Understanding evolutionary impacts of seasonality

2	Caroline M. Williams ^{1*} , Gregory J. Ragland ² , Gustavo Betini ³ , Lauren B. Buckley ⁴ , Zachary A.
3	Cheviron ⁵ , Kathleen Donohue ⁶ , Joe Hereford ⁷ , Murray M. Humphries ⁸ , Simeon Lisovski ⁹ , Katie
4	E. Marshall ¹⁰ , Paul S. Schmidt ¹¹ , Kimberly S. Sheldon ¹² , Øystein Varpe ^{13,14} , Marcel E. Visser ¹⁵
5	
6	*Corresponding author: <u>cmw@berkeley.edu</u> , 3040 Valley Life Sciences Building, Berkeley CA
7	94705, Office: 510) 643-9775 Fax: 510) 643-6264
8	1. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA
9	2. Department of Integrative Biology, University of Colorado, Denver, USA
10	3. Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Canada
11	4. Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
12	5. Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, USA
13	6. Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA
14	7. Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of California, Davis, California, USA
15	8. Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Quebec, Canada
16	9. Department of Neurobiology, Physiology and Behavior, University of California, Davis,
17	California, USA
18	10. Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA
19	11. Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA
20	12. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville,
21	Tennessee, USA
22	13. Department of Arctic Biology, The University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway
23	14. Akvaplan-niva, Fram Centre, Tromsø, Norway
24	15. Department of Animal Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), P.O. Box
25	50, 6700 AB Wageningen, The Netherlands
26	
27	Running title: Evolutionary Impacts of Seasonality
28	Words in text (not including references): 5400

29 *Abstract*

30 Seasonality is a critically important aspect of environmental variability, and strongly shapes all 31 aspects of life for organisms living in highly seasonal environments. Seasonality has played a 32 key role in generating biodiversity, and has driven the evolution of extreme physiological 33 adaptations and behaviors such as migration and hibernation. Fluctuating selection pressures on 34 survival and fecundity between summer and winter provide a complex selective landscape, 35 which can be met by a combination of three outcomes of adaptive evolution: genetic 36 polymorphism, phenotypic plasticity, and bet-hedging. Here, we have identified four important 37 research questions with the goal of advancing our understanding of evolutionary impacts of 38 seasonality. First, we ask how characteristics of environments and species will determine which 39 adaptive response occurs. Relevant characteristics include costs and limits of plasticity, 40 predictability and reliability of cues, and grain of environmental variation relative to generation 41 time. A second important question is how phenological shifts will amplify or ameliorate 42 selection on physiological hardiness. Shifts in phenology can preserve the thermal niche despite 43 shifts in climate, but may fail to completely conserve the niche or may even expose life stages to 44 conditions that cause mortality. Considering distinct environmental sensitivities of life history 45 stages will be key to refining models that forecast susceptibility to climate change. Third, we 46 must identify critical physiological phenotypes that underlie seasonal adaptation and work 47 towards understanding the genetic architectures of these responses. These architectures are key 48 for predicting evolutionary responses. Pleiotropic genes that regulate multiple responses to 49 changing seasons may facilitate coordination among functionally related traits, or conversely 50 may constrain the expression of optimal phenotypes. Finally, we must advance our 51 understanding of how changes in seasonal fluctuations are impacting ecological interaction

52	networks. We should move beyond simple dyadic interactions, such a predator prey dynamics,
53	and understand how these interactions scale up to affect ecological interaction networks. As
54	global climate change alters many aspects of seasonal variability, including extreme events and
55	changes in mean conditions, organisms must respond appropriately or go extinct. The outcome
56	of adaptation to seasonality will determine responses to climate change.
57	
58	Keywords: bet-hedging, polymorphism, plasticity, phenology, winter, dormancy

60 Introduction

61 Seasonality represents the strongest and most ubiquitous source of external variation influencing human and natural systems (Fretwell 1972; Levins 1968; Wingfield and Kenagy 1991). The 62 63 combined effects of Earth's tilt and rotation result in annual sine wave variations in day-length. 64 with downstream effects on temperature, rainfall and resource availability (Lisovski et al. this 65 issue). In the temperate and polar zones, winters are characterized by short days, cold air 66 temperatures, moisture in the form of ice and snow, and reduced or suspended primary 67 production and activity (Williams et al. 2015). Summers are characterized by long days and 68 conditions that are permissive for growth and development, including warm air temperatures, 69 elevated primary production, and increased animal activity often including reproduction. In the 70 tropics, seasonality consists of wet and dry seasons, which drive changes in morphology 71 (including leaf senescence), physiology and behavior that contribute to seasonal shifts in 72 operative temperatures (e.g. Christian and Bedford 1995). Seasonal changes thus impact 73 organisms both directly, through the effects of light, temperature, precipitation, and other abiotic 74 variables on their physiology, and indirectly, via biotic interactions. 75 Seasonal environments impose fluctuating selection on life history traits that can elicit adaptive responses (Rajpurohit et al. this issue; Varpe this issue). Morphological and physiological traits 76 77 are frequently plastic, described by functions termed reaction norms that relate a trait value to an 78 environmental variable (Kingsolver et al. 2015). The shape and intercept of these functions may 79 evolve in response to changing seasonality, for example, the shape of the temperature vs. 80 survivorship curve. Alternatively, organism may evolve life cycles with distinct life stages, each

81 with different reaction norms 'tuned' to the seasons in which they occur (McNamara and

82 Houston 2008; Wingfield 2008). This strategy is also an evolutionary solution to constraints

caused by trade-offs among life history traits that compete for resources (Zera and Harshman
2001). For example, selection may favor high reproductive investment during favorable periods
associated with population growth (e.g., summer), but reduced reproductive (and increased
somatic) investment during unfavorable periods characterized by stress (e.g., winter) (Betini et
al. 2017; Schluter et al. 1991). Organisms often evolve distinct reproductive and dormant or
migratory life history stages in response to these contrasting selection pressures (Varpe this
issue).

90 Organisms in seasonal environments must integrate information from multiple environmental 91 cues to time transitions between life-history stages. Phenology, the timing of biological events, 92 must synchronize both with environmental conditions, and with interacting organisms from the 93 same and other species. Synchronizing cues must predict future selective environments, and are 94 most reliable when cues are themselves drivers of selection (e.g. temperature, precipitation, food 95 resources). However, drivers of selection are frequently not used as cues, when there is a long 96 time lag between cue sensing and readiness to respond (Levins 1968; Visser et al. 2010). For 97 example, many organisms need to complete development, undergo morphological changes, or 98 migrate before beginning feeding and reproduction (Koštál 2006; Tombre et al. 2008). Such time 99 lags can reduce the adaptive value of plastic responses (Padilla and Adolph 1996). Therefore, 100 many organisms use cues, frequently day-length, that are not themselves drivers of selection to 101 provide advance notice of seasonal transitions.

102 Global climate change is shifting the relationship between day-length and drivers of selection, 103 fundamentally altering seasonal cycles. Spring is coming earlier, and fall later, extending the 104 growing season and causing many organisms to alter their phenology (Parmesan 2006). Earlier 105 spring phenology is exposing organisms to increased risk of damaging cold snaps on vulnerable

106 life stages in spring. Environmentally cued phenology and physiological reaction norms exhibit

107 predictable genetic variation that responds rapidly to selection (Bradshaw and Holzapfel 2001;

108 Diamond et al. 2017; Menzel et al. 2006; Parmesan 2006). Thus, the legacy of past adaptation to

109 seasonal environments will impact future responses to global climate change, making it a high

110 priority to understand the evolutionary impacts of seasonality.

