# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Early Brain Growth Cessation in Wild Virunga Mountain Gorillas (Gorilla beringei beringei)

SHANNON C. MCFARLIN<sup>1\*</sup>, SARAH K. BARKS<sup>1</sup>, MATTHEW W. TOCHERI<sup>2</sup>, JASON S. MASSEY<sup>3</sup>, AMANDINE B. ERIKSEN<sup>4</sup>, KATIE A. FAWCETT<sup>5</sup>, TARA S. STOINSKI<sup>5,6</sup>, PATRICK R. HOF<sup>7</sup>, TIMOTHY G. BROMAGE<sup>8</sup>, ANTOINE MUDAKIKWA<sup>9</sup>, MICHAEL R. CRANFIELD<sup>10</sup>, AND CHET C. SHERWOOD<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anthropology, Center for the Advanced Study of Hominid Paleobiology, The George Washington University, Washington, DC

Washington, DC
<sup>2</sup>Department of Anthropology, Human Origins Program, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC
<sup>3</sup>Department of Anthropology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota
<sup>4</sup>Archeology and Forensics Laboratory, University of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana

Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International, Atlanta, Georgia

Zoo Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>7</sup>Fishberg Department of Neuroscience and Friedman Brain Institute, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York <sup>8</sup>Department of Biomaterials and Biomimetics, New York University College of Dentistry, New York, New York

<sup>9</sup>Department of Tourism and Conservation, Rwanda Development Board, Kigali, Rwanda <sup>10</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, Mountain Gorilla Veterinary Program, University of California Davis, Davis, California

Understanding the life history correlates of ontogenetic differences in hominoid brain growth requires information from multiple species. At present, however, data on how brain size changes over the course of development are only available from chimpanzees and modern humans. In this study, we examined brain growth in wild Virunga mountain gorillas using data derived from necropsy reports (N = 34)and endocranial volume (EV) measurements (N = 86). The youngest individual in our sample was a 10-day-old neonatal male with a brain mass of 208 g, representing 42% of the adult male average. Our results demonstrate that Virunga mountain gorillas reach maximum adult-like brain mass by 3-4 years of age; adult-sized EV is reached by the time the first permanent molars emerge. This is in contrast to the pattern observed in chimpanzees, which despite their smaller absolute brain size, reportedly attain adult brain mass approximately 1 year later than Virunga mountain gorillas. Our findings demonstrate that brain growth is completed early in Virunga mountain gorillas compared to other great apes studied thus far, in a manner that appears to be linked with other life history characteristics of this population. Am. J. Primatol. 75:450-463, 2013. © 2012 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

#### Key words: brain growth; mountain gorillas; life history

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Questions about the ontogeny of brain size have figured prominently in discussions concerning primate life history evolution and cognitive development [Barrickman et al., 2008; Barton & Capellini, 2011; Bromage et al., 2012; Leigh, 2004; Martin, 1983; Sacher & Staffeldt, 1974]. Because adult brain mass in modern humans is approximately three times larger than expected for a primate of comparable body size [Sherwood et al., 2008], considerable attention has been paid to understanding how such extraordinary encephalization is achieved during ontogeny. Early comparative analyses revealed a positive relationship among brain size and many life history variables, leading to the notion that brain size is inextricably linked to the pace of an organism's schedule of growth and reproduction [Harvey et al., 1987; Sacher & Staffeldt, 1974]. Consistent with this idea, it has been proposed that an extended juvenile period might allow more time to grow a larger brain,

This article was published online on 3 December 2012. Subsequently, the seventh author's name was found to be incorrect, and the correction was published online on 12 December 2012.

Contract grant sponsor: National Science Foundation; Contract grant numbers: BCS-0827531, BCS-0964944; Contract grant sponsor: Leakey Foundation; Contract grant sponsor: James S. McDonnell Foundation; Contract grant numbers: 22002078, 220020293; Contract grant sponsor: The George Washington University Academic Excellence support to CASHP; Contract grant sponsor: Max Planck Society; Contract grant sponsor: Al-exander yon Humboldt Foundation exander von Humboldt Foundation.

\*Correspondence to: Shannon C. McFarlin, Department of Anthropology, Center for the Advanced Study of Hominid Pa-leobiology, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052. E-mail: mcfarlin@gwu.edu or

\*Correspondence to: Chet C. Sherwood, Department of Anthropology, Center for the Advanced Study of Hominid Paleobiology, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052. Email: sherwood@gwu.edu

Received 27 June 2012; revised 23 October 2012; revision accepted 25 October 2012

DOI 10.1002/ajp.22100

Published online in 3 December 2012 Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary. com).

and may also provide a longer window for cognitive development [Kaplan et al., 2000; reviewed by Robson & Wood, 2008]. However, based on recent studies, brain growth trajectories for modern humans and chimpanzees do not support this expectation. Instead, modern humans achieve significantly larger adult brain size primarily as a consequence of faster growth during the first 18 months of postnatal development. Modern humans attain adult brain mass only slightly later than chimpanzees (90-100% of adult brain mass at 5-7 and 4-5 years, respectively), despite their significantly larger adult brain size and later age at sexual maturity [Coqueugniot & Hublin, 2012; Leigh, 2004; Martin, 1983; Neubauer et al., 2012; Robson & Wood, 2008]. This difference is all the more interesting given that modern humans attain a smaller proportion of adult brain size at birth compared to chimpanzees (27% versus 36%, respectively) [Robson & Wood, 2008]. However, available comparative data against which to evaluate the distinctiveness of human brain growth among hominoids are based entirely on one species of chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes).

Primates show considerable diversity in brain growth patterns [Barton & Capellini, 2011; Leigh, 2004; Martin, 1983; Phillips & Sherwood, 2008; Sacher & Staffeldt, 1974]. A relatively large adult brain size can be achieved through a prolonged period of postnatal brain growth, a faster rate of brain growth, or by allocating a greater proportion of brain growth to the prenatal period. Leigh [2004] suggested that the manner in which primates alter these components of brain growth underlies important differences in life history and maternal metabolic strategies. Compared to other primates, modern humans and chimpanzees allocate a large proportion of brain growth to the postnatal period [Leigh, 2004]. Further, humans also incur high energetic costs associated with rapid early postnatal brain growth and processes of synaptogenesis [Chugani & Phelps, 1986; Huttenlocher & Dabholkar, 1997]. However, very little is known about patterns of brain growth among other great apes, and if they vary, what factors might account for these differences.

Virunga mountain gorillas are critical to our understanding of diversity in patterns of brain growth and life history among hominoids because they represent an ecological extreme among the great apes. Mountain gorillas (*Gorilla beringei beringei*) are a subspecies of eastern gorilla, found in two geographically isolated populations, one in the Virunga Volcanoes region of Rwanda, Democratic Republic of Congo, and Uganda, and the other in Bwindi Impenetrable National Park of southwestern Uganda [Groves, 2001]. The high-altitude Afro-montane forests inhabited by mountain gorillas on the slopes of the Virunga Volcanoes range from 2,300 to 4,507 m in elevation, the highest elevational range of any great ape [Kalpers et al., 2003; Stewart et al., 2001]. Also, as fruit is rare in these higher altitude vegetation zones, Virunga mountain gorilla diets rely heavily on the leaves, stems, pith, and shoots of terrestrial herbaceous vegetation, which is abundant year-round and densely distributed [Fossey & Harcourt, 1977; McNeilage, 2001; Watts, 1984, 1996]. Their diets show little intraannual variability, except for their use of seasonally abundant bamboo shoots [Watts, 1998].

The unique dietary ecology of mountain gorillas is proposed to have an influence on their social relationships and grouping patterns, and they differ from other great apes in many important aspects of their life histories [Harcourt & Stewart, 2007; Robbins, 2007; Sterck et al., 1997; Watts & Pusey, 1993]. Despite their considerably larger adult body size, Virunga mountain gorillas from the Karisoke study area wean their infants at younger ages, have younger ages at first birth, and shorter interbirth intervals than do wild chimpanzees [Watts & Pusey, 1993]. Furthermore, long-term data accumulating from other wild study populations suggest there may also be marked differences in life history among gorilla taxa. For instance, other eastern gorilla populations and western lowland gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) show later ages at weaning, later ages at first birth as well as lower fertility, in accordance with an increased dietary consumption of fruit [Breuer et al., 2009; Robbins et al., 2004, 2009; Yamagiwa & Kahekwa, 2001].

