

NON-COMMUTATIVE FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

By

JIM AGLER* AND JOHN E. MCCARTHY†

Abstract. We develop a functional calculus for d -tuples of non-commuting elements in a Banach algebra. The functions we apply are free analytic functions, that is, nc-functions that are bounded on certain polynomial polyhedra.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview. The purpose of this note is to develop an approach to functional calculus and spectral theory for d -tuples of elements of a Banach algebra, with no assumption that the elements commute.

In [28], J. L. Taylor considered this problem for d -tuples in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the bounded linear operators on a Banach space X . His idea was to start with the algebra $\mathbb{P}^d$ of free polynomials¹ in d variables over the complex numbers and consider what he called “satellite algebras”, that is, algebras $\mathcal{A}$ that contain $\mathbb{P}^d$ with the property that every representation from $\mathbb{P}^d$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$ that extends to a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ has a unique extension. As a representation of $\mathbb{P}^d$ is determined by choosing the images of the generators, i.e., choosing $T = (T^1, \dots, T^d) \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$, the extension of the representation to $\mathcal{A}$, when it exists, constitutes an $\mathcal{A}$ -functional calculus for T . The classes of satellite algebras that Taylor considered, which he called free analytic algebras, were intended to be non-commutative generalizations of the algebras $O(U)$, the algebra of holomorphic functions on a domain U in $\mathbb{C}^d$ (and indeed he proved in [28, Prop 3.3] that when $d = 1$, these constitute all the free analytic algebras). Taylor had already developed a successful $O(U)$ functional calculus for d -tuples T of *commuting* operators on X for which a certain spectrum (now called the Taylor spectrum) is contained in U ; see [25, 26] for the original articles, and the article [21] by M. Putinar, which shows uniqueness. An excellent treatment is

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1361720.

†Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1300280.

¹We use the terms **free polynomial** and **non-commuting polynomial** in d variables interchangeably to mean an element of the algebra over the free monoid with d generators.

in [6] by R. Curto. However, in the non-commutative case, Taylor's approach in [27, 28] using homological algebra was only partially successful.

What constitutes a successful theory? This is of course subjective, but we argue that it should contain some of the following ingredients, and one has to make trade-offs between them. The functional calculus should use algebras $\mathcal{A}$ that one knows something about—the better the algebras are understood, the more useful the theory. Secondly, the condition under which a given T has an $\mathcal{A}$ -functional calculus should be related as simply as possible to the way in which T is presented. Thirdly, the more explicit the map that sends ϕ in $\mathcal{A}$ to $\phi(T)$ in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the easier it is to use the theory. Finally, one should have a theory which, when restricted to the commutative case, agrees with the normal idea of a functional calculus.

The approach that we advocate in this note is to replace the universal set $\mathbb{C}^d$ with the nc-universe $\mathbb{M}^{[d]} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n^d$, where $\mathbb{M}_n$ denotes the set of n -by- n matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, with the induced operator norm from ℓ_n^2 . In other words, we look at d -tuples of n -by- n matrices; but, instead of fixing n , we allow all values of n . We look at certain special open sets in $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$.

Let δ be a matrix of free polynomials in d variables, and define

$$(1.1) \quad G_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{M}^{[d]} : \|\delta(x)\| < 1\}.$$

The algebras we work with are algebras of the form $H^\infty(G_\delta)$. We define $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ in Definition 1.3. For now, think of it as some sort of non-commutative analogue of the set of bounded analytic functions defined on G_δ . We develop conditions for a d -tuple in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to have an $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ functional calculus, in other words, for a particular $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$ to have the property that there is a unique extension of the polynomial functional calculus to all of $H^\infty(G_\delta)$.

1.2 Non-commutative functions. Let $\mathbb{M}^{[d]} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n^d$. A **graded function** defined on a subset of $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ is a function ϕ with the property that $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{M}_n$ if $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$. If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$ and $y \in \mathbb{M}_m^d$, we let $x \oplus y = (x^1 \oplus y^1, \dots, x^d \oplus y^d) \in \mathbb{M}_{n+m}^d$; and, if $s \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we let sx (respectively, xs) denote the tuple $(sx^1, \dots, sx^d)$ (respectively, $(x^1s, \dots, x^ds)$).

Definition 1.1. An **nc-function** is a graded function ϕ defined on a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ such that

- i) if $x, y, x \oplus y \in D$, then $\phi(x \oplus y) = \phi(x) \oplus \phi(y)$;
- ii) if $s \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is invertible and $x, s^{-1}xs \in D \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$, then $\phi(s^{-1}xs) = s^{-1}\phi(x)s$.