111

112 **Outstanding questions**

The ecological and evolutionary impacts of seasonality on organisms have long been a topic of interest to biologists (Dobzhansky and Ayala 1973; Fretwell 1972; Levins 1968), but our understanding of these impacts is still incomplete. We have identified four pressing questions that together promise to advance our understanding of evolutionary impacts of seasonality. In the following sections, we give background on each question, outline gaps in knowledge, and suggest how these gaps can be addressed.

119 1) How does seasonally fluctuating selection impact evolutionary

- 120 *trajectories?*
- 121 "If large body size is an adaptation to cold (...), what size is optimum in an
 122 environment which is sometimes hot and sometimes cold?" (Levins 1968).

123 **1.1 Background**

- 124 Seasonally fluctuating selective pressures complicate the selective environment in comparison to
- 125 stable or weakly seasonal environments. Evolutionary responses to seasonality include 1) the
- 126 maintenance of genetic polymorphism, 2) phenotypic plasticity, and 3) bet-hedging (Fig. 1).
- 127 Genetic polymorphism refers to the presence of two or more distinct gene variants (alleles) at a

128 single locus within a population. When the selective drivers change across generations, natural 129 selection can cause cyclic changes in allele frequencies in genes associated with adaptation to 130 distinct seasons (Dobzhansky and Ayala 1973). "Winter" alleles rise throughout the winter and 131 reach a peak in spring due to differential survival of individuals with those alleles, which are 132 then gradually replaced by individuals bearing "summer" alleles during the growing season 133 (Fig.1B; Bergland et al. 2014; Carvalho and Crisp 1987; Cogni et al. 2014). Seasonal variation is 134 thus one class of temporal variation that can maintain genetic variation within populations 135 (Haldane and Jayakar 1963), potentially maintaining polymorphisms at many loci across the 136 genome (Wittmann et al. 2017). Seasonal changes in frequency at polymorphic loci may be 137 generated by life history trade-offs in resource allocation or acquisition (Betini et al. 2017; 138 Schluter et al. 1991). Poleward phenotypes and genotypes tend to resemble winter phenotypes 139 and genotypes, suggesting that seasons can in some ways be considered the time-analog of 140 spatial environmental clines. Variation in the extent and magnitude of seasonality can also be 141 one mechanism by which spatial clines are generated (e.g., the seasonal phase cline model, 142 Rhomberg and Singh 1988). For example, populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* collected in 143 Pennsylvania orchards at the end of the growing season are similar genetically and 144 phenotypically to southern populations, while populations emerging after winter are similar to 145 northern populations (Behrman et al. 2015; Cogni et al. 2014; Cogni et al. 2015). Adaptation to 146 seasonal fluctuations therefore contributes to adaptation across a geographic range (Conover 147 1992).

148

149 Phenotypic plasticity enables genotypes to express diverse phenotypes in response to

150 environmental variation, which are adaptive when they improve fitness in a given environment

(Fig.1C; Schlichting and Pigliucci 1998; Van Tienderen 1991). Plasticity is heritable and
responds to selection (Scheiner and Lyman 1991). Seasonality influences the evolution of
adaptive plasticity, which is determined by genetic and physiological properties of the organism
(e.g., costs and limits to plasticity, genetic architecture of plastic responses) and characteristics of
the environment (e.g. predictability and reliability of cues, grain of environmental variation
relative to generation time) (DeWitt et al. 1998; Levins 1968; Schlichting and Pigliucci 1998;
Van Tienderen 1991).

158

159 Two leading hypotheses for the evolution of plasticity of thermal hardiness in seasonal 160 environments make opposing predictions: the latitudinal hypothesis predicts that plasticity will 161 increase with increasing seasonality due to increased environmental variation (Janzen 1967), 162 while the trade-off hypothesis predicts that plasticity will be lower in seasonal environments due 163 to a trade-off between inherent and inducible hardiness (Cavicchi et al. 1995; Overgaard et al. 164 2011; Stillman 2003). Support for both hypotheses is mixed. The latitudinal hypothesis is most 165 often supported in situations where behavioral thermoregulation is limited (thus increasing 166 selection on physiological sensitivity), such as for dormant life stages or aquatic habitats where 167 spatial thermal heterogeneity is reduced (Gunderson and Stillman 2015; Shah and Ghalambor 168 this issue). The trade-off hypothesis is supported in some groups of animals and not others, 169 suggesting that our knowledge of the costs and mechanisms of thermal plasticity are incomplete 170 (Stillman 2003).

171

Phenotypic plasticity can be expressed within a single generation (at timescales ranging from
rapid hardening responses through developmental acclimatization) or across generations (aka

parental environmental effects, Mousseau and Fox 1998); the rate of environmental change
compared to the generation time of organisms is pertinent to which evolves more readily
(Gilchrist 1995). Within- and across- generation plasticity can sometimes evolve in concert, with
one sometimes influencing the adaptive value and evolution of the other (Ezard et al. 2014;
Kuijper and Hoyle 2015). Phenological plasticity is an important class of plasticity, and will be
discussed further in Q2.

180 Some aspects of seasonal fluctuations are unpredictable, such as frequency of extreme events, 181 which can favor the evolution of bet-hedging (Seger and Brockman 1987; Van Tienderen 1991). 182 Bet-hedging describes a form of risk-spreading, whereby the fitness in benign environments is 183 decreased in order to increase fitness across all environments (formally, the mean arithmetic 184 fitness of a strategy is reduced in order to decrease variance in fitness, thus increasing geometric 185 mean fitness). For example, variation in insect diapause or seed dormancy may diversify the 186 environments experienced by individuals (Hopper 1999; Venable 2007). Bet-hedging can occur 187 through genotypes producing variable phenotypes (e.g., variation in germination time; Venable 188 2007), or genotypes producing a single generalist phenotype (Fig. 1D; Van Tienderen 1991).

189 **1.2 Gaps in knowledge**

The specific ecological and genetic conditions that maintain seasonal polymorphisms over long periods of time is unclear, as is the extent to which polymorphisms that are maintained by seasonal fluctuations in turn contribute to adaptation to geographically variable environments. Regarding plasticity, how do within and across-generational plasticity jointly evolve in response to seasonality? How does the interaction of predictable seasonal variation and unpredictable extreme events influence the evolution of plasticity versus bet-hedging? How will intrinsic and extrinsic factors influence the evolution of each strategy? At the ecophysiological level, the

197 presence of seasonally fluctuating polymorphisms are a tantalizing hint of genetic trade-offs 198 between stress hardiness and reproduction. Functionally characterizing the physiological 199 pathways linking specific environmental drivers to selection on these loci will further our 200 understanding of the pathways and processes underlying seasonal adaptation.

