

Research Article

Generalized Matrix Exponential Solutions to the AKNS Hierarchy

Jian-bing Zhang ¹, Canyuan Gu,¹ and Wen-Xiu Ma ^{2,3,4,5,6}

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, China

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

³College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong 266590, China

⁴Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang 321004, China

⁵College of Mathematics and Physics, Shanghai University of Electric Power, Shanghai 200090, China

⁶Department of Mathematical Sciences, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa

Correspondence should be addressed to Jian-bing Zhang; jbzmath@jsnu.edu.cn

Received 4 December 2017; Revised 8 January 2018; Accepted 14 January 2018; Published 13 February 2018

Academic Editor: Zhijun Qiao

Copyright © 2018 Jian-bing Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Generalized matrix exponential solutions to the AKNS equation are obtained by the inverse scattering transformation (IST). The resulting solutions involve six matrices, which satisfy the coupled Sylvester equations. Several kinds of explicit solutions including soliton, complexiton, and Matveev solutions are deduced from the generalized matrix exponential solutions by choosing different kinds of the six involved matrices. Generalized matrix exponential solutions to a general integrable equation of the AKNS hierarchy are also derived. It is shown that the general equation and its matrix exponential solutions share the same linear structure.

1. Introduction

Many nonlinear models are studied and shown to possess hierarchies, and recursion operators play a crucial role in constructing hierarchies of soliton equations [1]. Associated with the variational derivative, recursion operators have been developed to formulate the Hamiltonian structures proving the integrability of soliton hierarchies [2, 3]. Recursion operators also have a tight correlation with one-soliton solutions. For example, the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) hierarchy

$$u_t = T^n u_x, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

possesses the following one-soliton solution:

$$u = \frac{k^2}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{k(x + k^{2n}t + \delta)}{2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $T = \partial^2 + 4u + 2u_x \partial^{-1}$ is the recursion operator of the KdV hierarchy, δ is a constant, and $\partial = \partial/\partial x$, $\partial \partial^{-1} = \partial^{-1} \partial = 1$. Notice that the dispersion relation of (2)

$$kx + k^{2n+1}t \quad (3)$$

is linked closely with the order of the recursion operator T .

Since the discovery of scattering behavior of solitons [4] and the IST [5], solitons have received much attention. The IST has been also well developed and widely used to solve nonlinear equations [1, 6]. It can be used to solve not only normal soliton equations but also unusual soliton equations such as equations with self-consistent sources [7], nonisospectral equations [8, 9], and equations with steplike finite-gap backgrounds [10] and on quasi-periodic backgrounds [11]. Recently, Ablowitz and Musslimani developed the IST for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation [12].

The Sylvester equation

$$AM - MB = C \quad (4)$$

is one of the most well-known matrix equations. It appears frequently in many areas of applied mathematics and plays a central role, in particular, in systems and control theory, signal processing, filtering, model reduction, image restoration, and so on. In recent years, it has been used to solve soliton equations [13, 14] successfully. The method based on the

Sylvester equation is also known as Cauchy matrix approach [14–18], which is actually a by-product of direct linearization approach first proposed by Fokas and Ablowitz in 1981 [15] and developed to discrete integrable systems by Nijhoff et al. in early of 1980s [16].

In the process of solving soliton equations, it can yield fantastic results by using matrices properly. Successful examples are the Wronskian technique and the IST. Ma et al. had introduced the matrix element in Wronskian determinants when they used the Wronskian technique to solve soliton equations [19–23]. They obtained various kinds of solutions such as soliton, rational, Matveev, and complexiton solutions. In 2006, Aktosun and Van Der Mee proposed a modified inverse scattering transformation (MIST) [24]. They expressed the scattering data of spectral problems by three matrices, A , B , C , and proved that the matrices A , B , C satisfy the Sylvester equation. The advantage of the method is that it can get more kinds of solutions and its process is simpler than the traditional IST [24–27].

In this paper, we would like to consider the AKNS hierarchy

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_t = L^n \begin{pmatrix} -q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (5)$$

with the help of MIST, where

$$L = \sigma \partial + 2 \begin{pmatrix} q \\ -r \end{pmatrix} \partial^{-1} (r, q), \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Many integrable systems can be reduced from it such as the modified KdV, sine-Gordon, nonlinear Schrödinger, and nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger system. There have had already a lot of researches on AKNS hierarchy for it is a representative integrable hierarchy [21, 22, 28]. In this paper, we will construct the soliton, complexiton, and Matveev solutions to the first nonlinear equation of (5). We will show that the linear relation (3) exists not only in one-soliton solutions but also in multisoliton, complexiton, and Matveev solutions.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we will review the recovered potentials of the AKNS spectral problem by IST. In Section 3, we will obtain the coupled Sylvester equations and generalized matrix exponential solutions to the AKNS equation. In Section 4, some different types of explicit solutions will be constructed. In Section 5, generalized matrix exponential solutions will be given to the AKNS hierarchy. The relationship between the recursion operator and the solutions of the AKNS hierarchy will be discussed also. We conclude the paper in Section 6.

