Visualization of clustered protocadherin neuronal self-recognition complexes

Julia Brasch<sup>1,2,3,10</sup>, Kerry M. Goodman<sup>1,3,10</sup>, Alex J. Noble<sup>2</sup>,

Micah Rapp<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Seetha Mannepalli<sup>1,3</sup>, Fabiana Bahna<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Venkata P. Dandey<sup>2</sup>,

Tristan Bepler<sup>7,8</sup>, Bonnie Berger<sup>8,9</sup>, Tom Maniatis<sup>1,3</sup>, Clinton S. Potter<sup>2,3</sup>,

Bridget Carragher<sup>2,3</sup>, Barry Honig<sup>1,3,4,5,6\*</sup>, and Lawrence Shapiro<sup>1,3,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zuckerman Mind, Brain and Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Simons Electron Microscopy Center, New York Structural Biology Center, The National Resource for Automated Molecular Microscopy, New York, NY 10027, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, <sup>4</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, <sup>5</sup>Department of Systems Biology, and <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Computational and Systems Biology, <sup>8</sup>Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, and <sup>9</sup>Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.

<sup>10</sup>Equal contribution

\*Correspondence: bh6@cumc.columbia.edu (B.H.); lss8@columbia.edu (L.S.)

#### Abstract

Neurite self-recognition and avoidance are fundamental properties of all nervous systems<sup>1</sup>. These processes facilitate dendritic arborization<sup>2,3</sup>, prevent formation of autapses<sup>4</sup>, and allow free interaction among non-self neurons<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>. Avoidance among self-neurites is mediated by stochastic cell-surface expression of combinations of  $\sim 60 \alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -clustered protocadherin (Pcdh) isoforms, which provide mammalian neurons with single-cell identities<sup>1,2,4-13</sup>. Avoidance is observed between neurons expressing identical Pcdh repertoires<sup>2,5</sup>, with single isoform differences sufficient to prevent self-recognition<sup>10</sup>. Pcdhs form isoform-promiscuous cis dimers and isoformspecific homophilic trans dimers<sup>10,14-20</sup>. While these interactions have been characterized in isolation<sup>15,17-20</sup>, structures for full-length Pcdh ectodomains have not been determined; how these two interfaces engage in self-recognition between neuronal surfaces remains unknown. Here, using X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), we determine the molecular arrangement of full-length Pcdh ectodomains in single-isoform self-recognition complexes. We determined the crystal structure of the Pcdh yB4 ectodomain, revealing a zipper-like lattice formed by alternating *cis* and *trans* interactions. Using cryo-ET, we show that Pcdh  $\gamma$ B6 ectodomains tethered to liposomes spontaneously assemble into linear arrays at membrane contact sites, in a configuration consistent with the assembly observed in the crystal structure. These linear assemblies pack against each other as parallel arrays to form larger two-dimensional structures between membranes. Our results suggest that formation of ordered linear assemblies by Pcdhs represents the initial self-recognition step in neuronal avoidance, supporting the isoform mismatch chain-termination model of Pcdh-mediated self-recognition, which depends on such linear chains<sup>11</sup>.

#### Main text

We determined a low-resolution crystal structure of a full-length  $\gamma$ B4-Pcdh ectodomain, which comprises six extracellular cadherin domains (EC1–6), revealing an extended zipper-like assembly (Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1). The  $\gamma$ B4 molecules form *cis*dimers through an asymmetric interaction between EC5 and EC6 of one protomer with EC6 of the other (Fig. 1a), and head-to-tail EC1–4-mediated *trans* interactions between distinct *cis* dimers in the crystal generating a one-dimensional zipper-like array (Fig. 1b). The *cis* and *trans* interactions are similar to those observed separately in crystal structures of  $\gamma$ B-Pcdh fragments<sup>15-</sup> <sup>20</sup>. Root mean square deviations (RMSDs) over aligned C $\alpha$  atoms are 2–3 Å (Extended Data Fig. 2), suggesting that little conformational change is required for zipper formation. The *cis* dimers in the zipper are arranged as if emanating from two apposed membrane surfaces and the array is regular and could theoretically propagate indefinitely.

While the crystal structure of the Pcdh- $\gamma$ B4 ectodomain reveals a polymeric structure assembled from *cis* and *trans* interactions, analytical ultracentrifugation experiments have shown that complete  $\gamma$ B-Pcdh ectodomains form dimers-of-dimers in solution<sup>15,18</sup>. To understand this difference, we determined the overall architecture of these complexes in solution using single particle cryo-ET on purified full-length Pcdh  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub> ectodomains<sup>21</sup> preserved in vitreous ice (Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 3, Supplementary Video 1). Sub-tomogram averaging yielded a 3D map, with resolution calculated to be ~35Å by Fourier shell correlation (FSC=0.143), showing an asymmetrical elongated ellipsoidal shape with readily distinguishable characteristics closely resembling individual observed particles, in which extended Pcdh ectodomains appear to wrap around each other in the central regions, and cross at the top and bottom (Fig. 2a). 2D-class averages obtained from individual images provide domain-level resolution and show the solution complex is a dimer of two bi-antennary *cis* dimers joined through *trans* binding interactions in each arm (Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 4). Consistent with this configuration, the interacting EC5–

6/EC6 regions of the  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  *cis*-dimer structure could be readily docked into the map (Fig. 2b). However, the EC3–4-regions from the  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  structure did not fit within the map without allowing flexibility at the EC4:EC5 junction, suggesting a conformational change relative to the fragment crystal structure (Extended Data Fig. 5, Extended Data Table 2). Similarly, fitting two copies of the *trans* dimer from the  $\gamma B2_{EC1-5}$  crystal structure into the map necessitated bending and rotation of the *trans* dimers about the EC2:EC3 junctions; this was particularly pronounced in one of the two *trans* dimer arms (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 5). These conformational differences with respect to the fragment crystal structures (Fig. 2e) facilitate formation of a compact dimer-of-dimers with all four protomers simultaneously engaging in both *cis* and *trans* interactions<sup>20</sup> (Fig. 2b). The deformation of *cis*- and *trans*-dimer crystal structures required to form the dimer-of-dimers suggests that it may represent a high-energy conformation.

To determine if dimer-of-dimers or zipper assemblies form between membranes, we tethered Pcdh  $\gamma$ B6 ectodomains to liposome surfaces<sup>22</sup> on which ectodomains could freely diffuse, mimicking a native membrane environment (Fig. 3a). Wild-type  $\gamma$ B-Pcdhs mediated robust liposome aggregation, which was dependent on *trans* interactions (Fig. 3b), and mimicked Pcdh behavior on cell surfaces<sup>10,14,15</sup> and in solution<sup>15</sup>. To visualize Pcdhs at membrane contact sites, we preserved wild-type  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub> aggregates in vitreous ice and performed cryo-ET studies (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 6, and Supplementary Video 2, 3). Reconstructed tomograms revealed contacting liposomes with constant intermembrane spacings of ~375 Å between parallel membranes, with ordered protein density between adherent membranes. Different views of the Pcdh assembly were identifiable in the tomograms (Fig. 3c-e): an ellipsoid 'front' view, extending continuously through the volume of the tomogram (Supplementary Video 2, 3, Extended Data Fig. 7); a striped, zipper-like 'side' view; and a dotted, regular, grid-like 'top' view that was evident in tomographic slices through Pcdh layers connecting vertically stacked liposomes. Visual comparison of  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub> assemblies between membranes (Fig. 3c and e) with

the Pcdh zipper observed in the crystal structure of  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  (Fig. 1) indicates a high level of correspondence, with the major views evident in the tomogram each consistent with corresponding views of the zipper (Fig. 3c and e). Pcdhs thus form continuous ordered assemblies in a native-like membrane environment.

