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A B S T R A C T

The congruence subgroup problem for a finitely generated group $\Gamma$ asks whether $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective, or more generally, what is its kernel $C(\Gamma)$ ? Here $\hat{X}$ denotes the profinite completion of $X$.
In this paper we first give two new short proofs of two known results (for $\Gamma=F_{2}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ ) and a new result for $\Gamma=\Phi_{3}$ :
(1) $C\left(F_{2}\right)=\{e\}$ when $F_{2}$ is the free group on two generators.
(2) $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=\hat{F}_{\omega}$ when $\Phi_{n}$ is the free metabelian group on $n$ generators, and $\hat{F}_{\omega}$ is the free profinite group on $\aleph_{0}$ generators.
(3) $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ contains $\hat{F}_{\omega}$.

Results (2) and (3) should be contrasted with an upcoming result of the first author showing that $C\left(\Phi_{n}\right)$ is abelian for $n \geq 4$.
© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

The classical congruence subgroup problem (CSP) asks for, say, $G=S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ or $G=G L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, whether every finite index subgroup of $G$ contains a principal congruence subgroup, i.e. a subgroup of the form $G(m)=\operatorname{ker}\left(G \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})\right)$ for some $0 \neq$ $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Equivalently, it asks whether the natural map $\hat{G} \rightarrow G L_{n}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is injective, where $\hat{G}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ are the profinite completions of the group $G$ and the ring $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively. More generally, the CSP asks what is the kernel of this map. It is a classical 19th century result that the answer is negative for $n=2$. Moreover (but not so classical, cf. [20,15]), the kernel, in this case, is $\hat{F}_{\omega}$ - the free profinite group on a countable number of generators. On the other hand, for $n \geq 3$, the map is injective and the kernel is therefore trivial.

The CSP can be generalized as follows: Let $\Gamma$ be a group and $M$ a finite index characteristic subgroup of it. Denote:

$$
G(M)=\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma / M)) .
$$

Such a finite index normal subgroup of $G=A u t(\Gamma)$ will be called a "principal congruence subgroup" and a finite index subgroup of $G$ which contains such a $G(M)$ for some $M$ will be called a "congruence subgroup". Now, the CSP for $\Gamma$ asks whether every finite index subgroup of $G$ is a congruence subgroup. When $\Gamma$ is finitely generated, $\operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})$ is profinite and the CSP is equivalent to the question (cf. [8], $\S 1$ and $\S 3$ ): Is the map $\hat{G}=\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})$ injective? More generally, it asks what is the kernel $C(\Gamma)$ of this map.

As $G L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$, the classical congruence subgroup results mentioned above can therefore be reformulated as $C\left(A_{2}\right)=\hat{F}_{\omega}$ while $C\left(A_{n}\right)=\{e\}$ for $n \geq 3$, when $A_{n}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is the free abelian group on $n$ generators.

Very few results are known when $\Gamma$ is non-abelian. A very surprising result was proved in [2] by Asada by methods of algebraic geometry:

Theorem 1.1. $C\left(F_{2}\right)=\{e\}$, i.e., the free group on two generators has the congruence subgroup property, namely Aut $\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective.

A purely group theoretic proof for this theorem was given by Bux-Ershov-Rapinchuk [8]. Our first goal in this paper is to give an easier and more direct proof of Theorem 1.1, which also give a better quantitative estimate: we give an explicitly constructed congruence subgroup $G(M)$ of $A u t\left(F_{2}\right)$ which is contained in a given finite index subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right)$ of index $n$. Our estimates on the index of $M$ in $F_{2}$ as a function of $n$ are substantially better than those of [8] - see Theorems 2.7 and 2.9.

We then turn to $\Gamma=\Phi_{2}$, the free metabelian group on two generators. The initial treatment of $\Phi_{2}$ is similar to $F_{2}$, but quite surprisingly, the first named author showed in [4] a negative answer, i.e. $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \neq\{e\}$. We also give a shorter proof of this result, deducing that:

Theorem 1.2. $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=\hat{F}_{\omega}$.
We then go ahead from 2 to 3 and prove:
Theorem 1.3. $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$. In particular, the congruence subgroup property (strongly) fails for $\Phi_{3}$.

This is also surprising, especially if compared with an upcoming paper of the first author [5] showing that $C\left(\Phi_{n}\right)$ is abelian for $n \geq 4$. So, while the dichotomy for the abelian case $A_{n}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is between $n=2$ and $n \geq 3$, for the metabelian case, it is between $n=2,3$ and $n \geq 4$.

A main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is showing that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ is large, i.e. it has a finite index subgroup which is mapped onto a non-abelian free group. For this we use the method developed by Grunewald and the second author in [13] to produce arithmetic quotients of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$. In particular, it is shown there that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{3}\right)$ is large. Our starting point to prove Theorem 1.3 is the observation that the same proof shows also that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ is large.

In our proof of Theorem 1.2, the largeness of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ is also playing a crucial role. But, a word of warning is needed here: largeness of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ by itself is not sufficient to deduce negative answer for the CSP for $\Gamma$. For example, Aut $\left(F_{2}\right)$ is large but has an affirmative answer for the CSP. At the same time, as mentioned above, Aut $\left(F_{3}\right)$ is large and we do not know whether $F_{3}$ has the congruence subgroup property or not. To prove Theorem 1.3 we use the largeness of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ combined with the fact that every non-abelian finite simple group which is involved in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{3}\right)$ is already involved in $G L_{3}(R)$ for some finite commutative ring $R$, as we will show below.

The paper is organized as follows: In $\S 2$ we give a short proof for Theorem 1.1 and in $\S 3$ for Theorem 1.2. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We close in $\S 5$ with some remarks and open problems, about free nilpotent and solvable groups.

## 2. The CSP for $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}$

Before we start, let us quote some general propositions which Bux-Ershov-Rapinchuk bring throughout their paper.

Proposition 2.1. (cf. [8], Lemma 2.1) Let:

$$
1 \rightarrow G_{1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{2} \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{3} \rightarrow 1
$$

be an exact sequence of groups. Assume that $G_{1}$ is finitely generated and that the center of its profinite completion $\hat{G}_{1}$ is trivial. Then, the sequence of the profinite completions

$$
1 \rightarrow \hat{G}_{1} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G}_{2} \xrightarrow{\hat{\beta}} \hat{G}_{3} \rightarrow 1
$$

is also exact.

Proposition 2.2. (cf. [8], Corollaries 2.3, 2.4. and 2.7) Let $F$ be the free group on the set $X,|X| \geq 2$. Then:

1. The center of $\hat{F}$, the profinite completion of $F$, is trivial.
2. If $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, then the centralizer of $[y, x]$ in $\hat{F}$ is $Z_{\hat{F}}([y, x])=\overline{\langle[y, x]\rangle}$, the closure of the cyclic group generated by $[y, x]$.

We start now with the following lemma whose easy proof is left to the reader:
Lemma 2.3. Let $H \leq G=A u t(\Gamma)$ be a congruence subgroup. Then:

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\hat{G} \rightarrow A u t(\hat{\Gamma}))=\operatorname{ker}(\hat{H} \rightarrow A u t(\hat{\Gamma}))
$$

In particular, the map $\hat{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective if and only if the map $\hat{H} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective.

