- 1 Oxidative cyclization of prodigiosin by an alkylglycerol
- 2 monooxygenase-like enzyme
- 3 Tristan de Rond<sup>1\*</sup>, Parker Stow<sup>1</sup>, Ian Eigl<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca E Johnson<sup>1</sup>, Leanne Jade G Chan<sup>3</sup>,
- 4 Garima Goyal<sup>3</sup>, Edward EK Baidoo<sup>3</sup>, Nathan J Hillson<sup>3,4</sup>, Christopher J Petzold<sup>3</sup>, Richmond
- 5 Sarpong<sup>1</sup>, and Jay D Keasling<sup>2,3,5,6</sup>\*
- 6 Affiliations
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States.
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley,
- 9 California 94720, United States.
- 10 <sup>3</sup>DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute, Emeryville, California 94608, United States, and Biological
- 11 Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, Berkeley, California
- 12 94720, United States.
- 13 <sup>4</sup>DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California 94598, United States
- 14 <sup>5</sup>Department of Bioengineering and California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University
- of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States.
- 16 <sup>6</sup>Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark,
- 17 Hørsholm, Denmark.

18

- 19 Abstract
- 20 Prodiginines, tripyrrole alkaloids displaying a wide array of bioactivities, occur as linear
- 21 and cyclic congeners. Recognition of an unclustered biosynthetic gene led to the
- 22 discovery of the enzyme responsible for catalyzing the regiospecific C-H activation and
- 23 cyclization of prodigiosin to form cycloprodigiosin in *Pseudoalteromonas rubra*. The
- 24 enzyme is closely related to alkylglycerol monooxygenase, and unrelated to RedG, the
- 25 Rieske oxygenase that produces cyclized prodiginines in *Streptomyces*, implying
- 26 convergent evolution.

#### Main text

28

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

- 29 The prodiginines are a family of red tripyrrole natural products that display a broad range of promising medicinal properties including antimalarial, anticancer, and immunosuppressive 30 activities 1-3. Notably, they have been shown to induce apoptosis in cancer cells while leaving 31 nonmalignant cells unaffected<sup>3–5</sup>. Most prodiginines occur in linear and cyclic forms with respect 32 to their aliphatic tails, which is apparent in prodigiosin (1) versus cycloprodigiosin (2), and 33 34 undecylprodigiosin versus streptorubin B (Fig. 1). It has been proposed that these carbocycles bias the molecules towards their biologically active conformations<sup>6</sup>. For instance, when tested 35 for antimicrobial activity, the cyclic prodiginine 2 was found to be more active than its straight-36 37 chain congener **1** against a variety of bacteria<sup>7</sup>.
- 38 The biosynthesis of cyclic prodiginines proceeds by oxidative cyclization of their respective 39 linear congeners (Fig. 1a). The enzymes catalyzing the cyclization reactions to produce 40 streptorubin B, metacycloprodigiosin, marineosin and roseophilin in various Streptomyces spp. 41 all belong to a family of Rieske oxygenases represented by S. coelicolor RedG (Fig. 1c)<sup>8-11</sup>. The remarkable catalytic capacities of these enzymes have been employed to synthesize 42 natural and unnatural cyclic prodiginines 10-12. However, to date, no enzyme catalyzing the 43 44 cyclization of 1 into 2 has been identified. Given the difficulty of realizing regiospecific C-H 45 activation using traditional synthetic methods, additional enzymes catalyzing such oxidative 46 cyclizations would be a welcome expansion of the biocatalytic toolbox. Here, we identify the 47 enzyme responsible for the regiospecific C-H activation and cyclization of 1 in the marine 48 bacterium Pseudoalteromonas rubra, which is known to produce both 1 and 2. The enzyme is 49 unrelated to RedG, but rather a member of the FA hydroxylase integral membrane di-iron

oxygenase family, and is closely related to metazoan alkylglycerol monooxygenase.

