promotes histone transfer (76). In the presence of Nap1, RSC releases one H2A-H2B from the nucleosome to form a hexasome and the dimer is transferred to Nap1 (19). This combination of highly dynamic Nap1-dependent H2A-H2B exchange, a 2-fold reduction in H2B occupancy, and the presence of a RSC binding site supports a model whereby hexasome formation is likely at the *GAL10* promoter *in vivo*.

Taken together, the findings presented here advance our understanding of the diverse roles of the histone chaperone Nap1 in chromatin regulation in vivo (Supplemental Figure 12): i) removal of atypical histone H2A-H2B under transcriptionally repressed conditions; ii) maintenance of proper histone density on chromatin during activated transcription (i.e. transcription-coupled assembly); and iii) facilitation of histone H2A-H2B exchange within highly dynamic chromatin regions whether the locus is repressed or activated. Active exchange of H2A-H2B could lead to destabilization of the H3-H4 tetramer, thereby Nap1 could also contribute to nucleosome disassembly. This is consistent with the finding that deletion of *NAP1* reverses the cryptic transcript phenotype (interpreted as the by-product of under-assembled chromatin) observed in mutant strains defective for other chromatin regulators (77), and that Nap1 plays an

important function in the eviction of histones during transcription in a mammalian vitro system (17). Importantly, these varied functions of Nap1 are not redundant with other histone chaperones in vivo. Since Nap1 has high affinity for H3-H4 tetramers, as well as H2A-H2B dimers (14), Nap1 has the potential to function independently in these various roles. However, a large body of work indicates collaborative functional activities between Nap1 and other chaperones as well as ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers and histone acetyltransferases (13, 17-19, 60, 77-79). How the combinatorial action of these factors contributes to histone occupancy and dynamics in vivo remains to be elucidated.

#### **Funding information:**

This work was supported by a grant from to the National Institutes of Health to J.K.N., K.L. and L.A.S from [P01 GM088409], and from the National Science Foundation to L.A.S. (MCB 1330019). The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### **Acknowledgements:**

Plasmids with tagged histones were kind gifts from Michel Strubin. We thank the Protein Expression and Purification (PEP) Facility at Colorado State University for purified histones, and Kyle W. Martin for assistance with the FRET assays.

#### **Figure legends:**

**Figure 1. Excess H2A-H2B accumulation is observed with loss of Nap1, but not Vps75 or Asf1.** A) Occupancy of Histone H2B or B) Histone H3 at the *GAL* locus by ChIP assay under transcriptionally repressed conditions (glucose) in the wild type (wt), *nap1* $\Delta$ , *vps75* $\Delta$ , or *asf1* $\Delta$  strains. Data is represented as the mean from 3 biological

replicates  $\pm$  standard deviation, normalized to the levels of occupancy at a telomere proximal location.

**Figure 2. H2A-H2B accumulation protects DNA from MNase digestion.** A) In vitro analysis of chromatin with and without excess H2A-H2B. PAGE analysis of MNase digestion of naked DNA alone (first panel), DNA with H2A-H2B (second panel), mono-nucleosomes (third panel), and mono-nucleosomes with H2A-H2B (fourth panel), assessed on a 207 bp DNA fragment. B) Chromatin from wild type and *nap1 $\Delta$*  cells was digested with MNase in vivo and the cleavage products analyzed by primer extension. Quantitation of the primer extension products from the *GAL1* promoter (upper panel) and the *GAL10* promoter (lower panel) is shown in black for *nap1 $\Delta$*  cells and grey for wild type cells. The *GAL10* promoter, which has excess H2A-H2B by ChIP assay, is more protected from MNase cleavage in *nap1 $\Delta$*  cells.

**Figure 3. All six GAL DNA fragments bind histone H2A-H2B with comparable affinities.** H2A-H2B-DNA binding affinities were measured with a FRET-based competition assay. DNA fragments (~150 bp) from the six promoter (PRO) and open reading frame (ORF) sites of *GAL7*, *GAL10*, and *GAL1* were titrated in the presence of 50 nM 59 bp dimer binding region of the 601 sequence and analyzed for binding to Alexa 488-labeled histone H2A-H2B. A) Representative competition curves. Each data point reflects the mean of duplicate measurements  $\pm$  one SEM. The errors bars are small and in many cases not visible. All curves used for statistics had an  $R^2 \geq 0.98$ . B) The mean IC<sub>50</sub> values for at least 2 replicates and the % GC content for the DNA sequences. Error bars reflect one SEM.

