

Measurement of the differential cross sections for the associated production of a W boson and jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.**

(CMS Collaboration)

(Received 19 July 2017; published 27 October 2017)

A measurement of the differential cross sections for a W boson produced in association with jets in the muon decay channel is presented. The measurement is based on 13 TeV proton-proton collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.2 fb^{-1} , recorded by the CMS detector at the LHC. The cross sections are reported as functions of jet multiplicity, jet transverse momentum p_T , jet rapidity, the scalar p_T sum of the jets, and angular correlations between the muon and each jet for different jet multiplicities. The measured cross sections are in agreement with predictions that include multileg leading-order (LO) and next-to-LO matrix element calculations interfaced with parton showers, as well as a next-to-next-to-LO calculation for the W boson and one jet production.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.96.072005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.072005)

I. INTRODUCTION

The high center-of-mass energy of collisions at the CERN LHC facilitates the production of events with an electroweak boson in association with a high transverse momentum p_T jet or high-multiplicity multijet final state. Measurements of vector boson production in association with jets provide important tests of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Such measurements lead to a better understanding of the strong interaction and of the proton structure. Furthermore, events containing a massive vector boson and jets are important backgrounds for a number of standard model (SM) processes (single top quark, $t\bar{t}$, vector boson fusion, WW scattering, and Higgs boson production), as well as for physics beyond the SM, such as supersymmetry. Leptonic decay modes of the vector boson are often used in the measurements of SM processes and searches for physics beyond the SM because they provide sufficient signal data with clean signatures and a low level of background.

The differential cross sections for the production of a W boson in association with jets ($W + \text{jets}$) have been measured by the CMS Collaboration using data collected at center-of-mass energies of 7 TeV [1] and 8 TeV [2] and by the ATLAS Collaboration at 7 TeV [3] at the LHC. In this paper we present the first differential cross section measurement of the $W + \text{jets}$ process at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The muon decay channel ($W(\mu\nu) + \text{jets}$) is used; the corresponding decay channel of the W boson into an electron and a neutrino is not used in this analysis because a higher momentum threshold was applied to the

electron when acquiring data. This measurement is based on 13 TeV proton-proton (pp) collision data recorded by the CMS experiment during 2015 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.2 fb^{-1} . The differential cross sections are measured as functions of the exclusive ($W + N\text{-jets}$) and inclusive ($W + \geq N\text{-jets}$) jet multiplicities up to $N = 6$. The differential cross sections are also measured up to multiplicities of four inclusive jets as functions of the jet p_T , the jet rapidity $|y|$, the scalar p_T sum of the jets H_T , and of the azimuthal correlations between the muon and the i th jet from the p_T -ordered list of jets in the event $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_i)$.

In addition, the differential cross section is measured as a function of the angular distance between the muon and the closest jet $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ for events with one or more jets, where $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$ and $\Delta\eta$ is the pseudorapidity η separation. The $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ variable separates the process of the electroweak emission of real W bosons from an initial- or final-state quark, which was recently studied by the ATLAS Collaboration with 8 TeV data [4]. The contribution of electroweak radiative processes to the measurement of $W + \text{jets}$ becomes significant with the increasing center-of-mass energy of collisions, leading to an enhancement in the collinear region of the distribution of the angular distance between the W boson and the closest jet [5–10].

The measured cross sections are compared with the predictions from Monte Carlo (MC) event generators that use a leading-order (LO), or a next-to-LO (NLO) matrix element (ME) calculation interfaced with parton showering, and with a fixed-order calculation of a W boson and one jet ($W + 1\text{-jet}$) at next-to-NLO (NNLO).

II. THE CMS DETECTOR

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a

*Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the η coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are measured in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$, in gas-ionization detectors with detection planes made using three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive-plate chambers that are embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a relative p_T resolution for muons with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV of 1.3%–2.0% in the barrel and better than 6% in the endcaps. The p_T resolution in the barrel is better than 10% for muons with p_T up to 1 TeV [11].

The CMS experiment uses a two-level trigger system [12]. The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select the most interesting events. The high-level trigger processor farm further decreases the event rate from around 100 kHz to a rate of around 1 kHz, before data storage.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [13].

III. SIMULATED SAMPLES AND THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

Leptonic W boson decays are characterized by an energetic isolated lepton, and a neutrino giving rise to significant missing transverse energy E_T^{miss} in the detector. Background processes with final states similar to the W + jets signal are Drell–Yan ($Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$), $t\bar{t}$, single top quark, $WW/WZ/ZZ + \text{jets}$ (diboson), and QCD multi-jet production. Signal and background processes are predicted from MC simulations, and their samples are produced and fully reconstructed using a simulation of the CMS detector based on GEANT4 (v9.4p03) [14], except for the QCD multijet background, which is estimated from control data samples. The processes of the W + jets signal and the $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ background are generated by MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO (v5.2.2.2) [15] with an NLO calculation. The FxFx jet merging scheme [16] is used in the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO generator. The $t\bar{t}$ background is generated at NLO with POWHEG (v2.0) [17–19]. The single top quark background processes are simulated either with POWHEG (v1.0) [20] or with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO depending on the particular channel. Among the diboson background processes, the WW production is generated with POWHEG (v2.0) [21], while the WZ and ZZ productions are generated using PYTHIA 8 (v8.212) [22,23]. The signal and background simulated samples, except for diboson production, are interfaced with PYTHIA 8 for parton showering and hadronization. The CUETP8M1 tune [24] was used in PYTHIA 8. The NNPDF 2.3 LO PDF [25,26] and the

NNPDF 3.0 NLO PDF [27] are used to generate background processes, where the former is used in PYTHIA 8. The simulated processes include the effect of additional pp collisions in the same or adjacent bunch crossings (pileup). The pileup contribution is simulated as additional minimum bias events superimposed on the primary simulated events based on a distribution of the number of interactions per bunch crossing with an average of about 11 collisions, which is reweighted to match that observed in data.

The measured W + jets differential cross sections are compared to two multileg ME calculations, an NLO prediction corresponding to the generated W + jets signal process described above, and an LO prediction. The LO prediction is generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO interfaced with PYTHIA 8 for parton showering and hadronization. The ME calculation includes the five processes $pp \rightarrow W + N\text{-jets}$, $N = 0 \dots 4$ and it is matched to the parton showering using the k_T -MLM [28,29] scheme with the merging scale set at 19 GeV. The NLO prediction is generated with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO interfaced with PYTHIA 8 for parton showering and hadronization. The FxFx merging scheme is used with a merging scale parameter set to 30 GeV. This prediction has an NLO accuracy for $pp \rightarrow W + N\text{-jets}$, $N = 0, 1, 2$, and LO accuracy for $N = 3, 4$. In the rest of the paper, LO and NLO predictions by MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO are referred to as LO MG_aMC (MG_aMC+PY8 ($\leq 4j$ LO + PS) in the figure legends) and NLO MG_aMC FxFx (MG_aMC FxFx + PY8 ($\leq 2j$ NLO + PS) in the figure legends), respectively. The NNPDF 3.0 LO (NLO) is used for the ME calculation in LO (NLO FxFx) MG_aMC prediction, while the NNPDF 2.3 LO PDF is used in the parton showering and hadronization with PYTHIA 8 using the CUETP8M1 tune. The total cross section for the LO MG_aMC prediction is normalized to NNLO cross section calculated with FEWZ (v3.1) [30]. The measured differential cross sections are also compared to the fixed-order calculation based on the N -jettiness subtraction scheme (N_{jetti}) at NNLO for W + 1-jet production [9,31]. The comparison is made for the measured distributions of the leading jet p_T and $|y|$, H_T , $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_1)$, and $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ for events with one or more jets. The NNPDF 3.0 NNLO PDF is used in this calculation. To account for nonperturbative effects in the NNLO, the predictions with and without multiple parton interactions and hadronization are computed with LO MG_aMC interfaced with PYTHIA 8. The value of this multiplicative correction applied to the NNLO calculation is mostly within the range of 0.93–1.10. The effect of final-state radiation (FSR) from the muon on the NNLO prediction is estimated to be less than 1%.

IV. PARTICLE RECONSTRUCTION AND IDENTIFICATION

The final state candidates in $W(\mu\nu)$ decays are identified and reconstructed with the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [32], which combines information from all the CMS subdetectors.

Muon PF candidates are identified as tracks in the muon system that are matched to tracks reconstructed in the inner tracking system. Muon candidates are required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV inside the acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.4$ and to satisfy the tight identification criteria [11]. In addition, an isolation requirement, known as the combined relative PF isolation requirement, is applied to suppress the contamination from muons contained in jets:

$$I = \left[\sum^{\text{Ch.had.}} p_T + \max \left(0, \sum^{\text{N.had.}} p_T + \sum^{\text{EM}} p_T - 0.5 \sum^{\text{PU}} p_T \right) \right] / p_T^\mu \leq 0.15, \quad (1)$$

where the sums run over the charged hadrons (Ch.had.), neutral hadrons (N.had.), photons (EM), and charged particles from pileup (PU), inside a cone of radius $\Delta R \leq 0.4$ around the muon direction. This isolation requirement includes a correction for pileup effects, which is based on the scalar p_T sum of charged particles not associated with the primary vertex ($0.5 \sum^{\text{PU}} p_T$) in the isolation cone, where the factor 0.5 corresponds to an approximate average ratio of neutral to charged particles and has been measured in jets in Ref. [32]. The small differences in muon identification, isolation, and trigger efficiencies between data and simulated processes are compensated by applying corrections to the simulated events.

Hadronic jets are reconstructed from the PF candidates by using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [33], as implemented in the FASTJET package [34], with a distance parameter of $R = 0.4$. Reconstructed jet energies are corrected by using p_T - and η -dependent corrections to account for the following effects: nonuniformity and nonlinearity of the ECAL and HCAL energy response to neutral hadrons, the presence of extra particles from pileup interactions, the thresholds used in jet constituent selection, reconstruction inefficiencies, and possible biases introduced by the clustering algorithm. Jet energy corrections are derived based on the measurement of the p_T balance in dijet and γ + jet events [35]. A residual p_T - and η -dependent calibration is applied to the jets in data to correct for the small differences between data and simulation. The jets in simulated events are smeared by an η -dependent factor to account for the difference in energy resolution between data and simulation [35]. Jets are required to have $p_T > 30$ GeV inside the acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.4$ and a spatial separation of $\Delta R > 0.4$ from muon candidates. Additional loose selection criteria are applied to each event to suppress nonphysical jets [36]. A number of vertexing-related and jet-shower-shape-related input variables are combined into a boosted decision tree yielding a single discriminator for the identification of pileup jets [36]. The contribution from pileup jets is reduced by applying a selection on the discriminator that has been optimized to minimize the dependency on the number of reconstructed vertices.

In leptonic W boson decays, neutrinos pass through the detector without interacting and their presence is a fundamental tool for the discrimination of W boson events from the main backgrounds. The presence of a neutrino is indicated by E_T^{miss} information in the event. The missing transverse momentum vector $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is the projection on the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all PF candidates reconstructed in the event. E_T^{miss} is defined as the magnitude of the $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ vector and it is a measure of the p_T of particles leaving the detector undetected. For the reconstructed E_T^{miss} , the vector p_T sum of particles that were clustered as jets is replaced by the vector p_T sum of the jets including the jet energy corrections. Moreover, a set of individual E_T^{miss} filters are applied to veto events with anomalous E_T^{miss} due to various subdetector malfunctions and algorithmic errors [37].

V. EVENT SELECTION

The $W(\mu\nu)$ + jets events are required to have exactly one muon and one or more jets. Data events are retained if they pass an online trigger requirement with a muon reconstructed in the online system with $p_T > 20$ GeV, while the simulated events are required to pass an emulation of the trigger requirement. Muons are required to satisfy the muon selection criteria including $p_T > 25$ GeV and acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.4$, and jets are required to satisfy the jet selection criteria with $p_T > 30$ GeV and acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.4$, as described in Sec. IV. Events with additional muon PF candidates, which are not necessarily subject to the muon identification and isolation criteria, with $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ are removed. Events are further required to be in the transverse mass peak region for W bosons, defined by $m_T > 50$ GeV, where m_T is the invariant transverse mass between the muon and the $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ variable, which can be formulated as $m_T(\mu, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}) \equiv \sqrt{2p_T^\mu E_T^{\text{miss}}(1 - \cos \Delta\phi)}$, where $\Delta\phi$ is the difference in azimuthal angle between the direction of the muon momentum $\vec{p}_T^\mu$ and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$. The m_T variable selection operates as a discriminator against non- W final states, such as QCD multijet background, that have a lepton candidate and nonzero $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, but a relatively low value of m_T . For the analysis of the $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ distribution, jets in the event are required to have $p_T > 100$ GeV, with the leading jet $p_T > 300$ GeV. This selection results in a boosted topology, where two jets recoil against each other and one of them can lose a significant amount of energy to the decay products of the emitted real W boson.

The primary background to the W + jets production at high jet multiplicities (i.e., four or more) is $t\bar{t}$ production. The contamination from $t\bar{t}$ events is reduced by applying a b -quark tag veto to the events that contain one or more b -tagged jets. For this veto, the combined secondary vertex tagger [38] is used as the b tagging algorithm with medium discrimination working point [39] corresponding to the

TABLE I. Numbers of events in simulation and data as a function of the exclusive jet multiplicity after the implementation of b tag veto. The processes included are: WW , WZ , and ZZ diboson (VV), QCD multijet, single top quark (Single t), $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ Drell–Yan ($DY + \text{jets}$), $t\bar{t}$, and $W(\mu\nu) + \text{jets}$ signal processes. The QCD multijet background is estimated using control data samples. The $t\bar{t}$ background is scaled as discussed in Sec. VI.

N_{jets}	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
VV	4302	1986	774	205	45	10	2
QCD multijet	2 05 800	75 138	12 074	2556	612	53	5
Single t	3392	5484	3277	1194	317	83	19
$DY + \text{jets}$	5 20 653	69 660	14 666	3041	643	133	33
$t\bar{t}$	1663	4901	8084	6170	3152	1152	319
$W(\mu\nu) + \text{jets}$	12 171 400	1 601 858	3 26 030	64 484	11 736	2072	404
Total	12 907 210	1 759 027	3 64 905	77 650	16 505	3503	782
Data	12 926 230	1 680 182	3 49 480	73 817	16 866	3964	909

misidentification probability of approximately 1% for light-flavor jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV. After the implementation of the b tag veto, the expected contributions from the background processes and the observed data are given in Table I as a function of the jet multiplicity. For jet multiplicities of 1–6, the b tag veto rejects 71%–88% of the predicted $t\bar{t}$ background and 5%–29% of the $W + \text{jets}$ signal. Differences in the data and simulation b tagging efficiencies and mistagging rates are corrected by applying data-to-simulation scale factors [39].