201

1.3 Significance and future prospects

202 Contemporary climate change is pushing environmental variation beyond the boundaries of past 203 selection in a variety of ways: altering seasonal amplitude, shifting means and variation and 204 changing the onset of seasonal events. Adaptation to highly seasonal environments potentially 205 impacts responses to environmental change in two ways: 1) if seasonality increases genetic 206 polymorphisms in populations, the increased genetic variation may increase the adaptive 207 response to climate change (Schmidt and Conde 2006); and 2) adaptive phenotypic plasticity and 208 bet-hedging could promote population persistence during periods of rapid environmental change, 209 potentially altering selective gradients (Bay et al. 2017). Determining more broadly the relative 210 contributions of these three outcomes of adaptation in the response to seasonal environments in 211 diverse animal and plant populations will enable broad-scale insights into the potential for 212 adaptive responses to climate change. These outcomes will also affect how population dynamics 213 respond to environmental change, and thus how ecological feedbacks will shape evolutionary 214 processes, promoting adaptation or increasing risk of extinction (Betini et al. 2017; Kokko and 215 Lopez-Sepulcre 2007; Schoener 2011; Winder and Schindler 2004). 216 An increase in extreme weather events (Easterling et al. 2000) may alter the predictability of 217 seasonal environments and shift the outcome of adaptation towards bet-hedging or a fixed

218 generalist strategy. Similarly, phenotypic plasticity may become less reliable if the relationship

219 between cues and environmental drivers of selection become decoupled, which will lead to

220 directional selection on cue sensitivity. Plasticity may either promote or impede adaptation to 221 sustained environmental change, depending on the magnitude and direction of the plastic 222 response compared to the optimal phenotype (Bay et al. 2017; Chevin et al. 2010; Lynch and 223 Lande 1993). Including seasonality in models for organisms with multiple generations per season 224 suggests that phenotypic plasticity (including the evolution of plasticity) will contribute 225 relatively more than genetic evolution to climate change responses in more seasonal 226 environments, and that plasticity can facilitate evolution by buffering seasonal variation in 227 selection (Kingsolver and Buckley in review).

228 2) How do selection on phenology and the physiological niche interact?

229 2.1 Background

230 When a single organism experiences seasonal fluctuation within its lifetime, that organism must 231 be able to withstand the full range of seasonal environmental variation. Despite the fitness 232 disadvantages associated with delaying reproduction, organisms often respond to temporal 233 variation by limiting reproduction to specific seasons (Tuljapurkar 1990). Environmentally cued 234 phenology is critical to matching each life stage to seasonal environments within the limits of 235 physiological performance. Conversely, variation in physiological traits underlie phenology 236 (Hereford this issue). Differences in phenology across latitude can buffer thermal exposure of 237 different life stages across seasonal changes in the environments, effectively preserving the 238 thermal niche across gradients in seasonality. For example, organisms frequently shorten growing seasons (with concomitant lengthening of dormancy) at high relative to low latitudes 239 240 such that the reproductive stages of different populations experience relatively similar thermal 241 environments for reproduction despite strong gradients in seasonality (Bradshaw and Lounibos 242 1977; Ragland and Kingsolver 2008; Sheldon and Tewksbury 2014). Any modifications to life

243 cycle timing therefore alter the selective environment experienced by a given life stage or critical 244 life-history event (Donohue 2014; Donohue et al. 2010). Phenological shifts will have the 245 maximum impact on fitness in the spring and fall when temperatures are crossing thresholds for 246 activity, relative to mid-season when temperatures are uniformly hot (Levy et al. 2016). 247 In the above examples, behavior and phenology serve to homogenize natural selection on some 248 focal trait linked to fitness, e.g., the thermal optimum for performance traits such as sprint speed 249 or flight duration, by allowing organisms to remain within their optimal or "pejus" temperatures 250 (Pörtner 2010). However, changes in behavior and phenology can also increase heterogeneity of 251 selective environments on other traits. For example, different thermoregulatory behaviors may 252 lead to different exposure to predation (Huey and Slatkin 1976), and changes in phenology may 253 change the availability of seasonally fluctuating food resources (Visser et al. 2006). Even 254 considering only the thermal environment, changes in phenology cannot completely buffer 255 against changing climates. For example, estimates of development rate based on the thermal 256 sensitivity of insect development suggest that phenological shifts have partially, but incompletely 257 buffered exposure to warmer temperatures associated with climate change (Buckley et al. this 258 issue). The consequences of phenological shifts may also span generations, in cases where 259 parental phenology determines the selective environment experienced by offspring (Crozier et al. 260 2008; Edwards et al. this issue; Sheriff et al. 2015). 261 In addition to altering phenology, spatial or behavioral adjustments can also determine an 262 organism's exposure to seasonal environmental stresses (Williams et al. 2016). Changes in

263 thermoregulatory behavior across latitude or altitude can lead to similar body temperatures

despite strong environmental clines (Adolph 1990; Huey et al. 2003). Differences in

thermoregulatory ability across life stages can alter the strength of selection on physiological

sensitivities, with less mobile life stages experiencing stronger selection on physiology, while
mobile life stages can accommodate changing environmental conditions through shifts in
thermoregulatory behavior (Kingsolver et al. 2011). Together with shifts in phenology, these
forms of habitat selection will impact the selective environment and the degree of stress
hardiness required by a given life stage.

271 **2.2 Gaps in knowledge**

272 A first step towards understanding the impacts of phenological shifts on the physiological niche 273 is to incorporate stage-specific physiological sensitivity into models predicting responses to 274 environmental change. Any phenological shift that decouples a hardy life stage from a stressful 275 period should increase mortality. There is increasing evidence that considering the physiological 276 sensitivity of multiple life history stages may significantly improve forecasts of climate change 277 impacts compared to predictions based on single life stages. Negative effects on a sensitive life 278 stage can counter benefits in more robust life stages, and in some cases reverse predictions of 279 relative susceptibility of species or populations to climate-induced declines (Levy et al. 2015; 280 Radchuk et al. 2013). Moreover, different components of fitness differ in their thermal sensitivity 281 (Bestion et al. 2015; Huey and Berrigan 2001), making it a challenge to determine which are the 282 most appropriate fitness components to measure for any given system. 283 Another gap in knowledge lies in predicting when phenology versus physiological sensitivity 284 will respond to selection. Bradshaw and Holzapfel (2008) argue that the majority of known 285 responses to contemporary climate change involve changes in phenology, not thermal 286 physiology. This may partially reflect that phenology is more commonly measured. There are 287 examples of rapid evolution of thermal physiology (Angilletta et al. 2007; Diamond et al. 2017;

Higgins et al. 2014), suggesting that as more data become available we may see more instances

of evolution of thermal physiology. Alternatively, precipitation changes may be more important 289 290 than temperature in driving evolution in response to climate change (Siepielski et al. 2017). In 291 some cases, phenological and physiological traits are genetically correlated, and will thus evolve 292 jointly (Scheiner and Istock 1991; Wilczek et al. 2010). Finally, the degree to which 293 phenological cuing predicts selective environments at different life stages and thereby alters 294 adaptive outcomes is also important. Empirical studies could test how changes in phenology 295 influence adaptive dynamics in other traits, such as physiological sensitivities. To our 296 knowledge, there are currently no predictive models of the joint evolution of phenology and 297 physiological sensitivity.

298 **2.3 Significance and future prospects**

299 A key next step is to identify sets of conditions under which phenology vs. physiological 300 sensitivity should evolve so that empirical studies of the evolutionary potential of particular traits 301 could be prioritized. Comparing evolution in systems with constrained phenology (due to day-302 length cues, snow melt constraints, etc.) to those where environmental conditions (e.g., 303 temperature, water availability) both determine phenology and exert selection is one potentially 304 powerful approach. It is important to determine when evolution of phenology is sufficient to 305 maintain fitness in the face of changing climates, versus when physiological adaptation is also 306 required. One hypothesis is that phenological shifts will be the primary evolutionary response to 307 changing environments when developmental transitions between stress-hardy and stress-308 susceptible life stages coincide with seasonal transitions (e.g. spring and fall). Conversely, 309 phenological shifts are unlikely to affect stage-specific environmental exposure when 310 developmental transitions occur mid-season. For example, for organisms with multiple 311 generations during the growing season, all life-stages will experience summer temperatures, so

phenological shifts are unlikely to buffer thermal exposure in mid-summer, suggesting that
evolution of physiological sensitivity may be more important in these cases (Levins 1968).

