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Basal–pyramidal dislocation lock in deformed magnesium
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Abstract

Dislocations and stacking faults in unalloyed magnesium processed by equal-channel-angular-extrusion are investigated by transmission electron microscopy. Stacking faults as wide as hundreds of nanometers and complex dislocation configurations are revealed, which creates diffraction contrast that is challenging to interpret. To elucidate the dislocation interaction, atomistic simulations are conducted. The concomitant results show that the leading partial dislocations on the basal and the pyramidal planes interact and form a dislocation lock, creating the diffraction contrast observed in experiments. The dislocation locks may act as obstacles to dislocation glide and contribute to hardening.
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Magnesium and its alloys are being considered for a wide variety of application due to their light weight, high specific strength and availability, however broader application is limited by the low ductility at room temperature [1]. Compared to aluminum (Al) alloys that have face-centered-cubic (fcc) crystal structures, hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) magnesium (Mg) respond to mechanical loading very differently, in part due to complex dislocation structures, and in part due to deformation twinning [2]. In terms of dislocation slip, three different slip planes have been reported in the literature: basal, prismatic and pyramidal systems [3]. The basal dislocation tends to dissociate into two Shockley partials, i.e. ½ 2T0−½ 10T0 + SF + ½ 3 100, and a stacking fault (SF) is created between the two partials. Although the basal stacking fault energy (SFE) of Mg is low (25–35 mJ/m2), the equilibrium split distance between the Shockley partials is only 1–3 nm [4]. Thus, in conventional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations, the basal dislocations usually appear to be non-dissociated [5]. Previous reports also reveal distinct basal SFs which are frequently observed inside {10T2} 10T2 deformation twins [6–9]. These SFs are generated by incoherent twin boundary migration, and no partial dislocations that bound the SFs are involved [6]. Prismatic dislocations are often observed in deformed polycrystalline Mg in TEM analyses [10,11], but their dissociation is more complex than basal dislocations due to the corrugated nature of the slip plane. Recently, Liao et al. [12] uncovered the unstable dissociation of a prismatic dislocation. Due to the possibly high SFE on the prismatic plane, the SF was quickly eliminated by the trailing partial. Thus, prismatic dislocations also appear to be full dislocation lines in TEM.

Pyramidal slip in hcp metals has been reported by a number of researchers [13–20]. Two possible pyramidal slip planes were reported: {10T1} [13,20–23] and {1122} [14,15,24]. The Burgers vector, ½ 1123, i.e. the (a+c) , equals a·√1 + γ2 ≈ 0.612 nm for Mg (a is the lattice parameter 0.321 nm and γ the c/a ratio 1.624) is fairly large if compared to other Burgers vectors in pure metals, and this has prompted a number of atomistic simulations to investigate possible dissociations and the resultant SFs [16–23,25,26]. Recently, Wu and Curtin [25] simulated dissociation of a {1122} 1123 pyramidal II dislocation, using a modified embedded atom method (MEAM) [27] potential and long-time atomistic simulations. They revealed basal-dissociated immobile structures that account for the high hardening and low ductility in c-axis compression.

Due to the complexity, dislocation structures, especially their interactions in hcp Mg have not been thoroughly understood, and the resulting incongruity over slip activity in terms of mechanism and dislocation interactions necessitates further investigation. The purpose of this work is to carefully probe dislocation and SF activities in unalloyed Mg processed by equal-channel-angular-extrusion (ECAE) [28]. Complex diffraction contrast is observed, thus concurrent atomistic simulations are performed to facilitate interpretation of the image contrast. This combination of TEM and atomistic simulations enables unraveling of the complex dislocation interactions in hcp metals.

The starting material was from an as-cast 99.9% purity Norsk Hydro ingot, courtesy of Magnesium Elektron – North America (Madison, IL, USA), and a deformed Mg ingot from Magnesium Elektron – North America (Madison, IL, USA).
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USA). Its columnar grains had diameters of ~1.0 mm and lengths on the order of tens of millimeters. No thermomechanical homogenization pre-processing was done prior to ECAE processing. Rods of 12.5 mm diameter and 50 mm long were processed via route C (90° channel intersection, 180° rotation about the rod long axis between passes [28]) at 150 °C up to four passes, at a punch speed of 2.54 mm/s without applied backpressure. TEM specimens were prepared by polishing samples to a nominal thickness of 130 μm using a series of sand paper down to grit number 800. Three millimeter discs were then mechanically punched from the sample. Specimens were then polished using a Tenupol-3 electropolisher with an electrolyte (0.5% perchloric acid + 20% nitric acid + ethanol). The specimens were then cleaned by ion-milling for about 0.5 h with liquid nitrogen cooling and very gentle milling conditions (low incidental angle and low voltage). TEM observations were carried out on a Philips 420 microscope with a double-tilt specimen stage, and an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. After four passes of ECAE, the grain structure was significantly refined with an average grain size of 3.0 μm. The overall microstructure is inhomogeneous with regions of fine grains from dynamic recrystallization and other regions of relatively large grains which are heavily deformed but not recrystallized.

