


Environmental Science Nano

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. J. J. Gallagher, J. T. Buchman, T. A. Qiu, B. Zhi, T. Lyons, K. M. Landy, Z. Rosenzweig, C. Haynes and H. Fairbrother, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8EN00249E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [author guidelines](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our [author and reviewer resource centre](#), still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Environmental Significance

Elucidating the long term environmental impact of quantum dot (QD)-enabled consumer products depends upon identifying the nature and toxicity of fragments released as a consequence of photochemical weathering. To address this question, a polymer (PMMA) containing Cd-based QDs was exposed to different periods of artificial accelerated weathering. Nanoparticle-containing fragments, released into solution as the polymer matrix degraded, were detected and analyzed with confocal laser scanning microscopy and dark-field hyperspectral imaging. The toxicity of these released fragments towards *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 increased as weathering advanced. These findings identify analytical techniques suitable for characterizing QDs in polymer-containing fragments and the role that different forms of released nanomaterials (e.g. ions vs. QD-containing fragments) have in terms of biological impact.

Environmental Science: Nano Accepted Manuscript

Release, detection and toxicity of fragments generated during artificial accelerated weathering of CdSe/ZnS and CdSe quantum dot polymer composites

Miranda J. Gallagher^a, Joseph T. Buchman^b, Tian A. Qiu^b, Bo Zhi^b, Taeyjuana Lyons^c, Kaitlin M. Landy^b, Zeev Rosenzweig^c, Christy L. Haynes^b, and D. Howard Fairbrother^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, United States

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, 55455, United States

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, 21250, United States

* Corresponding author

E-mail: howardf@jhu.edu

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Next generation displays and lighting applications are increasingly using inorganic quantum dots (QDs) embedded in polymer matrices to impart bright and tunable emission properties. The toxicity of some heavy metals present in commercial QDs (e.g. cadmium) has, however, raised concerns about the potential for QDs embedded in polymer matrices to be released during the manufacture, use, and end-of-life phases of the material. One important potential release scenario that polymer composites can experience in the environment is photochemically induced matrix degradation. This process is not well understood at the molecular level. To study this process, the effect of an artificially accelerated weathering process on QD-polymer nanocomposites has been explored by subjecting CdSe and CdSe/ZnS QDs embedded in poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) composites to UVC irradiation in aqueous media. Significant matrix degradation of QD-PMMA was observed along with measurable mass loss, yellowing of the nanocomposites, and a loss of QD fluorescence. While ICP-MS identified the release of ions, confocal laser scanning microscopy and dark-field hyperspectral imaging were shown to be effective analytical techniques for revealing that QD-containing polymer fragments were also released into aqueous media due to matrix degradation. Viability experiments, which were conducted with *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1, showed a statistically significant decrease in bacterial viability when the bacteria were exposed to highly degraded QD-containing polymer fragments. Results from this study highlight the need to quantify not only the extent of nanoparticle release from a polymer nanocomposite but also to determine the form of the released nanoparticles (e.g. ions or polymer fragments).

Environmental Science: Nano Accepted Manuscript

Introduction

Nanomaterials often have unique physicochemical properties compared to bulk materials that make them attractive for use in consumer products. Currently, the Project on Emerging Nanotechnology by the Woodrow Wilson International Center estimates that over 1800 products contain nanomaterials.¹ The nanomaterials incorporated into polymer-based consumer products are generally chosen to impart specific functionalities. For instance, polymers are modified by the inclusion of nanodiamond or carbon nanotubes (CNTs) for strength,^{2,3} silver nanoparticles for antibiotic properties,⁴ and quantum dots (QDs) to impart particular optical properties.⁵

The unique optical properties of QDs are a consequence of the size of the particle being smaller than the Bohr radius of the atom, giving rise to a quantum confinement effect. Accordingly, the emission wavelength of the QDs can be precisely tuned by adjusting the size, shape and composition of the QD.⁶ Popular inorganic QDs which contain II-VI elements such as CdS, CdSe and CdTe⁷ are often capped with a shell (e.g. ZnS is used as a cap on CdSe QDs), which increases the emission quantum yield by passivating trap sites at the surface while also providing protection against environmental (e.g. photo-oxidative) degradation. CdSe/ZnS core/shell quantum dots display size tunable narrow emission peaks with high emission quantum yield across a broad range of the visible spectrum; as such, they encompass a significant market share of light display technologies.⁵ In these applications, CdSe/ZnS quantum dots are embedded into a proprietary conductive polymer matrix that makes up the screen of smart displays, such as the Amazon Kindle Fire 7" HDX. In other applications, CdSe/ZnS-polymer LEDs, (QLEDs) are used as solid state lighting components, for example, in indoor farming as less heat is produced than from conventional lamps. QLEDs are fabricated by either mixing QDs into the LED structure during processing for electrically induced emission⁸ or functionalizing an existing LED substrate for optically induced emission,^{9, 10} as used here. As an optically transparent polymer with good chemical stability, poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) is an ideal polymer matrix in which to incorporate QDs for lighting purposes.¹¹ In this study, CdSe and CdSe/ZnS quantum dots were embedded in PMMA to simulate a substrate-functionalized QLED.

When nanomaterials are used to create new products, it is necessary to evaluate their potential adverse impacts on the environment and human health. Cd-containing QDs are toxic to cell lines and organisms at various trophic levels.¹²⁻¹⁴ The toxic effect is ascribed to the release of Cd²⁺ ions and the generation of reactive

oxygen species (ROS). For example, apoptosis of human HL-60 cells was caused by Cd²⁺ ions.¹⁵ In saltwater dwelling *P. tricornutum* ROS production by CdSe/ZnS is evidenced by the measured increases in superoxide dimutase and catalase expression. Detected with a dichlorofluorescein assay, production of *P. tricornutum* intercellular ROS increased as the concentration of CdSe/ZnS in solution increased.¹⁶ Furthermore, production of ROS superoxide by PEGylated CdSe/ZnS aqueous QDs has been quantitatively measured ex situ with EPR,¹⁷ while other ROS have been observed when organisms including *D. melanogaster*, human neuroblastomas, and mice livers are exposed to CdSe/ZnS QDs.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Previous studies have also shown that the presence of a ZnS shell often reduces toxicity, possibly due to a decrease in the photooxidation rate and/or the extent of Cd²⁺ ion dissolution from the core.¹⁴

Nanoparticles, such as QDs, contained in consumer products, can be released at any stage of product life cycle (i.e. during manufacture, use, or end-of-life/disposal). For nanoparticles embedded in polymer composites, four potential mechanisms of release have been identified by Duncan *et al.*: i) diffusion, ii) desorption, iii) dissolution, and iv) matrix degradation.²¹ The first three are passive forms of release which occur in the absence of polymer degradation. An example of passive release from QD-polymer composites was observed in a study designed to model nanoparticle release characteristics from food packaging.²² This potential release scenario was examined in an accelerated leaching approach by exposing nanocomposites composed of CdSe/ZnS QDs embedded in low density polyethylene (LDPE) to acidic and oxidative environments at elevated temperatures (75°C). Results showed the release of ions into the surrounding media occurs by dissolution of embedded QDs, with ZnS shells dissolving first followed by CdSe cores. The extent of ion release was also found to depend on the size of the QDs, with smaller QDs releasing more ions than larger particles over the same timescale and under the same solution conditions.²² In another passive release case study, a commercial QD-acrylate nanocomposite, QuantumLight™, was degraded by a solvent infiltration mechanism distinct from surface leaching.⁹ The CdSe/ZnS-containing QuantumLight™ released no nanoparticles and only ions into solution in quantities that were strongly dependent upon the solution conditions. Results from this study found significant cadmium release only occurred in strong acidic conditions, nitric acid (pH 0.16) and gastric acid (pH 1.12), indicating a general resilience to leaching of the polymer nanocomposite through passive release.⁹

In contrast to passive release, active release from polymer nanocomposites occurs when nanoparticles are released as a result of the polymer matrix itself degrading, during processes such as mechanical abrasion or photodegradation.²¹ Motivated by the desire to assess changes in product efficacy and the effective lifetime of the product, polymer degradation studies have tended to focus on the effect of degradation upon the material itself with little effort to collect released materials.²³ The need to better understand the potential hazards posed by nanoparticles in the environment has, however, provided the motivation for several active release studies in the past few years focused on identifying the nature and extent of nanoparticles and nanoparticle-containing fragments released from nano-enabled products as a consequence of weathering,²⁴ including TiO₂ from an acrylic urethane coating²⁵ and CNTs from polymer nanocomposites.^{26,27} Active release of QDs from polymer composites has, however, not been previously studied.

In principle, the weathering of a polymer nanocomposite can occur during the use or the end-of-life phase. Although polymer photodegradation can be studied by exposing samples to solar irradiance (e.g. at a hot desert test site),²⁸ natural photodegradation in the environment is typically too slow to be followed experimentally (e.g. timescale of years). Consequently, the most common experimental approach is to use accelerated or artificial weathering conditions. Specific methods and experimental designs vary; for example, polymer samples have been exposed to accelerated weathering using an ASTM G90 Fresnel-Reflecting solar concentrator-Accelerated Weathering Machine,²⁹ an Atlas Xenon Weather-Ometer,^{30,31} or the SPHERE at the NIST Accelerated Weathering Laboratory in Gaithersburg, MD.³² Each method uses an intense light source (ex. concentrated solar, xenon arc lamp, mercury lamp) that contains a broad spectrum of visible and UV light.

