1	Fluvial tufa evidence of Late Pleistocene wet intervals from Santa Barbara, California,
2	U.S.A
3	
4	
5	Yadira Ibarra <sup>1*</sup> ; Frank A. Corsetti <sup>1</sup> ; Sarah J. Feakins <sup>1</sup> ; Edward J. Rhodes <sup>2</sup> ; Matthew E. Kirby <sup>3</sup>
6	
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> University of Southern California, Department of Earth Sciences, 3651 Trousdale Avenue, Los
9	Angeles, CA 90089, USA
10	<sup>2</sup> University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Earth and Space Sciences, 595 Charles
11	Young Drive East, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
12	<sup>3</sup> California State University Fullerton, Department of Geological Sciences, Fullerton, CA 92834,
13	USA
14	*Corresponding author: <u>yibarra@usc.edu</u>
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

#### 24 Abstract

25 Past pluvials in the western United States provide valuable context for understanding 26 regional hydroclimate variability. Here we report evidence of conditions substantially wetter 27 than today from fluvial tufa deposits located near Zaca Lake, Santa Barbara County, California that have been dated by radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) and Infra-Red Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL). Two 28 29 successions of tufa deposition occur within a small catchment that drains Miocene Monterey 30 Formation bedrock: 1) a fluvial deposit (0–0.5 m thick, 200 m in extent) that formed along a 31 narrow valley below a modern spring, and 2) a perched deposit about 10 m higher (2 m thick, 15 32 m in extent). IRSL and radiocarbon dating of the perched carbonates suggests at least two 33 episodes of carbonate growth: one at  $19.4 \pm 2.4$  (1 $\sigma$ ) through  $17.8 \pm 2.8$  (1 $\sigma$ ) ka and another at  $11.9\pm1.5~(1\sigma)$  ka verified with a charcoal  $^{14}C$  age of  $10.95\pm0.12~(2\sigma)$  cal ka BP. The 34 35 relationship between the perched and fluvial spring deposits is inferred to represent a drop in the 36 water table of more than 10 m associated with a transition from a wet climate in the late glacial 37 to a dry Holocene today.

38 The wet period indicated by tufa growth between 19.4 and 17.8 ka is relatively consistent 39 with other California climate records both north and south of Zaca Lake. However, tufa growth 40 ca. 12 to 11 ka demonstrates wet conditions occurred as far south as Zaca Lake during the 41 Younger Dryas event, in contrast to climate records farther south in Lake Elsinore indicating 42 persistently dry conditions through this interval. A small shift north in the average position of 43 the winter season storm track could explain wet winters at Zaca while at the same time 44 generating dry winters at Lake Elsinore, 275 km southwest of Zaca. If true, these data indicate 45 that rather small latitudinal shifts in the average winter season storm track can produce large 46 changes in regional hydroclimate.

47 Keywords: tufa; pluvial; palaeoclimate; Infra-Red Stimulated Luminescence; radiocarbon

48 **1. Introduction** 

49 With concern over the projected aridification of the southwestern US (Seager et al., 2007, 50 Williams et al., 2012), there is heightened interest in characterizing past evidence for extended 51 pluvial periods and droughts in California's pre-instrumental record to better understand the 52 large scale controls on climate and how these may change in the future. The western United 53 States (western US) experienced a wetter climate during the Last Glacial Maximum (18-20 ka cf. 54 Denton et al., 2010) relative to present as evidenced by palaeolakes Bonneville and Lahontan 55 (Benson, 1990), as well as expanded palaeolakes Estancia and Mojave (Allen and Anderson, 56 2000; Anderson et al., 2002; Wells et al., 2003). Additional evidence for wetter conditions 57 comes from elevated and expanded palaeolake shorelines in Owens Valley (Mensing, 2001), 58 higher sand contribution to profundal sediments in Lake Elsinore (Kirby et al., 2013), isotopic 59 evidence from speleothems in New Mexico and Arizona (Asmerom et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 60 2010), and evidence for vegetation change based on (1) plant leaf waxes from Lake Elsinore 61 sediments (Kirby et al., 2013) and (2) pollen from marine sediments in the Santa Barbara Basin 62 (Heusser and Sirocko, 1997). Detailed analyses of those proxy records with high temporal 63 resolution and improved dating precision have revealed that temperature and hydroclimate were 64 spatially and temporally variable across the western US in the late glacial and across the 65 deglacial (Lyle et al., 2012; Kirby et al., 2013). In particular, pollen records from marine 66 sediments offshore southern and northern California and Oregon reveal variable timing of 67 hydroclimatic change along the coast (Lyle et al., 2010; 2012). Adding records that concentrate on the spatial and temporal picture are key to resolve the history and causes of hydroclimatic 68 variability for specific regions across the western US (e.g., coastal versus inland). Presently 69

there are few terrestrial records from coastal southern California that address hydrological
balance at the LGM and across the last deglacial (e.g., Heusser and Sirocko, 1997; Kirby et al.,

72 2013).

73 1.1 Tufa evidence for pluvials

74 Spring-associated carbonates generated from carbonate-rich, ambient temperature 75 groundwater, referred to hereafter as 'tufa' sensu Pedley (1990), serve as potential archives of 76 source waters and climate of their local region (Andrews, 2006). Notably, the presence of large 77 tufa accumulations in arid and semi-arid regions is indicative of periods of accelerated 78 groundwater recharge, as carbonate will only form when there is a net recharge to the 79 groundwater aquifer (Pedley, 1990). Tufa deposits are therefore robust indicators of past 80 pluvials (Szabo, 1990; Crombie et al., 1997; Auler and Smart, 2001; Viles et al., 2007), and may 81 serve as proxy records of hydrological balance to complement other local proxy records of 82 palaeoclimate (e.g., Garnett et al., 2004; Dominguez-Villar et al., 2007; Cremaschi et al., 2010). 83 The western US hosts several tufa deposits, including the well-known towers and 84 pinnacles from lakes in Nevada and California (Scholl, 1960; Newton and Grossman, 1988; 85 Benson, 1994; Li et al., 2008). Climate reconstructions from these lacustrine tufa deposits and 86 related lake sediments have added support to the idea the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) in the 87 Great Basin was wetter than today (e.g., Benson, 1978). However, records of *fluvial* tufa 88 deposits are less well-known (e.g., Barnes, 1965) as they are perhaps less conspicuous compared 89 to their *lacustrine* counterparts in the present semi-arid climate of southern California. 90 Here we present compelling terrestrial evidence of persistent wet conditions based on 91 fossil and recent fluvial tufa deposits from a coastal site near Zaca Lake, in Santa Barbara

92 County, California (Fig. 1). Radiocarbon and IRSL dating allow us to constrain the age of these

deposits. We combine geomorphic, textural, petrographic, and geochemical observations to
evaluate the nature of the depositional environment during this wet interval. We compare these
new findings to other terrestrial and marine records towards better understanding of the regional
patterns and the magnitude, timing, and causes of pluvial conditions in coastal southern
California.

98 **2.** Geologic and environmental setting

99 Two successions of spring-associated carbonate deposits have been described from the 100 Zaca Lake catchment ~3 km east of Zaca Lake in Santa Barbara county, California (Fig. 2; Ibarra 101 et al., 2014). One succession occurs along a narrow valley (referred to hereafter as 'fluvial' 102 carbonates) and extends discontinuously for about 200 m with an overall drop in elevation of 103 about 40 m. The other succession occurs perched upon the slope of the north ridge (referred to 104 here as 'perched' carbonates) about 10 m above the fluvial carbonates (Fig. 2). The carbonates 105 formed within a relatively small catchment, and drape over Miocene Monterey Formation 106 bedrock (Fig. 1A). About 100 years ago, the spring was boxed and piped for human 107 consumption (Norris and Norris, 1994) which continues to the present day, such that carbonate 108 deposition downstream is likely not active along the entirety of the fluvial path (Ibarra et al., 109 2014). The residence time of water in the catchment is relatively short as fluctuations in the 110 water table on the order of years to decades have been observed in historical documents (Norris 111 and Norris, 1994), and they are associated with known fluctuations in recorded precipitation for 112 the region (SBB Water Works, 2013). The sensitivity of the spring to decadal scale climatic 113 fluctuations suggest that the carbonate precipitation associated with the spring may record 114 decadal or longer variations in precipitation.

