

Paper ID #21703

#EngineersWeek: Broadening our Understanding of Community Engagement

Through Analysis of Twitter Use During the National EngineersWeek

Dr. Aqdas Malik, George Mason University

Aqdas Malik is a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Department of Information Sciences and Technology,

George Mason University. His multidisciplinary academic and industry experience spans two key disciplines: Human-Computer Interaction and Social Media Communication and Analytics. He is currently engaged in a number of research projects funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF). In some of his recent projects he has applied big data techniques and tools to investigate the role of social

media in engaging public and under-represented communities towards STEM education and informal learning.

Dr. Aditya Johri, George Mason University

Aditya Johri is Associate Professor in the department of Information Sciences & Technology. Dr. Johri studies the use of information and communication technologies (ICT) for learning and knowledge sharing, with a focus on cognition in informal environments. He also examines the role of ICT in supporting distributed work among globally dispersed workers and in furthering social development in emerging economies. He received the U.S. National Science Foundation's Early Career Award in 2009. He is co-editor of the Cambridge Handbook of Engineering Education Research (CHEER) published by Cambridge

University Press, New York, NY. Dr. Johri earned his Ph.D. in Learning Sciences and Technology Design at Stanford University and a B.Eng. in Mechanical Engineering at Delhi College of Engineering.

Mr. Habib Karbasian, George Mason University

Habib Karbasian is a PhD student at the Department of Information Sciences and Technology, George Mason University. He obtained his BSc in software engineering and MSc in artificial intelligence from University of Tehran. His research interests span from online social network data mining, reinforcement learning, evolutionary optimization and big data. His thesis is focused on online social engagement analysis in hashtag campaigns where he investigates the factors affecting retweetability and information diffusion in such contexts.

Mr. Rajat Handa

Graduate Student in Data Analytics Engineering at George Mason University with an interest in Machine Learning, NLP and social media analytics.

Dr. Hemant Purohit, George Mason University

Dr. Purohit is an assistant professor in the department of Information Sciences and Technology at George Mason University, USA. His research interest is to study human behavior from the unstructured Web data via an interdisciplinary approach of Computer and Psychological Sciences using social computing and natural language understanding methods.

c American Society for Engineering Education, 2018

#EngineersWeek: Broadening our Understanding of Community Engagement through Analysis of Twitter Use during the National Engineers Week

Abstract

Community engagement efforts have become an important avenue for raising public interest and know-how related to engineering. These efforts draw the young and the diverse into seeing engineering as a worthwhile profession. One such effort at the national level in the U.S. is the "National Engineers Week". This is a week-long celebration held every February that consists of numerous events and activities organized for the general public with a focus towards students, women, and under-represented groups. In this paper, we examined this effort through the lens of social media and analyzed Twitter data collected for two hashtags used during the National Engineers Week 2017: "#eweek2017" and "#engineersweek". Our dataset consisted of 6,583 original tweets and 10,885 retweets. To study the impact of the outreach we used three analytical

approaches: descriptive analysis, content analysis, and network analysis. We found that the Twitter campaign participation was dominated by engineering companies and individual users followed by a limited participation of educational institutions, professional engineering associations, and non-profits. As opposed to other popular hashtag campaigns, not a single news media organization was identified as a participating user signaling a lower new media-driven propagation of the campaign among the public. From a content perspective, the tweets can be categorized as event promotion, showcasing employees of engineering companies, or encouraging and inspiring public (especially women and children) towards engineering. With the growing popularity of social media, community engagement efforts need to strategically leverage hashtags and other media elements for a broader impact.

Keywords: Big data analytics, Content analysis, Social Network Analysis, Twitter, Social media, National Engineers Week, STEM

1. Introduction

Studies indicate that the public has a limited understanding of engineering [1], [2]. Engaging the public through outreach efforts is critical to improve engineering and technology literacy so that the public can better participate in policy making [2], be more inventive and improve economic competitiveness [3], and, most importantly, leverage different aspects of engineering to nurture the interest of the youth, especially girls and underrepresented minorities to pursue engineering studies and career [4]. Public outreach is an important component of the national STEM education

ecosystem and is reflective of the reality that there are ample opportunities for the public to know about science and technology outside of formal classroom settings [5]. In the USA, a majority of the public (62%) encounters science at informal science venues [6] such as festivals, fairs, exhibitions, summer camps, hands-on workshops, and online resources developed for STEM outreach. These programs are designed to provide exposure and spark interest through exploring, experiencing, and engaging public in different activities. Although these efforts are largely categorized as outreach, we view them as an important component of community engagement as they often provide the first hands-on introduction to engineering for many members of the public.

Despite the many small and large scale efforts made by public agencies, non-profits, and other entities (such as AAAS Center for Public Engagement with Science, Science Festival Alliance, and The Citizen Science Association), it has been hard to effectively assess the impact of these efforts.