**Figure 4. H2A-H2B accumulation is not maintained in *nap1Δ* at the *GAL* locus in the induced condition.** The occupancy of H2B (A) or H3 (B) in the wild type (wt) strain (white bars) and the *nap1Δ* strain (black bars) under active transcription were determined by ChIP assays. C) Transcript levels of *GAL* genes in the wt and *nap1Δ* strains were detected by S1 nuclease protection assay with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probes specific to *GAL7*, *GAL10*, *GAL1*, and *tRNA<sup>W</sup>*. The transcript levels of *tRNA<sup>W</sup>* were used as an internal control for normalization. Bars reflect the means from 3 biological replicates ± standard deviation.

**Figure 5. Histone exchange rates at the *GAL* locus are distinct and Nap1 is involved in H2A-H2B exchange.** A) Schematic of histone exchange assay with representative western blot showing decreasing HA-tagged H2B level and unchanged endogenous H2B level. B) Heat maps showing tagged histone persistence over the 5 h time course. Persistence is defined as the percentage of the tagged histone occupancy (detected with anti-HA) over the endogenous histone occupancy (detected with anti-H3) under transcriptionally repressed (“Off”) or activated (“On”) conditions in wt and *nap1Δ* strains. The tagged histone persistence at T0 was set as 100% (dark blue). Bright yellow indicates that tagged histone was completely exchanged (0% tagged histone persistence). Promoter (P) and ORF (O) regions are indicated.

**Figure 6. Histone persistence varies depending upon time of shut-off and specific *GAL* region.** Histone persistence is defined as the relative percentage of the tagged histone occupancy (detected with anti-HA) over the endogenous histone occupancy (detected with anti-H2B or anti-H3) under *GAL* gene transcriptionally repressed (“Off”) or activated (“On”) conditions in wt and *nap1Δ* strains. The histone persistence at T0 was set as 100%. (A) H2B and (B) H3 persistence at *GAL* locus under transcriptionally

repressed condition. (C) H2B and (D) H3 persistence in the continuous transcriptionally active condition. P: promoter. O: ORF. \*: P value<0.05. \*\*: P value <0.01

## References:

1. **Luger K, Mader AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ.** 1997. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. *Nature* **389**:251-260.
2. **Luger K, Dechassa ML, Tremethick DJ.** 2012. New insights into nucleosome and chromatin structure: an ordered state or a disordered affair? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**:436-447.
3. **Linger J, Tyler JK.** 2006. Global replication-independent histone H4 exchange in budding yeast. *Eukaryot Cell* **5**:1780-1787.
4. **Jamai A, Imoberdorf RM, Strubin M.** 2007. Continuous histone H2B and transcription-dependent histone H3 exchange in yeast cells outside of replication. *Mol Cell* **25**:345-355.
5. **Dion MF, Kaplan T, Kim M, Buratowski S, Friedman N, Rando OJ.** 2007. Dynamics of replication-independent histone turnover in budding yeast. *Science* **315**:1405-1408.
6. **Rufiange A, Jacques PE, Bhat W, Robert F, Nourani A.** 2007. Genome-wide replication-independent histone H3 exchange occurs predominantly at promoters and implicates H3 K56 acetylation and Asf1. *Mol Cell* **27**:393-405.
7. **Kim HJ, Seol JH, Han JW, Youn HD, Cho EJ.** 2007. Histone chaperones regulate histone exchange during transcription. *EMBO J* **26**:4467-4474.
8. **Gat-Viks I, Vingron M.** 2009. Evidence for gene-specific rather than transcription rate-dependent histone H3 exchange in yeast coding regions. *PLoS Comput Biol* **5**:e1000282.
9. **Jamai A, Puglisi A, Strubin M.** 2009. Histone chaperone spt16 promotes redeposition of the original h3-h4 histones evicted by elongating RNA polymerase. *Mol Cell* **35**:377-383.
10. **van Bakel H, Tsui K, Gebbia M, Mnaimneh S, Hughes TR, Nislow C.** 2013. A compendium of nucleosome and transcript profiles reveals determinants of chromatin architecture and transcription. *PLoS Genet* **9**:e1003479.
11. **Loyola A, Almouzni G.** 2004. Histone chaperones, a supporting role in the limelight. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1677**:3-11.
12. **Park YJ, Luger K.** 2006. Structure and function of nucleosome assembly proteins. *Biochem Cell Biol* **84**:549-558.
13. **Zlatanova J, Seebart C, Tomschik M.** 2007. Nap1: taking a closer look at a juggler protein of extraordinary skills. *FASEB J* **21**:1294-1310.
14. **Andrews AJ, Downing G, Brown K, Park YJ, Luger K.** 2008. A thermodynamic model for Nap1-histone interactions. *J Biol Chem* **283**:32412-32418.
15. **D'Arcy S, Martin KW, Panchenko T, Chen X, Bergeron S, Stargell LA, Black BE, Luger K.** 2013. Chaperone Nap1 shields histone surfaces used in a nucleosome and can put H2A-H2B in an unconventional tetrameric form. *Mol Cell* **51**:662-677.
16. **Fujii-Nakata T, Ishimi Y, Okuda A, Kikuchi A.** 1992. Functional analysis of nucleosome assembly protein, NAP-1. The negatively charged COOH-terminal