In the present study, the artificial accelerated weathering³³ of polymer nanocomposites composed of CdSe and CdSe/ZnSe quantum dots embedded in poly(methyl methacrylate), (C₅O₂H₈)_n, has been performed. Previous wavelength-dependent studies have established that PMMA is photodegraded by <320 nm light as a result of polymer chain scission and direct photon absorption by the carbonyl chromophore.³⁴ Given that the fraction of solar flux reaching the Earth's surface below 320 nm is very small, the natural photochemical weathering of PMMA is extremely low, and accelerated PMMA polymer matrix photodegradation using an intense light source is necessary for laboratory studies.³⁵ For this reason, we conducted artificial accelerated weathering of PMMA composites with 254 nm UVC light.

Three different QD-polymer nanocomposites were studied: CdSe-PMMA, CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, and quantum dot-free PMMA. Polymer nanocomposites were placed in phosphate-buffered 18 M Ω -cm water and photodegraded with UVC light in an open system. The released particles were collected in the water and analyzed over time with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and darkfield microscopy. The effect of irradiation on the polymer nanocomposites was also studied over time with an array of analytical techniques, including scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), attenuated total internal reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), and CLSM. A particular point of emphasis in this study has been the detection and environmental impact of polymer fragments containing QDs.

ICP-MS can identify the nature and/or concentration of dissolved ions released into water during polymer matrix degradation, including ions released from QD-polymer composites.^{21,9} When combined with centrifugal filtration separation techniques, ICP-MS can also quantify the ratio of released nanoparticles to released ions.⁹ Single-particle ICP-MS (spICP-MS) can discriminate and quantify ions and nanoparticles. spICP-MS can, however, only discern the presence of nanoparticles with an element-dependent size limitation of 11-20 nm for cadmium, 21-80 nm for zinc, and >200 nm for selenium.^{36,37} These lower limits are, unfortunately, in excess of the 1.32 and 2.26 nm-diameter quantum dots used in this study and in most commercial products.

In addition to ions and discrete nanoparticles, fragments released during the active photodegradation of polymer nanocomposites are also hypothesized here to contain nanoparticles embedded within polymer fragments. The observation, analysis, and quantification of these species is significantly more challenging than for ions or nanoparticles. Thus, spICP-MS detection of nanoparticles within polymer composites has yet to be reported, as extensive separation techniques would be needed. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to locate released CNTs-polymer fragments²⁶ in a four-laboratory study by Wohlleben *et al.*, where CNT-polymer composites were irradiated with a xenon-arc lamp for 2000 h (ISO 4892-2). Although TEM has the requisite sensitivity, can directly image and confirm the presence of nanoparticles, as well as resolve composition (individual CNTs vs. embedded in polymer fragments), it is a vacuum-based technique which often involves extensive sample preparation and has low sampling efficiency.

This work focused on the use of two microscopy techniques to detect the presence of nanoparticle-containing polymer fragments released into aqueous solution. Specifically, CLSM was used to detect the presence of released photoluminescent quantum dots embedded within polymer fragments. Unfortunately, emission-dependent techniques like CLSM cannot be used to detect transformed nanomaterials, such as QDs, which have lost their nascent photoluminescence after photodegradation. This type of released fragment can be discerned, however, using dark-field microscopy, where nanoparticles are detected based on light scattering. In particular, CytoViva® dark-field hyperspectral imaging (HSI) microscopy has been recently implemented to determine the location of NPs in biological tissues,^{38,39} such as silver nanoparticles within *S. oneidensis*.⁴ HSI provides a tool for identifying QDs in complex matrices such as biological tissues^{38,39} and bacteria⁴ without relying on photoluminescence. Here, scattering spectra were collected, spectral libraries were constructed, and pixels containing materials of interest (i.e. QDs) were located using the spectral angle mapper (SAM),^{40,41} an integrated function within the CytoViva® imaging system.

In addition to identifying the nature of the released PMMA, CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA fragments, a primary toxicity screen was conducted. For these purposes, *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 (*S. oneidensis*) was chosen as a model bacterial system because of the ubiquitous presence of genus *Shewanella* in different environments, such as soils, sediments, and marine habitats, making it likely to be exposed to released nanocomposite fragments. *S. oneidensis* has an important environmental role by contributing to geochemical nutrient cycling due to its ability to reduce a wide range of metals.⁴² For instance, *S. oneidensis* reduces selenite to Se⁰ through its use of anaerobic respiration reductases,⁴³ but less is known about its ability to interact with the cadmium and zinc present in QDs. Any impact of the fragments generated during the photodegradation of QD nanocomposite on *S. oneidensis* therefore, provides information that could be used to assess the more general impact of QD-PMMA fragments on the environment.

Experimental

Particle Synthesis and Characterization

CdSe/ZnS core-shell QDs were synthesized using a hot-injection method for core growth, followed by the sequential, layer-by-layer growth of the shell using the successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR)

1 synthesis.⁴⁴ The synthesis of CdSe/ZnS core/shell QDs was carried out following a well-established protocol
2 which is described in more detail in a previously published manuscript.⁴⁵ Briefly, a selenium precursor solution
3 was prepared in a 20 mL scintillation vial, which was then sealed, heated and sonicated to ensure complete
4 dissolution of the Se. The mixture was then degassed under vacuum and backfilled with nitrogen gas. A Cd
5 precursor solution was prepared, added to a 50 mL three-necked round-bottom flask, and repeatedly heated and
6 degassed under vacuum. The Se precursor solution was rapidly injected into the Cd precursor once it was heated
7 to 300 °C. The reaction mixture was quickly cooled to 50 °C and allowed to anneal overnight. The QD cores
8 were then purified three times using 1-butanol, acetone, and methanol. Finally, the purified QD cores were
9 suspended in toluene.

10 The SILAR method was then used to add the ZnS shell to the CdSe QD cores.⁴⁴ A fraction of the QD
11 cores was purified and dissolved at 100 °C in a mixture of 1-octadecene, oleylamine, trioctyl phosphate (TOP),
12 and dodecylphosphonic acid in a three-neck round bottom flask. The mixture was degassed under vacuum to
13 remove water and oxygen and then backfilled with nitrogen gas. The solution was then heated to 160 °C under
14 reflux conditions, and a Zn precursor solution (0.05 M zinc formate in oleylamine) was slowly added to the
15 solution using a syringe pump. Subsequently, a sulfur precursor (0.25 M hexamethyldisilathiane in TOP) was
16 slowly added to the solution, also using a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was kept under these conditions for
17 30 min to allow the formation and annealing of the ZnS monolayer. The temperature was increased to 170 °C,
18 and the process was repeated to add a second and then a third monolayer shell. The temperature was increased by
19 10 °C for each added monolayer shell. The resulting QDs were capped with trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) and
20 suspended in hexanes.

21 The core and core/shell QDs were purified and resuspended in chloroform to determine the molarity using
22 absorbance measurements and established molar extinction coefficients.⁴⁶ The size of the spherical QDs was
23 calculated using absorption and emission data as well and determined to be 1.32 nm (FWHM=32nm) for CdSe
24 and 2.26 nm (FWHM=39nm) for CdSe/ZnS. Absorption data are graphed in Fig. 1(A) and were collected with an
25 Evolution 201 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and a Quantum Master 400 (PTI).

26 **Fabrication of QD-Polymer Nanocomposites**

QD-PMMA polymer composites were fabricated by solution blending. The batch of CdSe cores was used to manufacture the CdSe-PMMA and, after shelling, the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA polymer nanocomposites. For QD-PMMA, this involved mixing 32 mL chloroform (HPLC Grade, EMD Millipore) with 800.7 ± 0.3 mg of poly(methyl methacrylate) (MW= 120,000) (Aldrich) and 8 mL of $1.7 \mu\text{M}$ QDs suspended in chloroform (Fig. 1(B,C)). This casting solution was then poured into four 20 mL, 1.5" diameter, aluminum dish molds (Fisher Scientific) and dried overnight in ambient conditions. Circular composites were removed from the molds and soaked in $18 \text{ M}\Omega\text{-cm}$ water for one day, dried in ambient conditions, and then cut with a razor blade into six $\frac{1}{2}$ " x $\frac{1}{4}$ " samples; see Fig. 1(D,E) for photographs of slide-mounted QD-PMMA nanocomposites. PMMA polymer controls were prepared in a similar fashion with 40 mL of chloroform. These rectangular samples had an initial mass of: 9.9 ± 0.6 mg (N=24) for CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, 9.5 ± 0.5 mg (N=24) for CdSe-PMMA, and 7.4 ± 0.3 mg (N=24) for PMMA alone. Samples were stored in ambient conditions until use.

Photodegradation of QD Polymer Nanocomposites

Samples were secured to a $\frac{1}{2}$ " glass slide with $\frac{1}{4}$ " PTFE tape (Swagelok®) and placed vertically into 15 mL quartz test tubes, each of which were then filled with 12 mL $3 \mu\text{M}$ phosphate buffer, pH 7, in $18 \text{ M}\Omega\text{-cm}$ water (Fig. S1 in the ESI†). The reaction vessel was then capped with a Suba-Seal® septa (Sigma-Aldrich, U.K.) and capped with aluminum foil. The septum was pierced with a 26G needle (BD™, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to create a system open to the atmosphere.