To determine the role of the *cis* interface observed in our crystal structures, we repeated the cryo-ET experiments with  $\gamma$ B6 *cis*-mutant V563D<sup>18</sup> on liposomes. As expected, since the *trans* interface is intact, this mutant mediated liposome aggregation similar to wild-type  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub> (Fig. 3b). However, although ectodomains still accumulated at liposome contact sites in tomograms, and single *trans* dimers could be observed, the characteristic ordered front, side, and top views of the zipper-like arrays from wild-type experiments were absent (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Video 4), demonstrating that ordered Pcdh assembly between membranes depends on *cis* interactions observed in crystal structures.

We next sought to characterize the correspondence between the crystallographic  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$ zipper and the intermembrane assembly observed by cryo-ET. We fitted a portion of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$ zipper from the crystal structure into consecutive slices following a front view array through the tomogram volume, revealing close correspondence between the crystal structure and the assembly from the tomogram (Extended Data Fig. 7).

To facilitate docking of multiple crystallographic  $\gamma$ B-Pcdh zipper-arrays into density, we generated segmented maps for protein density and lipid bilayers using a convolutional neural network (Fig. 4a–b, d, Extended Data Fig. 8, and Supplementary Video 5). Thirteen distinct zipper-arrays, comprising up to 14 *cis* dimers each, were fitted into the protein density (Fig. 4c and f), with good correspondence to the segmented protein map (Supplementary Video 5). 'Top views' of segmented maps depicting Pcdhs assembled between the apposed membranes of vertically stacked liposomes (Fig. 4d) revealed a repeating pattern where distances measured

between protomers mid-way through the zipper (Fig 4e) alternated between short and long, with remarkable correspondence to distances measured from the crystal structure (Fig. 4f). Average distance error between the lattices observed in the crystal structure and the tomogram were <3Å (<5%) for the three zippers assessed. Neighboring zipper assemblies are arranged such that they all propagate in parallel, allowing each to extend unimpeded (Fig. 4c and f). This parallel alignment could arise due to packing effects between the matching sawtooth structures of neighboring zippers (Fig. 4e and f and Supplementary Video 5), but display no consistent molecular interactions between protomers.

The formation of zipper-like structures by Pcdhs between interacting membranes was previously hypothesized based on the *cis* and *trans* interactions revealed in biophysical studies<sup>15,17,18,20</sup>. Here we have shown that, despite their tendency to form dimers-of-dimers in solution, a zipper-like lattice is the favored assembly formed by  $\gamma$ B-Pcdhs between interacting membrane surfaces. Since Pcdhs from all subfamilies have similar overall structures and utilize similar *cis* and *trans* interfaces<sup>15-20</sup>, all Pcdhs can likely incorporate into such zipper-like arrays<sup>15,18</sup>. While dimers-of-dimers were not observed in the tomographic volumes we analyzed, we cannot rule out the possibility that this species could form between membranes when both arms of the interacting *cis* dimers are matched.

Assembly of Pcdhs into a zipper-like superstructure, in combination with the known homophilic specificity of *trans* interactions and promiscuity of *cis* interactions, inspired a chain-termination model for Pcdh-mediated self-vs-non-self discrimination<sup>11,15</sup>. In this model, large zipper assemblies can form between membrane surfaces expressing identical subsets of Pcdh isoforms (e.g. neurites from the same neuron), triggering a signal leading to avoidance (Fig. 4g). Our tomography results show that contiguous zippers indeed extend the entire length of the contact region between membrane surfaces, and neighboring zippers can form in parallel, further increasing the size of the assembly. In contrast, when isoform repertoires are not identical (e.g.

neurites from different neurons), mismatched isoforms are incorporated, preventing further growth of the zipper assemblies and limiting their size below a presumed signaling threshold mediated by Pcdh intracellular domains <sup>5</sup> (Fig. 4g). Computational analyses have demonstrated that this mechanism can account for self-vs-non-self discrimination amongst thousands of interacting neurons<sup>11,15,20</sup>.

Our results raise the question as to whether the specific arrangement of Pcdh molecules in the zipper assembly is important for downstream signaling, for example by coupling to structured elements in the cytoplasm, or alternatively, whether the role of the zipper is simply to increase the concentration of Pcdhs at cell-cell contacts. Cell-based assays show that Pcdhs achieve high concentrations at contacts<sup>10</sup>, but whether these concentrations are substantially lowered when mismatched isoforms are present and chains are terminated when they are short<sup>15</sup>, remains a critical question. The details of the Pcdh-initiated signaling cascade that lead to neuronal avoidance<sup>5,23-27</sup> are not yet well defined. While Pcdh ectodomains are known to be cleaved by a metalloproteinase and  $\gamma$ -secretase<sup>28</sup>, it is unclear whether this function is involved in avoidance. Nevertheless, the structures of Pcdh recognition complexes reported here provide support for the chain-termination model for Pcdh-mediated self-recognition<sup>15</sup>, and a basis for future studies of the molecular mechanisms underlying neuronal avoidance.

#### References

- Zipursky, S. L. & Grueber, W. B. in *Annual Review of Neuroscience, Vol 36* Vol. 36
   *Annual Review of Neuroscience* (ed S. E. Hyman) 547-568 (2013).
- 2 Lefebvre, J. L., Kostadinov, D., Chen, W. V., Maniatis, T. & Sanes, J. R. Protocadherins mediate dendritic self-avoidance in the mammalian nervous system. *Nature* 488, 517-521, doi:10.1038/nature11305 (2012).

- 3 Molumby, M. J., Keeler, A. B. & Weiner, J. A. Homophilic Protocadherin Cell-Cell Interactions Promote Dendrite Complexity. *Cell Reports* 15, 1037-1050, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.093 (2016).
- Kostadinov, D. & Sanes, J. R. Protocadherin-dependent dendritic self-avoidance regulates neural connectivity and circuit function. *Elife* 4, doi:10.7554/eLife.08964 (2015).
- 5 Mountoufaris, G. *et al.* Multicluster Pcdh diversity is required for mouse olfactory neural circuit assembly. *Science* **356**, 411-414, doi:10.1126/science.aai8801 (2017).
- Wu, Q. & Maniatis, T. A striking organization of a large family of human neural cadherin-like cell adhesion genes. *Cell* 97, 779-790, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80789-8 (1999).
- 7 Wu, Q. *et al.* Comparative DNA sequence analysis of mouse and human protocadherin gene clusters. *Genome Research* **11**, 389-404, doi:10.1101/gr.167301 (2001).
- 8 Zipursky, S. L. & Sanes, J. R. Chemoaffinity Revisited: Dscams, Protocadherins, and Neural Circuit Assembly. *Cell* 143, 343-353, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.009 (2010).
- 9 Yagi, T. Molecular codes for neuronal individuality and cell assembly in the brain. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* **5**, doi:10.3389/fnmol.2012.00045 (2012).
- 10 Thu, C. A. *et al.* Single-Cell Identity Generated by Combinatorial Homophilic Interactions between alpha, beta, and gamma Protocadherins. *Cell* **158**, 1045-1059, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.07.012 (2014).
- Rubinstein, R., Goodman, K. M., Maniatis, T., Shapiro, L. & Honig, B. Structural origins of clustered protocadherin-mediated neuronal barcoding. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* 69, 140-150, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.07.023</u> (2017).
- 12 Toyoda, S. *et al.* Developmental Epigenetic Modification Regulates Stochastic Expression of Clustered Protocadherin Genes, Generating Single Neuron Diversity. *Neuron* 82, 94-108, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.005</u> (2014).