Relatively little is known about the physical ontogeny of gorillas, which has been best characterized for body mass in captive animals of known chronological age. Compared to other African great apes, captive western gorillas achieve their larger adult size primarily as a consequence of higher growth rates [Leigh, 1994; Leigh & Shea, 1995, 1996]. Further, female western gorillas achieve almost twice the adult body mass of female chimpanzees, yet they stop growing nearly 2 years earlier [Leigh & Shea, 1996].

The accelerated life history strategies of mountain gorillas compared to other great apes has been explained within the context of the metabolic risk aversion hypothesis, which posits a relationship between elevated feeding competition associated with more frugivorous diets and selection for low growth rates [Breuer et al., 2009; Janson & van Schaik, 1993; Leigh, 1994, 1995; Leigh & Shea, 1995, 1996]. Examination of brain growth in mountain gorillas, the least frugivorous of the great apes, provides an important opportunity to assess life history diversity within the ecological spectrum of hominoids. If brain size ontogeny bears a relationship to life history and ecology, as proposed, we expect mountain gorillas to show accelerated brain size development, as they do for body size and reproductive development, compared to humans and chimpanzees.

Prior studies comparing neuroanatomical variation among great apes have included only adults, and have rarely included mountain gorillas [Aldridge. 2011; Barger et al., 2007; Herculano-Houzel & Kaas, 2011; Hopkins et al., 2009; Rilling & Insel, 1999; Rilling et al., 2012; Sherwood & Hof, 2007; Stimpson et al., 2011]. Previous research based on small sample sizes of adults has examined external brain morphology, fissural pattern, brain stem anatomy, and volumes of major structures in mountain gorilla brains [Hosokawa & Kamiya, 1963a, 1963b; Hosokawa et al., 1965; Sherwood et al., 2004]. Further, most compilations of endocranial volume (EV) data in great apes only report summary statistics for gorillas, without specifying taxonomy or geographic locality of origin [e.g., Holloway, 1996; Tobias, 1971]. Consequently, data on EV of mountain gorillas has only occasionally been reported separately [Isler et al., 2008]. In contrast, more precise data regarding variation in brain size (or EV) as it relates to ontogeny, subspecies differences, and sexual dimorphism are available for other great ape species [Durrleman et al., 2012; Leigh, 2004; Neubauer et al., 2012; Taylor & van Schaik, 2007]. Information regarding the ontogeny of gorilla brains is almost entirely absent, aside from data on neonatal brain mass in a small number of captive western lowland gorillas [DeSilva & Lesnik, 2008; Martin, 1983; Sacher & Staffeldt, 1974].

Here, we present new data on EV and brain mass growth from the largest sample of mountain gorillas ever examined. Our results have implications for understanding variation in brain size ontogeny in humans and great apes, and its relationship to differences in ecology and life history.

# **METHODS**

This research relied exclusively on data collected from postmortem specimens of wild gorillas that accumulated as a result of natural deaths, and museum specimens; no living animals were used in this study. The acquisition of necropsy data on brain mass and measurement of skeletal samples are exempted from the requirement of approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The research presented here is in accordance with the American Society of Primatologists Principles for the Ethical Treatment of Nonhuman Primates, and adhered to the legal requirements of Rwanda.

# Sample

We examined measures of brain mass and EV in mountain gorillas (*G. beringei beringei*) from the Virunga Volcanoes of East-Central Africa, which includes protected area habitat that straddles the border between neighboring parts of Rwanda (Volcanoes National Park), Democratic Republic of Congo (Parc National des Virungas), and Uganda (Mgahinga Gorilla National Park). Individuals included in the current study are derived from two main sources, as explained below.

(1) Recent Mountain Gorillas from Rwanda. Brain mass and EV data in this subset of our study sample are derived from gorillas that lived in Volcanoes National Park in Rwanda. Many of these individuals were from habituated groups monitored on a daily basis by the Rwanda national parks authority (now the Rwanda Development Board, RDB) or Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International's (DFGFI) Karisoke Research Center staff, for tourism and research, respectively [Fossey, 1983; Robbins et al., 2011]. The Mountain Gorilla Veterinary Project (MGVP) monitors the health of habituated gorillas [Cranfield, 2007]. When monitoring patrols encounter the remains of deceased gorillas in the forest, postmortem veterinary exams (i.e., necropsies) are performed by the MGVP where preservation conditions permit. In such cases, individual identity is determined from unique identifiers (e.g., nose prints) and verified based on individual disappearances from monitored social groups. In such cases where a body is found at an advanced stage of decomposition, genetic sampling is undertaken to confirm suspected individual identities. Brain mass data collected at necropsy from a total of 34 individuals have been made available for the current study (Table I).

As it has been common protocol to bury the remains of deceased gorillas after necropsy, the Mountain Gorilla Skeletal Project (MGSP) was initiated in 2008 as a multidisciplinary and collaborative effort to assist RDB in the location, systematic recovery, and preservation of mountain gorilla skeletal remains in Rwanda [McFarlin et al., 2009]. The skeletal collection currently comprises 103 individuals from Volcanoes National Park, many of which represent individually identified gorillas from habituated groups monitored by RDB and Karisoke. Of these, 63 individuals (24 males, 27 females, 12 of unknown sex) preserve cranial anatomy enabling calculation of EV, and are included in this study.

Among the recent mountain gorillas from Rwanda included in this study, many were individually identified gorillas derived from habituated social groups that are monitored daily in Volcanoes National Park. However, the precision of age at death determinations for these individuals varies according to their observation history. This sample also includes gorillas of unconfirmed identity and/or age at death. We therefore provide further clarification on age at death determinations used in our analyses below.

Gorillas of known or approximated age at death (Table I). Because habituated social groups are

#### **TABLE I. Individual Data**

Name	Age (years)	Sex	Brain mass (g)	
Subset A: Individual	s of			
known chronological	age			
Agaciro	1.63	Male	501	
Agatako	0.50	Male	456	
Ahzaza's infant	0.56	Female	339	
Akarusho	3.36	Male	439	
Arusha	6.08	Male	$521^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Ginseng	31.15	Female	431	
Gukunda's infant	0.10	Male	300	
Icyi	2.45	Female	442	
Ihumure	3.71	Male	500	
Intwali	24.26	Female	479	
Iradua	1.92	Female	493	
Mahane's infant	0.45	Female	360	
Mbele's infant	1.33	Female	390	
Mpanga	10.69	Female	444 <sup>a</sup>	
Mpore	3.83	Female	404	
Mugeni's infant	0.73	Female	230	
Mutesi	1.67	Female	388	
Ndatwa	14.94	Male	$460^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Ngwino	3.62	Female	388	
Ntobo	19.79	Female	495	
Nyarusizi	12.71	Male	$519^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Nzeli's infant	0.14	Male	353	
Safari's infant	0.03	Male	208	
Sagamba	1.20	Male	390	
Shinda	31.74	Male	538	
Shvirambere	2.93	Male	392	
Tavna	8.61	Female	450	
Tavna's infant	0.25	Female	356	
Titus	35.05	Male	500	
Turahirwa	1.06	Female	409	
Umugisha	16.94	Female	433	
Umurage	2.41	Female	$453^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Umurava	21.14	Male	$514^{\mathrm{a}}$	

visited daily in Volcanoes National Park, individuals born into or dving out of groups under active observation are associated with birth and death dates known to the exact day or week ( $\pm 4$  days for Karisoke monitored groups) [Williamson & Gerald-Steklis, 2001]. All infants, juveniles, and many adults included in this subset of our sample are associated with preciselv determined ages at death [age range from 10 days (0.03 years) to 35 years 20 days (35.05 years)], with the exception of Sabyinyo infant for whom age at death is approximated. Eleven adults are of confirmed identity, but their birth or death dates are approximated (errors ranging from  $\pm 15$  days to  $\pm 4$ vears) [Williamson & Gerald-Steklis, 2001]. These individuals typically (a) immigrated as unhabituated individuals into a social group under study, (b) were first observed as an older infant, juvenile or adult, or (c) disappeared from a study group and their body later found at an advanced stage of decomposition (as

