1	MANUSCRIPT FOR ANNUAL REVIEW OF ENTOMOLOGY Volume 62 (2017)
2	
3	Habitat Management to Suppress Pest
4	Populations: Progress and Prospects
5	
6	AUTHORS
7	Geoff M Gurr, Fujian Agriculture & Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China;
8	Charles Sturt University, PO Box 883, Orange, NSW 2800, Australia.
9	ggurr@csu.edu.au
10	
11	Steve D Wratten, Bio-Protection Research Centre, Lincoln University, Canterbury,
12	New Zealand. wrattens@lincoln.ac.nz
13	
14	Douglas A Landis, Michigan State University, Michigan, USA. landisd@msu.edu
15	
16	Minsheng You, Fujian Agriculture & Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China.
17	msyou@iae.fjau.edu.cn
18	
19	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION
20	Prof Geoff M Gurr, Fujian Agriculture & Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China;
21	ggurr@csu.edu.au; Tel +61 417 480 375.
22	
23	

24 ARTICLE TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 25
- 26 INTRODUCTION
- 27 TERMINOLOGY AND OVERVIEW OF THE DISCIPLINE
- 28 ECOLOGICAL THEORY
- 29 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services
- 30 Landscape Structure and Biological Control
- 31 Chemical Ecology and Non-consumptive Effects
- 32 MECHANISMS FOR NATURAL ENEMY ENHANCEMENT
- 33 Shelter (S)
- 34 Nectar (N)
- 35 Alternative hosts & prey (A)
- 36 Pollen (P)
- 37 Honeydew
- 38 MULTIPLE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND AGRIENVIRONMENTAL
- 39 PROGRAMS
- 40 CONSTRAINTS AND OPPOURTUNIES
- 41 Agronomy
- 42 Ecosystem Disservices (EDS)
- 43 Quantitative Analyses of Success and Failure
- 44 FUTURE PRIORITIES & PROSPECTS

45

Keywords

Habitat manipulation, conservation biological control, ecological engineering, ecosystem services, natural enemy, agroecology

Abstract

Habitat management involving manipulation of farmland vegetation can exert direct suppressive effects on pests and promote natural enemies. Advances in theory and practical techniques have allowed habitat management to become an important subdiscipline of pest management. Improved understanding of biodiversity-ecosystem function relationships means that researchers now have a firmer theoretical foundation on which to design habitat management strategies for pest suppression in agricultural systems, including landscape scale effects. Supporting natural enemies with <u>shelter</u>, <u>n</u>ectar, <u>a</u>lternative prey and hosts, and pollen ('SNAP') has emerged as a major research topic and applied tactic with field tests and adoption often preceded by rigorous laboratory experimentation. As a result, the promise of habitat management is increasingly being realized in the form of practical implementation globally. Uptake is facilitated by farmer participation in research and is made more likely by the simultaneous delivery of ecosystem services other than pest suppression.

48 INTRODUCTION

Since the publication of 'Habitat management to conserve natural enemies of arthropod 49 pests in agriculture' in 2000 (65), the field has expanded dramatically. Reflections of 50 51 this include the fact that this article has been cited over 1,000 times and web searches reveal a high level of research activity and on-farm implementation. A great deal has 52 53 changed in the seventeen years since that review was written including the publication of several excellent reviews of related fields (18; 107; 146). None of these, however, 54 directly covers the field of habitat management for pest population suppression. 55 56 Accordingly, this new review aims to synthesize the literature with an emphasis on articles that have appeared since 2000 to provide an appraisal of progress and prospects. 57 We expand on our earlier review (65) to include the effects on pests that operate 58 59 independently of natural enemy activity, thereby providing a more complete synthesis of the ways in which habitat management may be used for pest suppression. 60

61 TERMINOLOGY AND OVERVIEW OF THE DISCIPLINE

62 Habitat management, sometimes referred to as habitat manipulation, aims to suppress 63 pest densities, often by enhancing the impact of the natural enemy community, though altering vegetation patterns can also act directly on herbivores. Indeed, of the eight 64 hypotheses explaining the effects of vegetation diversity on pests, summarized by 65 Poveda et al (91), seven act independently of natural enemies. Essentially these direct 66 mechanisms involve disrupting herbivore capacity to locate a suitable host plant by: 67 visual or chemical stimuli (102) that may act by repelling pests from the crop (128), 68 trapping herbivores on a plant other than the crop (47), blocking movement of 69 herbivores with tall vegetation (84), or altering the volatile profile of crop plants (27). 70 Reflecting this diversity of mechanisms, a wide range of strategies for vegetation 71

72 diversification both within and adjacent to crops has been experimentally explored. Some of these strategies involve the incorporation of secondary and tertiary crop 73 species (i.e. intercropping), whilst others employ non-crop plants selected for particular 74 75 functions (e.g. to provide nectar for natural enemy nutrition). Some systems seek to exploit multiple mechanisms, most notably 'push-pull' strategies that use one plant 76 species within the crop to repel pests a second plant species adjacent to the crop to 77 attract pests (18). In a particularly successful form of push-pull, the plant that repels 78 79 pests also attracts natural enemies (61; 62). Work this century has greatly expanded our 80 understanding of the importance of larger scale effects extending to the landscape and region (57; 82; 120) with the level of research activity in this area requiring a recent 81 82 review to consider evidence for multiple hypotheses (126).

83 The direct and natural enemy-mediated effects of vegetation on pests are viewed by ecologists in terms of bottom-up or top-down trophic effects, respectively (Figure 1). 84 Bottom-up effects refer to the action on herbivore pests (second trophic level) of 85 86 vegetation (first trophic level). This effect was referred to as the resource concentration hypothesis by Root (102). In contrast, top-down effects refer to the action of natural 87 enemies (third trophic level) on herbivores and is known also as the enemies hypothesis 88 (102). This operates by habitat management providing plants that support predators and 89 parasitoids with foods such as nectar and pollen, alternative prev or host species, or by 90 91 abiotic mechanisms such as providing a moderated microclimate, or serving as source 92 habitat from which crops are colonized (65). Reflecting these top down mechanisms, habitat management is an important component of conservation biological control 93 (Figure 1). The second major component of conservation biological control -94 95 independent of habitat management - is reducing mortality of biological control agents from pesticide use (37), an important issue given the estimated use of 3.5 billion 96

97 kilograms per annum (94). This can be accomplished by the adoption of integrated pest 98 management (94) or switching from broad spectrum insecticides to others such as insect 99 growth regulators (39). Importantly, habitat management often has effects other than 100 on pest management; on pollinators, detritivores and weeds for example. As explored 101 below, the associated ecosystem services (or sometimes dis-services) can lead to 102 broader effects on agricultural systems including contributing to sustainable 103 intensification.

104 <Fig 1 here>

105

106 ECOLOGICAL THEORY

107 Ecological theory is increasingly useful in informing habitat management approaches (40). Advances in the fundamental understanding of biodiversity-ecosystem function 108 relationships (43) mean that researchers now have a firmer theoretical foundation on 109 which to design strategies for enhancing pest mortality in agroecosystems. Similarly, 110 111 advancing knowledge of the role of landscape structure on natural enemy communities and their impacts on prey populations is increasingly forming the basis for more 112 predictive habitat management at appropriate scales (126). Finally, an explosion of 113 literature on the chemically-mediated exchange of information between plants, 114 herbivores, and natural enemies is also beginning to inform habitat management 115 116 practices (117). Reflecting these effects, habitat management has been referred to as 'ecological engineering' by some recent authors, e.g. (149). 117

119 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function

Ecologists have been intensely interested in the relationship between biodiversity and 120 ecosystem function (70; 112). Altieri (2) was among the first to outline the role of 121 biodiversity in the function of pest suppression in agroecosystems. Several decades of 122 subsequent empirical and theoretical studies have refined our understanding. Snyder 123 and Tylianakis (116) reviewed the relationship between biodiversity and biocontrol of 124 pests and showed that pest suppression may either increase, decline, or be unchanged 125 by increased natural enemy diversity. Enhanced pest suppression can occur as a result 126 of complementarity between natural enemies or by facilitation (71). Alternatively, 127 increased predator diversity can decrease pest suppression via intraguild predation (28). 128 The evenness of predator communities, i.e. the relative abundance of different species, 129 has also been shown to be important, with more even communities exerting increased 130 131 pest suppression (19).

132 Recent studies suggest that the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem function can change over time. Schmitz and Barton (109) developed a theoretical 133 framework for predicting how habitat management outcomes may shift with climate 134 135 change. Increasing biodiversity increases ecosystem function in plant communities, but does so more incrementally in mature versus immature ones (99). This suggests that as 136 the community matures, greater complementarity can occur. If similar processes occur 137 in insect communities, habitat management practices in perennial crops or those using 138 perennial plants to provide resources will need to be studied over long-terms (5-10 139 140 years) to accurately assess the shifting interactions of habitat structure, community composition, on the function of pest suppression. This is reflected in recent British work 141 in which field margins were diversified with various plants including perennial species 142

(96). Crop yields in the diversified fields increased compared with control fields to an
extent that tended to be greater in each of the successive five years after the
experimental interventions.