In this paper we take a preliminary step towards addressing this shortcoming by using social media

data around an event, specifically, Twitter data, to better understand who is engaged with the event

and how. This analysis is important as over the last few years the Internet and in particular social media has become a prime source for the public to know and learn about science and technology but also to engage with it, either via contributing original content or by sharing information [7].

Despite the fact that online users are spending a large chunk of their time for generating and consuming content (e.g. statuses, photos, videos, news, links) on various social media platforms

[6], [8], [9], the current assessment and understanding of these platforms for outreaching public for science and technology is largely non-existent. Specifically, little is known about how different social media platforms through their unique affordances support in outreaching public for various engineering related engagement programs.

In this paper we focus on the National Engineers Week campaign that started back in 1951 and now uses a number of online channels, including Twitter, to engage the public. Despite being one of the well-known campaigns for engineering in the USA, there is a lack of understanding around how effective the Twitter use is and who is engaged in this campaign. We go beyond the usual methodological tools such as surveys and interviews and contribute to the literature by providing insights into the participation during this campaign using data-driven analytics to answer who participates in the campaign and who interacts with others in which ways. We further explore and present relevant details about the communication as well as participation pattern of various entities.

Finally, by employing social network analysis approach, we also provide insights to the key influential entities involved in the campaign.

2. Online outreach and community engagement

Since the inception of the Web, the use of online platforms (e.g. discussion forums, online articles, blogs, and traditional websites) by scientists and scientific organizations has played a key role in reaching the public and engaging them with science-related information [10], [11]. A 2016 survey carried out by National Science Board indicate that internet has become the leading source for the public to assess science and technology news and specific scientific issues [7]. As online presence of the public increases, the online mechanism for reaching and engaging people becomes popular [11]. Online social media platforms, e.g. Facebook™, Twitter™, LinkedIn™, and Reddit™, provide a variety of means to get and share science-related information [11], [12]. A recent study by PEW Research indicates that 79% of the US-based social media users (55% of all US adults) view science related posting on these platforms and 26% of them actively follow science-related social media accounts [6]. In contrast to traditional online technologies, social media offers distinct affordances such as reach, interactivity, and instant communication, which makes them highly effective. Social media platforms not only encourage public participation in various activities but can be highly supportive in getting direct and prompt feedback [13]. Furthermore, the magnitude of the potential audience that the engineering and science community can reach through social media is enormous [11]. Therefore, it is not surprising that a large number of scientific community including scientists, educational institutes, federal agencies, and associations have actively embraced social media for communication and outreach endeavors [10], [14].

As science outreach on social media platforms has increased, scholars have started to explore and understand various aspects of these outreach efforts on different social media platforms notably, Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube. For instance, a study on the Facebook page of Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute found that photos and videos were considered more significant than text and links in reaching a wider audience. The study also pointed out the potential of Facebook for developing public interest towards science [15]. Another fairly recent study explored users' engagement with a number of science communication items on five social media channels of CERN including two Twitter accounts (English and French), Facebook, Google+ and Instagram. The study revealed a similar pattern of engagement across different platforms with slight variations. One of the highly appealing forms of content across all the platform was photos which are used not only for marketing purposes but for public engagement and education too [16]. Lastly, another study describes the usage of Twitter by scientific institutes for science festivals' communication. Using NanoDays (an annual nationwide science festival) as a case study, researchers outlined that Twitter supports organizations through three communicative functions i.e. information, participation, and community and most of the tweets were informational and contained external links [14].

2.1. The National Engineers Week

The National Engineers Week is an annual weeklong celebration held in February predominantly in the United States and the event is hosted to recognize the contributions of engineers to the modern society. Hundreds of events and activities that take place throughout the country emphasize the importance of learning and engaging with STEM. Majority of the events that are targeted towards the general public especially students and the underrepresented groups. The week

which is organized under the umbrella of DiscoverE (formerly Engineers Week Foundation) – a coalition of over a hundred corporations, governmental agencies, academia, and professional societies including American Association of Engineering Societies, Georgia Tech, IEEE, National

Science Foundation, and Electronic Arts. In addition to the National Engineers Week, DiscoverE supports and mobilizes a number of volunteer program including DiscoverE Family Day, Dream Big, Future City, Girl Day, and Global Marathon. The mission of DiscoverE is to sustain and grow

a dynamic engineering profession through education, celebration, outreach, and volunteerism. The

main aim of the National Engineers Week that started back in 1951 by the National Society of Professional Engineers can be classified into the following major themes:

- a) Recognizing and celebrating the achievements of engineers that make positive impacts on our society.
- b) Advancing and promoting the social and professional interests of engineering as a profession.
- c) Increasing the dialog among the public about the need for engineers.
- d) Presenting and showcasing engineering to kids, parents, and educators.
- e) Advancing the public knowledge, awareness, and appreciation of engineering.
- f) Continuing the support of engineering education through scholarship and scholastic competitions.