314 3) What are the critical physiological mechanisms governing seasonal 315 responses, and are they genetically constrained?

316 **3.1 Background**

317 A core set of key phenotypes facilitate seasonal adaptation, including environmental sensing and 318 downstream responses, thermal hardiness and thermoregulation, and dormancy. These complex 319 seasonal phenotypes consist of coordinated modules of independent, but functionally related, 320 traits. For example, during preparation for dormancy, mammals and insects must down-regulate 321 reproduction, up-regulate fat accumulation and stress hardiness, then down-regulate metabolism 322 (Koštál 2006; Staples 2016). These complex phenotypes are jointly regulated by single cues or 323 the integration of multiple cues. Linking environmental changes to organismal responses requires 324 elucidating the pathways through which environmental cues are sensed and transduced into 325 physiological responses (Jennings et al. this issue) and how multiple traits may be coordinated by 326 a single cue (Stager et al. 2015). One way that a single cue can control multiple traits is through 327 pleiotropy, wherein one gene regulates multiple processes. Pleiotropy has been documented for 328 the environmental regulation of multiple phenological transitions in plants, sometimes with the 329 gene functioning in the same pathways and sometimes not (Auge et al. 2017; Chiang et al. 2009; 330 Jiang et al. 2012). Pleiotropy may both promote and hinder multi-trait adaptation (Brakefield 331 2006; Griswold and Whitlock 2003; Wagner et al. 2008). On one hand, placing the control of 332 multiple traits under the influence of a single master regulator can help to better integrate whole-333 organism responses. If different traits are regulated by the same cue, changes in the seasonal

334 coordination of cues may not disrupt the integration of functionally related phenotypes (Sinclair 335 et al. 2013). On the other hand, negative or antagonistic pleiotropic effects can constrain the 336 expression of optimal phenotypes of individual traits, compared to those that are more modular 337 in their expression. For example, increases in stress resistance may trade-off against investment 338 in other life history traits, such as fecundity (Schmidt et al. 2005). However, pleiotropic genes do 339 not always regulate multiple traits through concordant pathways or modes of gene regulation 340 (Auge et al. 2017). For example, genetic correlations between thermal hardiness of larval and 341 adult Drosophila melanogaster flies are weak or absent, with associated genes mainly affecting 342 hardiness in only one life stage (Freda et al. this issue). This may be important if different life 343 stages inhabit distinct thermal environments (Kingsolver et al. 2011; Woods et al. 2015). Traits 344 are also integrated at the physiological, morphological and behavioral levels, and these types of 345 constraints can also constrain evolutionary pathways (Ghalambor et al. 2003). 346 If traits are regulated by different cues – for example, if temperature affects one suite of traits 347 and day-length another - disruption of the seasonal coordination of these environmental cues 348 may also disrupt the integrated organismal response (Kristensen et al. 2015; Moyes et al. 2011). 349 Both genetic modularity and modular responses to different seasonal cues may allow for fine-350 tuning of individual trait responses, but this potential may come at a cost of reduced robustness 351 in the integrated response if environmental cues that were once synchronized become strongly 352 asynchronous.

353

354 **3.2 Knowledge gaps**

We currently lack a detailed picture of the functional linkages between critical sensory systems,the physiological and developmental changes these sensory systems induce, and the underlying

357 genetic architecture of integrated seasonal phenotypes for any species (Caro et al. 2013; Meuti 358 and Denlinger 2013). Our understanding of the degree of evolutionary conservation of 359 mechanisms governing seasonal adaptation across species or populations is still at the anecdotal 360 stage, lacking general principles. For example, some genes that regulate flowering time are 361 conserved across flowering plants, but some are not (Simpson 2004). Similarly, while regulatory 362 network structure seems to be largely conserved across species of songbirds that diverged 363 roughly 45 million years ago, only a subset of the genes involved in those networks respond 364 similarly to changing seasons across species (Cheviron and Swanson this issue). Another 365 outstanding question relates to the degree and nature of genetic constraint for traits that underlie 366 seasonal adaptations. Potential genetic constraints stem from insufficient genetic variation either 367 for single traits, or for multi-trait combinations (Arnold 1992). Similarly, constraints due to 368 pleiotropic effects on seasonally adaptive trait complexes are understudied, but are now 369 receiving increasing attention. Assessing magnitudes and causes of genetic correlations among 370 traits associated with adaptation to seasonality would provide important data on the evolutionary 371 potential of responses to climate change (Shaw and Etterson 2012). An important next step is to 372 incorporate genetic architectures of responses into models predicting responses to environmental 373 change (Bay et al. 2017).

374

375 **3.3 Significance and future prospects**

In taxa in which physiological determinates of phenology are well understood, it will be important to determine the degree to which common genes and pathways regulate responses to the environment, and then use a comparative approach to assess conservation of function in related species. The inherent plasticity of phenological traits will complicate this effort; 380 genotype-by-environment interactions can only be assessed by measuring genotypes, or the 381 effects of specific alleles, across multiple environments. For example, Genome Wide Association 382 Studies (GWAS) for phenology phenotypes may need to be applied across different thermal 383 environments (Gienapp et al. submitted). Moreover, complex traits, such as those relevant to 384 seasonal adaptation, are likely underlain by many genetic variants of small effect, which are 385 challenging to discover using traditional GWAS alone (Rockman 2012). Physiological traits are 386 environmentally labile and often technically demanding to measure, requiring "low-throughput" 387 acclimation experiments and extensive phenotyping efforts (Cobb et al. 2013). However, even 388 lacking specific knowledge of physiological mechanisms, quantitative genetic approaches can 389 inform predictions of evolutionary responses to changing climates, and as a result, these studies 390 represent important next steps (Reed et al. 2016).

391 A detailed understanding of the constraints on the evolution of the dynamic traits that underlie 392 seasonal adaptation can enable predictions of evolutionary responses to changing climates 393 (Senner et al. in review). As a result, mechanistic studies of seasonal adaptations not only inform 394 basic questions on the evolution of complex traits, but also provide key insights into the 395 robustness of species and populations in a changing world. Knowledge of the mechanisms and 396 genes regulating phenological and behavioral adaptations, combined with knowledge of stage-397 specific physiological sensitivity, can be applied to predicting the geographic range of species 398 (Morin et al. 2007).

399

4) How is changing seasonality impacting ecological interaction networks?

400 **4.1 Background**

401 Every individual of every species comprising a biotic community pursues its own seasonal
402 schedules of maintenance and reproduction, manifested as annual routines – the scheduling of

activities in a regular way over the year (McNamara and Houston 2008). The degree to which 403 404 species vary in the environmental regulation of their phenology shapes seasonal patterns of 405 presence, abundance, and trophic status, which in turn shapes the seasonality of food webs and 406 other interactions (McMeans et al. 2015). As climate change decouples cues and drivers of 407 selection, organisms are shifting phenology to differing degrees (Edwards and Richardson 2004), 408 because the underlying norms of reaction for responses to cues differ among organisms. This is 409 leading to mismatches in ecological interactions, such as trophic and competitive interactions 410 that affect fitness (Visser and Holleman 2001; Winder and Schindler 2004). As an example, 411 breeding birds that rely on insects to feed nestlings have not altered their breeding time to match 412 the advanced date of insect emergence (Visser and Holleman 2001), resulting in avian population 413 declines in temperate regions (Both et al. 2009). In some cases, natural selection may act to 414 retain synchrony between partners (van Asch et al. 2013), but in other cases heritable variation in 415 reaction norms will not be sufficient to keep pace with climate change (Visser 2008).

