# Van der Corput sets with respect to compact groups

# Michael Kelly & Thái Hoàng Lê

### **Archiv der Mathematik**

Archives Mathématiques Archives of Mathematics

ISSN 0003-889X

Arch. Math. DOI 10.1007/s00013-017-1136-y





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer **International Publishing AG, part of Springer** Nature. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



Arch. Math. © 2018 Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-017-1136-y

#### Archiv der Mathematik



## Van der Corput sets with respect to compact groups

MICHAEL KELLY AND THÁI HOÀNG LÊ

**Abstract.** We study the notion of van der Corput sets with respect to general compact groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K06, Secondary 11B05, 37A45.

**Keywords.** Van der Corput's theorem, Van der Corput sets, Intersective sets, Uniform distribution, Compact groups.

**1. Introduction.** Given a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ , the classical van der Corput's difference theorem in uniform distribution theory states that if  $(x_{n+h} - x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbf{T}$  for all  $h \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  itself is also uniformly distributed in  $\mathbf{T}$ .

In [5], Kamae and Mendès France made the important observation that in order for  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to be uniformly distributed in  $\mathbf{T}$ , it suffices to have the uniform distribution in  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $(x_{n+h}-x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  for h in a certain subset H of  $\mathbf{Z}^+$ . Such a set H is called a van der Corput set. A prototype result of this kind had already been proven by Delange, where one can take H to be the set of all multiples of a positive integer a. Other examples of van der Corput sets are

$$H_1 = \{n^2 : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$$
 $H_2 = \{p-1 : p \text{ prime}\}$ 
 $H_3 = \{i-j : i, j \in I, i > j\}$  where  $I$  is any infinite set of integers.

On the other hand, it is known that the set of all odd numbers is not van der Corput. Also, no lacunary set is van der Corput.

Thanks to works of Kamae-Mendès France [5], Ruzsa [8,9], Montgomery [7], Bergelson-Lesigne [1], and Ninčević-Rabar-Slijepčević [10], many criteria for van der Corput sets are known. Extensive accounts of van der Corput sets can be found in [1] and [7]. For a modern treatment of van der Corput's difference theorem, see [2].

Published online: 06 January 2018

Arch. Math.

Let G be a compact (not necessarily abelian) group and  $\mu_G$  be the normalized Haar measure on G. We recall that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be uniformly distributed in G if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mu_G \left( \{ 1 \le n \le N : x_n \in C \} \right) = \mu_G(C)$$

for any open set  $C \subset G$  with boundary measure 0. Van der Corput's difference theorem has been generalized to any compact group by Hlawka [4] (see also [6, Chapter 4, Section 2]), namely that if the sequences  $(x_{n+h}x_n^{-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are uniformly distributed in a compact group G for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also uniformly distributed in G. Naturally, the notion of van der Corput sets also makes sense in any compact group. We make the following:

**Definition 1.** Let G be a compact topological group. We say a set  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  is G-van der Corput (G-vdC for short) if the following is true. For any sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$ , if the sequence  $(x_{n+h}x_n^{-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for each  $h \in H$ , then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also uniformly distributed in G.

Given this definition, from now on usual van der Corput sets are referred to as  $\mathbf{T}$ -vdC sets. Presumably, the property of G-van der Corput depends on G. We will, however, prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** If a set  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  is  $\mathbf{T}$ -vdC, then it is also G-vdC for any compact group G.

Kamae and Mendès France [5] found a connection between **T**-vdC sets and intersective sets which are much studied in combinatorial number theory and ergodic Ramsey theory. A set  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  is called intersective if for any dense subset A of the integers (that is,  $\overline{\lim}_{N \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, \dots, N\}|}{N} > 0$ ), there exist two elements of A whose difference is in H. Alternatively, H is intersective if and only if it is a set of recurrence, i.e. H has the property that for any measure-preserving dynamical system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there is  $h \in H$  such that  $\mu(A \cap T^{-h}A) > 0$ . Kamae and Mendès France showed that any **T**-vdC set is intersective. The converse is not true: Bourgain [3] constructed a set that is intersective but not **T**-vdC. Furthermore, he showed that the generic density conditions for **T**-vdC and intersective sets are the same. We will extend Kamae and Mendès France's argument to prove the following.

**Theorem 2.** If G is a compact, second countable group (that is, its topology has a countable base), then every G-vdC set is intersective.

As a consequence of Theorems 1 and 2, if G is a compact, second countable group, then the class of all G-vdC sets lies in between the class of all G-vdC sets and the class of all intersective sets. It is an interesting problem to determine if these two inclusions are strict, even for a specific choice of G, e.g.,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . This could be a difficult problem since Bourgain's construction of a set that is intersective but not G-vdC is difficult.

In Section 2 we will recall some preliminaries on uniform distribution. In Section 3 and Section 4 we will prove Theorems 1 and 2.