Polymer composites were degraded in a 16 lamp Rayonet Photochemical Reactor© with RPR-2537A lamps of 254 nm UVC light (Southern New England Ultraviolet Company, Branford, CT). The photon flux was determined by actinometry to be $2.31 \times 10^{21} \text{ quanta s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ with a power density of 1.81 kW m^{-2} , where peak solar radiation is defined as 1 kW m^{-2} .⁴⁷ Dark (negative control) samples were wrapped in aluminum foil and placed in a 30°C water bath. Samples were irradiated for 210.5 h, 336 h and 504 h, hereafter called 1.25, two and three weeks, respectively. For each irradiation time, PMMA and QD-PMMA samples were started at the same time. After photolysis, the glass slides with the QD-polymer nanocomposites were removed from the reaction vessels and air-dried for at least 24 h prior to analysis.

1 The solution in the reaction vessel was partitioned for analysis as follows: 2 mL for pH determination, 7
2 mL for acidification and subsequent ICP-MS analysis in an acid-washed 15 mL conical, while the remaining 3
3 mL was used for microscopy and bacterial viability studies.

4
5
6
7
8 **Characterization of QD Polymer Nanocomposites**

9
10 **Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM):** Solid CdSe/ZnS-PMMA and PMMA samples were analyzed by
11 CLSM before and after photodegradation. In these analyses, 142.86 μm^2 images were acquired at 2048 x 2048
12 resolution. CLSM images were acquired from the composite surface of the QD-polymer nanocomposites and up
13 to a depth of 5 μm below the surface on a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany)
14 using 488 nm excitation. A 505 nm band pass emission filter was chosen to avoid any UV absorption of degraded
15 PMMA. CLSM images were processed in Zen2009 and LSM image browser (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and
16 transformed in ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD). To visualize the QD emission from within the QD polymer
17 nanocomposites before and after photodegradation, 512 x 512 resolution Z-stack images were constructed up to a
18 depth of 64 μm below the surface.

19
20 **Photoluminescence (PL):** The PL of solid QD polymer composites before and after exposure to UVC irradiation
21 was recorded on a Photon Technology International system using a Newport RSP-1T solid sample holder. In this
22 apparatus, samples are positioned at a 90° angle between the incident LPS-220B lamp power supply (xenon arc
23 lamp source (USHIO Inc., Japan)) and the 814 photomultiplier detection system. Samples were excited at 400 nm
24 and emission collected from 700-425 nm, 1 nm step size, with a 1 nm excitation slit and 1 nm emission slit. To
25 ensure consistency, PL data was collected with the same slit width, on the same day, and are graphed on the same
26 y-axis.

27
28 **Attenuated Total Internal Reflection Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR):** Changes to
29 the chemical bonding within QD polymer composites was determined with a Nicolet iS5 FT-IR Spectrometer
30 equipped with iD5 ATR accessory with a diamond laminate crystal (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).
31 Data was averaged over 64 scans with a 0.8 cm^{-1} resolution, background subtracted, and baseline corrected. Three
32 random spots on the polymer surface were tested to ensure that similar spectra were recorded.

33
34 **X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS):** Surface analysis of QD-polymer composites was accomplished
35 using a PHI 5600 XPS system equipped with a Mg K α X-ray (1253.6 eV) source detected at 0.125 eV step size

with a Pass Energy of 59.7 eV (Physical Electronics, Chanhassen, MN). For XPS analysis, $\frac{1}{4}$ " x $\frac{1}{4}$ " sections of polymer sample were secured to sample stubs with double sided copper tape (3MTM St. Paul, MN) while suspension-phase QDs were dropcast onto Si substrates. Calibration of the XPS to the C(1s) peak position of hydrocarbon species at 285.0 eV as well as baseline corrections, peak fitting and determinations of atomic concentrations was carried out with CasaXPS software.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM): $\frac{1}{4}$ " x $\frac{1}{8}$ " sections of polymer samples were mounted onto aluminum stubs with double-sided carbon tape (3MTM St. Paul, MN) and sputter coated with platinum to minimize charging (Quorum Technologies Polaron SC7640 Auto/Manual High Resolution Sputter Coater, 12mA/800V plasma current, 200s, East Sussex, UK). Images were obtained at 10 or 20 keV, ~8.0 nm WD on a 6700F SEM (JOEL USA, Inc. Peabody, MA).

Solution Characterization after Photolysis: The pH of the solutions after reaction was determined with an Accumet® XL20 pH Meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific Waltham, MA) equipped with a 9103BNWP electrode calibrated with pH 4, 7 and 10 buffers (Ricca Chemical Company, Arlington, TX) or pH paper. The concentration of Cd ([Cd]_{tot}) released into solution as a result of photodegradation was measured using ICP-MS. This involved triplicate sampling of acidified solutions using an ElanDRCII ICP-MS (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) with argon carrier gas and a nitric acid carrier solution. A calibration curve was made for every 20 samples by serial dilutions of 1000 µg/L standard solutions of Cd, Se, Zn, S, P supplied by NIST traceable standards (Inorganic Ventures, Christiansburg, VA) to 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 µg/L concentrations; where necessary the calibration curves were extended to 170 µg/L and 240 µg/L. The released cadmium concentration represents the sum of QDs embedded in polymer fragments, ions and QDs.

Imaging of aqueous solutions containing photodegraded fragments generated by irradiating PMMA, CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA composites were acquired using high S/N ratio, dark-field Cytoviva® hyperspectral imaging (HSI) (Cytoviva®, Auburn, AL). In these experiments, sample solutions were drop-cast (~3-4 µL) onto a glass slide, which was then sealed with a cover slip and clear nail polish. Slides were examined at 100x magnification with an oil immersion lens under an Olympus BX-41 microscope. Scattering spectra were acquired with a Cytoviva® spectrophotometer and integrated CCD camera in both the visible and near-infrared range (400-1000 nm). Spectral analysis of the HSI spectra was performed by the Environment for Visualization

software (ENVI 4.4 version). Spectral libraries of CdSe in chloroform, CdSe/ZnS in chloroform, and degraded PMMA fragments were collected and then used by the SAM to identify QD-containing fragments released during photodegradation (Fig. S2 in the ESI†).⁴⁸ To determine if photoemissive fragments were released on a time scale shorter than 1.25 weeks, CdSe/ZnS-PMMA and PMMA fragments, which were released after one day of photodegradation in a separate experiment, were analyzed by CLSM in the Zeiss LSM 510 with the same sample preparation as for HSI.

Bacterial Assay: To measure toxicity of species released from QD-PMMA nanocomposite photofragments to *S. oneidensis* MR-1, the bacterial prep and toxicity analysis using drop plate colony counting were adapted from Feng *et al.*⁴⁹ Bacteria were stored at -80 °C until ready for use, where some of the frozen stock was transferred to an agar plate to grow overnight at 30 °C. Then, 15 colonies were transferred to 10 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and grown for four hours at 30 °C with 300 rpm shaking to reach the late-log phase (OD ~ 0.6-1). The bacteria in LB broth were centrifuged at 750×g for 10 min, and the pellet was resuspended in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), which was kept on a nutating mixer at room temperature for 10 min. The bacteria were again centrifuged at 750×g for 10 min and then resuspended in 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer (2 mM HEPES and 25 mM NaCl, at pH 7.4). The bacterial culture was diluted to an OD=0.02, which is approximately 2×10⁷ colony forming units (CFUs)/mL.

The culture was further diluted to 1×10⁴ CFUs/mL, prior to exposure to 5-fold dilute supernatants from QD-PMMA nanocomposite degradation samples, or with Cd²⁺ at various concentrations (1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 200, 500 ppb). 80 µL of bacteria were exposed to 80 µL of the diluted supernatants or Cd²⁺ solutions for 60 min, and then six 10 µL aliquots were dropped onto an agar plate for colony counting evaluation.

The agar plate was first prepared by drying for 30 min in a 30 °C incubator before being irradiated with UV light for 15 min to ensure sterility. After the bacteria-containing drops were fully absorbed into the agar, the plates were incubated overnight (for ~20 h) at 30 °C. The viability after each exposure was reported as a ratio to the control. Where the three material replicates showed consistent Cd release, as revealed by analysis with ICP-MS, data from these colony counting experiments were combined and subjected to two-way ANOVA to check for statistical significance of the photodegraded samples compared to their dark controls.

Results

Materials Characterization of QD and QD-Polymer Nanocomposites Prior to Irradiation

Core and core-shell QDs were suspended in chloroform due to functionalization with TOPO and were also miscible with PMMA dissolved in chloroform (Fig. 1(B,C)). The resultant solution-blended CdSe/ZnS-PMMA and CdSe-PMMA polymer nanocomposites, fabricated from the same batch of QD cores, emitted orange and green, respectively (Fig. 1(D,E)), consistent with the color of the respective QDs in solution (Fig. 1(A)). In contrast, the control, PMMA, was transparent and colorless. Following polymer composite synthesis, and after several days of drying and slide mounting, the CdSe cores embedded within the CdSe-PMMA were observed by eye to lose emission brightness, which was expected due to oxidation of the cores without the protective ZnS passivation layer. The QDs in the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA polymer composites, however, maintained emission for the eight months of this study and continue to emit two years later.

