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## 1 Introduction

Generalized partition function of 2 d CFTs decorated by higher $q K d V$ charges [1-3], the so-called Generalized Gibbs Ensemble,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\operatorname{Tr} \exp \left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{2 k-1} Q_{2 k-1}\right\}, \quad \mu_{1} \equiv \beta, \quad Q_{1} \equiv H, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been in the focus of attention recently in the context of thermalization of large $c 2 d$ conformal theories [4-15]. In this work we assume thermodynamic limit, when the size of the spatial circle goes to infinity $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ and (1.1) describes theory on a thermal cylinder.

In a recent work [15] we observed that in the large central charge limit first two nontrivial qKdV charges $Q_{3}, Q_{5}$ admit simple structure. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{2 k-1} Q_{2 k-1}=P_{k}\left(L_{0}\right)+\ell^{2 k-1} \tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{k}$ is a polynomial of degree $k, P_{k}\left(L_{0}\right)=L_{0}^{k}+\ldots$, and the operator $\tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}$ accounts for the rest. Written in the conventional basis of conformal theory (sets $\left\{m_{i}\right\}, m_{1} \geq$ $m_{2}, \ldots, \geq m_{k}$, are arranged in dominance order),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{i}, \Delta\right\rangle=L_{-m_{1}} \ldots L_{-m_{k}}|\Delta\rangle, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}$ is a polynomial in $\Delta$ and $c$. In what follows we assume $\Delta$ scales linearly with $c$. This scaling is associated with the saddle point contribution to (1.1) in the limit, when $\ell$ goes to infinity, see [15]. Written in the basis (1.3), leading scaling of $\tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}$ with $c$ is $c^{k-1}$. At this order matrix of $\tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}$ is lower-triangular. At first two leading orders in $1 / c$ the eigenvalues of $Q_{2 k-1}$ are (in the following expression we suppress terms which do not contribute in the thermodynamic limit - see section 2.1 below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{2 k-1} Q_{2 k-1}|\lambda\rangle=\lambda|\lambda\rangle, \quad \lambda=\Delta^{k}+\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i} m_{i}^{2 p+1} c^{p} \Delta^{k-1-p} \xi_{k}^{p}+O\left(c^{k-2}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{k}^{p}$ are some numerical coefficients. Remarkably, (1.4) are linear in the occupation numbers $n_{r}$, provided the sets $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ are rewritten in terms of free boson representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} m_{i}^{p}=\sum_{r} r^{p} n_{r} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each set $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ is parametrized by the set of integer $n_{r}$ counting the number of times natural number $r$ appears in the set $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$. The linearity of $\lambda$ in $n_{r}$ is crucial for what follows. Technically it is due to the fact that (1.4) includes only a single sum over $m_{i}$.

The form of the spectrum (1.4) was previously established only for $Q_{3}, Q_{5}$. In this paper we verify that (1.4) applies to higher charges $Q_{2 k-1}, k \leq 7$ as well. If (1.4) applies to all charges, at first two orders in $1 / c$ generalized partition function (1.1) reduces to that one of non-interacting auxiliary bosons with the spectrum given in terms of $\mu_{2 k-1}$ and $\xi_{k}^{p}$.

In principle the coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$ can be deduced directly from the explicit form of $Q_{2 k-1}$ in terms of Virasoro generators $L_{n}$, as was done for $Q_{3}, Q_{5}$ in [15]. Extending this strategy to higher charges is difficult because their explicit form is not known and difficult to calculate. A much simpler way to obtain $\xi_{k}^{p}$ follows from the expression for thermal average of $Q_{2 k-1}$ over a particular Verma module,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Q_{2 k-1}\right\rangle_{\beta, \Delta}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{2 k-1}\right), \quad q=e^{-\beta / \ell}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum in (1.6) goes over all states of the form (1.3) with a fixed $\Delta$. This onepoint function was calculated recently for the first seven qKdV charges $Q_{2 k-1}, k \leq 7$, in [14]. Using this result we confirm the proposed form of the eigenvalues (1.4) and obtain corresponding coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$. We notice these coefficients admit a simple form, which can be easily generalized to all $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}^{p}=24^{-p} \frac{(2 k-1) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(1 / 2)}{2 \Gamma(p+3 / 2) \Gamma(k-p)} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that (1.4) and (1.7) apply to all higher $Q_{2 k-1}$, generalized partition function at first two orders in $1 / c$ expansion reduces to that one of non-interacting auxiliary
bosons, yielding