614 region is not necessary for the intrinsic assembly activity. J Biol Chem  
615 **267**:20980-20986.

616 17. **Luebben WR, Sharma N, Nyborg JK.** 2010. Nucleosome eviction and activated  
617 transcription require p300 acetylation of histone H3 lysine 14. Proc Natl Acad Sci  
618 U S A **107**:19254-19259.

619 18. **Lorch Y, Maier-Davis B, Kornberg RD.** 2006. Chromatin remodeling by  
620 nucleosome disassembly in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **103**:3090-3093.

621 19. **Kuryan BG, Kim J, Tran NN, Lombardo SR, Venkatesh S, Workman JL,**  
622 **Carey M.** 2012. Histone density is maintained during transcription mediated by  
623 the chromatin remodeler RSC and histone chaperone NAP1 in vitro. Proc Natl  
624 Acad Sci U S A **109**:1931-1936.

625 20. **Andrews AJ, Chen X, Zevin A, Stargell LA, Luger K.** 2010. The histone  
626 chaperone Nap1 promotes nucleosome assembly by eliminating nonnucleosomal  
627 histone DNA interactions. Mol Cell **37**:834-842.

628 21. **Citron BA, Donelson JE.** 1984. Sequence of the *Saccharomyces GAL* region  
629 and its transcription in vivo. J Bacteriol **158**:269-278.

630 22. **Lohr D, Venkov P, Zlatanova J.** 1995. Transcriptional regulation in the yeast  
631 *GAL* gene family: a complex genetic network. Faseb J **9**:777-787.

632 23. **Lohr D, Hopper JE.** 1985. The relationship of regulatory proteins and DNaseI  
633 hypersensitive sites in the yeast *GAL1-10* genes. Nucl Acids Res **13**:8409-8423.

634 24. **Cavalli G, Thoma F.** 1993. Chromatin transitions during activation and  
635 repression of galactose-regulated genes in yeast. Embo J **12**:4603-4613.

636 25. **Han M, Grunstein M.** 1988. Nucleosome loss activates yeast downstream  
637 promoters in vivo. Cell **55**:1137-1145.

638 26. **Burley SK, Roeder RG.** 1996. Biochemistry and structural biology of  
639 transcription factor IID (TFIID). Annu Rev Biochem **65**:769-799.

640 27. **Starr DB, Hawley DK.** 1991. TFIID binds in the minor groove of the TATA box.  
641 Cell **67**:1231-1240.

642 28. **Bhaumik SR, Raha T, Aiello DP, Green MR.** 2004. In vivo target of a  
643 transcriptional activator revealed by fluorescence resonance energy transfer.  
644 Genes Dev **18**:333-343.

645 29. **Larschan E, Winston F.** 2001. The *S. cerevisiae* SAGA complex functions in  
646 vivo as a coactivator for transcriptional activation by Gal4. Genes Dev **15**:1946-  
647 1956.