146 Landscape Structure and Biological Control

Ecological theory has also informed the role of landscape structure in supporting 147 148 biodiversity and pest suppression in agricultural landscapes (125; 126). Specifically, 149 understanding factors that control the exchange of species between habitats is critical to predictions of effective conservation biological control (107; 124). A specific 150 predication is that local habitat management (e.g. creation of diverse floral resource 151 habitats) will increase the within-habitat species richness (α -diversity) and contribute 152 153 to overall species diversity at the landscape level (γ -diversity). However, such practices are likely to be relatively ineffective in landscapes where simplification of the 154 155 vegetation has left few areas of source habitat and in very complex landscapes where 156 the added diversity is trivial compared to that already present (125). Several recent tests have provided support of this "intermediate landscape complexity" hypothesis (57; 157 131). Theory also suggests that distinctness among communities (β-diversity) should 158 159 be particularly important in supporting the function of pest suppression and its resistance to disturbance (124). A recent analysis of plant biodiversity-ecosystem 160 161 function studies showed that the number of ecological functions in modeled landscapes increased with both α and γ -diversity, while β -diversity was related to increasing 162 functionality only in landscapes lacking high overall diversity (83). Because 163 164 agricultural landscapes often lack high γ -diversity, the use of habitat management to increase β -diversity will likely be important to maintain or enhance multiple functions 165 in addition to pest suppression (e.g. pollination, decomposition, and crop productivity). 166

167 This could include adding perennial plant strips into largely annual crop landscapes 168 while resources in perennial crops (e.g. orchards) may be best enhanced by annual 169 plants.

Several recent meta-analyses have examined the role of landscape structure on natural 170 enemies and pest suppression and support an emerging consensus. Increasing landscape 171 complexity, typically via inclusion of non-crop habitat, almost always increases natural 172 enemy abundance and diversity (9). While pest diversity also frequently increases, pest 173 174 abundance typically declines or remains unchanged. The ecosystem functions of predation and parasitism typically increase, while pest population growth rates typically 175 decline (13; 103; 113; 133). More recent work has shown that the extent of disturbance 176 in an agricultural landscape can also have a strong effect (55). Finally, while based on 177 far fewer studies, herbivory and plant damage typically declines or remains unchanged 178 179 (13). While this collection of empirical studies suggests the potential for generalization, it remains to be seen if this knowledge can further improve the predictability of habitat 180 181 management approaches. Such efforts may be supported by modelling, which offers 182 scope to minimize the logistical complexities of research at the landscape scale which should consider temporal as well as spatial effects (107). 183

184 Chemical Ecology and Non-consumptive Effects

A new frontier in habitat management is the potential to manipulate the exchange of information between organisms in the agricultural landscape to better enhance pest suppression. The field of chemical ecology has yielded tremendous insights into the myriad of ways that organisms communicate (98), and this information is being used to inform habitat management. When attacked by herbivores (or even oviposited upon), plants frequently produce chemical distress signals termed, herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs) that can directly deter pest attack, inform other plants of impeding
damage, and attract natural enemies to help defend the plant. Synthetic HIPVs have
been used to increase natural enemy abundance and reduce pest damage, and can also
work with floral resource patches to "attract and reward" natural enemies (115). HIPV's
have also been used in "push-pull" strategies to repel herbivores from crop plants while
simultaneously attracting them to nearby trap plants (60).

Herbivorous insects can monitor their environment to detect information on the 197 occurrence of natural enemies and alter their behavior to avoid danger. For example, 198 they can detect visual (53) and chemical (45; 80) cues identifying the actual or potential 199 presence of predators. They adjust their behavior in response to these cues, altering 200 patterns of reproduction, movement (67) and feeding (100). In the presence of 201 predators, herbivores frequently drop from plants (79), consume less or lower quality 202 203 food (110), and have elevated stress responses (52) that combine to limit reproduction (75; 104). Moreover, these fear-based effects can reduce herbivore population growth 204 205 to an equal or greater extent than direct predation (93) so represent an exciting future 206 opportunity for exploitation.

207 MECHANISMS FOR NATURAL ENEMY ENHANCEMENT

Notwithstanding the potential of vegetation attributes to act directly on pest populations
by the mechanisms outlined above, an especially active area of habitat management this
century has been on natural enemy-mediated effects. The ecological resources most
often provided in habitat manipulation research and practice are readily captured in the
SNAP mnemonic: <u>shelter</u>, <u>nectar</u>, <u>alternative prey and hosts</u>, and <u>pollen</u>.

213 Shelter (S)

214 Most crop habitats, especially annual crops, are not favorable for natural enemies because of their instability and low heterogeneity with frequent disturbance (121). Non-215 crop habitats, such as flowering strips, banker plants, and hedgerows, can provide 216 217 shelter and serve as source habitat for natural enemies, thus maintaining their persistence in agroecosystems (60). With the increasing levels of agricultural 218 intensification and simplification that may occur as a result of the need to increase 219 global crop production, forms of habitat management that can be readily accommodated 220 in conventional crop systems will be ever more important. Local scale management 221 222 will also need to be complemented by a greater understanding of the contribution – and scope for manipulation – of the wider landscape since this is critical for ensuring 223 224 availability of source habitat (63; 77).

225 In temperate annual systems, many species of natural enemies inhabit non-crop habitats such as field boundaries and perennial grasslands during the winter because the crop 226 fields are fallow or have only young crop plants and much bare ground with few prey 227 228 (87). Overwintering habitats such as beetle banks can be artificially created to favour beneficial arthropods in farmlands (17). Hedgerows provide overwintering micro-sites 229 which are suitable for spiders and beetles, with significantly higher richness and 230 abundance than in field margins and bare ground (97). Hedgerow networks can also act 231 as a protection against prevailing wind (101) and extreme temperatures in summer or 232 233 winter (97; 105), and provide the additional benefits of higher soil water content and organic carbon level (105). Field margins, whether a hedge, shelterbelt of trees, wall, 234 or water course potentially also offer refuge from pesticide spray events and other 235 potential mortality factors such as tillage (73). These features are also sources and 236 dispersal corridors for natural enemies, especially at the start of a cropping phase or 237 after a disturbance event (73; 108) and so play important roles in increasing the 238

diversity of predators (12) such as beetles and spiders (108), and reducing mortality of
the natural enemies during migration from or into the fields (73; 108).

241 Nectar (N)

Floral and extrafloral nectars are important food sources that can increase longevity (32; 78), fecundity (3; 95), searching and realised parasitism (22; 74), predation (149) as well as female ratio (8) of natural enemies, and are even linked to the developmental and predatory performance of their offspring (3; 148). The main component of nectars is sugars - glucose, fructose and sucrose - so nectar is important primarily as an energy source (123; 135). Nectars can also contain various amino acids that support the growth and development of insects (72; 85).

249 Not all flowering plants, however, are equally suitable for providing nectar to natural enemies (88; 140), varying considerably in their attractiveness and the accessibility of 250 251 nectar such that some flowers fail to attract or reward parasitoids and may even repel 252 them (136). Accordingly the mere presence of flowering plants is no guarantee of benefit to biological control (an assumption of early habitat management efforts). 253 Rather, plant species choice is now widely viewed as a critical consideration. Many 254 255 factors influence flower species suitability: morphology of parasitoids (132), floral architecture (26; 132; 136), flower color (6), floral area (26), flowering time (46), and 256 257 nectar chemistry and availability (136). Indeed, some nectars can be toxic (1). Further, floral area (7; 10; 26), spatial availability (114), and competition with other other 258 species (11; 46) may limit the value of floral resources to natural enemies (34; 46; 106) 259 260 in the field. The capacity of predators and parasitoids to move between floral resources and the focal crop is particularly important for optimal design of vegetation in habitat 261 262 managenent (66; 106; 127).

Extrafloral nectar which is often found on vegetative plant parts extends availability compared to floral nectar, which is available only during blooming (31). It can act as an important food source (32; 51) and an indirect defense allowing the plants to recruit predators and parasitoids (31).

267 Alternative hosts and prey (A)

268 The most widely exploited way to provide alternative prey is banker plant systems 269 which involve adding to a crop some plants pre-infested with a herbivore together with its natural enemies (30; 49). This approach began in the 1970s (118), and has been 270 adopted in Europe, Japan, USA and Canada (49; 89; 129). For example, Carica papaya 271 is used as a banker plant for the parasitoid, Encarsia sophia against Bemisia tabaci in 272 273 greenhouse tomato production (144), and Zea mays was evaluated as for supporting the predatory midge, Feltiella acarisuga, against Tetranychus urticae in greenhouse 274 vegetable production (145). As these examples illustrate, banker plants have been used 275 276 chiefly in greenhouse systems but some studies have explored potential for field use (49; 92). A constraint on the wider use of this form of habitat management is that there 277 is little consensus on optimal systems even for the most frequently targeted pests so a 278 279 research priority is to generate an empirical and theoretical body of understanding of how banker plants, crop species, and alternative hosts interact to affect natural enemy 280 281 preference, dispersal, and abundance (30).

282

284 **Pollen (P)**

Pollen is mainly a source of proteins and amino acids and can supplement available 285 prey to increase the longevity, fecundity and impact of predators (21; 86; 130). There 286 is little evidence that parasitoid wasps actively feed on pollen (33), though it may be 287 consumed incidentally in nectar. Compared to a prey-only diet, Capsicum annuum 288 pollen can reduce the developmental time, and increase the longevity, survival and adult 289 size of Orius insidiosus (142). As for nectar, however, care is required in species 290 selection because pollen from some plants is toxic to natural enemies. Lilium martagon 291 and *Hippeastrum* sp., for example, cause 100% preimaginal mortality of the predatory 292 293 mite Amblyseius swirskii (36).