2. Preparation

To make the paper self-contained, we first briefly recall the Lax integrability of the isospectral AKNS hierarchy and its Gel'fand-Levitan-Marchenko (GLM) equations.

It is well known that the AKNS hierarchy has the following Lax pairs [29]:

$$\phi_x = M\phi, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} -ik & q \\ r & ik \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\phi_t = N\phi, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7b)$$

where $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T$, k is a spectral parameter, and $q = q(t, x)$, $r = r(t, x)$ are potential functions. We assume that $q(x, t)$ and $r(x, t)$ are smooth functions of variables t and x , and their derivatives of any order with respect to x vanish rapidly as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The compatibility condition, zero curvature equation

$$M_t - N_x + [M, N] = 0, \quad (8a)$$

with boundary conditions

$$N|_{(q,r)=(0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(2ik)^n & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(2ik)^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8b)$$

can yield the isospectral AKNS hierarchy (5). The first two nonlinear equations in the AKNS hierarchy are

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} -q_{xx} + 2q^2r \\ r_{xx} - 2qr^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} q_{xxx} - 6qrq_x \\ r_{xxx} - 6qrr_x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9b)$$

Next we mainly follow the notions and results given in [1, 6].

Lemma 1. *If the read potentials $(q(x, t), r(x, t))^T$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x^j q(x)| dx &< +\infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x^j r(x)| dx &< +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

($j = 0, 1$),

the spectral problem (7a) has a group of Jost solutions $\phi(x, k)$, $\bar{\phi}(x, k)$, $\psi(x, k)$, and $\bar{\psi}(x, k)$ which are bounded for all values of x and also enjoy the following asymptotic behaviors:

$$\phi(x, k) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{ikx},$$

$$\bar{\phi}(x, k) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-ikx},$$

$$(x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, k) &\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-ikx}, \\ \bar{\psi}(x, k) &\sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} e^{ikx}, \\ (x &\longrightarrow -\infty).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

In the usual manner, we define the scattering coefficient by

$$\psi(x, k) = a(k) \bar{\phi}(x, k) + b(k) \phi(x, k), \quad (12a)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x, k) = -\bar{a}(k) \phi(x, k) + \bar{b}(k) \bar{\phi}(x, k), \quad (12b)$$

where

$$\bar{a}(k) a(k) + b(k) \bar{b}(k) = 1. \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, one can give $\phi(x, k)$, $\bar{\phi}(x, k)$ by the integral representation

$$\phi(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{ikx} + \int_x^\infty K(x, y) e^{iky} dy, \quad (14a)$$

$$\bar{\phi}(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-ikx} + \int_x^\infty \bar{K}(x, y) e^{-iky} dy, \quad (14b)$$

where $K(x, y) = (K_1(x, y), K_2(x, y))^T$ and $\bar{K}(x, y) = (\bar{K}_1(x, y), \bar{K}_2(x, y))^T$ are column vectors.

In order for (14a) and (14b) to be valid, it is necessary that

$$\begin{aligned}q(x) &= -2K_1(x, x), \\ r(x) &= -2\bar{K}_2(x, x).\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

Definition 2. If k_j and $\bar{k}_j$ are single roots of $a(k)$ and $\bar{a}(k)$, respectively, there exist c_j and $\bar{c}_j$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty c_j^2 \phi_1(x, k_j) \phi_2(x, k_j) &= 1, \\ 2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{c}_j^2 \bar{\phi}_1(x, \bar{k}_j) \bar{\phi}_2(x, \bar{k}_j) &= 1.\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

c_j and $\bar{c}_j$ are named the normalization constants for the eigenfunctions $\phi(x, k_j)$ and $\bar{\phi}(x, \bar{k}_j)$. Accordingly, $c_j \phi(x, k_j)$ and $\bar{c}_j \bar{\phi}(x, \bar{k}_j)$ are named the normalization eigenfunctions.