Observe that every non-commutative polynomial is an nc-function on all of $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$. Subject to being locally bounded with respect to an appropriate topology,

nc-functions are holomorphic [2, 9, 11], and can be thought of as bearing an analogous relationship to non-commutative polynomials as holomorphic functions do to regular polynomials.

Nc-functions have been studied by, among others, G. Popescu [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]; J. Ball, G. Groenewald, and T. Malakorn [5]; D. Alpay and D. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi [4]; and J.W. Helton, I. Klep and S. McCullough [8, 9] and Helton and McCullough [10]. We refer to the book [11] by Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V. Vinnikov on nc-functions.

We define matrix- or operator-valued nc-functions in the natural way, and use upper-case letters to denote them.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and $D \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$. We say a function F is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ -valued nc-function on D if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_n \forall_{x \in D \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d} F(x) &\in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{K}_1, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{K}_2), \\ \forall_{x, y, x \oplus y \in D} F(x \oplus y) &= F(x) \oplus F(y), \text{ and} \\ \forall_n \forall_{x \in D \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d} \forall_{s \in \mathbb{M}_n} s^{-1}xs &\in D \Rightarrow F(s^{-1}xs) = (s^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}_1})F(x)(s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}_2}). \end{aligned}$$

A special case of G_δ in (1.1) is when $d = IJ$ and δ is the I -by- J rectangular matrix whose (i, j) entry is the $[(i-1)J + j]^{\text{th}}$ coordinate function. We give this the special symbol $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\mathcal{E}(x^1, \dots, x^{IJ}) = \begin{pmatrix} x^1 & x^2 & \dots & x^J \\ x^{J+1} & x^{J+2} & \dots & x^{2J} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x^{(I-1)J+1} & x^{(I-1)J+2} & \dots & x^{IJ} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote the set $G_\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathbb{B}_{I \times J}$:

$$\mathbb{B}_{I \times J} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x = (x^1, \dots, x^{IJ}) \in \mathbb{M}_n^{IJ} : \|\mathcal{E}(x)\| < 1 \right\}.$$

Definition 1.3. We denote by $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ the set of bounded nc-functions on G_δ , and by $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^\infty(G_\delta)$ the set of bounded $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ -valued nc-functions on D .

Functions in these sets were studied in [1] and [2]. When $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{C}$, we identify $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ with $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^\infty(G_\delta)$. By a matrix-valued $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ function, we mean an element of some $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^\infty(G_\delta)$ with both $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ finite dimensional.

2 Hilbert tensor norms

We wish to define norms on matrices of elements of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Were X restricted to be a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, there would be a natural way to do this by thinking of an I -by- J matrix in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ as a linear map from the (Hilbert space) tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^J$ to $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^I$. We would like to do this in general.

Note first that although every Banach space can be embedded in an operator space (see e.g., [14, Chap. 3]), which in turn can be realized as a subset of some $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we would lose the multiplicative structure of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, so this approach does not work in general for our purpose.

Let us recall some definitions from the theory of tensor products on Banach spaces [7, 23]. A **reasonable cross norm** on the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes Y$ of two Banach spaces is a norm τ satisfying

i) for every $x \in X$, and $y \in Y$,

$$\tau(x \otimes y) = \|x\| \|y\|;$$

ii) for every $x^* \in X^*$ and $y^* \in Y^*$,

$$\|x^* \otimes y^*\|_{(X \otimes Y, \tau)^*} = \|x^*\| \|y^*\|.$$

A **uniform cross norm** is an assignment to each pair of Banach spaces X, Y a reasonable cross-norm on $X \otimes Y$ such that if $R : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $S : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ are bounded linear operators, then

$$\|R \otimes S\|_{X_1 \otimes Y_1 \rightarrow X_2 \otimes Y_2} \leq \|R\| \|S\|.$$

A uniform cross norm τ is **finitely generated** if, for every pair of Banach spaces X, Y and every $u \in X \otimes Y$,

$$\tau(u; X \otimes Y) = \inf \{ \tau(u; M \otimes N), u \in M \otimes N, \dim M < \infty, \dim N < \infty \}.$$

A finitely generated uniform cross norm is called a **tensor norm**. Both the injective and projective tensor products are tensor norms [7, Propositions 1.2.1, 1.3.2], [23, Section 6.1]; there are also other tensor products [7, 23]. When τ is a reasonable cross norm, we write $X \otimes_\tau Y$ for the Banach space that is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ with respect to the norm given by τ .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space. A **Hilbert tensor norm** on X is an assignment of a reasonable cross norm h to $X \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for every Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ such that if $R : X \rightarrow X$ and $S : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_2$ are bounded linear operators and $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ are Hilbert spaces, then

$$(2.1) \quad \|R \otimes S\|_{\mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_1, X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_2)} \leq \|R\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}.$$

Every uniform cross norm is a Hilbert tensor norm, but there are other Hilbert tensor norms. Most importantly, if X is a Hilbert space, then the Hilbert space tensor product is a Hilbert tensor norm.