- The biosynthesis of 1 has been thoroughly studied in Serratia spp., where the pig (for "pigment") gene cluster encodes the enzymes responsible for 1 biosynthesis 13,14. Apart from pigK and pigN, whose precise roles remain uncharacterized, the function of each pig gene has been elucidated. The biosynthesis of 1 has been shown to proceed through a bifurcated pathway (Fig. 1a). PigBDE biosynthesize 2-methyl-3-amylpyrrole (MAP, 3), while PigA and PigF-N form 4-methoxy-2,2'-bipyrrole-5-carboxaldehyde (MBC, 4). These two intermediates are condensed by PigC to yield 1. We expected the biosynthesis of 1 to proceed similarly in P. rubra, given that its genome<sup>15</sup> harbors a biosynthetic cluster showing high sequence identity with the Serratia ATCC39006 pig cluster (Fig. 1b, and Supplementary Results, Supplementary Table 1).
- 60 We first set out to ensure that cyclization is the final step in cyclic prodiginine biosynthesis in 61 *P. rubra*, as is the case in *S. coelicolor*<sup>8</sup> (**Fig. 1c**). To this end, we constructed an in-frame  $\triangle pigE$ 62 mutant of P. rubra which, as expected, produced neither 1 nor 2, and upon feeding it 1, we were 63 able to detect 2 using LC-MS (Supplementary Fig. 1). This confirmed that, like in S. coelicolor, 64 the cyclization of 1 in P. rubra can occur as the final step in 2 biosynthesis. Further supporting this model is the observation that recombinant E. coli expressing P. rubra pigBCDE produces 1 65 but not 2 upon feeding with synthetic 4 (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2). 66
- Bioinformatic analysis of the *P. rubra* genome<sup>15</sup> revealed no *redG* homologs, and the only genes 67 68 encoding enzymes homologous to oxidases found in the pig cluster were those already ascribed 69 to steps in the 1 biosynthesis pathway. Upon examining the P. rubra pig cluster for genes not 70 present in Serratia (which does not produce 2), we noticed that while the two clusters show 71 near-perfectly conserved synteny, the *P. rubra* cluster actually lacks a *pigN* gene (**Fig. 1b**).

- 72 Curious about the absence of pigN from the P. rubra pig cluster, we searched the P. rubra
- 73 genome for pigN homologs. While no close pigN homologs could be found, we did detect a
- homolog of S. coelicolor redF which is thought to fulfill the role of pigN in S. coelicolor<sup>13,14</sup> in
- the *PRUB675* locus. (For a more in-depth analysis of the relationship between these proteins,
- which are all in the DUF\_1295 protein family, see **Supplementary Fig. 3**). In *Serratia*,
- 77 disruption of pigN impedes but does not abolish conversion of 4-hydroxy-2,2'-bipyrrole-5-
- carboxaldehyde (HBC, 5) into 4. This results in diminished production of 1 and the production of
- 79 norprodigiosin (6), which is formed by the PigC-catalyzed condensation of 5 with 3 as the former
- accumulates  $^{16}$ . The same phenotype was observed for *P. rubra*  $\triangle PRUB675$ , suggesting that
- 81 PRUB675 acts as P. rubra's pigN (Supplementary Fig. 4).
- Analysis of the gene neighborhood of *PRUB675* revealed that the gene appears to be part of a
- transcriptional unit with *PRUB680*, which bears homology to di-iron oxygenases. To our delight,
- deleting *PRUB680* in *P. rubra* abolished production of **2**, while **1** was left unaffected (**Fig. 2a**).
- Furthermore, heterologous expression of *PRUB680* alongside *pigBCDE* in *E. coli* fed **4** led to
- the formation of 2. Direct bioconversion of 1 to 2 by recombinant E. coli could only barely be
- 87 observed, which has also been found to be the case for the bioconversion of undecylprodigiosin
- to streptorubin B by Streptomyces expressing  $redG^8$ . We suspect that **1** is unable to cross the E.
- 89 *coli* cell wall effectively, while **4** can.
- 90 Bioinformatic analysis shows that PRUB680 shares no significant sequence similarity with
- 91 RedG, and is a member of the FA\_hydroxylase family of integral membrane di-iron oxygenases.
- 92 PRUB680 displays the characteristic eight-histidine motif that is essential for iron binding and
- catalysis in the FA hydroxylase enzyme family (**Supplementary Fig. 5**)<sup>17,18</sup>. Di-iron oxygenases
- are known to carry out a wide variety of C-H activation chemistries (**Supplementary Figs. 6**
- and **7**, and **Supplementary Table 2**)<sup>17</sup>, but so far none have been reported to catalyze oxidative
- 96 cyclization or C–C bond formation<sup>19</sup>.
- 97 The closest characterized homolog of PRUB680 is alkylglycerol monooxygenase (AGMO),
- 98 which is present only in metazoans and some protists, and forms an isolated eukaryotic branch
- 99 of the FA hydroxylase family. AGMO plays a central role in lipid homeostasis by catalyzing the
- breakdown of ether lipids, a deficit of which leads to the development of cataracts and disrupts
- 101 spermatogenesis in mice<sup>20</sup>.
- 102 AGMO stands out among di-iron oxygenases most of which obtain their reducing equivalents
- 103 from nicotinamide cofactors in that it utilizes pterin cofactors, which are thought to bind in a
- pocket on the cytosolic side of this transmembrane protein<sup>21</sup>. To ensure that a possible
- analogous pocket in PRUB680 would be accessible to exogenously-added reducing cofactors,
- we chose to pursue the *in vitro* characterization of PRUB680 in inverted membrane vesicles,
- 107 generated *via* sonication of *E. coli* spheroplasts<sup>22</sup>. Under these conditions, we were able to
- observe PRUB680-catalyzed conversion of 1 to 2. Like AGMO, PRUB680 appears to require
- 109 pterin cofactors to supply its reducing equivalents, accepting various pterins equally well (Fig.
- 110 **2b**). Nicotinamide and flavin cofactors are not accepted.
- PRUB680 is inhibited by EDTA, but activity can be recovered by iron supplementation (Fig. 2b),
- suggesting that, like all other characterized members of the FA hydroxylase family, PRUB680
- 113 utilizes iron to achieve catalysis. Copper and vanadium other metals known to facilitate
- enzymatic C–H activation <sup>19</sup> could not recover activity. On the basis of the mechanistic analysis
- of other di-iron enzymes<sup>17,18</sup>, we propose that the cyclization of **1** proceeds by abstraction of an