## **TABLE I.** Continued

Name	Age (years)	Sex	Brain mass (g)	
Subset B: Individua	als of			
estimated chronolog	gical age			
Beetsme <sup>b</sup>	34	Male	$459^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Cyiza <sup>c</sup>	42	Female	500	
Kubyina <sup>d</sup>	30	Female	444 <sup>a</sup>	
Kuryama <sup>e</sup>	24.8	Male	$525^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Kwiruka <sup>d</sup>	34	Female	492	
Nyakarima <sup>f</sup>	33	Male	$466^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Pandora <sup>c</sup>	37	Female	$494^{\mathrm{a}}$	
$Puck^{g}$	38.3	Female	400	
Sabana <sup>f</sup>	16	Female	455	
Sabinyo infant <sup>f</sup>	2	Female	433	
Tuck <sup>g</sup>	38.3	Female	500	
Walanza <sup>c</sup>	37	Female	414	

Note: Subset A includes individuals for whom birth and death dates are known to the exact day or week ( $\pm 4$  days; Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001 for Karisoke monitored individuals). Subset B includes individuals with estimated birth dates or death dates (i.e., corresponding to birth errors 1-6 for Karisoke-monitored individuals; Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001). Where age error exceeds  $\pm 6$  months, estimated chronological age at death is reported to the nearest year.

<sup>a</sup>Brain mass estimated from endocranial volume by least squares regres-

sion. <sup>b</sup>Estimated date of birth (±2 years; birth error 5 for Karisoke monitored individual, Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001).

<sup>c</sup>Estimated date of birth (±4 years; birth error 6 for Karisoke monitored individual, Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001).

<sup>d</sup>Estimated date of birth (±1.5 years; birth error 4 for Karisoke monitored individual, Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001).

<sup>e</sup>Estimated date of death, based on date last observed.

<sup>f</sup>Estimated date of birth.

<sup>g</sup>Estimated date of birth (±15 days; birth error 1 for Karisoke monitored individual, Williamson & Gerard-Steklis, 2001).

in the case of Kuryama; Table I). In the latter case, date last observed is used as the estimated date of death. Adults of approximated ages at death range from 16 to 42 years of age.

Gorillas for whom individual identity and/or age at death is not currently known (summarized in Table II). EV data were collected from 35 gorillas comprising unhabituated individuals of unknown age, and other individuals who may be derived from habituated groups but whose identities have yet to be confirmed. Among the latter, these skeletal specimens represent individuals that died before the MGSP began, and for whom contextual information (namely, burial location) was lost over time prior to initiation of the project. While probable identities for these individuals have been determined, genetic and histologic analyses are underway to provide positive identifications. These individuals are considered "unknown" in the current study; they were only used for analyses of EV in relation to dental emergence stage, as explained below.

(2) USNM skeletal specimens. EV data were collected from 23 mountain gorillas (11 males, 10 females, 2 unknown) curated at the Smithsonian

Dental stage		Ν	Mean (cm <sup>3</sup> )	SD	Age class composition, by sex			
1	Combined sexes	2	409	48	MGSP (female, $n = 0$ ; male, $n = 1$ ; unknown, $n = 1$ ) USNM (female, $n = 0$ ; male, $n = 0$ ; unknown, $n = 0$ )			
2	Combined sexes	13	446	50	MGSP (female, $n = 3$ ; male, $n = 3$ ; unknown, $n = 6$ ) USNM (female, $n = 0$ ; male, $n = 1$ ; unknown, $n = 0$ )			
	MGSP females	3	425	47				
	MGSP males	3	466	32				
3	Combined sexes	9	470	52	MGSP (female, $n = 3$ ; male, $n = 4$ ; unknown, $n = 2$ ) USNM (female, $n = 0$ ; male, $n = 0$ ; unknown, $n = 0$ )			
	MGSP females	3	443	24				
	MGSP males	4	512	51				
4	Combined sexes	3	434	10	MGSP (female, $n = 0$ ; male, $n = 0$ ; unknown, $n = 2$ ) USNM (female, $n = 1$ ; male, $n = 0$ ; unknown, $n = 0$ )			
5	Combined sexes	59	493	50	MGSP (female, $n = 22$ ; male, $n = 15$ ; unknown, $n = 1$ ) USNM (female, $n = 9$ ; male, $n = 10$ ; unknown, $n = 2$ )			
	MGSP females	21	476	25				
	MGSP males	16	547	44				
	USNM females	9	431	23				
	USNM males	10	499	27				

TABLE II. Endocranial Volumes of Mountain Gorillas by Dental Emergence Stage

Note: Combined sex summary statistics include specimens of unknown sex. Boldface indicates the value for combined sexes from the total sample at each dental stage.

Institution's National Museum of Natural History (USNM). A majority of these specimens were collected by Dian Fossey and colleagues during the late 1960s and 1970s, although three were collected prior to 1950.

#### **Data Collection**

### Brain masses collected at necropsy

Brain mass data collected by MGVP at necropsy were available for 34 individuals examined here. To prevent distortion of brain mass data resulting from the effects of tissue autolysis, only brains collected within 48 hr of death were used in the current study. In one case (Sabyinyo infant), postmortem interval was estimated at 48–72 hr; however, this brain showed no obvious signs of autolysis and therefore was included. Not all individuals for whom we were able to obtain EVs in the study are also represented by brain masses. However, all individuals with brain masses are associated with known chronological ages at death, as explained above.

In order to increase the sample of individuals with known chronological age at death in our analyses, a prediction equation was generated using least squares regression for cases in which both EV and brain mass were available (N = 15; age range = 6 months to 42 years; 11 females, 4 males). With this equation [y = 0.715x + 116.1,  $r^2 = 0.420$ , P = 0.02 (where x = EV)], EV was converted to brain mass in the 11 cases for which only EV was available with a known chronological age at death.

#### Endocranial volume

EV measurements were collected from a total of 86 mountain gorillas, using two methods. In MGSP crania (n = 63), EV was measured by depositing sorghum seeds or glass beads into the foramen magnum, tapping the skull to settle and fill all spaces, and then transferring the seeds or beads to a graduated cylinder for measurement. For crania of older infants in which the sutures were unfused, we used masking tape to hold together the separate cranial bones in close apposition. In USNM crania (n = 23), EV was measured from CT scans, using MRIcro software's 3D sphere region of interest tool to measure a "virtual" cranial capacity. CT scanning was performed using a SIEMENS Somatom Emotion CT scanner (Siemens Medical, Malvern, PA) (110 kV, 70 mA, 1-mm slice thickness, 0.5-mm reconstruction increment, H90 moderately sharp kernel).

To determine the comparability of data from these two EV measurement techniques, EV was measured using both methods described above for five USNM crania (using glass beads). The values obtained via measurement of virtual cranial capacity varied from those obtained by physical measurement by no more than 2.6%, with no systematic bias in the direction of differences in the EV between techniques. Interobserver consistency in the measurement of EV in the MGSP collection based on seed filling was determined by measuring a subsample of six crania. The intraclass correlation coefficient between observers was 0.99 (P < 0.001) and on average the measurements differed from each other by only 1.3%.

#### Dental emergence status

In the analysis of EV, our sample included individuals of unconfirmed identity and age at death. We therefore used dental development status to group individuals into five age classes defined on the basis of alveolar emergence [adapted from Shea, 1981, 1982]. Stage 1 includes infants with partial deciduous dentitions, some deciduous teeth having not yet emerged beyond the alveolar margin. Stage 2 includes infants with alveolar emergence of all deciduous teeth. Stage 3 includes juveniles with alveolar emergence of one or more first permanent molars. Stage 4 includes juveniles with alveolar emergence of one or more second permanent molars. Stage 5 includes individuals with alveolar emergence of one or more third permanent molars. While it is recognized that molar root formation continues after emergence, all individuals in Stage 5 were considered adults for the purposes of the current study, given the difficulty of observing root growth from intact skeletal specimens in the field. We followed Shea's dental aging scheme to facilitate future comparative analyses, with the following major modification. Given small sample sizes within each age class and our observation that older age classes were not characterized by significant contrasts in brain size, we chose to lump all individuals with emergent, partially erupted and fully erupted M3s together into Stage 5, whereas Shea [1981, 1982] recognized two additional stages (Shea's dental Stages 6 and 7) on the basis of advanced eruption of the third permanent molar and canine, fusion of the basilar suture, and tooth wear. Finally, we also note that age classes based on dental development may not correspond to age classes based on behavioral or reproductive criteria [e.g., Robbins et al., 2009; Watts & Pusey, 1993].

