



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c8dt01761a

Bridging cyanides from cyanoiron metalloligands to redox-active dinitrosyl iron units†‡

Pokhraj Ghosh,§ Manuel Quiroz,  § Randara Pulukkody, Nattamai Bhuvanesh and Marcetta Y. Darensbourg  *

Cyanide, as an ambidentate ligand, plays a pivotal role in providing a simple diatomic building-block motif for controlled metal aggregation (M–CN–M). Specifically, the inherent hard–soft nature of the cyanide ligand, *i.e.*, hard-nitrogen and soft-carbon centers, is due to electronic handles for binding Lewis acids following the hard–soft acid–base principle. Studies by Holm and Karlin showed structural and electronic requirements for cyanide-bridged (por)Fe^{III}–CN–Cu^{II/I} (por = porphyrin) molecular assemblies as biomimetics for cyanide-inhibited terminal quinol oxidases and cytochrome-C oxidase. The dinitrosyliron unit (DNIU) that exists in two redox states, {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ and {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰, draws attention as an electronic analogy of Cu^I and Cu^I, d⁹ and d¹⁰, respectively. In similar controlled aggregations, L-type [(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(dppe)(CN)] (dppe = diphenyl phosphinoethane; R = H and Me) have been used as N-donor, μ-cyanoiron metalloligands to stabilize the DNIU in two redox states. Two bimetallic [(η⁵-C₅R₅)(dppe)Fe^{II}–CN–{Fe(NO)₂}⁹(sImes)][BF₄] complexes, **Fe-1** (R = H) and **Fe*-1** (R = CH₃), showed dissimilar Fe^{II}≡N–{Fe(NO)₂}⁹ angular bends due to the electronic donor properties of the [(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(dppe)(CN)] μ-cyanoiron metalloligand. A trimetallic [(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(dppe)Fe^{II}–CN]₂–{Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ complex, **Fe*-2**, engaged two bridging μ-cyanoiron metalloligands to stabilize the {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ unit. The lability of the Fe^{II}–CN–{Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} bond was probed by suitable X-type (Na⁺SPh[–]) and L-type (PMe₃) ligands. Treatment of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** with PMe₃ accounted for a reduction-induced substitution at the DNIU, releasing [(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(dppe)(CN)] and N-heterocyclic carbene, and generating (PMe₃)₂Fe(NO)₂ as the reduced {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ product.

Received 2nd May 2018,
 Accepted 5th June 2018
 DOI: 10.1039/c8dt01761a

rsc.li/dalton

Introduction

In a comprehensive, 2007 review (now approaching 1000 citations), Kim Dunbar highlighted complex magnetic phenomena *i.e.*, photomagnetism and spin crossover that are displayed in single-molecule magnets based on cyanide as an ambidentate ligand for multimetallic assemblies.¹ Dunbar also noted instances of linkage isomerism by the electronically versatile cyanide ligand, largely applied to extended arrays of metal cyanides.^{2,3} Applications of cyanide as a ligand in bioinorganic chemistry also abound, typically with single binding sites that use cyanide as a detector of metal-containing enzyme active sites *via* inhibition that correlates with spectral evidence. For

example, in the course of developing small molecule models of the O₂-inhibited CuFe site of cytochrome-C oxidase, Holm^{4–8} as well as Karlin⁹ found CN to bridge an iron-porphyrin to copper, the latter installed with tetra- or tri-nitrogen donor ligation. Depending on the oxidation state of Cu, different cyanide orientations (por)Fe^{III}–CN–Cu^{II} and (por)Fe^{III}–NC–Cu^I arose from the same (por)Fe^{III}(CN) donor precursors; the preference of C by the softer Cu^I receiver overcame the barrier for cyanide flipping or linkage isomerization (Scheme 1). In contrast, in a broad series of single cyanide-bridged organobimetallic complexes explored by Vahrenkamp *et al.*, no such isomerism was observed; the orientation of the cyanide bridge was determined solely by the precursors, even when the linkage isomer was accessible by the opposite choice of precursors, and found to be stable.^{10,11}


Cyanide linkage isomerism, or flipping, was recently invoked to account for spectral properties of hybrid proteins, *i.e.*, synthetic analogues of the diiron subsite of the H cluster in [FeFe]-hydrogenase that are accepted by an apo-maturase precursor, Hyd-F, on which the native diiron subsite is proposed to be synthesized.¹² Our attempts to mimic such a possibility included a set of cyanide-bridged [FeFe]–CN–Fe complexes as models of the [FeFe]–NC–[4Fe–4S] arrangement in

Department of Chemistry, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA. E-mail: marcetta@chem.tamu.edu

†With congratulations to Distinguished Professor Kim Dunbar at this milestone and with appreciation for her collegiality and wonderful contributions as a committee member to scores of graduate students, including those contributors to this manuscript.