- Ing-Esteves, S. *et al.* Combinatorial Effects of Alpha- and Gamma-Protocadherins on Neuronal Survival and Dendritic Self-Avoidance. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 38, 2713-2729, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3035-17.2018 (2018).
- Schreiner, D. & Weiner, J. A. Combinatorial homophilic interaction between gammaprotocadherin multimers greatly expands the molecular diversity of cell adhesion.
   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107, 14893-14898, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004526107 (2010).
- Rubinstein, R. *et al.* Molecular Logic of Neuronal Self-Recognition through
   Protocadherin Domain Interactions. *Cell* 163, 629-642, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.026
   (2015).
- Nicoludis, J. M. *et al.* Structure and Sequence Analyses of Clustered Protocadherins Reveal Antiparallel Interactions that Mediate Homophilic Specificity. *Structure* 23, 2087-2098, doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.09.005 (2015).
- Goodman, K. M. *et al.* Structural Basis of Diverse Homophilic Recognition by Clustered alpha- and beta-Protocadherins. *Neuron* 90, 709-723, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.004 (2016).
- 18 Goodman, K. M. *et al.* γ-Protocadherin structural diversity and functional implications. *eLife* 5, e20930, doi:10.7554/eLife.20930 (2016).
- 19 Nicoludis, J. M. *et al.* Antiparallel protocadherin homodimers use distinct affinity- and specificity-mediating regions in cadherin repeats 1-4. *eLife* **5** (2016).
- 20 Goodman, K. M. *et al.* Protocadherin *cis*-dimer architecture and recognition unit diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, E9829-E9837, doi:10.1073/pnas.1713449114 (2017).
- 21 Noble, A. J. *et al.* Routine single particle CryoEM sample and grid characterization by tomography. *eLife* **7**, e34257, doi:10.7554/eLife.34257 (2018).
- Harrison, O. J. *et al.* The Extracellular Architecture of Adherens Junctions Revealed by Crystal Structures of Type I Cadherins. *Structure* 19, 244-256, doi:10.1016/j.str.2010.11.016 (2011).

- Schalm, S. S., Ballif, B. A., Buchanan, S. M., Phillips, G. R. & Maniatis, T.
   Phosphorylation of protocadherin proteins by the receptor tyrosine kinase Ret.
   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 13894-13899, doi:10.1073/pnas.1007182107 (2010).
- 24 Suo, L., Lu, H., Ying, G., Capecchi, M. R. & Wu, Q. Protocadherin clusters and cell adhesion kinase regulate dendrite complexity through Rho GTPase. *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology* 4, 362-376, doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjs034 (2012).
- Keeler, A. B., Schreiner, D. & Weiner, J. A. Protein Kinase C Phosphorylation of a γ Protocadherin C-terminal Lipid Binding Domain Regulates Focal Adhesion Kinase
   Inhibition and Dendrite Arborization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 290, 20674-20686, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.642306 (2015).
- Mah, K. M. & Weiner, J. A. Regulation of Wnt signaling by protocadherins. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* 69, 158-171,
   doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.07.043</u> (2017).
- 27 Fan, L. *et al.* Alpha protocadherins and Pyk2 kinase regulate cortical neuron migration and cytoskeletal dynamics via Rac1 GTPase and WAVE complex in mice. *eLife* 7, e35242, doi:10.7554/eLife.35242 (2018).
- 28 Haas, I. G., Frank, M., Veron, N. & Kemler, R. Presenilin-dependent processing and nuclear function of gamma-protocadherins. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 280, 9313-9319, doi:10.1074/jbc.M412909200 (2005).

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank D. Neau, S. Banerjee, and S. Narayanasami for help with synchrotron data collection conducted at the APS NE-CAT 24-ID-C beamline, supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) P41GM103403. We acknowledge support from a National Science Foundation grant (MCB-1412472) to B.H., an NIH grants (R01MH114817) to T.M. and L.S.,

(F32GM128303) to A.J.N, and (R01GM081871) to B.B. Electron microscopy was performed at the Simons Electron Microscopy Center (SEMC) and National Resource for Automated Molecular Microscopy located at the New York Structural Biology Center, supported by grants from the Simons Foundation (SF349247), NYSTAR, and NIH (GM103310 and OD019994) and from Agouron Institute (F00316). We thank E. Eng and L.Y. Yen for technical support at SEMC.

#### **Author contributions**

J.B., K.M.G., T.M., B.H., and L.S. designed experiments. J.B. performed liposome assays and all electron microscopy experiments. K.M.G. performed crystallography experiments. A.J.N. provided assistance with tomography imaging and with the reconstruction of the tomograms. S.M., F.B., and K.M.G. cloned, expressed, and purified proteins. M.R. performed annotation of tomograms. V.P.D. prepared single-particle EM grids using Spotiton. T.B. and B.B. developed the neural network particle picker. C.S.P. and B.C. oversaw the electron microscopy. B.H. and L.S. supervised the project. J.B. and K.M.G. prepared figures. J.B., K.M.G., and L.S. prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. J.B., K.M.G., A.J.N., T.M., C.S.P., B.C., B.H., and L.S. edited the manuscript.

#### **Author Information**

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. Authors declare no competing interests. Readers are welcome to comment of the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.H. (bh6@cumc.columbia.edu) or L.S. (lss8@columbia.edu).

#### **Figure Legends**

Figure 1: Crystal structure of the Pcdh  $\gamma$ B4 ectodomain reveals a zipper-like assembly a, Asymmetric unit of the  $\gamma$ B4 crystal structure containing two  $\gamma$ B4<sub>EC1-6</sub> protomers (green and blue) engaged in the asymmetrical *cis*-dimer interaction. **b**, Zipper-like array of  $\gamma$ B4 through EC1–4-mediated *trans* interactions between two-fold related *cis* dimers. Three orthogonal views shown with bound calcium ions (violet spheres).  $\gamma$ B4 molecules interacting *in trans* are shown in identical colors. Top view shows a slice through the midsection.

c, Schematic of the zipper-like assembly depicted in b arranged as if between two membranes.

### Figure 2: Pcdh γB6 ectodomains in solution assemble as a dimer-of-dimers through *cis* and *trans* interfaces.

**a**, Subtomogram averaged density map of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  particles from reconstructed tomograms reveals an asymmetric ellipsoidal complex.

**b**, Fit of  $\gamma$ B-Pcdh *trans* and *cis* dimer crystal structures into the cryo-ET map.

c, 2D-class average of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  particles in ice. Compare to b.

**d**, Schematic model of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  ectodomains in the dimer-of-dimers.

**e**, Overlay of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  dimer-of-dimers (magenta volume) with  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  zipper from the crystal structure (green ribbon). Distance between EC6 domains of equivalent protomers in each model indicated by an arrow.