Denote now $F_{2}=\langle x, y\rangle=$ the free group on $x$ and $y$. It is a well known theorem of Nielsen (cf. [21], 3.5) that the kernel of the natural surjective map:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2} / F_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

is $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)$, the inner automorphism group of $F_{2}$. It is also well known that the group $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \cong F_{2}$ is free on two generators and of finite index in $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ which contains $\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})\right)$. Now, if we denote the preimage of $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ under the map $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ by $\operatorname{Aut}^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)$, then $A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is of finite index in $A u t\left(F_{2}\right)$ and contains the principal congruence subgroup:

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(A u t\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2} /\left(F_{2}^{4} F_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

So, by Lemma 2.3 it is enough to prove that $\widehat{A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)} \rightarrow A u t\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective.
Now, by the description above, we deduce the exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 1
$$

As $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ is free, this sequence splits by the map:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x \mapsto & x \\
y \mapsto & y x^{2}
\end{array}, \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \beta= \begin{cases}x \mapsto & x y^{2} \\
y \mapsto & y\end{cases}\right.
$$

and thus: $A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \rtimes\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 , the exact sequence: $1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \rightarrow 1$ yields the exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)} \rightarrow \widehat{\operatorname{Aut^{\prime }(F_{2})}} \rightarrow \widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow 1
$$

which gives:

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)}=\widehat{\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)} \rtimes \widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} .
$$

Thus, all we need to show is that the following map is injective:

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)} \rtimes \widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)
$$

We will prove this, in three parts: The first part is that the map $\widehat{\left.\operatorname{Inn(F} F_{2}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective, but this is obvious as $\widehat{\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)} \cong \hat{F}_{2}$ is mapped isomorphically to $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cong \hat{F}_{2}$. The second part is to show that the map $\rho: \widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective, and the last part is to show that the intersection of the images of $\widehat{\left.\operatorname{Inn(F} F_{2}\right)}$ and $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is trivial, i.e. $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho=\{e\}$.

So it remains to prove the next two lemmas, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6:
Lemma 2.4. The map $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective.
Before proving the lemma, we recall a classical result of Schreier:
Theorem 2.5. (cf. [21], 2.3 and 2.4) Let $F$ be the free group on the set $X$ where $|X|=n$, and $\Delta$ a subgroup of $F$ of index $m$. Let $T$ be a right Schreier transversal of $\Delta$ (i.e. a system of representatives of right cosets containing the identity, such that the initial segment of any element of $T$ is also in $T$ ). Then:

1. $\Delta$ is a free group on $m \cdot(n-1)+1$ elements.
2. The set $\left\{t x(\overline{t x})^{-1} \neq e \mid t \in T, x \in X\right\}$ is a free generating set for $\Delta$, where for every $g \in F$ we denote by $\bar{g}$ the unique element in $T$ satisfying $\Delta g=\Delta \bar{g}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Define $\Delta=\operatorname{ker}\left(F_{2} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$. This is a characteristic subgroup of index 4 in $F_{2}$, that by the first part of Theorem 2.5, is isomorphic to $F_{5}$. We also have: $\hat{\Delta}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\hat{F}_{2} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}\right)$, and therefore, there is a natural homomorphism: $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Delta}) \cong \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{5}\right)$ which induces the composition $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Delta})$. Thus, it is enough to show that the composition map $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow A u t(\hat{\Delta})$ is injective.

Now, let $X=\{x, y\}$ and $T=\{1, x, y, x y\}$ be a right Schreier transversal of $\Delta$. By applying the second part of Theorem 2.5 for $X$ and $T$, we get the following set of free generators for $\Delta$ :

$$
e_{1}=x^{2}, \quad e_{2}=y x y^{-1} x^{-1}, \quad e_{3}=y^{2}, \quad e_{4}=x y x y^{-1}, \quad e_{5}=x y^{2} x^{-1}
$$

Hence, the automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$ act on $\Delta$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha= \begin{cases}e_{1}=x^{2} \mapsto x^{2} & =e_{1} \\
e_{2}=y x y^{-1} x^{-1} \mapsto y x y^{-1} x^{-1} & =e_{2} \\
e_{3}=y^{2} \mapsto y x^{2} y x^{2} & =e_{2} e_{4} e_{3} e_{1} \\
e_{4}=x y x y^{-1} \mapsto x y x y^{-1} & =e_{4} \\
e_{5}=x y^{2} x^{-1} \mapsto x y x^{2} y x & =e_{4} e_{2} e_{5} e_{1}\end{cases} \\
\beta= \begin{cases}e_{1}=x^{2} \mapsto x y^{2} x y^{2} & =e_{5} e_{1} e_{3} \\
e_{2}=y x y^{-1} x^{-1} \mapsto y x y^{-1} x^{-1} & =e_{2} \\
e_{3}=y^{2} \mapsto y^{2} & =e_{3} \\
e_{4}=x y x y^{-1} \mapsto x y^{3} x y & =e_{5} e_{4} e_{3} \\
e_{5}=x y^{2} x^{-1} \mapsto x y^{2} x^{-1} & =e_{5}\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us now define the map $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \cong F_{2}$ (yes! these are the same $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ) by the following way:

$$
\pi= \begin{cases}e_{1} \mapsto & \alpha \\ e_{2} \mapsto & 1 \\ e_{3} \mapsto & \beta \\ e_{4} \mapsto & \alpha^{-1} \\ e_{5} \mapsto & \beta^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $N=\operatorname{ker} \pi$ is the normal subgroup of $\Delta$ generated as a normal subgroup by $e_{2}, e_{1} e_{4}$ and $e_{3} e_{5}$, and that $N$ is invariant under the action of the automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$, since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases}\alpha\left(e_{2}\right) & =e_{2} \in N \\
\alpha\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right) & =e_{1} e_{4} \in N \\
\alpha\left(e_{3} e_{5}\right) & =e_{2} e_{4} e_{3} e_{1} e_{4} e_{2} e_{5} e_{1} \\
& =e_{4}\left(\left(e_{4}^{-1} e_{2} e_{4}\right)\left(e_{3}\left(\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right) e_{2}\right) e_{3}^{-1}\right)\left(e_{3} e_{5}\right)\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right)\right) e_{4}^{-1} \in N\end{cases} \\
& \begin{cases}\beta\left(e_{2}\right) & =e_{2} \in N \\
\beta\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right) & =e_{5} e_{1} e_{3} e_{5} e_{4} e_{3}=e_{5}\left(\left(e_{1}\left(e_{3} e_{5}\right) e_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right)\left(e_{3} e_{5}\right)\right) e_{5}^{-1} \in N \\
\beta\left(e_{3} e_{5}\right) & =e_{3} e_{5} \in N\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the homomorphism $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Delta})$ induces a homomorphism: $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow$ Aut $(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$, and thus it is enough to show that the last map is injective. Now, under this map, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ act on $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha= \begin{cases}\alpha=e_{1} N \mapsto \alpha\left(e_{1} N\right)=\alpha\left(e_{1}\right) N=e_{1} N & =\alpha \\
\beta=e_{3} N \mapsto \alpha\left(e_{3} N\right)=\alpha\left(e_{3}\right) N=e_{2} e_{4} e_{3} e_{1} N & =\alpha^{-1} \beta \alpha\end{cases} \\
& \beta= \begin{cases}\alpha=e_{1} N \mapsto \beta\left(e_{1} N\right)=\beta\left(e_{1}\right) N=e_{5} e_{1} e_{3} N & =\beta^{-1} \alpha \beta \\
\beta=e_{3} N \mapsto \beta\left(e_{3} N\right)=\beta\left(e_{3}\right) N=e_{3} N & =\beta\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Namely, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ act via $\pi$ on $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}$ by the inner automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and hence $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}$ is mapped isomorphically to $\operatorname{Inn}(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$, yielding that the map $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$ is injective and $\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is injective as well, as required.