To further elucidate our experimental observations, atomistic simulations were performed which predictively model the interaction between the basal slip and the pyramidal dislocations and the resultant SFs. The MD simulations were carried out with a box size of 40 × 40 × 40 nm containing about 2.8 million Mg atoms. Embedded atom method (EAM) potentials [29,30] for Mg-Al alloy systems were used in our simulations. These potentials were well developed by Liu et al. [31] and have been used in numerous atomistic simulations of Mg and Mg alloys [20,32,33]. The lattice was oriented such that a shear strain was applied parallel to the (10T2) plane and along the 10T direction. The strain rate was about 10^5/s. No periodic boundary condition was applied such that heterogeneous nucleation of dislocations at free surfaces was enabled. Constant temperature at 10 K was maintained throughout the simulation. No dislocations were pre-constructed. During shear deformation, slip systems on the basal, prismatic and pyramidal planes all can be activated, and interactions between these slip systems can be investigated.

Fig. 1a shows an array of stacking faults (SFs) in a specimen after two passes at 150 °C. The SFs are quite well-defined with visible bright/dark fringes in the bright-field micrograph. The fringes are similar to what are typically observed in fcc crystals [34], but the dark/bright contrast disrupts at some locations and no longer becomes complementary. This change in the contrast indicates that overlapping SFs are present [34]. At the ends of the SFs, dark contrast typical of dislocations can be observed, as indicated by the block arrow. Fig. 1b displays a weak-beam-dark-field (WBDF) image which confirms the presence of the overlapping SFs. As indicated by the block arrows, two SFs overlap with each other.

The contrast of the SFs dramatically changes when the specimen is tilted to the 2T00 axis. During tilting, the well-defined fringes gradually lose the bright/dark characteristic features, as shown in Fig. 2a. When the zone axis 2T00 is reached, the fringes of SFs disappear and the contrast becomes straight lines decorated with dark contrast. Close examination reveals that each of these lines is made up of a number of individual segments (indicated by the red arrows) that are well aligned parallel to the trace of the basal plane. Meanwhile, dislocation lines that are parallel to the trace of the basal plane can be observed, as indicated by the black arrows. Because the electron beam is parallel to the basal plane of the specimen, these dislocation lines can be identified as basal dislocations. To identify the Burgers vector of the defects Figs. 1a, b and 2a, we performed WBDF imaging and the result is shown in Fig. 2b. With g = 0002, the features show a strong (c) component.

The presence of the dislocation contrast at the ends of the SFs (Fig. 1a) indicates that the formation mechanism of these SFs differs from the non-equilibrium basal SFs observed inside deformation twins [6–9]. Zhang et al. recently showed that SFs that may cross a whole twin are not generated by Shockley partial dislocations, but rather, by incoherent twin boundary migration [6]. On the other hand, the width of the SFs in Fig. 1 are as wide as hundreds of nanometers, several orders of magnitude wider than that of the equilibrium width of basal SFs generated by the split of a basal dislocation (1–3 nm [35]). These facts suggest that the SFs in Fig. 1 are likely non-basal SFs. We can also exclude the possibility that these SFs are generated by prismatic slip because the split distance between the partial dislocations of prismatic slip is very small (~1.0 nm) [12]. Intriguing questions arise from these TEM observations: if the SFs are indeed non-basal, how the contrast of the straight lines (Fig. 2b) parallel to the trace of the basal plane is produced? If the straight lines are associated with the basal slip, why they present a strong (c) component in the Burgers vector analysis?

In the following, we show atomistic simulation results that reveal basal and pyramidal dislocation reaction. Fig. 3a shows a 2-D snapshot of the dislocations that were activated during shear deformation. In
this plot, common neighbor analysis was used to display the defect structures [36]. Atoms at the perfect hcp lattice points are shown as red spheres. Two different types of dislocations can be identified: basal slip with the resultant basal SFs are displayed in green; and \( \{10\overline{1}1\} \) pyramidal slip with the resultant SFs are displayed in gray. The trailing partials are not nucleated yet and only the leading partials can be seen. All the slip systems were activated near the corners of the single crystal due to the stress concentration at these locations. Near the bottom surface, the leading partial dislocations are indicated by the dark blue arrows. The Shockley partial of the basal slip has a Burgers vector of \( \frac{1}{2} \langle10\overline{1}0\rangle \). Fig. 3b shows the Burgers circuit analysis for the \( \{10\overline{1}1\} \) pyramidal slip. It can be seen that the leading partial of the pyramidal slip has a Burgers vector of \( \frac{1}{2} \langle10\overline{1}2\rangle \). The two leading partials have opposite signs, so they attract rather than repel each other, as indicated by yellow arrows near the top surface. When the two dislocations eventually meet, the two SFs intersect and both dislocations become immobile after intersection. Hence, they are interlocked by the dislocation reaction. This scenario is similar to the Lomer-Cottrell lock [37,38] in which two mobile Shockley partials gliding on two different \( \{111\} \) planes meet and combine into an immobile partial. The basal and the pyramidal dislocation reaction can be described as:

\[
\frac{1}{3} [10\overline{1}0] - \frac{1}{4} [10\overline{1}Z] - \frac{1}{12} [10\overline{1}0] + \frac{1}{2} [0001]
\]

This dislocation reaction creates a new Burgers vector that has two components. One component \( \frac{1}{3} [10\overline{1}0] \) lies on the basal plane, and the other component \( \frac{1}{2} [0001] \) lies perpendicular to the basal plane. Thus, a sessile dislocation is created because it is neither on the \( [0002] \) basal plane nor the \( \{10\overline{1}1\} \) pyramidal plane. We define such a dislocation reaction as “basal-pyramidal dislocation lock”.

To better resolve the dislocation interactions, we provide 3-D plots of the dislocation reaction and the SFs in Fig. 4a and b. In Fig. 4a, all the red atoms that are in the perfect hcp lattice points are removed. Also removed are the top and the bottom surfaces. Thus, only those atoms at the dislocation core and the SFs are shown. The configuration is slightly tilted to show the 3-D view of the dislocations and SFs. Near the bottom, the \( \{10\overline{1}1\} \) pyramidal leading partial dislocation and the basal leading partial dislocation are gliding toward each other (indicated by the red arrows). Near the top surface, the basal SF and the pyramidal SF have impinged. The basal leading partial dislocation and the
As the leading partials of the basal and the pyramidal slip react to form the sessile lock, an immobile dislocation line with a Burgers vector which has both \((c)\) and \((a)\) components (Eq. (1)) is produced. Such a dislocation line lies along the intersection between the basal and the \((10\bar{1}1)\) pyramidal plane. In TEM observation, if the specimen is tilted such that the electron beam is parallel to the basal plane, this sessile dislocation will produce a straight line parallel to the trace of the basal plane, as observed by numerous researchers [15,39–41]. However, the sessile dislocation has a \((c)\) component. If we perform Burgers vector analysis with \(g = 0002\) in the visibility criterion \((g \cdot b = 0)\), a strong diffraction contrast from the \((c)\) component can be observed (Fig. 2b). If we carefully tilt the TEM specimen to proper diffraction condition, we can observe very wide SFs (Fig. 1a) which come from the locked pyramidal slip. If we tilt the TEM specimen such that the dark-bright fringes of the SFs disappear, we can only observe the basal dislocations and the resultant dark contrast from the basal dislocations (Fig. 2a). Because the equilibrium width of the basal SFs is only a couple of nanometers, it is difficult to resolve the basal SFs at low magnifications. Thus, the basal dislocations usually appear to be non-dissociated in TEM. As such, the intriguing, complex diffraction contrast can be plausibly explained.

Finally, dislocation reactions may strongly influence the hardening behavior of hcp metals in deformation. Berthin et al. [44] analyzed potential dislocation reactions between basal, prismatic and \((112\bar{1})\) \(112\bar{3}\) pyramidal slip, and suggested that interaction between \((a)\) and \((c + a)\) leads to sessile junctions, contributing to hardening. The work by Sandlöbes et al. [45] suggests that, as the SFE is decreased by solute atoms, the SFs act as a heterogeneous source for pyramidal dislocations. As a result, the ductility of Mg alloys can be improved. Jian et al. [46] show that superior strength can be obtained by introducing a high density SFs in a Mg alloy. The SFs impede dislocation slip, and enhance dislocation accumulation. Conceivably, the interlocked basal and pyramidal SFs could act as obstacles to dislocation glide, such as shown in TEM studies by Agnew et al. [47] that basal faults interact with pyramidal dislocations.

To conclude, we performed TEM observations of dislocation structures in pure Mg processed by ECAE. Wide, overlapping SFs with complex diffraction contrast were observed. In parallel, atomistic simulations reveal that \((0002)\) basal slip and \((10\bar{1}1)\) pyramidal slip interact, and that the leading partial dislocations react and create a sessile dislocation lock at the intersection of the two slip planes. The complex diffraction contrast observed in TEM analyses can be plausibly explained as a result of such interaction. This basal-pyramidal lock may impede the glide of dislocations, contributing to work hardening in Mg and Mg alloys.
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