416 **4.2 Gaps in knowledge**

417 In order to predict how changing seasonality will impact ecological interaction networks, we 418 need to move beyond simple dyadic interactions (i.e. species vs. an abiotic condition, or one 419 species vs. another species). To understand how network interactions are affected by shifts in 420 phenology due to climate change, we need to assess how interaction strengths change 421 systematically across gradients in seasonality (Humphries et al. this issue). One option for 422 tackling these problems would be to use large-scale, coordinated sampling of interaction 423 strengths in a relatively simple ecosystem replicated across a seasonal gradient with a known 424 trajectory of environmental change. The interacting partners would need to be amenable to 425 common garden experiments and to laboratory study in order to characterize their reaction norms in response to cues. Leveraging a system where long-term information on phenology exists (e.g.
Long-Term Ecological Research sites [LTER], funded by US National Science Foundation)
could be fruitful. Ideally, the system would also allow for a deeper understanding of the
conditions under which top-down versus bottom-up processes dominate responses to climate
change.

Evolutionary responses could allow species to maintain synchronization with the critical
resources they require. The timing of life history events are heritable traits that are subject to
selection (Savolainen et al. 2007). However, the strength of selection will vary with the strength
of the temporal overlap of the ecological interaction. A major gap in our knowledge is how
changes in temporal overlap among interacting species will alter selection and evolutionary
responses to climate change, including the extent to which evolutionary responses may restore
mismatched interactions and thereby stabilize interaction networks.

Phenological synchronization is believed to be especially critical for species in more seasonal environments where resources tend to be available during narrow windows of time and where species specialize on one phenological stage of their host (Varpe 2012). However, tropical species may also suffer from phenological mismatches, but we know little about recent shifts in phenology in the tropics (Chambers et al. 2013). Thus, how changing seasonality impacts interaction networks is a question beyond the much studied seasonality of boreal and temperate environments.

445 **4.3 Significance and future prospects**

446 Climate change will have direct physiological impacts on species that will alter their phenology 447 and ecological interactions. Predicting which species are most vulnerable to climate change thus 448 requires an integration across levels from the individual to the community. Given the strong 449 linkages between cues, individual states, timing of life history events, and fitness (McNamara 450 and Houston 2008), the biological impacts of seasonality may be a particularly fruitful arena for 451 working towards the close integration of physiology, chronobiology, evolutionary ecology, and 452 interaction networks. This work should merge proximate and ultimate perspectives and provide 453 more mechanistic hypotheses about species potential to respond to climate change.

454

455 **Conclusions**

456

457 The questions raised here provide several important pathways forward for better understanding 458 adaptation to seasonality. First, we suggest that understanding the relative contributions of 459 genetic polymorphism, adaptive phenotypic plasticity, and bet-hedging in response to seasonality 460 is essential for understanding the capacity for and outcome of adaptive responses to climate 461 change in seasonal environments. Identifying the cues that elicit plasticity, the environmental 462 factors that exert selection on plastic phenotypes, and the probabilistic relationship between them 463 is a major priority for predicting the adaptive value of plastic phenotypes. Next, in order to 464 understand the evolutionary potential for adaptive change, we need to identify under which 465 conditions phenology vs. direct physiological changes evolve. This would allow us to determine 466 the amount of genetic constraint on the physiological mechanisms of seasonal responses, 467 particularly by examining genetic architecture across multiple seasonally-linked traits. Finally, 468 we need to link the individual and its annual routine to the community by examining the strength 469 of interactions across gradients of seasonality. This will allow us to determine the relative 470 importance of bottom-up vs. top down effects on ecological networks. Several ideal systems for

471 addressing these goals exist—the key now is to concentrate our efforts on these questions. These 472 issues are becoming increasingly pressing within the context of climate change. 473 Given the scale of the task, efforts aimed at mechanistic dissection of seasonal phenotypes 474 should perhaps be concentrated on a handful of strategically chosen model systems that are 475 investigated from multiple perspectives by a collaborative research community. Ideally, 476 information from these model systems may be leveraged in related species. At present, model 477 systems including the great tit Parus major, the pitcher plant mosquito Wyeomyia smithii, 478 Tephritid flies (*Rhagoletis* sp.), *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Arabidopsis* sp. stand out as 479 among the best-developed, owing to large research communities and a mature research 480 infrastructure. It continues to be a challenge to combine demanding physiological experiments 481 with genetic approaches, which require large sample sizes and research infrastructure beyond 482 what is available for most species (e.g. genetic mapping of populations). In addition, the 483 relationship between genotype and phenotype is complex and inferences differ depending on 484 genetic background and whether studies are conducted in the field or laboratory (Sarup et al. 485 2011), highlighting the importance of having a broad and ecologically relevant context for 486 studies of seasonal adaptation (Rajpurohit et al. this issue).

487

488 *Funding*

This work was supported by the Society of Integrative and Comparative Biology (SICB), the
Company of Biologists, the National Science Foundation [IOS 1637201 to CMW and GJR; IOS
1558159 to CMW; IOS 1700773 to GJR; DEB 1020963 and IOS 1146383 to KD], the National
Institutes of Health [NIH R01GM100366 to PPS] and the Fulbright Arctic Initiative to OV.

494 Acknowledgments

- 495 We wish to acknowledge the intellectual contributions of participants in the Evolutionary
- 496 Impacts of Seasonality workshop during the SICB 2017 meeting in New Orleans, LA. Daniel A.
- 497 Hahn helped facilitate the workshop and contributed to development of these ideas. Lori Strong,
- 498 Brett Burk, Ruedi Birenheide, and Richard Blob provided technical and administrative support.
- 499 We thank Cameron Ghalambor and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on a previous
- 500 draft.
- 501
- 502