‡Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1840809, 1840810, 1840824, 1840853 and 1840854. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8dt01761a

§ Equally contributing authors.



Scheme 1 Adduct formation between Fe(III)porphyrin and Cu(I) and Cu(II).^{4–9}

[FeFe]-HydF.¹³ Consistent with the Vahrenkamp report, *vide supra*, the precursors, an Fe–CN donor and an Fe-acceptor with a readily accessible open site, determined the orientation of the cyanide in the Fe–CN–Fe' bridge.^{10,13} Calculations using DFT found that a high kinetic barrier for CN isomerization in [FeFe]–CN–Fe \rightleftharpoons [FeFe]–NC–Fe units, rather than thermodynamic ground state differences, accounted for the stability of the once-formed cyanide bridge.¹³

Drawing on the Holm design which gave positive evidence for cyanide flipping,⁵ we have recently prepared a model diiron carbonyl complex cyanide bridged to copper(i) and copper(ii) in nitrogen ligation.¹⁴ Here cyanide flipping was observed as the [FeFe]–CN–Cu adducts were formed, and, as seen in the Holm and Karlin studies, the oxidation state of copper was the determinant of the final arrangement, *i.e.*, [FeFe]–NC–Cu^I or [FeFe]–CN–Cu^{II}.¹⁴ Impressed with the similarity of chemical features and coordination preferences of Cu^I and Cu^{II}, d¹⁰ and d⁹, respectively, and the dinitrosyliron units (DNIU) in reduced and oxidized forms, {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ and {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ (Enemark–Feltham notation),¹⁵ we have designed [Fe^{II}–(CN)]_n–{Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} bi-/tri-metallics, as a synthetic endeavour for understanding the ambidentate binding modes of the cyanide to redox non-innocent units. For example, Cu^I and {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ are known to be stabilized by soft, L-type donors, while the oxidized Cu^{II} or {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ 'prefer' X-type ligands. There are typically easy


routes to redox interchanges. This has been observed for the stabilization of {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} by redox-active metallodithiolates (MN₂S₂) as L-type ligands.^{16,17} Herein, neutral, L-type [(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(dppe)(CN)] (dppe = diphenyl phosphinoethane; R = H¹⁸ and Me) μ-cyanoiron ligands were employed as N-donors to stabilize DNIUs in two redox states {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10}, in order to discern the ambidentate binding modes of the bridging cyanide.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Scheme 2 displays the synthetic approach for Fe^{II}–CN–{Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} units composed of (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(dppe)(CN) (where R = H¹⁸ and CH₃; Fe^I–CN and Fe^{*}–CN, respectively) and the Fe(NO)₂ unit from well-established synthons {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ and {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰.^{19,20} On addition of CH₂Cl₂ solvent at 22 °C to a mixture of the precursors as solids, the cyanide-bridged bimetallic products, [(dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe–CN–Fe(NO)₂(IMes)]⁺ [BF₄][–] (where R = H and CH₃; Fe-1 and Fe^{*}-1, respectively), formed immediately in near quantitative yields. The efficiency of this reaction emphasises the prominent reactivity/electrophilicity of the (IMes)Fe(NO)₃⁺ synthon which has been effective for forming a number of heterobimetallic complexes.^{20,21} The carbene-bound dinitrosyliron unit (DNIU) acts as a receiver for the N-centered cyanide-based organoiron ligand which was isolated in the {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ redox state.

The cyanide bridged bimetallic complexes were isolated as dark purple crystalline solids. The molecular structures, as obtained from X-ray diffraction, are depicted in Fig. 3 and



Scheme 2 Synthesis of Fe-1, Fe^{*}-1 as BF₄[–] salts, and Fe^{*}-2. The IR stretching frequencies of the diatomic ligands of the complexes in CH₂Cl₂ are shown below.