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
Z & =e^{F}, & F & =\frac{\pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(c^{\prime} f_{0}+f_{1}+O\left(1 / c^{\prime}\right)\right),  \tag{1.8}\\
f_{0} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k}(2 k-1), & \sqrt{\sigma} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k} k, \\
f_{1} & =-\frac{12}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \kappa \log \left(1-e^{-2 \pi \kappa \gamma}\right), & \\
\gamma & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k-1} k(2 k-1)_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1-k, 3 / 2,-\kappa^{2} / \sigma\right), \\
c^{\prime} & =c-1, & t_{2 k-1}=\left(\frac{\pi^{2} c^{\prime}}{6 \beta^{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\beta}, & t_{1} \equiv 1 .
\end{array}
$$

Here $\sigma\left(t_{1}, t_{3}, \ldots\right)$ is a function which satisfies $\sqrt{\sigma}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k} k$. It can be expressed explicitly in terms of an infinite power series in $t_{2 k-1}$, see (3.11). The conjectural expression for $f_{1}$ is the main result of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we discuss first seven qKdV charges $Q_{2 k-1}, k \leq 7$, and verify they are consistent with (1.4). We also calculate corresponding coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$ and conclude that (1.7) describes all of them. In section three we assume (1.4) and (1.7) are valid beyond $k \leq 7$ for all $Q_{2 k-1}$ and calculate generalized partition function (1.8) assuming $\beta \neq 0$. The case of $\beta=0$ is discussed in the appendix A. The relation between $1 / c$ and $1 / c^{\prime}$ expansion is discussed in the appendix $B$.

## 2 Thermal average of $Q_{2 k-1}$

In this section we discuss how the form of the eigenvalues (1.4) can be verified and the coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$ can be fixed from the explicit form of thermal one-point averages (1.6) obtained in [14]. Because of the lower-triangular form of $\tilde{Q}_{2 k-1}$, leading terms of $\lambda$ contribute to the thermal average (1.6) as a linear combination of Eisenstein series, or functions $\sigma_{r}$, with the coefficients polynomially dependent on $c$ and $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left\{m_{i}\right\}} \sum_{i} q^{\Delta+n} m_{i}^{r}=\sigma_{r} \chi, \quad n \equiv \sum_{i} m_{i}, \quad \chi=\frac{q^{\Delta}}{\prod_{i}\left(1-q^{i}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \equiv e^{-\beta / \ell}$. Functions $\sigma_{k}$ are related to Eisenstein series via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{p} q^{k}}{1-q^{k}}, \quad E_{2 p}=1+\frac{2}{\zeta(1-2 p)} \sigma_{2 p-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, to fix $\xi_{k}^{p}$ we need to find coefficients in front of $\sigma_{2 p+1} c^{p} \Delta^{k-1-p}$.

### 2.1 Thermodynamic limit

In this paper we are concerned with the extensive part of free energy, i.e. we are taking thermodynamic limit by taking the size of the spatial circle to infinity $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, while $c$ is
large, but fixed. In this limit the only relevant contributions to (1.1) are those when $Q_{2 k-1}$ contribute extensively, i.e. scale linearly with $\ell$. We therefore neglect all terms in (1.4) which are suppressed in comparison with $\ell^{2 k}$. Using saddle point approximation for (1.1) it is easy to see that in the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ relevant scaling of $\Delta$ is $\Delta \sim c \ell^{2}$, while the occupation numbers $n_{r} \sim \ell$ for $r \sim \ell$, see [15] for details. Thus, all terms in (1.4) indeed scale as $\ell^{2 k}$. Scaling of the modular functions $\sigma_{p}(q)$ (not to be confused with $\sigma\left(t_{2 k-1}\right)$ introduced later in (3.3)) with $\ell$ in thermodynamic limit can be obtained by replacing the summation with integration, yielding $\sigma_{p} \sim \ell^{p+1}$.