The sample utilized here was accumulated primarily through natural deaths, with rare exceptions associated with poaching and crop-raiding incidents (namely, in the USNM collection). This places obvious limitations on the representation of different age and sex classes in our study.

#### **Data Analysis**

Brain mass and EVs were treated separately to avoid replicates in the data set, given the possibility that some individual data points might be associated with as-of-yet unidentified skeletons (and thus, EV data points) in the MGSP collection.

#### Brain mass compared to chronological age

Brain mass was analyzed as a function of chronological age, with male and female growth trends determined separately. Piecewise quadratic regression [Leigh, 1994] was used because it is most appropriate in the case of gaps in the data across the age distribution. The break point in this analysis was set at age 10 years. We calculated the arithmetic velocity of brain growth by dividing the difference in successive brain masses on the growth curve by successive ages.



Fig. 1. Brain mass as a function of age. Separate piecewise quadratic regression fits were calculated for males and females. Circles indicate data for brain mass collected at necropsy. Squares indicate brain masses calculated from the prediction equation based on endocranial volume as described in the text. The inset graph shows the same data with a focus on the first 10 years to more clearly illustrate early growth.

#### Proportional brain mass

Following Coqueugniot and Hublin [2012], proportional brain masses (PBM) were calculated for infants and juveniles by dividing individual brain masses by the average adult value for the corresponding sex of the individual. PBM values reflect the percentage of sex-specific average adult brain mass obtained by immature individuals. Average adult brain mass was calculated separately for males and females of 10 years of age and older, by which time one or more of the M3s has emerged in this sample. A quadratic regression was used to estimate the age at which males and females reach 90% of PBM.

#### EV comparisons across dental age classes

Because of small sample sizes at early ontogenetic stages, nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests and pairwise Mann–Whitney tests were used to assess differences in EV across dental age classes.

## RESULTS

#### **Brain Mass Compared to Chronological Age**

Average adult brain mass for females was 460 g (SD = 35.1, N = 13) and for males it was 498 g (SD = 31.6, N = 8). Sex differences in adult brain mass were statistically significant (Mann–Whitney U = 19, P = 0.02; M/F = 1.082). In both sexes, there was a rapid



Fig. 2. Proportion of mean adult brain mass in infants and juveniles as a function of age. Circles indicate data for brain mass collected at necropsy. Squares indicate brain masses calculated from the prediction equation based on endocranial volume as described in the text. Lines indicate quadratic regressions calculated for males and females.

increase in brain mass from birth, with the velocity of growth declining by approximately one and a half years of age (Fig. 1). In males, where our sample includes more data from neonates, the growth velocity was 13.7 g/month in the first 6 months, and then declined to a rate of 9.5 g/month by the end of the first year. In females, the growth velocity was 7.5 g/month at 1 year of age. Piecewise regressions provided estimates of age at cessation of brain growth, showing that both males and females have completed brain growth between 3 and 4 years of age. As one infant in our analysis was associated with an estimated age at death (Sabyinyo infant), we also recalculated the piecewise regression fit with this individual removed. Removal of this individual from the data set did not alter our results.

#### **Proportional Brain Mass**

The proportion of adult brain mass attained in infants and juveniles further supports the conclusion that wild mountain gorillas reach adult brain size early in development. The youngest individual in this sample (age 10 days) is a male with a brain mass of 208 g, which is 42% of the average adult male brain size. Notably, juveniles reach 90% PBM by approximately 28 months of age based on a quadratic fit to the PBM data (Fig. 2).

#### **EV** Comparisons by Dental Emergence Stage

Means and standard deviations for EV by age class are presented in Table II, and boxplots are shown in Figure 3. Differences in EV across dental emergence stages were significant (Kruskal–Wallis



Fig. 3. Boxplots of endocranial volumes by dental emergence stage. The boxes show means and interquartiles; whiskers show ranges. M, male; F, female; U, unknown sex.

 $\chi^2 = 16.17$ , P = 0.003). Significant pairwise differences in EV were identified between dental Stages 1 and 5 (Stage 5 > Stage 1, Mann–Whitney U = 6.0, P = 0.03), Stages 2 and 5 (Stage 5 > Stage 2, Mann–Whitney U = 208.5, P = 0.01), and Stages 4 and 5 (Stage 5 > Stage 4, Mann–Whitney U = 13.0, P = 0.01). All other contrasts between dental stage pairs were nonsignificant. We interpret the significant contrast between Stages 4 and 5 to be an artifact of small sample size; the mean EV of Stage 4 (n = 1 female, two unknown sex) was smaller than Stage 3 (Fig. 3). Thus, these data suggest that adult brain size is obtained by dental Stage 3, which corresponds to alveolar emergence of the first permanent molar.

Adult males exhibited significantly larger EVs than adult females (Mann–Whitney U = 89.0, P <0.0001). Although males had larger EVs than females at dental Stages 2 and 3 (Fig. 3), these differences were not statistically significant (Stage 2: Mann–Whitney U = 2.0, P = 0.28; Stage 3: Mann– Whitney U = 1.0, P = 0.08). Small sample sizes precluded similar comparisons between males and females at dental Stages 1 and 4. The degree of sexual dimorphism in EV of mountain gorillas is very close to that observed in wild western lowland gorillas. In our sample, the mean EV of adult females is 88% that of adult males. Similarly, from the data reported in Isler et al. [2008], female western lowland gorillas have EVs that are 87% of the male values (19 females. 36 males).

#### **Temporal Trends in EV**

In the main analysis of EV across dental eruption stages, all specimens from the MGSP and USNM samples were pooled together. However, when they were considered separately, statistically significant differences were observed among adults (USNM <MGSP; Mann–Whitney U = 212.5, P = 0.008). As a subset of the MGSP sample is comprised of individuals of unknown collection date, this contrast remains significant when restricted only to adult USNM samples collected between 1968 and 1983 (n = 9 males, 9 females, 2 unknown sex), and MGSP samples collected between 1997 and 2012 (n = 14 males, 14 females; Fig. 4). USNM individuals collected prior to 1983 have significantly smaller EVs than those of the MGSP, a majority of which postdate 1997 (Mann-Whitney U = 426.5, P = 0.002).

#### DISCUSSION

Significant postnatal changes in brain size extending through the duration of infancy have been observed in several primate species [Leigh, 2004], including chimpanzees [Herndon et al., 1999; Neubauer et al., 2012] and bonobos [Durrleman et al., 2012]; in humans, brain growth continues through early childhood [Coqueugniot & Hublin, 2012]. In all hominoid species studied, the period of postnatal brain size growth encompasses several years. For instance, Neubauer et al. [2012] report that adult EV is achieved around 5 years of age in wild chimpanzees from the Taï Forest. For modern humans, Coqueugniot and Hublin [2012] found that EV reaches its peak at approximately 7 years of age.

Our results provide the first evidence of postnatal brain growth patterns in any gorilla species. We found that Virunga mountain gorillas cease brain growth between 3 and 4 years of age, thus condensing postnatal brain growth into a shorter period than has been reported for either chimpanzees or humans. Despite having an adult brain size that is roughly 25% larger on average compared to chimpanzees (Table IV), Virunga mountain gorillas reach their adult brain size approximately 1 year earlier. The single neonate in our sample, a 10-dayold male, had a brain mass of 208 g, or 42% of the adult mean. This is comparable to other existing data on neonatal brain mass in western lowland gorillas, with reported values of 227 g [Martin, 1983; Sacher & Staffeldt, 1974] and 217 g [De-Silva & Lesnik, 2008]. Together, data from gorillas, chimpanzees, bonobos, and orangutans (summarized in Table III) suggest that all great apes have relatively mature brains at birth compared to humans, for which reported PBM at birth is approximately 27% of the adult mean brain size [Robson & Wood, 2008]. Given the paucity of available neonatal brain mass data for great ape species,



Fig. 4. Differences in adult endocranial volume between USNM individuals (collected between 1968 and 1983; n = 9 males, 9 females, 2 unknown sex) and MGSP individuals (collected between 1997 and 2012; n = 14 males, 14 females). The boxes show means and interquartiles; whiskers show ranges.

the extent to which Virunga mountain gorillas may or may not differ in PBM at birth from other great apes is a subject for further study. However, results of the current analysis contribute to this discussion by demonstrating more variation in postnatal brain growth strategies among great apes, which warrants further consideration.