Definition 3. One named the set

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{ k \text{ (Im } k = 0), R(k) = \frac{b(k)}{a(k)}, \bar{R}(k) = \frac{\bar{b}(k)}{\bar{a}(k)}; \right. \\ \left. k_j \text{ (Im } k_j > 0), \bar{k}_m \text{ (Im } \bar{k}_m < 0), c_j, \bar{c}_m, j = 1, 2, \dots, l, \right. \\ \left. m = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{l} \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

to be the scattering data for the spectral problem (7a).

Lemma 4. Given the scattering data for the spectral problem (7a) and

$$\begin{aligned}F(x) &= F_c(x) + F_d(x), \\ \bar{F}(x) &= \bar{F}_c(x) + \bar{F}_d(x),\end{aligned}\quad (18a)$$

where

$$F_c(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty R(k) e^{ikx} dk, \quad (18b)$$

$$F_d(x) = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j^2 e^{ik_j x},$$

$$\bar{F}_c(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{R}(k) e^{-ikx} dk, \quad (18c)$$

$$\bar{F}_d(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^l \bar{c}_j^2 e^{i\bar{k}_j x},$$

one has the GLM equations for the AKNS hierarchy

$$\bar{K}(x, y) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} F(x + y) \quad (19a)$$

$$+ \int_x^\infty K(x, z) F(z + y) dz = 0,$$

$$K(x, y) - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{F}(x + y) \quad (19b)$$

$$- \int_x^\infty \bar{K}(x, z) \bar{F}(z + y) dz = 0.$$

3. Generalized Matrix Exponential Solutions to the AKNS Equation

In this section, we will get generalized matrix exponential solutions to the AKNS equation (9a) via MIST.

From the previous section, we know that the potentials of spectral problem (7a) can be recovered by (15). For convenience, we denote $K_1(x, y)$ and $\bar{K}_2(x, y)$ by $K(x, y)$ and $\bar{K}(x, y)$, respectively, in this and the following sections.

Lemma 5. The GLM equations (19a) and (19b) of the AKNS hierarchy have other forms:

$$\begin{aligned}K(x, y) &= \bar{F}(x + y) \\ &- \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty ds dz K(x, s) F(s + z) \bar{F}(z + y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{K}(x, y) \\
&= -F(x + y) \\
&\quad - \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty ds dz \bar{K}(x, s) \bar{F}(s + z) F(z + y).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Proof. Rewrite (19a) and (19b) in their component forms as

$$\bar{K}_1(x, y) + \int_x^\infty K_1(x, z) F(z + y) dz = 0, \tag{21a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{K}_2(x, y) + F(x + y) + \int_x^\infty K_2(x, z) F(z + y) dz \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{21b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_1(x, y) - \bar{F}_1(x + y) - \int_x^\infty \bar{K}_1(x, z) \bar{F}(z + y) dz \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{21c}$$

$$K_2(x, y) - \int_x^\infty \bar{K}_2(x, z) \bar{F}(z + y) dz = 0. \tag{21d}$$

Substituting (21a) and (21d) into (21c) and (21b) and replacing $K_1(x, y)$, $\bar{K}_2(x, y)$ with $K(x, y)$, $\bar{K}(x, y)$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& K(x, y) \\
&= \bar{F}(x + y) \\
&\quad - \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty ds dz K(x, s) F(s + z) \bar{F}(z + y), \\
& \bar{K}(x, y) \\
&= -F(x + y) \\
&\quad - \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty ds dz \bar{K}(x, s) \bar{F}(s + z) F(z + y).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

□

Lemma 6. Let A and $\bar{A}$ be $l \times l$ constant matrices, B and $\bar{B}$ be $l \times 1$ constant column vectors, and C and $\bar{C}$ be $1 \times l$ constant row vectors. Assume that A and $\bar{A}$ satisfy $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{Ax} = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\bar{A}x} = 0$, respectively, where 0 is the $l \times l$ matrix with all elements being zero. Then upon taking

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \int_0^\infty e^{Az} \bar{B} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}z} dz, \\
\bar{M} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\bar{A}z} \bar{B} C e^{Az} dz,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

one has the following coupled Sylvester relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
M\bar{A} - AM &= \bar{B}\bar{C}, \\
\bar{A}\bar{M} - \bar{M}A &= \bar{B}C.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Proof. We only prove the first relation, and the second relation can be proved similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
M\bar{A} - AM &= \int_0^\infty \left(e^{Az} \bar{B} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}z} \bar{A} - A e^{Az} \bar{B} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}z} \right) dz \\
&= -e^{Az} \bar{B} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}z} \Big|_0^\infty = \bar{B}\bar{C}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

□

For convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(x) &= e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{M} e^{Ax}, \\
\bar{\Omega}(x) &= e^{Ax} M e^{-\bar{A}x}, \\
\Xi(t) &= e^{Tt}, \\
\bar{\Xi}(t) &= e^{-\bar{T}t},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $T, \bar{T}$ are $l \times l$ matrices, $M, \bar{M}, A, \bar{A}, B, \bar{B}, C, \bar{C}$ are matrices defined in Lemma 6, and they enjoy the relations (24).