#### Figure 3: Pcdh yB6 forms continuous ordered assemblies between liposome membranes.

**a**,  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  ectodomains tethered to liposomes facilitate aggregation.

**b**, Liposome aggregates visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Wild-type and V563D *cis*-mutant  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  form single large aggregates (shown), while *trans*-mutant  $\gamma B7_{EC1-6} \Delta EC1-2$  fails to aggregate liposomes. Negative control shows uncoated liposomes.

c, Slice of a tomogram showing aggregates of liposomes coated with wild-type  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ 

ectodomains. Different views of ordered assemblies indicated by arrows.

d, Schematic of lattice orientations corresponding to views in c.

**e and f,** Close up views of individual slices of tomograms showing front (top panel), side (bottom left) and top (bottom right) views of assemblies formed by ectodomains of wild-type (**e**) and V563D *cis*-mutant (**f**)  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub>. Note that ordered assemblies are absent in the mutant. White arrows indicate lipid bilayers.

### Figure 4: Pcdh γB6 forms extended parallel zipper arrays on membranes consistent with the chain-termination model.

**a**, Close up view of a single tomographic slice showing  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  assemblies between liposome membranes. Parallel zipper arrays appear as front views extending into the plane (orthogonal to zipper side view shown schematically in **g**). Scale bar: 350Å.

**b**, Annotated maps of lipid bilayers (yellow) and  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  (cyan) overlaid as a slab on **a**.

**c**, Ten linear arrays of *cis/trans* interactions from the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  crystal lattice (surfaces) fitted into the protein density. Lipid bilayers shown in yellow. See also Supplementary Video 5.

**d**, Tomogram slice showing annotated 'top views' of parallel Pcdh zipper arrays (cyan) formed between membranes of vertically stacked liposomes.

e, Magnification of the region boxed in d. Distances (arrows) between protomers (spheres) in three separate zipper arrays are given.

**f**, Distances analogous to those in **e**, measured from zipper array crystal structures fitted into the protein density. For comparison distances from **e** are included as grey lines.

**g**, Schematic model of the chain-termination model of Pcdh function in neuronal self-avoidance. See text.

#### **Extended Data Figure Legends**

Extended Data Figure 1: X-ray diffraction anisotropy and electron density map quality for the low-resolution γB4<sub>EC1-6</sub> crystal structure.

**a**, UCLA Diffraction Anisotropy Server (Strong et al., 2006) output showing the data strength as measured by F/sigma along the a\*, b\* and c\* axes.

**b**, The diffraction limits along the a\*, b\* and c\* axes determined by three different methods: F/sigma from (**a**), and the correlation coefficient (CC) and I/sigma limits calculated by Aimless (Evans et al., 2006; Evans and Murshudov, 2013). **c**, Synthetic precession photographs of the Xray diffraction in the k=0 plane (left) and the l=0 plane (right) showing the comparatively stronger/weaker diffraction.

**d**, Exemplar electron density images of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  crystal structure highlighting the difference density observed for ligand molecules following placement of all protein domains and one round of rigid body refinement. The left hand panel shows difference density for a glycosylated asparagine residue (Asn513 chain B) and the right hand panel shows difference density for the three calcium ions coordinated between EC domains (EC2–EC3 chain B). 2Fo-Fc (blue) and Fo-Fc maps (green/red) are shown contoured at 1.0 and ±3.0 sigma, respectively.

**e**, Exemplar electron density image of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  crystal structure after refinement showing the *cis*-interface (EC5–6 protomer is colored pink, EC6-only protomer is colored yellow). 2Fo-Fc (blue) and Fo-Fc maps (green/red) are shown contoured at 1.0 and ±3.0 sigma, respectively.

# Extended Data Figure 2: Comparison between the $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$ crystal structure and $\gamma B$ -Pcdh fragment structures reveals formation of the zipper-assembly does not require large conformational changes.

**a**, Structural superposition of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  *cis*-dimer from the crystal structure (one protomer in slate ribbon, the other green) with the  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  fragment *cis*-dimer structure (PDB: 5V5X; pink ribbon) showing the overall similarity between the two structures particularly in the EC5–6/EC6 *cis*-interacting regions.

**b**, Structural superposition of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  *trans*-dimer from the crystal structure (slate/green ribbon) with the  $\gamma B2_{EC1-5}$  fragment *trans*-dimer structure (PDB: 5T9T; gold ribbon) showing the overall similarity between the *trans*-dimers.

### Extended Data Figure 3: Particle selection and subtomogram averaging of Pcdh γB6 complexes in solution.

**a**, Representative tomographic slice showing orientation of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  complexes in vitreous ice. Note that 'front views' are predominant, and represent a preferred orientation. Scale indicates nm. **b**, Complexes in the ice are selected as dipole sets (blue sticks). For each particle 'north', 'center' and 'south' points are marked as blue, cyan and red spheres, respectively. Scale indicates nm. **c**, Sub-volumes of pre-oriented particles were extracted from tomograms, sub-tomogram averaging converged and projections of last iteration are shown on the right.

#### Extended Data Figure 4: 2D cryo-electron microscopy of $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ in solution.

**a**, Representative grid atlas of a grid prepared using spot-it-on. Orange box highlights the path of sample deposition.

**b**, Representative micrograph of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  in vitreous ice. Individual EC domains are distinguishable within the ellipsoid particles. Orange boxes indicate presentative particles. **c**, 2D class averages calculated using Relion show highly preferred orientation of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  in the ice. Five separate class averages are shown.

Extended Data Figure 5: Structural comparisons of the dimer-of-dimers model from single particle cryo-EM with crystallographic *cis* and *trans* dimers.

**a**, Crystallographic *cis* dimers of  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  (blue ribbon) were aligned with the dimer-of-dimers model (space fill, colors as shown in Fig.1) over the EC5–6 *cis*-dimer regions derived from  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  (black bars). The EC4/EC5 linker regions appear to accommodate a high degree of structural variation. **b**, Crystallographic  $\gamma B2_{EC1-5}$  *trans* dimers (blue ribbon) were aligned with the manually positioned EC1–2:EC3–4 dimer fragments (black bars) in the dimer-of-dimers density. Deviations derive from differences in rotation and bend at the EC2–3 and EC3–4 linker regions within the antiparallel EC1–4 *trans* dimers.

**c**, Comparison of the EC4:5 interdomain deflection angles between the dimer-of-dimers model (left) and the crystallographic  $\gamma B7_{EC3-6}$  *cis* dimer (right), highlighting the variations between them. Individual EC domains were defined as axes in UCSF chimera and are shown as cylinders. All interdomain deflection angles are listed in Extended Data Table 2.

**d**, The dimer-of-dimers model was assembled by rigid-body fitting into cryo-ET density of fourdomain *trans* (EC1–2/EC3–4) and *cis* (EC5–6/EC5–6) units from the  $\gamma$ B2<sub>EC1-5</sub> and  $\gamma$ B7<sub>EC3-6</sub> crystal structures, respectively. The figure depicts the deflection and rotational angles between these docked units in the final dimer-of-dimers model (left) compared with those in the  $\gamma$ B2<sub>EC1-5</sub> *trans* dimer (right), highlighting the conformational change required within the EC1–4 *trans* interaction to facilitate formation of the dimer-of-dimers.

e, Deflection and rotational angles between EC5–6/EC5–6 *cis*-interaction and the EC3–4/EC1–2 *trans*-interaction units in the repeating unit of the crystallographic  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  zipper array for comparison to the dimer-of-dimers model.