Lemma 2.6. $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho=\{e\}$, where $\rho: \widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ is the map defined above.
Proof. First we observe that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fix $e_{2}=[y, x]$. Thus, by the second part of Proposition 2.2, we have:

$$
\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)}(\operatorname{Inn}([y, x]))=\overline{\langle\operatorname{Inn}([y, x])\rangle}=\overline{\left\langle\operatorname{Inn}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\rangle} .
$$

Now, as $e_{2} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$, where $\pi$ is as defined in the proof of Lemma 2.4, the image of $\overline{\left\langle\operatorname{Inn}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\rangle}$ in $\operatorname{Inn}(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$ is trivial. Thus, the image of $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho$ in $\operatorname{Inn}(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$ is trivial, and isomorphic to $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho$ as we saw that $\operatorname{Im} \rho$ is mapped isomorphically to $\operatorname{Inn}(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle})$. So $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \rho$ is trivial.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. In [8], the authors give an explicit construction of a congruence subgroup which is contained in a given finite index subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$. They prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. (cf. [8], Theorem 5.1) Let $H$ be a finite index normal subgroup of $G=$ Aut $\left(F_{2}\right)$ such that Inn $\left(F_{2}\right) \leq H \leq A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)$ and let $n=\left[A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right): H\right]$. Pick two distinct odd primes $p, q \nmid n$, and set $m=n \cdot p^{n+1}$. Then, there exists an explicitly constructed normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_{2}$ of index dividing $144 \cdot m^{4} \cdot q^{36 \cdot m^{4}+1}$ such that $G(M) \leq H$, when for a general normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_{2}$ we define:

$$
G(M)=\left\{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(M)=M, \sigma \text { acts trivially on } F_{2} / M\right\} .
$$

We end this section with a much simpler explicit construction of a congruence subgroup and with a better bound for the index of $M$. But before, let us recall the "discrete version" of Proposition 2.2 from [8]:

Proposition 2.8. (cf. [8], Propositions 2.2 and 2.6) Let $F$ be the free group on the set $X$, $|X| \geq 2$, and let $F / N$ be a finite quotient of $F$. Pick a prime $p$ not dividing the order of $F / N$ and set $M=N^{p} N^{\prime}$. Then:

1. The image of every normal abelian subgroup of $F / M$ through the natural projection $F / M \rightarrow F / N$, is trivial.
2. If $N \subseteq F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{6}, x, y \in X, x \neq y$, then the image of the centralizer $Z_{F / M}([y, x] \cdot M)$ through the natural projection $F / M \rightarrow F / N$, is $\langle[y, x] \cdot N\rangle$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $H$ be a finite index normal subgroup of $G=$ Aut $\left(F_{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \leq H \leq A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \rtimes\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ and let $n=\left[A u t^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right): H\right]$. Then for every prime $p \nmid 6 n$, there exists an explicitly constructed normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_{2}$ of index dividing $144 \cdot n^{4} \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^{4}+1}$ such that $G(M) \leq H$.

Proof. Recall the map $\pi: F_{2} \supseteq \Delta \rightarrow\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ from the proof of Lemma 2.4, and let $t_{1}=1, t_{2}=x, t_{3}=y, t_{4}=x y$ be the system of representatives of right cosets of $\Delta$ in $F_{2}$. Denote also $K=H \cap\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ and define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{6} \bigcap_{g \in F_{2}} g^{-1} \pi^{-1}(K) g=F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{6} \bigcap_{i=1}^{4} t_{i}^{-1} \pi^{-1}(K) t_{i} \\
& M=F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{4} \cap N^{\prime} N^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\pi^{-1}(K)$ is a subgroup of index $n$ in $\Delta$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{4} t_{i}^{-1} \pi^{-1}(K) t_{i}$ is a normal subgroup of $F_{2}$ of index dividing $n^{4}$ in $\Delta$, and of index dividing $4 n^{4}$ in $F_{2}$. So as $F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{6}$ is of index 9 in $\Delta, N$ is a normal subgroup of index dividing $36 \cdot n^{4}$ in $F_{2}$. Thus, by the Schreier formula, the index of $N^{\prime} N^{p}$ in $F_{2}$ divides $36 \cdot n^{4} \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^{4}+1}$ and the index of $M$ in $F_{2}$ is dividing $4 \cdot 36 \cdot n^{4} \cdot p^{36 \cdot n^{4}+1}$. So it remains to show that $G(M) \leq H$.

Let $\sigma \in G(M)$. As $M \leq F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{4}$ we have:

$$
G(M) \leq \operatorname{ker}\left(G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2} /\left(F_{2}^{\prime} F_{2}^{4}\right)\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Aut}^{\prime}\left(F_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right) \rtimes\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle
$$

and therefore we can write $\sigma=\operatorname{Inn}(f) \cdot \delta$ for some $f \in F_{2}$ and $\delta \in\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. By assumption, $\sigma$ acts trivially on $F_{2} / M$ and thus $\delta$ acts on $F_{2} / M$ as $\operatorname{Inn}\left(f^{-1}\right)$. Now, as $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fix $[y, x]$, we deduce that so does $\delta$. Thus, $f \cdot M \in Z_{F_{2} / M}([y, x] \cdot M)$ and by Proposition 2.8, $f \cdot N \in\langle[y, x] \cdot N\rangle$. Hence, $\delta$ acts on the group $F_{2} / M$ as $\operatorname{Inn}\left([y, x]^{r} \cdot n\right)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. Therefore, $\delta$ acts on $\Delta / M$ as $\operatorname{Inn}\left(e_{2}^{r} \cdot n\right)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. So, $\delta$ acts on $\pi(\Delta) / \pi(M)=\Delta /(M \cdot \operatorname{ker} \pi)$ as $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\pi\left(e_{2}^{r} \cdot n\right)\right)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in N$. But $e_{2} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi$, so $\delta$ acts on $\pi(\Delta) / \pi(M)$ as $\operatorname{Inn}(\pi(n))$ for some $n \in N$. Now, by the definition of $N, \pi(N) \subseteq K$ and also $\pi(M) \subseteq K^{\prime} K^{p}$, so $\delta$ acts on $\pi(\Delta) / K^{\prime} K^{p}$ as Inn $(k)$ for some $k \in K$. Moreover, by the definition of $\pi$ we have $\pi(\Delta)=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ and by the computations we made in the proof of Lemma 2.4, $\delta$ acts on $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ as Inn ( $\delta$ ). Thus, there exists some $k \in K$ such that $\operatorname{Inn}(\delta) \cdot \operatorname{Inn}(k)^{-1}$ acts trivially on $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle / K^{\prime} K^{p}$, i.e. $\delta \cdot k^{-1} \in Z\left(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle / K^{\prime} K^{p}\right)$. Now, by the first part of Proposition 2.8, as $Z\left(\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle / K^{\prime} K^{p}\right)$ is an abelian normal subgroup of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle / K^{\prime} K^{p}$ it is mapped trivially to $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle / K$. I.e. $\delta \cdot k^{-1} \in K$, so also $\delta \in K \subseteq H$. Thus, $\sigma=\operatorname{Inn}(f) \cdot \delta \in H$, as required.