## $2.2 Q_{1}$

As a warm-up we start our analysis with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell Q_{1}=L_{0}-\frac{c}{24} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant term $-c / 24$ does not contribute in the thermodynamic limit and therefore the structure (1.2) is manifest with $\tilde{Q}_{1}=0$. The eigenvalues of $L_{0}=\Delta+n, n \equiv \sum_{i} m_{i}$, have the form (1.4) with $\xi_{1}^{0}=1$. Although this is straightforward we want to derive the same result in a slightly different way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} L_{0}\right)=\partial \chi=\left(\Delta+\sigma_{1}\right) \chi, \quad \partial \equiv q \partial_{q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\xi_{1}^{0}=1$ is simply the coefficient in front of $\sigma_{1}$.

## $2.3 \quad Q_{3}$

The explicit expression for $Q_{3}$ is bulky,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{3} Q_{3}=L_{0}^{2}-\frac{c+2}{12} L_{0}+\frac{c(5 c+22)}{2880}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{-i} L_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

but only first and last terms contribute in the thermodynamic limit yielding (1.2) with $\ell^{3} \tilde{Q}_{3}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{-i} L_{i}$. Thermal average (1.6) can be calculated using trace cyclicity [16], yielding [14, 15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{3} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{3}\right)=\left(D^{2}+\frac{c}{1440} E_{4}\right) \chi, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here and below

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{k}=\left(\partial-\frac{k-1}{6} E_{2}\right)\left(\partial-\frac{k-2}{6} E_{2}\right) \ldots \partial . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Leading term $\Delta^{2}$ follows from $\partial^{2}$. Using (2.4), we calculate the coefficients in front of $\Delta$ and $c$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{3} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{3}\right)=\Delta^{2}+\Delta\left(6 \sigma_{1}-\frac{1}{6}\right)+\frac{c}{6}\left(\sigma_{3}+\frac{1}{240}\right)+\partial \sigma_{1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To express $E_{2 p}$ in terms of $\sigma_{2 p-1}$ we need the numerical values of zeta-function, which we write down here for reader's convenience,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\zeta(-1)=-\frac{1}{12}, & \zeta(-3) & =\frac{1}{120}, & \zeta(-5)
\end{array}\right)=-\frac{1}{252}, \quad \zeta(-7)=\frac{1}{240}, ~(-11)=-\frac{691}{32760}, \quad \zeta(-13)=-\frac{1}{12} . \quad . ~ l
$$

We are only interested in the first two terms of $1 / c$ expansion ( $\Delta$ is assumed to scale linearly with $c$ ), hence the term $\partial \sigma_{1}$ from (2.8) can be neglected. Next, we only consider the terms which contribute extensively in the thermodynamic limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. We assume that $\Delta$ scales as $\ell^{2}$ while the scaling of $\sigma_{r} \propto \ell^{r+1}$ follows from its explicit form. There is another more intuitive way to understand that directly from (2.1). Main contribution to the thermal average comes from the partitions $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ which consist of approximately $n^{1 / 2}$ terms and each term $m_{i} \sim n^{1 / 2}$, while typical $n=\sum_{i} m_{i}$ scales as $\ell^{2}$. Keeping only the terms scaling as $\ell^{4}$ in (2.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{3} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{3}\right)=\Delta^{2}+6 \Delta \sigma_{1}+\frac{c}{6} \sigma_{3}+O(1 / c) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in full consistency with (1.4). This result agrees with the calculation of [15], which utilizes the explicit form of $Q_{3}$ in terms of Virasoro algebra generators. First term $L_{0}^{2}=(\Delta+n)^{2}$ yields $\Delta^{2}+2 \Delta n$, ( $n^{2}$ can be neglected because it contributes as $c^{0}$ ), while the eigenvalue of $\ell^{3} \tilde{Q}_{3}=\frac{c}{6}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i}^{3}-n\right)+4 \Delta n$ completes it to (2.10), or (1.4) with $\xi_{2}^{2}=1 / 6$ and $\xi_{2}^{1}=4$.