### Extended Data Figure 6: Data collection strategy for assessing protein assemblies formed by clustered protocadherins between liposomes.

**a**, Grid-view of protein-liposome aggregates (dark shadows) deposited on lacey carbon grids, 300 copper mesh.

b, Hole-view of the boxed area shown in a. Protein-liposome aggregates can be seen as dark shadows. Tilt-series collection of liposome aggregates over lacey carbon holes in thin ice (orange square). White crosses represent other data collection sites, cyan cross represents focus target.
c, Tilt image collected at the region highlighted in b. A single layer of liposomes coated in protocadherin density (black arrow head), liposomes stacked on top of each other (white arrow head), and, in addition, thick layers of stacked liposomes (asterisk) are visible in the image. Note that membranes at liposome contact sites appear parallel, and Pcdh density appears to be ordered. See Supplementary Video 2 for the reconstructed tomogram.

### Extended Data Figure 7: Pcdh zippers from the $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$ crystal structure match the ordered linear arrays observed for $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ on membranes.

**a**, Tomographic slice through a reconstructed tomogram of adherent  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ -coated liposomes. Region of tomographic slices shown as close-up views in **c** and **d** is highlighted by an orange box. **b**, Molecular surface views of the  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  crystal lattice arrangement in three orientations. Each protomer is colored in a different color.

**c**, Tomographic slices spanning 143 Å into the depth of the tomogram, one linear array progressing into the plane of the tomogram is indicated by cyan arrow heads. Grey arrowheads indicate lipid bilayers.

**d**, Crystallographic  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  zipper fitted consisting of five consecutive *cis* dimers into the cryo-ET density of the marked  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  array (cyan arrow heads) observed between membranes. Compare density and structure fit between panels in **c** and **d**. Protomers colored as in **b**. Scale bars: 350 Å

Extended Data Figure 8: Automated tomogram annotation of Pcdh density and membranes.

**a**, Training and annotation of protein density and lipid bilayers. Examples of representative 2D positive (top two panels) and negative (bottom panel) annotations are shown. Regions of interest on a tomographic slice are shown on the left and manual annotation in middle panels identify positive (white particles on black background) features (top two panels). Output after the training is shown on the right. Representative negative example shown in the bottom panel, in which no features are annotated by the trained neural network.

b, Annotated tomographic slice. Pcdh density is shown in cyan, membranes in pastel yellow.
Orange arrow heads indicate single protomers to highlight examples for domain level resolution of annotation. Scale bar: 350 Å.

#### Extended Data Table 1: X-ray crystallography data collection and refinement statistics.

Values in parentheses are for the outer shell. APS, Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab; A.S.U., asymmetric unit; R.m.s., Root mean square. See Extended Data Figure 1 and Methods for further details on the ellipsoidal resolution limits.

#### **Extended Data Table 2: Interdomain angles.**

Interdomain deflection angles between consecutive EC domains are given as the deviation from 180°. Angles were calculated using UCSF chimera. The  $\gamma$ B2EC1–5,  $\gamma$ B3EC1–4,  $\gamma$ B7EC1–4 crystal form 1,  $\gamma$ B7EC1–4 crystal form 2, and  $\gamma$ B7EC3–6 structures correspond to PDBs: 5T9T, 5K8R, 5SZO, 5SZP, and 5V5X. \*The dimer-of-dimers model was generated using four-domain rigid interaction units—four copies of EC12:EC34 from  $\gamma$ B2EC1–5 and the two EC56:EC56 units from the  $\gamma$ B7EC3–6 crystal structure—therefore the EC1:EC2, EC3:EC4, and EC5:EC6 angles are unchanged.

#### Methods

#### **Protein production**

cDNAs for Pcdh ectodomain regions, excluding the predicted signal sequences, were cloned into a **paSHP-H** mammalian expression vector (a kind gift from Daniel J. Leahy, John Hopkins University) modified with the BiP signal sequence and a C-terminal octahistidine tag<sup>16</sup>. The signal sequences were predicted using the SignalP 4.0 server<sup>29</sup>. Pcdh ectodomains consist of six extracellular cadherin domains (EC) followed by an unstructured linker of 23–25 amino acids prior to the transmembrane helix<sup>21</sup>. The  $\gamma$ B4 and  $\gamma$ B6 EC1–6 constructs used in this study contain coding sequences for the six EC domains and five residues from the unstructured linker followed by the octahistidine tag (residues 1–638 for  $\gamma$ B4<sub>EC1-6</sub>, following signal peptide cleavage, and residues 1–641 for  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub>). Pcdh  $\gamma$ B4 and  $\gamma$ B6 EC1–6 *cis* mutant construct (V563D) was generated using the standard Quikchange mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene).

Suspension-adapted HEK293 Freestyle cells (Invitrogen) in serum free media (Invitrogen) were used for protein expression. The cell line was not tested for mycoplasma contamination and has not been authenticated. The plasmid constructs were transfected into cells using polyethyleneimine (Polysciences Inc.). Media was harvested ~6 days after transfection and the secreted proteins were purified by nickel affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 3 mM calcium chloride, and 200–250 mM imidazole pH 8.0. Purified proteins were concentrated to >2 mg/ml and used for crystallization and/or electron microscopy experiments. Molecular masses determined by mass spectrometry (Iowa State University Mass Spectrometry Facility) for the purified wild-type proteins were 76.6 kDa for  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  and 77.7 kDa for  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ .

#### X-ray crystallography

 $\gamma$ B4 EC1–6 protein crystals were grown using protein in size exclusion buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 3 mM calcium chloride, and 200 mM imidazole pH 8.0), at a concentration of 3 mg/ml and the vapor diffusion method. The crystallization condition was 10% (w/v) PEG8000, 20% ethylene glycol, 10% Morpheus Amino Acids (Molecular Dimensions), and 0.1 M Morpheus Buffer System 2 (Hepes/MOPS buffer; Molecular Dimensions) pH 7.5. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 100K from a single crystal at Northeastern Collaborative Access Team (NE-CAT) beamline 24ID-C at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. The dataset was indexed using XDS<sup>30</sup> and scaled using XSCALE<sup>30</sup>.

#### **Diffraction anisotropy**

The X-ray diffraction data showed strong diffraction anisotropy, with relatively strong diffraction along c\* and much weaker diffraction along a\* and b\* (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1). These data were therefore truncated using ellipsoidal limits of 6.0/6.8/4.5 Å along each of the three principle crystal axes as implemented in the UCLA Diffraction Anisotropy Server<sup>31</sup>. The completeness within the applied ellipsoidal resolution limits was 93.6%.

#### Crystal structure phasing and refinement

The  $\gamma$ B4 EC1–6 crystal structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser<sup>32</sup>, implemented in Phenix<sup>33</sup>, using the  $\gamma$ B7<sub>EC3–6</sub> *cis*-dimer structure (PDB: 5V5X)<sup>21</sup> as a search model. Following an initial round of rigid body refinement using Phenix<sup>33</sup> EC domains 1 and 2 from the  $\gamma$ B2<sub>EC1–5</sub> crystal structure (PDB: 5T9T)<sup>19</sup> were manually placed, using structural alignment of the EC3–4 regions of the  $\gamma$ B2<sub>EC1–5</sub> crystal structure to the molecular replacement solution as a guide. The resulting model was subjected to a further round of rigid body refinement. At this stage there was clear difference density for the interdomain calcium ions and covalently linked glycans not present in the models (Extended Data Figure 1). Iterative model building using Coot<sup>34</sup> and maximum-likelihood refinement using Phenix<sup>33</sup> was subsequently conducted, with care taken to maintain the geometry given the low-resolution of the data, yielding the final refined structure whose statistics are reported in Extended Data Table 1.