Fig. 1 Overlay of IR spectra in the diatomic ligand region recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solution of (A) (dppe)(η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CN) (red) and the Fe-1 (blue) product; (B) of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) (red) and the Fe*-1 (blue) product. Frozen CH₂Cl₂ solution EPR spectra of Fe-1 (C, 5 K) and Fe*-1 (D, 7 K). The g values are from simulations shown in red trace. The coupling constant A (*I* = 1, for ¹⁴N) was found to be 13 and 14 MHz, respectively.

are described in detail below. The ν(CN) stretching frequencies of the bimetallic products, Fe-1 and Fe*-1, at 2050 and 2012 cm⁻¹, respectively, showed a bathochromic shift to lower wavenumbers, by 14 and 38 cm⁻¹, compared to the starting materials, [(dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN)], R = H and CH₃, respectively, Fig. 1. The greater shift in the ν(CN) stretching frequencies for Fe*-1 compared to Fe-1 is ascribed to the increased electron-donor ability of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) over (dppe)(η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CN). The decrease in ν(CN) stretching frequencies upon adduct formation reflects the addition of electron density into the empty *p*_π orbital on CN, indicating that the μ-cyano ligand functions as a π-acid.⁵ Besides the ν(CN) IR spectral monitoring, ν(NO) also indicated the completeness of the reaction. Furthermore, the shifts of ν(NO) are readily interpreted according to the drain of electron density from the [(dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN)] metallo-cyanide as a ligand, with concurrent enhancement of electron density at the Fe(NO)₂ acceptor. The effect of η⁵-C₅Me₅ over η⁵-C₅H₅ was observed in the lowering of ν(NO) stretching frequencies by 5 cm⁻¹, in the case of the former, in the bimetallic products (Fig. 1).

The positive-ion ESI mass spectrum of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 displayed characteristic signals at the molecular ion masses, *m/z* 967.28 and *m/z* 1037.33, respectively, with isotopic distribution patterns that closely matched the calculated bundle, for each diiron complex, Fig. S18 and S19.† The X-band EPR spectra collected in perpendicular mode field polarization of frozen CH₂Cl₂ solutions of the paramagnetic Fe-1 and Fe*-1, at 4 or 7 K, showed the presence of *S* = 1/2 species with coupling from the ¹⁴N nuclei (*I*_N = 1) of CN, Fig. 1. The *g*_{xyz} values and the coupling constants are shown in Fig. 1. No coupling to NO was detected in the spectra from these complexes.

Freshly prepared Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂ at 0 °C in THF was used as a precursor to generate the reduced, metalocyanide-bound

{Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ adduct with (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN) as a donor, Fig. 2. The product is thermally sensitive, requiring all manipulations to be carried out at -35 °C. The presence of two cyano-iron donors, displaying C_{2v} local symmetry and symmetrical and asymmetrical ν(NO) and ν(CN) stretching vibrations within a triiron species, was confirmed by XRD and mass spectrometry. The ν(NO) values, at 1737 and 1693 cm⁻¹, are ca. 45 cm⁻¹ lower than the corresponding metalocyanide-bound {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ adduct, Fe*-1. The ν(CN) IR values of 2083 and 1988 cm⁻¹ average to 2035 cm⁻¹, some 15 cm⁻¹ lower overall than that of the reactant, ν(CN) = 2050 cm⁻¹. The posi-




Fig. 2 Diatomic ligand region of the infrared spectra for CO exchange with the Fe*CN metalloligand yielding complex Fe*-2 in THF solution.

tive-ion ESI mass spectrum of the product displayed a prominent signal at m/z 1346.47, which corresponded to $[(dppe)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Fe-CN}]_2\text{-Fe(NO)}_2$, **Fe*-2**.

Molecular structures

The cyanide bridged adducts, **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1**, as BF_4^- salts, crystallized as purple and brown needles upon layering CH_2Cl_2 solutions with hexane at -30°C . **Fe*-2** co-crystallized with precursor **Fe*-CN** as thin brown plates *via* THF/pentane diffusion at -30°C , under N_2 . The molecular structures of **Fe*-CN**, **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1** and **Fe*-2** are shown in Fig. 3 with selected metric parameters tabulated in Table 1. Full structural reports are deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

A pseudo-tetrahedral geometry is observed at the $\text{Fe}_{(1)}$ center with $\text{C}_{\text{IMes}}\text{-Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N}_{\text{CN}}$ (for **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1**) or $\text{N}_{\text{CN}}\text{-Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N}_{\text{CN}}$ (for **Fe*-2**) angles ranging from 110° to 113° and the $\text{N}_{\text{NO}}\text{-Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N}_{\text{NO}}$ angle was *ca.* 114° . The dinitrosyl iron units display the typical bent Fe-N-O and the common 'attracto' orientation,²² with individual $\text{Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N-O}$ angles ranging from 156° to 171° . The Fe^{II} center(s) in $(dppe)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CN})$ units displayed a typical piano stool coordination geometry with the dppe and cyanide as the tripodal base; there are no significant differences between the structures of the precursor and the μ -cyanoiron adducts.