3. Research objectives and methodology

The current study examines the usage of Twitter during the National Engineers Week and the analysis is focused on the following questions:

RQ1: What was the engagement pattern for the National Engineers Week campaign on Twitter?

RQ2: What sort of conversational activity about National Engineers Week took place on Twitter?

RQ3: Who participated in the campaign and who were the influential entities?

3.1. Data Gathering

To identify the hashtags that were being used for the event, we used Twitter website and found the

two most commonly used hashtags (*#eweek2017* and *#engineersweek*). We used the Twitter search API to collect the shared messages (*tweets*) based on these two hashtags. The data were collected from February 13th, 2017- about a week before the official commencement of the campaign (February 19th). In order to have a streamlined and reliable metadata of retweets and favorites count associated with tweets, we collected the data until May 22nd, 2017 - about three months after the campaign officially ended. The final dataset is composed of a total of 17,468 tweets that includes 6,583 original tweets and 10,885 retweets. The collected metadata for each of

the tweet includes: tweet text, retweet count, favorite count, time of the tweet, Twitter handle, location, followers, following, and likes. Our research has been approved by the Institutional Review Board at our university.

3.2. Coding

In order to address the research questions, we coded tweet text and tweet author (user). Three reviewers participated in the process by employing open coding approach. During the first stage of this process, two reviewers went through a sample of 300 unique user profiles to come up with suitable categories. This stage yielded a compact set of 9 categories and a codebook for further analysis was developed. During the second phase using the codebook, the first author coded each of the 2,812 unique users of the dataset in a mutually exclusive category.

In the next stage out of the 6583 original tweets, 10% of the tweets (N=658) were randomly selected for content analysis. First, two reviewers went through a sample of 200 tweets and organically organized them into categories. Each category was given a descriptive name that captured the underlying pattern of the tweets text. After refining the terminologies 12 major categories evolved from the first stage of the coding process and a codebook was developed. During the second phase, two of the reviewers were assigned half of the collection for tweets coding, meanwhile, the third reviewer coded all the tweets. All the categories were original as the

existing taxonomies or frameworks for categorizing event analysis on Twitter address varied context. We specifically categorized community engagement and outreach event in the context of

STEM education.

4. Observations and analysis

4.1. Descriptive analysis

Of the 17,468 tweets, original tweets and retweets accounted for 6,583 (37.6%) and 10,885 (62.4%) of the data respectively. A total of 2812 unique users tweeted averaging 2.34 tweets per user. With respect to embedded multimedia, more than half of the tweets (59.3%) contained a photo, while a limited number of tweets included a video (3.9%) or an animated gif (2.9%).

Majority of the tweets were in English (94.2%) and were from non-verified Twitter users (90.6%).

In total, 13,098 hashtags were used within the tweets. An overview of various descriptive features

of the National Engineers Week campaign is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Overview of #eweek2017

Total tweets

17,467

Tweets with photo

3,902 (59.3%)

Total hashtags

13,098

Original tweets

6,583 (37.6%)

Tweets with video

255 (3.9%)

Unique hashtags

1897

Retweets

10,885 (62.4%)

Tweets with animated gif

190 (2.9%)

Tweets in English

6,208 (94.2%)

Tweets by verified user handles

617 (9.4%)

Tweets with URLs

3,180 (48.3%)

In addition to the thematic hashtags associated with the campaign (#eweek2017 and #engineersweek), 1,895 other hashtags were used within the dataset. The most commonly used hashtags include #eweek2017 (N=3,751), #engineersweek (N=2,968) followed by #engineering (N=483), #STEM (N=462), and engineers (N=395). Some other important hashtags include #girladay2017 (N=245), #dreambig (N=111), and #womeninstem (N=61). In addition to hashtags, we also analyzed external URLs appended with the tweets. In total 3,180 (48.3%) of the tweets contained a URL. Majority of those URLs were unique and only 516 of the total links (21.7%) were used more than once. The main page of the National Engineers Week

(<http://www.discovere.org/our-programs/engineers-week>) providing details about the campaign and various events was linked most frequently (N=35). The second most frequently used link (N=21) was a video posted on YouTube by the campaign organizer in which two astronauts Shane

Kimbrough and Peggy Whitson relayed National Engineers Week message from the International

Space station (<https://youtu.be/TMPwRcUsnI0>). The link to the “Twenty Great Engineering Achievements of the 20th Century” section of the book ‘Rising Above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future’ (2007) by The National Academies Press was tweeted 20 times (<https://www.nap.edu/read/11463/chapter/4>).