503 <u>References</u>

504	Adolph SC. 1990. Influence of behavioral thermoregulation on microhabitat use by two
505	Sceloporus lizards. Ecology 71(1):315-327.
506	Angilletta MJ, Jr., Wilson RS, Niehaus AC, Sears MW, Navas CA, Ribeiro PL. 2007. Urban
507	physiology: city ants possess high heat tolerance. PLOS ONE 2(2):e258.
508	Arnold SJ. 1992. Constraints on phenotypic evolution. The American Naturalist 140:S85-S107.
509	Auge GA, Blair LK, Neville H, Donohue K. 2017. Maternal vernalization and vernalization-
510	pathway genes influence progeny seed germination. New Phytologist in press.
511	Bay RA, Rose N, Barrett R, Bernatchez L, Ghalambor CK, Lasky JR, Brem RB, Palumbi SR,
512	Ralph P. 2017. Predicting responses to contemporary environmental change using
513	evolutionary response architectures. The American Naturalist 189(5).
514	Behrman EL, Watson SS, O'Brien KR, Heschel MS, Schmidt PS. 2015. Seasonal variation in life
515	history traits in two Drosophila species. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 28(9):1691-1704.
516	Bergland AO, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2014. Genomic evidence of
517	rapid and stable adaptive oscillations over seasonal time scales in Drosophila. PLoS
518	Genetics 10(11):e1004775.
519	Bestion E, Teyssier A, Richard M, Clobert J, Cote J. 2015. Live fast, die young: experimental
520	evidence of population extinction risk due to climate change. PLOS Biology
521	13(10):e1002281.
522	Betini GS, McAdam AG, Griswold CK, Norris DR. 2017. A fitness trade-off between seasons
523	causes multigenerational cycles in phenotype and population size. eLife 6:e18770.
524	Both C, vsan Asch M, Bijlsma RG, Burg AB, Visser ME. 2009. Climate change and unequal
525	phenological changes across four trophic levels: constraints or adaptations? J Anim Ecol
526	78.
527	Bradshaw WE, Holzapfel CM. 2001. Genetic shift in photoperiodic response correlated with
528	global warming. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98(25):14509-11.
529	Bradshaw WE, Holzapfel CM. 2008. Genetic response to rapid climate change: it's seasonal
530	timing that matters. Mol Ecol 17(1):157-66.
531	Bradshaw WE, Lounibos LP. 1977. Evolution of dormancy and its photoperiodic control in
532	pitcher-plant mosquitoes. Evolution 31(3):546-567.
533	Brakefield PM. 2006. Evo-devo and constraints on selection. Trends in Ecology & Evolution
534	21(7):362-368.
535	Buckley LB, Arakiki AJ, Cannistra AF, Kharouba HM, Kingsolver JG. this issue. Insect
536	development, thermal plasticity and fitness implications in changing, seasonal
537	environments.
538	Caro SP, Schaper SV, Hut RA, Ball GF, Visser ME. 2013. The case of the missing mechanism:
539	how does temperature influence seasonal timing in endotherms? PLOS Biology
540	11(4):e1001517.
541	Carvalho GR, Crisp DJ. 1987. The clonal ecology of <i>Daphnia magna</i> (Crustacea:Cladocera): I.
542	Temporal changes in the clonal structure of a natural population. Journal of Animal
543	Ecology 56(2):453-468.
544	Cavicchi S, Guerra D, La Torre V, Huey RB. 1995. Chromosomal analysis of heat-shock
545	tolerance in Drosophila melanogaster evolving at different temperatures in the
546	laboratory. Evolution 49(4):676-684.
547	Chambers LE, Altwegg R, Barbraud C, Barnard P, Beaumont LJ, Crawford RJM, Durant JM,
548	Hughes L, Keatley MR, Low M et al 2013. Phenological changes in the southern
549	hemisphere. PLoS ONE 8(10):e75514.
550	Chevin L-M, Lande R, Mace GM. 2010. Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing
551	environment: towards a predictive theory. PLOS Biology 8(4):e1000357.

- Cheviron ZA, Swanson DL. this issue. Comparative transcriptomics of seasonal phenotypic
 flexibility in two species of North American songbirds. Integrative and Comparative
 Biology.
- Chiang GCK, Barua D, Kramer EM, Amasino RM, Donohue K. 2009. Major flowering time gene,
 FLOWERING LOCUS C, regulates seed germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106(28):11661-11666.
- 558 Christian KA, Bedford GS. 1995. Seasonal changes in thermoregulation by the Frillneck lizard, 559 *Chlamydosaurus kingii*, in tropical Australia. Ecology 76(1):124-132.
- Cobb JN, Declerck G, Greenberg A, Clark R, McCouch S. 2013. Next-generation phenotyping:
 requirements and strategies for enhancing our understanding of genotype-phenotype
 relationships and its relevance to crop improvement. Theor Appl Genet 126(4):867-87.
- Cogni R, Kuczynski C, Koury S, Lavington E, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF.
 2014. The intensity of selection acting on the couch potato gene spatial-temporal
 variation in a diapause cline. Evolution 68(2):538-548.
- Cogni R, Kuczynski K, Lavington E, Koury S, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Eanes WF.
 2015. Variation in *Drosophila melanogaster* central metabolic genes appears driven by
 natural selection both within and between populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society
 B: Biological Sciences 282(1800).
- 570 Conover DO. 1992. Seasonality and the scheduling of life history at different latitudes. Journal 571 of Fish Biology 41:161-178.
- 572 Crozier LG, Hendry AP, Lawson PW, Quinn TP, Mantua NJ, Battin J, Shaw RG, Huey RB.
 573 2008. Potential responses to climate change in organisms with complex life histories:
 574 evolution and plasticity in Pacific salmon. Evolutionary Applications 1(2):252-270.
- 575 DeWitt TJ, Sih A, Wilson DS. 1998. Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. Trends in Ecology 576 & Evolution 13(2):77-81.
- 577 Diamond SE, Chick L, Perez A, Strickler SA, Martin RA. 2017. Rapid evolution of ant thermal
 578 tolerance across an urban-rural temperature cline. Biological Journal of the Linnean
 579 Society.
- 580 Dobzhansky T, Ayala FJ. 1973. Temporal frequency changes of enzyme and chromosomal 581 polymorphisms in natural populations of *Drosophila*. Proceedings of the National 582 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 70(3):680-683.
- 583 Donohue K. 2014. Why ontogeny matters during adaptation: developmental niche construction 584 and pleiotropy across the life cycle in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Evolution 68(1):32-47.
- 585 Donohue K, Rubio de Casas R, Burghardt LT, Kovach K, Willis CG. 2010. Germination,
 586 postgermination adaptation, and species ecological ranges. Annual Review of Ecology,
 587 Evolution, and Systematics 41(1):293-319.
- 588 Easterling DR, Meehl GA, Parmesan Č, Changnon SA, Karl TR, Mearns LO. 2000. Climate 589 extremes: Observations, modeling, and impacts. Science 289(5487):2068-2074.
- Edwards BE, Burghardt LT, Kovach K, Donohue K. this issue. Canalization of seasonal
 phenology in the presence of developmental variation: seed dormancy cycling in an
 annual weed. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- 593 Edwards M, Richardson AJ. 2004. Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and 594 trophic mismatch. Nature 430(7002):881-884.
- 595 Ezard THG, Prizak R, Hoyle RB. 2014. The fitness costs of adaptation via phenotypic plasticity 596 and maternal effects. Functional Ecology 28(3):693-701.
- 597 Freda PJ, Alex JT, Morgan TJ, Ragland GJ. this issue. Genetic decoupling of thermal hardiness
 598 across metamorphosis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Integrative and Comparative
 599 Biology.
- 600 Fretwell SD. 1972. Populations in a seasonal environment. Monogr Popul Biol 5:1-217.