648 30. **Bhaumik SR, Green MR.** 2001. SAGA is an essential in vivo target of the yeast  
649 acidic activator Gal4p. Genes Dev **15**:1946-1956.

650 31. **Dudley AM, Rougeulle C, Winston F.** 1999. The Spt components of SAGA  
651 facilitate TBP binding to a promoter at a post-activator-binding step in vivo.  
652 Genes Dev **13**:2940-2945.

653 32. **Qiu H, Hu C, Zhang F, Hwang GJ, Swanson MJ, Boonchird C, Hinnebusch**  
654 **AG.** 2005. Interdependent recruitment of SAGA and Srb mediator by  
655 transcriptional activator Gcn4p. Mol Cell Biol **25**:3461-3474.

656 33. **Belotserkovskaya R, Sterner DE, Deng M, Sayre MH, Lieberman PM, Berger**  
657 **SL.** 2000. Inhibition of TATA-binding protein function by SAGA subunits Spt3 and  
658 Spt8 at Gcn4-activated promoters. Mol Cell Biol **20**:634-647.

659 34. **Lee CK, Shibata Y, Rao B, Strahl BD, Lieb JD.** 2004. Evidence for nucleosome  
660 depletion at active regulatory regions genome-wide. Nat Genet **36**:900-905.

661 35. **Lohr D, Lopez J.** 1995. *GAL4/GAL80*-dependent nucleosome  
662 disruption/deposition on the upstream regions of the yeast *GAL1-10* and *GAL80*  
663 genes. J Biol Chem **270**:27671-27678.



- 664 36. **Bryant GO, Prabhu V, Floer M, Wang X, Spagna D, Schreiber D, Ptashne M.**  
665 2008. Activator control of nucleosome occupancy in activation and repression of  
666 transcription. *PLoS Biol* **6**:2928-2939.
- 667 37. **Lemieux K, Gaudreau L.** 2004. Targeting of Swi/Snf to the yeast *GAL1* UAS<sub>G</sub>  
668 requires the mediator, TAF<sub>II</sub>s and RNA polymerase II. *The EMBO Journal*.
- 669 38. **Fillingham J, Recht J, Silva AC, Suter B, Emili A, Stagljar I, Krogan NJ, Allis**  
670 **CD, Keogh MC, Greenblatt JF.** 2008. Chaperone control of the activity and  
671 specificity of the histone H3 acetyltransferase Rtt109. *Mol Cell Biol* **28**:4342-4353.
- 672 39. **Chan RK, Otte CA.** 1982. Physiological characterization of *Saccharomyces*  
673 *cerevisiae* mutants supersensitive to G1 arrest by a factor and alpha factor  
674 pheromones. *Mol Cell Biol* **2**:21-29.
- 675 40. **Longtine M, McKenzie A 3rd, Demarini DJ, Shah NG, Wach A, Brachat A,**  
676 **Philippesen P, JR P.** 1998. Additional modules for versatile and economical  
677 PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*  
678 **14**:953-961.
- 679 41. **Ausubel FM.** 1987. Current protocols in molecular biology. Greene Pub.  
680 Associates ; J. Wiley, order fulfillment, Brooklyn, N.Y. Media, Pa.
- 681 42. **Cavallini B, Faus I, Matthes H, Chipoulet J-M, Winsor B, Egly JM, Chambon**  
682 **P.** 1989. Cloning of the gene encoding the yeast protein BTF1, which can  
683 substitute for the human TATA box-binding factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*  
684 **89**:9803-9807.
- 685 43. **Zhang L, Fletcher AG, Cheung V, Winston F, Stargell LA.** 2008. Spn1  
686 regulates the recruitment of Spt6 and the Swi/Snf complex during transcriptional  
687 activation by RNA polymerase II. *Mol Cell Biol* **28**:1393-1403.
- 688 44. **Hieb AR, D'Arcy S, Kramer MA, White AE, Luger K.** 2012. Fluorescence  
689 strategies for high-throughput quantification of protein interactions. *Nucleic Acids*  
690 *Res* **40**:e33.
- 691 45. **Dechassa ML, Wyns K, Li M, Hall MA, Wang MD, Luger K.** 2011. Structure  
692 and Scm3-mediated assembly of budding yeast centromeric nucleosomes. *Nat*  
693 *Commun* **2**:313.
- 694 46. **Luger K, Rechsteiner TJ, Richmond TJ.** 1999. Preparation of nucleosome core  
695 particle from recombinant histones. *Methods Enzymol* **304**:3-19.
- 696 47. **Reese JC, Zhang H, Zhang Z.** 2008. Isolation of highly purified yeast nuclei for  
697 nuclease mapping of chromatin structure. *Methods Mol Biol* **463**:43-53.
- 698 48. **Ryan MP, Stafford GA, Yu L, Cummings KB, Morse RH.** 1999. Assays for  
699 nucleosome positioning in yeast. *Methods Enzymol* **304**:376-399.
- 700 49. **Dyer PN, Edayathumangalam RS, White CL, Bao Y, Chakravarthy S,**  
701 **Muthurajan UM, Luger K.** 2004. Reconstitution of nucleosome core particles  
702 from recombinant histones and DNA. *Methods Enzymol* **375**:23-44.
- 703 50. **Park YJ, Sudhoff KB, Andrews AJ, Stargell LA, Luger K.** 2008. Histone  
704 chaperone specificity in Rtt109 activation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **15**:957-964.
- 705 51. **Albert I, Mavrich TN, Tomsho LP, Qi J, Zanton SJ, Schuster SC, Pugh BF.**  
706 2007. Translational and rotational settings of H2A.Z nucleosomes across the  
707 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Nature* **446**:572-576.
- 708 52. **Lee W, Tillo D, Bray N, Morse RH, Davis RW, Hughes TR, Nislow C.** 2007. A  
709 high-resolution atlas of nucleosome occupancy in yeast. *Nat Genet* **39**:1235-  
710 1244.
- 711 53. **Mavrich TN, Ioshikhes IP, Venters BJ, Jiang C, Tomsho LP, Qi J, Schuster**  
712 **SC, Albert I, Pugh BF.** 2008. A barrier nucleosome model for statistical  
713 positioning of nucleosomes throughout the yeast genome. *Genome Res*  
714 **18**:1073-1083.