Analysis of tweets and retweets six days before and after the Engineers Week indicates that the activity started to pick up a day before the event. A significant upsurge in the activity (number of tweets and retweets) was observed during the first six days of the event. Tweets and retweets frequency started to taper off during the last day of the campaign and the pattern continued for the next 6 days after the event (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Number of tweets and retweets using #eweek2017 or #engineersweek

4.2. Content analysis

4.2.1. Tweets categorization

The majority of tweets (15.9%) were about promoting an event related to the National Engineers Week campaign. This was followed by the tweets (14.3%) with an embedded URL pointing towards an external informational resource. A number of organizations also tweeted the profiles of their engineers and their contributions, which accounted for 14% of the total tweets. Around 12% of the tweets were about involvement of students in various STEM-related activities or providing inspirations to them. Some of the tweets by companies (11.4%) were promotional in nature as they promoted the company or their products. Tweets encouraging the public accounted for roughly 10%, meanwhile, 8% of the tweets were related to the contribution, encouragement, and empowerment of women in STEM. Table 2 presents all the categories with codes, and their prevalence together with a description and a representative tweet of each category.

Table 2. Categorization of the campaign tweets

Code	% of tweets* (N)	Description	Sample tweet
Event promotion (EV)	15.9% (146)	Tweet advertises, promotes, or celebrates the eweek event or happenings	@GETRANSPORT highlights engineering at the STEM Fair for #engineersweek. Locomotive simulator in use. https://t.co/hD32ue4idr
Resource (RE)	14.3% (131)	Tweet provides information to a resource, fact, or	Learn how #technology can be used to foster #sustainable agriculture by monitoring key indicators -... https://t.co/lvIP2UcnfW link to an external information source

Employee
story (EM)
14% (129) Tweet by an
organization about
contributions of
their engineers
HyeKyoung Park is a @JLab_News
mechanical engineer who designs particle
accelerators for research! #EngineersWeek
<https://t.co/1ES3dAcvrl>

Students
(ST)
12.2% (112) Tweet portraying
students
involvement in
STEM activities or
encouraging them to
pursue STEM
Primary Schools excited about
Engineering in a Box....Boxes ready for
collection for training this evening here
in... <https://t.co/4T8AfnCZ0Y>

Company
promotion
(CO)
11.4% (105) Tweet advertises or
promotes
organization or its
products
Happy #EngineersWeek! #DYK the
#GE9X contains more than 230 new
technologies!☐ Thanks to all the #engineers
who pus... <https://t.co/hb7HAK5k5E>

Inspiration
(IN)
9.7% (89) Tweet encouraging
others to pursue
engineering in
general
Engineers Dream Big and Make a World
of difference @NHAgiculture Happy
#engineersweek!!#AutonomousVehicles...
<https://t.co/jTJhNNKkJX>

Women
(WO)
8.0% (73) Tweet related to

encouragement,
contributions, and
empowerment of
women in STEM

In honor of National Engineers Week, we
debunk 6 common myths about women in
engineering: <https://t.co/02Cs3b9pCu...>
<https://t.co/gS0oz9Kd0z>

Gratitude

(GR)

7.1% (65) Tweet expressing
regards,
thankfulness, or
acknowledging
engineers for their
contributions

Happy #eweek2017 to all of my
colleagues and engineering friends! I hope
you advocate the profession this week
more than you already do :)

Personal

(PE)

2.0% (17) Personal opinion or
contribution as an
engineer

When I grew up, I wanted to be just like
my dad. He was an #engineer who drove
#trains. Now, I work with #cars. -...

<https://t.co/tw7sceHyAG>

Minorities

(MI)

0.5% (5) Tweet about
minorities in STEM
e.g. Afro-Americans
or Hispanics

Robert Bland 1959 1st Af-Am Engr Grad

UVa @UVAEngineers @NSBEatUVA

@NSBE @DiscoverEorg

#BlackHistoryMonth...

<https://t.co/BgaGodaqus>

Unknown

(UN)

2.0% (19) Tweet is non-
English, cannot be
accessed, deleted, or
suspended etc.

كورت في الطلابية الافرع تجمع في غداً شاركونا

CEC 2 ال ساعة الى 12 ال ساعة من الهندسة #

#ASCE# IEEEEJU #IISE_UJ #aeju...

<https://t.co/JZIBkaaaOf>

Other (OT) 3.0% (28) Tweet that cannot be

classified under any

of the categories

above

Science, Technology, Engineering, and

Mathematics #STEM Happy #eweek2017

* The sum is larger than 100% as some of the tweets were assigned multiple codes (non-exclusive categorization)

4.2.2. User profile analysis:

Categorizing the user profiles of 2812 unique tweeters among the dataset indicates a predominant

participation of individuals and companies. Males, females, and unclassified individuals combined

together (39.7%) account for the majority of unique users who tweeted during the campaign.