VI. DATA-TO-SIMULATION COMPARISONS

The goal of this analysis is to measure the differential cross sections characterizing the production of a W boson and associated jets as functions of several kinematic and angular observables with 13 TeV data. We first compare data with simulated processes at the reconstruction level for some of the observables that are used for the cross section measurement. Signal and background processes in these comparisons are simulated with the event generators described in Sec. III, with the exception of the QCD multijet background, which is estimated using a data control region with an inverted muon isolation requirement. In the data control region, the muon misidentification rate for multijet processes is estimated in a sideband region with $m_T < 50$ GeV and the multijet distribution shape template is extracted in a region with $m_T > 50$ GeV. The muon misidentification rate is then used to rescale the multijet shape template. This estimation method was used in the measurement of the $W + \text{jets}$ production cross section at 7 TeV, and it is described in detail in Ref. [1].

At high jet multiplicities, where the $W + \text{jets}$ signal is less dominant, the accuracy of the background modeling becomes more important, especially for the $t\bar{t}$ production process. We created a $t\bar{t}$ -enriched control sample by requiring two or more b -tagged jets. The purity of this $t\bar{t}$ control sample increases towards higher jet multiplicities and ranges between 79%–96% for jet multiplicities of 2–6. The differences between data and simulation observed for

jet multiplicities of 2–6 in the $t\bar{t}$ control region are expressed in terms of $t\bar{t}$ data-to-simulation scaling factors that range between 0.75 and 1.15. The $t\bar{t}$ background events are scaled by these factors in all the reconstructed-level and unfolded distributions presented in this paper for events with jet multiplicities of 2–6.

The comparison of reconstructed distributions for data and simulated processes is shown in Fig. 1 for the jet multiplicity. The p_T distribution of the leading jet and the azimuthal correlation between the muon and the leading jet in events




FIG. 1. Data-to-simulation comparison as a function of the jet multiplicity. The processes included are listed in Table I. The QCD multijet background is estimated using control samples in data. The $t\bar{t}$ background is scaled as discussed in Sec. VI. The error bars in the ratio panel represent the combined statistical uncertainty of the data and simulation.




FIG. 2. Data-to-simulation comparison as functions of the leading jet p_T (left) and $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_1)$ between the muon and the leading jet (right) for one jet inclusive production. The processes included are listed in Table I. The QCD multijet background is estimated using control samples in data. The $t\bar{t}$ background is scaled as discussed in Sec. VI. The error bars in the ratio panel represent the combined statistical uncertainty of the data and simulation.

with at least one jet are shown in Fig. 2. For each reconstructed distribution, the ratio of the sum of the simulated processes from signal and backgrounds to the data is presented to quantify possible disagreements (the corresponding error bars represent the statistical uncertainties stemming from both data and simulation). The data-to-simulation agreement is on the 5% level in almost all regions.

VII. UNFOLDING PROCEDURE

The measured distributions are obtained by subtracting the estimated backgrounds from data, where the $t\bar{t}$ events are scaled as discussed in Sec. VI. These background-subtracted distributions are corrected to the stable-particle level using an unfolding procedure for the detector effects, such as efficiency and resolution, migration of events between neighboring bins, and measurement acceptance, in order to obtain a measured cross section directly comparable to the theoretical predictions. This unfolding procedure is applied separately to each measured differential cross section and includes corrections for the trigger and the muon selection efficiencies. This procedure is performed using the method of D’Agostini iteration with early stopping [40–42] that is implemented in the statistical analysis toolkit RooUNFOLD [43]. The unfolding procedure is described briefly below.

A response matrix, which defines the event migration probability between the particle-level and reconstructed quantities, is constructed using generator and reconstruction

levels of the NLO MG_aMC FxFx $W + \text{jets}$ simulated sample, respectively. At the generator level, the events are required to pass the same kinematic selection used at the reconstruction level as described in Sec. V, including the requirements on muon p_T and $|\eta|$, jet p_T and $|y|$, and m_T . The generated values refer to the stable leptons, a muon and a neutrino, from the decay of the W boson and to jets built from stable particles excluding neutrinos, using the same algorithm as for the measurement. Particles are considered stable if their decay length $c\tau$ is greater than 1 cm. The muons are “dressed” by recombining the bare muons and all of the radiated photons in a cone of $\Delta R < 0.1$ around the muon to account for the FSR effects, which may have a significant contribution. At the generator level, the m_T of the W boson is calculated using the dressed muon and the neutrino. The response matrix, the reconstructed-level distribution and the corresponding generator-level distribution contain information about the migration probability between the reconstructed and generated quantities as well as the information used to determine the effect of inefficiencies. Events that pass the reconstructed-level selection but are absent from the generator-level selection due to migrations across neighboring bins are estimated, and these events are subtracted from the measured distribution. The unfolding procedure is regularized by choosing the number of iterations in the D’Agostini method. The iteration is optimized by folding the unfolded distributions corrected with the response matrix and comparing to the initial measured distributions. The number of iterations is

determined when the distribution obtained by folding the unfolded distribution becomes compatible with the initial measured distribution based on a χ^2 comparison. A minimum of four iterations is required to avoid biasing the unfolded results towards the simulated sample used to construct the response matrix.

VIII. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The dominant source of systematic uncertainty in the differential cross sections, which are measured as functions of the variables involving jet kinematics, is generally the jet energy scale (JES) uncertainty. It is estimated by varying the corresponding jet energy corrections by one standard deviation as described in Ref. [35]. This JES uncertainty is p_T - and η -dependent, and it can be as large as 7% in the leading jet p_T and $|\eta|$ measurements. Moreover, this uncertainty increases with the number of reconstructed jets and ranges between 1%–25% for jet multiplicities of 1–6. These JES uncertainties are propagated to the calculation of E_T^{miss} .

As described in Sec. III, scale factors are applied to correct for the data-to-simulation difference in jet energy resolution. The uncertainty in these factors is assessed by scaling the energies of the jets in the W + jets sample with two additional sets of scale factors that correspond to varying the factors up and down by one sigma and evaluating the impact of these new sets. The resulting uncertainty is of the order of 1%.

The cross sections of the background processes are varied within their theoretical uncertainties. The cross section of the largest background contribution in the higher jet multiplicities, coming from $t\bar{t}$ production process, is varied by 6%, which is a sum in quadrature of the theoretical uncertainty in the $t\bar{t}$ cross section at NNLO accuracy and the statistical uncertainties in the factors used to scale the simulated events to the observed data in the $t\bar{t}$ -enriched control region described in Sec. VI. The diboson backgrounds are simultaneously varied up and down by their theoretical cross section uncertainties of scale and PDF from MCFM (v6.6) [44] as 6% for WW and 7% for WZ and ZZ . The Z/γ^* + jets background is varied by scale and PDF uncertainties of 4% obtained from FEWZ (v3.1). The single top quark processes are varied by scale, PDF, and α_s uncertainties of 4% for the s - and t -channels and by scale and PDF uncertainties of 6% for the tW -channel. The QCD multijet background is estimated using data control regions and has an uncertainty based on the number of events in an inverted muon isolation sample, and in the low- m_T control regions, with nominal and inverted isolation requirements, which are used to calculate the normalization. The uncertainty associated with the estimated multijet background is 0.6%–46% depending on the jet multiplicity.

The uncertainties in the data-to-simulation correction factors of the b tagging efficiencies are also considered. This systematic uncertainty is assessed by adjusting the

scale factors up and down according to their uncertainties. The entire analysis is performed with these variations and the final unfolded results are compared to the results of the standard analysis. The difference is taken to be the systematic uncertainty. The effect of this uncertainty on the measured cross section changes between 0.3% and 12%, depending on the jet multiplicity.

The uncertainty in the data-to-simulation scale factors for the efficiency of muon selection is the sum in quadrature of the systematic uncertainties in the trigger, identification, and isolation efficiencies and is equal to 1.2%.

A systematic uncertainty associated with the generator used to build the unfolding response matrix is estimated by weighting the simulated events to agree with the data in p_T , $|\eta|$, H_T , $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_i)$, and $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ distributions and building a reweighted response matrix to unfold the data. The reweighting is done using a finer binning. The difference between the nominal results, and the results unfolded using the reweighted response matrix is taken as the systematic uncertainty associated with the unfolding response matrix. An uncertainty due to the finite number of simulated events used to construct the response matrix is estimated by propagating the uncertainty in the MEs through the unfolding. This uncertainty ranges from 0.1% to 12% for jet multiplicities of 1–6 and becomes the dominant source of systematic uncertainty in regions of phase space with low statistical precision especially in the $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ measurement.

The uncertainty in the pileup condition and modeling in simulated samples is assessed by varying the inelastic pp cross section, which is used to estimate the pileup contribution in data, from its central value within its determined uncertainty of $\pm 5\%$. The resulting uncertainty is of the order of 1%.

The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is estimated to be 2.3% using a method described in Ref. [45].

IX. RESULTS

The size of the data sample used in this analysis allows the measurements of cross sections of the $W(\mu\nu)$ + jets process for jet multiplicities up to six and fiducial cross sections as functions of several kinematic observables for up to four inclusive jets.

The measured W + jets differential cross section distributions are shown here in comparison with the predictions of the multileg NLO MG_aMC FxFx and multileg LO MG_aMC tree level k_T -MLM event generators, as described in Sec. III. Furthermore, the measured cross sections are compared to the fixed-order N_{jett} NNLO calculation for W + 1-jet production on the leading jet p_T and $|\eta|$, H_T , $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_1)$, and $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ distributions. The ratios of the predictions to the measurements are provided to make easier comparisons.

Total experimental uncertainties are quoted for the data in the differential cross section distributions. The multileg

LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction is given with both the statistical and systematic uncertainties. Systematic uncertainties in the NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction are obtained by varying the NNPDF 3.0 NLO PDFs and the value of α_s , and by varying independently the renormalization and factorization scales by a factor of 0.5 and 2. All possible combinations are used in variations of scales excluding only the cases where one scale is varied by a factor of 0.5 and the other one by a factor of 2. The total systematic uncertainty is the squared sum of these uncertainties. The systematic uncertainty due to variation of scale factors for the exclusive jet multiplicity distribution is computed using the method described in Refs. [46,47]. For the NNLO prediction, the theoretical uncertainty includes both statistical and systematic components, where the systematic uncertainty is calculated by varying independently the central renormalization and factorization scales by a factor of 2 up and down, disallowing the combinations where one scale is varied by a factor of 0.5 and the other one by a factor of 2.0.

The measured differential cross sections as functions of the exclusive and inclusive jet multiplicities up to 6 jets are compared with the predictions of LO MG_aMC and NLO

MG_aMC FxFx in Fig. 3. The measured cross sections and the predictions are in good agreement within uncertainties.

The measured cross sections for inclusive jet multiplicities of 1–4 are compared with the predictions as a function of the jet p_T ($|y|$) in Fig. 4 (Fig. 5). The measured cross sections as functions of the jet p_T and $|y|$ are better described by the NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction for all inclusive jet multiplicities and by the NNLO calculation for at least one jet. The LO MG_aMC prediction exhibits a slightly lower trend in estimating data in contrast to NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO on jet p_T and $|y|$ distributions, particularly at low p_T and for inclusive jet multiplicities of 1–3.

The measured cross sections as functions of the H_T variable of the jets, which is sensitive to the effects of higher order corrections, are compared with the predictions. The H_T distributions for inclusive jet multiplicities of 1–4 are shown in Fig. 6. The predictions are in good agreement with data for the H_T spectra of the jets for all inclusive jet multiplicities, with the exception of LO MG_aMC, which slightly underestimates the data at low H_T .

The differential cross sections are also measured as functions of angular variables: the azimuthal separation $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_i)$ between the muon and the jet for inclusive jet multiplicities of 1–4, and the angular distance between the




FIG. 3. Differential cross section measurement for the exclusive (left) and inclusive jet multiplicities (right), compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx and LO MG_aMC. The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The band around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction represents its theoretical uncertainty including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratios of the prediction to the unfolded data.




FIG. 4. Differential cross section measurement for the transverse momenta of the four leading jets, shown from left to right for at least 1 and 2 jets (upper) and for at least 3 and 4 jets (lower) on the figures, compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx and LO MG_aMC. The NNLO prediction for $W + 1\text{-jet}$ is included in the first leading jet p_T . The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The bands around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO predictions represent their theoretical uncertainties including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratios of the prediction to the unfolded data.




FIG. 5. Differential cross section measurement for the absolute rapidities of the four leading jets, shown from left to right for at least 1 and 2 jets (upper) and for at least 3 and 4 jets (lower) on the figures, compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx and LO MG_aMC. The NNLO prediction for $W + 1$ -jet is included in the first leading jet $|y|$. The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The bands around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO predictions represent their theoretical uncertainties including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratios of the prediction to the unfolded data.




FIG. 6. Differential cross section measurement for the jets H_T , shown from left to right for at least 1 and 2 jets (upper) and for at least 3 and 4 jets (lower) on the figures, compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx and LO MG_aMC. The NNLO prediction for $W + 1$ -jet is included in the jets H_T for one jet inclusive production. The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The bands around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO predictions represent their theoretical uncertainties including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratio of the prediction to the unfolded data.




FIG. 7. Differential cross section measurement for $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_i)$, shown from left to right for at least 1 and 2 jets (upper) and for at least 3 and 4 jets (lower) on the figures, compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx and LO MG_aMC. The NNLO prediction for $W + 1$ -jet is included in $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_1)$ for one jet inclusive production. The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The bands around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO predictions represent their theoretical uncertainties including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratio of the prediction to the unfolded data.

muon and the closest jet $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ in events with one or more jets. The measured $\Delta\phi(\mu, j_i)$ distributions are compared with the predictions in Fig. 7 and they are well described within uncertainties. This observable is sensitive to the implementation of particle emissions and other nonperturbative effects modeled by parton showering algorithms in MC generators.

The comparison of the measured $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ with the predictions is shown in Fig. 8. This observable probes the angular correlation between the muon emitted in the W boson decay and the direction of the closest jet. In the collinear region (small ΔR values), it is sensitive to the modeling of W boson radiative emission from initial- or final-state quarks. The predictions are observed to be in fairly good agreement with data within the uncertainties, but there are some differences. Around $\Delta R = 2.0$ – 2.5 , in the transition between the region dominated by back-to-back $W + N \geq 1$ -jet processes (high ΔR) and the region




FIG. 8. Differential cross section measurement for $\Delta R(\mu, \text{closest jet})$ for one jet inclusive production, compared to the predictions of NLO MG_aMC FxFx, LO MG_aMC, and the NNLO calculation. All jets in the events are required to have $p_T > 100$ GeV, with the leading jet $p_T > 300$ GeV. The black circular markers with the gray hatched band represent the unfolded data measurement and the total experimental uncertainty. The LO MG_aMC prediction is given only with its statistical uncertainty. The bands around the NLO MG_aMC FxFx and NNLO predictions represent their theoretical uncertainties including both statistical and systematic components. The lower panels show the ratio of the prediction to the unfolded data.

where the radiative W boson emission should be enhanced (low ΔR), the NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction overestimates the measured cross section. In the high- ΔR region, the LO MG_aMC prediction underestimates the data, which is consistent with the other observables.