The electron density maps obtained were of reasonably good quality given the low resolution (Extended Data Figure 1). However due to the low resolution, atomic details were not well defined, and side chains were often not resolved. The local geometry of the starting models taken from higher resolution published crystal structures of  $\gamma$ B-Pcdh fragments was therefore maintained as much as possible. Given these resolution constraints, we have limited our discussion of the crystal structure to the overall architecture and arrangement of the molecules in the crystal.

#### Structure analysis

Interdomain angles were calculated using UCSF Chimera<sup>35</sup>. Root mean square deviations over aligned Cα atoms between structures were calculated using PyMol (Schrödinger, LLC). Protein structure figures were made using PyMol or UCSF Chimera<sup>35</sup>.

#### Single Particle cryo-Electron Microscopy Sample Preparation

Single particle grids were prepared using Spotiton V1.0 robot<sup>36-38</sup> to obtain thin vitreous ice aiding contrast during data collection. Lacey carbon or gold nanowire grids were prepared in house as described previously in Razinkov et al.,  $2016^{39}$ . Grids were glow-discharged for 10 s with O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>, sample was dispensed onto the grid in 50 pl drops as one single stripe, incubated for ~500 ms as determined by the calibrated self-wicking time per grid, followed by plunging into liquid ethane.

#### Liposome aggregation assay and sample preparation for cryo-ET experiments

Liposomes were prepared by a hydration and extrusion method from an 8:2 molar ratio of 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and the nickel salt of 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-([N(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl)iminodiaceticacid]-succinyl) (DOGS-NTA) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Avanti Lipids). Lipids were hydrated with assay buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.1 M KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) and resuspended liposomes extruded using membranes with a pore size of 100 nm. Liposome aggregation assays were adapted from previously described experiments described in Harrison et al., 2011<sup>22</sup>: liposomes and purified octahistidine-tagged Pcdh ectodomains were mixed at final concentrations of 10 mM liposomes and 7  $\mu$ M protein in assay buffer for a total volume of 20  $\mu$ L and incubated at 37°C for eight hours. When cells expressing Pcdhs come into contact, all detectable Pcdh from the cell surface localizes to the site of cell-cell contact<sup>10</sup>; we see a similar effect with liposomes, and Pcdh zippers are observed even for low protein-coating densities (see e.g. Fig. 3c).

For electron microscopy experiments, large aggregates were gently resuspended by trituration with a 10  $\mu$ L pipette tip and 3  $\mu$ l of each suspension were incubated on home-made, glow-discharged lacey carbon grids for 10 seconds at 85% relative humidity, blotted for 2.5 sec and flash frozen in liquid ethane using a semi-automated approach by utilizing either a Gatan CP3 or FEI Vitrobot.

For fluorescence microscopy, liposomes were prepared with Rhodamine lipids (Sigma) in addition to the standard composition of DOPC and DOGS-NTA, assays were performed as described for electron microscopy and 5  $\mu$ L of each experiment was imaged with a Nikon eclipse E800 microscope using QCapture.

#### Tilt-series data collection

Tilt-series were collected using a Titan Krios (FEI/Thermo Fisher) outfitted with a direct detector Gatan K2 (Gatan, Inc.) at 300 keV. Some wild-type (Supplementary Video 3) and all *cis*mutant  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  tilt-series were collected using a Gatan Bioquantum energy filter (Gatan, Inc.). In addition, part of the single-particle tilt-series were collected using a Volta phase plate (FEI/Thermo Fisher). Data was collected bi-directionally with a tilt-range of -54° to 54° in 2° increments using Leginon<sup>40,41</sup> with 100 ms frames for each tilt image at a nominal defocus range of 0 and 2 (phase plate collections) or 6 microns. Total dose per tilt-series collected was between 50 and 150 e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup> with dose rates of approximately 8 e<sup>-</sup>/pixel/sec. Incident dose for the 0° tilt image was between 1.5 and 3.0 e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup>, and increased for higher tilt angles according to the cosine of the tilt angle. Single particle tilt-series were collected at a pixel size of 1.76 Å and tilt-series of protocadherin-coated liposomes at 1.84 Å. Full-frame alignment was performed using MotionCor2<sup>42</sup>.

#### **Tilt-series alignment**

Tilt-series were aligned using Appion-Protomo<sup>43-45</sup>. Tilt-series were coarsely aligned, manually aligned and then refined using a set of alignment thicknesses. The best aligned iteration was reconstructed for visual analysis using Tomo3D SIRT<sup>46,47</sup> after dose-compensation using the relation described in Grant and Grigorieff (2015)<sup>48</sup>. CTF correction was not performed.

#### Sub-tomogram averaging of dimer-of dimers

Particle picking was performed using the dipole set model in Dynamo<sup>49,50</sup>. Each particle of a dimer-of-dimers was annotated at the termini with 'north' and 'south' to pre-define the long axis and aid sub-tomogram alignment (see Extended Data Figure 3). In seven tomograms, 506 particles were annotated, sub-volumes extracted and processed through sub-tomogram alignment and refinement using Dynamo<sup>49,50</sup>. An initial model was created from 86 randomly chosen

particles and an ellipsoid mask was applied during refinement. Azimuth and cone flip were both enabled to allow particles to flip 180° during refinement to permit correction of inverted dipole annotation. No symmetry was applied since tests using 2-fold symmetry resulted in featureless maps, which is consistent with the non-identical bend and rotation apparent in the two *trans* dimer regions of the final map (Fig. 1).

#### Single particle 2D data collection and processing

Data was collected at 300 kV on a Titan Krios (FEI/Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Gatan K2 Counting camera. The pixel size was 1.1 Å and defocus ranged from 1-4 microns. Exposures were set to 10 s (40 frames per image) for a total dose of ~68 e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup>. Frames were aligned using MotionCor2<sup>42</sup>. 1,540 particles were picked manually from 87 micrographs using Appion Manual Picker<sup>44</sup> to serve as a training set for a positive-unlabeled convoluted neural network particle picker, Topaz<sup>51</sup>. 14,569 particles were selected and 2D classification was performed in Relion2<sup>52</sup>, providing domain level resolution. Strong preferred orientation was shown in the 2D class averages and single particle tomograms revealed that protocadherin  $\gamma B6_{EC1}$ . <sup>6</sup> was predominantly localized to the air water interface<sup>23</sup>. As a result we pursued further 3D reconstruction using cryo-ET.

#### **Neural Network Tomogram Annotation**

Semi-automated segmentation of the tomograms was performed using the TomoSeg protocol in EMAN2.2<sup>53</sup>. Tomograms were imported into the EMAN2.2 workflow and binned for a final pixel size of 7.36 Å. For annotation of clustered protocadherin density, thirteen 64x64 pixel regions of interest (ROIs) were selected from the reconstructed tomogram and manually annotated as positive training references and 123 ROIs were selected as negative training references. For annotation of liposome membrane density, ten ROIs were selected and manually annotated as positive training references and 100 ROIs were selected as negative training references. Convolutional neural network training was performed with EMAN2.2's default

parameters for lipid bilayers, while the clustered protocadherin convolutional neural network was trained over 40 iterations.