## $2.4 \quad Q_{5}$

The calculation for $Q_{3}$ reveals the pattern how the terms of interest enter the full expression for the thermal average. The leading term $\Delta^{k}$ of the eigenvalue of $Q_{2 k-1}$ follows from $D^{k} \chi$, as well as $\xi_{k-1}^{0} \Delta^{k-1} \sigma_{1}$. The term $\xi_{k-1}^{1} c \Delta^{k-2} \sigma_{3}$ follows from $c E_{4} D^{k-2} \chi$, and so on. In case of $Q_{5}$ we have for the thermal average [14],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{5} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{5}\right)=\left(D^{3}+\frac{c+4}{288} E_{4} D-\frac{c(c+14)}{36288} E_{6}\right) \chi . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields in the limit of interest

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{5} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{5}\right)=\left(\Delta^{3}+15 \Delta^{2} \sigma_{1}+\frac{5}{6} c \Delta \sigma_{3}+\frac{1}{72} c^{2} \sigma_{5}\right) \chi, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last term came from $c^{2} E_{6} D^{k-3} \chi, k=3$. This result is in full agreement with the explicit calculation of [15].

## $2.5 \quad Q_{7}$

The original expression for $\operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{7}\right)$ calculated in [14] is quadratic in $E_{4}$, but using the identify $E_{4}^{2}=E_{8}$ it can be written as follows

$$
\ell^{7} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{7}\right)=\left(D^{4}+\frac{(7 c+64)}{720} E_{4} D^{2}-\frac{c^{2}+24 c+74}{6480} E_{6} D+\frac{c\left(c^{2}+\frac{103 c}{4}+175\right)}{518400} E_{8}\right) \chi .
$$

This immediately gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{7} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{7}\right)=\left(\Delta^{4}+28 \Delta^{3} \sigma_{1}+\frac{7}{3} c \Delta^{2} \sigma_{3}+\frac{7}{90} c^{2} \Delta \sigma_{5}+\frac{1}{1080} c^{3} \sigma_{7}\right) \chi . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding values of $\xi_{3}^{p}$ are easy to obtain using numerical values (2.9).

## $2.6 \quad Q_{9}$

The expression for $Q_{9}$ is too bulky and here we only write relevant terms using $E_{4}^{2}=E_{8}$ and $E_{4} E_{6}=E_{10}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell^{9} \operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{9}\right)= & \left(D^{5}+\left(\frac{7 c}{720}+O\left(c^{0}\right)\right) E_{4} D^{3}+\left(-\frac{c^{2}}{2016}+O\left(c^{1}\right)\right) E_{2} D^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-\frac{c^{3}}{80640}+O\left(c^{2}\right)\right) E_{8} D+\left(-\frac{c^{4}}{4790016}+O\left(c^{3}\right)\right) E_{10}\right) \chi . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Corresponding values of $\xi_{4}^{p}$ immediately follow from here.

## 2.7 $Q_{11}, Q_{13}$, and beyond

Calculation of the eigenvalues of $Q_{11}$ and $Q_{13}$ is completely analogous, but to rewrite the leading part of $\operatorname{Tr}_{\Delta}\left(q^{L_{0}} Q_{2 k-1}\right)$ as a linear combination of $D^{k}$ and terms of the form $c^{k-1-p} E_{2(k-p)} D^{p}, p=0, \ldots, k-2$, we need to use the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{12}=\frac{441}{691} E_{4}^{3}+\frac{250}{691} E_{6}^{2}, \quad E_{14}=E_{4}^{2} E_{6} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Resulting values of the coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$ for $k=1, \ldots, 7$, are summarized in the table below

$$
\xi_{k}^{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & &  \tag{2.16}\\
6 & \frac{1}{6} & & & & \\
15 & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{72} & & & \\
28 & \frac{7}{3} & \frac{7}{90} & \frac{1}{1000} & & \\
45 & 5 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{168} & \frac{1}{18144} & \\
66 & \frac{55}{6} & \frac{11}{18} & \frac{11}{504} & \frac{11}{27216} & \frac{1}{326592} \\
91 & \frac{91}{6} & \frac{91}{72} & \frac{13}{216} & \frac{13}{7776} & \frac{13}{1316}
\end{array} \frac{1}{6158592}\right), \quad p=0, \ldots, k-1
$$

here $p$ indexes rows and $k$ indexes columns. These values can be concisely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}^{p}=24^{-p} \frac{(2 k-1) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(1 / 2)}{2 \Gamma(p+3 / 2) \Gamma(k-p)}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends this result for all $k$.