X. SUMMARY

The first measurement of the differential cross sections for a W boson produced in association with jets in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV was presented. The collision data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 2.2 fb^{-1} and were collected with the CMS detector during 2015 at the LHC.

The differential cross sections are measured using the muon decay mode of the W boson as functions of the exclusive and inclusive jet multiplicities up to a multiplicity of six, the jet transverse momentum p_T and absolute value of rapidity $|y|$ for the four leading jets, and the scalar p_T sum of the jets H_T for an inclusive jet multiplicity up to four. The differential cross sections are also measured as a function of the azimuthal separation between the muon direction from the W boson decay and the direction of the leading jet for up to four inclusive jets, and of the angular distance between the muon and the closest jet in events with at least one jet.

The background-subtracted data distributions are corrected for all detector effects by means of regularized unfolding and compared with the predictions of MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO at leading-order (LO) accuracy (LO MG_aMC) and at next-to-LO (NLO) accuracy (NLO MG_aMC FxFx). The measured data are also compared with a calculation based on the N -jettiness subtraction scheme at next-to-NLO (NNLO) accuracy for $W + 1$ -jet production.

The predictions describe the data well within uncertainties as functions of the exclusive and inclusive jet multiplicities and are in good agreement with data for the jet p_T spectra, with the exception of the LO MG_aMC prediction, which underestimates the data at low to moderate jet p_T . The measured H_T distributions are well modeled both by the NLO MG_aMC FxFx prediction for all inclusive jet multiplicities and the NNLO calculation for $W + 1$ -jet. The LO MG_aMC prediction underestimates the measured cross sections at low H_T . All predictions accurately describe the jet $|y|$ distributions and the cross sections as a function of the azimuthal correlation between the muon and the leading jet. The measured cross section as a function of the angular distance between the muon and the closest jet, which is sensitive to electroweak emission of W bosons, is best described by the NNLO calculation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We extend our thanks to Radja Boughezal for providing the NNLO predictions for this analysis [9,31].

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMFWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR and RAEP (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI and FEDER (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA

(Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract No. 675440 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus programme of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Clarín-COFUND del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); and the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845.

-
- [1] CMS Collaboration, Differential cross section measurements for the production of a W boson in association with jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **741**, 12 (2015).
 - [2] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of differential cross sections for associated production of a W boson and jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 052002 (2017).
 - [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of the W production cross sections in association with jets with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 82 (2015).
 - [4] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of W boson angular distributions in events with high transverse momentum jets at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* **765**, 132 (2017).
 - [5] U. Baur, Weak boson emission in hadron collider processes, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 013005 (2007).
 - [6] J. R. Christiansen and T. Sjöstrand, Weak gauge boson radiation in parton showers, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2014) 115.
 - [7] F. Krauss, P. Petrov, M. Schönherr, and M. Spannowsky, Measuring collinear W emissions inside jets, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 114006 (2014).
 - [8] R. Boughezal, C. Focke, and X. Liu, Jet vetoes versus giant K-factors in the exclusive Z + 1-jet cross section, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 094002 (2015).
 - [9] R. Boughezal, X. Liu, and F. Petriello, W-boson plus jet differential distributions at NNLO in QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 113009 (2016).
 - [10] J. R. Christiansen and S. Prestel, Merging weak and QCD showers with matrix elements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 39 (2016).
 - [11] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **7**, P10002 (2012).
 - [12] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P01020 (2017).
 - [13] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).
 - [14] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4), GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
 - [15] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching

- to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2014) 079.
- [16] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2012) 061.
- [17] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2004) 040.
- [18] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2007) 070.
- [19] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2010) 043.
- [20] E. Re, Single-top Wt -channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1547 (2011).
- [21] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, and G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2011) 078.
- [22] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 026.
- [23] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159 (2015).
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 155 (2016).
- [25] R. D. Ball, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali, A first unbiased global NLO determination of parton distributions and their uncertainties, *Nucl. Phys.* **B838**, 136 (2010).
- [26] R. D. Ball, Valerio Bertone, Francesco Cerutti, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali, Impact of heavy quark masses on parton distributions and LHC phenomenology, *Nucl. Phys.* **B849**, 296 (2011).
- [27] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, D. Stefano, C. S. Deans, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, M. Ubiali, Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 040.
- [28] J. Alwall, S. Höche, F. Krauss, N. Lavesson, L. Lönnblad, F. Maltoni, M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, C. G. Papadopoulos, F. Piccinini, S. Schumann, M. Treccani, J. Winter, and M. Worek, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53**, 473 (2008).
- [29] J. Alwall, S. de Visscher, and F. Maltoni, QCD radiation in the production of heavy colored particles at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2009) 017.
- [30] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, and S. Quackenbush, W physics at the LHC with FEWZ 2.1, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 209 (2013).
- [31] R. Boughezal, C. Focke, X. Liu, and F. Petriello, W-Boson Production in Association with a Jet at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order in Perturbative QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 062002 (2015).
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *JINST* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [33] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063.
- [34] Matteo Cacciari, Gavin P. Salam, and Gregory Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1896 (2012).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P02014 (2017).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2017, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2256875>.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-16-004, 2016, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2205284>.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment, *J. Instrum.* **8**, P04013 (2013).
- [39] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-BTV-15-001, 2016, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2138504>.
- [40] G. D'Agostini, A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **362**, 487 (1995).
- [41] W. H. Richardson, Bayesian-based iterative method of image restoration, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **62**, 55 (1972).
- [42] L. B. Lucy, An iterative technique for the rectification of observed distributions, *Astron. J.* **79**, 745 (1974).
- [43] T. Auye, Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold, [arXiv:1105.1160](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1160).
- [44] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, MCFM for the Tevatron and the LHC, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **205–206**, 10 (2010).
- [45] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-15-001 (2016), <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2138682>.
- [46] S. Gangal and F. J. Tackmann, Next-to-leading-order uncertainties in Higgs + 2 jets from gluon fusion, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 093008 (2013).
- [47] I. W. Stewart and F. J. Tackmann, Theory uncertainties for Higgs and other searches using jet bins, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 034011 (2012).

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² F. Ambrogio,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² E. Brondolin,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² M. Flechl,² M. Friedl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² J. Grossmann,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} A. König,² N. Krammer,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Madlener,² I. Mikulec,² E. Pree,² D. Rabady,² N. Rad,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöffbeck,² M. Spanring,² D. Spitzbart,² J. Strauss,² W. Waltenberger,² J. Wittmann,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b}

- M. Zarucki,² V. Chekhovsky,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ D. Di Croce,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ J. Lauwers,⁴ M. Van De Klundert,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ S. Abu Zeid,⁵ F. Blekman,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ I. De Bruyn,⁵ J. De Clercq,⁵ K. Deroover,⁵ G. Flouris,⁵ D. Lontkovskiy,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ L. Moreels,⁵ A. Olbrechts,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ K. Skovpen,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ I. Van Parijs,⁵ H. Brun,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ H. Delannoy,⁶ G. Fasanella,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ R. Goldouzian,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ G. Karapostoli,⁶ T. Lenzi,⁶ J. Luetic,⁶ T. Maerschalk,⁶ A. Marinov,⁶ A. Randle-conde,⁶ T. Seva,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ D. Vannerom,⁶ R. Yonamine,⁶ F. Zenoni,⁶ F. Zhang,^{6,c} A. Cimmino,⁷ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ A. Fagot,⁷ M. Gul,⁷ I. Khvastunov,⁷ D. Poyraz,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ S. Salva,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ W. Verbeke,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁸ O. Bondu,⁸ S. Brochet,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ A. Caudron,⁸ S. De Visscher,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ M. Delcourt,⁸ B. Francois,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ A. Jafari,⁸ M. Komm,⁸ G. Krintiras,⁸ V. Lemaître,⁸ A. Magitteri,⁸ A. Mertens,⁸ M. Musich,⁸ K. Piotrkowski,⁸ L. Quertenmont,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ S. Wertz,⁸ N. Beliy,⁹ W. L. Aldá Júnior,¹⁰ F. L. Alves,¹⁰ G. A. Alves,¹⁰ L. Brito,¹⁰ M. Correa Martins Junior,¹⁰ C. Hensel,¹⁰ A. Moraes,¹⁰ M. E. Pol,¹⁰ P. Rebello Teles,¹⁰ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹¹ W. Carvalho,¹¹ J. Chinellato,^{11,d} A. Custódio,¹¹ E. M. Da Costa,¹¹ G. G. Da Silveira,^{11,e} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹¹ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹¹ L. M. Huertas Guativa,¹¹ H. Malbouisson,¹¹ M. Melo De Almeida,¹¹ C. Mora Herrera,¹¹ L. Mundim,¹¹ H. Nogima,¹¹ A. Santoro,¹¹ A. Sznajder,¹¹ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{11,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹¹ A. Vilela Pereira,¹¹ S. Ahuja,^{12a} C. A. Bernardes,^{12a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{12a} E. M. Gregores,^{12b} P. G. Mercadante,^{12b} S. F. Novaes,^{12a} Sandra S. Padula,^{12a} D. Romero Abad,^{12b} J. C. Ruiz Vargas,^{12a} A. Aleksandrov,¹³ R. Hadjiiska,¹³ P. Iaydjiev,¹³ M. Misheva,¹³ M. Rodozov,¹³ M. Shopova,¹³ S. Stoykova,¹³ G. Sultanov,¹³ A. Dimitrov,¹⁴ I. Glushkov,¹⁴ L. Litov,¹⁴ B. Pavlov,¹⁴ P. Petkov,¹⁴ W. Fang,^{15,f} X. Gao,^{15,f} M. Ahmad,¹⁶ J. G. Bian,¹⁶ G. M. Chen,¹⁶ H. S. Chen,¹⁶ M. Chen,¹⁶ Y. Chen,¹⁶ C. H. Jiang,¹⁶ D. Leggat,¹⁶ Z. Liu,¹⁶ F. Romeo,¹⁶ S. M. Shaheen,¹⁶ A. Spiezia,¹⁶ J. Tao,¹⁶ C. Wang,¹⁶ Z. Wang,¹⁶ E. Yazgan,¹⁶ H. Zhang,¹⁶ J. Zhao,¹⁶ Y. Ban,¹⁷ G. Chen,¹⁷ Q. Li,¹⁷ S. Liu,¹⁷ Y. Mao,¹⁷ S. J. Qian,¹⁷ D. Wang,¹⁷ Z. Xu,¹⁷ C. Avila,¹⁸ A. Cabrera,¹⁸ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁸ C. Florez,¹⁸ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁸ J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,¹⁸ B. Courbon,¹⁹ N. Godinovic,¹⁹ D. Lelas,¹⁹ I. Puljak,¹⁹ P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,¹⁹ T. Sculac,¹⁹ Z. Antunovic,²⁰ M. Kovac,²⁰ V. Brigljevic,²¹ D. Ferencek,²¹ K. Kadija,²¹ B. Mesic,²¹ T. Susa,²¹ M. W. Ather,²² A. Attikis,²² G. Mavromanolakis,²² J. Mousa,²² C. Nicolaou,²² F. Ptochos,²² P. A. Razis,²² H. Rykaczewski,²² M. Finger,^{23,g} M. Finger Jr.,^{23,g} E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁴ Y. Assran,^{25,h,i} M. A. Mahmoud,^{25,j,i} A. Mahrous,^{25,k} R. K. Dewanjee,²⁶ M. Kadastik,²⁶ L. Perrini,²⁶ M. Raidal,²⁶ A. Tiko,²⁶ C. Veelken,²⁶ P. Eerola,²⁷ J. Pekkanen,²⁷ M. Voutilainen,²⁷ J. Härkönen,²⁸ T. Järvinen,²⁸ V. Karimäki,²⁸ R. Kinnunen,²⁸ T. Lampén,²⁸ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁸ S. Lehti,²⁸ T. Lindén,²⁸ P. Luukka,²⁸ E. Tuominen,²⁸ J. Tuominiemi,²⁸ E. Tuovinen,²⁸ J. Talvitie,²⁹ T. Tuuva,²⁹ M. Besancon,³⁰ F. Couderc,³⁰ M. Dejardin,³⁰ D. Denegri,³⁰ J. L. Faure,³⁰ F. Ferri,³⁰ S. Ganjour,³⁰ S. Ghosh,³⁰ A. Givernaud,³⁰ P. Gras,³⁰ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³⁰ P. Jarry,³⁰ I. Kucher,³⁰ E. Locci,³⁰ M. Machet,³⁰ J. Malcles,³⁰ G. Negro,³⁰ J. Rander,³⁰ A. Rosowsky,³⁰ M. Ö. Sahin,³⁰ M. Titov,³⁰ A. Abdulsalam,³¹ I. Antropov,³¹ S. Baffioni,³¹ F. Beaudette,³¹ P. Busson,³¹ L. Cadamuro,³¹ C. Charlot,³¹ R. Granier de Cassagnac,³¹ M. Jo,³¹ S. Lisniak,³¹ A. Lobanov,³¹ J. Martin Blanco,³¹ M. Nguyen,³¹ C. Ochando,³¹ G. Ortona,³¹ P. Paganini,³¹ P. Pigard,³¹ S. Regnard,³¹ R. Salerno,³¹ J. B. Sauvan,³¹ Y. Sirois,³¹ A. G. Stahl Leiton,³¹ T. Streblar,³¹ Y. Yilmaz,³¹ A. Zabi,³¹ A. Zghiche,³¹ J.-L. Agram,^{32,l} J. Andrea,³² D. Bloch,³² J.-M. Brom,³² M. Buttignol,³² E. C. Chabert,³² N. Chanon,³² C. Collard,³² E. Conte,^{32,l} X. Coubez,³² J.-C. Fontaine,^{32,l} D. Gelé,³² U. Goerlach,³² M. Jansová,³² A.-C. Le Bihan,³² N. Tonon,³² P. Van Hove,³² S. Gadrat,³³ S. Beauceron,³⁴ C. Bernet,³⁴ G. Boudoul,³⁴ R. Chierici,³⁴ D. Contardo,³⁴ P. Depasse,³⁴ H. El Mamouni,³⁴ J. Fay,³⁴ L. Finco,³⁴ S. Gascon,³⁴ M. Gouzevitch,³⁴ G. Grenier,³⁴ B. Ille,³⁴ F. Lagarde,³⁴ I. B. Laktineh,³⁴ M. Lethuillier,³⁴ L. Mirabito,³⁴ A. L. Pequegnot,³⁴ S. Perries,³⁴ A. Popov,^{34,m} V. Sordini,³⁴ M. Vander Donckt,³⁴ S. Viret,³⁴ A. Khvedelidze,^{35,g} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{36,g} C. Autermann,³⁷ S. Beranek,³⁷ L. Feld,³⁷ M. K. Kiesel,³⁷ K. Klein,³⁷ M. Lipinski,³⁷ M. Preuten,³⁷ C. Schomakers,³⁷ J. Schulz,³⁷ T. Verlage,³⁷ A. Albert,³⁸ M. Brodski,³⁸ E. Dietz-Laursonn,³⁸ D. Duchardt,³⁸ M. Endres,³⁸ M. Erdmann,³⁸ S. Erdweg,³⁸ T. Esch,³⁸ R. Fischer,³⁸ A. Güth,³⁸ M. Hamer,³⁸ T. Hebbeker,³⁸ C. Heidemann,³⁸ K. Hoepfner,³⁸ S. Knutzen,³⁸ M. Merschmeyer,³⁸ A. Meyer,³⁸ P. Millet,³⁸ S. Mukherjee,³⁸ M. Olschewski,³⁸ K. Padeken,³⁸ T. Pook,³⁸ M. Radziej,³⁸ H. Reithler,³⁸ M. Rieger,³⁸ F. Scheuch,³⁸ D. Teyssier,³⁸ S. Thüer,³⁸ G. Flügge,³⁹ B. Kargoll,³⁹ T. Kress,³⁹ A. Künsken,³⁹ J. Lingemann,³⁹ T. Müller,³⁹ A. Nehr Korn,³⁹ A. Nowack,³⁹ C. Pistone,³⁹ O. Pooth,³⁹ A. Stahl,^{39,n} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴⁰ T. Arndt,⁴⁰ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴⁰ K. Beernaert,⁴⁰ O. Behnke,⁴⁰ U. Behrens,⁴⁰ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴⁰ K. Borras,^{40,o} V. Botta,⁴⁰ A. Campbell,⁴⁰ P. Connor,⁴⁰ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴⁰ F. Costanza,⁴⁰ C. Diez Pardos,⁴⁰ G. Eckerlin,⁴⁰ D. Eckstein,⁴⁰ T. Eichhorn,⁴⁰ E. Eren,⁴⁰ E. Gallo,^{40,p} J. Garay Garcia,⁴⁰ A. Geiser,⁴⁰ A. Gizhko,⁴⁰ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴⁰ A. Grohsjean,⁴⁰ P. Gunnellini,⁴⁰ A. Harb,⁴⁰ J. Hauk,⁴⁰ M. Hempel,^{40,q} H. Jung,⁴⁰