Setting

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= I + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t), \\
\bar{\Gamma} &= I + \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \Omega(x) \Xi(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

if Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ are nondegenerate matrices, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 7. If $AT = TA$ and $\bar{A}\bar{T} = \bar{T}\bar{A}$, the potentials of the AKNS spectral problem (7a) can be recovered as

$$\begin{aligned}
q(x, t) &= -2\bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}, \\
r(x, t) &= 2C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} B.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Proof. Let $F_c(x)$ and $\bar{F}_c(x)$ be zero and $F_d(x)$ and $\bar{F}_d(x)$ be matrix exponential forms in (18a); that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= F_d(x) = C e^{Ax} B, \\
\bar{F}(x) &= \bar{F}_d(x) = \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Suppose that the time evolution of $F(x)$ and that of $\bar{F}(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x, t) &= C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) B, \\
\bar{F}(x, t) &= \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \bar{B}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We may take

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x, y) &= H(x, t) e^{-\bar{A}y} \bar{B}, \\
\bar{K}(x, y) &= \bar{H}(x, t) e^{Ay} B,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

accordingly, where $H(x, t), \bar{H}(x, t)$ are $1 \times l$ row vectors.

Substituting (30), (31) into the first equation of (20), we get

$$H(x, t) = \bar{C}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{\Xi}(t) - \int_x^\infty \int_x^\infty ds dz H(x, t) \cdot e^{-\bar{A}s}\bar{B}Ce^{A(s+z)}\Xi(t) \bar{B}Ce^{-\bar{A}z}\bar{\Xi}(t). \quad (32)$$

That is,

$$H(x, t) \left(I + \int_x^\infty e^{-\bar{A}s}\bar{B}Ce^{As}ds\Xi(t) \int_x^\infty e^{Az}\bar{B}Ce^{-\bar{A}z}dz\bar{\Xi}(t) \right) = \bar{C}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{\Xi}(t). \quad (33)$$

In the light of

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty e^{As}\bar{B}Ce^{-\bar{A}s}ds &= e^{Ax}Me^{-\bar{A}x}, \\ \int_x^\infty e^{-\bar{A}s}\bar{B}Ce^{As}ds &= e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{M}e^{Ax}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

we have

$$H(x, t) = \bar{C}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{\Xi}(t)\Gamma^{-1}. \quad (35a)$$

Similarly, we can arrive at

$$\bar{H}(x, t) = -Ce^{Ax}\Xi(t)\bar{\Gamma}^{-1}. \quad (35b)$$

Finally, we find that the potentials of the AKNS spectral problem (7a) can be recovered as

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= -2K(x, x) = -2\bar{C}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{\Xi}(t)\Gamma^{-1}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B}, \\ r(x) &= -2\bar{K}(x, x) = 2Ce^{Ax}\Xi(t)\bar{\Gamma}^{-1}e^{Ax}B, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

by taking advantage of (15). $\square$

Next we will get solutions to the AKNS equation (9a) from the recovered potentials (28).

Proposition 8. The matrices $\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t)$ and $\Omega(x)\Xi(t)$ satisfy

$$\Omega(x)\Xi(t)\bar{\Gamma}^{-1} = \Gamma^{-1}\Omega(x)\Xi(t), \quad (37a)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{-1}\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t) = \bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t)\Gamma^{-1}, \quad (37b)$$

respectively. Thus, the two matrices $\bar{\Gamma}^{-1}$ and Γ^{-1} are similar.

Although Proposition 8 is simple, we will use it many times in the following part of this paper.

Theorem 9. If $T = (2A)^2$ and $\bar{T} = (2\bar{A})^2$ in $\Xi(t)$ and $\bar{\Xi}(t)$, respectively, the recovered the potentials (28) are solutions to the AKNS equation (9a).

Proof. We only prove the first equation of (9a), and the second equation can be proved similarly.