## 3 Generalized partition function

From now on we assume that (1.4) applies to all qKdV charges with the coefficients $\xi_{k}^{p}$ given by (2.17). Given that all $Q_{2 k-1}$ mutually commute, the generalized partition function (1.1)
is given by the sum over primaries $\Delta$ and sets (Young tables) $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$, parameterizing descendants via (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{\Delta} \sum_{\left\{m_{i}\right\}} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\ell^{2 k-1}}\left(\Delta^{k}+\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i} m_{i}^{2 p+1} c^{p} \Delta^{k-1-p} \xi_{k}^{p}+O\left(c^{k-2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

At large central charge sum over $\Delta$ can be substituted by an integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Delta} \rightarrow \int d \Delta e^{\pi \sqrt{2 c^{\prime} \Delta / 3}}, \quad c^{\prime} \equiv c-1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the density of primaries follows from Cardy formula [17, 18]. It is convenient to introduce $\sigma$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{c^{\prime} \pi^{2} \ell^{2}}{6 \beta^{2}} \sigma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we were discussing $1 / c$ expansion, but the results look more elegant if we do an expansion in $1 / c^{\prime}$. Since at leading order $c=c^{\prime}+O(1)$, the structure of $\lambda$ remains the same: $\Delta^{k}$ contributes as $\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{k}$ while $c^{p} \Delta^{k-1-p}$ terms contribute as $\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{k-1}$. Going from the sets $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ to free boson representation (1.5), the partition function reduces to that one of non-interacting auxiliary bosons

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta, t) & =\int d \sigma \exp \left\{\frac{c^{\prime} \pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(2 \sqrt{\sigma}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k}\right)\right\} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots} e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} n_{r} M_{r}+O\left(1 / c^{\prime}\right)},  \tag{3.4}\\
\log Z \equiv F & =\frac{\pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(c^{\prime} f_{0}(t)+f_{1}(t)+O\left(1 / c^{\prime}\right)\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
t_{2 k-1} & =\left(\frac{\pi^{2} c^{\prime}}{6 \beta^{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\beta}, \quad t_{1} \equiv 1, \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the spectrum of bosons is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{r} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \xi_{k}^{p}\left(\frac{6}{\pi^{2} \sigma}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\beta r}{\ell}\right)^{2 p+1}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{\beta r}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k-1} k(2 k-1)_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1-k, 3 / 2,-\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\beta r}{2 \pi \ell}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In (3.4) we write the partition function as a function of $\beta, t_{2 k-1}$. For the given fixed $\beta, t_{2 k-1}$ the terms contributing as $\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}$ to eigenvalues of $Q_{2 k-1}$ contribute to free energy as $1 / c^{\prime}$. Our scope is to calculate free energy up to the first two orders in $1 / c^{\prime}$ expansion, i.e. only keep the terms which survive in the $c^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Hence $O\left(1 / c^{\prime}\right)$ terms can be neglected.

Up to $1 / c^{\prime}$ corrections the value of $\sigma$ is determined via saddle point approximation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}(\beta, t)=\exp \left\{\frac{c^{\prime} \pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta} f_{0}\right\}=\int d \sigma \exp \left\{\frac{c^{\prime} \pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(2 \sqrt{\sigma}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k}\right)\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the remaining sum over the boson occupation numbers $n_{r}$ in (3.4) "takes" saddle point value of $\sigma$ as an input. The saddle point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sigma}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k} k, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved in terms of an infinite series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}=2}^{\infty} 2 \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{(2 K-n+1)!}{(2 K-2 n+2)!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} t_{2 k_{i}-1}, \quad K \equiv \sum_{i} k_{i}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding (expansion (3.13) was found in [13]),

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k}(2 k-1),  \tag{3.12}\\
& f_{0}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}=2}^{\infty} 2 \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{(2 K-n)!}{(2 K-2 n+2)!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} t_{2 k_{i}-1}, \quad K \equiv \sum_{i} k_{i} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

With $\sigma$ being fixed, the remaining part of the partition function describes some auxiliary non-interacting bosons

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta} f_{1}=\log \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots} e^{-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} n_{r} M_{r}}=-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1-e^{-M_{r}}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the thermodynamic limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ summation over $r$ can be substituted by integration (Thomas-Fermi approximation), yielding (1.8).