# Brain Growth and Life History Diversity among Hominoids

The manner in which primates vary the rate and duration of brain growth is proposed to underlie important differences in life history [Barrickman et al., 2008; Barton & Capellini, 2011; Leigh, 2004; Leigh & Bernstein, 2006]. In a comparative examination of brain mass ontogeny in primates, Leigh [2004] recognized two alternative brain growth patterns that are suggested to reflect maternal metabolic strategies. In the first strategy, late ages at reproductive maturation and large adult size confer energetic benefits to mothers, enabling significant early investment in offspring brain growth during the prenatal and early postnatal periods. Offspring of these mothers are born with relatively large brains, and they reach adult brain size early in development. Alternatively, early reproductive maturation and small maternal size, traits favored for their demographic advantages, are associated with a second strategy, in which brain growth shifts to the postnatal period, when the offspring or other group members may help

Species	Neonatal brain mass (g)	N	Percentage of adult brain mass at birth	References
Gorilla beringei beringei	208	1	42	This study
Gorilla gorilla	227	?	56	Sacher and Staffeldt [1974], Schultz [1965]
-	217	1	42	DeSilva and Lesnik [2008]
Pan troglodytes	128	2	36	Sacher and Staffeldt [1974] Schultz [1941]
	151	22	40	DeSilva and Lesnik [2008]
	137	3	36	Herndon et al. [1999], Robson and Wood [2008]
Pan paniscus	155	1	41	DeSilva and Lesnik [2008]
Pongo pygmaeus	129	?	38	Sacher and Staffeldt [1974], Schultz [1941]
0 100	170	3	_	Martin [1983]
	165	3	39	DeSilva and Lesnik [2008]

#### **TABLE III.** Neonatal Brain Mass Data from Great Apes

Note: "Neonatal" is defined differently in the studies that provide these data. DeSilva and Lesnik [2008] describe this period as being within the first week after birth; Robson and Wood [2008] define it as the first 10 days after birth; Sacher and Staffeldt [1974] define it as "shortly after birth."

TABLE IV.	Comparison	of Life	History	Characteristic	s of Select	African	Great	Ape	<b>Populations</b>	and	Modern
Humans											

Species	Adult female body mass (kg)	Adult brain mass (g)	Age at first birth (years)	Gestation length (days)	Age at weaning (years)	Interbirth interval (years)
Mountain gorillas (Karisoke)	97.7	479	9.9	254-255	2.5-3.7	4
(Gorilla beringei beringei)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Eastern chimpanzees	31.3	384	15.2	225.3	5	5.2
(Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii)	(3)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(9)
Modern humans	45.5	1352	19.5	270	2.8	3.7
(Homo sapiens)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)

*Note:* Nonhuman primate data are derived from different sources, and represent the population central tendency as mean or median except where ranges are provided. All data for eastern chimpanzees are from Gombe, except for adult brain mass. Modern human populations are highly variable in life history parameters, such as age at weaning; data shown here are summarized from Table II in Robson and Wood [2008] and represent modern human foraging groups. Data sources are indicated by the italicized number underneath each value.

(1) Morris et al. [2011]; (2) this study; (3) Morris et al. [2011], Pusey et al. [2005]; (4) Czekala and Sicotte [2000], Harcourt et al. [1980]; (5) Fletcher [2001]; (6) Robbins et al. [2006]; (7) Robson and Wood [2008]; (8) Morris et al. [2011]; (9) Wallis [1997]; (10) Pusey [1983].

subsidize the costs. Offspring of these mothers are born with relatively small brains, and exhibit slow postnatal brain growth and later ages at adult brain size.

As Leigh [2004] noted, however, it is not clear how large-bodied hominoids fit into this framework. Our data from mountain gorillas are more consistent with the first strategy, in which the energetic benefits of large maternal size may allow mothers to invest more heavily in offspring brain growth during the prenatal period, obtaining an absolutely large neonatal brain size and a relatively high proportion of adult brain size before birth. Available neonatal brain mass data suggests that other great apes may also be characterized by increased investment in prenatal brain growth, compared to humans (Table III). However, in humans and chimpanzees, where more detailed ontogenetic data are available, large maternal size and late age at reproductive maturation are coupled with a brain growth strategy characterized by a longer duration of brain size enlargement during postnatal ontogeny compared to mountain gorillas. In chimpanzees, brain size growth is completed between 4 and 5 years of age [Herndon et al., 1999; Neubauer et al., 2012]. Not only do humans allocate a greater proportion of their brain growth to the postnatal period than do both chimpanzees and mountain gorillas [DeSilva & Lesnik, 2008; Simpson et al., 2008], they also incur the costs of substantially higher brain growth rates than chimpanzees during the first 18 months following birth [Leigh, 2004; Robson & Wood, 2008]. The observed pattern in Virunga mountain gorillas, the least frugivorous of the great apes, greatly increases what we know of diversity in brain growth strategy. Detailed examination of diet and other ecological factors that likely influence maternal energetics and allocation of brain growth during the pre- and postnatal periods warrants further attention in hominoids.

Earlier attainment of adult brain size in Virunga mountain gorillas is consistent with other life history characteristics of this population. Despite their absolutely larger bodies and brains, Virunga mountain gorillas are characterized by younger ages at first birth, earlier ages at weaning, and shorter interbirth intervals compared to other hominoids (Table IV). These differences have been attributed to the increased folivory/herbivory of mountain gorillas [Breuer et al., 2009; Janson & van Schaik, 1993; Leigh, 1994; Robbins et al., 2009; Watts & Pusey, 1993]. In contrast to other great apes, Virunga mountain gorillas incorporate very little fruit in their diet. Instead, they rely heavily on terrestrial herbaceous vegetation that is rich in crude protein and readily available year-round in their habitat, apart from the seasonal use of bamboo shoots by some groups [Fossey & Harcourt, 1977; McNeilage, 2001; Rothman et al., 2008, 2007; Watts, 1984, 1996].

The metabolic risk aversion hypothesis posits that frugivorous primates relying on more seasonally available food resources will be selected to grow at low rates, thus reducing their daily energetic needs and distributing the costs of growth over a longer juvenile period; this strategy reduces the risk of starvation associated with elevated feeding competition. A corollary to this hypothesis is that reliance on abundant and perennially available food resources is expected to be associated with higher growth rates and earlier ages at maturity [Janson & van Schaik, 1993; Leigh, 1994; Leigh, 1995; Leigh & Shea, 1995, 1996]. This has been proposed to explain the higher body mass growth velocities of gorillas compared to other great apes [Leigh, 1994; Leigh & Shea, 1995, 1996], and the earlier age of reproductive maturation in mountain gorillas compared to western lowland gorillas [Breuer et al., 2009]. Results of the current study suggest that reliance on a more herbivorous and protein-rich diet, together with the energetic advantages of large maternal size [Leigh, 2004], may enable a life history strategy in which body, brain, and reproductive maturation are more tightly linked in mountain gorillas than they are in some other taxa [Pereira & Leigh, 2003]. A component of this strategy is that mountain gorilla females support the higher costs of rapid offspring brain growth during the prenatal and early postnatal periods, such that their infants reach adult brain size around the time of weaning at approximately 3 years of age [Fletcher, 2001; Fossey, 1979]. It is also notable that gorilla mothers generally, and mountain gorilla mothers in particular, produce milk that is higher in crude protein content and percent energy derived from protein than milk produced by chimpanzee and bonobo mothers [Hinde & Milligan, 2011]. Mountain gorilla mothers also produce milk that is comparatively high in  $\alpha$ linolenic acid (18:3n-3; ALA), which is a precursor of the omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), an important building block for neural development and function [Hinde & Milligan, 2011; Milligan et al., 2008; Whittier et al., 2011]. However, the contribution of high ALA content in mountain gorilla milk to postnatal brain growth is uncertain because anthropoids are inefficient at converting ALA to DHA [Milligan & Bazinet, 2008].