## 3. The CSP for $\Phi_{2}$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2, and will show that the congruence kernel of the free metabelian group on two generators is the free profinite group on a countable number of generators.

Before we start, let us observe that for a group $\Gamma$, one can also ask a parallel congruence subgroup problem for $G=O u t(\Gamma)$. I.e. one can ask whether every finite index subgroup of $G$ contains a principal congruence subgroup of the form:

$$
G(M)=\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow O u t(\Gamma / M))
$$

for some finite index characteristic subgroup $M \leq \Gamma$. When $\Gamma$ is finitely generated, this is equivalent to the question whether the congruence map $\widehat{G} \rightarrow O u t(\hat{\Gamma})$ is injective. Moreover, it is easy to see that Lemma 2.3 has a parallel version for $G$, namely, if $H \leq G$ is a congruence subgroup of $G$, then:

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\widehat{G} \rightarrow O u t(\hat{\Gamma}))=\operatorname{ker}(\widehat{H} \rightarrow O u t(\hat{\Gamma}))
$$

We start now with the next proposition which is slightly more general than Lemma 3.1 in [8]. Nevertheless, it is proven by the same arguments:

Proposition 3.1. (cf. [8], Lemma 3.1) Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated residually finite group such that $\hat{\Gamma}$ has a trivial center. Considering the congruence map $\widehat{\operatorname{Out}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\hat{\Gamma})$, we have:

$$
C(\Gamma)=\operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma})) \cong \operatorname{ker}(\widehat{\operatorname{Out}(\Gamma}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\hat{\Gamma}))
$$

It is well known that $\Phi_{2}$ is a residually finite group (cf. [4], Theorem 2.11). It is also proven there that $Z\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)$ is trivial (proposition 2.10). So by the above proposition:

$$
\left.C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{2}\right.}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\operatorname{Out}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

In addition, it is an old result by Bachmuth [3] that the kernel of the surjective map:

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{2} / \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)
$$

i.e., $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \cong G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Now, the free group $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ is a congruence subgroup of $O u t\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ as it contains:

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\text { Out }\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \rightarrow \text { Out }\left(\Phi_{2} / \Phi_{2}^{\prime} \Phi_{2}^{4}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

So by the appropriate version of Lemma 2.3 and by Proposition 3.1, we obtain that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(\Phi_{2}\right) & \left.=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\operatorname{Out}\left(\Phi_{2}\right.}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ker}\left(\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ is a free group, we can also state that:

$$
\begin{align*}
C\left(\Phi_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{ker}\left(\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the automorphisms of $F_{2}$ that we defined in the previous section, which are preimages of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$ under the map $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. So all we need to show is that:

Lemma 3.2. $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right)=\hat{F}_{\omega}$.

Proof. As the free group $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ is a congruence subgroup of the group Aut $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Out}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) & =\operatorname{ker}\left(\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if we denote: $C=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2}\right)\right)$, then using equation (3.1), we have: $C \leq C\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \leq C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$. Now, if we consider the action of $\hat{\Phi}_{2}$ on $\overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right)$ by conjugation, then as $\overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}$ is abelian, we actually obtain an action on $\overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}\left[\hat{\Phi}_{2} / \overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}\right]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right]$-module, which is generated by the element $[y, x]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}\left[\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}\right]$-module, since $\langle x, y \mid[y, x]=1\rangle$ is a presentation of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Moreover, as we observed previously, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fix $[y, x]$. Therefore, $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ acts trivially not only on $\hat{\Phi}_{2} / \overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ but also on $\overline{\Phi_{2}^{\prime}}$.

Let us now make the following observation: if $\sigma, \tau$ are two automorphisms of a group $\Gamma$ which act trivially on $\Gamma / M$ and on $M$, where $M \triangleleft \Gamma$ is abelian, then $\sigma$ and $\tau$ commute. Indeed, if $g \in \Gamma$, then $\sigma(g)=g \cdot m$ and $\tau(g)=g \cdot n$ for some $m, n \in M$, and thus:

$$
\tau(\sigma(g))=\tau(g \cdot m)=g \cdot n \cdot m=g \cdot m \cdot n=\sigma(g \cdot n)=\sigma(\tau(g)) .
$$

The conclusion from the above observation and from the previous discussion is that $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) / C$ is abelian, and thus, $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) / C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ is also abelian. Finally, $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is known to be isomorphic to $\hat{F}_{\omega}[20,15]$. Moreover, by Proposition 1.10 and Corollary 3.9 of [17] every normal closed subgroup $N$ of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$ such that $\hat{F}_{\omega} / N$ is abelian, is also isomorphic to $\hat{F}_{\omega}$. Thus, $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right) \cong \hat{F}_{\omega}$ as well, as required.

Remark 3.3. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is shorter than the one given in [4], but the latter gives more information. We show here that $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) / C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ is abelian, while from [4] one can deduce that, in fact, $C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$. See § 5 for more.

## 4. The CSP for $\Phi_{3}$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3 which claims that $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$. Let us start by showing that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ is large:

Proposition 4.1. The group Aut $\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ is large, i.e. it has a finite index subgroup that can be mapped onto a non-abelian free group.

Proof. The proof will follow the method developed in [13] to produce arithmetic quotients of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$. Denote the free group on 3 generators by $F_{3}=\langle x, y, z\rangle$, and the cyclic group of order 2 by $C_{2}=\{1, g\}$. Define the map $\pi: F_{3} \rightarrow C_{2}$ by: $\pi=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}x & \mapsto g \\ y, z & \mapsto 1\end{array}\right.$, and denote its kernel by $R=\operatorname{ker} \pi$. Then, using the right transversal $T=\{1, x\}$, we deduce by Theorem 2.5 that $R$ is freely generated by: $x^{2}, y, x y x^{-1}, z, x z x^{-1}$. Thus, $\bar{R}=R / R^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ is generated as a free abelian group by the images:

$$
v_{1}=\overline{x^{2}}, v_{2}=\bar{y}, v_{3}=\overline{x y x^{-1}}, v_{4}=\bar{z}, v_{5}=\overline{x z x^{-1}}
$$

Now, the action of $F_{3}$ on $R$ by conjugation induces an action of $F_{3} / R=C_{2}=\{1, g\}$ on $\bar{R}=R / R^{\prime}$, sending:

$$
g \mapsto\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1}=\overline{x^{2}} \mapsto \overline{x^{2}} & =v_{1} \\
v_{2}=\bar{y} \mapsto \overline{x^{-2}\left(x y x^{-1}\right) x^{2}}=\overline{x y x^{-1}} & =v_{3} \\
v_{3}=\overline{x y x^{-1}} \mapsto \bar{y} & =v_{2} \\
v_{4}=\bar{z} \mapsto \overline{x^{-2}\left(x z x^{-1}\right) x^{2}}=\overline{x z x^{-1}} & =v_{5} \\
v_{5}=\overline{x z x^{-1}} \mapsto \bar{z} & =v_{4}
\end{array}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=B .\right.
$$

The above matrix has two eigenvalues $\lambda= \pm 1$ and the eigenspaces are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =S p\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}+v_{3}, v_{4}+v_{5}\right\} \\
V_{-1} & =S p\left\{v_{2}-v_{3}, v_{4}-v_{5}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall, $\Phi_{3}=F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime \prime}$, and as $F_{3} / R$ is abelian, $F_{3} / R^{\prime}$ is metabelian. Thus, we have a surjective homomorphism: $\Phi_{3} \rightarrow F_{3} / R^{\prime}$. Denote now: $S=R / F_{3}^{\prime \prime}$, so we can identify: $F_{3} / R \cong \Phi_{3} / S, F_{3} / R^{\prime} \cong \Phi_{3} / S^{\prime}$ and $\bar{R}=R / R^{\prime} \cong S / S^{\prime}=\bar{S}$. So as before, $\Phi_{3} / S=C_{2}$ acts on $\bar{S}$ by the matrix $B$.