- 116 aliphatic hydrogen followed by addition of the radical into the tripyrrole  $\pi$  system
- 117 (Supplementary Fig. 8).
- 118 Besides the functional similarity between PRUB680 and AGMO, these enzymes' catalytic
- 119 domains share 43% sequence identity (Supplementary Fig. 9), and are predicted to have the
- same nine-transmembrane topology (**Supplementary Fig. 5**)<sup>21</sup>. Since thus far all efforts to express AGMO in microbial hosts have been unsuccessful<sup>20,23</sup>, PRUB680 may serve as a 120
- 121
- 122 convenient model system for the biochemical characterization of this enzyme.
- 123 PRUB680 resides in a predominantly prokaryotic clade of the FA hydroxylase protein family
- 124 (Fig. 6) which, considering the remarkable catalytic diversity displayed by the few members
- 125 characterized so far, promises to be a treasure trove of enzymes catalyzing novel C-H
- 126 activation reactivity. Moreover, some of these unexplored enzymes may be involved in C-H
- 127 activating steps in the biosynthesis of novel natural products. None of PRUB680's closest
- 128 homologs are found in organisms known to produce prodiginines (Supplementary Fig. 7),
- 129 suggesting PRUB680 is a functional outlier among enzymes that carry out other oxidative
- 130 chemistry. The genomic context of these homologs of gives no clear indication regarding their
- 131 functions (Supplementary Table 3).
- 132 Most characterized bacterial biosynthetic pathways are encoded by genes physically clustered
- 133 on the genome. In P. rubra however, the genes encoding prodiginine biosynthesis are split
- 134 across two loci – a situation we were alerted to by the absence of the strictly conserved gene
- 135 pigN. An analogous strategy may help identify biosynthetic enzymes that are not clustered with
- 136 their respective pathways in other organisms.
- 137 The exact role of PiqN in prodiginine biosynthesis is still unknown. Given that PiqB – which
- 138 catalyzes the final step of 3 biosynthesis – is predicted to have two transmembrane helices
- 139 (Supplementary Fig. 10), and the condensing enzyme PigC has been found to localize to the
- membrane when expressed heterologously<sup>24</sup>, we suspect that the concluding steps of 1 140
- 141 biosynthesis occur at the membrane. PiqN has five predicted transmembrane helices
- 142 (Supplementary Fig. 11) and might act to recruit PigF to the membrane. The presence of pigN
- 143 (or redF) is strictly conserved among prodiginine-producing organisms, despite the weak
- 144 phenotype of  $\Delta pigN$  merely changing the ratio of 1 to 6. P. rubra may have acquired pigA-M in
- 145 one horizontal gene transfer event, while acquiring PRUB675-680 independently, perhaps due
- 146 to strong selective pressure for a gene fulfilling the role of pigN.
- In short, we have shown that PRUB680, a membrane di-iron oxygenase-like enzyme, produces 147
- 148 2 by cyclization of 1, analogous to the cyclization of undecylprodigiosin to form streptorubin B
- 149 catalyzed by RedG, a Rieske oxygenase-like enzyme. Despite sharing no sequence similarity,
- both enzymes are predicted to employ histidine-ligated non-heme iron centers 18,25 to catalyze 150
- 151 the oxidative cyclization of prodiginines. PRUB680 bears strong homology to AGMO and has
- 152 similar cofactor requirements, and hence may serve as a prokaryotic model for the latter.
- 153 Furthermore, the large supply of uncharacterized bacterial enzymes related to PRUB680 may
- 154 provide a valuable source of novel C-H activation reactivity. PRUB680 itself may also prove
- 155 useful as a biocatalyst to produce novel prodiginines, as RedG has. The fact that cyclic
- 156 prodiginine biosynthesis evolved independently at least twice suggests there exists a strong
- 157 selective pressure to produce cyclic prodiginines. However, thus far the ecological role of the
- 158 prodiginines – and hence the adaptive advantage conferred by cyclic prodiginines – remains an
- 159 enigma.