115 Santa Barbara County is characterized by a Mediterranean climate (warm, dry summers 116 and cool, wet winters). The region receives about 700 mm of rain each year, with >80% of the 117 precipitation delivered during the winter between October and March (Cayan and Roads, 1984). 118 Moisture is advected by westerly winds from the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). Knowledge of inter-119 annual precipitation variability is limited by the short instrumental record: rain gauge 120 measurements in Santa Barbara extend back to the 1860s (SBB Water Works, 2013). Proxy 121 evidence can extend our perspective of hydroclimate in the region and is the only way to capture 122 evidence for multi-decadal to millennial scale droughts and pluvials in the west (Briggs et al., 123 2005; Cook et al., 2004; Mensing et al., 2013). The sediments of Zaca Lake have yielded 124 records of hydroclimate fluctuations over the last 3,000 years including pluvials lasting decades 125 to centuries based on leaf wax, pollen, and grain size evidence (Feakins et al., 2014; Dingemans 126 *in review*, Kirby et al, *in review*). Tufa deposits within the catchment provide evidence for 127 pluvial conditions back to ca. 20 kyrs as outlined below.

# 128 **3.** Spring carbonate facies

129 *3.1.1 Fluvial carbonates* 

130 Carbonates from the fluvial deposits extend for about 200 m from the location of the 131 spring box to the bottom of the fluvial cascade. However, their distribution is patchy and they 132 exhibit a maximum thickness of about 0.5 to 1 m. The change in elevation from the spring box 133 to the top of the fluvial cascade is about 40 m. The most prominent facies are barrage, narrow 134 channels, and a terminal fluvial cascade unit (Fig. 2). The terminal cascade facies is about 0.5 m 135 thick and exhibits a drop in elevation from the top to the bottom of the cascade of about 10 m 136 (Fig. 3A). Two distinct carbonate textures drape the surface of the cascade unit (Fig. 3B): (1) a 137 basal white unit heavily encrusted in detrital molds and organic plant debris (Figs. 3B-D) and (2)

a darker, brown surficial carbonate fabric that drapes the underlying white layer and forms small (~20 cm) dams across the cascade deposit (Fig. 3B). Carbonates along the fluvial unit are distinctly banded (Fig. 3E). The bands are microscopically composed of alternating isopachous sparry and micritic laminae (Fig. 3F). Some bands contain ubiquitous calcite microphyte molds, including the calcite microstructure of the desmid microalgae *Oocardium stratum* consistent with depositional water temperatures of ~11 to 16 °C and corroborated by  $\delta^{18}$ O palaeothermometry (Ibarra et al., 2014).

145 *3.1.2 Perched carbonates* 

146 About ten meters above the present-day spring orifice, perched carbonate cascade 147 deposits occur at the break of the valley-side slope on the north ridge (Fig. 2), representing a 148 perched spring line tufa facies (Pedley, 1990). Perched spring line tufa is largely controlled by 149 the slope and rate of water flow over the deposit. Perched springs give rise to prograding 150 carbonate cascade facies, most of which built outwards resulting in vertical and lateral growth to 151 form a prominent apron (see Pedley, 1990). Carbonate will prograde in the direction of water 152 flow and continue to accumulate until the piece fractures (e.g., Pentecost and Zhang, 2008). 153 Similarly, at our site, a large ~1 m-thick and ~4 m long detached block is oriented so that the 154 cascading face rests against the wall and the opposite end plunges towards the valley channel 155 forming a blind cave with the cascade wall (Fig. 4A). Adjacent to the large dipping piece, the 156 cascade wall exhibits distinct carbonate curtains (sensu Pedley 1990; Figs. 4B-C). Downslope 157 from the perched cascade, large talus blocks (up to  $\sim 2$  m in diameter) lie unconformably along 158 the side slope and the bottom of the valley channel (Fig. 4D). Carbonate samples from the 159 perched cascade are highly indurated. The carbonate texture is typified by mesoscopic (~0.5 to 1 160 cm diameter) vuggy pore space (Fig. 4F). Microscopically, the fabric is dominantly composed

of microspar, micrite, and dog-toothed spar with an irregular, heterogeneous distribution (Fig.4G).

163 **4. Methods** 

# 164 4.1. Sample collection

Samples from the fluvial carbonates were collected from the top ~20 cm of areas along the flow path that contain prominent carbonate accumulation (areas labeled in Fig. 2). Carbonate from the perched cascade deposit was not easily removed due to the massive and indurated nature of the deposit. We utilized a handheld drill with a 3 cm diameter drill bit to collect two cores. One core (70 cm long) was collected from the perched cascade face drilled horizontally into the cascade wall. A second 'dark' core (63 cm long) was collected behind the large detached carbonate block and immediately transferred into light-proof bags for subsequent IRSL

172 (Fig. 5A).

173 *4.2 Age control* 

174 4.2.1 Radiocarbon

Ten Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) <sup>14</sup>C dates were obtained from carbonate and 175 176 organic fragments collected from both of the carbonate deposits. Three pieces of plant debris were hand picked from within carbonate pieces from the fluvial cascade (~20 cm depth) for 177 subsequent  $\Delta^{14}$ C analyses. We also dated two organic pieces (detrital twig and root) collected 178 179 near the barrage facies (Fig. 2) that each contained concentrically encrusted carbonate (see Fig. 5B); the associated encrusted carbonate was also analyzed for  $\Delta^{14}$ C. Carbonate pieces of distinct 180 growth phases from the fluvial cascade were also collected for  $\Delta^{14}$ C analyses (see numbered 181 182 labels in Fig. 3B). We also collected carbonate samples from a large block adjacent to the 183 detached perched cascade. One of these samples was composed of carbonate-cemented clasts of

184 shale from the Monterey Formation and included a charcoal clast suitable for  $\Delta^{14}$ C analysis.

185 Samples were sent to the UC Irvine Keck Laboratory for radiocarbon analysis.  $\Delta^{14}$ C values were

186 converted to calendar years before present using the CALIB 7.0.1 Program (Stuiver et al., 1998),

187 and the CALIBomb Program (Reimer et al., 2013).

188 4.2.2 Infra-Red Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL)

A ~63 cm long, 4 cm diameter 'dark' core was drilled from a large (~1.5 m thick, ~3 m long) detached piece of the perched cascade unit (Fig. 5A) for luminescence dating. The core was extracted and immediately transferred into light-proof black bags for transport to the laboratory.

193 The carbonate core was split into five sections under controlled amber and red laboratory 194 lighting. Two 10 cm core lengths were cut at each end of the core for dose rate estimation, and 195 the remaining material cut into three sections of approximately 14 cm in length. The three core 196 sections for dating were each subsequently placed in 3% HCl to dissolve carbonate, ventilated, 197 but shielded from all light. Acid was replaced until each section of core had dissolved (up to two 198 weeks), and no further reaction occurred when fresh acid was added. The residual material was 199 then treated as a standard sediment sample, incorporating the following steps. The samples were 200 first wet sieved to isolate the  $175 - 200 \,\mu m$  fraction. Potassium feldspars were floated from these fractions using a lithium metatungstate solution of density 2.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. After rinsing and drying, 201 202 these samples provided just a few hundred grains.