Denote now $G(S)=\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right) \mid \sigma(S)=S\right\}$. It is clear that $G(S)$ is of finite index in Aut $\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ with a natural map: $G(S) \rightarrow A u t(S)$ which induces a map: $\rho: G(S) \rightarrow$ Aut $(\bar{S})=G L_{5}(\mathbb{Z})$. We claim now that if $\sigma \in G(S)$ then $\rho(\sigma)$ commutes with $B$. First observe that there exists some $s \in S$ such that $\sigma(x)=s x$ ( $x$ now plays the role of the image of $x$ under the map $F_{3} \rightarrow \Phi_{3}$ ). Now, let $t \in S$, and remember that the action of $B$ on $\bar{S}$ is induced by the action of $x$ on $S$ by conjugation. So:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(x^{-1} t x\right) & =\sigma(x)^{-1} \sigma(t) \sigma(x)= \\
& =x^{-1} s^{-1} \sigma(t) s x= \\
& =\left(x^{-1} s x\right)^{-1}\left(x^{-1} \sigma(t) x\right)\left(x^{-1} s x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\rho(\sigma) \cdot B)(\bar{t}) & =\overline{\sigma\left(x^{-1} t x\right)}= \\
& =\overline{\left(x^{-1} s x\right)^{-1}\left(x^{-1} \sigma(t) x\right)\left(x^{-1} s x\right)}= \\
& =\overline{x^{-1} \sigma(t) x}=(B \cdot \rho(\sigma))(\bar{t}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\rho(G(S))$ commutes with $B$. It follows that the eigenspaces of $B$ are invariant under the action of $G(S)$. In particular, we deduce that $V_{-1}$ is invariant under the action of $\rho(G(S))$. Thus, we obtain a homomorphism $\nu: G(S) \rightarrow A u t\left(V_{-1} \cap \bar{S}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider now the following automorphisms of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)(x, y, z$ play the role of the images of $x, y, z$ under $F_{3} \rightarrow \Phi_{3}$ ):

$$
\alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x \mapsto & x \\
y \mapsto & y \\
z \mapsto & z y
\end{array} \quad, \quad \beta= \begin{cases}x \mapsto & x \\
y \mapsto & y z \\
z \mapsto & z\end{cases}\right.
$$

So $\alpha, \beta \in G(S)$ act on $V_{-1}=S p\left\{u_{1}=v_{2}-v_{3}, u_{2}=v_{4}-v_{5}\right\}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(u_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(\bar{y}-\overline{x y x^{-1}}\right)=\bar{y}-\overline{x y x^{-1}}=u_{1} \\
& \alpha\left(u_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\bar{z}-\overline{x z x^{-1}}\right)=\bar{z}+\bar{y}-\overline{x z x^{-1}}-\overline{x y x^{-1}}=u_{2}+u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(u_{1}\right)=\beta\left(\bar{y}-\overline{x y x^{-1}}\right)=\bar{y}+\bar{z}-\overline{x y x^{-1}}-\overline{x z x^{-1}}=u_{1}+u_{2} \\
& \beta\left(u_{2}\right)=\beta\left(\bar{z}-\overline{x z x^{-1}}\right)=\bar{z}-\overline{x z x^{-1}}=u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, under the map $\nu: G(S) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ we have: $\alpha \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\beta \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Thus, the image of $G(S)$ contains $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$ which is free and of finite index in $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Finally, if we denote the preimage $H=$ $\nu^{-1}\left(\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle\right)$, then $H$ is a finite index subgroup of $A u t\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ that can be mapped onto a free group, as required.

Let us now continue with the following definition:
Definition 4.2. We say that a group $P$ is involved in a group $Q$, if it isomorphic to a quotient group of some subgroup of $Q$.

It is not difficult to see that if a finite group $P$ is involved in a profinite group $Q$, than it is involved in a finite quotient of $Q$. Now, we showed that $A u t\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ has a finite index subgroup $H$ which can be mapped onto $F_{2}$. Thus we have a map: $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \hat{F}_{2}$, but as $\hat{F}_{2}$ is free, the map splits, and thus $\widehat{H}$ and hence $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)}$, contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{2}$. Thus, any finite group is involved in $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)}$. On the other hand, we claim:

Proposition 4.3. Let $P$ be a non-abelian finite simple group which is involved in Aut $\left(\hat{\Phi}_{3}\right)$. Then, for some prime $p$ and some $d \in \mathbb{N}, P$ is involved in $S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$, the special linear group over the field of order $p^{d}$.

Proof. Let $F_{n}$ be the free group on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then there is a natural injective homomorphism from $F_{n}$ into the matrix group:

$$
\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g & 0 \\
t & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, g \in F_{n}, t \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left[F_{n}\right] t_{i}\right\}
$$

defined by the map:

$$
x_{i} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i} & 0 \\
t_{i} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

where $t_{i}$ is a free basis for a right $\mathbb{Z}\left[F_{n}\right]$-module. This is called the Magnus embedding. Usually, its properties are studied by Fox's free differential calculus, but we will not need it here explicitly (cf. $[6,25,18]$ ).

One can prove, by induction on its length, that for a word $w \in F_{n}$, under the Magnus embedding, $w \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}w & 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} t_{i} & 1\end{array}\right)$ where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w-1=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-1\right) w_{i} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (4.1) shows that the polynomials $w_{i}$ determine the word $w$ uniquely. Thus, we have an injective map (which is not a homomorphism) $J: \operatorname{End}\left(F_{n}\right) \rightarrow M_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[F_{n}\right]\right)$ defined by:

$$
\alpha \stackrel{J}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \alpha\left(x_{n}\right)_{1} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)_{n} & \cdots & \alpha\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is not difficult to check, using the identity (4.1), that the above map satisfies:

$$
J(\alpha \circ \beta)=J(\alpha) \cdot \alpha(J(\beta))
$$

where by $\alpha(J(\beta))$ we mean that $\alpha$ acts on every entry of $J(\beta)$ separately.
Now, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote: $K_{n, m}=F_{n}^{m} F_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the natural maps $F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / K_{n, m}=\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ induce a map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n, m}: F_{n} & \rightarrow\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g & 0 \\
t & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, g \in F_{n}, t \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\left[F_{n}\right] t_{i}\right\} \\
& \rightarrow\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g & 0 \\
t & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}, t \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}\right] t_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown in [4, Proposition 2.6], that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{n, m}\right)=K_{n, m}^{m} K_{n, m}^{\prime}$ and hence $\Phi_{n, m}:=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{n, m}\right) \cong F_{n} / K_{n, m}^{m} K_{n, m}^{\prime}$. Moreover, it is proven there (Proposition 2.7) that we have the following equality:

$$
\hat{\Phi}_{n}=\lim _{m} \Phi_{n, m}
$$

Observe now that for every $m_{2} \mid m_{1}, \operatorname{ker}\left(\Phi_{n, m_{1}} \rightarrow \Phi_{n, m_{2}}\right)$ is characteristic in $\Phi_{n, m_{1}}$, and for every $m, \operatorname{ker}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{n} \rightarrow \Phi_{n, m}\right)$ is characteristic in $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$. Thus:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\lim _{\check{m}} \Phi_{n, m}\right)=\lim _{m} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right) .
$$