- 601 Ghalambor CK, Walker JA, Reznick DN. 2003. Multi-trait selection, adaptation, and constraints
 602 on the evolution of burst swimming performance. Integrative and Comparative Biology
 603 43(3):431-438.
- 604 Gienapp P, Laine VN, Mateman AC, van Oers K, Visser ME. submitted. Environment-605 dependent genotype-phenotype associations in avian breeding time
- 606 Gilchrist GW. 1995. Specialists and generalists in changing environments. I. Fitness landscapes 607 of thermal sensitivity. The American Naturalist 146(2):252-270.
- 608 Griswold CK, Whitlock MC. 2003. The genetics of adaptation: the roles of pleiotropy, stabilizing
 609 selection and drift in shaping the distribution of bidirectional fixed mutational effects.
 610 Genetics 165(4):2181-92.
- Gunderson AR, Stillman JH. 2015. Plasticity in thermal tolerance has limited potential to buffer
 ectotherms from global warming. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B:
 Biological Sciences 282(1808).
- Haldane JBS, Jayakar SD. 1963. Polymorphism due to selection in varying directions. Journal
 of Genetics 58:237-242.
- 616 Hereford J. this issue. Thermal performance curves reveal variation in the seasonal niche of a 617 short-lived annual. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- Higgins JK, MacLean HJ, Buckley LB, Kingsolver JG. 2014. Geographic differences and
 microevolutionary changes in thermal sensitivity of butterfly larvae in response to
 climate. Functional Ecology 28(4):982-989.
- Hopper KR. 1999. Risk-spreading and bet-hedging in insect population biology. Annu Rev
 Entomol 44:535-60.
- Huey RB, Berrigan D. 2001. Temperature, demography, and ectotherm fitness. Am Nat158(2):204-10.
- Huey RB, Hertz PE, Sinervo B. 2003. Behavioral drive versus behavioral inertia in evolution: a
 null model approach. Am Nat 161(3):357-66.
- Huey RB, Slatkin M. 1976. Cost and benefits of lizard thermoregulation. Q Rev Biol 51(3):36384.
- Humphries MM, Studd EK, Menzies AK, Boutin S. this issue. To everything there is a season:
 summer-to-winter variation in mammal food webs and the functional traits of seasonal
 and keystone species. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- 632Janzen DH. 1967. Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. American Naturalist633101(919):233-249.
- Jennings KJ, Chasles M, Cho H, Mikkelsen J, Bentley GE, Keller M, Kriegsfeld LJ. this issue.
 The preoptic area and the RFamide-related peptide neuronal system gate seasonal changes in chemosensory processing.
- Jiang S, Kumar S, Eu YJ, Jami SK, Stasolla C, Hill RD. 2012. The Arabidopsis mutant, fy-1, has
 an ABA-insensitive germination phenotype. Journal of Experimental Botany 63(7):2693 2703.
- Kingsolver JG, Arthur Woods H, Buckley LB, Potter KA, MacLean HJ, Higgins JK. 2011.
 Complex life cycles and the responses of insects to climate change. Integrative and Comparative Biology 51(5):719-732.
- 643 Kingsolver JG, Buckley LB. in review. Evolution of plasticity and adaptive responses to climate 644 change along climate gradients.
- Kingsolver JG, Diamond SE, Gomulkiewicz R. 2015. Curve-thinking: understanding reaction
 norms and developmental trajectories as traits. In: Martin LB, Ghalambor CK, Woods
 HA, editors. Integrative Organismal Biology. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell.
- 648 Kokko H, Lopez-Sepulcre A. 2007. The ecogenetic link between demography and evolution: can 649 we bridge the gap between theory and data? Ecol Lett 10(9):773-82.
- Koštál V. 2006. Eco-physiological phases of insect diapause. Journal of Insect Physiology
 52:113.

- Kristensen NP, Johansson J, Ripa J, Jonzén N. 2015. Phenology of two interdependent traits in
 migratory birds in response to climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
 Biological Sciences 282(1807).
- 655 Kuijper B, Hoyle RB. 2015. When to rely on maternal effects and when on phenotypic plasticity? 656 Evolution 69(4):950-968.
- Levins R. 1968. Evolution in Changing Environments: Some Theoretical Explorations.
 Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.
- Levy O, Buckley LB, Keitt TH, Angilletta MJ. 2016. Ontogeny constrains phenology:
 opportunities for activity and reproduction interact to dictate potential phenologies in a
 changing climate. Ecology Letters 19(6):620-628.
- Levy O, Buckley LB, Keitt TH, Smith CD, Boateng KO, Kumar DS, Angilletta MJ. 2015.
 Resolving the life cycle alters expected impacts of climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 282(1813).
- Lisovski S, Ramenofsky M, Wingfield JC. this issue. Defining the degree of seasonality and its significance for future research. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- Lynch M, Lande R. 1993. Evolution and extinction in response to environmental change. In:
 Kareiva P, Kingsolver JG, Huey RB, editors. Biotic interactions and global change.
 Sunderland MA: Sinauer.
- 670 McMeans BC, McCann KS, Humphries M, Rooney N, Fisk AT. 2015. Food Web Structure in 671 Temporally-Forced Ecosystems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30(11):662-672.
- McNamara JM, Houston AI. 2008. Optimal annual routines: behaviour in the context of
 physiology and ecology. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
 Sciences 363(1490):301-319.
- Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N, Koch E, Aasa A, Ahas R, Alm-KÜBler K, Bissolli P,
 BraslavskÁ OG, Briede A et al. 2006. European phenological response to climate
 change matches the warming pattern. Global Change Biology 12(10):1969-1976.
- 678 Meuti ME, Denlinger DL. 2013. Evolutionary Links Between Circadian Clocks and Photoperiodic 679 Diapause in Insects. Integrative and Comparative Biology 53(1):131-143.
- 680 Morin X, Augspurger C, Chuine I. 2007. Process-based modeling of species' distributions: What 681 limits temperate tree species' range boundaries? Ecology 88(9):2280-2291.
- Mousseau TA, Fox CW. 1998. Maternal effects as adaptations. New York: Oxford University
 Press.
- Moyes K, Nussey DH, Clements MN, Guinness FE, Morris A, Morris S, Pemberton JM, Kruuk
 LEB, Clutton-Brock TH. 2011. Advancing breeding phenology in response to
 environmental change in a wild red deer population. Global Change Biology 17(7):2455 2469.
- 688 Overgaard J, Kristensen TN, Mitchell KA, Hoffmann AA. 2011. Thermal tolerance in widespread
 689 and tropical *Drosophila* species: does phenotypic plasticity increase with latitude? Am
 690 Nat 178 Suppl 1:S80-96.
- Padilla DK, Adolph SC. 1996. Plastic inducible morphologies are not always adaptive: The
 importance of time delays in a stochastic environment. Evolutionary Ecology 10(1):105 117.
- 694 Parmesan C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual 695 Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 37(1):637-669.
- 696 Pörtner H-O. 2010. Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating
 697 climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. Journal of Experimental Biology
 698 213(6):881-893.
- Radchuk V, Turlure C, Schtickzelle N. 2013. Each life stage matters: the importance of
 assessing the response to climate change over the complete life cycle in butterflies. J
 Anim Ecol 82(1):275-85.