Companies (30.4%) accounted for roughly one-third of the unique users who participated in the campaign. A moderate level of participation is also observed for educational institutes (11.3%),

association/interest groups (6.4%), and governmental agencies (4.2%). With respect to the number

of tweets, the participation of companies was quite high as more than one-third of the total tweets

(35.2%) originate from the accounts of various companies, most of whom are from engineering, defense, and information technology domain. Individuals also accounted for a reasonable high number of tweets (28.7%) followed by educational institutes (14.2%), association and interest groups (7.9%), and governmental agencies (6.5%). Table 3 presents the sum and percentages of unique users and number of tweets by each user entity.

Table 3. Composition of the campaign participants

Entity Unique users Total tweets

Companies 855 (30.4%) 2316 (35.2%)

Individuals Females 546 (19.4%) 952 (14.5%)

Males 466 (16.6%) 788 (12.0%)

Unclassified 103 (3.7%) 148 (2.2%)

Education 317 (11.3%) 937 (14.2%)

Associations/Interest

groups

180 (6.4%) 522 (7.9%)

Government 118 (4.2%) 430 (6.5%)

Online resources* 55 (2.0%) 145 (2.2%)

STEM resource/advocacy 39 (1.4%) 165 (2.5%)

Miscellaneous 81 (2.9%) 113 (1.7%)

Unable to classify 52 (1.8%) 67 (1.0%)

Total 2812 (100%) 6583 (100%)

* Twitter accounts operated for informational purposes and not directly associated with an individual or entity

4.3. Network analysis:

For network analysis, we constructed a network visualization using Gephi [17]. The network is

composed of 10,397 nodes and 9,422 edges. Each node represents a user meanwhile each edge represents a user whose tweet has been retweeted. The node size represents the number of retweets

a user has received. Network analysis of the National Engineers Week is presented in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Social Network Analysis of National Engineers Week

4.3.1. Node-level metrics (Degree and betweenness analysis):

In general, there are two key measures including degree (in-degree and out-degree) and betweenness centrality. These metrics are used to better understand and map the participation of different entities within the network. High out-degree identifies the entities initiating the most conversations, meanwhile high in-degree reveal the participants having most of the conversations directed towards them. Betweenness centrality ascertains the most influential entities in a social network or a specific discussion topic. The importance of a node within a network is indicated by the high betweenness centrality. Node-level metrics of the campaign highlighting the top 10 entities are presented in Table 4.

4.3.2. Community analysis (network-level metrics):

Another important component of network analysis is community analysis that is measured by the density of the network. The density of a network graph can be derived through the ratio of the actual number of connections and the number of possible connections. In order to identify the communities within the network, we applied the Louvain community detection algorithm in Gephi

and the resulting communities are represented through different colors of the entities (see Fig. 2).

Table 4. Central entities of the campaign

Highly Sociable Highly Referred Highly Influential

Twitter handle Outdegree

Twitter handle Indegree

Twitter handle Betweenness

ScienceMarchDC 775 DiscoverEorg 37 DiscoverEorg 58403

exxonmobil 571 jenlpaso 30 NSF_ENG 24172

LockheedMartin 420 InfrastrucWatch 17 NSF 13963

Boeing 316 Gravel2Gavel 15 SPETweets 10053

ASCETweets 150 waterallies 12 StemNewsDesk 5092

DiscoverEorg 120 BraddockElem 11 AIAA_DE 3704

ulalaunch 109 NSPE 10 aiaa 2598

NSF 103 WalterPMooreEng 7 crystal4ann 2512

NSF_ENG 103 CA_Engineers 7 KQEDedspace 2230

USDOTFHWA 103 karybeck 7 ch2m 2072

5. Discussion

By applying three analytical approaches (descriptive analysis, content analysis, and network analysis) the current study sought to examine the outreach of a public engagement campaign – The

National Engineers Week through one of the popular social media platform Twitter. The dataset from two hashtags #engineersweek and #eweek2017 consisted of a total of 17,468 tweets (6,583 original tweets and 10,885 retweets) supported us in answering the following research questions:

a) what was the engagement pattern for the National Engineers week campaign on Twitter? b)

what sort of conversational activity about National Engineers Week took place on Twitter? c)

who

participated in the activity and who were the prominent entities?