On the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= 8\bar{C}e^{-4\bar{A}^2t-\bar{A}x}\Gamma^{-1} \left[\Gamma\bar{A}^2 + \Omega(x)\Xi(t)A^2\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Omega(x)\Xi(t)\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t)\bar{A}^2 \right] \Gamma^{-1}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B} \\ &= 8\bar{C}e^{-4\bar{A}^2t-\bar{A}x}\Gamma^{-1} \left[\bar{A}^2 + \Omega(x)\Xi(t)A^2\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \Gamma^{-1}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} -q_{xx} + 2q^2r &= 2\bar{C}e^{-4\bar{A}^2t-\bar{A}x}\Gamma^{-1} \left[\left(\Gamma\bar{A}^2 + \bar{A}^2\Gamma \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\left(\Gamma_x + \Gamma\bar{A} \right)\Gamma^{-1}\left(\Gamma_x + \bar{A}\Gamma \right) - \Gamma_{xx} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B}Ce^{4A^2t+Ax}\bar{\Gamma}^{-1}e^{Ax}\bar{B}Ce^{-4\bar{A}^2t-\bar{A}x} \right] \Gamma^{-1}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Through proper simplification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -q_{xx} + 2q^2r &= 8\bar{C}e^{-4\bar{A}^2t-\bar{A}x}\Gamma^{-1} \left[\bar{A}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega(x)\Xi(t)A^2\bar{\Omega}(x)\bar{\Xi}(t) \right] \Gamma^{-1}e^{-\bar{A}x}\bar{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$\square$

4. Exact Solutions to the AKNS Equation

In this section, we will construct different kinds of explicit solutions to the AKNS equation (9a) by taking different kinds of A, B, C and $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}$.

(i) *One-Soliton Solutions.* Taking $A = k_1, B = b_1, C = c_1$ and $\bar{A} = k_2, \bar{B} = b_2, \bar{C} = c_2$, we get

$$q = \frac{-2(k_2 - k_1)^2 b_2 c_2 e^{-4k_2^2 t - 2k_2 x}}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + b_1 b_2 c_1 c_2 e^{4(k_1^2 - k_2^2)t + 2(k_1 - k_2)x}}, \quad (41)$$

$$r = \frac{2(k_2 - k_1)^2 b_1 c_1 e^{4k_1^2 t + 2k_1 x}}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + b_1 b_2 c_1 c_2 e^{4(k_1^2 - k_2^2)t + 2(k_1 - k_2)x}},$$

where $k_j, b_j, c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $\text{Re } k_1 < 0, \text{Re } k_2 > 0$.

(ii) *Two-Soliton Solutions.* Taking

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \bar{C} = (1 \ 1),$$

we have

$$q = -\frac{16e^{2(-8t+x)}(9e^{12t} + 50e^{2x} + 1800e^{12t+8x} + 3600e^{32t+10x})}{1 + 1800e^{8x} + 576e^{6(2t+x)} + 6400e^{10(2t+x)} + 1800e^{8(4t+x)}(1 + 8e^{8x})},$$

$$r = \frac{16e^{4(4t+x)}[9 + 50e^{20t+2x}(1 + 72e^{8x} + 36e^{6(2t+x)})]}{1 + 1800e^{8x} + 576e^{6(2t+x)} + 6400e^{10(2t+x)} + 1800e^{8(4t+x)}(1 + 8e^{8x})}.$$
(43)

(iii) *Three-Soliton Solutions.* Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = (1 \ 2 \ 1),$$

$$\bar{C} = (2 \ 1 \ 1),$$

(44)

we have

$$q = \frac{-72e^{-4(4t+x)}(e^{12t} - 4e^{2x} - 144e^{6x} + 36e^{12t+8x})}{720 \cosh 12t - 648 \cosh 2x - 1297 \cosh 6x - 432 \sinh 12t - 1295 \sinh 6x},$$

$$r = \frac{72e^{4t-4x}(1 + 36e^{8x} - e^{2(6t+x)} - 36e^{12t+6x})}{720 \cosh 12t - 648 \cosh 2x - 1297 \cosh 6x - 432 \sinh 12t - 1295 \sinh 6x}.$$
(45)

(iv) *Matveev Solutions.* Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \bar{C} = (1 \ 1),$$

(46)

r

$$= \frac{2e^{4(4t+x)}[4 + 64t + 8x + e^{2(6t+x)}(3 + 16t + 4x)]}{4 + e^{4(6t+x)} + e^{2(6t+x)}[3 - 256t^2 - 96t(1+x) - 2x(5+4x)]}.$$
(47)

(v) *Complexiton Solutions.* Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \bar{C} = (1 \ 1),$$