While the $\text{Fe}_{(2)}\text{-C-N}$ angles in **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** are almost linear, 177.5° and 178.5° , respectively, and similar to their pre-

Table 1 Selected metric parameters of **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1** and **Fe*-2**

	Fe-1	Fe*-1	Fe*-2
$\angle\text{Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N-C}/^\circ$	165.2 (4)	152.6 (7)	156.8 (1) 168.5 (1) ^b
$\angle\text{Fe}_{(2)}\text{-C-N}/^\circ$	177.5 (4) 179.6 (4) ^a	178.5 (7) 176.1 (3) ^a	173.3 (1) 173.4 (1) ^b
$\angle\text{Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N-O}/^\circ$	166.4 (4) 170.7 (4)	164.9 (6) 165.3 (7)	156.9 (1) 171.0 (1)
$\text{Fe}_{(1)}\cdots\text{Fe}_{(2)}/\text{\AA}$	4.941 (2)	4.820 (2)	4.889 (3) 4.880 (3) ^b
$\text{Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N}/\text{\AA}$	1.954 (4)	1.959 (8)	1.960 (1) 1.934 (9) ^b
$\text{C}\equiv\text{N}/\text{\AA}$	1.167 (5) 1.153 (7) ^a	1.191 (1) 1.148 (4) ^a	1.16 (2) 1.15 (2) ^b

^a Values in italics are for the starting materials $(dppe)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CN})$ (where $\text{R} = \text{H}$,¹⁸ or Me (**Fe*-CN**), respectively). ^b For complex **Fe*-2**, two sets of values correlate to the metric assignments with two μ -cyano bridged species.

cursors, the $\text{Fe}_{(1)}\text{-N-C}$ angles show significant deviation from linearity. The extent of the angular bend as observed for $\text{M-C}\equiv\text{N-M'}$ complexes, depends primarily on the electron density of the bridging N of the μ -cyano ligand and the electron polarizability of the second metal, M' . Extensive reports have noted the angular dependence of $(\text{por})\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{C}\equiv\text{N-Cu}^{\text{II}}$ with regard to the geometry of the Cu^{II} center (distorted square pyramidal or distorted trigonal bipyramidal), with values as small as 147° and as large as 173° .⁵ In the present context, the angular bend for $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{C}\equiv\text{N-}\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}$ ⁹ was found to be 165.2° for **Fe-1** and 152.6° for **Fe*-1**. As the receiver unit, $\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}$ ⁹, isoelectronic with Cu^{II} (d^9), maintains a pseudo tetrahedral geometry in both cases, the increase in electron density at the bridging N of the μ -cyanoiron ligand for **Fe*-1**, due to $\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5$, should account for the marked angular deviation.

Two distinct $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{C}\equiv\text{N-}\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^{10}\text{-N}\equiv\text{CFe}^{\text{II}}$ angles, 156.8° and 168.5° , were observed for **Fe*-2**; the 12° difference indicates non-equivalent orbital interactions with the two bridging cyanides, perhaps contributing to the distinct $\nu(\text{CN})$ stretching frequencies, *vide supra*. Such variation of bond angles was correlated with the increase in the $(\text{por})\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{CN-Cu}^{\text{II}}$ bond length,⁵ which remains almost invariant in the present case, *ca.* 1.95 \AA , Table 1. The greater $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{C}\equiv\text{N-}\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^{9/10}$ angular bends for **Fe*-1** and **Fe*-2** were reflected in the decrease of the $\text{Fe}_{(1)}\cdots\text{Fe}_{(2)/(3)}$ distance by 0.121 \AA and *ca.* 0.055 \AA , respectively, compared to **Fe-1**.

Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** as BF_4^- salts, and, for comparison, the starting materials, $(dppe)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CN})$, were recorded at 22°C under Ar in CH_2Cl_2 containing 0.1 M $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]$ as the supporting electrolyte and referenced to $\text{Fc}^{+/0}$, using a glassy carbon working electrode. Fig. 4 shows the scans at 200 mV s^{-1} , with assignments listed therein. The scan rate overlays to determine reversibility or quasi-reversibility are shown in Fig. S11–S15.†




Fig. 3 Ball and stick representations of the molecular structures of $(dppe)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CN})$ (**Fe*-CN**), **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1** and **Fe*-2**. The phenyl and mesityl groups are represented as wire-forms; counter anions of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** are BF_4^- .




Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammograms of (A) overlay of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN), where R = H (red), Me (blue); (B) Fe-1; (C) Fe*-1 and (D) Fe*-2.

While the starting materials, (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN), showed single reversible events at -0.05 and -0.32 V for the (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{III/II} redox couples of R = H and CH₃, respectively, the complexes **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1**, overall showed two redox events, a reversible reduction, assigned to the {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} couple and a quasi-reversible wave assigned to the (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{III/II} event. The event at 0.31 V, assigned to the (η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe^{III/II} couple for the **Fe-1** complex, was shifted less positive by *ca.* 250 mV for **Fe*-1**, implying the stabilization of the oxidized Fe due to the enriched electron donation by the η⁵-C₅Me₅ unit. A similar shift was observed for the (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{III/II} redox couple in the Mn₂S₂[Fe(η⁵-C₅R₅)(CO)]⁺ complexes, M = [(NO)Fe]^{II}, [(NO)Co]^{II}, Ni^{II}.^{23,24} The effect of the enriched electron donor properties of the η⁵-C₅Me₅ unit was conveyed *via* the bridging cyanide ligand, and felt, to a lesser extent, at the Fe(NO)₂ center. The reversible {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} couple at -1.15 V for **Fe-1** was shifted by 60 mV more negative for **Fe*-1** ($E_{1/2} = -1.21$ V), indicating greater stabilization of the {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ state of the latter. Minor redox features were observed at ~-0.05 and ~-0.32 V for **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** complexes, respectively, and assigned to the (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{II/III} redox couple of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CN) and (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN), respectively, as impurities. Repeated scans, however, did not show an increase in the current intensity of these redox features, indicating no further decomposition of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** complexes at the electrode surface, Fig. S16 and 17.†

The cyclic voltammogram of **Fe*-2** was recorded at ~-5 °C, under argon, using a glassy carbon working electrode, with a

0.1 M [^tBu₄N][PF₆] supporting electrolyte THF solution, Fig. 4 (D). A reversible redox event, assigned to the {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} couple, was observed at -1.16 V. The value indicates greater ease of reduction, compared to the {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} redox couple of **Fe*-1** (-1.21 V), by around 60 mV. Two irreversible cathodic events were observed at -0.13 and 0.31 V, assigned to the sequential oxidation of (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{III/II} units. The scan rate dependence studies of **Fe*-2** for the {Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} couple are shown in Fig. S15.†

Reactivity studies

In an attempt to test the lability of the Fe^{II}-CN-{Fe(NO)₂}^{9/10} bond, the complexes **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1** and **Fe*-2** were exposed to nucleophiles of various electronic and steric features, Scheme 3. Treatment of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** with Na⁺SPh⁻ showed an immediate displacement of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN), with the concomitant formation of sImesFe(NO)₂(SPh). The IR spectral monitoring indicated a shift in the ν(NO) vibrational bands from 1795, 1733 cm⁻¹ (**Fe-1**) or 1790, 1729 cm⁻¹ (**Fe*-1**) to 1763, 1715 cm⁻¹, consistent with the known values of sImesFe(NO)₂(SPh)²⁰ Fig. S3 and 4.†

With 7 equivalents of added PMe₃, ligand substitution on **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** occurred over the course of *ca.* 3 h, in both cases effecting a complete shift in ν(NO) values to 1705, 1660 cm⁻¹ (THF), along with ν(CN) stretches corresponding to the freed (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN). The ν(NO) bands in both cases indicated the formation of L₂Fe(NO)₂, (L = PMe₃). This

result was similar to the reaction of $\text{sIMesFe(NO)}_2(\text{SPh})$ with PMe_3 that also showed $\nu(\text{NO})$ vibrational bands at 1705, and 1659 cm^{-1} . The product was isolated and characterized using ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy and the X-ray diffraction studies confirmed that the compound was $(\text{Me}_3\text{P})_2\text{Fe(NO)}_2$. The $(\text{Me}_3\text{P})_2\text{Fe(NO)}_2$ complex derived in this fashion was diamagnetic, indicating a reduced $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}^{10}$ species, formed by the simultaneous oxidation of PhS^- to diphenyldisulfide. Thus, the aforementioned reactions of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1** with PMe_3 led to a double substitution of sIMes and $\text{dppe}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Fe(CN)}$ with the concomitant reduction of the DNIU from $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}^9$ to $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}^{10}$. Reactions of **Fe-1** and **Fe*-1**, with PPh_3 (>10 equiv.) over a period of 12 h, however, did not show a displacement of $(\text{dppe})(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{Fe(CN)}$. Neither was there a reaction with CO, bubbled at 1 atm (Scheme 3).