294 Honeydew

Aside from the "SNAP" resources covered above, honeydew can be a major alternative 295 non-prey food source for parasitoids and predators, particularly when nectar is not 296 readily available (24; 137). Generally, however, honeydew is a less suitable food source, 297 with lower nutrional value compared with other sugar sources and can be toxic in some 298 299 cases (68; 134; 137). Importantly, selection pressure on honeydew producers such as aphids favors traits that minimize any advantage to their natural enemies. Accordingly, 300 honeydew tends to have low detectability (119), high viscosity (24) and compounds 301 that limit its nutritional value to species that may attack the honeydew producer (68). 302 This is the opposite scenario to extrafloral nectar where the producer of the resource is 303 304 advantaged by attracting and providing nutrition to predators and parasitoids. Reflecting this, although female wasps tend to have greater longevity and fecundity 305 when feeding on honeydew compared to the control females provided with water only 306 (24; 143), performance is still greater when fed other sugar sources (24; 143). 307

A common feature of habitat management strategies that have been well adopted is that they each deliver a range of ecosystem services in addition to pest suppression. The most successful case is the "push-pull" system referred to above that has been adopted by more than 45,000 farmers in East Africa (61). Driving this remarkably high level of adoption has been the fact that a basket of ecosystem services that are strongly valued by end users has been developed and adapted – via farmer-participatory trials – for use in different crops and geographical parts of Africa.

Recent work in Britain provides a clear example of the potential for habitat management strategies to promote beneficial insects by agrienvironmental programs and deliver wider benefits including, ultimately, yield enhancement (96). Treatments involved the conversion of either 3 or 8% of the field area from low-yielding crop edges to grow vegetation to support wildlife. Yields in the interiors of these fields were increased to the extent that yields over the scale of whole fields were enhanced as a result of the enhancement of pollinators and natural enemies.

It has been noted that the willingness of farmers to participate in landscape-scale programs, is questionable despite scope for benefits to both ecosystem services and biodiversity (76). Payments may be important in decisions to participate (23) so examples like those provided by Pywell et al (96) are important in illustrating that benefits extend more widely than environmental outcomes – the core business of yield can also be enhanced.

Examples from other continents also illustrate the fact that habitat management candeliver multiple ecosystem services other than pest suppression. In the USA, the use of

333 conservation tillage together with cover crops in the important cotton growing state of Georgia, is encouraged by pest control being complemented by nitrogen fixation, 334 improved soil structure, water infiltration and reduced erosion (122). Another multi-335 336 function habitat management strategy used native ground cover plants in New Zealand where biodiversity enhancement and suppression of lepidopteran pests were 337 complemented by erosion management, filtration of winery effluent and vineyards 338 actively marketing the aesthetic appeal of groundcovers for ecotourism (64). 339 Groundcovers can also potentially improve fungus disease control by speeding the 340 decomposition of infected prunings (50) and enhance an endemic species of butterfly 341 (35). The importance of considering multiple ecosystem services was also stressed in 342 343 recent work on rice production landscapes (139).

344

345 CONSTRAINTS AND OPPOURTUNITIES

A recent review has considered how the advent of molecular methods such as DNA barcoding-based gut content analysis, and the very recent development of CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing, is addressing constraints on conservation biological control (41). Accordingly, this section explores other constraints of an agronomic, ecosystem and practical nature in order to identify key opportunities for future progress.

351

352 Agronomic Constraints

Implementing habitat management can require investment in the form of labor, fuel, 353 capital depreciation and seed and may present agronomic challenges such as an 354 introduced plant being tall enough to impede air flow which can lead to frost damage 355 to vines (81). Some practices, such as the creation of beetle banks, require part of the 356 cropping area to be taken out of production so lowering yield (17). Other agronomic 357 problems may arise if growers do not follow recommended habitat management 358 protocols. For example, the added flowers may be drilled at an unsuitable time leading 359 to species such as buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) being killed by frosts (58) or to 360 bloom too late to benefit the targeted natural enemy (16). Similarly, the location of 361 flowering strips should be based on knowledge of the dispersal ability of the targeted 362 natural enemy which can be obtained in studies labelling the plant's nectar with markers 363 364 such as rubidium chloride (66; 90).

365 Ecosystem Disservices

Habitat manipulation can have specific unintended negative impacts that promote 366 ecosystem disservices (EDS). For example, the added vegetation may compete with the 367 crop for water, minerals and light or be allelopathic to the crop (147). Further, some 368 plants used in habitat management may compete with crops for pollinators (48). 369 Perhaps the most important disservice associated with added floral resources is that 370 pests may potentially also feed on them. Begum et al (5), demonstrated the fecundity 371 372 of the moth pest Epiphyas postvittana was significantly enhanced by the availability of some nectar plants and stressed the need to identify selective species that allowed 373 feeding only by parasitoids. The complexities of the wider food web also need to be 374 considered. For example mealybugs on vines are tended by ants that harvest the 375

376 mealybug honeydew and prey on many of its natural enemies, including parasitoids, which otherwise have the potential to reduce pest numbers (20). Mealybugs themselves 377 also feed on some non-crop plants (42), making the choice of habitat management 378 379 strategy significant because the naïve addition of flowering plants to this food web could exacerbate pest problems (141). To reduce these potential EDS, habitat 380 manipulation modelling can be implemented to enhance pest control by natural enemies 381 (59). More recently modelling has been used to predict land use impact on biological 382 control effectiveness and crop damage by pests (54). Similar sophisticated modelling 383 384 could potentially be used to design robust conservation biological control programs that avoid EDS. However, it is important that during the research phase, the extent to which 385 386 habitat management reduces pest damage as well as a full knowledge of potential EDS 387 need to be established before deployment of the protocols. To facilitate this, a clear Ecosystem Service Provider (such as a strip of flowers of a given species) that supports 388 a Service Providing Unit (such as parasitoid of a particular type) needs to be identified. 389 390 To effect grower adoption, however, the further step of developing a Service Providing Protocol (SPP) is necessary. This should include all the appropriate and necessary 391 agronomic, floral, and seasonal characteristics so that such a 'recipe' can be readily 392 implemented by growers. 393

394 Quantitative Analyses of Success and Failure

The now substantial body of literature on habitat management allows powerful analyses in which the outcomes of multiple studies are quantitatively assessed. Letourneau, et al. (69) considered 552 experiments from 45 articles published between 1998 and 2008. The same data were included in an earlier review (91) in which a 'vote counting' approach was used in which the outcomes of statistical tests are simply tallied showed 400 that vegetation diversification reduced densities of herbivores in around half of cases. 401 However, a meta-analysis approach in which effect sizes are used is superior to vote counting because the latter is overly conservative and does not consider the relative size 402 403 of effects (69). The main conclusion from that meta-analysis was that diversified crops had more natural enemies, fewer pests, and less crop damage than did comparable crops 404 405 with no or fewer associated plant species (Figure 2). Whilst this finding lends strong support to the habitat management approach, an important cautionary finding was that 406 there was a small but significant overall decrease in crop yield evident in papers in 407 408 which yield had been assessed. The analysis did, however, point to how yield might be increased in vegetation diversification because experimental designs in which plants 409 410 were included in a crop system in an additive (60), rather than substitutive (111), 411 manner exhibited yield increases. That is, strategies such as reducing the area of land 412 over which a crop is grown in order to accommodate a second plant species can lead to a reduction in yield of the focal crop. Letourneau et al (69) suggest that future efforts 413 414 need to focus on plant species that can be added to a crop with minimal disruptive effect on crop growth whilst maximizing the extent of benefit from natural enemy 415 416 enhancement and pest suppression.

417 <Fig 2 here>

418

The field of intercropping, which is most often pursued explicitly for greater productivity (e.g., (4)) potentially offers much to habitat management in terms of useful strategies to maximize the positive outcomes of crop interactions. One general limitation of the Letourneau's meta-analysis (69) was that it considered only the yield of the focal crop and not the yield of the additional plant species, even when the latter was itself a crop of value. Thus habitat management strategies may be advantageous 425 even when the focal crop does produce a lower yield provided that the secondary crop produces a valuable commodity or other ecosystem services, or is the target of 426 conservation efforts (25) so potentially attracting payments to the farmer from an 427 428 agrienvironmental program (138). A more specific limitation of that meta-analysis was that, amongst the studies available for inclusion, crop damage was measured only in 429 430 annual crops in tropical systems. Thus there is a clear need for future studies to include yield assessment and particularly so for perennial tropical crops and crop systems of all 431 432 types in temperate systems. In an analysis in which all effects (pests, natural enemies, 433 crop damage and yield) were pooled (69), the strongest benefits resulted from the use of "push-pull" or trap plants within the field. 434

435 Recent farmer-participatory work in Asian rice has been unusually comprehensive in 436 evaluating the effects of strips of flowering crops grown on otherwise unused ridges around rice crops to provide nectar to natural enemies (Figure 1). These crops - such as 437 sesame and sunflower - increased rice pest parasitism leading to reduced planthopper 438 439 densities to the extent that farmers applied 70% fewer sprays and increased rice yields by 5%. The costs of establishing and harvesting produce from the bordering crops were 440 more than offset by the value of the increased rice yield and savings from fewer sprays, 441 leading to an overall 7.5% economic advantage (38). Detritivore densities also were 442 increased under the regime of reduced insecticide use. 443

444 <Fig 3 here>

445

446

447 FUTURE PRIORITIES & PROSPECTS

Aside from an increasingly rigorous foundation of theoretical and empirical work, 448 coupled with farmer participation to develop locally-appropriate forms of 449 implementation and effective dissemination, the level of uptake of a habitat 450 management strategy is largely driven by the extent to which a range of ecosystem 451 services is provided. Researchers need to be reminded that farmers manage complex 452 agricultural business systems rather than being focused on pests in isolation. 453 454 Biodiversity can be enhanced in farming systems without a yield penalty (15) and appropriate management of vegetation can promote human wellbeing as well as 455 ecosystem services and crop yield (14). In the Future Issues box we draw attention to 456 the lines of research we consider most important as habitat management for pest 457 population suppression is embraced by a new generation of scientists. 458