## Acknowledgments

- We thank Adam Deutschbauer for gifting *E. coli* WM3064. We thank Itay Budin, Jorge Alonso-
- Gutierrez, Mitchell Thompson, David Fercher, Jesus Barajas, Christopher Eiben, Constance
- Bailey, Sarah Richardson, Maggie Brown, Megan Garber, Salome Nies, Corey Meadows, Taek
- 164 Soon Lee, Leonard Katz, and Sara Weschler for helpful discussions, Eduardo de Ugarte for
- work on the graphical abstract, as well as Susan Gardner, Libby Coyne and Mary Agnitsch for
- everyday support. We are grateful to ERASynBio (81861: "SynPath") to J.D.K. the NIGMS
- 167 (086374) to R.S. and the UC Berkeley SURF Rose Hills fellowship to P.S. for financial support.
- This work has been supported by the DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute (http://www.jbei.org) and
- the DOE Joint Genome Institute (http://jgi.doe.gov) by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of
- 170 Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, through contract DE-AC02-
- 171 05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the U.S. Department of
- 172 Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for
- publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up,
- irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or
- allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will
- provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the
- 177 DOE Public Access Plan
- 178 (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

179

180

160

### Author contributions

- 181 T.dR., R.E.J., R.S., and J.D.K. conceived of the study. T.dR., P.S. and I.E. constructed plasmids
- and performed microbiological manipulations and extractions, R.E.J. performed synthetic
- organic chemistry. T.dR., E.E.K.B., and C.J.P. performed analytical chemistry, LJ.G.C. and
- 184 C.J.P. performed proteomic analysis, and G.G. and N.J.H. PCR amplified and purified DNA
- fragments. T.dR. performed bioinformatic analysis. All authors contributed to the manuscript.

186

### 187 Competing financial interests

188 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## 190 References for main text

- 192 1. Papireddy, K. et al. *J Med Chem* **54**, 5296–5306 (2011).
- Stankovic, N., Senerovic, L., Ilic-Tomic, T., Vasiljevic, B. & Nikodinovic-Runic, J. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 98, 3841–3858 (2014).
- 195 3. Williamson, N.R. et al. *Future Microbiol* **2**, 605–618 (2007).
- 196 4. Montaner, B. & Pérez-Tomás, R. Life Sci 68, 2025–2036 (2001).
- 197 5. Yamamoto, C. et al. *Hepatology* **30**, 894–902 (1999).
- 198 6. Jones, B.T., Hu, D.X., Savoie, B.M. & Thomson, R.J. J Nat Prod 76, 1937–1945 (2013).
- 199 7. Lee, J.S. et al. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77**, 4967–4973 (2011).
- 200 8. Sydor, P.K. et al. *Nat Chem* **3**, 388–392 (2011).
- 201 9. Salem, S.M. et al. *J Am Chem Soc* **136**, 4565–4574 (2014).
- 202 10. Withall, D.M., Haynes, S.W. & Challis, G.L. J Am Chem Soc 137, 7889–7897 (2015).
- 203 11. Kimata, S., Izawa, M., Kawasaki, T. & Hayakawa, Y. *J Antibiot* **70**, 196–199 (2017).
- Kancharla, P., Lu, W., Salem, S.M., Kelly, J.X. & Reynolds, K.A. *J Org Chem* 79, 11674–
  11689 (2014).
- 206 13. Hu, D.X., Withall, D.M., Challis, G.L. & Thomson, R.J. Chem Rev 116, 7818–7853 (2016).
- 14. Williamson, N.R., Fineran, P.C., Leeper, F.J. & Salmond, G.P.C. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4, 887–
  899 (2006).
- 209 15. Xie, B.-B. et al. *J Bacteriol* **194**, 1637–1638 (2012).
- 210 16. Williamson, N.R. et al. *Mol Microbiol* **56**, 971–989 (2005).
- 211 17. Shanklin, J., Guy, J.E., Mishra, G. & Lindqvist, Y. J Biol Chem **284**, 18559–18563 (2009).
- 212 18. Bai, Y. et al. *Nature* **524**, 252–256 (2015).
- 213 19. Tang, M.-C., Zou, Y., Watanabe, K., Walsh, C.T. & Tang, Y. *Chem Rev* **117**, 5226–5333
- 214 (2017).
- 215 20. Watschinger, K. & Werner, E.R. *IUBMB Life* **65**, 366–372 (2013).
- 216 21. Watschinger, K. et al. *Biochem J* **443**, 279–286 (2012).
- 217 22. Futai, M. *J Membr Biol* **15**, 15–28 (1974).
- 218 23. Mayer, M. et al. *Pteridines* **24**, (2013).
- 24. Chawrai, S.R., Williamson, N.R., Mahendiran, T., Salmond, G.P.C. & Leeper, F.J. Chem.
- 220 Sci. 3, 447–454 (2012).
- 221 25. Barry, S.M. & Challis, G.L. ACS Catal 3, 2362–2370 (2013).