Now, observe that the identity (4.1) is also valid for the entries of the elements of $\Phi_{n, m}$, and thus, every element of $\Phi_{n, m}$ is determined by its left lower coordinate. Therefore, as every automorphism of $\Phi_{n, m}$ can be lifted to an endomorphism of $F_{n}$, we have an
injective map (which is not a homomorphism) $J_{m}:$ Aut $\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right) \rightarrow M_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}\right]\right)$ which satisfies the identity:

$$
J_{m}(\alpha \circ \beta)=J_{m}(\alpha) \cdot \alpha\left(J_{m}(\beta)\right)
$$

where the action of $\alpha$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}\right]=\mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[F_{n} / K_{n, m}\right]$ is through the natural projection $\Phi_{n, m} \cong F_{n} / K_{n, m}^{m} K_{n, m}^{\prime} \rightarrow F_{n} / K_{n, m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$.

We denote now $K A\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(A u t\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right) \rightarrow A u t\left(\Phi_{n, m} / K_{n, m}\right)\right)$. Observe, that as $K A\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right)$ acts trivially on $\Phi_{n, m} / K_{n, m}=\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}$, the map $J_{m}$ gives us a homomorphism, which is also injective, as mentioned above:

$$
J_{m}: K A\left(\Phi_{n, m}\right) \rightarrow G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{n}\right]\right)
$$

Now, if $P$ is a non-abelian simple group which is involved in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{3}\right)$, then it must be involved in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3, m}\right)$ for some $m$. Thus, it must be involved either in Aut $\left(\Phi_{3, m} / K_{3, m}\right)=G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$ or in $K A\left(\Phi_{3, m}\right) \leq G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{m}^{3}\right]\right)$. So it must be involved in $G L_{3}(R)$ for some finite commutative ring $R$. As every finite commutative ring is artinian, it can be decomposed as:

$$
R=R_{1} \times \ldots \times R_{l}
$$

for some local finite rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{l}$, so:

$$
G L_{3}(R)=G L_{3}\left(R_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times G L_{3}\left(R_{l}\right)
$$

and thus $P$ must be involved in $G L_{3}(R)$ for some local finite commutative ring $R$. Denote the unique maximal ideal of $R$ by $M \triangleleft R$. As $R$ is a finite local Noetherian ring, it is well known that $M^{r}=0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note now that if $S, T \triangleleft R$ for some commutative ring $R$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I+A \in \operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{3}(R) \rightarrow G L_{3}(R / S)\right) \\
& I+B \in \operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{3}(R) \rightarrow G L_{3}(R / T)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $I$ denotes the identity element in $G L_{3}(R)$, then

$$
[I+A, I+B] \in \operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{3}(R) \rightarrow G L_{3}(R / S T)\right)
$$

Indeed, if $I+C=(I+A)^{-1}$ and $I+D=(I+B)^{-1}$ then, as $A B=C D=A D=B C=$ $0(\bmod S T)$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[I+A, I+B] } & =(I+A)(I+B)(I+C)(I+D)= \\
& =I+A C+A+B D+B+C+D(\bmod S T) \\
& =I+(I+A)(I+C)-I+(I+B)(I+D)-I=I(\bmod S T)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the above observation we deduce that for every $k \geq 1$, the kernel of the map $G L_{3}\left(R / M^{k+1}\right) \rightarrow G L_{3}\left(R / M^{k}\right)$ is abelian. So, $P$ must be involved in $G L_{3}(R / M)=$ $G L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$ for some prime $p$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, using the fact that $G L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right) / S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$ is abelian, we obtain that $P$ is involved in $S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$, as required.

Corollary 4.4. There exists a finite simple group which is not involved in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{3}\right)$.
Proof. By the proposition above, it is enough to show that there is a finite simple non-abelian group which is not involved in $S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$ for any prime $p$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by a theorem of Jordan, there exists an integer-valued function $J(n)$ such that for every field $\mathbb{F}, \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=0$, any finite subgroup of $G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ contains a normal abelian subgroup of index at most $J(n)$. As a corollary of this theorem, Schur proved that the same holds (with the same function) for any finite subgroup $Q \leq G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=p>0$, provided $p \nmid|Q|$ (cf. [26] chapter 9). Clearly, the same holds for any group which is involved in such a finite group $Q$.

We claim that for $n$ large enough, $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ is not involved in $S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$ for any $p$ and $d$. Indeed, fix two different primes $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ larger than $J(3)$. Then, for $n$ sufficiently large (e.g. $n>q_{i}^{3}$ ) the $q_{i}$-sylow subgroup $S_{i}$ of $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ is non-abelian (since $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ contains the non-abelian $q_{i}$-group of order $q_{i}^{3}$ ) and every subgroup of $S_{i}$ of index $\leq J(3)$ is equal to $S_{i}$, so also non-abelian. If $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ were involved in $S L_{3}\left(p^{d}\right)$ then for at least one of the $q_{i}, q_{i} \neq p$, a contradiction.

Corollary 4.5. The congruence kernel $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$.
Proof. The immediate conclusion of Corollary 4.4 is that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{3}\right)$ does not contain a copy of $\hat{F}_{2}$. Thus, the intersection of $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ and the copy of $\hat{F}_{2}$ in $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{3}\right)}$ is not trivial. Thus, $C\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ contains a non-trivial normal closed subgroup $N$ of $\hat{F}_{2}$. By Theorem 3.10 in [17] it contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$, as required.

## 5. Remarks and open problems

We end this paper with several remarks and open problems. Denote the free solvable group of derived length $r$ on 2 generators by $\Phi_{2, r}$. By combining the results of [9, Theorem 1] and [14, Theorem 1.4] we have:

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(A u t\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right)
$$

for every $r$, i.e. Out $\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. So by the same arguments as in $\S 3$ we have:

$$
C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\widehat{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \rightarrow O u t\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2, r}\right)\right)
$$

As $\operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2, r+1}\right)$ is mapped onto $\operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2, r}\right)$, we obtain the sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) & =C\left(\Phi_{2,1}\right) \geq C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)=C\left(\Phi_{2,2}\right) \geq C\left(\Phi_{2,3}\right) \geq \\
& \geq C\left(\Phi_{2,4}\right) \geq \ldots \geq C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \geq \ldots \geq C\left(F_{2}\right)=\{e\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and a natural question is whether the inequalities are strict or not. An equivalent reformulation of this question is the following: the cosets of the kernels

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\text { Out }\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \rightarrow \text { Out }\left(\Phi_{2, r} / K\right)\right)
$$

for characteristic finite index subgroups $K \leq \Phi_{2, r}$ provide a basis for a topology $\mathscr{C}(r)$ on $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, called the congruence topology with respect to $\Phi_{2, r}$, which is weaker (equal) than the profinite topology $\mathscr{F}$ of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and stronger (equal) than the classical congruence topology of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. The latter is equal to $\mathscr{C}(1)$. So, the question above is equivalent to the question whether these topologies are strictly weaker than $\mathscr{F}$, and whether the topology $\mathscr{C}(r)$, for a given $r$, is strictly weaker than $\mathscr{C}(r+1)$.