Polymer thickness was determined with a Leica DMI8A microscope by subtracting the Z-height measured when the top of the sample was in focus from the Z-height measured when the mounting slide was in focus. In this way, thicknesses of samples used in dark control studies were determined to be $114 \pm 15 \mu\text{m}$ (N=9) for CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, $104 \pm 15 \mu\text{m}$ (N=9) for CdSe-PMMA, and $110 \pm 20 \mu\text{m}$ (N=9) for PMMA samples.

CLSM was used to explore the dispersion of the core-shell QDs within the PMMA matrix. A representative top down and side view image of the dispersion of CdSe/ZnS nanoparticles throughout the polymer matrix within a $142.86 \mu\text{m} \times 142.86 \mu\text{m}$ Z-stack of $64 \mu\text{m}$ is displayed in Fig. 2. The CLSM images were constructed from 80 top down images, acquired with a $0.08 \mu\text{m}$ step size, stacked on top of each other. Analysis of Fig. 2 revealed the presence of quantum dot agglomerates distributed throughout the polymer matrix. To characterize the size of the QD agglomerates, all emission signals from one high-resolution surface slice of the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA (see Fig. S3 in the ESI†) were classified to determine the size and spatial distribution of QDs. In ImageJ, this image was globally thresholded and then analyzed with a particle size transform indicating that the 2574 QD agglomerates contained within the $1517 \mu\text{m}^2$ area had an average agglomerate size of $0.6 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}^2$.⁵⁰

XPS analysis of the CdSe/ZnS nanoparticles and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites revealed the presence of Cd(II) species, evidenced by Cd $3d^{5/2}$ peaks at 404.8 and 404.9 eV, respectively (Fig. S4 in the ESI†). XPS also reveals the presence of S, Se and Zn before and after solution blending at values close to previous

literature assignments for CdSe and ZnS.^{51,52} After eight months storage at 5 °C in air in the dark, the CdSe/ZnS QDs had oxidized as determined by the S 2p^{3/2} peak at 168.3 eV, consistent with the formation of sulfate species. In contrast, the CdSe/ZnS QDs embedded in PMMA and stored in dark ambient conditions for eight months did not exhibit a shift in the S 2p^{3/2} peak, indicating that the PMMA prevented oxygen diffusion (Fig. S4 in the ESI†).⁵¹ In addition to the elements expected for the CdSe/ZnS QDs, and PMMA (oxygen and carbon), residual chlorine from the solvent, chloroform, was detected in the XPS, due to the strong acid-base interaction of acidic chloroform with the basic polymer, PMMA.⁵³ A small amount of phosphorous was also detected, at a P 2p binding energy of 133 eV, in starting materials, dark controls and degraded polymers, likely due to the use of a phosphate buffer or TOPO ligand (Fig. S4, S5, S6 in the ESI†) TOPO has a P 2p binding energy of 133 eV⁵⁴ and sodium phosphate and hydrogen phosphate have an average P 2p binding energy of 133.1 ± 0.2 eV (N=6).⁵⁵ Similar results were seen for CdSe-PMMA (Fig. S6 in the ESI†).

ATR-FTIR analysis of PMMA and QD-PMMA polymer nanocomposites revealed that the inclusion of QDs did not change the chemical bonding in the PMMA (Fig. S7, S8, S9 in the ESI†).

Polymer matrix degradation

Visual inspection (Fig. S1) as well as bright-field, dark-field, and SEM (Fig. 3) images revealed that after three weeks of UVC irradiation, the transparent-orange CdSe/ZnS-PMMA composite became yellowed and opaque with a severely roughened surface topography. The change in visual coloration can be ascribed to the effect of matrix degradation and UV-induced cross-linking that accompanies the photochemical cleavage of the ester groups in PMMA.^{35,56} Moreover, SEM images revealed an irregular porous surface was created following photodegradation with UVC.

The mass loss observed from PMMA, CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, and CdSe-PMMA samples after different periods of photolysis is reported in Fig. S10 in the ESI†. Although there is some variability, the mass losses among all three types of samples were within at least three standard deviations of each other, with most of the mass loss occurring between 1.25 and two weeks. Mass loss data indicate neither the rate nor the extent of photodegradation was changed by the presence of QDs in the PMMA.

In XPS analysis of 1.25 week-, two week-, and three week-irradiated CdSe/ZnS-PMMA composites, the presence of carbon and oxygen were revealed, but none of the elements associated with QDs, even after 90 mins

of Ar⁺ sputtering, were apparent. In contrast, Cd, Zn and S were detected by XPS in the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA composites immersed in water in the dark for 1.25 weeks. Although the Cd signal level was lower than that observed in the as-prepared CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites, the Cd signal increased upon Ar⁺ sputtering. This initial low Cd signal level was ascribed to the deposition of a thin layer of adventitious carbon on the surface as a result of samples having been immersed in buffered water and subsequently air dried prior to XPS analysis. For samples that had been immersed in water for two or three weeks, no Cd or Zn signals were observed, presumably due to the presence of a thicker adventitious carbon layer than for the 1.25-week sample. It should be noted that the absence of any detectable Cd release from these dark control samples, see the ICP-MS data in Fig. 4, also supports the idea that the decrease in Cd signal observed by XPS is not due to the dissolution of QDs in the near surface region.

The CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, CdSe-PMMA and PMMA ATR-FTIR spectra were compared to corresponding spectra after 1.25, two and three weeks of photodegradation (Fig. S7, S8, S9 in the ESI†). A broad -OH stretch appeared at 3500 cm⁻¹ for all three genres of composites. Characteristic PMMA vibrational modes, such as the CH₃ at 2985 cm⁻¹, were lost. The C=O stretch at 1721 cm⁻¹ and C-O stretch at 1236 cm⁻¹ lost intensity and broadened.⁵⁷ Finally C-O-C band reduction occurred at 1190 cm⁻¹.⁵⁸

Photoluminescence Degradation

The orange dotted line in Fig. S11 of the in the ESI† shows a comparison of the PL spectra acquired from solid PMMA to a solid CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposite after being immersed in water in the dark for 1.25 weeks. The fluorescence of CdSe/ZnS QDs embedded in PMMA was retained, as evidenced by the emission peak centered at 575 nm λ_{max} (N=3). This represents an ~20 nm blue-shift from the 594 nm λ_{max} peak observed from the CdSe/ZnS QDs when suspended in chloroform (Fig. 1(A)). The 575 nm peak, due to QD emission, is more clearly seen in the difference spectra⁵⁹ between the PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposite (black line, Fig. S11 in the ESI†). As expected, no fluorescence was detected in the PL or CLSM for the nanocomposite samples that contained either the weakly fluorescent CdSe QD core controls or the PMMA control.

Following photodegradation, the PL spectra of CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA samples were found to be similar, with a broad peak centered at ~500 nm. The signal of the broad peak increased in intensity as the duration of photodegradation increased, from 1.25-week to two-week. The presence of this broad feature was

also detected in the degraded PMMA samples. Notably, for the photodegraded CdSe/ZnS-PMMA samples, the emission peak at 575 nm no longer appears, indicating no emissive QDs were left on the surface (Fig. 5(A)).

The three-week irradiated and dark control CdSe/ZnS-PMMA samples were imaged in Lambda mode with the CLSM wavelength-dependent detector, where emission was detected every 10 nm. Two representative CLSM images acquired at 584 nm and 530 nm are shown in Fig. 5; the full 10 image spectrum is provided in the ESI† in Fig. S12. After three weeks in the dark, the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA sample exhibited discrete features at 584 nm as seen in Fig. 5(A), close to the expected emission $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 594$ nm and indicative of the presence of embedded QDs. In contrast, no emission was detected at 530 nm. After three weeks of photodegradation, however, there was no evidence of any emission at 584 nm. In contrast, at 530 nm diffuse emission was now detected in the photodegraded CdSe/ZnS-PMMA and PMMA samples (Fig. 5(A) and Fig. 5(C) respectively) due to fluorescence associated with photodegraded PMMA.

Solution Results

Acid: Initially set at pH 7, the solutions exceeded the buffering capacity of the matrix as significant mass loss led to the release of acidic species and CO₂. Consequently, the buffering capacity was found to remain for one day before dropping into an acidic range (See Table S1 in the ESI†).

Cadmium release: Over the three week period, the amount of cadmium released from solution remained stable for both the core control and core shell composites (Fig. 4). The amount of cadmium released from the CdSe-PMMA nanocomposites after 1.25 weeks was 325 ± 4 µg/L which was higher than the 187 ± 16 µg/L released for CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites. This trend was continued to two- and three-weeks with 361 ± 18 µg/L compared to 209 ± 9 µg/L and 330 ± 8 µg/L compared to 194 ± 10 µg/L, respectively. No cadmium was detected in any of the PMMA, system controls or dark samples.

Detection of QD-containing fragments – CLSM: >505 nm emission ascribed to the QDs was detected within released polymer fragments with CLSM after one day of photodegradation. Therefore, some of the cadmium detected in the ICP-MS was from quantum dots embedded in polymer fragments. After one day of photodegradation, see Fig. 6(A,B,C), emission was detected from three CdSe/ZnS-PMMA fragments that were 2.7 µm, 4.5 µm, and 6.4 µm in size. No emission was detected in PMMA fragments, see Fig. 6(D), degraded under the same conditions.