## 4 Discussion

In this paper we have conjectured leading form of the spectrum of $q K d V$ charges in $1 / c$ expansion and verified it using recently obtained thermal averages for the first seven qKdV charges [14]. Using the conjectural form of the eigenvalues we have rewritten generalized partition function of 2d CFTs at large central charge in terms of non-interacting auxiliary bosons. The result of our calculation is the explicit form of the extensive part of free energy, exact up to $1 / c$ corrections (1.8). We postpone discussing physical implications of our fundings until future work [19].
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## A Alternative representation of the partition function

The answer (1.8) was derived assuming $\beta \neq 0$ and $\mu_{1}=\beta$ enters the expression for free energy differently from all other chemical potentials. In this section we obtain the answer for free energy $F$ in another "coordinate patch," assuming some other chemical potential $\mu_{2 r-1}$ for a given $r$ is non-zero, $\mu_{2 r-1} \neq 0$, while the rest of chemical potentials, including $\mu_{1}=\beta$, could be zero.

Let us introduce $c^{\prime r-1} \mu_{2 r-1}=\lambda \neq 0$ and the following set of independent variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2 k-1}=\frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\mu_{2 r-1}} c^{\prime k-r}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \lambda^{2} r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{k-r}{2 r-1}}, \quad \tau_{2 r-1} \equiv 1 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and functions $f_{i}(\tau), \sigma(\tau)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=c^{\prime} \ell \lambda\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \lambda^{2} r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{r}{2 r-1}}\left(f_{0}+f_{1} / c^{\prime}+O\left(1 / c^{\prime 2}\right)\right), \quad \Delta=c^{\prime} \ell^{2}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \lambda^{2} r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 r-1}} \sigma \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these notations the expression for $f_{0}$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=2 r \sqrt{\sigma}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k}=\sum_{k=1}(2 k-1) \tau_{2 k-1} \sigma^{k} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality holds "on-shell,"

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \sigma^{1 / 2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_{2 k-1} k \sigma^{k}, \quad \sigma=1-\frac{2}{r(2 r-1)} \sum_{k \neq r} k \tau_{2 k-1}+\ldots \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the expression for $f_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} & =-\frac{12 r}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \kappa \log \left(1-\exp \left\{-\frac{2 \pi}{r} \kappa \gamma\right\}\right)  \tag{A.5}\\
\gamma & =\sum_{k=1} \tau_{2 k-1} k(2 k-1) \sigma^{k-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1-k, 3 / 2,-\kappa^{2} / \sigma\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## B $1 / c$ versus $1 / c^{\prime}$ expansion

In a recent work [15] we were discussing free energy in $1 / c$ expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{\pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(c \tilde{f}_{0}(\tilde{t})+\tilde{f}_{1}(\tilde{t})+O(1 / c)\right) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

using variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}_{2 k-1}=\left(\frac{\pi^{2} c}{6 \beta^{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\beta} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we used on $1 / c^{\prime}$ expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{\pi^{2} \ell}{6 \beta}\left(c^{\prime} f_{0}(t)+f_{1}(t)+O\left(1 / c^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2 k-1}=\left(\frac{\pi^{2} c^{\prime}}{6 \beta^{2}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\mu_{2 k-1}}{\beta} . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we outline the relation between these two expansion schemes. Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2 k-1}=\tilde{t}_{2 k-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{c}\right)^{k-1} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we readily find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{0}(t)=f_{0}(t), \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{1}(t)=-f_{0}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k-1) t_{2 k-1} \frac{\partial f_{0}(t)}{\partial t_{2 k-1}}+f_{1}(t) . \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the explicit form of $f_{0}$, (3.12), this can be simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{1}(t)=-\sqrt{\sigma(t)}+f_{1}(t) . \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A comparison of $f_{1}$ from (1.8) with the equations (2.43), (2.52) of [15] confirms this result.
Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