#### Fitting of continuous γB4<sub>EC1-6</sub> arrays into annotated tomogram maps

Atomic models were fit into the annotated tomogram using the FitMap command in UCSF Chimera<sup>35</sup>. The tomogram was examined by eye to determine the length of the lattices that formed between liposome membranes and the lattice assembly of the crystal structure of  $\gamma B4_{EC1-6}$  was extended to match the continuous density. The structural assembly was placed in the intermembrane space and fit globally within a 50 nm radius of the initial placement. The average correlation coefficient at 20 Å was 0.735 ± 0.0305.

#### Data availability

Crystallographic atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the protein data bank with accession code PDB: 6E6B. Binned by four or two tomograms of the singleparticle and Pcdh-liposome datasets were deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data bank (EMDB) with accession codes: EMD-9197, EMD-9198, EMD-9199 and EMD-9200. Unaligned tilt-series images, Appion-Protomo tilt-series alignment runs, and aligned tilt-series stacks were deposited to the Electron Microscopy Pilot Image Archive (EMPIAR) with accession codes: EMPIAR-10234, EMPIAR-10235, EMPIAR-10236, EMPIAR-10237, and EMPIAR-10238.

#### **Statistics and Reproducibility**

The crystal structure was determined from diffraction data obtained from one crystal (n=1; Extended Data Fig. 1a and c). Multiplicity and final refinement statistics are reported in Extended Data Table 1. The single particle cryo-EM map of the dimer-of-dimers was determined using subtomogram averaging of volumes extracted from n=7 independent experiments (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig, 3). The single particle averages shown in Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4 were highly reproducible from three independent experiments (n=3). Liposome aggregation

assays for wild-type and mutant Pcdh were replicated in n=3 independent experiments and gave highly consistent results (Fig, 3 and 4, Extended Data Fig. 6-8). Reconstructed tomograms of wild-type and mutant proteins coated onto liposomes, of which representative images and regions of interest are shown in Figs. 2 and 4, and Extended Data Fig. 6-8, are derived from n>4 independent experiments. Ordered assemblies (Fig. 3c and e, and 4a and d, and Extended Data Fig. 6-8) were consistently observed in all wild-type Pcdh experiments (n>11), while the ordered assemblies were consistently absent in all *cis*-mutant Pcdh tilt series (n> 4, Fig. 3f). The neural network segmentation of protein density and lipid bilayers was trained on the full tomogram shown (Extended Data Fig. 8a) and could reliably be applied to other tomograms (n=2, Fig. 4a, b and d, e, Extended Data Fig. 8b),

#### References

- Petersen, T. N., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G. & Nielsen, H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat Meth* 8, 785-786, doi:<u>http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v8/n10/abs/nmeth.1701.html#supplementary-information</u> (2011).
- Kabsch, W. XDS. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 66, 125-132, doi:10.1107/S0907444909047337 (2010).
- 31 Strong, M. et al. Toward the structural genomics of complexes: Crystal structure of a PE/PPE protein complex from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103, 8060-8065, doi:10.1073/pnas.0602606103 (2006).
- McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 40, 658-674, doi:10.1107/S0021889807021206 (2007).
- Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography* 66, 213-221, doi:10.1107/S0907444909052925 (2010).

- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot.
   *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography* 66, 486-501,
   doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493 (2010).
- 35 Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 25, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).
- 36 Jain, T., Sheehan, P., Crum, J., Carragher, B. & Potter, C. S. Spotiton: A prototype for an integrated inkjet dispense and vitrification system for cryo-TEM. *Journal of Structural Biology* 179, 68-75, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.04.020 (2012).
- Dandey, V. P. *et al.* Spotiton: New features and applications. *Journal of Structural Biology* 202, 161-169, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2018.01.002</u> (2018).
- Wei, H. *et al.* Optimizing "self-wicking" nanowire grids. *Journal of Structural Biology* 202, 170-174, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2018.01.001</u> (2018).
- 39 Razinkov, I. *et al.* A new method for vitrifying samples for cryoEM. *Journal of Structural Biology* 195, 190-198, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2016.06.001</u> (2016).
- 40 Suloway, C. *et al.* Automated molecular microscopy: The new Leginon system. *Journal* of Structural Biology **151**, 41-60, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.03.010</u> (2005).
- Suloway, C. *et al.* Fully automated, sequential tilt-series acquisition with Leginon.
   *Journal of Structural Biology* 167, 11-18, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2009.03.019</u>
   (2009).
- 42 Zheng, S. Q. *et al.* MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods* **14**, 331, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4193

https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4193#supplementary-information (2017).

Noble, A. J. & Stagg, S. M. Automated batch fiducial-less tilt-series alignment in Appion using Protomo. *Journal of Structural Biology* 192, 270-278, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2015.10.003 (2015).

- Lander, G. C. *et al.* Appion: An integrated, database-driven pipeline to facilitate EM image processing. *Journal of Structural Biology* 166, 95-102, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2009.01.002 (2009).
- Winkler, H. & Taylor, K. A. Accurate marker-free alignment with simultaneous geometry determination and reconstruction of tilt series in electron tomography. *Ultramicroscopy* 106, 240-254, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2005.07.007</u> (2006).
- 46 Agulleiro, J. I. & Fernandez, J. J. Fast tomographic reconstruction on multicore computers. *Bioinformatics* 27, 582-583, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq692 (2011).
- Agulleiro, J.-I. & Fernandez, J.-J. Tomo3D 2.0 Exploitation of Advanced Vector eXtensions (AVX) for 3D reconstruction. *Journal of Structural Biology* 189, 147-152, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2014.11.009</u> (2015).
- Grant, T. & Grigorieff, N. Measuring the optimal exposure for single particle cryo-EM using a 2.6 Å reconstruction of rotavirus VP6. *eLife* 4, e06980, doi:10.7554/eLife.06980 (2015).
- 49 Castaño-Díez, D., Kudryashev, M., Arheit, M. & Stahlberg, H. Dynamo: A flexible, userfriendly development tool for subtomogram averaging of cryo-EM data in highperformance computing environments. *Journal of Structural Biology* **178**, 139-151, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2011.12.017</u> (2012).
- 50 Castaño-Díez, D., Kudryashev, M. & Stahlberg, H. Dynamo Catalogue: Geometrical tools and data management for particle picking in subtomogram averaging of cryoelectron tomograms. *Journal of Structural Biology* 197, 135-144, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2016.06.005</u> (2017).
- 51 Bepler, T. *et al.* Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. *Research in computational molecular biology, Annual International Conference, RECOMB proceedings.* **10812**, 245-247 (2018).
- 52 Kimanius, D., Forsberg, B. O., Scheres, S. H. W. & Lindahl, E. Accelerated cryo-EM structure determination with parallelisation using GPUs in RELION-2. *eLife* 5, e18722, doi:10.7554/eLife.18722 (2016).

53 Chen, M. *et al.* Convolutional neural networks for automated annotation of cellular cryoelectron tomograms. *Nature Methods* **14**, 983, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4405

https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4405#supplementary-information (2017).