Environmental Science: Nano Accepted Manuscript

Detection of QD-containing fragments – CytoViva®: >505 nm emission could not be detected from nanocomposite fragments beyond one day of photodegradation. Therefore, QDs in fragments were detected with another technique, HSI as described in the experimental section. A representative image of QDs detected within a degraded PMMA fragment can be found in Fig. 7. After three weeks of degradation, the suspension containing released species from the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA sample was imaged with both dark-field (Fig. 7(A)) and HSI (Fig. 7(B)) microscopy. Degraded polymer fragments were detected as bright spots in the dark-field images (Fig. 7(A)) due to scattered light: the toroidal appearance of the fragments is an artifact of the illumination scheme and the reflective nature of the fragments. Any QDs were located by SAM within the polymer fragments by matching the pixel spectra in the HSI (Fig. 7(B)) to the references in the library yielding a map of CdSe/ZnS in yellow and degraded PMMA in cyan (Fig. 7(C)). To observe detail, the boxed region in Fig. 7(C) was enlarged in Fig. 7(D,E,F). In contrast, when using the same spectral libraries, no QDs were detected in photofragments generated from pure PMMA (Fig. S13 in the ESI†). When particles were illuminated under the same brightness conditions, CdSe/ZnS QDs peaks were strong and sharp while PMMA peaks were broad and of low intensity (as shown in the Fig. 7, region of interest (ROI) #1-4). The spectral library filter function was used to validate that there was no spectral overlap between the QD libraries and the PMMA library (Fig. S2 in the ESI†). Subsequently, taking advantage of SAM classification, degraded PMMA photofragments were detected amongst the photofragments created from all three weeks for CdSe/ZnS-PMMA and CdSe-PMMA (Fig. S14, S15, S16, S17, S18 in the ESI†). Many of the detected QDs in the HSI images are co-localized with degraded PMMA signals. Hence, these localized images indicate released QDs can be differentiated from degraded PMMA with HSI using characteristic spectral libraries.

Bacterial Data

Using colony counting, the bacterial toxicity of the suspension containing fragments and species generated during the photodegradation of QD-PMMA nanocomposites and PMMA polymers was evaluated. No reduction in viability was observed for the samples that had been degraded for 1.25 weeks (Fig. 8(A)), but after two weeks of degradation, the CdSe-PMMA nanocomposite degradants exhibited toxicity to *S. oneidensis* compared to the dark control (Fig. 8(B)). For samples photodegraded for three weeks, both the degraded CdSe-PMMA nanocomposites and degraded CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites showed significant toxicity to the bacteria (with $p < 0.01$)

compared to dark controls (Fig. 8(C)). In ion control experiments, see Fig. 8(D), Cd^{2+} was revealed to be toxic to *S. oneidensis* at concentrations of 200 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and 500 $\mu\text{g/L}$. In contrast, less than 200 $\mu\text{g/L}$ of Cd^{2+} ion was contained within the 5-fold diluted degradant samples, see ICP-MS data, suggesting viability reduction due to degradants cannot be solely attributed to Cd^{2+} ion. The trend in toxicity of the nanocomposite degradants indicates that as the nanocomposites were subjected to photodegradation for longer time periods, the released fragments were further degraded to more toxic forms.

Discussion

The quality of the QD polymer nanocomposites was demonstrated by using a combination of microscopy and spectroscopy. A comparison of the starting material data shown in Fig. 1, as well as Fig. 2 and Fig. S11 in the ESI†, demonstrate that the optical properties of the CdSe/ZnS QDs are conserved when embedded within the PMMA matrix through solution blending. Visually, this is supported by the observation that both the orange emission and absorption color of the CdSe/ZnS QDs dissolved in chloroform (Fig. 1(B,C)), as well as the emission characteristics of the CdSe/ZnS QDs (Fig. 1(A)), are retained when the QDs are embedded in PMMA (Figure 1(D,E) and Figure S11). Fig. 1(A) reveals the general retention of QD emission properties. The peak at 572 nm peak in the CdSe/ZnS QDs PMMA solid composites (observed in the PL data in Fig. 5(B) and Fig. S11 in the ESI†), however, is blue shifted from the 594 nm emission peak of the CdSe/ZnS QDs in chloroform which might be attributed to solvent effects and sub-nanometer QD surface oxidation during the nanocomposite manufacturing process.^{45,59} Emission was rapidly lost from the CdSe QDs embedded in the PMMA, highlighting the importance of the ZnS shell in protecting the CdSe core. Prior to irradiation, the presence of QDs dispersed within the CdSe/ZnS PMMA matrix is most clearly evidenced by the bright pixelated features detected in the 10 nm detection windows centered around 584 nm and 594 nm in the CLSM images (Fig. 5(B) and Fig. S12 in the ESI†). While a significant degree of QD aggregation was exhibited within the polymer matrix, as shown in the CLSM Z-stack (Fig. 2), the agglomerates are reasonably uniformly dispersed within the matrix, with no evidence of any large scale segregation (Fig. S3 in the ESI†). Overall, the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites can be considered a reasonable representation of a QD polymer nanocomposite found in consumer products, such as LED lighting applications.

Significant polymer matrix photodegradation and production of photofragments was caused by UVC irradiation, demonstrated by the mass loss data (Fig. S10 in the ESI†) as well as the SEM and light microscopy images (Fig. 3). A high degree of photodegradation was also evidenced by the mass loss data with this experimental approach. Micrometer sized holes in the surface of the material are clearly shown in the SEM image (Fig. 3(D)) which could be a possible source for the 5 μm fragments detected with CLSM (Fig. 6). Furthermore, a cleavage of the ester group and subsequent cross-linking of the remaining polymer^{35,56} is indicated by a yellowing of PMMA (Fig. 3(E,F) and Fig. S1 in the ESI†). In addition to these structural modifications, UVC irradiation led to a loss of spectral features usually seen in the fingerprint region below 1500 cm^{-1} according to the ATR-FTIR data (Fig. S7, S8, S9 in the ESI†). The use of 254 nm UVC light in water as an accelerated weathering technique for simulating matrix degradation is supported by the similarity in the changes in the ATR-FTIR observed in this study and PMMA degraded at 260 nm, 280 nm and 300 nm in vacuum,³⁵ specifically the reduction of the C-O-C band intensity at 1190 cm^{-1} . Based on previous studies, matrix degradation can be assumed to occur principally as a consequence of absorption by the carbonyl group in the PMMA, leading to chain scission.³⁴ The emission properties of the photodegraded PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites are also transformed according to the PL data in Fig. 5(A), where emission below 575 nm was measurably increased due to photodegradation of the PMMA. A similar increase was not observed in the dark control (Fig. 5(B)). In the CLSM images shown in Fig. 5(A) and 5(B), this polymer degradation was manifested as an increase in diffuse emission at 530 nm. Future research is merited to compare this photodegradation system with past results. Acrylate monomer, CH_3OH , and HCOOCH_3 were the photoproducts produced by UVC PMMA film degradation (UHV, 25 $^\circ\text{C}$), as detected by solvent dissolution and GC-MS. Chain scission was determined to be the main photoprocess, with a quantum yield of 0.05 as determined by HPLC.⁵⁷ The degraded polymers in this study were utilized for surface analysis however, photoproducts and chain scission can be quantified by complete dissolution of the solid polymer into solvent followed by GC-MS and HPLC respectively. The decrease in pH was most likely due to the release of carbon dioxide, which occurs during Norrish type I main chain scission reactions. Due to the resistance of PMMA to acid degradation (<20% hydrochloric, <10% acetic and carbonic acids at 30 $^\circ\text{C}$),⁶⁰ any passive acid based leaching of cadmium ions from the polymer is theorized to be minimal compared to the active release from polymer matrix photodegradation

1 Interestingly, although the rate and extent of polymer mass loss was independent of the presence of QDs,
2 see Fig. S10 in the ESI†, the measured $[Cd]_{tot}$ in the supernatant was consistently higher for the CdSe-PMMA
3 nanocomposites, as compared to the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA composites, although the nominal $[Cd]_{tot}$ in both types of
4 composites was the same (Fig. 4). This difference may be a consequence of the greater ease with which Cd ions
5 are released from CdSe QDs as compared to CdSe/ZnS QDs, coupled with the likelihood of a greater efficiency
6 for Cd detection by ICP-MS from Cd ions as compared to Cd contained in either intact QDs or in polymer
7 fragments.

8 In addition to matrix degradation, the bright-field images shown in Fig. S1 in the ESI†, as well as the
9 CLSM images shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. S12 in the ESI†, imply the absence of any emissive QDs after the
10 composites are irradiated for 1.25 weeks. This assertion is supported by the similarity in the PL spectra of
11 irradiated PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites (compare PL spectra in the left-hand side of Fig. 5(A)
12 and Fig. 5(C)). In the CLSM data, (compare the righthand side of Fig. 5(A) and Fig. 5(C)), the diffuse emission at
13 530 nm observed in photodegraded CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites is observed in photodegraded PMMA, but
14 the initial 594 nm QD emission in non-photodegraded CdSe/ZnS-PMMA is absent after three weeks of
15 photodegradation.