Luminescence dating was performed using a single grain post-IR IRSL approach, based on the single aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) method of Baylaert et al. (2009). Measurements were performed using a Risø automated TL-DA-20D reader fitted with a dual laser single grain attachment. Stimulation was provided by a 150 mW 830 nm IR laser at 90%, and luminescence

207 signals were detected using an EMI 9235OB photomultiplier tube, fitted with a BG3 and BG39 208 filter combination, allowing transmission in the blue (340 - 470 nm). To reduce thermal transfer 209 and contributions from slowly bleaching signals, samples were bleached at raised temperature 210 using Vishay TSFF 5210 870 nm IR diodes. 211 The SAR protocol used involves repeated cycles with the following steps: 1) 212 Regenerative beta dose (0 for the natural cycle), 2) Preheat, 60 s at 250 °C, 3) IRSL<sub>50</sub>, 2.5 s per grain at 50 °C, 4) IRSL<sub>225</sub>, 2.5 s per grain at 225 °C, 5) Test dose, 9.5 Gy, 6) Preheat, 60 s at 250 213 214 °C, 7) IRSL<sub>50</sub>, 2.5 s per grain at 50 °C, 8) IRSL<sub>225</sub>, 2.5 s per grain at 225 °C, 9) Hot bleach, 40 s 215 IRSL using diodes at 290 °C. The measurement sequence included SAR cycles comprising the 216 natural measurement, four regenerative-dose points, a zero dose point to assess thermal transfer,

and a repeat of the first dose point to assess recycling. This approach has provided a number of
age estimates spanning 400–80,000 years consistent with independent age control provided by

219 <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>10</sup>Be (Rhodes, submitted).

Most grains measured provided no significant IRSL signal, but a proportion of grains displayed strong IRSL decays, with linear or saturating growth with increasing regenerative dose. A degree of variation between the equivalent dose estimates of different grains in each sample was observed, interpreted as incomplete zeroing of some grains incorporated as the tufa was building. For each of the three samples, the minimum group of grains, defined as those consistent with an overdispersion of 15%, was selected; grains with higher dose values were rejected from the analysis.

Dose rate estimation was conducted using ICP-OES for K content, and ICP-MS for U and Th, using the conversion factors of Adamiec and Aitken (1998). The resulting age estimates are provided in Table 2. We expect that most of the dose rate contributions are from sediment

230 grains contained within the tufa, but we recognize that tufa can absorb U from water, and the 231 possibility exists of U disequilibrium. In this case, the ICP-MS estimate of <sup>238</sup>U will 232 overestimate the dose rate; the U lacks daughter isotopes lower in the decay series, and this 233 effect can roughly half the beta dose rate from U and have an even more dramatic effect on the U 234 gamma dose rate (as most gamma energy is provided by isotopes at the end of the U decay 235 series). To assess the potential magnitude of this effect, we have also calculated the age estimates 236 with 50% of the U beta dose rate, and no U gamma contribution. We note that this represents an 237 extreme condition – in practice some or most of the U dose rate contributions probably come 238 from sediment grains, and for these contributions, we expect secular equilibrium to exist. The 239 age estimates assuming extreme U disequilibrium (as described above) range from 1 to 2 ka 240 older than those presented in Table 2. We consider, therefore, that this effect is likely not 241 disrupting these age estimates significantly. We note the relatively large measurement 242 uncertainties, caused by having relatively few sensitive grains contributing, and present the age 243 estimates without allowing for potential disequilibrium effects.

244 *4.3. Carbonate isotopic analyses* 

Isotopic analyses of carbonate oxygen and carbon were conducted on an Elementar Americas Inc. (Micromass Ltd) Isoprime stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) with a multi-prep/carbonate device and dual inlet in the Stott lab at the University of Southern California. Samples were measured relative to  $CO_2$  reference gas calibrated against the NBS-19 ( $\delta^{18}O$  value +2.20‰,  $\delta^{13}C$  value +1.95‰) carbonate standard, which allows for normalization to the 2-point VPDB-LVSEC isotopic scale. The precision of this determination is better than 0.06‰ and 0.04‰ (1 $\sigma$ , n = 20) for  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ , respectively. A working standard (carbonate,

252  $\delta^{18}O - 1.88\%$ ,  $\delta^{13}C$  value of +2.07‰) monitors precision during the course of the run to 0.07‰ 253 and 0.04‰ (1 $\sigma$ , n = 14) for  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ , respectively.

254 **5. Results** 

255 5.1 Age Control

256 5.1.1 Radiocarbon

257 Radiocarbon results are listed in Table 2. The piece of charcoal extracted from the 258 perched cascade has a calibrated age range of 10,830-11,070 cy BP ( $2\sigma$ ). All of the organic fragments from the fluvial deposit contain excess <sup>14</sup>C indicating a significant presence of 259 radiocarbon from nuclear weapons testing during the 1960s. Samples with excess <sup>14</sup>C have 260 261 estimated age ranges from about 1987 to 2007 (Table 2). The carbonate that encrusted the 262 organic fragments from the fluvial deposits exhibit ages of 10,272 and 18,478 cy BP (Table 2). Given that the encrusted organic matter recorded modern ages <sup>14</sup>C dating of the encrusting 263 264 carbonate, which revealed much older dates, does not accurately reflect the timing of carbonate deposition, and is not used here for temporal reconstruction. The <sup>14</sup>C ages on carbonate likely 265 266 reflect mixing of soil derived CO<sub>2</sub> with old bedrock carbon and are therefore not viewed as 267 robust to the uncertainties of fraction of ancient carbon so are not considered meaningful 268 although we do note they are entirely consistent with values obtained on dating the charcoal and 269 IRSL (Table 1 and Table 2).

270 5.1.2 IRSL

Three IRSL measurements were obtained for the extracted core (Table 1). The 'inner' piece yields a date of  $19.4 \pm 2.4$  ( $1\sigma$ ) ka, the 'middle' piece an age of  $17.8 \pm 2.8$  ( $1\sigma$ ) ka, and the 'outer' piece an age of  $11.9 \pm 1.5$  ( $1\sigma$ ) ka. These measurements of IRSL-based age corroborate the stratigraphic order we would expect given the direction of flow and growth pattern of

perched tufa (Fig. 5A) and are entirely consistent with the charcoal <sup>14</sup>C age from the same
deposit.

# 277 5.2. Carbonate stable isotopes

Stable carbon and oxygen isotope values from the fluvial and perched cascade are listed in Table 3 and plotted in Fig. 6.  $\delta^{13}$ C values from the fluvial deposit range from -9.92‰ to -8.63‰ and exhibit a mean value of -9.21 ± 0.37‰ (1 $\sigma$ , *n* = 21). Oxygen isotope values from the fluvial deposits range from -7.66‰ to -6.82‰ and exhibit a mean value of -7.24 ± 0.24‰ (1 $\sigma$ , *n* = 21). The  $\delta^{13}$ C of the perched deposits range from -9.24‰ to -6.61‰ with a mean value of -7.92 ± 0.74‰ (1 $\sigma$ , *n* = 19) and the corresponding  $\delta^{18}$ O values range from -7.85‰ to -6.77‰ with a mean of -7.21 ± 0.35‰ (1 $\sigma$ , *n* = 19).

## 285 **6. Discussion**

## 286 5.1 Comparison of perched and fluvial deposits

287 On the basis of field observations and geomorphology, the (1) substantially thicker, (2) 288 highly eroded, and (3) elevated nature of the perched deposits suggests they formed during an 289 earlier depositional regime, when the water table was markedly higher (~10 m higher) than it is today. Facies contrasts between modern and ancient deposits have been reported in the literature 290 291 from other arid and semi-arid environments. In these cases, although spring flow is often active 292 under modern conditions, locations around the spring vent contain carbonate remnants situated at 293 elevated positions above active springs indicating a drop in the local water table (e.g., Martin-294 Algarra et al., 2003; Crombie et al., 1997; Dominguez-Villar et al., 2011; Filho et al., 2012). The 295 similarity in morphology of our system compared with those reported elsewhere, suggests a 296 significant hydroclimate change from higher rainfall (perched deposits) to the present semi-arid 297 conditions (fluvial deposits).