The recommended resolution limits along a\*,b\*,c\* are 6.0 Ang 6.8 Ang 4.3 Ang

These are the resolutions at which F/sigma drops below an arbitrary cutoff of 3.0

h	chops below an arbitrary cutor of 3.0						
N		a*	b*	с*			
	F/sigma >3.0	6.0 Å	6.8 Å	4.4 Å			
	CC(1/2) >0.5	4.4 Å	7.8 Å	4.4 Å			
	Mean I/sigma >2.0	7.6 Å	7.5 Å	4.3 Å			













С





dimer-of-dimers

γB6 EC1–6 dimer-of-dimers

zipper array unit







b



Protocadherin density 📒 Membranes

	Pcdh γB4 <sub>EC1-6</sub>				
Data collection					
Date	12/01/2016				
Beamline	APS 24ID-C				
Wavelength (Å)	0.	0.97919			
Space group		P21			
Cell dimensions					
a, b, c (Å)	127.73.8	7.58. 149.33			
α β v (°)	90 109 94 90				
α, ρ, τ ( )	Spherical resolution limits Ellipsoidal resolution limits				
Resolution (Å)	40.00-4.50 (5.03-4.50)	40-6.0/6.8/4.5 (5.05-4.52)			
No of reflections	49398 (13826)	24717 (966)			
Unique reflections	17427 (4999)	8694 (317)			
R	0 207 (1 921)	0 112 (0 173)			
R	0.254 (2.366)	0 138 (0 211)			
Raim	0 145 (1 359)	0.078 (0.119)			
CC(1/2)	0.140 (1.000)	0.995 (0.973)			
1/gl	27(06)	5.2 (5.7)			
Spherical completeness	2.7 (0.0)	3.2 (3.7)			
(%) Fllipsoidal	93.8 (94.9)	46.7 (5.6)			
completeness (%)		93.6 (97.2)			
Redundancy	2.8 (2.8)	2.8 (3.0)			
Refinement					
Resolution (Å)	40–6	40-6.0/6.8/4.5			
Unique reflections	8	8683			
Completeness in		93.4			
diffracting ellipsoid (%)	00.4				
Rwork / Rfree (%)	23.	23.1 / 27.7			
Molecules in A.S.U.		2			
Number of atoms					
Protein	9	9489			
Ligand/Ion		253			
Water		0			
B-factors					
Protein	1	38.19			
Ligand/Ion	1	57.81			
Water		0			
R.m.s. deviations					
Bond lengths (Å)	(	.002			
Bond angles (°)	(	.565			
Ramachandran					
Favored (%)	ç	6.96			
Allowed (%)		3.04			
Outliers (%)		0.00			
Rotamer outliers (%)		0.67			
Wilson B	6	6.09			
חו פחס	G	F6B			

Interdomain angles	EC1:EC2 (°)	EC2:EC3 (°)	EC3:EC4 (°)	EC4:EC5 (°)	EC5:EC6 (°)				
<u>yB-Pcdh trans-dimer structures</u>									
γB2 <sub>EC1–5</sub> chain A	19.9	9.5	15.7	13.9					
γB2 <sub>EC1-5</sub> chain B	21.5	9	15.4	16.3					
γB3 <sub>EC1-4</sub>	8.6	11.3	17.2						
γB7 <sub>EC1-4</sub> crystal form 1 chain A	11.2	5	13.2						
γB7 <sub>EC1-4</sub> crystal form 1 chain B	11.2	8.2	13.4						
γB7 <sub>EC1-4</sub> crystal form 2 chain A	5.2	3.7	8.5						
γB7 <sub>EC1-4</sub> crystal form 2 chain B	13.6	6.9	14.6						
Average yB trans dimer	13.0 ± 5.9	7.7 ± 2.6	14.0 ± 2.8	15.1 ± 1.7					
yB7 cis-dimer structure									
yB7 <sub>EC3-6</sub> chain A (EC6-only)			8.1	15.1	12.5				
γB7 <sub>EC3–6</sub> chain D (EC5–6)			8.5	16.9	14.6				
γB7 <sub>EC3-6</sub> chain B (EC5-6)			14.8	15.3	19.1				
γB7 <sub>EC3-6</sub> chain C (EC6-only)			11.3	14.3	11				
Average γB7 cis dimer			10.7 ± 3.1	15.4 ± 1.1	14.3 ± 3.5				
vB4 zipper crystal structure									
vB4 <sub>EC1-6</sub> chain A (EC6-only)	6.7	6.7	11	19.8	16.7				
vB4 <sub>EC1-6</sub> chain B (EC5-6)	6.6	4.4	9.7	14.3	18.8				
Average yB4 zipper	6.7 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 1.6	$10.4 \pm 0.9$	17.1 ± 3.9	17.8 ± 1.5				
Single particle crvo-ET vB6 dimer-of-dimers model									
vB6 <sub>EC1-6</sub> protomer 1 (EC6-only)	21.5	15.5	15 7	3.8	12.5				
vB6 <sub>EC1-6</sub> protomer 2 (EC5-6)	21.5	5.1	15.7	77	14.6				
$vB6_{EC1-6}$ protomer 3 (EC5-6)	21.5	30.5	15.7	3.9	19.1				
vB6 <sub>EC1-6</sub> protomer 4 (EC6-onlv)	21.5	23.3	15.7	19.9	11.0				
Average dimer-of-dimers	21.5 ± 0.0*	18.6 ± 10.9	15.7 ± 0.0*	8.8 ± 7.6	14.3 ± 3.5*				

#### **Supplementary Information**

### Supplementary Video 1: Reconstructed Tomogram of $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ dimer-of-dimers in vitreous ice.

Ectodomains of  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  adopt ellipsoidal shaped conformations in vitreous ice and individual domains are resolved. Scale bar: 350 Å. Similar results were observed in n=7 independent experiments.

## Supplementary Video 2: Reconstructed Tomogram of wild-type $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ on liposomes reveals ordered density at membrane contact sites.

Liposome membranes are parallel at contact sites and protein density appears to be ordered in the intermembrane space. Ellipsoidal 'front', zipper-like 'side' and grid-like 'top' views are clearly distinguishable in the tomogram. Compare to tomographic slice shown in Fig. 3c. Scale bar: 100 nm. Ordered assemblies were consistently observed in n=11 independent experiments.

### Supplementary Video 3: Reconstructed Tomogram of an energy-filtered tilt-series of wildtype $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$ on liposomes provides domain level resolution of protein density.

Contiguous assemblies extending through the volume of the tomogram formed by alternating *cis* and *trans* interactions. Scale bar: 100 nm. Ordered assembly shown here is representative of n=7 independent experiments of energy-filtered tilt-series collection.

Supplementary Video 4: Reconstructed Tomogram of an energy-filtered tilt-series of *cis*mutant  $\gamma B6_{EC1-6}$  V563D on liposomes reveals loss of ordered assembly. While liposomes still adhere to each other and *trans* dimers can be observed at membrane contact sites, ordered front, side and top views seen in wild-type tomograms are not observed. Scale bar: 100nm. Loss of function was observed in n=4 independent tiltseries.

### Supplementary Video 5: Annotated tomogram reveals Pcdh ectodomains to assemble on membrane surfaces into molecular zippers

Linear zippers of the  $\gamma$ B4<sub>EC1-6</sub> crystal were fit into density maps of a well-resolved region of a reconstructed tomogram of wild-type  $\gamma$ B6<sub>EC1-6</sub> on liposomes (Supplementary Video 3). Ten independent crystallographic zippers (n=10) were docked into the segmented maps. Pcdh zippers are packed in close proximity at membrane contact sites and propagate in parallel allowing each to extend unimpeded. Scale bar: 350 Å.