100	
460	SUMMARY POINTS
461	1. From the beginning of habitat management for pest suppression in the 1970s, ecologists have sought to explain and test aspects of ecological theory,
462	particularly the relative importance of bottom-up and top-down effects on pest populations and this continues with increasing attention on theories related to high upraity and account function of well as hypotheses for the effects of
463	landscape on local habitat manipulation.
464	2. Though many studies demonstrate that natural enemy enhancement by habitat management can lead to pest suppression, syntheses of the available evidence
465	parasitoids may be at least as powerful in terms of pest suppression.
466	5. Farmer participation in nabitat management, particularly the development of locally-appropriate strategies (that are based on broad principles derived from
467	locally-appropriate habitat management strategies that deliver a basket of
468	 The distillation of theoretical and empirical knowledge into Service Providing Protocols (SPPs) that constitute clear guidelines for growers will be important
469	in promoting uptake.
470	field can markedly influence pest and natural enemy numbers and moderate the impact of local habitat management efforts but much remains to be learned
471	about the interplay across spatial scales and the underlying ecological mechanisms
472	 6. Habitat management for pest suppression is being used in several continents and adoption appears strongest when a basket of ecosystem services is delivered
473	 Habitat management can strongly promote ecosystem services so offers much to the grand challenge of sustainable intensification to meet the escalating needs of
474	humanity whilst minimizing adverse impacts on biodiversity upon which we ultimately depend.
475	
470	
478	
470	
., 5	
480	
481	
482	
·	

	FUTURE ISSUES	
483	 The relative paucity of crop yield data in habitat manipulation studies needs to be remedied by future workers assessing effects on crop productivity; particularly so for perennial tropical crops and crop systems of all types in temperate systems 	
484	 Though mechanisms to promote natural enemy function are commonly explored there is a need for more work on bottom-up effects of vegetation on pests so that the relative importance and scope for exploitation are better 	
485	understood.3. The field of habitat management for pest population suppression is now well	
486	cooperation between fields such as diverse as agronomists, landscape geographers, molecular biologists, chemical ecologists and ecological	
487	 4. Future habitat management efforts need to better address real world complexity including spatial and temporal effects in agricultural landscapes as well as a 	
488	wider range of natural enemies (including nematodes and microbes), and consider below- as well as above-ground interactions, and non-consumptive effects of natural enemies	
489	 There is a need for longer-term studies of habitat management because most studies have been short in duration so unable to reveal the effects of maturing 	
490	modified crop use patterns, shifts in land use in the surrounding landscape, and global warming.	
	 6. The extent to which habitat management strategies can deliver a basket of ecosystem services appears to be a key driver for adoption but an urgent research need is for experimental studies of the trade-offs and additive or even synergistic interactions among multiple ecosystem services 	
	 7. Agrienvironmental programs in which farmers are paid for stewardship activities offer opportunities for promoting habitat manipulation in which vegetation types of conservation value are used to promote pest suppression. But more research is a required on the effects of differing plant taxa, native to various regions, on pests and natural enemies. 	

491 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

492 The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding or financial493 holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

494

495 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 496 The authors acknowledge funding support from the National Natural Science Foundation
- 497 of China (No. 31230061, No. 31320103922) (GMG and MY) and the Chinese Government's
- 498 Thousand Talents Program (GMG). Support for DAL was provided by the US DOE

499 Office of Science (DE-FCO2-07ER64494) and Office of Energy Efficiency and

- 500 Renewable Energy (DE-ACO5-76RL01830) to the DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy
- 501 Research Center, and by the NSF Long-term Ecological Research Program (DEB

502 1027253) at the Kellogg Biological Station and by Michigan State University

- 503 AgBioResearch. We thank Mrs A Johnson and Mr Morgan Shields for assistance with
- 504 manuscript preparation.
- 505

506 LITERATURE CITED

- 507 1. Adler LS. 2000. The ecological significance of toxic nectar. *Oikos* 91:409-20
- Altieri MA. 1994. *Biodiversity and pest management in agroecosystems*. New York:
 The Haworth Press. 185 pp.
- 5103.Amoros-Jimenez R, Pineda A, Fereres A, Marcos-Garcia MA. 2014. Feeding511preferences of the aphidophagous hoverfly Sphaerophoria rueppellii affect the
- 512 performance of its offspring. *BioControl* 59:427-35
- 4. Bedoussac L, Journet E-P, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Naudin C, Corre-Hellou G, et al.
 2015. Ecological principles underlying the increase of productivity achieved by
 515 cereal-grain legume intercrops in organic farming. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 35:911-35
- 5. Begum M, Gurr GM, Wratten SD, Hedberg PR, Nicol HI. 2006. Using selective food 518 plants to maximize biological control of vineyard pests. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 43:547-54
- 519 6. Begum M, Gurr GM, Wratten SD, Nicol HI. 2004. Flower color affects tri-trophic-level 520 biocontrol interactions. *Biol. Control* 30:584-90
- 521 7. Bennett AB, Gratton C. 2012. Measuring natural pest suppression at different spatial
 522 scales affects the importance of local variables. *Environ. Entomol.* 41:1077-85

 25:5 9. Bianchi F, Booij CIH, Tscharntke T. 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: A review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 273:1715-27 27. Blaaw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 27. Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varna V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 27. Cardarelli E, Boglaini G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 28. Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 29. Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Scr. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 21. Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> USA 109:1034/16 21. Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 9 21. Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric, Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 65:96-97 22. Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on me	523 524	8.	Berndt LA, Wratten SD. 2005. Effects of alyssum flowers on the longevity, fecundity, and sex ratio of the leafroller parasitoid <i>Dolichogenidea tasmanica</i> . <i>Biol. Control</i>
 Bianchi F, Booij CIH, Tscharntke T. 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: A review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 273:1715-27 Blaauw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and polinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkman J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 3	525		32:65-9
 Iandscapes: A review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 273:1715-27 Blaauw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:292-32 La Cassen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:2013148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Jurhandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 56:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic	526	9.	Bianchi F, Booij CJH, Tscharntke T. 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural
 control. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 273:1715-27 Blaauw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy density, diversity, <i>and</i> biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.</i> USA 108:8311-6 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforest. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> USA 108:8311-6 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habital for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:37-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Cler	527		landscapes: A review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest
 Blaauw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Fregre SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B. Biol. Sci.</i> 281:2013148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 106:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric, Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impac	528		control. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 273:1715-27
 density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing herbivore density, <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 56:39-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, S	529	10.	Blaauw BR, Isaacs R. 2012. Larger wildflower plantings increase natural enemy
 herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Colleins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric, Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 32:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impact	530		density, diversity, and biological control of sentinel prey, without increasing
 Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybrock K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. <l< td=""><td>531</td><td></td><td>herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94</td></l<>	531		herbivore density. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 37:386-94
 ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccine	532	11.	Campbell AJ, Biesmeijer JC, Varma V, Wackers FL. 2012. Realising multiple
 traits and trait diversity. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 13:363-70 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. <i>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</i> 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest</i>	533		ecosystem services based on the response of three beneficial insect groups to floral
 Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground beetle assemblages in rice field banks. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. Ecol. Lett. 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). Environ. Entomol. 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habita for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of differe	534		traits and trait diversity. Basic Appl. Ecol. 13:363-70
 beetle assemblages in rice field banks. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. Ecol. Lett. 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). Environ. Entomol. 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of	535	12.	Cardarelli E, Bogliani G. 2014. Effects of grass management intensity on ground
 195:120-6 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 E	536		beetle assemblages in rice field banks. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment
 Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wund	537		195:120-6
 pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environment	538	13.	Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C. 2011. A meta-analysis of crop
 Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014. Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings	539		pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:922-32
 Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. De velopment and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Ap</i>	540	14.	Classen A, Peters MK, Ferger SW, Helbig-Bonitz M, Schmack JM, et al. 2014.
 increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. <i>Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.</i> 281:20133148 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol.</i> 65:663-74 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>En</i>	541		Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators
 281:20133148 15. Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 16. Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 17. Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 18. Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 19. Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 20. Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 24. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 25. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol.</i> Econ. 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 25. Fielder AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ.</i> 8:751-65 	542		increase quantity and quality of coffee yields. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.
 Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger IC, et al. 2011. Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	543		
 high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agrotorests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054-9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ.</i> 26:751-65 	544	15.	Clough Y, Barkmann J, Juhrbandt J, Kessler M, Wanger TC, et al. 2011. Combining
 108:8311-6 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. De velopment and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	545		high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i>
 Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary plants to aphidophagous hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 29:1054- 9 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	546	4.6	
 plants to aphidophagous noverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae). Environ. Entomol. 29:1054- 9 17. Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 96:59-67 18. Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375-400 19. Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 20. Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. Biol. Control 60:182-91 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecol. Econ. 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 9:286-97 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65 	547	16.	Colley MR, Luna JM. 2000. Relative attractiveness of potential beneficial insectary
 Service Service Servi	548		plants to aphidophagous novertiles (Diptera: Syrphidae). Environ. Entomol. 29:1054-
 Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JM. 2003. Effects of different grass treatments used to create overwintering habitat for predatory arthropods on arable farmland. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 96:59-67 Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. Biol. Control 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecol. Econ. 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65 	549	17	9 Calling M. Dastroom ND Millow A. Halland INA 2002 Effects of different more
 farmland. <i>Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.</i> 96:59-67 18. Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 19. Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 20. Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	550	17.	Collins KL, Boatman ND, Wilcox A, Holland JW. 2003. Effects of different grass
 18. Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> 52:375-400 19. Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 20. Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	551		formland Agric Coopyrt Environ OC-E0 67
 Cook SM, Khan ZK, Pickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. Biol. Control 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecol. Econ. 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65 		10	Cook SM Khan 7P. Diskott IA 2007. The use of nuch null strategies in integrated
 Pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 32:373-400 Crowder DW, Northfield TD, Strand MR, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. Nature 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. Ecol. Entomol. 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. Biol. Control 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecol. Econ. 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65 	555	10.	cook SW, Khan ZK, Fickett JA. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated
 Fig. Crowder DW, Northined TD, strand MN, Snyder WE. 2010. Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	555	10	Crowdor DW Northfield TD Strand MR Snydor WE 2010 Organic agriculture
 Daane KM, Sime KR, Fallon J, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Argentine ants on mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	556	19.	promotes evenness and natural nest control. <i>Nature</i> 466:109-12
 baalle KW, Sille KK, Fallorr, Cooper ML. 2007. Impacts of Algerithe ants off mealybugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vineyards. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 32:583-96 De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	557	20	Daano KM Simo KR Fallon I. Cooper MI. 2007. Impacts of Argentino ants on
 32:583-96 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	558	20.	mealyhugs and their natural enemies in California's coastal vinevards. Ecol. Entomol
 550 21. De Clercq P, Bonte M, Van Speybroeck K, Bolckmans K, Deforce K. 2005. 561 Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on 562 eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 564 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 567 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	559		
 Development and reproduction of <i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on eggs of <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. <i>Pest Manage. Sci.</i> 61:1129-32 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	560	21	De Clerca P. Bonte M. Van Snevbroeck K. Bolckmans K. Deforce K. 2005
 betteropment and reproduction of Adama Dipunctula (corcopteral, coccurrentate) on eggs of Ephestia kuehniella (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage. Sci. 61:1129-32 Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. Biol. Control 60:182-91 Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. Ecol. Econ. 65:663-74 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 9:286-97 Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65 	561	21.	Development and reproduction of Adalia binunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on
 562 61 Epitestia Ruemiena (Eepidoptera. Physicial optical Posteria Posteri Posteri P	562		eggs of Enhestia kuehniella (Lenidontera: Phycitidae) and pollen. Pest Manage Sci
 564 22. Diaz MF, Ramirez A, Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 60:182-91 567 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	563		61·1129-32
 565 increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i> 566 60:182-91 567 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services 568 in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew 570 for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod 572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	564	22	Diaz MF. Ramirez A. Poveda K. 2012. Efficiency of different egg parasitoids and
 60:182-91 567 568 568 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	565	~~.	increased floral diversity for the biological control of noctuid pests. <i>Biol. Control</i>
 567 23. Engel S, Pagiola S, Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services 568 in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew 570 for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod 572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	566		60:182-91
 568 in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew 570 for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod 572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	567	23	Engel S. Pagiola S. Wunder S. 2008. Designing payments for environmental services
 569 24. Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honeydew 570 for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod 572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	568	201	in theory and practice: An overview of the issues. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 65:663-74
 for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	569	24.	Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ. 2008. The nutritional value of aphid honevdew
 571 25. Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod 572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65 	570		for non-aphid parasitoids. <i>Basic Appl. Ecol.</i> 9:286-97
572 natural enemies and herbivores. <i>Environ. Entomol.</i> 36:751-65	571	25.	Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Attractiveness of Michigan native plants to arthropod
	572		natural enemies and herbivores. Environ. Entomol. 36:751-65