- A. Kalogeropoulos,⁴⁰ M. Kasemann,⁴⁰ J. Keaveney,⁴⁰ C. Kleinwort,⁴⁰ I. Korol,⁴⁰ D. Krücker,⁴⁰ W. Lange,⁴⁰ A. Lelek,⁴⁰ T. Lenz,⁴⁰ J. Leonard,⁴⁰ K. Lipka,⁴⁰ W. Lohmann,^{40,q} R. Mankel,⁴⁰ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴⁰ A. B. Meyer,⁴⁰ G. Mittag,⁴⁰ J. Mnich,⁴⁰ A. Mussgiller,⁴⁰ E. Ntomari,⁴⁰ D. Pitzl,⁴⁰ R. Placakyte,⁴⁰ A. Raspereza,⁴⁰ B. Roland,⁴⁰ M. Savitskyi,⁴⁰ P. Saxena,⁴⁰ R. Shevchenko,⁴⁰ S. Spannagel,⁴⁰ N. Stefaniuk,⁴⁰ G. P. Van Onsem,⁴⁰ R. Walsh,⁴⁰ Y. Wen,⁴⁰ K. Wichmann,⁴⁰ C. Wissing,⁴⁰ O. Zenaiev,⁴⁰ S. Bein,⁴¹ V. Blobel,⁴¹ M. Centis Vignali,⁴¹ A. R. Draeger,⁴¹ T. Dreyer,⁴¹ E. Garutti,⁴¹ D. Gonzalez,⁴¹ J. Haller,⁴¹ A. Hinemann,⁴¹ M. Hoffmann,⁴¹ A. Karavdina,⁴¹ R. Klanner,⁴¹ R. Kogler,⁴¹ N. Kovalchuk,⁴¹ S. Kurz,⁴¹ T. Lapsien,⁴¹ I. Marchesini,⁴¹ D. Marconi,⁴¹ M. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Niedziela,⁴¹ D. Nowatschin,⁴¹ F. Pantaleo,^{41,n} T. Peiffer,⁴¹ A. Perieanu,⁴¹ C. Scharf,⁴¹ P. Schleper,⁴¹ A. Schmidt,⁴¹ S. Schumann,⁴¹ J. Schwandt,⁴¹ J. Sonneveld,⁴¹ H. Stadie,⁴¹ G. Steinbrück,⁴¹ F. M. Stober,⁴¹ M. Stöver,⁴¹ H. Tholen,⁴¹ D. Troendle,⁴¹ E. Usai,⁴¹ L. Vanelderen,⁴¹ A. Vanhoefer,⁴¹ B. Vormwald,⁴¹ M. Akbiyik,⁴² C. Barth,⁴² S. Baur,⁴² E. Butz,⁴² R. Caspart,⁴² T. Chwalek,⁴² F. Colombo,⁴² W. De Boer,⁴² A. Dierlamm,⁴² B. Freund,⁴² R. Friesse,⁴² M. Giffels,⁴² A. Gilbert,⁴² D. Haitz,⁴² F. Hartmann,^{42,n} S. M. Heindl,⁴² U. Husemann,⁴² F. Kassel,^{42,n} S. Kudella,⁴² H. Mildner,⁴² M. U. Mozer,⁴² Th. Müller,⁴² M. Plagge,⁴² G. Quast,⁴² K. Rabbertz,⁴² M. Schröder,⁴² I. Shvetsov,⁴² G. Sieber,⁴² H. J. Simonis,⁴² R. Ulrich,⁴² S. Wayand,⁴² M. Weber,⁴² T. Weiler,⁴² S. Williamson,⁴² C. Wöhrmann,⁴² R. Wolf,⁴² G. Anagnostou,⁴³ G. Daskalakis,⁴³ T. Geralis,⁴³ V. A. Giakoumopoulou,⁴³ A. Kyriakis,⁴³ D. Loukas,⁴³ I. Topsis-Giotis,⁴³ S. Kesisoglou,⁴⁴ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁴ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁴ I. Evangelou,⁴⁵ C. Foudas,⁴⁵ P. Kokkas,⁴⁵ N. Manthos,⁴⁵ I. Papadopoulos,⁴⁵ E. Paradas,⁴⁵ J. Strolagos,⁴⁵ F. A. Triantis,⁴⁵ M. Csanad,⁴⁶ N. Filipovic,⁴⁶ G. Pasztor,⁴⁶ G. Bencze,⁴⁷ C. Hajdu,⁴⁷ D. Horvath,^{47,r} Á. Hunyadi,⁴⁷ F. Sikler,⁴⁷ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁷ G. Vesztergombi,^{47,s} A. J. Zsigmond,⁴⁷ N. Beni,⁴⁸ S. Czellar,⁴⁸ J. Karancsi,^{48,t} A. Makovec,⁴⁸ J. Molnar,⁴⁸ Z. Szillasi,⁴⁸ M. Bartók,^{49,s} P. Raics,⁴⁹ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁴⁹ B. Ujvari,⁴⁹ S. Choudhury,⁵⁰ J. R. Komaragiri,⁵⁰ S. Bahinipati,^{51,u} S. Bhowmik,⁵¹ P. Mal,⁵¹ K. Mandal,⁵¹ A. Nayak,^{51,v} D. K. Sahoo,^{51,u} N. Sahoo,⁵¹ S. K. Swain,⁵¹ S. Bansal,⁵² S. B. Beri,⁵² V. Bhatnagar,⁵² U. Bhawandeep,⁵² R. Chawla,⁵² N. Dhingra,⁵² A. K. Kalsi,⁵² A. Kaur,⁵² M. Kaur,⁵² R. Kumar,⁵² P. Kumari,⁵² A. Mehta,⁵² J. B. Singh,⁵² G. Walia,⁵² Ashok Kumar,⁵³ Aashaq Shah,⁵³ A. Bhardwaj,⁵³ S. Chauhan,⁵³ B. C. Choudhary,⁵³ R. B. Garg,⁵³ S. Keshri,⁵³ A. Kumar,⁵³ S. Malhotra,⁵³ M. Naimuddin,⁵³ K. Ranjan,⁵³ R. Sharma,⁵³ V. Sharma,⁵³ R. Bhardwaj,⁵⁴ R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁴ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁴ S. Dey,⁵⁴ S. Dutt,⁵⁴ S. Dutta,⁵⁴ S. Ghosh,⁵⁴ N. Majumdar,⁵⁴ A. Modak,⁵⁴ K. Mondal,⁵⁴ S. Mukhopadhyay,⁵⁴ S. Nandan,⁵⁴ A. Purohit,⁵⁴ A. Roy,⁵⁴ D. Roy,⁵⁴ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁴ S. Sarkar,⁵⁴ M. Sharan,⁵⁴ S. Thakur,⁵⁴ P. K. Behera,⁵⁵ R. Chudasama,⁵⁶ D. Dutta,⁵⁶ V. Jha,⁵⁶ V. Kumar,⁵⁶ A. K. Mohanty,^{56,n} P. K. Netrakanti,⁵⁶ L. M. Pant,⁵⁶ P. Shukla,⁵⁶ A. Topkar,⁵⁶ T. Aziz,⁵⁷ S. Dugad,⁵⁷ B. Mahakud,⁵⁷ S. Mitra,⁵⁷ G. B. Mohanty,⁵⁷ B. Parida,⁵⁷ N. Sur,⁵⁷ B. Sutar,⁵⁷ S. Banerjee,⁵⁸ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁸ S. Chatterjee,⁵⁸ P. Das,⁵⁸ M. Guchait,⁵⁸ Sa. Jain,⁵⁸ S. Kumar,⁵⁸ M. Maity,^{58,w} G. Majumder,⁵⁸ K. Mazumdar,⁵⁸ T. Sarkar,^{58,w} N. Wickramage,^{58,x} S. Chauhan,⁵⁹ S. Dube,⁵⁹ V. Hegde,⁵⁹ A. Kapoor,⁵⁹ K. Kotheekar,⁵⁹ S. Pandey,⁵⁹ A. Rane,⁵⁹ S. Sharma,⁵⁹ S. Chenarani,^{60,y} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶⁰ S. M. Etesami,^{60,y} M. Khakzad,⁶⁰ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶⁰ M. Naseri,⁶⁰ S. Pakinat Mehdiabadi,^{60,z} F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁶⁰ B. Safarzadeh,^{60,aa} M. Zeinali,⁶⁰ M. Felcini,⁶¹ M. Grunewald,⁶¹ M. Abbrescia,^{62a,62b} C. Calabria,^{62a,62b} C. Caputo,^{62a,62b} A. Colaleo,^{62a} D. Creanza,^{62a,62c} L. Cristella,^{62a,62b} N. De Filippis,^{62a,62c} M. De Palma,^{62a,62b} F. Errico,^{62a,62b} L. Fiore,^{62a} G. Iaselli,^{62a,62c} S. Lezki,^{62a,62b} G. Maggi,^{62a,62c} M. Maggi,^{62a} G. Miniello,^{62a,62b} S. My,^{62a,62b} S. Nuzzo,^{62a,62b} A. Pompili,^{62a,62b} G. Pugliese,^{62a,62c} R. Radogna,^{62a,62b} A. Ranieri,^{62a} G. Selvaggi,^{62a,62b} A. Sharma,^{62a} L. Silvestris,^{62a,n} R. Venditti,^{62a} P. Verwilligen,^{62a} G. Abbiendi,^{63a} C. Battilana,^{63a} D. Bonacorsi,^{63a,63b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{63a,63b} L. Brigliadori,^{63a,63b} R. Campanini,^{63a,63b} P. Capiluppi,^{63a,63b} A. Castro,^{63a,63b} F. R. Cavallo,^{63a} S. S. Chhibra,^{63a,63b} G. Codispoti,^{63a,63b} M. Cuffiani,^{63a,63b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{63a} F. Fabbri,^{63a} A. Fanfani,^{63a,63b} D. Fasanella,^{63a,63b} P. Giacomelli,^{63a} L. Guiducci,^{63a,63b} S. Marcellini,^{63a} G. Masetti,^{63a} F. L. Navarra,^{63a,63b} A. Perrotta,^{63a} A. M. Rossi,^{63a,63b} T. Rovelli,^{63a,63b} G. P. Siroli,^{63a,63b} N. Tosi,^{63a,63b,n} S. Albergo,^{64a,64b} S. Costa,^{64a,64b} A. Di Mattia,^{64a} F. Giordano,^{64a,64b} R. Potenza,^{64a,64b} A. Tricomi,^{64a,64b} C. Tuve,^{64a,64b} G. Barbagli,^{65a} K. Chatterjee,^{65a,65b} V. Ciulli,^{65a,65b} C. Cividini,^{65a} R. D'Alessandro,^{65a,65b} E. Focardi,^{65a,65b} P. Lenzi,^{65a,65b} M. Meschini,^{65a} S. Paoletti,^{65a} L. Russo,^{65a,bb} G. Sguazzoni,^{65a} D. Strom,^{65a} L. Viliani,^{65a,65b,n} L. Benussi,⁶⁶ S. Bianco,⁶⁶ F. Fabbri,⁶⁶ D. Piccolo,⁶⁶ F. Primavera,^{66,n} V. Calvelli,^{67a,67b} F. Ferro,^{67a} E. Robutti,^{67a} S. Tosi,^{67a,67b} L. Brianza,^{68a,68b} F. Brivio,^{68a,68b} V. Ciriolo,^{68a,68b} M. E. Dinardo,^{68a,68b} S. Fiorendi,^{68a,68b} S. Gennai,^{68a} A. Ghezzi,^{68a,68b} P. Govoni,^{68a,68b} M. Malberti,^{68a,68b} S. Malvezzi,^{68a} R. A. Manzoni,^{68a,68b} D. Menasce,^{68a} L. Moroni,^{68a} M. Paganoni,^{68a,68b} K. Pauwels,^{68a,68b} D. Pedrini,^{68a} S. Pigazzini,^{68a,68b,cc} S. Ragazzi,^{68a,68b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis,^{69a} S. Buontempo,^{69a} N. Cavallo,^{69a,69c} S. Di Guida,^{69a,69d,n} F. Fabozzi,^{69a,69c} F. Fienga,^{69a,69b} A. O. M. Iorio,^{69a,69b} W. A. Khan,^{69a} L. Lista,^{69a} S. Meola,^{69a,69d,n} P. Paolucci,^{69a,n} C. Sciacca,^{69a,69b} F. Thyssen,^{69a} P. Azzi,^{70a,n} N. Bacchetta,^{70a} L. Benato,^{70a,70b} D. Bisello,^{70a,70b} A. Boletti,^{70a,70b} P. Checchia,^{70a}