298 In addition to geomorphic differences, the textures of the fluvial and perched deposits are 299 distinct at the meso- and micro-scale (compare Figs. 3E-F with Figs. 4E-F). The banded 300 morphology of the fluvial deposits is a primary depositional feature typical of freshwater 301 carbonates (e.g., Kano et al., 2004; Andrews and Brasier, 2005; Golubić et al., 2008). The bands 302 reflect calcite deposition associated with microalgae that alternates with pyramidal sparidic 303 bands (Ibarra et al., 2014). In some cases sub-mm diameter pores reflect molds of decayed 304 organic material that represent the former presence of the microalgae *Oocardium stratum* (Ibarra 305 et al., 2014). The continuous nature of the micritic and sparry bands along with well-preserved 306 microalgal calcite molds strongly suggests the pore space between the bands is largely primary 307 (Fig. 3F).

308 In contrast to the fluvial deposit, the highly indurated texture and vuggy porosity of the 309 perched carbonates suggests they have experienced significant meteoric dissolution and 310 cementation. Vuggy porosity (Fig. 4E) is considered secondary porosity usually resulting from 311 the dissolution of calcareous cements (Tucker and Wright, 1990). The patchy distribution and 312 dominantly micritic nature of the microfabric are typical features of cements that form in the 313 vadose zone, the zone of undersaturation above the water table (Tucker and Wright, 1990). The 314 lack of clear stratigraphic structure at the mesoscale (Fig. 4E) together with microscopic textures 315 that vary at the micrometer scale (Fig. 4F) indicate the perched deposits have undergone several 316 episodes of dissolution and precipitation.

Textural comparisons between the perched and fluvial deposits reveal different diagenetic histories despite proximity. Although diagenesis in tufa deposits is not necessarily only dependent on the age of the deposits (Pentecost, 1981), the striking contrast between the samples that originate from the perched deposit compared to carbonate samples collected along the

321 fluvial channel together with geomorphic differences strongly suggests the perched carbonates are older. Furthermore, differences in carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C between the perched and fluvial deposits 322 may be diagenetic where relatively higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the perched carbonates compared to the 323 fluvial carbonates may have resulted from progressive dissolution and precipitation caused by 324 percolating rainwater (Janssen et al., 1999). The lack of a clear difference in  $\delta^{18}$ O between the 325 326 two deposits (Fig. 6) may be due to diagenetic alteration caused by spring water values with a similar  $\delta^{18}$ O value to the water that originally deposited the carbonate (e.g., Andrews and 327 328 Brasier, 2005).

329 Considered together, the (1) geomorphic, (2) textural, and (3) geochemical observations described above together with <sup>14</sup>C and IRSL ages constitute compelling evidence that the fluvial 330 331 and perched deposits reflect distinct depositional periods. The perched deposits formed when the 332 water table was substantially higher (by at least 10 m), producing thick cascade deposits that 333 have since undergone significant erosion (Fig. 4D). In our palaeoenvironmental interpretation 334 below, we focus on the ages obtained for the perched cascade, as carbonate formation about 10 335 m above modern spring outflow is directly indicative of past pluvials. Our dating of the perched 336 cascade suggests at least two episodes of carbonate growth, one ranging from about  $19.4 \pm 2.4$  to 337  $17.8 \pm 2.8$  ka and the other at  $11.9 \pm 1.5$  ka (Fig. 7A; Table 1). These pluvials were much wetter 338 than anything in recent history having formed when the water table was ~10 m higher and likely 339 persisting over thousands of years.

340 5.2.1 Comparison to regional evidence for wet conditions ca. 19 ka

Although there is extensive evidence for substantially wetter conditions across the
 western US, few of the records available represent coastal settings close to the modern
 metropolitan centers. Our dated tufa deposits provide ages of carbonate growth representing

344 substantially wetter conditions than present at this coastal site. Based on stratigraphic 345 relationships at the outcrop scale, it is likely that earlier pluvials also existed although the timing 346 of earlier tufa deposition remains to be determined. We focus on comparisons from relatively 347 proximal coastal sites given recent investigations that highlight key spatiotemporal differences in 348 hydroclimate between inland and coastal sites (Lyle et al., 2012; Kirby et al., 2013). Pinus 349 pollen in the marine sediments of Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 893 in the Santa Barbara 350 Basin (SBB) about 50 km south of our study site record several episodes of Pinus expansion 351 (Fig. 7B) interpreted as wet and/or cold conditions (Heusser and Sirocko, 1997). Pinus pollen is 352 well transported by wind and likely sourced from trees in a near coast region (Heusser, 2000), 353 including from the *Pinus* vegetation in the Zaca Lake catchment, which is native although today 354 enhanced by Forest Service planting and fire suppression (Norris and Norris, 1994). The onset 355 of a long-lasting wet event at around 21 ka (Lyle et al., 2012) from the *Pinus* record correlates 356 well with our age estimates of carbonate deposition for the two inner parts of the perched core 357 (Fig. 7A). Additionally, a record of grain size (Kirby et al., 2013; Fig. 7C) and leaf wax δD from 358 Lake Elsinore located about 275 km southeast of our site provide corroborating evidence for a 359 wet late glacial overlapping with the timing of tufa deposition at our site. Tufa deposition 360 strengthens the evidence for pulses of wet conditions during the late glacial in coastal southern 361 California with at least two intervals (ca. 19 and 12 ka) when sustained wet conditions supported 362 a water table approximately 10 m higher than modern and substantial carbonate precipitation. 363 5.2.2 Comparison to regional evidence for wet conditions ca. 12 ka 364 The Younger Dryas (YD) is a well-known cold period that interrupted the warming out of 365 the last glacial in the North Atlantic region (Berger, 1990). Although the cooling effects of the

366 Younger Dryas may not have been global, glaciers were reported to have advanced in the Sierra

367 Nevada Mountains approximately coinciding with the YD whether due to cooling or wetter 368 conditions or both (Phillips et al., 2009), and SSTs in the SBB cooled as the California Current 369 strengthened (Fig. 7D; Pak et al., 2012). In the interior western US, the YD coincided with a wet 370 period with abrupt onset and termination (Wagner et al., 2010; Asmerom et al., 2012). In coastal 371 western US the changes in precipitation during the YD (Fig. 7E) are less clear as a result of 372 dating uncertainties in a variety of records as reviewed by Kirby et al. (2013). Grain size and 373  $\delta D_{(wax)}$  data from well-dated, high-resolution records from Lake Elsinore suggest no substantial 374 increase in precipitation during the YD (Fig. 7C). Rather, the onset of the YD at Lake Elsinore 375 is characterized by an abrupt onset towards less run-off and no obvious change in storm moisture 376 source (Kirby et al., 2013). Furthermore, there is no apparent termination to the YD; rather, 377 conditions continue to remain dry into the Holocene (Kirby et al., 2013). In contrast, the ages of 378 the tufa deposits in the Zaca Lake catchment from our study indicate wet conditions during the 379 YD. Two age constraints -based on independent techniques- date inclusions within the perched 380 tufa and indicate tufa growth: a radiocarbon date on charcoal  $(10.95 \pm 0.12 \text{ cal ka BP})$  and an 381 IRSL age estimate  $(11.9 \pm 1.5 \text{ ka})$  on silicate grains (Fig. 7A). Together, these ages provide 382 compelling evidence for carbonate growth at ca. 12 ka. Carbonate growth of the perched tufa, 10 383 m higher than the present water table, is necessarily associated with conditions that are wetter 384 than present.