(48)

we have

$$q = \frac{e^{-2(2t+x)}[22 + 32t + 8x + e^{2(6t+x)}(-6 + 32t + 4x)]}{4 + e^{4(6t+x)} + e^{2(6t+x)}[3 - 256t^2 - 96t(1+x) - 2x(5+4x)]},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{32 \cos(8t + 2x) - 16 \sin(8t + 2x) - 4e^{2x} [2 \cos(32t + 4x) + \sin(32t + 4x)]}{4e^{2x} + e^{6x} - e^{4x} [5 \sin(24t + 2x) + \sin(40t + 6x)]}, \\ r &= \frac{4e^{4x} [2 \cos(32t + 4x) - \sin(32t + 4x)] + 4e^{6x} [2 \cos(8t + 2x) + \sin(8t + 2x)]}{4 + e^{4x} - e^{2x} [5 \sin(24t + 2x) + \sin(40t + 6x)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

5. The Same Linear Relation That a General Equation and Its Solutions Share

In this section, we will construct a new general equation related to the AKNS hierarchy and get its generalized matrix exponential solutions. Furthermore, we find that the recursion operator and the solutions of the AKNS hierarchy have the same linear relation.

We consider a new general equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_t = \theta(L) \begin{pmatrix} -q \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

where

$$\theta(L) = a_0 L^n + a_1 L^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} L + a_n \quad (51)$$

is a polynomial operator on L .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} [\bar{A}^n + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^n \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t)] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} \\ 2^{n+1} C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} [A^n + \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \bar{A}^n \Omega(x) \Xi(t)] \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} B \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2^n C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} (A^{n-1} M - M \bar{A}^{n-1}) \bar{\Xi}(t) e^{-\bar{A}x} \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} \\ 2^n \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} (\bar{M} A^{n-1} - \bar{A}^{n-1} \bar{M}) \Xi(t) e^{Ax} \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} B \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 10. If $AT = TA$ and $\bar{A}\bar{T} = \bar{T}\bar{A}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} q_n + \partial q_{n-1} &= 2q(u_n + v_n), \\ r_n - \partial r_{n-1} &= -2r(u_n + v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Proof. We only prove the first equation. For

$$\begin{aligned} \partial q_{n-1} &= \partial \left\{ 2^n \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} [\bar{A}^{n-1} \bar{\Xi}(t) \right. \\ &\quad + \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \bar{A}^{n-1}] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} \right\} \\ &= 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} [\bar{A}^n - \bar{A} \Gamma^{-1} \bar{A}^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \bar{A}^{n-1} \Gamma^{-1} \bar{A} - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \bar{A}^{n-1} \\ &\quad - \bar{A}^{n-1} \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) \\ &\quad \cdot A \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \\ &\quad - \bar{A} \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) - \Omega(x) \Xi(t)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cdot A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) - \Omega(x) \\ &\cdot \bar{\Xi}(t) A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} \bar{A} + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^n \bar{\Omega}(x) \\ &\cdot \bar{\Xi}(t)] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_n + \partial q_{n-1} &= 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-(2\bar{A})^n t - \bar{A}x} \Gamma^{-1} \left\{ [\bar{A} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) \right. \\ &\quad - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A] \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \bar{A}^{n-1} \\ &\quad + [\bar{A}^{n-1} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^{n-1}] \\ &\quad \cdot \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} [\bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \bar{A} - A \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t)] \\ &\quad - [\bar{A} \Omega(x) \Xi(t) - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A] \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} A^{n-1} \bar{\Omega}(x) \bar{\Xi}(t) \} \\ &\cdot \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} = 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{\Xi}(t) \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \left[(\bar{A} \bar{M} \right. \\ &\quad - \bar{M} \bar{A}) e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} (M \bar{A}^{n-1} - A^{n-1} M) \bar{\Xi}(t) \\ &\quad \left. + (\bar{A}^{n-1} \bar{M} - \bar{M} A^{n-1}) e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} e^{Ax} (M \bar{A} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -AM) \Xi(t) \Big] e^{-\bar{A}x} \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} = 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \Xi(t) \\
& \cdot \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \left[\bar{B} C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \Gamma^{-1} e^{Ax} (M \bar{A}^{n-1} - A^{n-1} M) \right. \\
& \cdot \Xi(t) + \left(\bar{A}^{n-1} \bar{M} - \bar{M} A^{n-1} \right) \Xi(t) \\
& \cdot e^{Ax} \Gamma^{-1} e^{Ax} \bar{B} C \Xi(t) \Big] e^{-\bar{A}x} \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

From (28), we know that the conclusion is right. $\square$

Theorem 11. If $AT = TA$ and $\bar{A}\bar{T} = \bar{T}\bar{A}$, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_n(x, t) \\ r_n(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = L^n \begin{pmatrix} -q(x, t) \\ r(x, t) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{56}$$

Proof. We use the mathematical induction to prove the theorem.