- M. Dall'Osso,^{70a,70b} P. De Castro Manzano,^{70a} T. Dorigo,^{70a} U. Dosselli,^{70a} F. Gasparini,^{70a,70b} U. Gasparini,^{70a,70b}
 F. Gonella,^{70a} M. Gulmini,^{70a,dd} S. Lacaprara,^{70a} M. Margoni,^{70a,70b} A. T. Meneguzzo,^{70a,70b} N. Pozzobon,^{70a,70b}
 P. Ronchese,^{70a,70b} R. Rossin,^{70a,70b} F. Simonetto,^{70a,70b} E. Torassa,^{70a} S. Ventura,^{70a} M. Zanetti,^{70a,70b} P. Zotto,^{70a,70b}
 G. Zumerle,^{70a,70b} A. Braghieri,^{71a} F. Fallavollita,^{71a,71b} A. Magnani,^{71a,71b} P. Montagna,^{71a,71b} S. P. Ratti,^{71a,71b} V. Re,^{71a}
 M. Ressegotti,^{71a} C. Riccardi,^{71a,71b} P. Salvini,^{71a} I. Vai,^{71a,71b} P. Vitulo,^{71a,71b} L. Alunni Solestizi,^{72a,72b} M. Biasini,^{72a,72b}
 G. M. Bilei,^{72a} C. Cecchi,^{72a} D. Ciangottini,^{72a,72b} L. Fanò,^{72a,72b} P. Lariccia,^{72a,72b} R. Leonardi,^{72a,72b} E. Manoni,^{72a}
 G. Mantovani,^{72a,72b} V. Mariani,^{72a,72b} M. Menichelli,^{72a} A. Rossi,^{72a} A. Saha,^{72a} A. Santocchia,^{72a,72b} D. Spiga,^{72a}
 K. Androsov,^{73a} P. Azzurri,^{73a,n} G. Bagliesi,^{73a} J. Bernardini,^{73a} T. Boccali,^{73a} L. Borrello,^{73a} R. Castaldi,^{73a}
 M. A. Ciocci,^{73a,73b} R. Dell'Orso,^{73a} G. Fedì,^{73a} L. Giannini,^{73a,73c} A. Giassi,^{73a} M. T. Grippo,^{73a,bb} F. Ligabue,^{73a,73c}
 T. Lomtadze,^{73a} E. Manca,^{73a,73c} G. Mandorli,^{73a,73c} L. Martini,^{73a,73b} A. Messineo,^{73a,73b} F. Palla,^{73a} A. Rizzi,^{73a,73b}
 A. Savoy-Navarro,^{73a,ee} P. Spagnolo,^{73a} R. Tenchini,^{73a} G. Tonelli,^{73a,73b} A. Venturi,^{73a} P. G. Verdini,^{73a} L. Barone,^{74a,74b}
 F. Cavallari,^{74a} M. Cipriani,^{74a,74b} D. Del Re,^{74a,74b,n} M. Diemoz,^{74a} S. Gelli,^{74a,74b} E. Longo,^{74a,74b} F. Margaroli,^{74a,74b}
 B. Marzocchi,^{74a,74b} P. Meridiani,^{74a} G. Organtini,^{74a,74b} R. Paramatti,^{74a,74b} F. Preiato,^{74a,74b} S. Rahatlou,^{74a,74b} C. Rovelli,^{74a}
 F. Santanastasio,^{74a,74b} N. Amapane,^{75a,75b} R. Arcidiacono,^{75a,75c,n} S. Argiro,^{75a,75b} M. Arneodo,^{75a,75c} N. Bartosik,^{75a}
 R. Bellan,^{75a,75b} C. Biino,^{75a} N. Cartiglia,^{75a} F. Cenna,^{75a,75b} M. Costa,^{75a,75b} R. Covarelli,^{75a,75b} A. Degano,^{75a,75b}
 N. Demaria,^{75a} B. Kiani,^{75a,75b} C. Mariotti,^{75a} S. Maselli,^{75a} E. Migliore,^{75a,75b} V. Monaco,^{75a,75b} E. Monteil,^{75a,75b}
 M. Monteno,^{75a} M. M. Obertino,^{75a,75b} L. Pacher,^{75a,75b} N. Pastrone,^{75a} M. Pelliccioni,^{75a} G. L. Pinna Angioni,^{75a,75b}
 F. Ravera,^{75a,75b} A. Romero,^{75a,75b} M. Ruspa,^{75a,75c} R. Sacchi,^{75a,75b} K. Shchelina,^{75a,75b} V. Sola,^{75a} A. Solano,^{75a,75b}
 A. Staiano,^{75a} P. Traczyk,^{75a,75b} S. Belforte,^{76a} M. Casarsa,^{76a} F. Cossutti,^{76a} G. Della Ricca,^{76a,76b} A. Zanetti,^{76a} D. H. Kim,⁷⁷
 G. N. Kim,⁷⁷ M. S. Kim,⁷⁷ J. Lee,⁷⁷ S. Lee,⁷⁷ S. W. Lee,⁷⁷ C. S. Moon,⁷⁷ Y. D. Oh,⁷⁷ S. Sekmen,⁷⁷ D. C. Son,⁷⁷ Y. C. Yang,⁷⁷
 A. Lee,⁷⁸ H. Kim,⁷⁹ D. H. Moon,⁷⁹ G. Oh,⁷⁹ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,⁸⁰ J. Goh,⁸⁰ T. J. Kim,⁸⁰ S. Cho,⁸¹ S. Choi,⁸¹ Y. Go,⁸¹
 D. Gyun,⁸¹ S. Ha,⁸¹ B. Hong,⁸¹ Y. Jo,⁸¹ Y. Kim,⁸¹ K. Lee,⁸¹ K. S. Lee,⁸¹ S. Lee,⁸¹ J. Lim,⁸¹ S. K. Park,⁸¹ Y. Roh,⁸¹
 J. Almond,⁸² J. Kim,⁸² J. S. Kim,⁸² H. Lee,⁸² K. Lee,⁸² K. Nam,⁸² S. B. Oh,⁸² B. C. Radburn-Smith,⁸² S. h. Seo,⁸²
 U. K. Yang,⁸² H. D. Yoo,⁸² G. B. Yu,⁸² M. Choi,⁸³ H. Kim,⁸³ J. H. Kim,⁸³ J. S. H. Lee,⁸³ I. C. Park,⁸³ G. Ryu,⁸³ Y. Choi,⁸⁴
 C. Hwang,⁸⁴ J. Lee,⁸⁴ I. Yu,⁸⁴ V. Dudenias,⁸⁵ A. Juodagalvis,⁸⁵ J. Vaitkus,⁸⁵ I. Ahmed,⁸⁶ Z. A. Ibrahim,⁸⁶ M. A. B. Md Ali,^{86,ff}
 F. Mohamad Idris,^{86,gg} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah,⁸⁶ M. N. Yusli,⁸⁶ Z. Zolkapli,⁸⁶ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁸⁷ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁸⁷
 I. Heredia-De La Cruz,^{87,hh} R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁸⁷ J. Mejia Guisao,⁸⁷ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁸⁷ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁸⁸
 C. Oropeza Barrera,⁸⁸ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁸⁸ I. Pedraza,⁸⁹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁸⁹ C. Uribe Estrada,⁸⁹
 A. Morelos Pineda,⁹⁰ D. Krofcheck,⁹¹ P. H. Butler,⁹² A. Ahmad,⁹³ M. Ahmad,⁹³ Q. Hassan,⁹³ H. R. Hoorani,⁹³
 A. Saddique,⁹³ M. A. Shah,⁹³ M. Shoaib,⁹³ M. Waqas,⁹³ H. Bialkowska,⁹⁴ M. Bluj,⁹⁴ B. Boimska,⁹⁴ T. Frueboes,⁹⁴
 M. Górski,⁹⁴ M. Kazana,⁹⁴ K. Nawrocki,⁹⁴ K. Romanowska-Rybinska,⁹⁴ M. Szeleper,⁹⁴ P. Zalewski,⁹⁴ K. Bunkowski,⁹⁵
 A. Byszuk,^{95,ii} K. Doroba,⁹⁵ A. Kalinowski,⁹⁵ M. Konecki,⁹⁵ J. Krolikowski,⁹⁵ M. Misiura,⁹⁵ M. Olszewski,⁹⁵ A. Pyskir,⁹⁵
 M. Walczak,⁹⁵ P. Bargassa,⁹⁶ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁹⁶ B. Calpas,⁹⁶ A. Di Francesco,⁹⁶ P. Faccioli,⁹⁶ M. Gallinaro,⁹⁶
 J. Hollar,⁹⁶ N. Leonardo,⁹⁶ L. Lloret Iglesias,⁹⁶ M. V. Nemallapudi,⁹⁶ J. Seixas,⁹⁶ O. Toldaiev,⁹⁶ D. Vadrucchio,⁹⁶ J. Varela,⁹⁶
 S. Afanasiev,⁹⁷ P. Bunin,⁹⁷ M. Gavrilenko,⁹⁷ I. Golutvin,⁹⁷ I. Gorbunov,⁹⁷ A. Kamenev,⁹⁷ V. Karjavin,⁹⁷ A. Lanev,⁹⁷
 A. Malakhov,⁹⁷ V. Matveev,^{97,jj,kk} V. Palichik,⁹⁷ V. Perelygin,⁹⁷ S. Shmatov,⁹⁷ S. Shulha,⁹⁷ N. Skatchkov,⁹⁷ V. Smirnov,⁹⁷
 N. Voytishin,⁹⁷ A. Zarubin,⁹⁷ Y. Ivanov,⁹⁸ V. Kim,^{98,ll} E. Kuznetsova,^{98,mm} P. Levchenko,⁹⁸ V. Murzin,⁹⁸ V. Oreshkin,⁹⁸
 I. Smirnov,⁹⁸ V. Sulimov,⁹⁸ L. Uvarov,⁹⁸ S. Vasilov,⁹⁸ A. Vorobyev,⁹⁸ Yu. Andreev,⁹⁹ A. Dermenev,⁹⁹ S. Gninenko,⁹⁹
 N. Golubev,⁹⁹ A. Karneyeu,⁹⁹ M. Kirsanov,⁹⁹ N. Krasnikov,⁹⁹ A. Pashenkov,⁹⁹ D. Tlisov,⁹⁹ A. Toropin,⁹⁹ V. Epshteyn,¹⁰⁰
 V. Gavrilov,¹⁰⁰ N. Lychkovskaya,¹⁰⁰ V. Popov,¹⁰⁰ I. Pozdnyakov,¹⁰⁰ G. Safronov,¹⁰⁰ A. Spiridonov,¹⁰⁰ A. Steppenov,¹⁰⁰
 M. Toms,¹⁰⁰ E. Vlasov,¹⁰⁰ A. Zhokin,¹⁰⁰ T. Aushev,¹⁰¹ A. Bylinkin,^{101,kk} M. Chadeeva,^{102,nn} P. Parygin,¹⁰² D. Philippov,¹⁰²
 S. Polikarpov,¹⁰² E. Popova,¹⁰² V. Rusinov,¹⁰² E. Zhemchugov,¹⁰² V. Andreev,¹⁰³ M. Azarkin,^{103,kk} I. Dremin,^{103,kk}
 M. Kirakosyan,^{103,kk} A. Terkulov,¹⁰³ A. Baskakov,¹⁰⁴ A. Belyaev,¹⁰⁴ E. Boos,¹⁰⁴ M. Dubinin,^{104,oo} L. Dudko,¹⁰⁴
 A. Ershov,¹⁰⁴ A. Gribushin,¹⁰⁴ V. Klyukhin,¹⁰⁴ O. Kodolova,¹⁰⁴ I. Lokhtin,¹⁰⁴ I. Miagkov,¹⁰⁴ S. Obraztsov,¹⁰⁴
 S. Petrushanko,¹⁰⁴ V. Savrin,¹⁰⁴ A. Snigirev,¹⁰⁴ V. Blinov,^{105,pp} Y. Skovpen,^{105,pp} D. Shtol,^{105,pp} I. Azhgirey,¹⁰⁶ I. Bayshev,¹⁰⁶
 S. Bitioukov,¹⁰⁶ D. Elumakhov,¹⁰⁶ V. Kachanov,¹⁰⁶ A. Kalinin,¹⁰⁶ D. Konstantinov,¹⁰⁶ V. Krychkin,¹⁰⁶ V. Petrov,¹⁰⁶
 R. Ryutin,¹⁰⁶ A. Sobol,¹⁰⁶ S. Troshin,¹⁰⁶ N. Tyurin,¹⁰⁶ A. Uzunian,¹⁰⁶ A. Volkov,¹⁰⁶ P. Adzic,^{107,qq} P. Cirkovic,¹⁰⁷
 D. Devetak,¹⁰⁷ M. Dordevic,¹⁰⁷ J. Milosevic,¹⁰⁷ V. Rekovic,¹⁰⁷ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹⁰⁸ M. Barrio Luna,¹⁰⁸ M. Cerrada,¹⁰⁸
 N. Colino,¹⁰⁸ B. De La Cruz,¹⁰⁸ A. Delgado Peris,¹⁰⁸ A. Escalante Del Valle,¹⁰⁸ C. Fernandez Bedoya,¹⁰⁸