Local cooling is possible because of a decrease in SSTs in the SBB (Fig., 7D; Pak et al., 2012). The *Pinus* pollen record from the SBB also yields evidence for wetter terrestrial conditions (Fig. 7B; Heusser and Sirocko, 1997; Lyle et al., 2012). Our dated tufa deposit matches the last extreme wet event in the *Pinus* record (Fig. 7B). Although at much lower temporal resolution, our record corroborates the SBB record of terrestrial environments,

390 demonstrating the presence of a wet interval that supported carbonate precipitation at the Zaca 391 tufa site and *Pinus* expansion across the area of Santa Barbara County that supplies pollen to the 392 SBB. Another explanation for wetter winters during the YD at Zaca Lake may be related to a 393 shift in average winter season storm tracks. A small shift north in the average position of the 394 winter season storm track could explain wet winters at Zaca as recorded by the tufa growth and 395 mesic pollen in the SBB while at the same time generating dry winters with no apparent change 396 in moisture source at Lake Elsinore, 275 km southeast of Zaca. If true, these data indicate that 397 rather small latitudinal shifts in the average winter season storm track can produce large changes 398 in regional hydroclimates.

399 Two other peak wet events are recorded from pollen records in marine sites offshore 400 Santa Cruz, CA (ODP site 1018) and at the California-Oregon border (ODP site 1019; Lyle et al., 2012). At ODP site 1018 a significant decline in the prevalence of herbs and shrubs is 401 402 interpreted as the initiation of a prominent wet event in the area at ca. 11 ka (Lyle et al., 2012). 403 This data matches well with an extended wet interval observed from Moaning Cave on the 404 western foothills of the Sierra Nevada that lasted from about 12.4 ka to about 9.6 ka (Oster et al., 405 2009). Further, the occurrence of a peak wet event off the coast near the California-Oregon 406 border at ODP site 1019 based on Alnus (alder) pollen also coincides with our tufa record (Lyle 407 et al., 2010; 2012). Thus far, comparisons between pollen records in northern and southern 408 California suggest southern California experienced a peak wet event about 6 ka earlier than 409 central and northern coastal California (Lyle et al., 2010; Lyle et al., 2012). Our new tufa record, 410 however, suggests coastal southern California remained substantially wet at ca. 12 ka thus 411 potentially coinciding with wet events north of our site, and challenging the time-transient wet 412 event proposed.

#### 413 5.2.3 Missing wet events not captured in the tufa record

414 Although tufa growth at the perched cascade coincides with the last wet event recorded in 415 the SBB *Pinus* record, there are numerous earlier *Pinus* events that we have not resolved in the 416 Zaca tufa record to date. Many of these *Pinus* events are captured in the Elsinore sand record as 417 intervals of enhanced run-off. *Pinus* reached a maximum between 14–17 ka, with additional 418 brief wet events at about 14.1 and 13.3 ka. In particular, the peak in *Pinus* event at ca. 16 ka is 419 not particularly well-represented in the tufa, though we note it is within the age uncertainty. The 420 surficial nature of tufa deposition inherently introduces potential for episodes of erosion and/or 421 non-deposition (Andrews and Brasier, 2005) possibly resulting from spring water flow diversion 422 to other channels around the vent. At the moment however, with the current age uncertainty and 423 dating resolution available on the tufa, it is difficult to fully resolve the timing of tufa growth 424 during the wettest interval recorded by offshore marine sediments (Lyle et al., 2010). It is 425 encouraging, however, that both of our main wet events as recorded by the tufa (ca. 19 ka and ca. 426 12 ka) entirely span the observed long-lived wet event derived from marine records 50 km away 427 (Lyle et al., 2012). Our record thus provides an important complementary addition to evidence 428 for past pluvials in coastal southern California.

#### 429 **6.** Conclusions

We present <sup>14</sup>C and IRSL dates of perched and fluvial tufa deposits from a coastal site in Santa Barbara county, California revealing at least two late glacial pluvials. Geomorphic, textural, petrographic, and geochemical distinctions between perched and fluvial deposits reflect a transition from a wetter climate regime that resulted in the formation of the perched deposits to the present actively-accreting fluvial carbonates (located about 10 m below the perched deposits) along the modern spring flow path. Luminescence dates indicate the perched tufa cascade was

active from about  $19.4 \pm 2.4$  to about  $17.8 \pm 2.8$  ka. These ages agree with independent records that also suggest wetter coastal conditions at these times. A second wet event dated to  $11.9 \pm 1.5$ ka based on luminescence and verified with a dated charcoal inclusion to  $10.95 \pm 0.12$  ka BP indicate the Late Pleistocene early Holocene transition was also wetter than present. Ages for the perched deposits provide a new record of past pluvial conditions for the region, highlighting the utility of fluvial tufa as a terrestrial record of water balance.

#### 442 **7. Acknowledgements**

443 We thank the Zaca Lake Retreat Staff for access to Zaca Lake. We thank K. Zacny, G. 444 Paulson, L. Beegle, A. Lopez, M. Cheetham, and A. Bardsley for field assistance, M. Rincon for 445 isotopic analyses of carbonates and W. Barrera for assistance with luminescence analyses. We 446 also thank W. Berelson and S. Lund for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the 447 Geological Society of America graduate student research grant to Y.I., US National Science 448 Foundation Grant EAR-1002656 to S.F. and M.K. and a US National Aeronautics and Space Administration SBIR Phase II grant to Honeybee Robotics Ltd and the University of Southern 449 450 California.

## 451 8. References

- 452 Adamiec, G., Aitken, M.J., 1998. Dose-rate conversion factors: new data. Ancient TL 16, 37-50.
- Andrews, J.E., 2006. Palaeoclimatic records from stable isotopes in riverine tufas: Synthesis and
  review. Earth Science Reviews 75, 85-104.
- 455 Allen, B.D., and Anderson, R.Y., 2000, A continuous, high-resolution record of late Pleistocene
- 456 climate variability from the Estancia basin, New Mexico: Geological Society of America
- 457 Bulletin, v. 112, p. 1444-1458.

458	Anderson, R.Y., Allen, B.D., and Menking, K.M., 2002, Geomorphic expression of abrupt
459	climate change in Southwestern North America at the glacial termination: Quaternary
460	Research, v. 57, p. 371-381.
461	Andrews, J.E., Brasier, A.T., 2005. Seasonal records of climatic change in annually laminated
462	tufas: short review and future prospects. Journal of Quaternary Science 20, 411-421.
463	Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V.J., Burns, S.J., 2010. Variable winter moisture in the southwestern
464	United States linked to rapid climate shifts. Nature Geoscience 3, 114-117.
465	Auler, A.S., Smart, P.L., 2001. Late Quaternary Paleoclimate in Semiarid Northeastern Brazil
466	from U-Series Dating of Travertine and Water-Table Speleothems. Quaternary Research
467	55, 159-167.
468	Barnes, I., 1965. Geochemistry of Birch Creek, Inyo County, California a travertine depositing
469	creek in an arid climate. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 29, 85-112.
470	Benson, L.V., Currey, D.R., Dorn, R.I., Lajoie, K.R., Oviatt, C.G., Robinson, S.W., Smith, G.I.,
471	Stine, S., 1990. Chronology of expansion and contraction of four Great Basin lake
472	systems during the past 35,000 years. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
473	Palaeoecology 78, 241-286.
474	Berger, W. H., 1990. The younger dryas cold spell-a quest for causes. Global and Panetary
475	Change 3, 219-237.
476	Briggs, R.W., Wesnousky, S.G., Adams, K.D., 2005. Late Pleistocene and late Holocene lake
477	highstands in the Pyramid Lake subbasin of Lake Lahontan, Nevada, USA. Quaternary
478	Research 64, 257-263.
479	Buylaert, J.P., Murray, A.S., Thomsen, K.J., Jain, M., 2009. Testing the potential of an elevated
480	temperature IRSL signal from K-feldspar. Radiation Measurements 44, 560-565.