lized electronic analogs of the isoelectronic Cu^{II} and Cu^{I} , d^9 and d^{10} , respectively, was only partially answered; the binding occurs, however no linkage isomerism or flipping was seen.^{5,9} Our studies were inspired as mimics of cyanide's ambidentate ligating attributes with reference to biological cyanide-inhibited cytochrome-C oxidase as well as in Hyd-F of $[\text{FeFe}]\text{-H}_2\text{ases}$. We found that the L-type neutral μ -cyanoiron metalloligands indeed bound to the DNIU in both $\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^9$ and $\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^{10}$ redox states, however the $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{-CN}$ orientation of the starting cyanoiron(II) complex precursor was maintained in both. As our mechanistic understanding of cyanide flipping in bioorganometallic mimetics increases,^{13,14} we ascribe the difference in propensities of the redox levels of copper *vs.* DNIU towards C *vs.* N bound cyanide to the lack of an easy path towards isomerization in the delocalized DNIU.^{13,14} The lability of the $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{-CN}\text{-}\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^{9/10}$ bond was examined with different nucleophiles that always showed the loss of the μ -cyanoiron metalloligand with cleavage at the N-Fe. Reduction of $\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^9$ to $\{\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2\}^{10}$ was observed concomitant with exchange of L-type PMe_3 , but no redox changes were seen with X-type Na^+SPh^- . No exchange was seen under a blanket of CO_g and likewise the bulky PPh_3 failed. These interesting ligand substitution reactions, and phosphine-induced reduction, are the subject of an ongoing kinetic and mechanistic study.

Experimental section

Methods and materials

Solvents, dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2), methanol (MeOH) hexane, pentane and diethylether, were purified and degassed

on a MBraun Manual Solvent Purification System with Alcoa F200 activated alumina desiccant. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled after reflux in the presence of sodium and benzophenone. Standard Schlenk conditions under N₂ and Ar atmospheres were used to carry out all reactions. All reagents were used as received from standard vendors unless otherwise stated. The complexes, (dppe)(η^5 -C₅H₅)Fe(CN) (**Fe'-CN**),¹⁸ [(sImes)Fe(NO)₃][BF₄],^{20,25} Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂,¹⁹ (sImes)Fe(NO)₂(SPh)^{20,25} and (dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)FeCl²⁶ were prepared according to published procedures; sImes = N-heterocyclic carbene, NHC, was derived from 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazolium chloride. HPLC-grade acetonitrile, [n-Bu₄N][PF₆], Na⁺SPh⁻ and Fe⁺PF₆⁻ were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Physical measurements. Solution infrared spectra were recorded using a Bruker Tensor 37 Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer and a CaF₂ cell of 0.2 mm path length. All mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed by the laboratory for Biological Mass Spectrometry at Texas A&M University. The Inova 500 MHz superconducting NMR instrument was used to acquire ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ³¹P NMR spectra. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded on a CHI600E electrochemical analyzer (HCH instruments, Inc.) using a three-electrode cell: (a) working electrode a 0.071 cm² glassy carbon disc; counter electrode, a platinum wire; and reference electrode, a CH₃CN solution of Ag/AgNO₃ in a Vycor-tipped glass tube. Throughout the CV experiments, diamond paste (3 μ m) was used to polish the glassy carbon electrode as needed. Solutions used in CV scans were purged with Ar for 5 min and a blanket of Ar was kept over the solution during the experiments. Solutions (2.0 mM) of **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1**, and **Fe*-CN** (DCM) contained 0.1 M [n-Bu₄N][PF₆] as the electrolyte. A 2.0 mM THF solution of **Fe*-2** containing 0.1 M [n-Bu₄N][PF₆] as the electrolyte was kept at \sim -5 $^{\circ}$ C. The Fe/Fe⁺ couple at 0.00 V was used as an internal reference for all reported potentials.