- J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹⁰⁸ J. Flix,¹⁰⁸ M. C. Fouz,¹⁰⁸ P. Garcia-Abia,¹⁰⁸ O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹⁰⁸ S. Goy Lopez,¹⁰⁸ J. M. Hernandez,¹⁰⁸ M. I. Josa,¹⁰⁸ A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹⁰⁸ J. Puerta Pelayo,¹⁰⁸ A. Quintario Olmeda,¹⁰⁸ I. Redondo,¹⁰⁸ L. Romero,¹⁰⁸ M. S. Soares,¹⁰⁸ A. Álvarez Fernández,¹⁰⁸ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹⁰⁹ M. Missiroli,¹⁰⁹ D. Moran,¹⁰⁹ J. Cuevas,¹¹⁰ C. Erice,¹¹⁰ J. Fernandez Menendez,¹¹⁰ I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹¹⁰ J. R. González Fernández,¹¹⁰ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹¹⁰ S. Sanchez Cruz,¹¹⁰ I. Suárez Andrés,¹¹⁰ P. Vischia,¹¹⁰ J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹¹⁰ I. J. Cabrillo,¹¹¹ A. Calderon,¹¹¹ B. Chazin Quero,¹¹¹ E. Curras,¹¹¹ M. Fernandez,¹¹¹ J. Garcia-Ferrero,¹¹¹ G. Gomez,¹¹¹ A. Lopez Virto,¹¹¹ J. Marco,¹¹¹ C. Martinez Rivero,¹¹¹ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,¹¹¹ F. Matorras,¹¹¹ J. Piedra Gomez,¹¹¹ T. Rodrigo,¹¹¹ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,¹¹¹ L. Scodellaro,¹¹¹ N. Trevisani,¹¹¹ I. Vila,¹¹¹ R. Vilar Cortabitarte,¹¹¹ D. Abbaneo,¹¹² E. Auffray,¹¹² P. Baillon,¹¹² A. H. Ball,¹¹² D. Barney,¹¹² M. Bianco,¹¹² P. Bloch,¹¹² A. Bocci,¹¹² C. Botta,¹¹² T. Camporesi,¹¹² R. Castello,¹¹² M. Cepeda,¹¹² G. Cerminara,¹¹² E. Chapon,¹¹² Y. Chen,¹¹² D. d'Enterria,¹¹² A. Dabrowski,¹¹² V. Daponte,¹¹² A. David,¹¹² M. De Gruttola,¹¹² A. De Roeck,¹¹² E. Di Marco,^{112,rr} M. Dobson,¹¹² B. Dorney,¹¹² T. du Pree,¹¹² M. Dünser,¹¹² N. Dupont,¹¹² A. Elliott-Peisert,¹¹² P. Everaerts,¹¹² G. Franzoni,¹¹² J. Fulcher,¹¹² W. Funk,¹¹² D. Gigi,¹¹² K. Gill,¹¹² F. Glege,¹¹² D. Gulhan,¹¹² S. Gundacker,¹¹² M. Guthoff,¹¹² P. Harris,¹¹² J. Hegeman,¹¹² V. Innocente,¹¹² P. Janot,¹¹² O. Karacheban,^{112,q} J. Kieseler,¹¹² H. Kirschenmann,¹¹² V. Knünz,¹¹² A. Kornmayer,^{112,n} M. J. Kortelainen,¹¹² C. Lange,¹¹² P. Lecoq,¹¹² C. Lourenço,¹¹² M. T. Lucchini,¹¹² L. Malgeri,¹¹² M. Mannelli,¹¹² A. Martelli,¹¹² F. Meijers,¹¹² J. A. Merlin,¹¹² S. Mersi,¹¹² E. Meschi,¹¹² P. Milenovic,^{112,ss} F. Moortgat,¹¹² M. Mulders,¹¹² H. Neugebauer,¹¹² S. Orfanelli,¹¹² L. Orsini,¹¹² L. Pape,¹¹² E. Perez,¹¹² M. Peruzzi,¹¹² A. Petrilli,¹¹² G. Petrucciani,¹¹² A. Pfeiffer,¹¹² M. Pierini,¹¹² A. Racz,¹¹² T. Reis,¹¹² G. Rolandi,^{112,tt} M. Rovere,¹¹² H. Sakulin,¹¹² C. Schäfer,¹¹² C. Schwick,¹¹² M. Seidel,¹¹² M. Selvaggi,¹¹² A. Sharma,¹¹² P. Silva,¹¹² P. Sphicas,^{112,uu} J. Steggemann,¹¹² M. Stoye,¹¹² M. Tosi,¹¹² D. Treille,¹¹² A. Triossi,¹¹² A. Tsiros,¹¹² V. Veckalns,^{112,vv} G. I. Veres,^{112,s} M. Verweij,¹¹² N. Wardle,¹¹² W. D. Zeuner,¹¹² W. Bertl,^{113,a} K. Deiters,¹¹³ W. Erdmann,¹¹³ R. Horisberger,¹¹³ Q. Ingram,¹¹³ H. C. Kaestli,¹¹³ D. Kotlinski,¹¹³ U. Langenegger,¹¹³ T. Rohe,¹¹³ S. A. Wiederkehr,¹¹³ F. Bachmair,¹¹⁴ L. Bäni,¹¹⁴ P. Berger,¹¹⁴ L. Bianchini,¹¹⁴ B. Casal,¹¹⁴ G. Dissertori,¹¹⁴ M. Dittmar,¹¹⁴ M. Donegà,¹¹⁴ C. Grab,¹¹⁴ C. Heidegger,¹¹⁴ D. Hits,¹¹⁴ J. Hoss,¹¹⁴ G. Kasieczka,¹¹⁴ T. Klijsma,¹¹⁴ W. Lustermann,¹¹⁴ B. Mangano,¹¹⁴ M. Marionneau,¹¹⁴ M. T. Meinhard,¹¹⁴ D. Meister,¹¹⁴ F. Micheli,¹¹⁴ P. Musella,¹¹⁴ F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹¹⁴ F. Pandolfi,¹¹⁴ J. Pata,¹¹⁴ F. Pauss,¹¹⁴ G. Perrin,¹¹⁴ L. Perrozzi,¹¹⁴ M. Quittnat,¹¹⁴ M. Schönenberger,¹¹⁴ L. Shchutska,¹¹⁴ A. Starodumov,^{114,ww} V. R. Tavolaro,¹¹⁴ K. Theofilatos,¹¹⁴ M. L. Vesterbacka Olsson,¹¹⁴ R. Wallny,¹¹⁴ A. Zagozdinska,^{114,ii} D. H. Zhu,¹¹⁴ T. K. Aarrestad,¹¹⁵ C. Amsler,^{115,xx} L. Caminada,¹¹⁵ M. F. Canelli,¹¹⁵ A. De Cosa,¹¹⁵ S. Donato,¹¹⁵ C. Galloni,¹¹⁵ T. Hreus,¹¹⁵ B. Kilminster,¹¹⁵ J. Ngadiuba,¹¹⁵ D. Pinna,¹¹⁵ G. Rauco,¹¹⁵ P. Robmann,¹¹⁵ D. Salerno,¹¹⁵ C. Seitz,¹¹⁵ A. Zucchetta,¹¹⁵ V. Candelise,¹¹⁶ T. H. Doan,¹¹⁶ Sh. Jain,¹¹⁶ R. Khurana,¹¹⁶ C. M. Kuo,¹¹⁶ W. Lin,¹¹⁶ A. Pozdnyakov,¹¹⁶ S. S. Yu,¹¹⁶ Arun Kumar,¹¹⁷ P. Chang,¹¹⁷ Y. Chao,¹¹⁷ K. F. Chen,¹¹⁷ P. H. Chen,¹¹⁷ F. Fiori,¹¹⁷ W.-S. Hou,¹¹⁷ Y. Hsiung,¹¹⁷ Y. F. Liu,¹¹⁷ R.-S. Lu,¹¹⁷ M. Miñano Moya,¹¹⁷ E. Paganis,¹¹⁷ A. Psallidas,¹¹⁷ J. f. Tsai,¹¹⁷ B. Asavapibhop,¹¹⁸ K. Kovitanggoon,¹¹⁸ G. Singh,¹¹⁸ N. Srimanobhas,¹¹⁸ A. Adiguzel,^{119,yy} M. N. Bakirci,^{119,zz} F. Boran,¹¹⁹ S. Cerci,^{119,aaa} S. Damarseekin,¹¹⁹ Z. S. Demiroglu,¹¹⁹ C. Dozen,¹¹⁹ I. Dumanoglu,¹¹⁹ S. Girgis,¹¹⁹ G. Gokbulut,¹¹⁹ Y. Guler,¹¹⁹ I. Hos,^{119,bbb} E. E. Kangal,^{119,ccc} O. Kara,¹¹⁹ A. Kayis Topaksu,¹¹⁹ U. Kiminsu,¹¹⁹ M. Oglakci,¹¹⁹ G. Onengut,^{119,ddd} K. Ozdemir,^{119,eee} B. Tali,^{119,aaa} S. Turkcapar,¹¹⁹ I. S. Zorbakir,¹¹⁹ C. Zorbilmez,¹¹⁹ B. Bilin,¹²⁰ G. Karapinar,^{120,fff} K. Ocalan,^{120,ggg} M. Yalvac,¹²⁰ M. Zeyrek,¹²⁰ E. Gülmez,¹²¹ M. Kaya,^{121,hhh} O. Kaya,^{121,iii} S. Tekten,¹²¹ E. A. Yetkin,^{121,jjj} M. N. Agaras,¹²² S. Atay,¹²² A. Cakir,¹²² K. Cankocak,¹²² B. Grynyov,¹²³ L. Levchuk,¹²⁴ P. Sorokin,¹²⁴ R. Aggleton,¹²⁵ F. Ball,¹²⁵ L. Beck,¹²⁵ J. J. Brooke,¹²⁵ D. Burns,¹²⁵ E. Clement,¹²⁵ D. Cussans,¹²⁵ O. Davignon,¹²⁵ H. Flacher,¹²⁵ J. Goldstein,¹²⁵ M. Grimes,¹²⁵ G. P. Heath,¹²⁵ H. F. Heath,¹²⁵ J. Jacob,¹²⁵ L. Kreczko,¹²⁵ C. Lucas,¹²⁵ D. M. Newbold,^{125,kkk} S. Paramesvaran,¹²⁵ A. Poll,¹²⁵ T. Sakuma,¹²⁵ S. Seif El Nasr-storey,¹²⁵ D. Smith,¹²⁵ V. J. Smith,¹²⁵ K. W. Bell,¹²⁶ A. Belyaev,^{126,lll} C. Brew,¹²⁶ R. M. Brown,¹²⁶ L. Calligaris,¹²⁶ D. Cieri,¹²⁶ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹²⁶ J. A. Coughlan,¹²⁶ K. Harder,¹²⁶ S. Harper,¹²⁶ E. Olaiya,¹²⁶ D. Petyt,¹²⁶ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹²⁶ A. Thea,¹²⁶ I. R. Tomalin,¹²⁶ T. Williams,¹²⁶ M. Baber,¹²⁷ R. Bainbridge,¹²⁷ S. Breeze,¹²⁷ O. Buchmuller,¹²⁷ A. Bundock,¹²⁷ S. Casasso,¹²⁷ M. Citron,¹²⁷ D. Colling,¹²⁷ L. Corpe,¹²⁷ P. Dauncey,¹²⁷ G. Davies,¹²⁷ A. De Wit,¹²⁷ M. Della Negra,¹²⁷ R. Di Maria,¹²⁷ P. Dunne,¹²⁷ A. Elwood,¹²⁷ D. Futyan,¹²⁷ Y. Haddad,¹²⁷ G. Hall,¹²⁷ G. Iles,¹²⁷ T. James,¹²⁷ R. Lane,¹²⁷ C. Laner,¹²⁷ L. Lyons,¹²⁷ A.-M. Magnan,¹²⁷ S. Malik,¹²⁷ L. Mastrolorenzo,¹²⁷ T. Matsushita,¹²⁷ J. Nash,¹²⁷ A. Nikitenko,^{127,ww} V. Palladino,¹²⁷ J. Pela,¹²⁷ M. Pesaresi,¹²⁷ D. M. Raymond,¹²⁷ A. Richards,¹²⁷ A. Rose,¹²⁷ E. Scott,¹²⁷ C. Seez,¹²⁷ A. Shtipliyski,¹²⁷ S. Summers,¹²⁷ A. Tapper,¹²⁷ K. Uchida,¹²⁷ M. Vazquez Acosta,^{127,mmm} T. Virdee,^{127,n} D. Winterbottom,¹²⁷ J. Wright,¹²⁷ S. C. Zenz,¹²⁷ J. E. Cole,¹²⁸ P. R. Hobson,¹²⁸ A. Khan,¹²⁸ P. Kyberd,¹²⁸ I. D. Reid,¹²⁸