Figure legends for main text 222 223 224 Figure 1 225 Filename: fig1-1col-ver1b.ai 226 Biosynthesis of prodiginines in *P. rubra* compared to that in other organisms 227 (a) Hypothetical prodigiosin (1) biosynthetic pathway in P. rubra (by analogy to the pathway 228 elucidated in Serratia) and the cyclization of 1 into cycloprodigiosin (2) investigated in this work. (b) Comparison of the pig gene cluster in Serratia, which produces only 1, and P. rubra, which 229 230 produces both 1 and 2, shows that in the latter pigN is absent. The gene downstream of pigM in 231 P. rubra shows no similarity to pigN. Also shown is an excerpt of P. rubra contig 4, which is 232 discussed in this work. Genes shaded pink have homology to Serratia pig genes and/or to 233 Streptomyces red genes. (c) The Rieske monooxygenase-like RedG catalyzes the 234 carbocyclization of undecylprodigiosin to form streptorubin B, motivating our search for the 235 enzyme responsible for the analogous cyclization of 1 in *P. rubra*. 236 237 Figure 2 238 Filename: figure2-smaller.ai 239 Analysis of prodigiosin cyclization in vivo and in vitro 240 (a) In vivo production of prodiginines. For experiments in E. coli, the cells were fed MBC (4), 241 which is turned into prodigiosin (1) by PigBCDE. 1 is in turn converted to cycloprodigiosin (2) by 242 PRUB680 if present. For standards, see **Supplementary Fig. 1**. (b) *In vitro* experiments with 243 PRUB680 in inverted E. coli membrane vesicles. Vesicles were shaken with 10 µM 1 in the 244 presence of the indicated cofactors. Reactions were initiated by addition of 250 µM reducing 245 cofactor. For metal dependency experiments (right), vesicles were incubated with 4 mM EDTA, 246 followed by 5 mM metal ion, before initiating the reaction with 250 µM (6R)-tetrahydrobiopterin. 247 Error bars represent s.d. of triplicates.

# 249 Online methods

### 250 Synthetic chemistry

251 Cycloprodigiosin ( $\mathbf{2}$ )<sup>26</sup> and MBC ( $\mathbf{4}$ )<sup>27</sup> were synthesized as described previously.

### 252 **Bacterial cultivation**

- 253 E. coli was propagated at 37 °C on LB agar or in LB broth. For the cultivation of P. rubra (at 30
- °C), these media were supplemented with 10% v/v 180 g/L Instant Ocean Sea Salt (IO)
- 255 (Spectrum Brands, Blacksburg, VA), autoclaved separately. Descriptions of bacterial strains
- employed in this study are provided in **Supplementary Table 4**.

#### 257 Plasmid construction

- 258 DNA assembly protocols were designed using j5 and DeviceEditor software<sup>28</sup>. Descriptions of
- 259 plasmids employed in this study are provided in **Supplementary Table 5**. Assembly of DNA
- 260 fragments (**Supplementary Table 6**) was performed using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly
- 261 Master Mix or NEB Golden Gate Assembly Mix (NEB) per manufacturer's directions. The 11 kb
- 262 pigBCDE fragment was cloned behind a T7 promoter using the Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning
- 263 Kit (ThermoFisher).