a) Engagement pattern

Descriptive analysis of the collected dataset reveals that a total of 2,812 unique users posted 6,583

original tweets (an average of 2.34 tweets per user). Meanwhile, over sixty percent of the tweeting

activity relied on retweets. With respect to content type embedded with tweets, photos were most popular as over half of the tweets contained a photo. Other forms of media including videos and animated gifs were used less frequently within the campaign tweets. This finding aligns with other

studies indicating the popularity of photos in the Twitter-based campaign as compared to videos or animated gifs [16], [18]. Hashtags are one of the integral features of Twitter used not only for initiating the conversation but for searching, collating, and spreading tweets around a specific theme or discussion topic. As anticipated #eweek2017 and #engineersweek were the frequently used hashtags during the campaign. Analyzing the other popular hashtags further reveal two distinct discussion topics. The first topic related to the involvement of women in science and technology can be captured from hashtags such as #girlday, #womeninstem, #womeninscience, and #talentgap. The second theme of tweets is more generic and relates broadly to science and technology by hashtags including #engineering, #engineers, #STEM, and #tech. A large number of unique hashtags appended with the campaign-related tweets indicate that a wide array of topics

were discussed by the users.

Although slightly less than half of the original tweets contained an external URL, the majority of them were unique indicating a wide variety of external resources shared through the tweets.

DiscoverE webpage that provides details about the campaign and various happenings was the most

embedded link. The analysis of hashtags and URLs usage indicate that although these features were actively utilized, there was a lack of discussion based on specific themes addressing the campaign objectives. It is highly likely that using a wide-range of hashtags and URLs might have limited the reach and effectiveness of the conveyed message. Engaging the public and other stakeholders towards such campaigns can be effectively done through promoting a pre-defined and

targeted set of hashtags and using them more robustly throughout the campaign period.

Streamlining the effort through a confined set of hashtags can also be valuable as it simplifies the tracking and monitoring of audience responses and feedback in Twitter-based campaigns [19].

b) Conversational activity around the campaign

We further investigated the conversational activity related to the National Engineers Week on Twitter as it supported in detecting whether the conversation is directed towards the campaign aims or not. Results from the tweets categorization show that most of the tweets were about promoting different events, providing information to a resource through an external link, or portraying engineers. Many companies and educational institutes tweeted and posted photos of employees and students participating in different activities held to celebrate and promote the National Engineers Week. The tweets portraying engineers were mostly from companies in which

they highlighted the contributions of engineers at their respective companies. Majority of these tweets also contained a photo of engineer(s) at the workplace. A number of tweets were

inspirational in nature targeting the general public. Many of the inspirational tweets specifically focused on students and women. Tweets about students portrayed the involvement of students and

in various STEM-related activities as well as were deemed to ignite interest and encouragement to

pursue STEM in their future careers. Likewise, tweets related to women were also inspirational representing women engineers and how they contribute to the advancement of the society. Some of the tweets were promotional in nature in which companies highlighted their own engineering contributions as well as their engineering related products and services. Finally, some of the tweets

acknowledged the contributions of engineers to our society. The analysis of the conversational pattern indicates that most of the conversation revolved around the key aims of the campaign including themes such as: highlighting the significance and awareness of engineering, appreciating

engineers, and inspiring public and under-represented groups towards engineering education. In order to get an insight to the contributors of the National Engineers Week campaign on Twitter,

we manually categorized all the users who posted a campaign-related tweet. This analysis indicates

the substantial role of companies and individuals in disseminating information with respect to the number of contributors (unique users) as well as the number of contributions (total tweets).

Around

40% of the contributors were individual users (including males, females, and unclassified), while around 30% of the contributors were companies. A reasonable number of contributors were educational institutes and association/interest groups. With respect to total tweets contributed by each entity, companies accounted for the highest number of tweets followed by individuals.

Further analysis of individuals reveals a higher participation of women as compared to males.

Though not significant, women posted much more tweets than males as well as the number of women tweeters was more than the male counterparts. This finding aligns with prior studies indicating higher participation and activity of women than males on Twitter [20], [21], [23].

Higher

activity of women can be attributed to the fact that many of corporations, educational institutes, and associations portrayed women engineers, highlighted their contributions, and motivated them to pursue further towards engineering. Even though the campaign was not devoted to highlight women rights or issues, yet participation and involvement of underrepresented groups (including women) is one of the notable aspects of the National Engineers Week campaign. It is likely that they participated in the campaign to show solidarity with other women engineers as well as to encourage for the younger generation towards the domain. This findings links to recent studies indicating that women spend significantly more time and effort than males on issues devoted to gender-related issues [22], [23]. Finally, the role of media and news organization entities was nonexistent

as we did not find any tweet posted by these entities. Research on various campaigns utilizing Twitter indicates the significant role of media organizations in propagating the message to a large audience [18], [24].