P. Symonds,¹²⁸ L. Teodorescu,¹²⁸ M. Turner,¹²⁸ A. Borzou,¹²⁹ K. Call,¹²⁹ J. Dittmann,¹²⁹ K. Hatakeyama,¹²⁹ H. Liu,¹²⁹ N. Pastika,¹²⁹ R. Bartek,¹³⁰ A. Dominguez,¹³⁰ A. Buccilli,¹³¹ S. I. Cooper,¹³¹ C. Henderson,¹³¹ P. Rumerio,¹³¹ C. West,¹³¹ D. Arcaro,¹³² A. Avetisyan,¹³² T. Bose,¹³² D. Gastler,¹³² D. Rankin,¹³² C. Richardson,¹³² J. Rohlf,¹³² L. Sulak,¹³² D. Zou,¹³² G. Benelli,¹³³ D. Cutts,¹³³ A. Garabedian,¹³³ J. Hakala,¹³³ U. Heintz,¹³³ J. M. Hogan,¹³³ K. H. M. Kwok,¹³³ E. Laird,¹³³ G. Landsberg,¹³³ Z. Mao,¹³³ M. Narain,¹³³ J. Pazzini,¹³³ S. Piperov,¹³³ S. Sagir,¹³³ R. Syarif,¹³³ D. Yu,¹³³ R. Band,¹³⁴ C. Brainerd,¹³⁴ D. Burns,¹³⁴ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,¹³⁴ M. Chertok,¹³⁴ J. Conway,¹³⁴ R. Conway,¹³⁴ P. T. Cox,¹³⁴ R. Erbacher,¹³⁴ C. Flores,¹³⁴ G. Funk,¹³⁴ M. Gardner,¹³⁴ W. Ko,¹³⁴ R. Lander,¹³⁴ C. Mclean,¹³⁴ M. Mulhearn,¹³⁴ D. Pellett,¹³⁴ J. Pilot,¹³⁴ S. Shalhout,¹³⁴ M. Shi,¹³⁴ J. Smith,¹³⁴ M. Squires,¹³⁴ D. Stolp,¹³⁴ K. Tos,¹³⁴ M. Tripathi,¹³⁴ Z. Wang,¹³⁴ M. Bachtis,¹³⁵ C. Bravo,¹³⁵ R. Cousins,¹³⁵ A. Dasgupta,¹³⁵ A. Florent,¹³⁵ J. Hauser,¹³⁵ M. Ignatenko,¹³⁵ N. Mccoll,¹³⁵ D. Saltzberg,¹³⁵ C. Schnaible,¹³⁵ V. Valuev,¹³⁵ E. Bouvier,¹³⁶ K. Burt,¹³⁶ R. Clare,¹³⁶ J. Ellison,¹³⁶ J. W. Gary,¹³⁶ S. M. A. Ghiasi Shirazi,¹³⁶ G. Hanson,¹³⁶ J. Heilman,¹³⁶ P. Jandir,¹³⁶ E. Kennedy,¹³⁶ F. Lacroix,¹³⁶ O. R. Long,¹³⁶ M. Olmedo Negrete,¹³⁶ M. I. Paneva,¹³⁶ A. Shrinivas,¹³⁶ W. Si,¹³⁶ L. Wang,¹³⁶ H. Wei,¹³⁶ S. Wimpenny,¹³⁶ B. R. Yates,¹³⁶ J. G. Branson,¹³⁷ S. Cittolin,¹³⁷ M. Derdzinski,¹³⁷ B. Hashemi,¹³⁷ A. Holzner,¹³⁷ D. Klein,¹³⁷ G. Kole,¹³⁷ V. Krutelyov,¹³⁷ J. Letts,¹³⁷ I. Macneill,¹³⁷ M. Masciovecchio,¹³⁷ D. Olivito,¹³⁷ S. Padhi,¹³⁷ M. Pieri,¹³⁷ M. Sani,¹³⁷ V. Sharma,¹³⁷ S. Simon,¹³⁷ M. Tadel,¹³⁷ A. Vartak,¹³⁷ S. Wasserbaech,^{137,nnn} J. Wood,¹³⁷ F. Würthwein,¹³⁷ A. Yagil,¹³⁷ G. Zevi Della Porta,¹³⁷ N. Amin,¹³⁸ R. Bhandari,¹³⁸ J. Bradmiller-Feld,¹³⁸ C. Campagnari,¹³⁸ A. Dishaw,¹³⁸ V. Dutta,¹³⁸ M. Franco Sevilla,¹³⁸ C. George,¹³⁸ F. Golf,¹³⁸ L. Gouskos,¹³⁸ J. Gran,¹³⁸ R. Heller,¹³⁸ J. Incandela,¹³⁸ S. D. Mullin,¹³⁸ A. Ovcharova,¹³⁸ H. Qu,¹³⁸ J. Richman,¹³⁸ D. Stuart,¹³⁸ I. Suarez,¹³⁸ J. Yoo,¹³⁸ D. Anderson,¹³⁹ J. Bendavid,¹³⁹ A. Bornheim,¹³⁹ J. M. Lawhorn,¹³⁹ H. B. Newman,¹³⁹ T. Nguyen,¹³⁹ C. Pena,¹³⁹ M. Spiropulu,¹³⁹ J. R. Vlimant,¹³⁹ S. Xie,¹³⁹ Z. Zhang,¹³⁹ R. Y. Zhu,¹³⁹ M. B. Andrews,¹⁴⁰ T. Ferguson,¹⁴⁰ T. Mudholkar,¹⁴⁰ M. Paulini,¹⁴⁰ J. Russ,¹⁴⁰ M. Sun,¹⁴⁰ H. Vogel,¹⁴⁰ I. Vorobiev,¹⁴⁰ M. Weinberg,¹⁴⁰ J. P. Cumalat,¹⁴¹ W. T. Ford,¹⁴¹ F. Jensen,¹⁴¹ A. Johnson,¹⁴¹ M. Krohn,¹⁴¹ S. Leontsinis,¹⁴¹ T. Mulholland,¹⁴¹ K. Stenson,¹⁴¹ S. R. Wagner,¹⁴¹ J. Alexander,¹⁴² J. Chaves,¹⁴² J. Chu,¹⁴² S. Dittmer,¹⁴² K. McDermott,¹⁴² N. Mirman,¹⁴² J. R. Patterson,¹⁴² A. Rinkevicius,¹⁴² A. Ryd,¹⁴² L. Skinnari,¹⁴² L. Soffi,¹⁴² S. M. Tan,¹⁴² Z. Tao,¹⁴² J. Thom,¹⁴² J. Tucker,¹⁴² P. Wittich,¹⁴² M. Zientek,¹⁴² S. Abdullin,¹⁴³ M. Albrow,¹⁴³ G. Apollinari,¹⁴³ A. Apresyan,¹⁴³ A. Apyan,¹⁴³ S. Banerjee,¹⁴³ L. A. T. Bauerdick,¹⁴³ A. Beretvas,¹⁴³ J. Berryhill,¹⁴³ P. C. Bhat,¹⁴³ G. Bolla,¹⁴³ K. Burkett,¹⁴³ J. N. Butler,¹⁴³ A. Canepa,¹⁴³ G. B. Cerati,¹⁴³ H. W. K. Cheung,¹⁴³ F. Chlebana,¹⁴³ M. Cremonesi,¹⁴³ J. Duarte,¹⁴³ V. D. Elvira,¹⁴³ J. Freeman,¹⁴³ Z. Gecse,¹⁴³ E. Gottschalk,¹⁴³ L. Gray,¹⁴³ D. Green,¹⁴³ S. Grünendahl,¹⁴³ O. Gutsche,¹⁴³ R. M. Harris,¹⁴³ S. Hasegawa,¹⁴³ J. Hirschauer,¹⁴³ Z. Hu,¹⁴³ B. Jayatilaka,¹⁴³ S. Jindariani,¹⁴³ M. Johnson,¹⁴³ U. Joshi,¹⁴³ B. Klima,¹⁴³ B. Kreis,¹⁴³ S. Lammel,¹⁴³ D. Lincoln,¹⁴³ R. Lipton,¹⁴³ M. Liu,¹⁴³ T. Liu,¹⁴³ R. Lopes De Sá,¹⁴³ J. Lykken,¹⁴³ K. Maeshima,¹⁴³ N. Magini,¹⁴³ J. M. Marraffino,¹⁴³ S. Maruyama,¹⁴³ D. Mason,¹⁴³ P. McBride,¹⁴³ P. Merkel,¹⁴³ S. Mrenna,¹⁴³ S. Nahn,¹⁴³ V. O'Dell,¹⁴³ K. Pedro,¹⁴³ O. Prokofyev,¹⁴³ G. Rakness,¹⁴³ L. Ristori,¹⁴³ B. Schneider,¹⁴³ E. Sexton-Kennedy,¹⁴³ A. Soha,¹⁴³ W. J. Spalding,¹⁴³ L. Spiegel,¹⁴³ S. Stoynev,¹⁴³ J. Strait,¹⁴³ N. Strobbe,¹⁴³ L. Taylor,¹⁴³ S. Tkaczyk,¹⁴³ N. V. Tran,¹⁴³ L. Uplegger,¹⁴³ E. W. Vaandering,¹⁴³ C. Vernieri,¹⁴³ M. Verzocchi,¹⁴³ R. Vidal,¹⁴³ M. Wang,¹⁴³ H. A. Weber,¹⁴³ A. Whitbeck,¹⁴³ D. Acosta,¹⁴⁴ P. Avery,¹⁴⁴ P. Bortignon,¹⁴⁴ A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁴ A. Carnes,¹⁴⁴ M. Carver,¹⁴⁴ D. Curry,¹⁴⁴ S. Das,¹⁴⁴ R. D. Field,¹⁴⁴ I. K. Furic,¹⁴⁴ J. Konigsberg,¹⁴⁴ A. Korytov,¹⁴⁴ K. Kotov,¹⁴⁴ P. Ma,¹⁴⁴ K. Matchev,¹⁴⁴ H. Mei,¹⁴⁴ G. Mitselmakher,¹⁴⁴ D. Rank,¹⁴⁴ D. Sperka,¹⁴⁴ N. Terentyev,¹⁴⁴ L. Thomas,¹⁴⁴ J. Wang,¹⁴⁴ S. Wang,¹⁴⁴ J. Yelton,¹⁴⁴ Y. R. Joshi,¹⁴⁵ S. Linn,¹⁴⁵ P. Markowitz,¹⁴⁵ G. Martinez,¹⁴⁵ J. L. Rodriguez,¹⁴⁵ A. Ackert,¹⁴⁶ T. Adams,¹⁴⁶ A. Askew,¹⁴⁶ S. Hagopian,¹⁴⁶ V. Hagopian,¹⁴⁶ K. F. Johnson,¹⁴⁶ T. Kolberg,¹⁴⁶ T. Perry,¹⁴⁶ H. Prosper,¹⁴⁶ A. Santra,¹⁴⁶ R. Yohay,¹⁴⁶ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁴⁷ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁴⁷ S. Colafranceschi,¹⁴⁷ M. Hohlmann,¹⁴⁷ D. Noonan,¹⁴⁷ T. Roy,¹⁴⁷ F. Yumiceva,¹⁴⁷ M. R. Adams,¹⁴⁸ L. Apanasevich,¹⁴⁸ D. Berry,¹⁴⁸ R. R. Betts,¹⁴⁸ R. Cavanaugh,¹⁴⁸ X. Chen,¹⁴⁸ O. Evdokimov,¹⁴⁸ C. E. Gerber,¹⁴⁸ D. A. Hangal,¹⁴⁸ D. J. Hofman,¹⁴⁸ K. Jung,¹⁴⁸ J. Kamin,¹⁴⁸ I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez,¹⁴⁸ M. B. Tonjes,¹⁴⁸ H. Trauger,¹⁴⁸ N. Varelas,¹⁴⁸ H. Wang,¹⁴⁸ Z. Wu,¹⁴⁸ J. Zhang,¹⁴⁸ B. Bilki,^{149,ooo} W. Clarida,¹⁴⁹ K. Dilsiz,^{149,ppp} S. Durgut,¹⁴⁹ R. P. Gandrajula,¹⁴⁹ M. Haytmyradov,¹⁴⁹ V. Khristenko,¹⁴⁹ J.-P. Merlo,¹⁴⁹ H. Mermerkaya,^{149,qqq} A. Mestvirishvili,¹⁴⁹ A. Moeller,¹⁴⁹ J. Nachtman,¹⁴⁹ H. Ogul,^{149,rrr} Y. Onel,¹⁴⁹ F. Ozok,^{149,sss} A. Penzo,¹⁴⁹ C. Snyder,¹⁴⁹ E. Tiras,¹⁴⁹ J. Wetzel,¹⁴⁹ K. Yi,¹⁴⁹ B. Blumenfeld,¹⁵⁰ A. Cocoros,¹⁵⁰ N. Eminizer,¹⁵⁰ D. Fehling,¹⁵⁰ L. Feng,¹⁵⁰ A. V. Gritsan,¹⁵⁰ P. Maksimovic,¹⁵⁰ J. Roskes,¹⁵⁰ U. Sarica,¹⁵⁰ M. Swartz,¹⁵⁰ M. Xiao,¹⁵⁰ C. You,¹⁵⁰ A. Al-bataineh,¹⁵¹ P. Baringer,¹⁵¹ A. Bean,¹⁵¹ S. Boren,¹⁵¹ J. Bowen,¹⁵¹ J. Castle,¹⁵¹ S. Khalil,¹⁵¹ A. Kropivnitskaya,¹⁵¹ D. Majumder,¹⁵¹ W. Mcbrayer,¹⁵¹ M. Murray,¹⁵¹ C. Royon,¹⁵¹ S. Sanders,¹⁵¹ E. Schmitz,¹⁵¹ R. Stringer,¹⁵¹ J. D. Tapia Takaki,¹⁵¹ Q. Wang,¹⁵¹ A. Ivanov,¹⁵² K. Kaadze,¹⁵² Y. Maravin,¹⁵² A. Mohammadi,¹⁵² L. K. Saini,¹⁵²