For example, Theorem 1.1 which states that $C\left(F_{2}\right)=\{e\}$ is equivalent to the statement that the congruence topology which $\operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{F}_{2}\right)$ induces on $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is equal to the profinite topology of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Considering Theorem 1.2 we deduce that $\mathscr{C}(2) \varsubsetneqq \mathscr{F}$, but with the proof we gave here one can not decide whether $\mathscr{C}(1)=\mathscr{C}(2)$ or $\mathscr{C}(1) \varsubsetneqq \mathscr{C}(2)$. Equivalently, we can not decide whether $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ or $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \supsetneqq C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$. But, in [4] it was shown quite surprisingly, that:

Theorem 5.1. $\mathscr{C}(1)=\mathscr{C}(2)$, or equivalently $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=C\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$.

The proof in [4] suggested to conjecture that $\mathscr{C}(1)=\mathscr{C}(2)=\mathscr{C}(r)$ for every $r$. But, the explicit construction of a congruence subgroup we gave in $\S 2$ gives a counterexample:

Proposition 5.2. $\mathscr{C}(1) \varsubsetneqq \mathscr{C}(r)$ for every $r \geq 3$. Equivalently $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \supsetneqq C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right)$ for every $r \geq 3$.

Proof. Denote $G=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \leq G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then by a theorem of Reiner [24], for every $p \neq 2, G^{\prime} G^{p}$ is not a congruence subgroup of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ in the classical manner, i.e. $G^{\prime} G^{p} \notin \mathscr{C}(1)$. On the other hand, applying the explicit construction given in Theorem 2.9, we obtain a finite index normal subgroup $M \triangleleft F_{2}$ such that $F_{2} / M$ is of solvability length 3 such that ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(O u t\left(F_{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow O u t\left(F_{2} / M\right)\right) \leq G^{\prime} G^{p}
$$

[^1]This shows that $G^{\prime} G^{p}$ is a congruence subgroup of $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the congruence topology induced by $\operatorname{Out}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{2,3}\right)$. Equivalently, $\mathscr{C}(1) \varsubsetneqq \mathscr{C}(3)$ or $C\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \nexists C\left(\Phi_{2,3}\right)$, as required.

The proposition suggests the following conjecture:
Conjecture 5.3. $C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \supsetneqq C\left(\Phi_{2, r+1}\right)$ for every $r \geq 2$, or equivalently $\mathscr{C}(r) \varsubsetneqq \mathscr{C}(r+1)$. In particular, $C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \neq\{e\}=C\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\mathscr{C}(r) \neq \mathscr{F}$ for every $r$.

We should remark that we do not even know to decide whether $C\left(\Phi_{2, r}\right) \neq\{e\}$ for $r \geq 3$, i.e. we do not know if the congruence subgroup property holds for $\Phi_{2, r}$ for $r \geq 3$ or not. Note that our proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 claiming that $\Phi=\Phi_{2}=\Phi_{2,2}$ and $\Phi=\Phi_{3}$ do not satisfy the CSP were based on two facts:

1. Aut $(\Phi)$ is large, and hence every finite group is involved in $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}(\Phi)}$, and 2. not every finite group is involved in $\operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Phi})$.

Now, for $\Phi=\Phi_{d, r}$, the free solvable group on $d \geq 2$ generators and solvability length $r$, part 2 is valid for $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and every $d$ (with the same proof as for $d=3$ in $\S 4$ ). But, as $C\left(\Phi_{d, 1}\right)=\{e\}$ for every $d \geq 3$, and $C\left(\Phi_{d, 2}\right)$ is abelian for every $d \geq 4$ (cf. [5]), part 1 is not valid in these cases. On the other hand, for $\Phi=\Phi_{2, r}$ or $\Phi=\Phi_{3, r}$, part 1 is still true for every $r \geq 2$ but not part 2. In fact, we have:

Proposition 5.4. Let $\Phi_{d, r}$ be the free solvable group on $d \geq 2$ generators and solvability length $r$. Then if $r \geq 3$, then every finite group $H$ is involved in $A u t\left(\hat{\Phi}_{d, r}\right)$.

Proof. By the same arguments of [16, 5.2], it can be deduced from Gaschutz's Lemma that for every surjective homomorphism $\pi: \hat{\Phi}_{d, r} \rightarrow \Gamma$ where $\Gamma$ is finite, the homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{d, r}\right) \geq\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{d, r}\right) \mid \sigma(\operatorname{ker} \pi)=\operatorname{ker} \pi\right\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)
$$

is surjective. Thus, for proving our proposition it suffices to show that $\hat{\Phi}_{d, r}$ has a finite quotient $\Gamma$ such that $H$ is involved in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Now, by Cayley's Theorem, $H$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(n-1)$ for some $n$ and the later is a subgroup of $S L_{n}(p)$ for every prime $p$. Thus, the next lemma due to Robert Guralnick, finishes the proof of the proposition.

Lemma 5.5. For every $n \geq 2$, there exists a prime $p$ and a finite group $\Gamma$ generated by two elements and of solvability length three, such that $S L_{n}(p)$ is involved in $A u t(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Fix a prime $r$ such that $r>n+1$. Using Dirichlet's Theorem, pick a prime $p$ such that $r$ divides $p-1$. Consider now the general affine group:

$$
\Delta=A G L_{1}(r)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
b & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a \in \mathbb{F}_{r}^{*}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{r}\right\}=\mathbb{F}_{r} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{r}^{*}
$$

Then $\Delta$ is of order $r(r-1)$. In addition, as $r \mid(p-1), \mathbb{F}_{p}$ contains the unit roots of order $r$, fix one of them $\xi \neq 1$, and consider the diagonal matrix:

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \xi^{r-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{r-1}(p)
$$

Now, we can embed $\Delta$ in $G L_{r-1}(p)$ by sending an element $b \in\{0, \ldots, r-1\}=\mathbb{F}_{r}$ to the diagonal matrix $D^{b}$ (giving rise to a subgroup $N=\left\{D^{b} \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_{r}\right\}$ ) and an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_{r}^{*}$ to the permutation matrix which normalizes $N$, sending $D^{b}$ to $D^{b a}$. So $\Delta$ has a module $V$ of dimension $r-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Now, every $\Delta$-submodule of $V$ is also $N$-submodule. The $N$-submodules are direct sums of different one dimensional $N$-modules, the eigen-spaces of $D^{1}$, on which $\mathbb{F}_{r}^{*}$ acts transitively. We deduce that $V$ is an irreducible module.