- Ragland GJ, Kingsolver JG. 2008. Evolution of thermotolerance in seasonal environments: the
 effects of annual temperature variation and life-history timing in *Wyeomyia smithii*.
 Evolution 62(6):1345-57.
- Rajpurohit S, Hanna J, Bushman J, Schmidt E, Behrman EL, Schmidt PS. this issue. Seasonal
 dynamics and experimental evolution of body size in natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- Reed TE, Gienapp P, Visser ME. 2016. Testing for biases in selection on avian reproductive traits and partitioning direct and indirect selection using quantitative genetic models.
 Evolution 70(10):2211-2225.
- Rhomberg LR, Singh RS. 1988. Evidence for a link between local and seasonal cycles in gene
 frequencies and latitudinal gene clines in a cyclic parthenogen. Genetica 78(1):73-9.
- Rockman MV. 2012. The QTN program and the alleles that matter for evolution: all that's gold does not glitter. Evolution 66(1):1-17.
- Sarup P, Sørensen JG, Kristensen TN, Hoffmann AA, Loeschcke V, Paige KN, Sørensen P.
 2011. Candidate genes detected in transcriptome studies are strongly dependent on genetic background. PLoS ONE 6(1):e15644.
- Savolainen O, Pyhäjärvi T, Knürr T. 2007. Gene flow and local adaptation in trees. Annual
 Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 38(1):595-619.
- Scheiner SM, Istock CA. 1991. Correlational selection on life history traits in the pitcher-plant
 mosquito. Genetica 84(2):123-128.
- Scheiner SM, Lyman RF. 1991. The genetics of phenotypic plasticity. II. Response to selection.
 Journal of Evolutionary Biology 4(1):23-50.
- Schlichting C, Pigliucci M. 1998. Phenotypic evolution: A reaction norm perspective.
 Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.
- Schluter D, Price TD, Rowe L. 1991. Conflicting selection pressures and life history trade-offs.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences
 246(1315):11-17.
- Schmidt PS, Conde DR. 2006. Environmental heterogeneity and the maintenance of genetic
 variation for reproductive diapause in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Evolution 60(8):1602 11.
- Schmidt PS, Paaby AB, Heschel MS. 2005. Genetic variance for diapause expression and
 associated life histories in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Evolution 59(12):2616-25.
- Schoener TW. 2011. The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of evolutionary and
 ecological dynamics. Science 331(6016):426-429.
- Seger J, Brockman HJ. 1987. What is bet-hedging? In: P.H. H, Partridge L, editors. Oxford
 Surveys in Evolutionary Biology. Oxford Oxford University Press. p. 182-211.
- Senner NR, Stager M, Cheviron ZA. in review. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity in climate
 change regimes limits species' dispersal capabilities and adaptive potential.
- Shah AA, Ghalambor CK. this issue. Thermal acclimation ability varies in temperate and tropical
 aquatic insects from different elevations. Integrative and Comparative Biology.
- Shaw RG, Etterson JR. 2012. Rapid climate change and the rate of adaptation: insight from
 experimental quantitative genetics. New Phytol 195(4):752-65.
- Sheldon KS, Tewksbury JJ. 2014. The impact of seasonality in temperature on thermal
 tolerance and elevational range size. Ecology 95(8):2134-2143.
- Sheriff MJ, Buck CL, Barnes BM. 2015. Autumn conditions as a driver of spring phenology in a
 free-living arctic mammal. Climate Change Responses 2(1):4.
- Siepielski AM, Morrissey MB, Buoro M, Carlson SM, Caruso CM, Clegg SM, Coulson T,
 DiBattista J, Gotanda KM, Francis CD et al. 2017. Precipitation drives global variation
 in natural selection. Science 355(6328):959-962.

- Simpson GG. 2004. The autonomous pathway: epigenetic and post-transcriptional gene
 regulation in the control of Arabidopsis flowering time. Current Opinion in Plant Biology
 753 7(5):570-574.
- Sinclair BJ, Ferguson LV, Salehipour-shirazi G, MacMillan HA. 2013. Cross-tolerance and
 cross-talk in the cold: relating low temperatures to desiccation and immune stress in
 insects. Integr Comp Biol 53(4):545-56.
- Stager M, Swanson DL, Cheviron ZA. 2015. Regulatory mechanisms of metabolic flexibility in
 the dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*). The Journal of Experimental Biology 218(5):767 777.
- Staples JF. 2016. Metabolic flexibility: Hibernation, torpor, and estivation. Compr Physiol
 6(2):737-71.
- Stillman JH. 2003. Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate change. Science
 301(5629):65-65.
- Tombre IM, Høgda KA, Madsen J, Griffin LR, Kuijken E, Shimmings P, Rees E, Verscheure C.
 2008. The onset of spring and timing of migration in two arctic nesting goose
 populations: the pink-footed gooseAnser bachyrhynchusand the barnacle gooseBranta
 leucopsis. Journal of Avian Biology 39(6):691-703.
- Tuljapurkar S. 1990. Delayed reproduction and fitness in variable environments. Proc Natl Acad
 Sci U S A 87(3):1139-43.
- van Asch M, Salis L, Holleman LJM, van Lith B, Visser ME. 2013. Evolutionary response of the
 egg hatching date of a herbivorous insect under climate change. Nature Clim. Change
 3(3):244-248.
- Van Tienderen PH. 1991. Evolution of generalists and specialist in spatially heterogeneous
 environments. Evolution 45(6):1317-1331.
- Varpe Ø. 2012. Fitness and phenology: annual routines and zooplankton adaptations to
 seasonal cycles. Journal of Plankton Research 34(4):267-276.
- Varpe Ø. this issue. Life history adaptations to seasonality. Integrative and Comparative
 Biology.
- Venable DL. 2007. Bet hedging in a guild of desert annuals. Ecology 88(5):1086-1090.
- Visser ME. 2008. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to climate
 change. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 275(1635):649-659.
- Visser ME, Caro SP, van Oers K, Schaper SV, Helm B. 2010. Phenology, seasonal timing and
 circannual rhythms: towards a unified framework. Philosophical Transactions of the
 Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 365(1555):3113-3127.
- Visser ME, Holleman LJM. 2001. Warmer springs disrupt the synchrony of oak and winter moth
 phenology. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 268(1464):289-294.
- Visser ME, Holleman LJM, Gienapp P. 2006. Shifts in caterpillar biomass phenology due to
 climate change and its impact on the breeding biology of an insectivorous bird.
 Oecologia 147(1):164-172.
- Wagner GP, Kenney-Hunt JP, Pavlicev M, Peck JR, Waxman D, Cheverud JM. 2008.
 Pleiotropic scaling of gene effects and the 'cost of complexity'. Nature 452(7186):470-472.
- Wilczek AM, Burghardt LT, Cobb AR, Cooper MD, Welch SM, Schmitt J. 2010. Genetic and
 physiological bases for phenological responses to current and predicted climates.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365(1555):3129 3147.
- Williams CM, Buckley LB, Sheldon KS, Vickers M, Portner HO, Dowd WW, Gunderson AR,
 Marshall KE, Stillman JH. 2016. Biological impacts of thermal extremes: mechanisms
 and costs of functional responses matter. Integr Comp Biol 56(1):73-84.
- 800 Williams CM, Henry HAL, Sinclair BJ. 2015. Cold truths: how winter drives responses of 801 terrestrial organisms to climate change. Biological Reviews 90:214-235.

- Winder M, Schindler DE. 2004. Climate change uncouples trophic interactions in an aquatic
 ecosystem Ecology 85(8):2100-2106.
- Wingfield JC. 2008. Organization of vertebrate annual cycles: implications for control
 mechanisms. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 363(1490):425-441.
- Wingfield JC, Kenagy GJ. 1991. Natural regulation of reproductive cycles. In: Schreibman M,
 Jones RE, editors. Vertebrate endocrinology: fundmentals and biomedical implications.
 New York: Academic.
- Wittmann MJ, Bergland AO, Feldman MW, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2017. Segregation lift: A
 general mechanism for the maintenance of polygenic variation under seasonally
 fluctuating selection. bioRxiv.
- Woods HA, Dillon ME, Pincebourde S. 2015. The roles of microclimatic diversity and of behavior
 in mediating the responses of ectotherms to climate change. Journal of Thermal Biology
 54:86-97.
- Zera AJ, Harshman LG. 2001. The physiology of life history trade-offs in animals. Annual
 Review of Ecology and Systematics 32:95.
- 818





822

Fig. 1 – Outcomes of adaptation to seasonality. A) Selective gradients on life history traits fluctuate seasonally. B) For species with short generation times relative to season length, these fluctuating selective gradients can result in cyclic fluctuations in both phenotype (solid line) and allele frequency (broken line) at polymorphic loci, leading to maintenance of genetic polymorphisms within populations under certain conditions. C) Seasonal fluctuations can also be accommodated through phenotypic plasticity, whereby a single genotype produces multiple phenotypes in response to environmental variation. D) Unpredictable fluctuations will favor the evolution of bet-hedging, whereby a single genotype either produces multiple variable phenotypes whose fitness varies across the season (solid lines), or a single generalist phenotype whose fitness in summer is decreased but which has higher cumulative fitness across the year than a specialist phenotype.