In what follows, we denote by $\otimes$ without a subscript the Hilbert space tensor product of two Hilbert spaces, and by $\otimes_h$ a Hilbert tensor norm.

Let X be a Banach space, and let h be a Hilbert tensor norm on X . Let $R = (R_{ij})$ be an I -by- J matrix with entries in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Thinking of R as a linear operator from $X \otimes \mathbb{C}^J$ to $X \otimes \mathbb{C}^I$, we use h to define a norm for R . Formally, let $E_{ij} : \mathbb{C}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^I$ be the matrix with 1 in the (i, j) slot and 0 elsewhere. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space. We define

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{h,\mathcal{K}} &: X \otimes_h (\mathbb{C}^J \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow X \otimes_h (\mathbb{C}^I \otimes \mathcal{K}) \\ R_{h,\mathcal{K}} &= \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J R_{ij} \otimes_h (E_{ij} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}) \end{aligned}$$

Then we define

$$(2.3) \quad \|R\|_h = \sup\{\|R_{h,\mathcal{K}}\| : \mathcal{K} \text{ is a Hilbert space}\},$$

and (borrowing notation from the Irish use of a dot or *séimhiú* for an “h”)

$$(2.4) \quad \|R\|_{\bullet} = \inf\{\|R\|_h : h \text{ is a Hilbert tensor norm}\}.$$

Let us record the following lemma for future use.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $R = (R_{ij})$ be an I -by- J matrix with entries in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \|R\|_{\bullet} \geq \max_{i,j} \|R_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}.$$

Proof. Let B_i be the 1-by- I matrix with i^{th} entry id_X , and the other entries the 0 element of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Let C_j be the J -by-1 column matrix with j^{th} entry id_X and the other entries 0. Let h be a Hilbert tensor norm on X . By (2.1), $\|B_i\|_h \leq 1$ and $\|C_j\|_h \leq 1$; and, since h is a reasonable cross norm, $\|B_i\|_h = \|C_j\|_h = 1$. Then

$$\|R_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \|B_i R C_j\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \|R\|_{\mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h \mathbb{C}^J, X \otimes_h \mathbb{C}^I)} \leq \|R\|_h.$$

Since this holds for every h , (2.5) follows. □

3 Free analytic functions

Here are some of the primary results of [2]. When δ is an I -by- J rectangular matrix with entries in $\mathbb{P}^d$, and $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$, we think of $\delta(x)$ as an element of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes$

$\mathbb{C}^J, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^I$). When $\mathcal{M}$ is a Hilbert space, we write $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$ for $\delta(x) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and think of it as an element of

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{M}^J, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{M}^I) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes (\mathbb{C}^J \otimes \mathcal{M}), \mathbb{C}^n \otimes (\mathbb{C}^I \otimes \mathcal{M})).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let δ be an I -by- J rectangular matrix of free polynomials, and G_{δ} be non-empty. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. A function Φ is in $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^{\infty}(G_{\delta})$ if and only if there is a function F in $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$, with $\|F\| \leq \|\Phi\|$, such that $\Phi = F \circ \delta$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. If F is in $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ and $\|F\| \leq 1$, there exist an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ and an isometry*

$$(3.1) \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}^{(I)} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \mathcal{M}^{(J)}$$

such that

$$(3.2a) \quad F(x) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes A + (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes B) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) [\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}^{(J)}} - (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes D) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)]^{-1} (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes C)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{B}_{I \times J} \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$. Consequently, F has the series expansion

$$(3.2b) \quad F(x) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes A + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes B) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) [(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes D) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)]^{k-1} (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes C),$$

which is absolutely convergent on G_{δ} .