The main implication of this finding is that the future National Engineers Week campaigns should

plan carefully to involve journalists, media organizations, as well as celebrities to further disseminate the information that can eventually raise the public engagement. To get detailed insights into the usage of content, we further assessed the hashtags and embedded content used within the most popular tweets with respect to their retweet count. All the tweets that were retweeted more than 100 times (N=13) were selected. The analysis indicates that hashtags within these tweets were carefully crafted to support the tweet content and the number of hashtags was also limited. Most of the tweets relied on only one or two hashtags, meanwhile three hashtags were used in only two of the tweets. The analysis also illustrates that all the selected tweets used some form of embedded content in their tweets. Photos were the most popular form of content appended to these tweets followed by videos, and external links. These findings signify the importance of embedded content in tweets for retweetability. Finally, we also analyzed the @mentions within original tweets. This assessment is helpful to understand the level of engagement and depict the two-way conversational activity [25]. Within the National Engineers Week dataset, around one-third of the tweets used @mentions. Even though we observed a moderate level of dialogic orientation within the tweets of National Engineers Week campaign, the level of conversation is far higher than observed in the similar event – NanoDays science festival [14]. The findings also support the notion that organizers of science festivals and fairs should not expect a high level of bilateral engagement from the public as they are more persuaded towards being informed and educated [26]. Likewise in online context, promoting STEM events and festivals such as National Engineers Week should frame their efforts more as outreach (‘first order’ science engagement) that aims to promote awareness, learning, and greater public interest towards science instead of two-way exchange and dialog of knowledge and viewpoints between public and the scientific community [26].

c) Participation pattern

All the tweets embedded with @ sign indicate that the tweet is directed towards another entity. Conversational tweets, also referred as directed tweets differ from non-directed ones which are not directed towards any other entity and serve merely as a general status update. The network analysis of directed tweets helped us with getting insights into the entities holding a central position in the conversational sphere of tweet and retweet network. Network analysis graph of the National Engineers Week depicts a broadcast network that features a small number of hubs (central figures) surrounded by a large number of spokes (connections). The other key characteristic of the broadcast network is that majority of the spokes are not connected to each other [27]. Analysis of the top 10 high out-degree entities illustrates the composition of governmental agencies, associations/interest groups, and companies. March for Science, ExxonMobil, Lockheed Martin, and Boeing were the most notable hubs as a majority of the conversations were initiated

by these entities. Though entities including DiscoverE (the organizer of the National Engineer Week), NSF, NSF Engineering, and American Society of Civil Engineers were also among the top

10, their level of participation was significantly lower than that of the entities mentioned above. Analysis of the in-degrees metrics indicates that the most of the conversational activity is directed

towards the event organizer. Though low, conversational activity was also directed towards two women (@jenlpaso and @karybeck), two online resources (@InfrastrucWatch and @Gravel2Gavel), and two companies (@NSPE and @WalterPMooreEng).

For detecting the most influential actors within the network, we also assessed betweenness centrality. In Figure 2, the higher the size of the node the more important that actor is in connecting

various communities together. Similar to other node-level metrics, DiscoverEOrg (organizer of the

event) scored the highest betweenness centrality. Federal agencies and associations including NSF

Engineering, NSF, Society of Petroleum Engineers, and American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics also emerged as important players in engaging Twitter users in conversation.

We observed a high modularity and a large number of partitions within the network. This means that network can be partitioned into many small clusters of actors who interact more frequently within each cluster than with the rest of the network. Due to densely populated network, we only evaluated the nodes ranging over 3 degree that resulted in visualizing the four most densely populated communities. Interestingly, organizations such as DiscoverEorg, NSF, NSF_Eng were in the same cluster demonstrating how well connected these organizations were during the event. The implication of these findings is the need for a design of better connectivity and coordination mechanisms among the isolated group efforts.

6. Conclusion

To our knowledge, we present a first study of community engagement and outreach effort of the National Engineers Week campaign on a social media platform - Twitter. By employing various descriptive, content, and network analysis techniques, we illustrate the use of Twitter to trace the participation and conversations about a campaign geared towards the promotion of STEM learning

and engagement among the public. Through digital trace data, we were able to study the real user activities and communication patterns, complementing the survey-driven approaches.

The study findings can serve as a social media analytics benchmark for a variety of informal STEM-related efforts in the future. The findings of the current study can benefit the future researchers interested in studying the usage of social media platforms for community engagement

and outreach as well as other informal STEM-related efforts. Though the findings of this research

project are specific to the National Engineers Week campaign, the implications can also be applied

to other Twitter-based campaigns initiated by non-profits. As the increasing number of non-profits

are utilizing Twitter and other social media platforms for communication, outreach, and promotion, these findings would be helpful in aligning their future campaigns to increase their

visibility and outreach among the public at large, the scientific community, and corporations.