- 481 Cayan, D.R., Roads, J.O., 1984. Local Relationships between United States West Coast
  482 Precipitation and Monthly Mean Circulation Parameters. Monthly Weather Review 112,
- 483 1276-1282.
- Cook, E.R., Woodhouse, C.A., Eakin, C.M., Meko, D.M., Stahle, D.W., 2004. Long-term aridity
  changes in the western United States. Science 306, 1015-1018.
- 486 Cremaschi, M., Zerboni, A., Spo<sup>~</sup>tl, C., Felletti, F., 2010. The calcareous tufa in the Tadrart
- 487 Acacus Mt. (SW Fezzan, Libya) An early Holocene palaeoclimate archive in the central
  488 Sahara. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 287, 81-94.
- 489 Crombie, M.K., Arvidson, R.E., Sturchio, N.C., Alfy, Z.E., Zeid, K.A., 1997. Age and isotopic
- 490 constraints on Pleistocene pluvial episodes in the Western Desert, Egypt.

491 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 130, 337-355.

492 Denton, G.H., Anderson, R.F., Toggweiler, J.R., Edwards, R.L., Schaefer, J.M., and Putnam,

493 A.E., 2010, The last glacial termination: Science, v. 328, p. 1652-1656.

494 Domínguez-Villar, D., Vázquez-Navarro, J.A., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., 2011. Freshwater tufa

495 record from Spain supports evidence for the past interglacial being wetter than the

Holocene in the Mediterranean region. Global and Planetary Change 77, 129-141.

497 Feakins, S.J., Kirby, M.E., Cheetham, M.I., Ibarra, Y., and Zimmerman, S.R.H., 2014,

498 Fluctuation in leaf wax D/H ratio from a southern California lake records significant

- 499 variability in isotopes in precipitation during the late Holocene: Organic Geochemistry, v.500 66, p. 48-59.
- Filho, W.S., Almeida, L.H.S., Boggiani, P.C., Karmann, I., 2012. Characterization of quaternary
  tufas in the Serra do Andre Lopes karst, southeastern Brazil. Carbonates and Evaporites
  27, 357-373.

504	Garnett, E.R., Andrews, J.E., Preece, R.C., Dennis, P.F., 2004. Climatic change recorded by	
505	stable isotopes and trace elements in a British Holocene tufa. Journal of Quaternary	
506	Science 19, 251-262.	

- 507 Golubić, S., Violante, C., Plenković-Moraj, A., Grgasović, T.i., 2008. Travertines and calcareous
  508 tufa deposits: an insight into diagenesis. Geologia Croatica 61, 363-378.
- 509 Heusser, L.E., Sirocko, F., 1997. Millennial pulsing of environmental change in southern
- 510 California from the past 24 k.y.: A record of Indo-Pacific ENSO events? Geology 25,
  511 243-246.
- 512 Ibarra, Y., Corsetti, F.A., Cheetham, M.I., Feakins, S.J., 2014. Were fossil spring-associted
- 513 carbonates near Zaca Lake, Santa Barbara, California deposited under an ambient or
  514 thermal regime? Sedimentary Geology 301, 15-25.
- Janssen, A., Swennen, R., Podoor, N., Keppens, E., 1999. Biological and diagenetic influence in
  Recent and fossil tufa deposits from Belgium. Sedimentary Geology 126, 75-95.
- Kano, A., Kawai, T., Matsuoka, J., and Ihara, T., 2004, High-resolution records of rainfall events
  from clay bands in tufa: Geology, v. 32, p. 793-796.
- 519 Kirby, M.E., Feakins, S.J., Bonuso, N., Fantozzi, J.M., Hiner, C.A., 2013. Latest Pleistocene to
- 520 Holocene hydroclimates from Lake Elsinore, California. Quaternary Science Reviews 76,
  521 1-15.
- 522 Kirby, M.E., Lund, S.P., Poulsen, C.J., 2005. Hydrologic variability and the onset of modern El
- 523 Niño –Southern Oscillation: a 19 250-year record from Lake Elsinore, southern
- 524 California. Journal of Quaternary Science 20, 239-254.

525	Lyle, M., Heusser, L., Ravelo, C., Yamamoto, M., Barron, J., Diffenbaugh, N.S., Herbert, T.,
526	Andreasen, D., 2012. Out of the Tropics: The Pacific, Great Basin Lakes, and Late
527	Pleistocene Water Cycle in the Western United States. Science 337, 1629-1633.
528	Lyle, M., Heusser, L., Ravelo, C., Andreasen, D., Lyle, A.O., and Diffenbaugh, N., 2010,
529	Pleistocene water cycle and eastern boundary current processes along the California
530	continental margin: Paleoceanography, v. 25, p. PA4211,
531	Mensing, S.A., 2001. Late-Glacial and Early Holocene Vegetation and Climate Change near
532	Owens Lake, Eastern California. Quaternary Research 55, 57-65.
533	Mensing, S.A., Sharpe, S.E., Tunno, I., Sada, D.W., Thomas, J.M., Starratt, S., Smith, J., 2013.
534	The Late Holocene Dry Period: multiproxy evidence for an extended drought between
535	2800 and 1850 cal yr BP across the central Great Basin, USA. Quaternary Science
536	Reviews 78, 266-282.
537	Newton, M.S., Grossman, E.L., 1988. Late Quaternary chronology of tufa deposits, Walker
538	Lake, Nevada. Journal of Geology 96, 417-433.
539	Norris, J., Norris, L., 1994. History of Zaca Lake. Olive Press Publications, Los Olivos.
540	Oster, J.L., Montañez, I.P., Sharp, W.D., and Cooper, K.M., 2009, Late Pleistocene California
541	droughts during deglaciation and Arctic warming: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v.
542	288, p. 434-443
543	Pak, D.K., Lea, D.W., and Kennett, J.P., 2012, Millennial scale changes in sea surface
544	temperature and ocean circulation in the northeast Pacific, 10-60 kyr BP:
545	Paleoceanography, v. 27, p. PA1212, doi:10.1029/2011PA002238.
546	Pedley, H.M., 1990. Classification and environmental models of cool freshwater tufas.
547	Sedimentary Geology 68, 143-154.

- 548 Pentecost, A., 1981. The Tufa Deposits of the Malham District, North Yorkshire. Field Studies 5,
  549 365-387.
- 550 Pentecost, A., Zhang, Z.-H., 2008. Microfossils and geochemistry of some modern, Holocene
- and Pleistocene travertines from North Yorkshire and Derbyshire. Proceedings of the
  Yorkshire Geological Society 57, 79-94.
- Phillips, F.M., Zreda, M., Plummer, M.A., Elmore, D., and Clark, D.H., 2009, Glacial geology
  and chronology of Bishop Creek and vicinity, eastern Sierra Nevada, California:
  Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 121, p. 1013-1033.
- 556 Rhodes, E.J., Dating sediments using potassium feldspar single-grain IRSL: initial
- 557 methodological considerations. Quaternary International, submitted Jan 2014.
- Scholl, D.W., 1960. Pleistocene algal pinnacles at Searles Lake, California. Journal of
  Sedimentary Petrology 30, 414-431.
- 560 Seager, R., Ting, M., Held, I., Kushnir, Y., Lu, J., Vecchi, G., Huang, H.-P., Harnik, N.,
- 561 Leetmaa, A., Lau, N.-C., Li, C., Velez, J., Naik, N., 2007. Model Projections of an
- Imminent Transition to a More Arid Climate in Southwestern North America. Science316, 1181-1184.
- Szabo, B.J., 1990. Ages of Travertine Deposits in Eastern Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona.
  Quaternary Research 34, 24-32.
- Tucker, M.E., and Wright, V.P., 1990, Carbonate Sedimentology, Blackwell Scientific
  Publications.
- 568 Viles, H.A., Taylor, M.P., Nicoll, K., Neumann, S., 2007, Facies evidence of hydroloclimatic
- regime shifts in tufa depositional sequences from the arid Naukluft Mountains, Namibia.
- 570 Sedimentary Geology 195, 39-53.