573	26.	Fiedler AK, Landis DA. 2007. Plant characteristics associated with natural enemy
574		abundance at Michigan native plants. Environ. Entomol. 36:878-86
575	27.	Finch S, Collier RH. 2000. Host-plant selection by insects – a theory based on
576		'appropriate/inappropriate landings' by pest insects of cruciferous plants. Entomol.
577		Exp. Appl. 96:91-102
578	28.	Finke DL, Denno RF. 2004. Predator diversity dampens trophic cascades. Nature
579		429:407-10
580	29.	Foti MC, Rostas M, Peri E, Park KC, Slimani T, et al. in press. Chemical ecology meets
581		conservation biological control: Identifying plant volatiles as predictors of floral
582		resource suitability for an egg parasitoid of stink bugs. J Pest Sci
583	30.	Frank SD. 2010. Biological control of arthropod pests using banker plant systems:
584		Past progress and future directions. Biol. Control 52:8-16
585	31.	Géneau C, Wackers FL, Luka H, Daniel C, Balmer O. 2012. Selective flowers to
586		enhance biological control of cabbage pests by parasitoids. Basic Appl. Ecol. 13:85-
587		93
588	32.	Géneau CE, Wäckers FL, Luka H, Balmer O. 2013. Effects of extrafloral and floral
589		nectar of Centaurea cyanus on the parasitoid wasp Microplitis mediator: Olfactory
590		attractiveness and parasitization rates. Biol. Control 66:16-20
591	33.	Gillespie M, Gurr GM, Wratten SD. 2016. Beyond nectar provision: The other
592		resource requirements of parasitoid biological control agents. Entomologia
593		Experimentalis et Applicata In press
594	34.	Gillespie M, Wratten S, Sedcole R, Colfer R. 2011. Manipulating floral resources
595		dispersion for hoverflies (Diptera: Syrphidae) in a California lettuce agro-ecosystem.
596		Biol. Control 59:215-20
597	35.	Gillespie M, Wratten SD. 2013. Enhancing nectar provision in vineyard habitats for
598		the endemic New Zealand butterfly, Lycaena salustius. N. Z. J. Ecol. 37:67-74
599	36.	Goleva I, Zebitz CP. 2013. Suitability of different pollen as alternative food for the
600		predatory mite Amblyseius swirskii (Acari, Phytoseiidae). Exp. Appl. Acarol. 61:259-
601		83
602	37.	Guedes RNC, Smagghe G, Stark JD, Desneux N. 2016. Pesticidal stresses in arthropod
603		pests for optimized integrated pest management programs. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61
604	38.	Gurr GM, Lu Z, Zheng X, Xu H, Zhu P, et al. 2016. Multi-country evidence that crop
605		diversification promotes ecological intensification of agriculture. Nature
606		Plants:16014
607	39.	Gurr GM, Thwaite WG, Nicol HI. 1999. Field evaluation of the effects of the insect
608		growth regulator tebufenozide on entomophagous arthropods and pests of apples.
609		Aust. J. Entomol. 38:135-40
610	40.	Gurr GM, Wratten SD, Snyder WE, eds. 2012. Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key
611		Issues for Sustainable Management. UK: John Wiley & Sons. 347 pp.
612	41.	Gurr GM, You M. 2016. Conservation biological control of pests in the molecular era:
613		New opportunities to address old constraints. Frontiers in plant science 6:1255
614	42.	Gutierrez AP, Daane KM, Ponti L, Walton VM, Ellis CK. 2008. Prospective evaluation
615		of the biological control of vine mealybug: refuge effects and climate. J. Appl. Ecol.
616		45:524-36
617	43.	Haddad NM, Crutsinger GM, Gross K, Haarstad J, Tilman D. 2011. Plant diversity and
618		the stability of foodwebs. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 14:42-6
619	44.	Heong K, Escalada M, Chien H, Cuong L. 2014. Restoration of rice landscape
620		biodiversity by farmers in Vietnam through education and motivation using media.
621		S.A.P.I.EN.S 7:7.2