264

285

### Targeted gene disruptions in P. rubra

- We employed conjugative transfer of a suicide plasmid following literature precedent<sup>29</sup>,
- 266 however, counterselection with SacB was not effective in our hands. This held true even with
- sacB under the control of promoters expressed highly in P. rubra, as determined by shotgun
- proteomics (**Supplementary Table 7**). Instead, we replaced *sacB* with *lacZ* and identified
- double crossovers by blue-white screening (**Supplementary Fig. 12**). *E. coli* WM3064 was
- 270 transformed with suicide vectors conferring both erythromycin and chloramphenicol resistance
- markers under the control of the *P. rubra* elongation factor G (*PRUB9669* on contig 67)
- promoter, the *P. rubra* 30S ribosomal protein S13 promoter (*PRUB13406* on contig 115, this is
- 273 actually a polycistronic locus with a number of ribosomal proteins and an RNA polymerase
- 274 subunit) driving *lacZ*, and ~1 kb regions homologous to those upstream and downstream of the
- target. After overnight growth on LB agar with 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol, 100 µM X-gal, and
- 276 300 μM diaminopimelic acid (DAP), a colony was patched directly on LB agar with 4% v/v 180
- 277 g/L IO and 300 μM DAP, with a wild-type *P. rubra* colony patched on top. After conjugating at 30
- 278 °C overnight, the patch was struck out for single colonies on LB agar with 10% v/v 180 g/L IO.
- 25 μg/mL erythromycin, and 500 μM X-gal. Blue colonies (single-crossovers) were passaged
- 280 until homogeneous. These were then sub-cultured on the same growth media without
- erythromycin, and white colonies were isolated and confirmed to be sensitive to erythromycin.
- To distinguish double-crossovers from revertants, colony PCR was performed by picking
- colonies into neat DMSO, diluting 1:10 with water and using that as template (1% v/v) with
- 5Prime HotMasterMix polymerase and primers as specified in **Supplementary Table 6**.

#### Prodiginine production in *P. rubra*

- 286 P. rubra was grown in 50 mL 20% v/v LB, 10% v/v 180 g/L Instant Ocean, 70% de-ionized
- water, in a 250 mL baffled shake flask. After 12 hours of growth at 30 °C, 2 mL of the culture
- 288 was extracted with 3 mL 1:1 chloroform:methanol. The organic layer was evaporated to
- dryness, dissolved in ethanol, diluted 1:1 in de-ionized water, and analyzed by LC-MRM-MS
- and LC-TOF-MS.

### Heterologous prodiginine production in *E. coli*

291

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313314

315316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

292 E. coli BLR (DE3) was transformed with plasmids containing pigBCDE and either PRUB680 or 293 RFP. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:10 into LB supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 294 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol and grown at 37 °C to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6, upon which they were 295 induced with 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C for 16 h. 10 mL of cells were harvested by centrifugation 296 (8,000 g, 5 min), resuspended in 300 µL of LB medium, and spread onto agar plates with 0.1 297 mM IPTG and antibiotics as before. 10 µL of 1 mM MBC in 1:3 DMSO:water was spotted onto 298 the plates, which were left to grow overnight at 30 °C. The pink halo (as can be seen in 299 Supplementary Fig. 2) was scraped off and resuspended in 1 mL de-ionized water with 2% 300 TFA by vigorous vortexing. The cell suspension was extracted with 2 mL of 1:1 v/v 301 chloroform:methanol. The organic layer was evaporated to dryness dissolved in ethanol, diluted 302 1:1 in de-ionized water, and analyzed by LC-MRM-MS.