571	Wagner, J.D.M., Cole, J.E., Beck, J.W., Patchett, P.J., Henderson, G.M., Barnett, H.R., 2010.
572	Moisture variability in the southwestern United States linked to abrupt glacial climate
573	change. Nature Geoscience 3, 110-113.
574	Wells, S.G., Brown, J.B., Enzel, Y., Anderson, R.Y., and McFadden, L.D., 2003, Late
575	Quaternary geology and paleohydrology of pluvial Lake Mojave, southern California, in
576	Enzel, Y., Wells, S.G., and Lancaster, N., eds., Paleoenvironments and Paleohydrology
577	of the Mojave and Southern Great Basin Deserts: Boulder, CO, Geological Society of
578	America, p. 79-115.
579	Figure Captions
580	<b>Fig. 1.</b> Study site. (A) Geologic map of the study area. Abbreviations: M = Monterey; Qs =
581	surface Quaternary; L = landslide; Tv = Tertiary volcanics. Dashed lines denote fault lines.
582	Contours are at 200 m intervals. (B) Regional map denoting locations mentioned in the text.
583	Fig. 2. Schematic of carbonate facies modified from Viles et al., 2007 (figure not drawn to
584	scale; the approximate distance from the boxed spring to the fluvial cascade is 180 m).
585	Fig. 3. Multiscale facies of the fluvial carbonates. (A) Terminal fluvial cascade with people on
586	the outcrop for scale. (B) Distinct carbonate growth episodes along the fluvial cascade with a
587	basal white carbonate layer (1) and draping brown carbonate layer (2). (C) Carbonate-encrusted
588	organic debris and plant molds along the fluvial terminal cascade. (D) Carbonate-encrusted twig
589	located near the fluvial channel. (E) Banded carbonate from the fluvial cascade. (F)
590	Photomicrograph of (E), blue areas denote pore space.
591	Fig. 4. Multiscale facies of the perched carbonates. (A) Dipping carbonate block showing
592	location of the 'dark core'. (B) Carbonate curtains of the cascade face, bottom. (C) Carbonate
593	curtains of the cascade face, top. (D) Carbonate talus blocks along the valley slope, looking

- 594 northeast. (E) Mesostructure of the perched carbonates with distinct vuggy pores. (F)
- 595 Photomicrograph of (E), blue areas denote pore space.
- 596 Fig. 5. (A) Location of 'dark core' collected for OSL. (B) Carbonate-encrusted root for which
- 597 carbonate (sample ZC-F-root) and organic carbon (sample ZC-F-carb) <sup>14</sup>C was measured.
- 598 Fig. 6. Cross plot of carbonate carbon and oxygen stable isotope values of the fluvial and

599 perched deposits.

- 600 **Fig. 7.** Regional comparisons. (A) IRSL measurements from this study and <sup>14</sup>C of charcoal. (B)
- 601 *Pinus* pollen record from ODP site 893 in the Santa Barbara Basin (Heusser and Sirocko, 1997).
- 602 (C) Record of percent sand from Lake Elsinore (Kirby et al., 2013). (D) Ca/Mg record from
- 603 ODP site 1017E near the Santa Barbara Basin (Pak et al., 2012). (E) NGRIP  $\delta^{18}$ O record from
- 604 Greenland highlighting the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), Older Dryas (OD), Bolling-Allerod
- 605 (BA), and Younger Dryas (YD) intervals (North Greenland Ice Core Project members, 2004).



N. 29 . 92. N

34.48. M

Figure 1 Click here to download high resolution image











Figure 6 Click here to download high resolution image

Figure 7 Click here to download high resolution image



Lab Code	$D_e(Gy) \pm 1 \sigma$	Dose rate (mGy/a) $\pm 1 \sigma$	Age
			(years before AD 2014)
J0311	$11.8 \pm 1.2$	$0.99\pm0.07$	$11,900 \pm 1,500$
J0312	$18.3 \pm 2.5$	$1.02\pm0.07$	$18,000 \pm 2,800$
J0313	$20.3\pm2.1$	$1.05\pm0.07$	$19,400 \pm 2,400$

**Table 1** IRSL measurement values of carbonate samples from the perched cascade core.

# Ā

Ā Ā Table 2 <sup>%</sup>0Ā=@Ā@=MI&ĀK>IHMĀ=H@Ā=IKE?LĀMQ;?MA@ĀBKIEMĀOHĀN@JĀJAK@Ā@AJIĀEML

:0 3. 59ĀĀ9=GJFA3ĀĀ	<sup>%</sup> 0Ā=CAĀ	SĀ	& \$\vec{A} = H \bar{C} \bar{A} \end{arrow}	$.CA\overline{A}R\overline{A}7\overline{A}$
	Ä/7 Ā			
%%(-*%Ā<0"?=KĀ	%+!-%\$Ā	)\$Ā	21,500–21,899Ā	<b>&amp;%!+\$\$</b> Ā
%&(('-Ā <0"0"?=K≯Ā	%)!&%\$Ā	(\$Ā	18,343–18,612Ā	%,!(+,Ā
%&((((\$Ā <0"2"?=KĀ	-!%))Ā	&)Ā	10,239–10,304Ā	%\$!&+&Ā
%&(((%Ā<0"20"?=KĀ	-!-*\$Ā	&)Ā	11,263–11,410Ā	%%!"+Ā
%&(('\$Ā <0"70"?D <b>=</b> KĀ	-!*\$\$Ā	&)Ā	%\$!,'\$ <i>-</i> %%!\$+\$Ā	Ā %\$!-)'Ā
9=GJFALĀPEMDĀGĬ@ĀK	HĀ2Ā10 Ā	SĀ	; ÆKĀ.1 <sup>&gt;</sup> Ā	Ā
%%)&*-Ā<0"MPEĀ	%#%+\$'Ā	\$#\$\$&'Ā	%-,+#-Ā	
%%)&+\$Ā<0"65% Ā	%#%-%-Ā	\$#\$\$&*.	Ā %-,,#*Ā	
%%)&+%Ā0"65& Ā	%# <b>\$-</b> \$-Ā	\$#\$\$&%	ōĀ %#-Ā	
%&(('%Ā <0"0"MPEĀ	%#%+,)Ā	\$#\$\$&'Ā	%-,,Ā	
%&(('&Ā <0"2"KIIMĀ	%#\$*-,Ā	\$#\$\$&%	ōĀ &\$\$+Ā	
=0=FE>K=MAAAA	#\$#% <b>kāl⊄k</b> €GĀ.	ä9MNOE	EAKĀAM <b>Ā</b> =F#!Ā	V <sub>0</sub> ,
<sup>&gt;</sup> Ā0=FEG>Ā7KĪK€ĀÄ&ÆGA	<b>KMĀ</b> =F#!Ā&\$	5%'		

Ā

Ā

Ā

Table	3
-------	---

Ā

 $\frac{9L <= E@\bar{A}DKHL$ **HIPKBHKA** $M\delta^{\bar{A}\%}7\bar{A} < G\partial^{\bar{A}\%}0\bar{A}\&\phi\bar{A}:81/\bar{A}ABHAHC< LOAFAFACODJAHCHRDGB@HKDLA}{0 < J = HGL@\bar{A}9 < FIE@JAA \delta^{\bar{A}\%}0\bar{A} \delta^{\bar{A}\%}7\bar{A}\bar{A}$ 