16 Fragments were released due to active polymer matrix photodegradation, as demonstrated by microscopy
17 (Fig. 6, 7 and S13, S14, S15, S16, S17, S18 in the ESI†), which occurs in all three sample types: PMMA, CdSe-
18 PMMA and CdS/ZnS-PMMA. Moreover, for CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, nanoparticles are contained
19 within released polymer fragments in addition to ions detected in ICP-MS. In contrast, only ions or ions
20 attributed to discrete QDs were detected in previous passive release studies involving QD-polymer
21 composites.^{9,21,22} Although the QDs introduced into the PMMA are initially coated with TOPO and insoluble in
22 water, as a result of the polymer degradation process some of these nanoparticles become embedded in polymer
23 fragments which appear to be mobile in aqueous media, and will therefore likely transport in aqueous
24 environments. This assertion is based on our experimental observations that in both the dark-field and Cytoviva
25 microscopes, the QD-containing fragments were mobile.⁶¹

26 The species released in passive release studies, Cd ions and discrete QDs,^{9,22} have the potential to be toxic
27 to a variety of different organisms. In contrast, this study focused on identifying the nature and toxicity of QD-

containing fragments that will be released during active polymer nanocomposite degradation processes such as weathering. During the initial stages of matrix degradation, the release of polymer fragments containing emissive QDs was identified with CLSM (Fig. 6). Although CdSe/ZnS QDs are efficiently photobleached by 1.25, two and three weeks of UVC photolysis, polymer degradation occurs in parallel evidenced by the significant observed mass losses (Fig. S10 in the ESI†). Consequently, during the initial stages of polymer photodegradation, some optically-intact QD-containing fragments are released into solution and it is these fragments that are detected by CLSM in Fig. 6. As the photodegradation process proceeds, however, QDs in the solid substrate polymer matrix, or in solution as released polymer fragments, will become photobleached. Consistent with this argument, QDs embedded in PMMA substrates and fragments were rapidly photobleached.

QD-containing fragments were released into solution intact throughout weeks of accelerated artificial weathering. Individual QDs would not be detected through the methods used, as the diffraction-limited resolution of CLSM is 200 nm. Cadmium containing species, $<0.45 \mu\text{m}$ in size, were detected with ICP-MS. More cadmium was released for the CdSe-PMMA than for the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA due to the lack of protective ZnS passivation shell that exposed the core to oxidation. If the QDs had fully dissolved into their ions, then there would have been no dark-field scattering signature in the HSI. In the present study, CdSe QDs, which had lost their emission by exposure to oxygen,^{44,45,62,63} could be only be identified through their unique HSI spectral signature (Fig. S16, S17, S18 in the ESI†). This is also true for CdSe/ZnS-PMMA fragments after one day of photodegradation. The success of the HSI microscopy in identifying QD-containing fragments generated from CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA suggests that this technique could be applied to other nanoparticle fragments generated from composite materials. A unique scattering spectrum can be generated by each type of nanoparticle, which can then be used as a fingerprint in the training dataset. In this way, the SAM function of the CytoViva® imaging system could locate either individual particles or agglomerates in complex environments via fast optical and spectroscopic identification.^{38,39} Specifically, HSI is shown to be capable of discriminating between pixels containing PMMA only and those containing CdSe/ZnS QDs (see Fig. 7) based on relatively small differences in the spectral response of these two materials. An internal consistency check on the viability of this approach is also provided by the absence of any CdSe/ZnS-containing fragments being detected in fragments

1 generated by the photodegradation of PMMA (Fig. S13), which is a consequence of the different spectral
2 signatures in the material library (Fig. S2).

3
4
5
6 The quantification of the size and concentration of photodegraded water-soluble microplastics is a
7 developing field. This study was focused on the detection of quantum dots within released polymer
8 nanocomposites, however further research is needed to quantify the concentration of these released fragments. As
9 the concentrations of fragments in released solutions and 10x diluted released solutions were below the detection
10 limit of the DLS and UV-Vis, microscopy was utilized to identify the existence of released material in the form of
11 microplastics. A review of quantification methods in marine debris studies found 6 of 68 papers utilized a pre-
12 massed 1-1.6 μm to capture and mass the $>1 \mu\text{m}$ fragments in marine samples, which would be the best method to
13 quantify the concentration of 5 μm PMMA fragments like those found here.⁶⁴

14
15 The viability of *S. oneidensis* was studied when exposed to released photofragments which are a
16 combination of released polymer composite fragment colloids, photobleached QDs, and aqueous species such as
17 cadmium, selenite, zinc, and sulfate ions. In terms of toxicity, cadmium ions are generally considered to be the
18 most important species in quantum dot toxicity with bacteria,^{62,65} however, in our studies the viability reduction
19 seen in *S. oneidensis* after exposure to photodegraded nanocomposites occurs in the absence of any change to the
20 $[\text{Cd}]_{\text{tot}}$ and therefore is not entirely due to Cd^{2+} ions. Specifically, Fig. 8 shows a statistically significant toxicity
21 of CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposites photodegraded for two and three weeks (Fig. 8(B,C))
22 and three weeks (Fig. 8(C)), respectively. In contrast, no evidence of toxicity towards *S. oneidensis* was shown
23 for the degraded PMMA samples for the duration of the experiments. *S. oneidensis* has been shown to be
24 insensitive to zinc and selenite, the most abundant form of dissolved selenium,⁶² at the concentration relevant in
25 this study.^{66,67} Hence, the main species responsible for the increased toxicity is postulated to be the released QD-
26 containing fragments. The increase in toxicity of the released fragments as a function of UVC exposure, despite
27 the absence of a change in the $[\text{Cd}]_{\text{tot}}$, is interpreted to indicate weathering changed the toxicity of the QD-
28 containing polymer fragments. As the nanocomposites are continually exposed to UVC light, we speculate the
29 size of the fragments decreased, exposing more QDs to the fragment surface. Uptake of either 1.32 or 2.26 nm
30 QDs or 5 μm QD-containing fragments (see Fig. 6) would, most likely, not occur with *S. oneidensis*, as proven
31 with TEM for similarly-sized gold nanomaterials.^{49,68} 4-nm-diameter nanoparticles, however, can associate with

the outer bacterial membrane of *S. oneidensis*, as observed by TEM in Buchman *et al.*⁶⁸ Similarly, QD-containing polymer fragments could attach to the outer surface of *S. oneidensis*. These smaller fragments, with more QDs at the surface, could be a source for high local concentration of QDs and/or Cd²⁺ at the bacterial membrane as they degraded.

Conclusions

Micron-sized polymer fragments containing nanoparticles, such as QDs, will be released from polymer nanocomposites during active release scenarios like weathering and abrasion. In this study, HSI and CLSM imaging have been shown capable of observing this type of QD release into solution. Identification of these nanoparticle-containing fragments is far more challenging than the detection and quantification of ions. The current study also demonstrates the ability of HSI to detect QD-containing polymer fragments and to distinguish them from polymer-only fragments. Importantly, HSI does not require QDs to exhibit photoluminescence to be detected because their presence can be identified through a unique light scattering spectral signature. The major mechanism of ion and nanoparticle fragment release in this study was through photodegradation of the PMMA matrix, which occurred through chain scission and cross-linking. Although this model polymer nanocomposite was synthesized in a laboratory environment, the conclusions are nevertheless applicable to commercial nanocomposite products. With respect to polymer nanocomposites that have been discarded at product end-of-life, studying the environmental impact of nanoparticles, which have undergone transformation, such as photodegradation, is clearly important in the study of the environmental impact of the pristine nanoparticles used to manufacture the original product. Having identified the release and mobility of QD-containing polymer fragments it would be useful in future studies to determine the fate and transport of QD-polymer fragments in porous media, as well as the stability of QD-containing polymer fragments towards dissolution and fragmentation in various aqueous environments. In the present study the toxicity of polymer fragments towards the model organism *S. oneidensis* was demonstrated. To obtain a complete picture of ecotoxicity, it would be valuable to test QD-containing polymer fragments upon more metal sensitive bacteria such as *A. baylyi* and *P. aeruginosa*.

Acknowledgements

1 This work was funded by the Center for Sustainable Nanotechnology under the National Science Foundation
2
3 Creative Chemistry Initiative Grant CHE-1503408. J.T.B. acknowledges support by the University of Minnesota
4
5 Biotechnology Training Grant Program through the National Institutes of Health Grant 5 T32 GM 8347-24. The
6
7 authors would like to acknowledge the following individuals for consultation and method development: Erin
8
9 Pryce for CLSM, Bernard Gaskey for SEM and light microscope, Ronald Lankone for composite fabrication and
10
11 Heredeline Ardona for PL. The authors thank the Department of Geographical and Environmental Engineering,
12
13 the Integrated Imaging Center, Surface Characterization Facility, and the Tovar Lab at Johns Hopkins University
14
15 for use of their instrumentation. The authors thank Cytoviva TM for use of their darkfield microscope for
16
17 hyperspectral imaging.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supporting Information

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: photographs of the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA
composites in the reaction vessel before and after degradation, library for HSI spectral angle mapper,
QD agglomeration area calculation of CdSe/ZnS-PMMA solid, a table of solution pH, X-ray
photoelectron spectra of the starting materials and degraded nanocomposites, solid ATR-FTIR of
nanocomposites before and after degradation, time dependent mass loss, photoluminescence difference
spectra of PMMA from CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, full spectrum wavelength dependent CLSM of CdSe/ZnS-
PMMA and PMMA, and hyperspectral imaging of fragments released from photodegradation of
PMMA, CdSe-PMMA and CdSe/ZnS-PMMA. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Environmental Science: Nano Accepted Manuscript