When $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ r_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 4\bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \Xi(t) \Gamma^{-1} [\bar{A} + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A \bar{\Omega}(x) \Xi(t)] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} \\ 4C e^{Ax} \Xi(t) \Gamma^{-1} [A + \bar{\Omega}(x) \Xi(t) \bar{A} \Omega(x) \Xi(t)] \Gamma^{-1} e^{Ax} B \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and (56) is established.

Supposing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{n-1} \\ r_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = L^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} -q(x, t) \\ r(x, t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{58}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} q_{n-1} \\ r_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}; \tag{59}$$

that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial q_{n-1} + 2q \partial^{-1} (r q_{n-1} + q r_{n-1}) \\ \partial r_{n-1} - 2r \partial^{-1} (r q_{n-1} + q r_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{60}$$

Through tedious calculation, we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial(u_n + v_n)}{\partial x} = q r_{n-1} + r q_{n-1}. \tag{61}$$

By Lemma 10, we know that the first equality in (60) is right. Its second equality can be proved analogously. $\square$

Corollary 12. If $T = \theta(2A)$ and $\bar{T} = \theta(2\bar{A})$ in $\Xi(t)$ and $\bar{\Xi}(t)$, respectively, the recovered potentials (28) solve (50), where

$$\theta(A) = a_0 A^n + a_1 A^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} A + a_n I \tag{62}$$

is a polynomial matrix on A and I is an unit matrix.

Proof. When $\theta(2\bar{A}) = (2\bar{A})^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} &= 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-(2\bar{A})^n t - \bar{A}x} \bar{A}^n \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B} \\
&\quad + 2\bar{C} e^{-(2\bar{A})^n t - \bar{A}x} \Gamma_t \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}, \\
&= 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \Xi(t) \Gamma^{-1} [\bar{A}^n \\
&\quad + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^n \bar{\Omega}(x) \Xi(t) \\
&\quad - \Omega(x) \Xi(t) \bar{\Omega}(x) \Xi(t) \bar{A}^n] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}, \\
&= 2^{n+1} \bar{C} e^{-\bar{A}x} \Xi(t) \Gamma^{-1} [\bar{A}^n \\
&\quad + \Omega(x) \Xi(t) A^n \bar{\Omega}(x) \Xi(t)] \Gamma^{-1} e^{-\bar{A}x} \bar{B}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

That is, $\partial q / \partial t = q_t = q_n$. In the same way, we also can obtain $r_t = r_n$ when $\theta(2A) = (2A)^n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_t &= a_0 \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} + a_1 \begin{pmatrix} q_{n-1} \\ r_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + a_n \begin{pmatrix} -q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \theta(L) \begin{pmatrix} -q \\ r \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$\square$

The corollary means that the operator and the solutions of the AKNS hierarchy enjoy the same linear structure.

6. Conclusions

To sum up, we have solved the AKNS hierarchy by the MIST. The MIST can determine solutions more directly and generate more diverse solutions than the traditional IST. Actually, such solutions can be also obtained by applying the Wronskian technique [19–23]. The arbitrariness of the matrices involved leads to the diversity of exact solutions.

A general integrable equation of the AKNS hierarchy was constructed and its matrix exponential solutions were obtained. The ways to generate the equation and its matrix exponential solutions are the same. They share the same linear algebraic structure, not only in the case of one-soliton solutions but also in the case of other interesting solutions.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by NSFC under the Grants 11671177, 11771186, 11571079, 11371086, and 1371361; NSF under the Grant DMS-1664561; the Jiangsu Qing Lan Project (2014); Six Talent Peaks Project of Jiangsu Province (2016-JY-08); and the Distinguished Professorships by Shanghai University of Electric Power and Shanghai Second Polytechnic University.