X-ray diffraction analyses. The crystal data for **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1**, and **Fe*-2** were determined using a Bruker Venture X-ray (kappa geometry) diffractometer with a Cu-K α X-ray tube (K_{α} = 1.5418 Å) at 100 K. The **Fe*-CN** crystal structure was measured with a Bruker APEX 21 X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer with a Mo sealed X-ray tube (K_{α} = 0.70173 Å) at 110 K. Weighted least squares refinement on F^0 was used for refining the structures. At idealized positions, hydrogen atoms were placed and fixed isotropic displacement parameters were used to refine them. Anisotropic displacement parameters were employed for all non-hydrogen atoms. The following programs were used: data collection, APEX3;²⁷ data reduction, SAINT;²⁸ absorption correction, SADABS;²⁹ and cell refinement and structure solutions, SHELXS/XT.²⁹ The final data presentation and structure plots were generated in Olex2.³⁰ Crystallographic data for the complexes **Fe-1**, **Fe*-1**, **Fe*-2**, **Fe*-CN**, and (PMe₃)₂Fe(NO)₂ are deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre; their numbers are: CCDC 1840853 (**Fe-1**), CCDC 1840809 (**Fe*-1**), CCDC 1840824 (**Fe*-2**), CCDC 1840810 (**Fe*-CN**), and CCDC 1840854 ((PMe₃)₂Fe(NO)₂).§ Crystal data and structure refinement parameters are summarized in Tables T21–25.§

Syntheses

[(dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe(CN)], (Fe*-CN**).** A 0.50 g (0.81 mmol) portion of (dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)FeCl and 0.27 mg (4.1 mmol) of KCN were placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask, and the contents were dissolved in MeOH; the resulting orange solution was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The CH₂Cl₂ solution was filtered through Celite to remove any unreacted starting material. X-ray quality crystals for complex **Fe*-CN** were obtained by layering a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the product with pentane. IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): ν (CN) 2050; ESI-MS⁺: m/z 638.18 (for [M] + Na⁺).

[(dppe)(η^5 -C₅H₅)Fe-CN-Fe(NO)₂(IMes)][BF₄], (Fe-1**).** As described above, 0.11 g (0.20 mmol) of (dppe)(η^5 -C₅H₅)Fe(CN) and 0.12 g (0.22 mmol) of [(sImes)Fe(NO)₃][BF₄] were added in a 100 mL Schlenk flask, and the contents were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂; the resulting dark purple solution was stirred for 10 min. Dichloromethane was removed *in vacuo* and the resulting solids were washed once with ether/hexane (1 : 1, v/v) and twice with hexane. The purple solid was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through Celite to remove any possible solid insoluble impurities. X-ray quality crystals for complex **Fe-1** were obtained by layering a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the product with pentane at -30 $^{\circ}$ C. IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): ν (CN) 2050; ν (NO) 1795, 1733. ESI-MS⁺: m/z 967.28.

[(dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe-CN-Fe(NO)₂(IMes)][BF₄], (Fe*-1**).** The reaction conditions, reaction workup, and recrystallization methods used for **Fe*-1** were the same as for **Fe-1** except 0.12 g (0.20 mmol) of (dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) (**Fe*-CN**) and 0.12 g (0.22 mmol) of [(sImes)Fe(NO)₃][BF₄] were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ affording an emerald green solution. IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): ν (CN) 2012; ν (NO) 1790, 1729. ESI-MS⁺: m/z 1037.33.

[(dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe-CN]₂-Fe(NO)₂, (Fe*-2**).** Approximately 0.2 mmol of Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂ in THF was prepared in a 50 mL Schlenk flask according to the previously reported procedures and was condensed into a 50 mL Schlenk flask placed into a Dewar flask filled with liquid N₂ containing 0.61 g (0.11 mmol) of (dppe)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) through a vacuum adapter. After the condensation of Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂, the removal of the Schlenk flask from Dewar, and replacement of the vacuum adapter, the reaction flask was placed in a -10 $^{\circ}$ C acetone/ice bath to thaw the contents and then stirred for 30–45 min. The reaction was monitored by IR spectroscopy and upon no further changes, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, maintaining a temperature of -5 $^{\circ}$ C to also remove the volatile Fe(CO)₂(NO)₂. The dark brown solid was redissolved in THF and filtered quickly through Celite to remove any insoluble impurities while maintaining the temperature at -5 $^{\circ}$ C. IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): ν (CN) 2083, 1988; ν (NO) 1737, 1693; ESI-MS⁺: m/z 1346.47.