- 715 54. **Jiang C, BF P.** 2009. A compiled and systematic reference map of nucleosome  
716 positions across the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Genome Biol* **10**:R109.
- 717 55. **Floer M, Wang X, Prabhu V, Berrozpe G, Narayan S, Spagna D, Alvarez D,**  
718 **Kendall J, Krasnitz A, Stepansky A, Hicks J, Bryant GO, Ptashne M.** 2010. A  
719 RSC/nucleosome complex determines chromatin architecture and facilitates  
720 activator binding. *Cell* **141**:407-418.
- 721 56. **Johnston M, Davis RW.** 1984. Sequences that regulate the divergent *GAL1-*  
722 *GAL10* promoter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* **4**:1440-1448.
- 723 57. **West RW, Yocum RR, Ptashne M.** 1984. Yeast *GAL1-GAL10* divergent  
724 promoter region: location and function of the upstream activating sequence-  
725 *UAS<sub>G</sub>*. *Mol Cell Biol* **4**:2467-2478.
- 726 58. **Daganzo SM, Erzberger JP, Lam WM, Skordalakes E, Zhang R, Franco AA,**  
727 **Brill SJ, Adams PD, Berger JM, Kaufman PD.** 2003. Structure and function of  
728 the conserved core of histone deposition protein Asf1. *Curr Biol* **13**:2148-2158.
- 729 59. **Lowary PT, Widom J.** 1998. New DNA sequence rules for high affinity binding to  
730 histone octamer and sequence-directed nucleosome positioning. *J Mol Biol*  
731 **276**:19-42.
- 732 60. **Sharma N, Nyborg JK.** 2008. The coactivators CBP/p300 and the histone  
733 chaperone NAP1 promote transcription-independent nucleosome eviction at the  
734 HTLV-1 promoter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**:7959-7963.
- 735 61. **Li B, Pattenden SG, Lee D, Gutierrez J, Chen J, Seidel C, Gerton J,**  
736 **Workman JL.** 2005. Preferential occupancy of histone variant H2AZ at inactive  
737 promoters influences local histone modifications and chromatin remodeling. *Proc*  
738 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**:18385-18390.
- 739 62. **Zlatanova J, Thakar A.** 2008. H2A.Z: view from the top. *Structure* **16**:166-179.
- 740 63. **Mizuguchi G, Shen X, Landry J, Wu WH, Sen S, Wu C.** 2004. ATP-driven  
741 exchange of histone H2AZ variant catalyzed by SWR1 chromatin remodeling  
742 complex. *Science* **303**:343-348.
- 743 64. **Deniz O, Flores O, Battistini F, Perez A, Soler-Lopez M, Orozco M.** 2011.  
744 Physical properties of naked DNA influence nucleosome positioning and  
745 correlate with transcription start and termination sites in yeast. *BMC Genomics*  
746 **12**:489.
- 747 65. **Hornung G, Oren M, Barkai N.** 2012. Nucleosome organization affects the  
748 sensitivity of gene expression to promoter mutations. *Mol Cell* **46**:362-368.
- 749 66. **Bai L, Ondracka A, Cross FR.** 2011. Multiple sequence-specific factors  
750 generate the nucleosome-depleted region on *CLN2* promoter. *Mol Cell* **42**:465-  
751 476.
- 752 67. **Jansen A, van der Zande E, Meert W, Fink GR, Verstrepen KJ.** 2012. Distal  
753 chromatin structure influences local nucleosome positions and gene expression.  
754 *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**:3870-3885.
- 755 68. **Jansen A, Verstrepen KJ.** 2011. Nucleosome positioning in *Saccharomyces*  
756 *cerevisiae*. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **75**:301-320.
- 757 69. **Perales R, Zhang L, Bentley D.** 2011. Histone occupancy in vivo at the 601  
758 nucleosome binding element is determined by transcriptional history. *Mol Cell*  
759 *Biol* **31**:3485-3496.
- 760 70. **Vinogradov AE.** 2003. DNA helix: the importance of being GC-rich. *Nucleic*  
761 *Acids Res* **31**:1838-1844.
- 762 71. **Bhaumik SR, Green MR.** 2002. Differential requirement of SAGA components  
763 for recruitment of TATA-box-binding protein to promoters in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol*  
764 **22**:7365-7371.