References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [2] A. S. Fokas, “Symmetries and integrability,” *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 253–299, 1987.
- [3] J. Zhang, J. Ji, and Y. Yao, “From the conservation laws to the Hamiltonian structures of discrete soliton systems,” *Physica Scripta*, vol. 84, no. 1, Article ID 015001, 6 pages, 2011.
- [4] N. J. Zabusky and M. D. Kruskal, “Interaction of “solitons” in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 240–243, 1965.
- [5] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Miura, “Method for solving the Korteweg-deVries equation,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 19, no. 19, pp. 1095–1097, 1967.
- [6] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 1981.
- [7] Y. Zeng, W.-X. Ma, and R. Lin, “Integration of the soliton hierarchy with self-consistent sources,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 5453–5489, 2000.
- [8] T.-k. Ning, D.-y. Chen, and D.-j. Zhang, “The exact solutions for the nonisospectral AKNS hierarchy through the inverse scattering transform,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 339, no. 3–4, pp. 248–266, 2004.
- [9] J.-B. Zhang, D.-J. Zhang, and D.-Y. Chen, “Exact solutions to a mixed Toda lattice hierarchy through the inverse scattering transform,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 44, no. 11, Article ID 115201, 2011.
- [10] I. Egorova, J. Michor, and G. Teschl, “Inverse scattering transform for the Toda hierarchy with steplike finite-gap backgrounds,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 50, no. 10, Article ID 103521, 2009.
- [11] I. Egorova, J. Michor, and G. Teschl, “Soliton solutions of the Toda hierarchy on quasi-periodic backgrounds revisited,” *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 282, no. 4, pp. 526–539, 2009.
- [12] M. J. Ablowitz and Z. H. Musslimani, “Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation,” *Nonlinearity*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 915–946, 2016.
- [13] D.-J. Zhang and S.-L. Zhao, “Solutions to ABS lattice equations via generalized Cauchy matrix approach,” *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 72–103, 2013.
- [14] F. Nijhoff, J. Atkinson, and J. Hietarinta, “Soliton solutions for ABS lattice equations: I. Cauchy matrix approach,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 42, no. 40, Article ID 404005, 2009.
- [15] A. S. Fokas and M. J. Ablowitz, “Linearization of the Korteweg-de Vries and Painlevé II equations,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 47, no. 16, pp. 1096–1100, 1981.
- [16] F. W. Nijhoff, H. W. Capel, and G. L. Wiersma, “Integrable lattice systems in two and three dimensions,” in *Geometric aspects of the Einstein equations and integrable systems (Scheveningen, 1984)*, R. Martini, Ed., vol. 239 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pp. 263–302, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [17] D.-J. Zhang, S.-L. Zhao, and F. W. Nijhoff, “Direct linearization of extended lattice BSQ systems,” *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 129, no. 2, pp. 220–248, 2012.
- [18] W. Fu and F. W. Nijhoff, “Direct linearizing transform for three-dimensional discrete integrable systems: The lattice AKP, BKP and CKP equations,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 473, no. 2203, article no. 0915, 2017.
- [19] W.-X. Ma and Y. You, “Solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation by its bilinear form: Wronskian solutions,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 357, no. 5, pp. 1753–1778, 2005.
- [20] K.-I. Maruno, W.-X. Ma, and M. Oikawa, “Generalized Casorati determinant and positon-negaton-type solutions of the Toda lattice equation,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 831–837, 2004.
- [21] D. Chen, D. Zhang, and J. Bi, “New double Wronskian solutions of the AKNS equation,” *Science China Mathematics*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 55–69, 2008.
- [22] F.-M. Yin, Y.-P. Sun, F.-Q. Cai, and D.-Y. Chen, “Solving the AKNS hierarchy by its bilinear form: generalized double Wronskian solutions,” *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 401–408, 2008.
- [23] K. Chen and D.-J. Zhang, “Solutions of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy via reduction,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 75, pp. 82–88, 2018.
- [24] T. Aktosun and C. Van Der Mee, “Explicit solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries equation on the half line,” *Inverse Problems*, vol. 22, no. 6, article no. 015, pp. 2165–2174, 2006.
- [25] T. Aktosun and R. Weder, “High-energy analysis and Levinson’s theorem for the selfadjoint matrix Schrödinger operator on the half line,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 54, no. 1, Article ID 012108, 26 pages, 2013.
- [26] T. Aktosun, M. Klaus, and R. Weder, “Small-energy analysis for the self-adjoint matrix Schrödinger operator on the half line,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 52, no. 10, Article ID 102101, 24 pages, 2011.
- [27] T. Aktosun, F. Demontis, and C. van der Mee, “Exact solutions to the sine-Gordon equation,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 51, no. 12, Article ID 123521, 27 pages, 2010.
- [28] C. W. Cao, “Nonlinearization of the Lax system for AKNS hierarchy,” *Science China Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 528–536, 1990.
- [29] D. Y. Chen, *Soliton Introduction*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2006.