(Me₃P)₂Fe(NO)₂. In a 50 mL Schlenk flask 0.050 g (0.10 mmol) of (sImes)Fe(NO)₂(SPh) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and reacted with excess PMe₃ (0.20 mL, 2.0 mmol) by stirring at room temperature. Reaction completion and formation of (Me₃P)₂Fe(NO)₂ was monitored by IR spectroscopy. The

solvent and excess PMe_3 were removed *in vacuo*. The orange-red solid was dissolved in THF and then filtered through Celite. X-ray quality crystals for complex $(\text{Me}_3\text{P})_2\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2$ were obtained by layering a THF solution of the product with pentane. IR (THF, cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{NO})$ 1705, 1659; elemental anal. calculated for $\text{FeC}_6\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{P}_2$ (found): C, 29.70 (28.94); H, 7.48 (7.05); N, 9.90 (9.57). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (THF): δ 14.3 (s, 2P).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the National Science Foundation for financial support (CHE-1266097 and CHE-1665258), and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (A-0924). Appreciation is expressed to Dr Xianggao Meng for assisting in XRD analysis.

Notes and references

- K. R. Dunbar and R. A. Heintz, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **45**, 283.
- K. E. Funck, M. G. Hilfiger, C. P. Berlinguette, M. Shatruk, W. Wernsdorfer and K. R. Dunbar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3438.
- D.-Q. Wu, D. Shao, X.-Q. Wei, F.-X. Shen, L. Shi, D. Kempe, Y.-Z. Zhang, K. R. Dunbar and X.-Y. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11714.
- S. C. Lee, M. J. Scott, K. Kauffmann, E. Münck and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 401.
- M. J. Scott and R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 11357.
- M. T. Gardner, G. Deinum, Y. Kim, G. T. Babcock, M. J. Scott and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 6878.
- M. J. Scott, S. C. Lee and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 4651.
- S. B. Lim and R. H. Holm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 4898.
- D. M. Corsi, N. N. Murthy, V. G. Young Jr. and K. D. Karlin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 848.
- A. Geiss and H. Vahrenkamp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4029.
- A. Geiss, M. J. Kolm, C. Janiak and H. Vahrenkamp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4037.
- G. Berggren, A. Adamska, C. Lambert, T. R. Simmons, J. Esselborn, M. Atta, S. Gambarelli, J.-M. Mouesca, E. Reijerse, W. Lubitz, T. Happe, V. Artero and M. Fontecave, *Nature*, 2013, **499**, 66.
- A. M. Lunsford, C. C. Beto, S. Ding, Ö. F. Erdem, N. Wang, N. Bhuvanesh, M. B. Hall and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 3710.
- D. Sil, Z. Martinez, S. Ding, N. Bhuvanesh, D. J. Darensbourg, M. B. Hall and M. Y. Darensbourg, Model Studies of Cyanide Docking and Linkage Isomerism in Artificial Maturation of $[\text{FeFe}]$ -Hydrogenase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, in press.
- J. H. Enemark and R. D. Feltham, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1974, **13**, 339–406.
- C. -H. Hsieh, S. Ding, O. F. Erdem, D. J. Crouthers, T. Liu, C. C. L. McCrory, W. Lubitz, C. V. Popescu, J. H. Reibenspies, M. B. Hall and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3684.
- P. Ghosh, S. Ding, R. B. Chupik, M. Quiroz, C.-H. Hsieh, N. Bhuvanesh, M. B. Hall and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 8291.
- D. J. Darensbourg, M. J. Adams, J. C. Yarbrough and A. L. Phelps, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **3639**, 3648.
- D. W. McBride, S. L. Stafford and F. G. A. Stone, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **1**, 386.
- C. H. Hsieh and M. Y. Darensbourg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14118.
- C. H. Hsieh, R. B. Chupik, T. Pinder and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Polyhedron*, 2013, **58**, 151.
- G. B. Richter-Addo and P. Legzdins, *Metal Nitrosyls*, Oxford University Press, 1992, ch. 5, ISBN: 10: 0195067932.
- S. Ding, P. Ghosh, A. M. Lunsford, N. Wang, N. Bhuvanesh, M. B. Hall and M. Y. Darensbourg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12920.
- P. Ghosh, M. Quiroz, N. Wang, N. Bhuvanesh and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 5617.
- R. Pulukkody, S. J. Kyran, M. J. Drummond, C.-H. Hsieh, D. J. Darensbourg and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3795.
- D. Patel, A. Woolees, A. D. Cornish, L. Steven, E. S. Davies, D. J. Evans, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake and S. T. Liddle, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 14159.
- APEX2, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2007.
- SAINT, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2007.
- G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **A64**, 112.
- O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341.