N. Skhirtladze,¹⁵² S. Toda,¹⁵² F. Rebassoo,¹⁵³ D. Wright,¹⁵³ C. Anelli,¹⁵⁴ A. Baden,¹⁵⁴ O. Baron,¹⁵⁴ A. Belloni,¹⁵⁴ B. Calvert,¹⁵⁴ S. C. Eno,¹⁵⁴ C. Ferraioli,¹⁵⁴ N. J. Hadley,¹⁵⁴ S. Jabeen,¹⁵⁴ G. Y. Jeng,¹⁵⁴ R. G. Kellogg,¹⁵⁴ J. Kunkle,¹⁵⁴ A. C. Mignerey,¹⁵⁴ F. Ricci-Tam,¹⁵⁴ Y. H. Shin,¹⁵⁴ A. Skuja,¹⁵⁴ S. C. Tonwar,¹⁵⁴ D. Abercrombie,¹⁵⁵ B. Allen,¹⁵⁵ V. Azzolini,¹⁵⁵ R. Barbieri,¹⁵⁵ A. Baty,¹⁵⁵ R. Bi,¹⁵⁵ S. Brandt,¹⁵⁵ W. Busza,¹⁵⁵ I. A. Cali,¹⁵⁵ M. D'Alfonso,¹⁵⁵ Z. Demiragli,¹⁵⁵ G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁵⁵ M. Goncharov,¹⁵⁵ D. Hsu,¹⁵⁵ Y. Iiyama,¹⁵⁵ G. M. Innocenti,¹⁵⁵ M. Klute,¹⁵⁵ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁵⁵ Y. S. Lai,¹⁵⁵ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁵⁵ A. Levin,¹⁵⁵ P. D. Luckey,¹⁵⁵ B. Maier,¹⁵⁵ A. C. Marini,¹⁵⁵ C. McGinn,¹⁵⁵ C. Mironov,¹⁵⁵ S. Narayanan,¹⁵⁵ X. Niu,¹⁵⁵ C. Paus,¹⁵⁵ C. Roland,¹⁵⁵ G. Roland,¹⁵⁵ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,¹⁵⁵ G. S. F. Stephans,¹⁵⁵ K. Tatar,¹⁵⁵ D. Velicanu,¹⁵⁵ J. Wang,¹⁵⁵ T. W. Wang,¹⁵⁵ B. Wyslouch,¹⁵⁵ A. C. Benvenuti,¹⁵⁶ R. M. Chatterjee,¹⁵⁶ A. Evans,¹⁵⁶ P. Hansen,¹⁵⁶ S. Kalafut,¹⁵⁶ Y. Kubota,¹⁵⁶ Z. Lesko,¹⁵⁶ J. Mans,¹⁵⁶ S. Nourbakhsh,¹⁵⁶ N. Ruckstuhl,¹⁵⁶ R. Rusack,¹⁵⁶ J. Turkewitz,¹⁵⁶ J. G. Acosta,¹⁵⁷ S. Oliveros,¹⁵⁷ E. Avdeeva,¹⁵⁸ K. Bloom,¹⁵⁸ D. R. Claes,¹⁵⁸ C. Fangmeier,¹⁵⁸ R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁵⁸ R. Kamalieddin,¹⁵⁸ I. Kravchenko,¹⁵⁸ J. Monroy,¹⁵⁸ J. E. Siado,¹⁵⁸ G. R. Snow,¹⁵⁸ B. Stieger,¹⁵⁸ M. Alyari,¹⁵⁹ J. Dolen,¹⁵⁹ A. Godshalk,¹⁵⁹ C. Harrington,¹⁵⁹ I. Iashvili,¹⁵⁹ D. Nguyen,¹⁵⁹ A. Parker,¹⁵⁹ S. Rappoccio,¹⁵⁹ B. Roozbahani,¹⁵⁹ G. Alverson,¹⁶⁰ E. Barberis,¹⁶⁰ A. Hortiangtham,¹⁶⁰ A. Massironi,¹⁶⁰ D. M. Morse,¹⁶⁰ D. Nash,¹⁶⁰ T. Orimoto,¹⁶⁰ R. Teixeira De Lima,¹⁶⁰ D. Trocino,¹⁶⁰ R.-J. Wang,¹⁶⁰ D. Wood,¹⁶⁰ S. Bhattacharya,¹⁶¹ O. Charaf,¹⁶¹ K. A. Hahn,¹⁶¹ N. Mucia,¹⁶¹ N. Odell,¹⁶¹ B. Pollack,¹⁶¹ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁶¹ K. Sung,¹⁶¹ M. Trovato,¹⁶¹ M. Velasco,¹⁶¹ N. Dev,¹⁶² M. Hildreth,¹⁶² K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁶² C. Jessop,¹⁶² D. J. Karmgard,¹⁶² N. Kellams,¹⁶² K. Lannon,¹⁶² N. Loukas,¹⁶² N. Marinelli,¹⁶² F. Meng,¹⁶² C. Mueller,¹⁶² Y. Musienko,¹⁶² M. Planer,¹⁶² A. Reinsvold,¹⁶² R. Ruchti,¹⁶² G. Smith,¹⁶² S. Taroni,¹⁶² M. Wayne,¹⁶² M. Wolf,¹⁶² A. Woodard,¹⁶² J. Alimena,¹⁶³ L. Antonelli,¹⁶³ B. Bylsma,¹⁶³ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶³ S. Flowers,¹⁶³ B. Francis,¹⁶³ A. Hart,¹⁶³ C. Hill,¹⁶³ W. Ji,¹⁶³ B. Liu,¹⁶³ W. Luo,¹⁶³ D. Puigh,¹⁶³ B. L. Winer,¹⁶³ H. W. Wulsin,¹⁶³ A. Benaglia,¹⁶⁴ S. Cooperstein,¹⁶⁴ O. Driga,¹⁶⁴ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁴ J. Hardenbrook,¹⁶⁴ P. Hebda,¹⁶⁴ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁴ D. Lange,¹⁶⁴ J. Luo,¹⁶⁴ D. Marlow,¹⁶⁴ K. Mei,¹⁶⁴ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁴ J. Olsen,¹⁶⁴ C. Palmer,¹⁶⁴ P. Piroué,¹⁶⁴ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁴ C. Tully,¹⁶⁴ S. Malik,¹⁶⁵ S. Norberg,¹⁶⁵ A. Barker,¹⁶⁶ V. E. Barnes,¹⁶⁶ S. Folgueras,¹⁶⁶ L. Gutay,¹⁶⁶ M. K. Jha,¹⁶⁶ M. Jones,¹⁶⁶ A. W. Jung,¹⁶⁶ A. Khatiwada,¹⁶⁶ D. H. Miller,¹⁶⁶ N. Neumeister,¹⁶⁶ C. C. Peng,¹⁶⁶ J. F. Schulte,¹⁶⁶ J. Sun,¹⁶⁶ F. Wang,¹⁶⁶ W. Xie,¹⁶⁶ T. Cheng,¹⁶⁷ N. Parashar,¹⁶⁷ J. Stupak,¹⁶⁷ A. Adair,¹⁶⁸ B. Akgun,¹⁶⁸ Z. Chen,¹⁶⁸ K. M. Ecklund,¹⁶⁸ F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁶⁸ M. Guilbaud,¹⁶⁸ W. Li,¹⁶⁸ B. Michlin,¹⁶⁸ M. Northup,¹⁶⁸ B. P. Padley,¹⁶⁸ J. Roberts,¹⁶⁸ J. Rorie,¹⁶⁸ Z. Tu,¹⁶⁸ J. Zabel,¹⁶⁸ A. Bodek,¹⁶⁹ P. de Barbaro,¹⁶⁹ R. Demina,¹⁶⁹ Y. t. Duh,¹⁶⁹ T. Ferbel,¹⁶⁹ M. Galanti,¹⁶⁹ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁶⁹ J. Han,¹⁶⁹ O. Hindrichs,¹⁶⁹ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁶⁹ K. H. Lo,¹⁶⁹ P. Tan,¹⁶⁹ M. Verzetti,¹⁶⁹ R. Ciesielski,¹⁷⁰ K. Goulianos,¹⁷⁰ C. Mesropian,¹⁷⁰ A. Agapitos,¹⁷¹ J. P. Chou,¹⁷¹ Y. Gershtein,¹⁷¹ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹⁷¹ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷¹ M. Heindl,¹⁷¹ E. Hughes,¹⁷¹ S. Kaplan,¹⁷¹ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,¹⁷¹ S. Kyriacou,¹⁷¹ A. Lath,¹⁷¹ R. Montalvo,¹⁷¹ K. Nash,¹⁷¹ M. Osherson,¹⁷¹ H. Saka,¹⁷¹ S. Salur,¹⁷¹ S. Schnetzer,¹⁷¹ D. Sheffield,¹⁷¹ S. Somalwar,¹⁷¹ R. Stone,¹⁷¹ S. Thomas,¹⁷¹ P. Thomassen,¹⁷¹ M. Walker,¹⁷¹ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷² M. Foerster,¹⁷² J. Heideman,¹⁷² G. Riley,¹⁷² K. Rose,¹⁷² S. Spanier,¹⁷² K. Thapa,¹⁷² O. Bouhali,¹⁷³ A. Castaneda Hernandez,¹⁷³ A. Celik,¹⁷³ M. Dalchenko,¹⁷³ M. De Mattia,¹⁷³ A. Delgado,¹⁷³ S. Dildick,¹⁷³ R. Eusebi,¹⁷³ J. Gilmore,¹⁷³ T. Huang,¹⁷³ T. Kamon,¹⁷³ R. Mueller,¹⁷³ Y. Pakhotin,¹⁷³ R. Patel,¹⁷³ A. Perloff,¹⁷³ L. Perniè,¹⁷³ D. Rathjens,¹⁷³ A. Safonov,¹⁷³ A. Tatarinov,¹⁷³ K. A. Ulmer,¹⁷³ N. Akchurin,¹⁷⁴ J. Damgov,¹⁷⁴ F. De Guio,¹⁷⁴ P. R. Duerdo,¹⁷⁴ J. Faulkner,¹⁷⁴ E. Gorpinar,¹⁷⁴ S. Kunori,¹⁷⁴ K. Lamichhane,¹⁷⁴ S. W. Lee,¹⁷⁴ T. Libeiro,¹⁷⁴ T. Peltola,¹⁷⁴ S. Undleeb,¹⁷⁴ I. Volobouev,¹⁷⁴ Z. Wang,¹⁷⁴ S. Greene,¹⁷⁵ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁵ R. Janjam,¹⁷⁵ W. Johns,¹⁷⁵ C. Maguire,¹⁷⁵ A. Melo,¹⁷⁵ H. Ni,¹⁷⁵ P. Sheldon,¹⁷⁵ S. Tuo,¹⁷⁵ J. Velkovska,¹⁷⁵ Q. Xu,¹⁷⁵ M. W. Arenton,¹⁷⁶ P. Barria,¹⁷⁶ B. Cox,¹⁷⁶ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁶ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁶ H. Li,¹⁷⁶ C. Neu,¹⁷⁶ T. Sinthuprasith,¹⁷⁶ X. Sun,¹⁷⁶ Y. Wang,¹⁷⁶ E. Wolfe,¹⁷⁶ F. Xia,¹⁷⁶ C. Clarke,¹⁷⁷ R. Harr,¹⁷⁷ P. E. Karchin,¹⁷⁷ J. Sturdy,¹⁷⁷ S. Zaleski,¹⁷⁷ J. Buchanan,¹⁷⁸ C. Caillol,¹⁷⁸ S. Dasu,¹⁷⁸ L. Dodd,¹⁷⁸ S. Duric,¹⁷⁸ B. Gomber,¹⁷⁸ M. Grothe,¹⁷⁸ M. Herndon,¹⁷⁸ A. Hervé,¹⁷⁸ U. Hussain,¹⁷⁸ P. Klabbers,¹⁷⁸ A. Lanaro,¹⁷⁸ A. Levine,¹⁷⁸ K. Long,¹⁷⁸ R. Loveless,¹⁷⁸ G. A. Pierro,¹⁷⁸ G. Polese,¹⁷⁸ T. Ruggles,¹⁷⁸ A. Savin,¹⁷⁸ N. Smith,¹⁷⁸ W. H. Smith,¹⁷⁸ D. Taylor,¹⁷⁸ and N. Woods¹⁷⁸

(CMS Collaboration)

¹*Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*²*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria*³*Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus*⁴*Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*

- ⁵*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium*
⁶*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*
⁷*Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*
⁸*Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*
⁹*Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium*
¹⁰*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
¹¹*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
^{12a}*Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*
^{12b}*Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*
¹³*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy of Bulgaria Academy of Sciences*
¹⁴*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*
¹⁵*Beihang University, Beijing, China*
¹⁶*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*
¹⁷*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*
¹⁸*Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*
¹⁹*University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*
²⁰*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*
²¹*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
²²*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
²³*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
²⁴*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
²⁵*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
²⁶*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
²⁷*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
²⁸*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
²⁹*Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
³⁰*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
³¹*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France*
³²*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
³³*Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁴*Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁵*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁶*Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁷*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
³⁸*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
³⁹*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
⁴⁰*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴¹*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴²*Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany*
⁴³*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
⁴⁴*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
⁴⁵*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
⁴⁶*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*
⁴⁷*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
⁴⁸*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁴⁹*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁵⁰*Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India*
⁵¹*National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India*
⁵²*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
⁵³*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
⁵⁴*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*
⁵⁵*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*
⁵⁶*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
⁵⁷*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*

- ⁵⁸*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
- ⁵⁹*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
- ⁶⁰*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
- ⁶¹*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ^{62a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{62b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{62c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{63a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{63b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{64a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{64b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{65a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ^{65b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ⁶⁶*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ^{67a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{67b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{68a}*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca*
- ^{68b}*Università di Milano-Bicocca*
- ^{69a}*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
- ^{69b}*Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
- ^{69c}*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
- ^{69d}*Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy*
- ^{70a}*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ^{70b}*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ^{70c}*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy*
- ^{71a}*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- ^{71b}*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- ^{72a}*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
- ^{72b}*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
- ^{73a}*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{73b}*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{73c}*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{74a}*INFN Sezione di Roma*
- ^{74b}*Sapienza Università di Roma*
- ^{75a}*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
- ^{75b}*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
- ^{75c}*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
- ^{76a}*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- ^{76b}*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- ⁷⁷*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
- ⁷⁸*Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea*
- ⁷⁹*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
- ⁸⁰*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁸¹*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁸²*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁸³*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁸⁴*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*
- ⁸⁵*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*
- ⁸⁶*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
- ⁸⁷*Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*
- ⁸⁸*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*
- ⁸⁹*Benemerita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- ⁹⁰*Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico*
- ⁹¹*University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand*
- ⁹²*University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*
- ⁹³*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
- ⁹⁴*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*
- ⁹⁵*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*
- ⁹⁶*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*
- ⁹⁷*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

- ⁹⁸*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia*
- ⁹⁹*Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁰*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰¹*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰²*National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰³*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁴*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁵*Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁶*State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁷*University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia*
- ¹⁰⁸*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
- ¹⁰⁹*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
- ¹¹⁰*Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain*
- ¹¹¹*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
- ¹¹²*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ¹¹³*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁴*Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁵*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁶*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
- ¹¹⁷*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
- ¹¹⁸*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*
- ¹¹⁹*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
- ¹²⁰*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
- ¹²¹*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²²*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²³*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹²⁴*National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹²⁵*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ¹²⁶*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ¹²⁷*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
- ¹²⁸*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
- ¹²⁹*Baylor University, Waco, USA*
- ¹³⁰*Catholic University of America, Washington DC, USA*
- ¹³¹*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA*
- ¹³²*Boston University, Boston, USA*
- ¹³³*Brown University, Providence, USA*
- ¹³⁴*University of California, Davis, Davis, USA*
- ¹³⁵*University of California, Los Angeles, USA*
- ¹³⁶*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA*
- ¹³⁷*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA*
- ¹³⁸*University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA*
- ¹³⁹*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA*
- ¹⁴⁰*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA*
- ¹⁴¹*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA*
- ¹⁴²*Cornell University, Ithaca, USA*
- ¹⁴³*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA*
- ¹⁴⁴*University of Florida, Gainesville, USA*
- ¹⁴⁵*Florida International University, Miami, USA*
- ¹⁴⁶*Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA*
- ¹⁴⁷*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA*
- ¹⁴⁸*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA*
- ¹⁴⁹*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA*
- ¹⁵⁰*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA*
- ¹⁵¹*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA*
- ¹⁵²*Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA*
- ¹⁵³*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA*
- ¹⁵⁴*University of Maryland, College Park, USA*
- ¹⁵⁵*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA*
- ¹⁵⁶*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA*

- ¹⁵⁷*University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA*
¹⁵⁸*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA*
¹⁵⁹*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA*
¹⁶⁰*Northeastern University, Boston, USA*
¹⁶¹*Northwestern University, Evanston, USA*
¹⁶²*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA*
¹⁶³*The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA*
¹⁶⁴*Princeton University, Princeton, USA*
¹⁶⁵*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA*
¹⁶⁶*Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA*
¹⁶⁷*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA*
¹⁶⁸*Rice University, Houston, USA*
¹⁶⁹*University of Rochester, Rochester, USA*
¹⁷⁰*The Rockefeller University, New York, USA*
¹⁷¹*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA*
¹⁷²*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA*
¹⁷³*Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*
¹⁷⁴*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA*
¹⁷⁵*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA*
¹⁷⁶*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA*
¹⁷⁷*Wayne State University, Detroit, USA*
¹⁷⁸*University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA*

^aDeceased.

^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

^cAlso at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.

^dAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^eAlso at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.

^fAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

^gAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

^hAlso at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

ⁱAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

^jAlso at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.

^kAlso at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

^lAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

^mAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

ⁿAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

^oAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

^pAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

^qAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

^rAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

^sAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

^tAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

^uAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

^vAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

^wAlso at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

^xAlso at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.

^yAlso at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

^zAlso at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran.

^{aa}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

^{bb}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

^{cc}Also at INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy.

^{dd}Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy.

^{ee}Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

^{ff}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

^{gg}Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.

^{hh}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.

ⁱⁱAlso at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.

^{jj}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

^{kk}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.

- ^{ll} Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{mm} Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ⁿⁿ Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{oo} Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ^{pp} Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{qq} Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{rr} Also at INFN Sezione di Roma, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy.
- ^{ss} Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{tt} Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{uu} Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{vv} Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ^{ww} Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{xx} Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics.
- ^{yy} Also at Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{zz} Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{aaa} Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{bbb} Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ccc} Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{ddd} Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{eee} Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{fff} Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{ggg} Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
- ^{hhh} Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{jjj} Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{kkk} Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ^{lll} Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ^{mmm} Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.
- ⁿⁿⁿ Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ^{ooo} Also at Beykent University.
- ^{ppp} Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ^{qqq} Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ^{rrr} Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ^{sss} Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ttt} Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{uuu} Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.