622	45.	Hermann SL, Thaler JS. 2014. Prey perception of predation risk: volatile chemical
623		cues mediate non-consumptive effects of a predator on a herbivorous insect.
624		<i>Oecologia</i> 176:669-76
625	46.	Hogg BN, Bugg RL, Daane KM. 2011. Attractiveness of common insectary and
626		harvestable floral resources to beneficial insects. Biol. Control 56:76-84
627	47.	Hokkanen HMT. 1991. Trap cropping in pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol.
628		36:119-38
629	48.	Holzschuh A, Dudenhöffer J-H, Tscharntke T. 2012. Landscapes with wild bee
630		habitats enhance pollination, fruit set and yield of sweet cherry. Biol. Conserv.
631		153:101-7
632	49.	Huang NX, Enkegaard A, Osborne LS, Ramakers PMJ, Messelink GJ, et al. 2011. The
633		banker plant method in biological control. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 30:259-78
634	50.	Jacometti MA, Wratten SD, Walter M. 2007. Understorey management increases
635		grape quality, yield and resistance to Botrytis cinerea. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
636		Environment 122:349-56
637	51.	Jamont M, Crepelliere S, Jaloux B. 2013. Effect of extrafloral nectar provisioning on
638		the performance of the adult parasitoid Diaeretiella rapae. Biol. Control 65:271-7
639	52.	Janssens L, Stoks R. 2013. Predation risk causes oxidative damage in prey. Biol. Lett.
640		9:20130350
641	53.	Jones E, Dornhaus A. 2011. Predation risk makes bees reject rewarding flowers and
642		reduce foraging activity. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 65:1505-11
643	54.	Jonsson M, Bommarco R, Ekbom B, Smith HG, Bengtsson J, et al. 2014. Ecological
644		production functions for biological control services in agricultural landscapes.
645		Methods Ecol. Evol. 5:243-52
646	55.	Jonsson M, Buckley HL, Case BS, Wratten SD, Hale RJ, Didham RK. 2012. Agricultural
647		intensification drives landscape-context effects on host-parasitoid interactions in
648		agroecosystems. Journal of Applied Ecology 49:706-14
649	56.	Jonsson M, Straub CS, Didham RK, Buckley HL, Case BS, et al. 2015. Experimental
650		evidence that the effectiveness of conservation biological control depends on
651		landscape complexity. Journal of Applied Ecology 52:1274-82
652	57.	Jonsson M, Straub CS, Didham RK, Buckley HL, Case BS, et al. 2015. Experimental
653		evidence that the effectiveness of conservation biological control depends on
654		landscape complexity. <i>J. Appl. Ecol</i> .:doi: 10.1111/365-2664.12489
655	58.	Kalinova J, Moudry J. 2003. Evaluation of frost resistance in varieties of common
656		buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench.). Plant Soil and Environment 49:410-3
657	59.	Kean J, Wratten S, Tylianakis J, Barlow N. 2003. The population consequences of
658		natural enemy enhancement, and implications for conservation biological control.
659		Ecol. Lett. 6:604-12
660	60.	Khan ZR, James DG, Midega CAO, Pickett JA. 2008. Chemical ecology and
661		conservation biological control. Biol. Control 45:210-24
662	61.	Khan ZR, Midega CAO, Pittchar J, Bruce TJA, Pickett JA. 2012. 'Push–Pull' Revisited:
663		The Process of Successful Deployment of a Chemical Ecology Based Pest
664		Management Tool. In Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable
665		Management, ed. G Gurr, S Wratten, WE Snyder, DMY Read:259-75. UK: John Wiley
666		& Sons, Ltd. Number of 259-75 pp.
667	62.	Khan ZR, Pickett JA, van den Berg J, Wadhams LJ, Woodcock CM. 2000. Exploiting
668		chemical ecology and species diversity: stem borer and striga control for maize and
669		sorghum in Africa. Pest Manage. Sci. 56:957-62
670	63.	Kleijn D, Rundlöf M, Scheper J, Smith HG, Tscharntke T. 2011. Does conservation on
671		farmland contribute to halting the biodiversity decline? <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 26:474-81

672	64.	Landis DA, Gardiner MM, Tompkins J. 2012. Using native plant species to diversify
673		agriculture. In Biodiversity and insect pests: key issues for sustainable management,
674		ed. GM Gurr, SD Wratten, WE Snyder:276-92. UK: John Wiley & Sons. Number of
675		276-92 рр.
676	65.	Landis DA, Wratten SD, Gurr GM. 2000. Habitat management to conserve natural
677		enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 45:175-201
678	66.	Lavandero B, Wratten S, Shishehbor P, Worner S. 2005. Enhancing the effectiveness
679		of the parasitoid Diadegma semiclausum (Helen): Movement after use of nectar in
680		the field. Biol. Control 34:152-8
681	67.	Lee D-H, Nyrop JP, Sanderson JP. 2011. Avoidance of natural enemies by adult
682		whiteflies, Bemisia argentifolii, and effects on host plant choice. Biol. Control
683		58:302-9
684	68.	Lee JC, Heimpel GE, Leibee GL. 2004. Comparing floral nectar and aphid honeydew
685		diets on the longevity and nutrient levels of a parasitoid wasp. <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl.</i>
686		111:189-99
687	69.	Letourneau DK, Armbrecht I, Rivera BS, Lerma JM, Carmona EJ, et al. 2011. Does
688		plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review. <i>Ecol. Appl.</i> 21:9-21
689	70.	Loreau M, Naeem S, Inchausti P, Bengtsson J, Grime JP, et al. 2001. Biodiversity and
690		ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. <i>Science</i> 294:804-8
691	71.	Losev JE. Denno RF. 1999. Factors facilitating synergistic predation: The central role
692		of synchrony. <i>Ecol. Appl.</i> 9:378-86
693	72.	Lu ZX. Zhu PY. Gurr GM. Zheng XS. Read DMY. et al. 2014. Mechanisms for flowering
694		plants to benefit arthropod natural enemies of insect pests: Prospects for enhanced
695		use in agriculture. Insect Science 21:1-12
696	73.	Marshall EJP. 2002. Introducing field margin ecology in Europe. <i>Agric., Ecosyst.</i>
697		Environ. 89:1-4
698	74.	Mathews CR, Brown MW, Bottrell DG. 2007. Leaf extrafloral nectaries enhance
699		biological control of a key economic pest, <i>Grapholita molesta</i> (Lepidoptera :
700		Tortricidae), in peach (Rosales : Rosaceae). Environ. Entomol. 36:383-9
701	75.	McCauley SJ, Rowe L, Fortin M-J. 2011. The deadly effects of "nonlethal" predators.
702		Ecology 92:2043-8
703	76.	McKenzie AJ, Emery SB, Franks JR, Whittingham MJ. 2013. FORUM: Landscape-scale
704		conservation: collaborative agri-environment schemes could benefit both
705		biodiversity and ecosystem services, but will farmers be willing to participate? J.
706		Appl. Ecol. 50:1274-80
707	77.	Merckx T, Feber RE, Dulieu RL, Townsend MC, Parsons MS, et al. 2009. Effect of field
708		margins on moths depends on species mobility: Field-based evidence for landscape-
709		scale conservation. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 129:302-9
710	78.	Nafziger TD, Fadamiro HY. 2011. Suitability of some farmscaping plants as nectar
711		sources for the parasitoid wasp, <i>Microplitis croceipes</i> (Hymenoptera: Braconidae):
712		Effects on longevity and body nutrients. <i>Biol. Control</i> 56:225-9
713	79.	Nelson EH, Rosenheim JA. 2006. Encounters between aphids and their predators:
714		the relative frequencies of disturbance and consumption. Entomol. Exp. Appl.
715		118:211-9
716	80.	Ninkovic V, Feng YR, Olsson U, Pettersson J. 2013. Ladybird footprints induce aphid
717		avoidance behavior. Biol. Control 65:63-71
718	81.	Orre-Gordon GUS, Jacometti MA, Tompkins JML, Wratten SD. 2013. Viticulture can
719		be modified to provide multiple ecosystem services. In Ecosystem Services in
720		Agricultural and Urban Landscapes, ed. SD Wratten, HS Sandhu, R Cullen, R
721		Costanza:43-57. UK: Wiley-Blackwell. Number of 43-57 pp.

722	82.	Paredes D, Cayuela L, Gurr GM, Campos M. 2015. Is ground cover vegetation an
723		effective biological control enhancement strategy against olive pests? PLoS One
724		10:13
725	83.	Pasari JR, Levi T, Zavaleta ES, Tilman D. 2013. Several scales of biodiversity affect
726		ecosystem multifunctionality. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110:10219-22
727	84.	Perrin RM, Phillips ML. 1978. Some effects of mixed cropping on the population
728		dynamics of insect pests. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 24:585-93
729	85.	Petanidou T, Van Laere A, N. Ellis W, Smets E. 2006. What shapes amino acid and
730		sugar composition in Mediterranean floral nectars? Oikos 115:155-69
731	86.	Pfannenstiel RS. 2012. Direct consumption of cotton pollen improves survival and
732		development of Cheiracanthium inclusum (Araneae: Miturgidae) spiderlings. Ann.
733		Entomol. Soc. Am. 105:275-9
734	87.	Pfiffner L, Luka H. 2000. Overwintering of arthropods in soils of arable fields and
735		adjacent semi-natural habitats. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 78:215-22
736	88.	Pfiffner L, Luka H, Schlatter C, Juen A, Traugott M. 2009. Impact of wildflower strips
737		on biological control of cabbage lepidopterans. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
738		Environment 129:310-4
739	89.	Pickett C, Simmons G, Lozano E, Goolsby J. 2004. Augmentative biological control of
740		whiteflies using transplants. <i>BioControl</i> 49:665-88
741	90.	Pickett CH, Roltsch W, Corbett A. 2004. The role of a rubidium marked natural
742		enemy refuge in the establishment and movement of Bemisia parasitoids. Int. J. Pest
743		Manage. 50:183-91
744	91.	Poveda K, Gomez MI, Martinez E. 2008. Diversification practices: their effect on pest
745		regulation and production. <i>Rev. Col. Entomol.</i> 34:131-44
746	92.	Pratt PD, Croft BA. 2000. Banker plants: evaluation of release strategies for
747		predatory mites. J. Environ. Hort. 18:211-7
748	93.	Preisser EL, Bolnick DI, Benard MF. 2005. Scared to death? The effects of
749	~ .	intimidation and consumption in predator–prey interactions. <i>Ecology</i> 86:501-9
750	94.	Pretty J, Bharucha ZP. 2015. Integrated pest management for sustainable
751	05	intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. <i>Insects</i> 6:152-82
752	95.	Pumarino L, Alomar O, Lundgren JG. 2012. Effects of floral and extrational resource
/53		diversity on the fitness of an omnivorous bug, <i>Orius Insidiosus</i> . Entomol. Exp. Appl.
754	00	145:181-90 Denvell DE Haard MC Maadaade DA Hündere C Didding Last al. 2015 Mühllife
755	96.	Pywell RF, Heard MS, Woodcock BA, Hinsley S, Ridding L, et al. 2015. Wildlife-
756 757		triendly farming increases crop yield: evidence for ecological intensification. Proc. R.
757	07	Soc. Lona., Ser. B. Biol. Sci. 282:20151740
758	97.	Pywell RF, James RL, Herbert I, Meek WR, Carvell C, et al. 2005. Determinants of
759		Concerned 122:70,00
760	00	Conserv. 123:79-90 Degues DA Aground AA Douglos AF, lander C. Kessler A, et al. 2015. The reison
761	98.	d'être of chemical ecology, Ecology 06:617.20
762	00	Deich PR Tilman D. Ishall F. Mueller K. Habbie SF, et al. 2012. Impacts of biodiversity
705	55.	loss oscalate through time as redundancy fades. Science 226:590.02
765	100	Poisses Clare in ough time as redundancy rades. Science 550.365-52
705	100.	contact with an energy on the fooding behavior of blowfly larges. Enternal Even
767		Appl 142.71-7
768	101	Ricci B Franck P Toubon IF Bouwier IC Saunhanor B Lavigne C 2009 The influence
769	101.	of landscape on insect nest dynamics: a case study in southeastern France
709		Landscape Ecol 24.337-49
,,,,		Lunuscupe Levi. 27.337 73