References

- [1] C. P. Lachapelle, P. S. Phadnis, J. Jocz, and C. M. Cunningham, "The impact of engineering curriculum units on students' interest in engineering and science," in *NARST annual international conference, Indianapolis, IN*, 2012.
- [2] National Academy of Engineering, *Changing the conversation: Messages for improving public understanding of engineering*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2008.
- [3] "Innovate America: Thriving in a world of challenge and change," Council on Competitiveness, Washington, DC, 2005.
- [4] J. Dietrich and B. Kracke, "Career-specific parental behaviors in adolescents' development," *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 109–119, 2009.
- [5] J. Bell *et al.*, "Informal STEM education: resources for outreach, engagement and broader impacts," *Science Education (CAISE)*, 2016.
- [6] C. Funk, J. Gottfried, and A. Mitchell, "Science News and Information Today," *Pew Research Center's Journalism Project*, 20-Sep-2017. .
- [7] "Science and Engineering Indicators 2016 | NSF - National Science Foundation," 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/2016/nsb20161/#/>. [Accessed: 09-Mar-2018].
- [8] A. Malik, A. Dhir, and M. Nieminen, "Uses and gratifications of digital photo sharing on Facebook," *Telematics and Informatics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 129–138, 2016.
- [9] M. L. Khan, "Social media engagement: What motivates user participation and consumption on YouTube?," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 66, pp. 236–247, 2017.
- [10] J. C. Besley, A. Dudo, and M. Storksdieck, "Scientists' views about communication training," *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 199–220, 2015.
- [11] H. M. Bik and M. C. Goldstein, "An introduction to social media for scientists," *PLoS biology*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. e1001535, 2013.
- [12] C. R. McClain, "Practices and promises of Facebook for science outreach: Becoming a 'Nerd of Trust,'" *PLoS biology*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. e2002020, 2017.
- [13] N. M. Lee and M. S. VanDyke, "Set it and forget it: The one-way use of social media by government agencies communicating science," *Science Communication*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 533–541, 2015.
- [14] L. Y.-F. Su, D. A. Scheufele, L. Bell, D. Brossard, and M. A. Xenos, "Information-Sharing and Community-Building: Exploring the Use of Twitter in Science Public Relations," *Science Communication*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 569–597, 2017.
- [15] G. Fauville, S. Dupont, S. von Thun, and J. Lundin, "Can Facebook be used to increase scientific literacy? A case study of the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Facebook page and ocean literacy," *Computers & Education*, vol. 82, pp. 60–73, 2015.
- [16] K. Kahle, A. J. Sharon, and A. Baram-Tsabari, "Footprints of Fascination: Digital Traces of Public Engagement with Particle Physics on CERN's Social Media Platforms," *PloS one*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. e0156409, 2016.
- [17] M. Bastian, S. Heymann, and M. Jacomy, "Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks," *ICWSM*, vol. 8, pp. 361–362, 2009.
- [18] J. E. Chung, "Retweeting in health promotion: Analysis of tweets about Breast Cancer Awareness Month," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 74, pp. 112–119, 2017.

- [19] A. Bruns, T. Highfield, and R. A. Lind, "Blogs, Twitter, and breaking news: The produsage of citizen journalism," *Producing theory in a digital world: The intersection of audiences and production in contemporary theory*, vol. 80, no. 2012, pp. 15–32, 2012.
- [20] D. Murthy, A. Gross, and A. Pensavalle, "Urban social media demographics: An exploration of Twitter use in major American cities," *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 33–49, 2015.
- [21] H. K. Evans, J. Ovalle, and S. Green, "Rockin'robins: Do congresswomen rule the roost in the Twittersphere?," *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 268–275, 2016.
- [22] H. K. Evans and J. H. Clark, "'You Tweet Like a Girl!' How Female Candidates Campaign on Twitter," *American Politics Research*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 326–352, 2016.
- [23] H. Karbasian, H. Purohit, R. Handa, A. Malik, and A. Johri, "Real-Time Inference of User Types to Assist with more Inclusive and Diverse Social Media Activism Campaigns," in *Proceedings of the 1st AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, New Orleans, USA, 2018.
- [24] A. Johri, H. Karbasian, A. Malik, R. Handa, and H. Purohit, "How Diverse Users and Activities Trigger Connective Action via Social Media: Lessons from the Twitter Hashtag Campaign #ILookLikeAnEngineer," in *Proceedings of the 51st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, Hawaii, USA, 2018.
- [25] K. Lovejoy, R. D. Waters, and G. D. Saxton, "Engaging stakeholders through Twitter: How nonprofit organizations are getting more out of 140 characters or less," *Public Relations Review*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 313–318, 2012.
- [26] E. Jensen and N. Buckley, "Why people attend science festivals: Interests, motivations and self-reported benefits of public engagement with research," *Public Understanding of Science*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 557–573, 2014.
- [27] M. A. Smith, L. Rainie, B. Shneiderman, and I. Himelboim, "Mapping Twitter topic networks: From polarized crowds to community clusters," *Pew Research Center*, vol. 20, pp. 1–56, 2014.