References

- 1 Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies (2013) Consumer Products
Inventory, <https://www.nanotechproject.org/cpi>, (accessed April 2017).
- 2 B. T. Branson, M. A. Seif, J. L. Davidson, and C. M. Lukehart, Fabrication and macro/nanoscale
characterization of aggregated and highly de-aggregated nanodiamond/polyacrylonitrile composite thick films, *J.*
Mater. Chem., 2011, **21**, 18832–18839.
- 3 Y. Liu and S. Kumar, Polymer/Carbon Nanotube Nano Composite Fibers—A Review, *ACS Appl. Mater.*
Interfaces, 2014, **6**, 6069–6087.
- 4 I. L. Gunsolus and C. L. Haynes, Analytical Aspects of Nanotoxicology, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 451–479.
- 5 Y. Yang, Y. Zheng, W. Cao, A. Titov, J. Hyvonen, J. R. Manders, J. Xue, P. H. Holloway, and L. Qian, High-
efficiency light-emitting devices based on quantum dots with tailored nanostructures, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**,
259–266.
- 6 C.W. Tang and S. A. VanSlyke, Organic Electroluminescent Diodes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **1987**, 51, 913–915.
- 7 J. M. Pietryga, Y.-S. Park, J. Lim, A. F. Fidler, W. K. Bae, S. Brovelli, and V. I. Klimov, Spectroscopic and
Device Aspects of Nanocrystal Quantum Dots, *Chem. Rev. (Washington, DC, U. S.)*, 2016, **116**, 10513–10622.
- 8 S. Liu, W. Liu, W. Ji, J. Yu, W. Zhang, L. Zhang, and W. Xie, Top-emitting quantum dots light-emitting
devices employing microcontact printing with electricfield-independent emission, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 22530.
- 9 J. Liu, J. Katahara, G. Li, S. Coe-Sullivan, and Robert Hurt, Degradation Products from Consumer
Nanocomposites: A Case Study on Quantum Dot Lighting, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **46**, 3220–3227.
- 10 Y. Shirasaki, G. Supran, M.G. Bawendi, V. Bulović, Emergence of colloidal quantum dot light emitting
technologies, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, **17**, 13–23.
- 11 Y. Yuan and M. Krüger, Hybrid Materials for Light Conversion Applications, *Polymers*, 2012, **4**, 1–19.
- 12 T. L. Rocha, N. C. Mestre, S. M. T. Sabóia-Morais and M. J. Bebianno, Environmental behaviour and
ecotoxicity of quantum dots at various trophic levels: A review, *Environ. Int.*, 2017, **98**, 1–17.
- 13 V. K. Sharma, T. J. McDonald, M. Sohn, G. A. K. Anquandah, M. Pettine and R. Zboril, Assessment of
toxicity of selenium and cadmium selenium quantum dots: A review, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **188**, 403–413.

- 14 J. L. Pelley, A. S. Daar and M. A. Saner, State of Academic Knowledge on Toxicity and Biological Fate of Quantum Dots, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2009, **112**, 276–296.
- 15 M. Kondoh, S. Araragi, K. Sato, M. Higashimoto, M. Takiguchi and M. Sato, Cadmium induces apoptosis partly via caspase-9 activation in HL-60 cells, *Toxicology*, 2002, **170**, 111–117.
- 16 E. Morelli, P. Cioni, M. Posarelli and E. Gabellieri, Chemical stability of CdSe quantum dots in seawater and their effects on a marine microalga, *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 2012, **122–123**, 153–162.
- 17 E. Yaghini, K. F. Pirker, C. W. M. Kay, A. M. Seifalian and A. J. MacRobert, Quantification of Reactive Oxygen Species Generation by Photoexcitation of PEGylated Quantum Dots, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 5106–5115.
- 18 A. Galeone, G. Vecchio, M. A. Malvindi, V. Brunetti, R. Cingolani and P. P. Pompa, In vivo assessment of CdSe-ZnS quantum dots: coating dependent bioaccumulation and genotoxicity, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 6401–6407.
- 19 W.-H. Chan, N.-H. Shiao and P.-Z. Lu, CdSe quantum dots induce apoptosis in human neuroblastoma cells via mitochondrial-dependent pathways and inhibition of survival signals, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 2006, **167**, 191–200.
- 20 W. Liu, S. Zhang, L. Wang, C. Qu, C. Zhang, L. Hong, L. Yuan, Z. Huang, Z. Wang, S. Liu and G. Jiang, CdSe Quantum Dot (QD)-Induced Morphological and Functional Impairments to Liver in Mice, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, e24406.
- 21 T. V. Duncan, Release of Engineered Nanomaterials from Polymer Nanocomposites: the Effect of Matrix Degradation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 20–39.
- 22 K. V. Pillai, P. J. Gray, C.-C. Tien, R. Bleher, L.-P. Sung and T. V. Duncan, Environmental release of core-shell semiconductor nanocrystals from free-standing polymer nanocomposite films, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2016, **3**, 657–669.
- 23 ISO Standard 877-1:2009. Plastics – Methods of exposure to solar radiation – Part 1: General guidance, 2009.
- 24 B. Nowack, A. Boldrin, A. Caballero, S. F. Hansen, F. Gottschalk, L. Heggelund, M. Hennig, A. Mackevica, H. Maes, J. Navratilova, N. Neubauer, R. Peters, J. Rose, A. Schäffer, L. Scifo, S. v. Leeuwen, F. von der Kammer, W. Wohlleben, A. Wyrwoll and D. Hristozov, Meeting the Needs for Released Nanomaterials Required for Further Testing—The SUN Approach, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 2747–2753.
- 25 Y. Pang, S. S. Watson and L.-P. Sung, Surface degradation process affected by heterogeneity in nano-titanium dioxide filled acrylic urethane coatings under accelerated UV exposure, *Polymer*, 2014, **55**, 6594–6603.

- 26 W. Wohlleben, C. Kingston, J. Carter, E. Sahle-Demessie, S. Vázquez-Campos, B. Acrey, C.-Y. Chen, E. Walton, H. Egenolf, P. Müller and R. Zepp, NanoRelease: Pilot interlaboratory comparison of a weathering protocol applied to resilient and labile polymers with and without embedded carbon nanotubes, *Carbon*, 2017, **113**, 346–360.
- 27 R. S. Lankone, J. Wang, J. F. Ranville and D. H. Fairbrother, Photodegradation of polymer-CNT nanocomposites: effect of CNT loading and CNT release characteristics, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2017, **4**, 967–982.
- 28 ASTM Standard G7/G7M-13, 2013. Standard Practice for Atmospheric Environmental Exposure testing of Nonmetallic Materials, 2013.
- 29 ASTM Standard G90-10, 2010. Standard Practice for Performing Accelerated Outdoor Weathering of Nonmetallic Materials Using Concentrated Natural Sunlight, 2010.
- 30 A. Heikkilä, S. Kazadzis, O. Meinander, A. Vaskuri, P. Kärhä, V. Mylläri, S. Syrjälä and T. Koskela, UV exposure in artificial and natural weathering: A comparative study, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2017, **1810**, 110004.
- 31 ASTM Standard G155-13, 2013. Standard Practice for Operating Xenon Arc Light Apparatus For Exposure of Non-Metallic Materials, 2013.
- 32 J. W. Chin, E. Byrd, N. Embree, J. Martin and J. D. Tate, Ultraviolet chambers based on integrating spheres for use in artificial weathering, *J. Coat. Technol.*, 2002, **74**, 39–44.
- 33 ASTM Standard G113-16, 2016. Standard Terminology Relating to Natural and Artificial Weathering Tests of Nonmetallic Materials, 2016.
- 34 J. Rabek, *Photodegradation of Polymers: Physical Characteristics and Applications*, Springer, 1996.
- 35 A. Torikai, M. Ohno and K. Fueki, Photodegradation of poly(methyl methacrylate) by monochromatic light: Quantum yield, effect of wavelengths, and light intensity, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1990, **41**, 1023–1032.
- 36 M. D. Montaña, J. W. Olesik, A. G. Barber, K. Challis and J. F. Ranville, Single Particle ICP-MS: Advances toward routine analysis of nanomaterials, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2016, **408**, 5053–5074.
- 37 S. Lee, X. Bi, R. B. Reed, J. F. Ranville, P. Herckes and P. Westerhoff, Nanoparticle Size Detection Limits by Single Particle ICP-MS for 40 Elements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **48**, 10291–10300.
- 38 G. A. Roth, S. Tahiliani, N. M. Neu-Baker and S. A. Brenner, Hyperspectral microscopy as an analytical tool for nanomaterials, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2015, **7**, 565–579.