#### **Social Learning**

Differences among hominoid taxa in the social learning of foraging behavior may also relate to diversity in brain growth patterns. Early completion of brain growth in mountain gorillas might limit the degree to which social learning has the opportunity to shape behavioral flexibility as compared with other great apes. While regional traditions in behaviors and tool use are well documented among wild chimpanzees and orangutans [Bastian et al., 2010; Lycett et al., 2010; van Schaik et al., 2003; Whiten et al., 1999], evidence for social transmission of behavior in gorillas is more scarce [Stoinski et al., 2001]. Because mountain gorillas have dental and gut specializations that allow them to process a greater amount of fibrous material from leaves, they are not as heavily dependent on learning foraging routes for seasonal fruit, nor do they require tools to extract nuts and insects. Consequently, while widespread in chimpanzees, tool use is rarely observed in wild gorillas and appears to be largely unrelated to food processing [Breuer et al., 2005]. Furthermore, although it has been argued that the processing of stinging nettles by mountain gorillas requires skills that need to be learned from observing others [Byrne et al., 2011], Tennie et al. [2008] contend that social learning plays a limited role in this behavior.

In contrast, it has been demonstrated that proficiency in termite fishing among Gombe chimpanzees takes many years to develop (up to 4–5 years of age), and the rate of skill acquisition in juveniles is related to maternal behavior [Lonsdorf, 2006]. Such tool-related behaviors and other complex cognitive skills are typically acquired over a long juvenile period; during this life history phase, developmental changes in synaptic connectivity and myelination facilitate a greater degree of plasticity in learning [Bufill et al., 2011]. It is possible that the abundant availability of food resources that do not require extractive technologies to access or sophisticated metal maps to locate, may therefore lessen the need for an extended learning period related to slow brain development in mountain gorillas. Data on microstructural or molecular ontogenetic changes in the cerebral cortex of gorillas compared to chimpanzees would help to resolve whether such modifications track the trajectory of overall brain size growth.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The current study demonstrates that Virunga mountain gorillas reach adult brain size early compared to chimpanzees and modern humans, and underscore the need for ontogenetic data from all hominoid taxa, including other gorilla subspecies. Without such data, our understanding of links between hominoid brain growth strategies and variation in life history, diet, and other environmental factors in the wild is limited. Interestingly, we found that adult Virunga mountain gorillas that died in the late 1960s to early 1980s had significantly smaller brain sizes that those that have died more recently (postdating the mid-1990s). It is possible that more recent conservation efforts led by local governmental and nongovernmental organizations have produced an environment of lower stress for Virunga mountain gorillas. Intensification of protection and monitoring efforts focused on this population over the past two decades is associated with a reduction in gorilla deaths from poaching and injury (e.g., from snares set for other prey), and increased detection and treatment of habituated gorillas for respiratory disease and other human-induced life-threatening conditions [Robbins et al., 2011]. The population size has also increased over the same time period, with habituated gorillas experiencing higher population growth rates than unhabituated gorillas [Robbins et al., 2011]. The potential effects of stress on mountain gorilla brain development may be significant. Many damaging effects of stress on the brain have been described, particularly during development, through activity of the fetal hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis [Lupien et al., 2009]. The hippocampus appears to be especially sensitive to such effects, with many studies indicating hippocampal atrophy following stress exposure [Bremner, 1999; Sapolsky, 1996]. We are currently undertaking MRIbased analyses of mountain gorilla brains to examine volumetric variation in neural structures which may be associated with stress, development, and aging. Future studies incorporating genetic relatedness and locality data within the Virungas may shed light on whether the observed temporal differences in adult brain size among mountain gorillas reported here might be the result of spatial or temporal variability in human-related impacts, diet, body size, or other factors [Grueter et al., 2010; McNeilage, 2001; Watts, 1984].

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research relied exclusively on postmortem specimens of wild gorillas that accumulated as a result of natural deaths, and museum specimens; no living animals were used in this study. The research presented here is in accordance with the American Society of Primatologists Principles for the Ethical Treatment of Nonhuman Primates, and adhered to the legal requirements of Rwanda.

We gratefully acknowledge the Rwandan government and national parks authorities for permission to work in their country, their support of the Mountain Gorilla Skeletal Project (MGSP), and for access to mountain gorilla skeletal and necropsy data for research. The MGSP is indebted to the many field assistants, researchers and other staff of the Rwanda Development Board—Department of Tourism and Conservation, Mountain Gorilla Veterinary Project, and Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International's Karisoke Research Center, for their tireless efforts toward the preservation of mountain gorilla skeletal remains so they can be made available for study. Without the field support and long-term data sets generated by these organizations, this research would not be possible. In addition, the MGSP gratefully acknowledges the Institute of National Museums of Rwanda, Drs. David Hunt and Stephen Nawrocki, Laurent Ndagijimana, and the many student participants from the National University of Rwanda, the Higher Institute of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry in Rwanda, and from academic institutions in the U.S.A. who have contributed to the MGSP since 2008. We also gratefully acknowledge Sophie C. Sherwood for assistance with measurements of endocranial volume, Dr. Joseph Erwin for facilitating this research and Keely Arbenz-Smith for research assistance in the United States.

For access to specimens curated by the Smithsonian's National Museum of Natural History (USNM), we thank Dr. Kristofer Helgen, Linda Gordon, and Darrin Lunde, SIEMENS for generously donating to the USNM the Somatom Emotion CT scanner used in this work, and Dr. Bruno Frohlich for help with the CT-scanning. CT-scanning of the USNM sample was made possible by support of the Smithsonian 2.0 Fund and the Smithsonian Collections Care and Preservation Fund.

The authors would like to thank Dr. Steven Leigh and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

Finally, we gratefully acknowledge funding support for this research by the National Science Foundation (BCS-0827531, BCS-0964944), the Leakey Foundation, the James S. McDonnell Foundation (22002078, 220020293), and GW's Academic Excellence support to CASHP. Support in part was also provided by the 2010 Max Planck Research Award to TGB, administered by the Max Planck Society and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Preservation of mountain gorilla skeletal materials for study in Rwanda has also been made possible by infrastructural support from Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International's Karisoke Research Center, which currently houses the collection, and funding support from the National Science Foundation (BCS-0852866, BCS-0964944), National Geographic Society's Committee for Research and Exploration, and the Leakey Foundation.

# REFERENCES

- Aldridge K. 2011. Patterns of differences in brain morphology in humans as compared to extant apes. J Hum Evol 60:94– 105.
- Barger N, Stefanacci L, Semendeferi K. 2007. A comparative volumetric analysis of the amygdaloid complex and basolateral division in the human and ape brain. Am J Phys Anthropol 134:392–403.
- Barrickman NL, Bastian ML, Isler K, van Schaik CP. 2008. Life history costs and benefits of encephalization: a

comparative test using data from long-term studies of primates in the wild. J Hum Evol 54:568–90.