771	102.	Root RB. 1973. Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse
772		habitats - fauna of collards (Brassica oleracea). Ecol. Monogr. 43:95-120
773	103.	Rusch RC-K, R.; Gardiner, M. M.; Hawro, V.; Holland, J.; Landis, D.; Thies, C.;
774		Tscharntke, T.; Weisser, W. W.; Winqvist, C.; Woltz, M.; Bommarco, R. 2016.
775		gricultural landscape simplification reduces natural pest control: A quantitative
776		synthesis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 221:198-204
777	104.	Rypstra AL, Schmidt JM, Reif BD, DeVito J, Persons MH. 2007. Tradeoffs involved in
778		site selection and foraging in a wolf spider: effects of substrate structure and
779		predation risk. Oikos 116:853-63
780	105.	Sánchez IA, Lassaletta L, McCollin D, Bunce RGH. 2009. The effect of hedgerow loss
781		on microclimate in the Mediterranean region: an investigation in Central Spain.
782		Agrofor. Syst. 78:13-25
783	106.	Scarratt SL, Wratten SD, Shishehbor P. 2008. Measuring parasitoid movement from
784		floral resources in a vineyard. Biol. Control 46:107-13
785	107.	Schellhorn NA, Bianchi FJJA, Hsu CL. 2014. Movement of entomophagous arthropods
786		in agricultural landscapes: links to pest suppression. Annual Review of Entomology
787		59:559-81
788	108.	Schmidt MH, Roschewitz I, Thies C, Tscharntke T. 2005. Differential effects of
789		landscape and management on diversity and density of ground-dwelling farmland
790		spiders. J. Appl. Ecol. 42:281-7
791	109.	Schmitz OJ, Barton BT. 2014. Climate change effects on behavioral and physiological
792		ecology of predator-prey interactions: implications for conservation biological
793		control. <i>Biol. Control</i> 75:87-96
794	110.	Schmitz OJ, Beckerman AP, O'Brien KM. 1997. Behaviorally mediated trophic
795		cascades: Effects of predation risk on food web interactions. <i>Ecology</i> 78:1388-99
796	111.	Schulthess F, Chabi-Olaye A, Gounou S. 2007. Multi-trophic level interactions in a
797		cassava-maize mixed cropping system in the humid tropics of West Africa. Bull.
798		Entomol. Res. 94:261-72
799	112.	Schulze E-D, Mooney HA. 2012. Biodiversity and ecosystem function. Springer
800		Science & Business Media. 525 pp.
801	113.	Shackelford G, Steward PR, Benton TG, Kunin WE, Potts SG, et al. 2013. Comparison
802		of pollinators and natural enemies: a meta-analysis of landscape and local effects on
803		abundance and richness in crops. Biol. Rev. 88:1002-21
804	114.	Silveira LCP, Berti E, Pierre LSR, Peres FSC, Louzada JNC. 2009. Marigold (Tagetes
805		erecta L.) as an attractive crop to natural enemies in onion fields. Sci. Agric. 66:780-7
806	115.	Simpson M, Gurr GM, Simmons AT, Wratten SD, James DG, et al. 2011. Attract and
807		reward: Combining chemical ecology and habitat manipulation to enhance biological
808		control in field crops. J. Appl. Ecol. 48:580-90
809	116.	Snyder WE, Tylianakis JM. 2012. The ecology of biodiversity-biocontrol relationships.
810		In Biodiversity and Insect Pests: Key Issues for Sustainable Management, ed. G Gurr,
811		SD Wratten, WE Snyder, DMY Read:23-40. UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Number of
812		23-40 рр.
813	117.	Sobhy IS, Erb M, Lou Y, Turlings TC. 2014. The prospect of applying chemical elicitors
814		and plant strengtheners to enhance the biological control of crop pests. <i>Philos.</i>
815		Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 369:20120283
816	118.	Stacey DL. 1977. 'Banker' plant production of <i>Encarsia formosa</i> Gahan and its use in
817		the control of glasshouse whitefly on tomatoes. Plant Pathol. 26:63-6
818	119.	Stapel JO, Cortesero AM, De Moraes CM, Tumlinson JH, Joe Lewis W. 1997.
819		Extrafloral nectar, honeydew, and sucrose effects on searching behavior and

820		efficiency of Microplitis croceipes (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in cotton. Environ.
821		Entomol. 26:617-23
822	120.	Thies C, Steffan-Dewenter I, Tscharntke T. 2003. Effects of landscape context on
823		herbivory and parasitism at different spatial scales. Oikos 101:18-25
824 825	121.	Thorbek P, Bilde T. 2004. Reduced numbers of generalist arthropod predators after
025	100	Tillman DG. Smith HA. Holland IM. 2012. Cover grons and related methods for
020	122.	onhancing agricultural high versity and concentration high particul successful case
021		ctudies. In <i>Biodiversity and insect parts key issues for successful case</i>
020		Studies. In Biodiversity and insect pests: Rey issues for sustainable management, ea.
029		Givi Guil, 5D Wratten, we shyder, Divit Read.509-27. UK. John Wiley & Sons.
020	177	Number of 503-27 pp.
031	125.	in biological control. Does the success beyong ratio matter? Paris Appl. Ecol. 11:264
032 033		In biological control: Does the sucrose:nexose ratio matter? Busic Appl. Ecol. 11:264-
022	124	/I Techaratka T. Dominiana P. Claugh V. Crist TO. Klaiin D. et al. 2007. Concernation
034 025	124.	historian control and energy diversity on a landscape scale <i>Biol Control</i> 42:204, 200
835	125	Techaratka T. Klein AMA. Kruess A. Staffan Dowenter I. Thias C. 2005. Landesand
020	125.	normantike 1, Kielin AW, Kruess A, Sterran-Dewenter I, Thies C. 2005. Lanuscape
03/		perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity - ecosystem service
020	120	Indidgement. ECU. Lett. 8:857-74
839	120.	rschafnike F, Tylianakis JW, Rand TA, Diunam RK, Fanng L, et al. 2012. Lanuscape
84U 941		moderation of biodiversity patterns and processes - eight hypotheses. <i>Biol. Rev.</i>
041 042	107	87.001-85 Tulianakia IM, Didham BK, Wratton SD, 2004, Improved fitness of aphid parasitaida
04Z	127.	respining resources subsidies. <i>Esclery</i> 85:658.66
845 011	170	Teceiving resource subsidies. Ecology 85:058-00
044 015	120.	and onions. Entomol. Even Appl. 26:159.67
04J 846	120	van Lonteron IC 2000 A groenhouse without posticides: fact or fantasy? Cron
040 947	129.	Protoct 10.275-24
8/8	130	Vandekerkhove B. De Clerca P. 2010. Pollen as an alternative or supplementary food
849	150.	for the mirid predator Macrolophus pyamaeus. Biol. Control 53:238-42
850	131	Vasseur C. Joannon A. Aviron S. Burel F. Meynard IM. Baudry J. 2013. The cropping
851	1011	systems mosaic: How does the hidden beterogeneity of agricultural landscapes drive
852		arthropod populations? Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 166:3-14
853	132	Vattala HD. Wratten SD. Phillips CB. Wackers FL 2006. The influence of flower
854	1021	morphology and nectar quality on the longevity of a parasitoid biological control
855		agent. Biol. Control 39:179-85
856	133.	Veres A. Petit S. Conord C. Lavigne C. 2013. Does landscape composition affect pest
857		abundance and their control by natural enemies? A review. <i>Agriculture. Ecosystems</i>
858		& Environment 166:110-7
859	134.	Vollhardt IMG. Bianchi FJJA. Wäckers FL. Thies C. Tscharntke T. 2010. Nectar vs.
860		honeydew feeding by aphid parasitoids: does it pay to have a discriminating palate?
861		Entomol. Exp. Appl. 137:1-10
862	135.	Wäckers FL. 2001. A comparison of nectar- and honeydew sugars with respect to
863		their utilization by the hymenopteran parasitoid Cotesia glomerata. J. Insect Physiol.
864		47:1077-84
865	136.	Wäckers FL. 2004. Assessing the suitability of flowering herbs as parasitoid food
866		sources: flower attractiveness and nectar accessibility. Biol. Control 29:307-14
867	137.	Wäckers FL, van Rijn PCJ, Heimpel GE. 2008. Honeydew as a food source for natural
868		enemies: Making the best of a bad meal? Biol. Control 45:176-84