# Author's personal copy

Van der Corput sets with respect to compact groups

**2. Prelimiaries.** We first recall Weyl's criterion for general compact groups. Let G be a compact group with normalized Haar measure  $\mu_G$ . A representation of G of degree k is a continuous homomorphism  $\mathbf{D}$  from G to the multiplicative group GL(k) of all nonsingular complex matrices of order k. A representation  $\mathbf{D}$  is called unitary if  $\mathbf{D}(x)$  is unitary for all  $x \in G$ . Two representations  $\mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2$  of the same degree k are said to be equivalent if there exists a nonsingular  $k \times k$  matrix S such that

$$\mathbf{D}_2(x) = S\mathbf{D}_1(x)S^{-1}$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

A representation **D** of degree k is called *reducible* if there exists a subspace V of  $\mathbf{C}^k$  of dimension  $0 < \dim(V) < k$  such that  $\mathbf{D}(x)V \subset V$  for all  $x \in G$ . **D** is called *irreducible* if it is not reducible.

Let  $\{\mathbf{D}^{(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a system of representations of G that is obtained by choosing exactly one representation from each equivalence class of irreducible unitary representation. Let  $\mathbf{D}^{(0)}$  be the trivial representation. We then have:

**Proposition 1 (Weyl's criterion, [6, Theorem 4.1.3]).** The sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$  is uniformly distributed in G if and only if for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}^{(\lambda)}(x_n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

We will also need the following simple fact, whose proof we will omit:

**Lemma 1.** If  $\mathbf{D}$  is a non-trivial irreducible representation of G, then

$$\int_C \mathbf{D}(x) d\mu_G = \mathbf{0}.$$

Next, we recall some criteria for  $\mathbf{T}$ -vdC sets. Though we will only need (A), (C), and (F), we will list all of them for completeness. For a set  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$ , the following conditions are all equivalent to H being  $\mathbf{T}$ -vdC.

(A) (Ruzsa) For any sequence  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of bounded complex numbers, if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n+h} \overline{u_n} = 0$$

for any  $h \in H$ , then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n = 0.$$

(B) (Ruzsa) For any sequence  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of complex numbers satisfying  $\overline{\lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |u_n|^2 < \infty$ , if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n+h} \overline{u_n} = 0$$

M. Kelly and T. H. Lê

for any  $h \in H$ , then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n = 0.$$

- (C) (Kamae-Mendès France, Ruzsa) For any nonnegative, finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{T}$ , if  $\widehat{\mu}(h) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} e(-hx)d\mu(x) = 0$  for any h in H, then  $\mu$  is continuous at 0 (that is,  $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ ).
- (D) (Bergelson-Lesigne) For any nonnegative, finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{T}$ , if  $\sum_{h \in H} |\widehat{\mu}(h)| < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  is continuous at 0.
- (E) (Kamae-Mendès France, Ruzsa) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a nonnegative real trigonometric polynomial

$$T(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n e(nx)$$

supported on  $H \cup (-H) \cup \{0\}$  (that is,  $a_n=0$  for  $n \notin H \cup (-H) \cup \{0\}$ ) satisfying T(0) = 1 and  $a_0 \leq \epsilon$ .

(F) (Bergelson-Lesigne) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite, positive-definite sequence  $(a_n)$  (that is,  $\sum_{n,n' \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n-n'} z_n \overline{z_{n'}} \geq 0$  for any sequence  $(z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) supported on  $H \cup (-H) \cup \{0\}$  (that is,  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \notin H \cup (-H) \cup \{0\}$ ) satisfying

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 \le \epsilon.$$

(G) (Ninčević-Rabar-Slijepčević) H is operator recurrent, that is, for any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , for any unitary operator U on  $\mathcal{H}$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  whose orthogonal projection on  $\mathrm{Ker}(U-I)$  is non-zero, there exists  $h \in H$  such that

$$\langle U^h x, x \rangle \neq 0.$$

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** In proving Theorem 1, we use the following result due to Bergelson-Lesigne, which is a Hilbert space generalization of criterion (A).

**Proposition 2 ([1, Corollary 1.31]).** Let  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  be a  $\mathbf{T}$ -vdC set. Let  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be any bounded sequence in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle u_{n+h}, u_n \rangle = 0$$

for any  $h \in H$ , then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n = 0.$$

Bergelson-Lesigne deduced this from a generalized van der Corput inequality ([1, Proposition 1.30]) and criterion (F). We remark that in the case where  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite-dimensional (which is all we need), this can also be proved by the method of correlation functions ([6, Section 2, Chapter 4]) and criterion (C).

# Author's personal copy

Van der Corput sets with respect to compact groups

For each k, GL(k) is naturally a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(\overline{B}^t A).$$

Let H be any **T**-vdC set. Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$  be a sequence such that  $(x_{n+h}x_n^{-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in G for any  $h \in H$ . Let **D** be any unitary representation of degree k of G. By Weyl's criterion (Proposition 1),

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}(x_{n+h} x_n^{-1}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

We have

$$0 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \mathbf{D}(x_{n+h} x_n^{-1}), I_k \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \mathbf{D}(x_{n+h}), \mathbf{D}(x_n) \rangle.$$

Since **D** is unitary, the sequence  $(\mathbf{D}(x_n))$  is bounded. Proposition 2 implies that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}(x_n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

By Weyl's criterion, this implies that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in G and H is G-vdC.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** Since G is second-countable, it follows from the Peter-Weyl theorem that the system of representatives  $\{\mathbf{D}^{(\lambda)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of unitary representations of G is countable (since, in this case,  $L^2(G)$  is separable).