- Barton RA, Capellini I. 2011. Maternal investment, life histories, and the costs of brain growth in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:6169–6174.
- Bastian ML, Zweifel N, Vogel ER, Wich SA, van Schaik CP. 2010. Diet traditions in wild orangutans. Am J Phys Anthropol 143:175–187.
- Bremner JD. 1999. Does stress damage the brain? Biol Psychiatry 45:797-805.
- Breuer T, Breuer-Ndoundou Hockemba M, Olejniczak C, Parnell RJ, Stokes EJ. 2009. Physical maturation, life-history classes and age estimates of free-ranging western gorillas insights from Mbeli Bai, Republic of Congo. Am J Primatol 71:106–119.
- Breuer T, Ndoundou-Hockemba M, Fishlock V. 2005. First observation of tool use in wild gorillas. PLoS Biol 3:e380.
- Bromage TG, Hogg RT, Lacruz RS, Hou C. 2012. Primate enamel evinces long period biological timing and regulation of life history. J Theor Biol 305:131–144.
- Bufill E, Agusti J, Blesa R. 2011. Human neoteny revisited: the case of synaptic plasticity. Am J Hum Biol 23:729–739.
- Byrne RW, Hobaiter C, Klailova M. 2011. Local traditions in gorilla manual skill: evidence for observational learning of behavioral organization. Anim Cogn 14:683–693.
- Chugani HT, Phelps ME. 1986. Maturational changes in cerebral function in infants determined by 18FDG positron emission tomography. Science 231:840–843.
- Coqueugniot H, Hublin JJ. 2012. Age-related changes of digital endocranial volume during human ontogeny: results from an osteological reference collection. Am J Phys Anthropol 147:312-318.
- Cranfield MR. 2007. Conservation medicine for gorilla conservation. In: Stoinski TS, Steklis HD, Mehlman PT, editors. Conservation in the 21st century: gorillas as a case study. New York: Springer. p 57–78.
- Czekala N, Sicotte P. 2000. Reproductive monitoring of freeranging female mountain gorillas by urinary hormone analysis. Am J Primatol 51:209–215.
- DeSilva JM, Lesnik JJ. 2008. Brain size at birth throughout human evolution: a new method for estimating neonatal brain size in hominins. J Hum Evol 55:1064–1074.
- Durrleman S, Pennec X, Trouve A, Ayache N, Braga J. 2012. Comparison of the endocranial ontogenies between chimpanzees and bonobos via temporal regression and spatiotemporal registration. J Hum Evol 62:74–88.
- Fletcher A. 2001. Development of infant independence from the mother in wild mountain gorillas. In: Robbins MM, Sicotte P, Stewart KJ, editors. Mountain Gorillas: three decades of research at Karisoke. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 154–182.
- Fossey D. 1979. Development of the mountain gorilla (Gorilla gorilla beringei): the first thirty-six months. In: Hamburg DA, McCown ER, editors. The great apes. Menlo Park: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company. p 138–184.
- Fossey D. 1983. Gorillas in the mist. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company. 326 p.
- Fossey D, Harcourt AH. 1977. Feeding ecology of free-ranging mountain gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*). In: Clutton-Brock TH, editor. Primate ecology: studies of feeding and ranging behaviour in lemurs, monkeys and apes. London: Academic Press. p 415–447.
- Groves C. 2001. Primate taxonomy. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution. 350 p.
- Grueter CC, Fawcett KA, Robbins MA. 2010. Changing ecological conditions for the Virunga mountain gorillas. Gorilla J 41:9–11.
- Harcourt AH, Fossey D, Stewart KJ, Watts DP. 1980. Reproduction by wild gorillas and come comparisons with the chimpanzee. J Reprod Fertil Suppl 28:59–70.
- Harcourt AH, Stewart KJ. 2007. Gorilla society: what we know and don't know. Evol Anthropol 16:147–158.

- Harvey PH, Martin RD, Clutton-Brock TH. 1987. Life histories in comparative perspective. In: Smuts BB, Cheney DL, Seyfarth RM, Wrangham RW, Strusaker TT, editors. Primate societies. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. p 181–196.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Kaas JH. 2011. Gorilla and orangutan brains conform to the primate cellular scaling rules: implications for human evolution. Brain Behav Evol 77:33–44.
- Herndon JG, Tigges J, Anderson DC, Klumpp SA, McClure HM. 1999. Brain weight throughout the life span of the chimpanzee. J Comp Neurol 409:567–572.
- Hinde K, Milligan LA. 2011. Primate milk: proximate mechanisms and ultimate perspectives. Evol Anthropol 20:9–23.
- Holloway RL. 1996. Evolution of the human brain. In: Lock A, Peters CR, editors. Handbook of human symbolic evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p 74–114.
- Hopkins WD, Lyn H, Cantalupo C. 2009. Volumetric and lateralized differences in selected brain regions of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). Am J Primatol 71:988–997.
- Hosokawa H, Kamiya T. 1963a. The brain of the mountain gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla beingei*) II. Fissural pattern. Primates 4:23–51.
- Hosokawa H, Kamiya T. 1963b. The brain of the mountain gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*) I. Encephalometry. Primates 4:67–95.
- Hosokawa H, Kamiya T, Hirosawa K. 1965. The brain of the mountain gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*) III. Internal structures of the brain stem. Primates 6:419–449.
- Huttenlocher PR, Dabholkar AS. 1997. Regional differences in synaptogenesis in human cerebral cortex. J Comp Neurol 387:167–178.
- Isler K, Christopher Kirk E, Miller JM, Albrecht GA, Gelvin BR, Martin RD. 2008. Endocranial volumes of primate species: scaling analyses using a comprehensive and reliable data set. J Hum Evol 55:967–978.
- Janson CH, van Schaik CP. 1993. Ecological risk aversion in juvenile primates: slow and steady wins the race. In: Pereira ME, Fairbanks LA, editors. Juvenile primates: life history, development, and behavior. New York: Oxford University Press. p 57–74.
- Kalpers J, Williamson EA, Robbins MM, McNeilage A, Nzamurambaho A, Lola N, Mugiri G. 2003. Gorillas in the crossfire: population dynamics of the Virunga mountain gorillas over the past three decades. Oryx 37:326–333.
- Kaplan H, Hill K, Lancaster J, Hurtado AM. 2000. A theory of human life history evolution: diet, intelligence, and longevity. Evol Anthropol 9:156–185.
- Leigh SR. 1994. Ontogenetic correlates of diet in anthropoid primates. Am J Phys Anthropol 94:499–522.
- Leigh SR. 1995. Ontogeny and the evolution of body size dimorphism in primates. Anthropologie 33:17-28.
- Leigh SR. 2004. Brain growth, life history, and cognition in primate and human evolution. Am J Primatol 62:139– 164.
- Leigh SR, Bernstein RM. 2006. Ontogeny, life history, and maternal reproductive strategies in baboons. In: Leigh SR, Swedell L, editors. Reproduction and fitness in baboons. New York: Springer. p 225–256.
- Leigh SR, Shea BT. 1995. Ontogeny and the evolution of adult body size dimorphism in apes. Am J Primatol 36:37– 60.
- Leigh SR, Shea BT. 1996. Ontogeny of body size variation in African apes. Am J Phys Anthropol 99:43–65.
- Lonsdorf EV. 2006. What is the role of mothers in the acquisition of termite-fishing behaviors in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*)? Anim Cogn 9:36–46.
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. 2009. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Rev Neurosci 10:434–445.
- Lycett SJ, Collard M, McGrew WC. 2010. Are behavioral differences among wild chimpanzee communities genetic or

cultural? An assessment using tool-use data and phylogenetic methods. Am J Phys Anthropol 142:461–467.