### In vitro analysis of PRUB680 in inverted E. coli membrane vesicles

An overnight culture of E. coli BLR (DE3) containing pET28-PRUB680 was diluted 1:10 into 3 × 500 mL LB supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin in 2 L baffled flasks and grown at 37 °C. When the cells reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6, the flasks were cooled to 18 °C and induced with 100 mM IPTG for 3 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 g, 10 min), washed with 30 mL spheroplasting buffer (30% sucrose, 200 mM Tris·HCl pH 8.0, 2mM EDTA) and incubated rocking for 30 min at room temperature in 30mL spheroplasting buffer + 3 mg lysozyme. Spheroplasts were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 g, 10 min), resuspended in 30 mL assay buffer (100 mM HEPES·KOH pH 7.8, 50 mM K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 1% v/v Sigma Protease Inhibitor Cocktail P8849), divided into 20 × 1.5 mL in 2 mL centrifuge tubes, and sonicated in a cup-horn sonicator (Qsonica Q700 with 431MPX horn, Amplitude: 75%, 1 min on, 1 min off) for 45 min. of total "on" time. The water bath temperature was maintained between 3 and 10 °C. The tubes were centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C, the supernatants combined and again centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was centrifuged at 120,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C and the orange pellet thoroughly resuspended in 22 mL assay buffer. For each reaction, 500 µL of the vesicle preparation was used. For the metal dependence experiments, EDTA was added to a final concentration of 4 mM, the mixture incubated at 4 °C for 5 min. followed by the addition of metal at a concentration of 5 mM and incubation at 4 °C for 5 min. For all experiments, 10 µM prodigiosin (Enzo Life Sciences, 100X stock solution prepared at 1 mM in 20% v/v ethanol in water) was added, the mixture transferred to a round-bottom glass tube (16 mm × 100 mm) at room temperature. The reaction was started by adding reducing cofactor (NADH, NADPH, (6R)- or (6S)-tetrhydrobiopterin, or tetrahydrofolate, all from Sigma-Aldrich) at 250 µM, or, in the case of FMNH<sub>2</sub>, adding FMN to the mixture pre-loaded with a cofactor generation system consisting of glucose-6-phosphate (20mM), 250 µM NADP<sup>+</sup>, 1 unit/mL glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and 1 unit/mL NADPH:FMN oxioreductase from Photobacterium fischeri (all from Sigma-Aldrich). Enzymatic generation of FMNH<sub>2</sub> was necessary because the enzyme is inactivated by sodium dithionite. The in situ reduction of FMN to FMNH<sub>2</sub> was verified by observing the loss of yellow color. Metal dependence experiments used 250 µM (6R)-tetrahydrobiopterin. After shaking at 200RPM at room temperature for 10 min, reactions were quenched using 2 mL 1:1 v/v chloroform:methanol. 500 µL de-ionized water was added, the organic layer evaporated to dryness, dissolved in ethanol, diluted 1:1 with deionized water, and analyzed by LC-MRM-MS. Peak areas were calculated using Analyst 1.6.2. To calculate relative enzyme activity, cycloprodigiosin peak areas were normalized to the prodigiosin starting material peak areas to correct for extraction efficiency (< 0.1% conversion

- had occurred under all conditions), and to a (6R)-tetrahydrobiopterin reaction to normalize for
- enzyme activity differences between vesicle preparations. All conditions shown in **Figure 2b**,
- except for FMNH<sub>2</sub>, were performed in parallel with the same vesicle preparation.

### 340 LC-MS acquisition and data analysis

- 341 LC-MRM-MS was performed on an AB Sciex 4000 QTRAP with an Agilent 1200 series LC
- system. 1 µL of sample was injected onto a Phenomenex Kinetex XB-C18 (3 mm × 100 mm)
- 343 column. Mobile phase: A = 10 mM ammonium formate, brought to pH 4.5 with formic acid, B =
- methanol buffered identically to A. Method: 35% B for 5 min, ramp from 35% to 80% B in 30
- min, 80% B for 8 min, ramp to 35% B in 2 min, re-equilibrate at 35% B for 15 min, all at a flow
- rate of 200 µL/min. A Turbo Spray V ion source was used in positive ion mode (curtain gas: 20
- L/min, temperature: 600 °C, voltage: 4800 V, source gas: 50 L/min, entrance potential: 8 V,
- 348 collision energy: 45, declustering potential: 45 V, column temperature: 50 °C, Q1 resolution:
- high, Q3 resolution: unit). The transitions monitored ( $324 \rightarrow 252$  for 1,  $322 \rightarrow 292$  for 2) were
- based on published tandem MS spectra<sup>7,30</sup>.
- 351 LC-TOF-HRMS was performed on an Agilent 1200 series Rapid Resolution HPLC system.
- 352 Mobile phases were the same as above. 2 µL of sample was injected onto a Phenomenex
- 353 Kinetex XB-C18 (3 mm × 50 mm) column. Method: 30% B for 8 min, ramp from 30% to 80% B
- in 20 min, 80% B for 4 min, ramp to 35% B in 1 min, re-equilibrate at 30% B for 7 min, all at a
- 355 flow rate: 200 μL/min. An Agilent ESI source was used in the positive ion mode (drying gas: 11
- L/min, temperature: 325 °C, capillary voltage: 3500 V, nebulizer gas: 25 lb/in², fragmentor: 150
- 357 V, skimmer: 50 V, declustering potential: 45 V, column temperature: 50 °C, OCT 1 RF Vpp: 170
- 358 V).