Denote now $W=\oplus_{i=1}^{r-2} V$ and using the obvious action of $\Delta$ on $W$, define: $\Gamma=W \rtimes \Delta$. We claim that $\Gamma$ is generated by two elements. By the description above, it is clear why $\Delta$ is generated by two element, one of them is $D \in \mathbb{F}_{r}$ and we denote the other one by $S \in \mathbb{F}_{r}^{*}$. Let us now define

$$
D^{\prime}=\left(\left(\vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), D\right), S^{\prime}=((\overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}), S) \in W \rtimes \Delta
$$

where $\left\{\vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{e}_{r-1}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $V$. For a $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ denote $\eta=\xi^{j}$. Note, that for every $1 \leq k \leq r-2,1+\eta+\ldots+\eta^{k}=\frac{1-\eta^{k+1}}{1-\eta} \neq 0$. It follows that $D^{\prime k}=\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), D^{k}\right)$ where $0 \neq \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for every $1 \leq k \leq r-2$. Now, there is a power $S^{l}$ of $S, 1 \leq l \leq r-2$, which sends $\vec{e}_{r-1}$ to $\vec{e}_{1}$. We have also $S^{l} D S^{-l}=D^{r-k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq r-2$. Thus, for some $0 \neq \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =S^{\prime l} D^{\prime} S^{\prime-l} D^{\prime k} \\
& =\left((\overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}), S^{l}\right)\left(\left(\vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), D\right)\left((\overrightarrow{0}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{0}), S^{-l}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), D^{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(S^{l}\left(\vec{e}_{1}\right), \ldots, S^{l}\left(\vec{e}_{r-2}\right)\right), S^{l} D S^{-l}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \vec{e}_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), D^{k}\right) \\
& =\left(S^{l}\left(\vec{e}_{1}\right)+D^{r-k}\left(\alpha_{1} \vec{e}_{1}\right), \ldots, S^{l}\left(\vec{e}_{r-2}\right)+D^{r-k}\left(\alpha_{r-2} \vec{e}_{r-2}\right), I\right) \in W
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as $S^{l}$ sends $\vec{e}_{r-1}$ to $\vec{e}_{1}, \vec{e}_{1}$ does not appear in any entry of $w$ except the first one.
Observe now, that the diagonals of $D^{0}, \ldots, D^{r-2}$, considered as column vectors of $V=\mathbb{F}_{p}^{r-1}$, form a basis for $V$ as the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \xi & \cdots & \xi^{r-2} \\
1 & \xi^{2} & \cdots & \xi^{2(r-2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & \xi^{r-1} & \cdots & \xi^{(r-1)(r-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a Vandermonde matrix, and therefore invertible. Thus, there is a linear combination

$$
C=\beta_{0} D^{0}+\ldots+\beta_{r-2} D^{r-2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}
$$

Now, observe that $D^{\prime}$ acts on $W$ by conjugation via the action of $D$ on $V$. Thus, we obtain an action of $C$ on $W$ via its action on $V$, in which $C(w)$ has $\overrightarrow{0}$ in every entry except the first one. This shows, as $V$ is irreducible, that the first copy of $V$ in $W$ is inside the group generated by $D^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$. In a similar way, all the $r-2$ copies of $V$ are generated by $D^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$, so $\Gamma$ is generated by two elements.

Now, $\Delta \times S L_{r-2}(p)$ acts on $W=\oplus_{i=1}^{r-2} V=V \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}^{r-2}$ in an obvious way. Thus $\Gamma=W \rtimes \Delta$ is normal in $W \rtimes\left(\Delta \times S L_{r-2}(p)\right)$, so $S L_{r-2}(p)$ is involved in $A u t(\Gamma)$, and so as $S L_{n}(p)$.

Let us remark that while we do not know the answer to the congruence subgroup problem for free solvable groups on two generators and solvability rank $r$ (unless $r=1$ or 2 ), the situation with free nilpotent groups on two generators is easier:

Proposition 5.6. For every free nilpotent group on two generators $\Gamma$, the congruence kernel contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$ - the free profinite group on countable number of generators.

Proof. It is known that if $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a pro-nilpotent group, then the kernel of the map $\operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\Gamma}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\hat{\Gamma} / \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right.$ ) is pro-nilpotent (cf. [16], 5.3). Thus, if $\Gamma$ is a free nilpotent group (of arbitrary class) then by similar arguments as we brought previously, there exists a finite group which is not involved in $A u t(\hat{\Gamma})$. On the other hand, if $\Gamma$ is free nilpotent group on two generators, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is large, as it can be mapped onto $G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) .{ }^{2}$ Thus, $\hat{F}_{2}$ is a subgroup of $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)}$ and $C(\Gamma) \cap \hat{F}_{2}$ is non-trivial, hence contains a copy of $\hat{F}_{\omega}$ (cf. [17]).

Our last remark is about the CSP for subgroups of automorphism groups. Considering the classical congruence subgroup problem, one can take $G$ to be a subgroup of $G L_{n}(R)$ where $R$ is a commutative ring, and ask whether every finite index subgroup of $G$ contains a subgroup of the form $\operatorname{ker}\left(G \rightarrow G L_{n}(R / I)\right)$ for some finite index ideal $I \triangleleft R$. This direction of generalization of the classical CSP has been studied intensively during the second half of the 20th century (cf. [22,23]). One can ask for a parallel generalization for automorphism groups or outer atomorphism groups. I.e. let $G \leq A u t(\Gamma)$ (resp. $G \leq \operatorname{Out}(\Gamma)$ ), does every finite index subgroup of $G$ contain a principal congruence

[^2]subgroup of the form $\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma / M))$ (resp. $\operatorname{ker}(G \rightarrow O u t(\Gamma / M)))$ for some finite index characteristic subgroup $M \leq \Gamma$ ?

Now, let $\pi_{g, n}$ be the fundamental group of $S_{g, n}$, the surface of genus $g$ with $n$ punctures, such that $\chi\left(S_{g, n}\right)=2-2 g-n \leq 0$. Then, there is an injective map of $\operatorname{PMod}\left(S_{g, n}\right)$, the pure mapping class group, into Out $\left(\pi_{g, n}\right)$ (cf. [12], chapter 8). Thus, one can ask the CSP for $\operatorname{PMod}\left(S_{g, n}\right)$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{g, n}\right)$. Considering the above problem, it is known that:

Theorem 5.7. For $g=0,1,2$ and every $n>0, \operatorname{PMod}\left(S_{g, n}\right)$ has the CSP.
The cases for $g=0$ were proved by [11] and in [19], the cases for $g=1$ were proved by [2], and the cases for $g=2$ where proved by [7]. It can be shown that for every $n>0, \pi_{g, n} \cong F_{2 g+n-1}=$ the free group on $2 g+n-1$ generators. Thus, the above cases give an affirmative answer for various subgroups of the outer aoutomorphism group of finitely generated free groups. Though, the CSP for the full Out $\left(F_{d}\right)$ where $d \geq 3$ is still unsettled.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We remark that if one wants $M$ to be characteristic, all we need to do, is to replace $M$ by $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right)} \sigma(M)$, and this procedure does not change the solvability length of $F_{2} / M$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In general, the kernel of the map $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ strictly contains Inn ( $\Gamma$ ) (cf. [10, 1]).