?@IH <b>R</b> Ā			
3EMDNKĀE	;8HH>%'!Ā	"-#*&ā	"+#(,Ā
	;= "HÞ"=Ā	"-#&%Ā	"+#%⁄øĀ
	;= "HÞ'IĀ	",#,Ā	"+#∕((Ā
	; 8?%'! Ā	"-#-&Ā	"+#)-Ā
	; ="?Ā	"-#%&Ā	"+#(,Ā
	; I?(Ā	"-#*\$Ā	"+#\$*Ā
	; IHH>%&!Ā	",#,\$Ā	"+#%\$Ā
	; IHH>()!Ā	",#+'Ā	''+#\$'Ā
	; IHH>Ă	"-#\$\$Ā	"+#%\$Ā
	; Ľ2% <b>&amp;</b> Ā	"-#+\$Ā	''*#,&Ā
	; Ľ() !Ā	"-#\$(Ā	"+#"Ā
	;7 0&!Ā	"-#\$Ā	"+#(%Ā
	70Ā	"-#&(Ā	"*#,-Ā
	30 "\$%Ā	",#+\$Ā	"+#∕₀Ā
	30 "\$&Ā	", <b>#</b> *'Ā	"+#∕ðĀ
	30\$' !Ā	",#,'Ā	"*# *Ā
	;. %Ā	"-#*)Ā	"+#)Ā
	;6 %Ā	"-#∕ðĀ	"+#) %Ā
	;. &Ā	"-#)+Ā	"+#(*Ā
	30 "1 %"%Ā	"-# <b>&amp;</b> *Ā	"+#**Ā
	30 "1 ' !Ā	"-#',Ā	''+#'\$Ā
	mean	"-#&%Ā	"+# <b>&amp;(</b> Ā
	mean 1σ	"-#&⁄Ā \$#'+Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā
8@≫0@Ā	mean 1σ ;I >"₩₽&Ā	"-#&⁄ā \$#'+Ā "+#\$%Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&[Ā "*#+,Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā	mean 1σ ;I >"HĐ&Ā ;I >"HĐ' Ā	"-#&%/ā \$#'+Ā "+#\$%/ā "+#+Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#+,Ā "+#(%Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā	mean 1σ ;I >"₩₽&Ā ;I >"₩₽' Ā 80 &.Ā	"-#&%Ā \$#'+Ā "+#\$%Ā "+# +Ā ",#\$&Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#+,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā
8@≫C@Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean 1σ ;I >"₩₽&Ā ;I >"₩₽' Ā 80 &.Ā ; I >"?%Ā	"-#&%Ā \$#'+Ā "+#\$%Ā "+# +Ā ",#\$&Ā ",#\$&Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#+,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean 1σ ;I >"₩₽&Ā ;I >"₩₽' Ā 80 &.Ā ; I>"?%Ā ; I>"?&Ā	"-#&%Ā \$#'+Ā "+#\$%Ā "+#+Ā ",#\$&Ā ",#)%Ā ",#Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&Æ "*#;,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#&'Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HÞ&Ā         ;I >"HÞ' Ā         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"&Ā         80 &2Ā	"-#&%A \$#'+A "+#\$%A "+# +A ",#\$&A ",#}%A ",#A "-#&(A	"+#8(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HÞ&Ā         ;I >"HÞ' Ā         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?&Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &.Ā	"-#8% \$#'+Ā "+#\$% ",#\$% ",#\$&Ā ",#)% Ā ",#,-Ā "-#&(Ā ",#\$&Ā	"+#8(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#-*Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā ₹	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         ;I >"HP'Ā         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?&Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A "#\$%A ",#\$&A ",#}%A ",#)%A ",#-A ",#\$&A ",#\$&A "+#-,Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#&'Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         ;I >"HP         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?&Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A "+#+Ā ",#\$&Ā ",#)%Ā ",#Ā ",#\$&Ā "+#-,Ā ",#\$%Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#&'Ā "+#&'Ā "+#&(Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         30 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A "+#+Ā ",#\$&Ā ",#0%Ā ",#Ā ",#\$&A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%Ā "-#&(Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#*A "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         \$0 &.Ā         ; I>"%Ā         \$0 &.Ā         \$0 &.A         \$0 &.A	"-#&%A \$#'+A "+#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#}%A ",#}%A ",#\$%A "+#-,A ",#\$%A "+#-,A ",#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#%*A	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,&Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HÞ&Ā         ;I >"HÞ' Ā         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &4Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā         80 .A	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#}%A ",#)%A ",#2 (Å ",#\$&A ",#\$%A "+#-,Å ",#\$%A ",#\$% "+#-,Å ",#% A ",#% A ",#% A	"+#8(Ā \$#&{Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,&Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,&Ā "+#\$(Ā
8@>C@Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā Ā	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         ;I >"HP Å         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?&Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &3Ā         80 &4Ā         80 &2Ā         80 &2Ā	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#}%A ",#,#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#\$%A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A ",#\$%A "+#&(Ā ",#%*Ā "+#&)Ā ",#\$'Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#*A "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,&Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%)Ā
$8@>C@\bar{A}$ $\bar{A}$	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         80 &.Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         ; I>"?%Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80 >.A         80 ).A         80 )/ A         80 )0 Ā	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#}%A ",#2 ",#\$&A ",#\$%A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A "-#&(A ",#%*Ā ",#%*Ā ",#%A ",#%A "+#-%Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "*#-*Ā "*#-*Ā "+#&'Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#),Ā "+#), "+#,)]
$8@>C@\bar{A}$ $\bar{A}$	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 )0 A         80 )1A	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A ",#\$%A ",#\$&A ",#\$%A ",#\$%A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A "+#&(A ",#%*Å "+#&)A ",#\$% "+#&)A "+#-% A ",#\$~A	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#*A "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$)(Ā "+#,&Ā "+#,%Ā "+#,%Ā "+#,%Ā "+#,%Ā "+#,%Ā
$8@>C@\overline{A}$ $\overline{A}$	mean         1σ         ;I >"HI>&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I >"%Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I>"%Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80 0.A         80 ).A         80A         80A         80A         80A         80A         80A         80A         80	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#+Ā ",#\$%A ",#-Ā ",#2 ",#\$%A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A "+#-%A ",#\$ ",#\$ A "+#&)A "+#-%A ",#\$ ",#\$ A "+#&A "+#&+Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#*A "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%] "+#%]
$8@>C@\bar{A}$ $\bar{A}$	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP>&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I >"%A         80 &.Ā         ;I>"%A         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80 0.A         80 ).A         80A         80	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#\$%A "+#+Ā ",#\$&Ā ",#}%Ā ",#-A ",#\$&A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A "+#-%Ā ",#\$'A "+#&)Ā "+#&%Ā "+#&A ",#\$-Ā "+#&A "+#&A "+#&A "+#&A	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "*#++Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,&A "+#\$(Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%)Ā "+#%] "+#%] i"+#%] i"+#%] i"+#%]
$8@>C@\overline{A}$ $\overline{A}$	mean         1σ         ;I >"HP&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80A         80 .	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#+Ā ",#\$%A ",#)%Ā ",#Ā ",#\$&A ",#\$%Ā "+#-,Ā ",#\$%Ā "+#&(Ā ",#\$%Ā "+#&A ",#\$'A "+#&A ",#\$-Ā ",#\$-Ā "+#%-Ā "+#%Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "+#(%Ā "*#-*Ā "+#*A "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#\$(Ā "+#,%Ā "+#%)Ā "+#,)Ā "+#,)Ā "+#,)Ā "+#,) "+#,) *
$8@>C@\bar{A}$ $\bar{A}$	Io         15         ;I >"HI>&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I>"?%Ā         ;I>"?&Ā         80 &.Ā         ;I>"?&Ā         80 &.Ā         80 &.A         80 0.A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80 ).A         80A	"-#&%A \$#'+Ā "+#+Ā ",#\$%A ",#)%Ā ",#Ā ",#\$&A ",#\$%A "+#-,Ā ",#\$%A "+#&,Â ",#\$'A "+#&)Å ",#\$'A "+#&+Ā "+#&+Ā "+#%-Ā "*#*%A "*#-+Ā	"+#&(Ā \$#&(Ā "*#,Ā "*#-*Ā "*#-*Ā "+#&'Ā "+#&'Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$(Ā "*#,%Ā "+#\$), "+#\$), "+#), "+#,) "+#, "+#, "+#, "+#, "+#, "+#, "+#, "+#,

\*9<FIE@KCHK@ÄMKAJC@KDAT@vean $\bar{\delta}^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{\%}$ 0  $\bar{A}HA\bar{A}<L\bar{A}E$ @K&AMC @LK<KBAC@AK@A=<O

\$#') Ā

\$#+(Ā

1σ