- Martin RD. 1983. Human brain evolution in an ecological context. New York: American Museum of Natural History. 58 p.
- McFarlin SC, Bromage TG, Lilly AA, Cranfield MR, Nawrocki SP, Eriksen AB, Hunt D, Ndacyayisenga A, Kanimba Misago C, Mudakikwa A. 2009. Recovery and preservation of a mountain gorilla skeletal resource in Rwanda. Am J Phys Anthropol Suppl 48:187–188.
- McNeilage A. 2001. Diet and habitat use of two mountain gorilla groups in contrasting habitats in the Virungas. In: Robbins MM, Sicotte P, Stewart KJ, editors. Mountain gorillas: three decades of research at karisoke. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 265–292.
- Milligan LA, Bazinet RP. 2008. Evolutionary modifications of human milk composition: evidence from long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid composition of anthropoid milks. J Hum Evol 55:1086–1095.
- Milligan LA, Rapoport SI, Cranfield MR, Dittus W, Glander KE, Oftedal OT, Power ML, Whittier CA, Bazinet RP. 2008. Fatty acid composition of wild anthropoid primate milks. Comp Biochem Physiol B: Biochem Mol Biol 149:74–82.
- Morris WF, Altmann J, Brockman DK, Cords M, Fedigan LM, Pusey AE, Stoinski TS, Bronikowski AM, Alberts SC, Strier KB. 2011. Low demographic variability in wild primate populations: fitness impacts of variation, covariation, and serial correlation in vital rates. Am Nat 177:E14–E28.
- Neubauer S, Gunz P, Schwarz U, Hublin JJ, Boesch C. 2012. Brief communication: endocranial volumes in an ontogenetic sample of chimpanzees from the Tai Forest National Park, Ivory Coast. Am J Phys Anthropol 147:319– 325.
- Pereira ME, Leigh SR. 2003. Modes of primate development. In: Kappeler PM, Pereira ME, editors. Primate life histories and socioecology. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. p 149–176.
- Phillips KA, Sherwood CC. 2008. Cortical development in brown capuchin monkeys: a structural MRI study. Neuroimage 43:657–664.
- Pusey AE. 1983. Mother-offspring relationships in chimpanzees after weaning. Anim Behav 31:363–377.
- Pusey AE, Oehlert GW, Williams JM, Goodall J. 2005. Influence of ecological and social factors on body mass of wild chimpanzees. Int J Primatol 26:3–31.
- Rilling JK, Insel TR. 1999. The primate neocortex in comparative perspective using magnetic resonance imaging. J Hum Evol 37:191–223.
- Rilling JK, Scholz J, Preuss TM, Glasser MF, Errangi BK, Behrens TE. 2012. Differences between chimpanzees and bonobos in neural systems supporting social cognition. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 7:369–379.
- Robbins AM, Robbins MM, Gerald-Steklis N, Steklis HD. 2006. Age-related patterns of reproductive success among female mountain gorillas. Am J Phys Anthropol 131:511-521.
- Robbins MM. 2007. Gorillas: diversity in ecology and behavior. In: Campbell CJ, Fuentes A, MacKinnon KC, Panger M, Bearder S, editors. Primates in perspective. New York: Oxford University Press. p 305–321.
- Robbins MM, Bermejo M, Cipolletta C, Magliocca F, Parnell RJ, Stokes E. 2004. Social structure and life-history patterns in western gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*). Am J Primatol 64:145–159.
- Robbins MM, Gray M, Fawcett KA, Nutter FB, Uwingeli P, Mburanumwe I, Kagoda E, Basabose A, Stoinski TS, Cranfield MR, Byamukama J, Spelman LH, Robbins AM. 2011. Extreme conservation leads to recovery of the Virunga mountain gorillas. PLoS One 6: e19788.

- Robbins MM, Gray M, Kagoda E, Robbins AM. 2009. Population dynamics of the Bwindi mountain gorillas. Biol Conserv 142:2886–2895.
- Robson SL, Wood B. 2008. Hominin life history: reconstruction and evolution. J Anat 212:394–425.
- Rothman JM, Dierenfeld ES, Hintz HF, Pell AN. 2008. Nutritional quality of gorilla diets: consequences of age, sex, and season. Oecologia 155:111–122.
- Rothman JM, Plumptre AJ, Dierenfeld ES, Pell AN. 2007. Nutritional composition of the diet of the gorilla (*Gorilla beringei*): a comparison between two montane habitats. J Trop Ecol 23:673-682.
- Sacher GA, Staffeldt EF. 1974. Relation of gestation time to brain weight for placental mammals: implication for the theory of vertebrate growth. Am Nat 108:593–615.
- Sapolsky RM. 1996. Why stress is bad for your brain. Science 273:749–750.
- Schultz AH. 1941. The relative size of the cranial capacity in primates. Am J Phys Anthropol 28:273–287.
- Schultz AH. 1965. The cranial capacity and the orbital volume of hominoids according to age and sex. In: Caso A, Dávalos EH, Genovés S., Léon-Portilla M, Sodi D, editors. Homenaje a Juan Comas. Mexico City, Mexico: Editorial libros de Mexico. p 337–357.
- Shea BT. 1981. Relative growth of the limbs and trunk in the African apes. Am J Phys Anthropol 56:179–201.
- Shea BT. 1982. Growth and size allometry in the African pongidae: cranial and postcranial analyses. Durham, NC: Duke University. 487 p.
- Sherwood CC, Cranfield MR, Mehlman PT, Lilly AA, Garbe JA, Whittier CA, Nutter FB, Rein TR, Bruner HJ, Holloway RL, Tang CY, Naidich TP, Delman BN, Steklis HD, Erwin JM, Hof PR. 2004. Brain structure variation in great apes, with attention to the mountain gorilla (*Gorilla beringei beringei*). Am J Primatol 63:149–164.
- Sherwood CC, Hof PR. 2007. The evolution of neuron types and cortical histology in apes and humans. In: Preuss TM, Kaas JH, editors. The evolution of primate nervous systems evolution of nervous systems. Vol 4. Oxford: Academic Press. p 355–378.
- Sherwood CC, Subiaul F, Zawidzki TW. 2008. A natural history of the human mind: tracing evolutionary changes in brain and cognition. J Anat 212:426–454.
- Simpson SW, Quade J, Levin NE, Butler R, Dupont-Nivet G, Everett M, Semaw S. 2008. A female *Homo erectus* pelvis from Gona, Ethiopia. Science 322:1089–1092.
- Sterck EHM, Watts DP, van Schaik CP. 1997. The evolution of female social relationships in nonhuman primates. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 41:291–309.
- Stewart KJ, Sicotte P, Robbins MM. 2001. Mountain gorillas of the Virungas: a short history. In: Robbins MM, Sicotte P, Stewart KJ, editors. Mountain gorillas: three decades of research at karisoke. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 2–26.
- Stimpson CD, Tetreault NA, Allman JM, Jacobs B, Butti C, Hof PR, Sherwood CC. 2011. Biochemical specificity of von economo neurons in hominoids. Am J Hum Biol 23:22– 28.
- Stoinski TS, Wrate JL, Ure N, Whiten A. 2001. Imitative learning by captive western lowland gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) in a simulated food-processing task. J Comp Psychol 115:272–281.
- Taylor AB, van Schaik CP. 2007. Variation in brain size and ecology in Pongo. J Hum Evol 52:59–71.
- Tennie C, Hedwig D, Call J, Tomasello M. 2008. An experimental study of nettle feeding in captive gorillas. Am J Primatol 70:584–593.
- Tobias PV. 1971. The brain in hominid evolution. New York: Columbia University Press. 170 p.
- van Schaik CP, Ancrenaz M, Borgen G, Galdikas B, Knott CD, Singleton I, Suzuki A, Utami SS, Merrill M. 2003.

Orangutan cultures and the evolution of material culture. Science 299:102–105.

- Wallis J. 1997. A survey of reproductive parameters in the free-ranging chimpanzees of Gombe National Park. J Reprod Fert 109:297–307.
- Watts DP. 1984. Composition and variability of mountain gorilla diets in the central Virungas. Am J Primatol 7:323–356.
- Watts DP. 1996. Comparative socio-ecology of gorillas. In: McGrew WC, Marchant LF, Nishida T, editors. Great ape societies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 16– 28.
- Watts DP. 1998. Seasonality in the ecology and life histories of mountain gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*). Int J Primatol 19:929–948.
- Watts DP, Pusey AE. 1993. Behavior of juvenile and adolescent great apes. In: Pereira ME, Fairbanks LA, editors. Juvenile primates: life history, development and behavior. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p 148–167.

- Whiten A, Goodall J, McGrew WC, Nishida T, Reynolds V, Sugiyama Y, Tutin CE, Wrangham RW, Boesch C. 1999. Cultures in chimpanzees. Nature 399:682–685.
- Whittier CA, Milligan LA, Nutter FB, Cranfield MR, Power ML. 2011. Proximate composition of milk from free-ranging mountain gorillas (*Gorilla beringei beringei*). Zoo Biol 30:308–317.
- Williamson EA, Gerald-Steklis N. 2001. Composition of *Gorilla gorilla beringei* groups monitored by Karisoke Research Centre, 2001. African Primates 5:48– 51.
- Yamagiwa J, Kahekwa A. 2001. Dispersal patterns, group structure, and reproductive parameters of eastern lowland gorillas at Kahuzi in the absence of infanticide. In: Robbins MM, Sicotte P, Stewart KJ, editors. Mountain gorillas: three decades of research at karisoke. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 89–122.