[1] V.V. Bazhanov, S.L. Lukyanov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Integrable structure of conformal field theory, quantum KdV theory and thermodynamic Bethe ansatz, Commun. Math. Phys. 177 (1996) 381 [hep-th/9412229] [INSPIRE].
[2] V.V. Bazhanov, S.L. Lukyanov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Integrable structure of conformal field theory. 2. Q operator and DDV equation, Commun. Math. Phys. 190 (1997) 247 [hep-th/9604044] [inSPIRE].
[3] V.V. Bazhanov, S.L. Lukyanov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Integrable structure of conformal field theory. 3. The Yang-Baxter relation, Commun. Math. Phys. 200 (1999) 297 [hep-th/9805008] [inSPIRE].
[4] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Quantum quenches in extended systems, J. Stat. Mech. 0706 (2007) P06008 [arXiv:0704.1880] [INSPIRE].
[5] P. Calabrese, F.H.L. Essler and M. Fagotti, Quantum quench in the transverse field Ising chain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 227203 [arXiv:1104.0154] [inSPIRE].
[6] J. Cardy, Quantum quenches to a critical point in one dimension: some further results, J. Stat. Mech. 1602 (2016) 023103 [arXiv:1507.07266] [inSPIRE].
[7] J. de Boer and D. Engelhardt, Remarks on thermalization in 2D CFT, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 126019 [arXiv:1604.05327] [inSPIRE].
[8] A. Pérez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, Boundary conditions for general relativity on $A d S_{3}$ and the KdV hierarchy, JHEP 06 (2016) 103 [arXiv:1605.04490].
[9] S. He, F.-L. Lin and J.-j. Zhang, Subsystem eigenstate thermalization hypothesis for entanglement entropy in CFT, JHEP 08 (2017) 126 [arXiv:1703.08724] [INSPIRE].
[10] P. Basu, D. Das, S. Datta and S. Pal, Thermality of eigenstates in conformal field theories, Phys. Rev. E 96 (2017) 022149 [arXiv:1705.03001] [INSPIRE].
[11] S. He, F.-L. Lin and J.-j. Zhang, Dissimilarities of reduced density matrices and eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, JHEP 12 (2017) 073 [arXiv:1708.05090] [inSPIRE].
[12] N. Lashkari, A. Dymarsky and H. Liu, Universality of quantum information in chaotic CFTs, JHEP 03 (2018) 070 [arXiv:1710.10458].
[13] A. Maloney, G.S. Ng, S.F. Ross and I. Tsiares, Generalized Gibbs Ensemble and the Statistics of $K d V$ Charges in $2 D$ CFT, JHEP 03 (2019) 075 [arXiv:1810.11054] [INSPIRE].
[14] A. Maloney, G.S. Ng, S.F. Ross and I. Tsiares, Thermal Correlation Functions of KdV Charges in 2D CFT, JHEP 02 (2019) 044 [arXiv:1810.11053] [InSPIRE].
[15] A. Dymarsky and K. Pavlenko, Generalized Gibbs ensemble of $2 d$ CFTs at large central charge in the thermodynamic limit, JHEP 01 (2019) 098 [arXiv:1810.11025] [INSPIRE].
[16] L. Apolo, Bounds on CFTs with $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ algebras and AdS $S_{3}$ higher spin theories, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 086003 [arXiv:1705.10402] [INSPIRE].
[17] J.L. Cardy, Operator content of two-dimensional conformally invariant theories, Nucl. Phys. B 270 (1986) 186 [INSPIRE].
[18] P. Kraus and A. Maloney, A Cardy formula for three-point coefficients or how the black hole got its spots, JHEP 05 (2017) 160 [arXiv:1608.03284].
[19] A. Dymarsky and K. Pavlenko, Generalized eigenstate thermalization in $2 d$ CFTs, arXiv:1903.03559 [INSPIRE].