- 39 G. A. Roth, M. d. P. Sosa Peña, N. M. Neu-Baker, S. Tahiliani and S. A. Brenner, Identification of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles in Histological Samples by Enhanced Darkfield Microscopy and Hyperspectral Mapping, *J. Visualized Exp.*, 2015, DOI: 10.3791/53317.
- 40 M. D. P. S. Peña, A. Gottipati, S. Tahiliani, N. M. Neu-Baker, M. D. Frame, A. J. Friedman and S. A. Brenner, Hyperspectral imaging of nanoparticles in biological samples: Simultaneous visualization and elemental identification, *Microsc. Res. Tech.*, 2016, **79**, 349–358.
- 41 A. R. Badireddy, M. R. Wiesner and J. Liu, Characterization, and Abundance of Engineered Nanoparticles in Complex Waters by Hyperspectral Imagery with Enhanced Darkfield Microscopy, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **46**, 10081–10088.
- 42 H. H. Hau and J. A. Gralnick, Ecology and Biotechnology of the Genus *Shewanella*, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2007, **61**, 237–258.
- 43 D.-B. Li, Y.-Y. Cheng, C. Wu, W.-W. Li, N. Li, Z.-C. Yang, Z.-H. Tong and H.-Q. Yu, Selenite reduction by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 is mediated by fumarate reductase in periplasm, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 3735.
- 44 R. Xie, U. Kolb, J. Li, T. Basché and A. Mews, Synthesis and Characterization of Highly Luminescent CdSe–Core CdS/Zn_{0.5}Cd_{0.5}S/ZnS Multishell Nanocrystals, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 7480–7488.
- 45 T. Y. Lyons, D. N. Williams and Z. Rosenzweig, Addition of Fluorescence Lifetime Spectroscopy to the Tool Kit Used to Study the Formation and Degradation of Luminescent Quantum Dots in Solution, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 3018–3027.
- 46 J. Jasieniak, L. Smith, J. van Embden, P. Mulvaney and M. Califano, Re-examination of the Size-Dependent Absorption Properties of CdSe Quantum Dots, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 19468–19474.
- 47 C. G. Hatchard and C. A. Parker, A new sensitive chemical actinometer - II. Potassium ferrioxalate as a standard chemical actinometer, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1956, **235**, 518.
- 48 B. Stacy, K. Comfort, D. Comfort and S. Hussain, In Vitro Identification of Gold Nanorods through Hyperspectral Imaging, *Plasmonics*, 2013, **8**, 1235–1240.
- 49 Z. V. Feng, I. L. Gunsolus, T. A. Qiu, K. R. Hurley, L. H. Nyberg, H. Frew, K. P. Johnson, A. M. Vartanian, L. M. Jacob, S. E. Lohse, M. D. Torelli, R. J. Hamers, C. J. Murphy and C. L. Haynes, Impacts of gold

- nanoparticle charge and ligand type on surface binding and toxicity to Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5186–5196.
- 50 W.S. Rasband, ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, 1997–2016, <https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>.
- 51 E. Agostinelli, C. Battistoni, D. Fiorani, G. Mattogno and M. Nogues, An XPS study of the electronic structure of the $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_2$ ($X = \text{S}, \text{Se}$) spinel system, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 1989, **50**, 269–272.
- 52 K. Laajalehto, I. Kartio and P. Nowak, XPS study of clean metal sulfide surfaces, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 1994, **81**, 11–15.
- 53 G. Wypych, in *Handbook of Solvents*, ChemTec Publishing, Oxford, 2nd Ed., 2014, 491–497, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-895198-64-5.50014-3>.
- 54 A. J. Morris-Cohen, M. D. Donakowski, K. E. Knowles, and E. A. Weiss, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 897–906.
- 55 NIST X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Database, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 20, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD (2000), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18434/T4T88K>, (accessed August 2016).
- 56 J.P. Allison, Photodegradation of poly(methyl methacrylate), *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1: Polym. Chem.*, 1966, **4**, 1209–1221.
- 57 A. Gupta, R. Liang, F.D. Tsay, and J. Moacanin, Characterization of a Dissociative Excited State in the Solid State: Photochemistry of Poly(methyl methacrylate). *Photochemical Processes in Polymeric Systems*. 5, *Macromolecules*, 1980, **13**, 1696–1700.
- 58 G. Duan, C. Zhang, A. Li, X. Yang, L. Lu and X. Wang, Preparation and Characterization of Mesoporous Zirconia Made by Using a Poly (methyl methacrylate) Template, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2008, **3**, 118.
- 59 J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Springer, New York, NY, 3rd Ed., 2006.
- 60 *Corrosion data survey – Nonmetals Section*, 5th Ed., ed. N. E. Hamner, National Association of Corrosion Engineers, Houston, 1975, pp. 3, 184, 404.
- 61 M.A. Bevan and S.L. Eichmann. Optical microscopy measurements of kT-scale colloidal interactions, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2011, **16**, 149–157.

62 S. Mahendra, H. Zhu, V. L. Colvin, P. J. Alvarez, Quantum Dot Weathering Results in Microbial Toxicity, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **42**, 9424–9430.

63 A. M. Derfus, W. C. W. Chan and S. N. Bhatia, Probing the Cytotoxicity of Semiconductor Quantum Dots, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 11–18.

64 V. Hidalgo-Ruz, L. Gutow, R. C. Thompson and M. Thiel, Microplastics in the Marine Environment: A Review of the Methods Used for Identification and Quantification, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **46**, 3060-3075.

65 J. H. Priester, P. K. Stoimenov, R. E. Mielke, S. M. Webb, C. Ehrhardt, J. P. Zhang, G. D. Stucky and P. A. Holden, Effects of soluble cadmium salts versus CdSe quantum dots on the growth of planktonic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, **43**, 2589–2594.

66 A. C. M. Toes, J. S. Geelhoed, J. G. Kuenen and G. Muyzer, Characterization of Heavy Metal Resistance of Metal-Reducing *Shewanella* Isolates From Marine Sediments, *Geomicrobiol. J.*, 2008, **25**, 304–314.

67 A. Klonowska, T. Heulin and A. Vermeglio, Selenite and Tellurite Reduction by *Shewanella oneidensis*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2005, **71**, 5607–5609.

68 J. T. Buchman, A. Rahnamoun, K. M. Landy, X. Zhang, A. M. Vartanian, L. M. Jacob, C. J. Murphy, R. Hernandez and C. L. Haynes, Using an environmentally-relevant panel of Gram-negative bacteria to assess the toxicity of polyallylamine hydrochloride-wrapped gold nanoparticles, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2018, **5**, 279–288.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest or conflict of interest.




Fig. 1 (A) CdSe nanoparticle (solid lines) and CdSe/ZnS nanoparticle (dashed lines) absorbance and emission in chloroform prior to incorporation into PMMA, where CdSe/ZnS were formed from the CdSe cores indicated here. (B) Ambient and (C) 365 nm UV illuminated images of casting solutions in chloroform and (D) ambient and (E) 365 nm UV illuminated images of polymers after solvent evaporation, being cut to size and attached to glass slides with Teflon® tape. The clear PMMA polymer control (left), the green CdSe core control in PMMA (middle) and the orange CdSe/ZnS quantum dots in PMMA (right).




Fig. 2 142.86 μm x 142.86 μm CLSM 64 μm Z-stack image of CdSe/ZnS-PMMA polymer nanocomposite obtained with 458 nm excitation. Any emission detected above a 505 nm cut-off filter is shown in orange, which includes the QD λ_{max} =594 nm.




Fig. 3 (A) SEM (B) brightfield (C) and darkfield images of the CdSe/ZnS-PMMA nanocomposite surface before (top) and after (bottom) three weeks of UVC irradiation.




Fig. 4 Total cadmium released from QD-PMMA as detected by ICP-MS for CdSe-PMMA (green), CdSe/ZnS-PMMA (orange dashed), PMMA (grey triangle) and Light System Blank (black) after 1.25, two and three weeks in the Rayonet© reactor photodegraded with UVC light (N=3).




Fig. 5 (Left hand side) Photoluminescence (PL) spectra and (right hand side) CLSM images of solid samples: (A) CdSe/ZnS-PMMA after UVC irradiation, (B) dark CdSe/ZnS-PMMA, and (C) PMMA after UVC irradiation. PL spectra were acquired after 1.25 and two weeks of UVC irradiation ((A) and (C)) or at 30 °C in the dark (B), while CLSM images were acquired after three weeks. The 142.86 μm² CLSM images of the three different polymer surfaces were obtained using a 405 nm laser and 10.0% power in Lambda detection mode. Other experimental details can be found in the text.




Fig. 6 CLSM images of fragments released from CdSe/ZnS-PMMA (A,B,C) and PMMA (D) after one day of UVC irradiation, where orange indicates any emission detected above 505 nm.




Fig. 7 (A) Dark-field image and (B) hyperspectral image (HSI) of CdSe/ZnS-PMMA fragments released after three weeks of UVC irradiation. (C) The HSI was searched for degraded PMMA signal (teal) and CdSe/ZnS nanoparticle signal (yellow). The red zoomed in box shows (D) the co-localization of the signals, (E) the signal from CdSe/ZnS nanoparticle only and (F) the signal from the degraded PMMA only. The region of interest (ROI) spectral profiles below correspond to the labeled fragments #1, #2, #3 and #4 in (D).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Fig. 8 Toxicity of the degradant products of accelerated QD-PMMA degradation to *S. oneidensis* MR-1 as determined by colony counting using nanocomposites that were degraded for (A) 1.25 weeks, (B) two weeks, and (C) three weeks. (D) The toxicity of Cd^{2+} ions (ion source: $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) at varying concentrations to the bacteria. The error bars show the range of the data, with the boxes indicating the second and third quartiles of the data.

Table of contents entry:

Artificial accelerated weathering of QD-polymer nanocomposites, characterization of released fragments, and model organism viability.