869	138.	Wade MR, Gurr GM, Wratten SD. 2008. Ecological restoration of farmland: progress
870	120	and prospects. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 363:831-47
0/1	139.	westprial C, viual S, Horgan FG, Gurr Givi, Escalada IVI, et al. 2015. Promoting
0/Z		multiple ecosystem services with nower strips and participatory approaches in rice
8/3	4.40	production landscapes. Basic Appl. Ecol. 16:681-9
874	140.	WINKIER K, Wackers F, Pinto DIVI. 2009. Nectar-providing plants enhance the
875		energetic state of herbivores as well as their parasitoids under field conditions. <i>Ecol.</i>
8/6		Entomol. 34:221-7
877	141.	Winkler K, Wäckers F, Termorshuizen A, van Lenteren J. 2010. Assessing risks and
878		benefits of floral supplements in conservation biological control. <i>BioControl</i> 55:719-
879		27
880	142.	Wong SK, Frank SD. 2013. Pollen increases fitness and abundance of Orius insidiosus
881		Say (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) on banker plants. <i>Biol. Control</i> 64:45-50
882	143.	Wyckhuys KAG, Strange-George JE, Kulhanek CA, Wäckers FL, Heimpel GE. 2008.
883		Sugar feeding by the aphid parasitoid <i>Binodoxys communis</i> : How does honeydew
884		compare with other sugar sources? J. Insect Physiol. 54:481-91
885	144.	Xiao Y, Chen J, Cantliffe D, McKenzie C, Houben K, Osborne LS. 2011. Establishment
886		of papaya banker plant system for parasitoid, Encarsia sophia (Hymenoptera:
887		Aphilidae) against <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in greenhouse tomato
888		production. <i>Biol. Control</i> 58:239-47
889	145.	Xiao Y, Osborne LS, Chen J, McKenzie C, Houben K, Irizarry F. 2011. Evaluation of
890		corn plant as potential banker plant for supporting predatory gall midge, Feltiella
891		acarisuga (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) against Tetranychus urticae (Acari:
892		Tetranychidae) in greenhouse vegetable production. Crop Protect. 30:1635-42
893	146.	Zehnder G, Gurr GM, Kuhne S, Wade MR, Wratten SD, Wyss E. 2007. Arthropod pest
894		management in organic crops. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:57-80
895	147.	Zhang W, Ricketts TH, Kremen C, Carney K, Swinton SM. 2007. Ecosystem services
896		and dis-services to agriculture. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> 64:253-60
897	148.	Zhu P, Gurr GM, Lu Z-X, Heong K, Chen G, et al. 2013. Laboratory screening supports
898		the selection of sesame (Sesamum indicum) to enhance Anagrus spp. parasitoids
899		(Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) of rice planthoppers. Biol. Control 64:83-9
900	149.	Zhu P, Lu Z, Heong K, Chen G, Zheng X, et al. 2014. Selection of nectar plants for use
901		in ecological engineering to promote biological control of rice pests by the predatory
902		bug, Cyrtorhinus lividipennis, (Heteroptera: Miridae). PLoS ONE 9:e108669
903		
505		

905	ANNC	DTATED REFERENCES
906		
907	1.	Crowder et al (19): Evidence that ecosystem function in agriculture requires
908		restoration of species evenness, not just richness.
909		
910	2.	Finke and Denno (28): Evidence that additional consumer species can disrupt
911		predation of herbivores.
912	2	
913	3.	Gurr et al (38): Evidence that crop yield and farm profits can be boosted by
914		crop-border flower strips.
915		
916	4.	Gurr and You (41): Analysis of how molecular approaches can support habitat
917		management.
918	_	
919	5.	Jonsson et al (56): Experimental test of the intermediate landscape complexity
920		hypothesis.
921	C	V_{1} (50) $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}_{1}$ (4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
922	6.	Kean et al (59): Ploneering attempt to apply population modelling to inform
923		nabitat manipulation.
924	7	Khon at al ((2): Kay man an 'much mull' the want d'a most successful habitat
925	1.	Knan et al (62). Key paper on push-puil the world's most successful nabitat
926		management strategy.
927	o	Lateurneeu et al (60): Important mate analyzig of the success of habitat
928	٥.	Letourneau et al (09). Important meta-analysis of the success of nabitat
929		management approaches.
950	0	Simpson at al (115): Pioneering work on combining two formerly senarate
921	9.	natural enemy enhancement methods
932 022		natural enemy enhancement methods.
933 021	10	Techarntke et al (126): Comprehensive analysis of evidence for mechanisms
934	10.	the suppress pests at the landscape scale
933		the suppress pests at the randscape searc.
920		

937 SIDEBAR <to be typeset near the 'Nectar' section of the article>

938

939 SELECTING OPTIMAL HABITAT MANAGEMENT APPROACHES

940 When floral resources are used to enhance biological control, the choice of plant species is

often based on laboratory work in which candidate plants are ranked for their effects on

942 parasitoid or predator. However, which aspects of natural enemy biology contribute most to

943 pest population reduction are often unclear. Modelling can help identify these key

944 components, such as aggregation, dispersal, search and reproductive rates. A start to this

945 modelling approach was made by Kean et al (59) but this approach is still underused.

946 Empirical ranking of flowering plants is complicated by the fact that cultivars within a species

947 can give divergent effects on parasitoids (6). Recent work used a combination of laboratory

948 olfactometry with gas chromatography–electroantennography and identified short-chain

949 carboxylic acids as most likely to be responsible for differences between buckwheat cultivars

950 (29). Moving beyond case-by-case empiricism to a more predictive approach may be

951 possible using a trait-based approach (11) to establish guiding principles for which types of

952 vegetation trait and combinations of traits are generally superior for pest suppression.

RELATED RESOURCES

955	Bioprotection	Research	Centre	(no	date)	Greening	Waipara
956	(http://bioprotect	ion.org.nz/res	earch/prog	ramme/gr	eening-wai	para).	
957							
958	<an a<="" example="" of="" td=""><th>regional-scal</th><td>e research ı</td><td>program p</td><td>promoting</td><td>the delivery of</td><td>ecosystem</td></an>	regional-scal	e research ı	program p	promoting	the delivery of	ecosystem
959	services including	pest suppression	on.>				
960							
961	Vinh Long Televis	ion (2012) Cá	ông nghệ sin	h thái (<u>ht</u>	t <mark>p://thvl.vr</mark>	n/?p=289142)	
962							
963	<an example="" ma<="" of="" td=""><th>ass media used</th><td>l to promote</td><td>habitat m</td><td>anagement</td><td>: one episode f</td><td>rom a series</td></an>	ass media used	l to promote	habitat m	anagement	: one episode f	rom a series
964	run on national TV	in Vietnam an	d that was a	warded the	e Gold meda	l in Science Edu	cation in the
965	32 nd National Telev	ision Festival 1	9-22 Decemb	oer 2012 h	eld in Vinh (City, Nghe An, V	'ietnam. See
966	Heong et al (44).						

968 Acronyms and Definitions

969	1.	Sustainable intensification – intensification of agricultural production
970		that emphasizes a lessening of effects on the environment.
971	2.	SNAP – Mnemonic for shelter, nectar, alternative prey/hosts and pollen;
972		the major resources provided by plants to natural enemies.
973	3.	Ecosystem services (ES) – the benefits delivered to humanity by the
974		condition and processes of biodiversity.
975	4.	Ecosystem disservice (EDS) – the negative effects on humanity from
976		the condition and processes of biodiversity.
977	5.	Ecosystem service provider (ESP) - the organisms, interaction
978		networks and habitats that provide ecosystems services (e.g. a hedgerow
979		or woodland)
980	6.	Service-providing unit (SPU) - the individuals of one species
981		responsible for providing an ecosystem service to a required level.
982	7.	Habitat management - an intervention in an agroecosystem with the
983		intended consequence of suppressing pest densities.
984	8.	Ecological engineering - a refinement of habitat management whereby
985		the intervention is explicitly supported by evidence to maximize impact.
986	9.	Classical biological control – inoculative release of self-dispersing and
987		self-sustaining agents in a new location.
988	10.	Inundative biological control – mass release of reared agents into a
989		system to provide (usually) short-term control.
990	11.	Conservation biological control - making better use of <u>existing</u> agents
991		by habitat management and reducing mortality from pesticides.

992	12. Integrated biological control - use of habitat management techniques
993	to increase the efficacy of classical or inundative biological control.
994	13. Top-down effects (enemies hypothesis) - the action of natural enemies
995	(third trophic level) on herbivore pests (second trophic level).
996	14. Bottom-up effects (resource concertation effects) - the action on
997	herbivore pests (second trophic level) of vegetation (first trophic level).
998	15. Attract and Reward - combined use of semiochemicals to attract
999	natural enemies and nectar plants to enhance their residency.
1000	16. Push-pull – combined use of a plant to repel pests with a second plant
1001	to attract, and possibly trap, pests.
1002	17. Complementarity - enemies that attack pests in different ways, times,
1003	and/or places, such that overall control is increased.
1004	18. Facilitation - where the action of one natural enemy increases the
1005	success of another.
1006	19. Agrienvironmental program (scheme) - policy initiative in which
1007	payments to farmers aim to promote environmental outcomes such as
1008	biodiversity conservation.
1009	



- 1012 Figure 1

1014 Diagram of habitat management and related research fields (ovals), mechanistic

- 1015 effects (clouds) and potential outcomes (boxes).





1034	
1035	
1036	
1037	Figure 3
1038	
1039	Nectar plant borders to rice fields promote biological control of pests leading to a
1040	trophic cascade that increases grain yields and provides economic advantage (38).
1041	(Photo credit: H V Chien.)
1042	
1043	