In proving Theorem 2, we will generalize Kamae-Mendès France's probabilistic argument in [5]. Suppose  $H \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  is G-vdC but not intersective. Then there is a set  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  of positive upper density such that  $A \cap (A - h) = \emptyset$  for all  $h \in H$ .

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}^+}$  be a sequence of random variables taking values in G as follows. Put  $u_n=1_G$  for all  $n\in A$ . For  $n\in\mathbf{Z}^+\setminus A$ , we select  $u_n\in G$  uniformly and independently (with respect to  $\mu_G$ ). Fix  $h\in H$ . We have

$$u_{n+h}u_n^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in A \text{ and } n+h \in A; \\ u_{n+h} & \text{if } n \in A \text{ and } n+h \not \in A; \\ u_n^{-1} & \text{if } n \not \in A \text{ and } n+h \in A; \\ u_{n+h}u_n^{-1} & \text{if } n \not \in A \text{ and } n+h \not \in A. \end{cases}$$

Since  $A \cap (A-h) = \emptyset$ , the first case does not occur. From here it can be shown using standard probablistic arguments that the random variables  $u_{n+h}u_n^{-1}$  are independent and uniform in G. Indeed, uniformity is immediate. As for independence, one only needs to verify independence of families of random variables  $\{u_{n_i+h}u_{n_i}^{-1}\}_{i=1}^{I}$  where the pairs of indices  $\{n_i,n_i+h\}$  are not pairwise disjoint. We leave the details to the reader.

By the law of large numbers and Lemma 1, for any non-trivial  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}^{(\lambda)}(u_{n+h} u_n^{-1}) = \int_{G} \mathbf{D}^{(\lambda)}(x) d\mu_G = \mathbf{0}$$

almost surely.

Since  $\Lambda$  is countable, the above equation in conjunction with Weyl's criterion (Proposition 1) almost surely implies that for any  $h \in H$ , the sequence  $(u_{n+h}u_n^{-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in G. Since H is G-vdC, we have almost surely  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in G.

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be any non-trivial irreducible unitary representation of G. On the one hand, by Weyl's criterion, we have almost surely

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}(u_n) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, by the law of large numbers, and Lemma 1

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - |A_N|} \sum_{\substack{n=1, \\ n \notin A}}^N \mathbf{D}(u_n) = \int_G \mathbf{D}(x) d\mu_G = \mathbf{0}$$
 (2)

almost surely, where  $A_N = A \cap \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ . From (1) and (2) and the fact that  $u_n = 1_G$  for all  $n \in A$ , we see that  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|A_N|}{N} = 0$ , which is a contradiction since A has positive upper density.

**Acknowledgements.** The second author is supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1702296 and a Ralph E. Powe Junior Faculty Enhancement Award from Oak Ridge Associated Universities.

#### References

- V. Bergelson and E. Lesigne, Van der Corput sets in Z<sup>d</sup>, Colloq. Math. 110 (2008), 1–49.
- [2] V. Bergelson and J. Moreira, Van der Corput's difference theorem: some modern developments, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 27 (2016), 437–479.
- [3] J. BOURGAIN, Ruzsa's problem on sets of recurrence, Israel J. Math. 59 (1987), 150–166.
- [4] E. HLAWKA, Zur formalen Theorie der Gleichverteilung in kompakten Gruppen, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 4 (1955), 33–47.
- [5] T. Kamae and M. Mendès France, Van der Corput's difference theorem, Israel J. Math. 31 (1978), 335–342.
- [6] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, Uniform Distribution of Sequences, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974.

# Author's personal copy

#### Van der Corput sets with respect to compact groups

- [7] H. L. MONTGOMERY, Ten Lectures on the Interface Between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 84, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [8] I. Ruzsa, Uniform distribution, positive trigonometric polynomials and difference sets, In: Seminar on Number Theory, 1981/1982, Exp. No. 18, 18 pp., Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, 1982.
- [9] I. Z. Ruzsa, Connections between the uniform distribution of a sequence and its differences, In: Topics in Classical Number Theory, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981), 1419–1443, Colloq. Math. Soc. Jànos Bolyai, 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1984.
- [10] M. NINČEVIĆ, B. RABAR, AND S. SLIJEPČEVIĆ, Ergodic characterization of van der Corput sets, Arch. Math. 98 (2012), 355–360.
- [11] J. VAN DER CORPUT, Diophantische Ungleichungen. I. Zur Gleichverteilung Modulo Eins, Acta Math. 56 (1931), 373–456.

MICHAEL KELLY Center for Communications Research, 805 Bunn Dr., Princeton, NJ 08540, USA e-mail: mskelly@idaccr.org

Thái Hoàng Lê Department of Mathematics, University of Mississippi, Hume Hall 305, University, MS 38677, USA

e-mail: leth@olemiss.edu

Received: 11 August 2016