- 765 72. **Byrum S, Mackintosh SG, Edmondson RD, Cheung WL, Taverna SD,**  
766 **Tackett AJ.** 2011. Analysis of Histone Exchange during Chromatin Purification. *J*  
767 *Integr OMICS* **1**:61-65.
- 768 73. **Byrum SD, Taverna SD, Tackett AJ.** 2011. Quantitative analysis of histone  
769 exchange for transcriptionally active chromatin. *J Clin Bioinforma* **1**:17.
- 770 74. **Radman-Livaja M, Verzijlbergen KF, Weiner A, van Welsem T, Friedman N,**  
771 **Rando OJ, van Leeuwen F.** 2011. Patterns and mechanisms of ancestral  
772 histone protein inheritance in budding yeast. *PLoS Biol* **9**:e1001075.
- 773 75. **Das C, Tyler JK.** 2013. Histone exchange and histone modifications during  
774 transcription and aging. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1819**:332-342.
- 775 76. **Rowe CE, Narlikar GJ.** 2010. The ATP-dependent remodeler RSC transfers  
776 histone dimers and octamers through the rapid formation of an unstable  
777 encounter intermediate. *Biochemistry* **49**:9882-9890.
- 778 77. **Xue YM, Kowalska AK, Grabowska K, Przybyt K, Cichewicz MA, Del Rosario**  
779 **BC, Pemberton LF.** 2013. Histone chaperones Nap1 and Vps75 regulate  
780 histone acetylation during transcription elongation. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**:1645-1656.
- 781 78. **Del Rosario BC, Pemberton LF.** 2008. Nap1 links transcription elongation,  
782 chromatin assembly, and messenger RNP complex biogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol*  
783 **28**:2113-2124.
- 784 79. **Walfridsson J, Khorosjutina O, Matikainen P, Gustafsson CM, Ekwall K.**  
785 2007. A genome-wide role for CHD remodelling factors and Nap1 in nucleosome  
786 disassembly. *EMBO J* **26**:2868-2879.
- 787