359

### Semi-quantitative shotgun proteomics

- 360 Cell lysis and protein precipitation were performed using a chloroform-methanol extraction as
- previously described<sup>31</sup>. The protein pellet was re-suspended in 100 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)HCO<sub>3</sub> with 20%
- 362 methanol and the protein concentration was measured using the DC Protein Assay Kit (Bio-
- Rad). The protein was reduced with 5 mM TCEP for 30 minutes at room temperature, alkylated
- with 10 mM iodoacetamide for 30 minutes in the dark at room temperature, and digested with
- trypsin (1:50 w/w, trypsin:protein) overnight at 37 °C.
- 366 Peptide samples (20 μg) were analyzed on an Agilent 6550 iFunnel Q-TOF mass spectrometer
- 367 coupled to an Agilent 1290 UHPLC system (Agilent Technologies). Peptides were loaded into
- an Ascentis Express Peptisde ES-C18 column (100 mm x 2.1 mm i.d., 2.7 µm particle size;
- 369 Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) operating at 60 °C and flowing at 0.400 mL/min. Mobile
- phase: A = 0.1% formic acid in water, B = 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. Method: 2% B for 2
- 371 min, ramp from 2% to 30% B over 30 min, ramp to 50% over 5 min, ramp to 80% over 1 min,
- 372 hold at 80% B for 7 min, ramp to 2% B over 1 min, hold at 2% B for 4 min. An Agilent Dual Jet
- 373 Stream Electrospray Ionization source was used in positive-ion mode. Gas temperature: 250 °C.
- drying gas: 14 L/min, nebulizer: 35 psig, sheath gas temp: 250 °C, sheath gas flow: 11 L/min,
- 375 VCap: 4,500 V, nozzle voltage: 1,000 V, fragmentor: 180 V, and OCT 1 RF Vpp: 750 V.
- 376 The data were acquired with Agilent MassHunter Workstation version B.06.01 and searched
- against the *P. rubra* genome using Mascot version 2.3.02 (Matrix Science), then filtered and
- 378 refined using Scaffold version 4.6.1 (Proteome Software Inc.).

- 379 **Bioinformatics**
- 380 Pre-aligned Uniprot-RP75 (representative proteome clustered at 75% sequence identity)
- 381 sequences for the FA hydroxylase (PF04116) family were obtained directly from Pfam version
- 382 3.0<sup>32</sup>. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was built with Fasttree using default
- parameters<sup>33</sup>. Branches were assigned colors based on metadata from the Uniprot database<sup>34</sup>.
- The tree was rendered using iTOL version 3<sup>35</sup>.
- 385 Data Availability Statement
- 386 Strains and plasmids developed for this study (**Supplementary Table 4** and **Supplementary**
- **Table 5**) along with annotated sequences, have been deposited in the public instance of the
- JBEI Registry (<a href="https://public-registry.jbei.org/folders/260">https://public-registry.jbei.org/folders/260</a>) and are physically available from the
- authors and/or addgene (http://www.addgene.org) upon request.
- 390 Methods-only references

- 391 26. Johnson, R.E., de Rond, T., Lindsay, V.N.G., Keasling, J.D. & Sarpong, R. *Org Lett* **17**, 392 3474–3477 (2015).
- 393 27. Dairi, K., Tripathy, S., Attardo, G. & Lavallée, J.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett* **47**, 2605–2606 (2006).
- 394 28. Hillson, N.J., Rosengarten, R.D. & Keasling, J.D. ACS Synth Biol 1, 14–21 (2012).
- 395 29. Wang, P. et al. *Microb Cell Fact* **14**, 11 (2015).
- 396 30. Alihosseini, F., Lango, J., Ju, K.-S., Hammock, B.D. & Sun, G. *Biotechnol Prog* **26**, 352–397 360 (2010).
- 398 31. Batth, T.S., Keasling, J.D. & Petzold, C.J. *Methods Mol Biol* **944**, 237–249 (2012).
- 399 32. Finn, R.D. et al. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D222–30 (2014).
- 400 33. Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S. & Arkin, A.P. *Mol Biol Evol* **26**, 1641–1650 (2009).
- 401 34. UniProt Consortium *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, D204–12 (2015).
- 402 35. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W242–5 (2016).