If we write ${}_{\mathbb{C}^n}A$ for $\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \otimes A$, equations (3.2a) and (3.2b) have the more easily readable form

$$(3.3a) \quad F = {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}A + {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}B \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}} [I - {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}D \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}]^{-1} {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}C$$

$$(3.3b) \quad F(x) = {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}A + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}B \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) [{}_{\mathbb{C}^n}D \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)]^{k-1} {}_{\mathbb{C}^n}C.$$

We call (3.2a) a **free realization** of F . The isometry V is not unique, but each term on the right-hand side of (3.3b) is a free matrix-valued polynomial, each of whose non-zero entries is homogeneous of degree k . Thus we can rewrite (3.3b) as

$$(3.3c) \quad F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x)$$

where each P_k is a homogeneous $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ -valued free polynomial, and which satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \|P_k(x)\| \leq \|x\|^k \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{B}_{I \times J}, \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

Formulas (3.3a) or (3.3c) allow us to extend the domain of F from d -tuples of matrices to d -tuples in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Let X be a Banach space, with a Hilbert tensor norm h . Let $T = (T_{ij})$ be an I -by- J matrix of elements of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. If $\|T\|_h < 1$, where $\|T\|_h$ is defined by (2.3), we can replace $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$ in (3.2a) with $\sum_{i,j} T_{ij} \otimes_h (E_{ij} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})$ and get a bounded operator from $X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_1$ to $X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_2$, provided we tensor with id_X .

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, and let F be a matrix-valued nc-function on $\mathbb{B}_{I \times J}$, bounded in norm by 1, with a free realization given by (3.2a) and an expansion into homogeneous $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ -valued free polynomials given by (3.3c). Let $T = (T_{ij})_{i=1, j=1}^{i=I, j=J}$ be an I -by- J matrix of bounded operators on a Banach space X . Let h be a Hilbert tensor norm on X . Then we define $F_h^\sharp(T) \in \mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_1, X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_2)$ by

$$(3.5) \quad F_h^\sharp(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(T),$$

provided that the right-hand side converges absolutely.

We extend the definition of $F^\sharp$ to functions of norm greater than 1 by scaling.

The definition of $F_h^\sharp(T)$ might seem to depend on the choice of free realization, but in fact does not, since the polynomials P_k do not depend on the free realization. However, the definition of $F_h^\sharp(T)$ does depend subtly on the choice of h , as $F_h^\sharp(T)$ is a bounded linear map in $\mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_1, X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_2)$, but these are all the same if $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{C}$. We write $F^\sharp(T)$ for the $\dim(\mathcal{K}_2)$ -by- $\dim(\mathcal{K}_1)$ matrix

$$(3.6) \quad F^\sharp(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(T),$$

which is a matrix of elements of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

In the following theorem, ${}_X A = \text{id}_X \otimes_h A$ and $T_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i,j} T_{ij} \otimes_h (E_{ij} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})$, where we assume that h is understood.

Theorem 3.4. Let X be a Banach space and T an I -by- J matrix of elements of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose F is as in Theorem 3.2 and $\|F\| \leq 1$.

(i) If h is a Hilbert tensor norm on X and $\|T\|_h < 1$, then

$$(3.7) \quad F_h^\sharp(T) = {}_X A + ({}_X B) T_{\mathcal{M}} [I - ({}_X D) T_{\mathcal{M}}]^{-1} {}_X C,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \|F_h^\sharp(T)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|T\|_h}.$$

(ii) If $\|T\|_\bullet < 1$, then

$$(3.9) \quad \|F^\sharp(T)\|_\bullet \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|T\|_\bullet}.$$

(iii) If X is a Hilbert space, h is the Hilbert space tensor product, and $\|T\|_H < 1$, then

$$(3.10) \quad \|F_H^\sharp(T)\| \leq 1.$$

Proof. (i) Let $\|T\|_h = r < 1$. Let us temporarily denote by $G(T)$ the right-hand side of (3.7). By 2.1, we have $\|_X D\| \leq 1$; and, by (2.3), $\|T_{\mathcal{M}}\| < 1$. Therefore, the Neumann series $[I - ({}_X D)T_{\mathcal{M}}]^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [{}_X D T_{\mathcal{M}}]^k$ converges to a bounded linear operator in $\mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h (\mathbb{C}^J \otimes \mathcal{M}))$ of norm at most $1/1 - r$. Using 2.1 again, we conclude that

$$(3.11) \quad \|G(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_1, X \otimes_h \mathcal{K}_2)} \leq 1 + \frac{r}{1 - r} = \frac{1}{1 - r}.$$

Replacing T by $e^{i\theta}T$ and integrating $G(e^{i\theta}T)$ against $e^{-ik\theta}$, we get, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(e^{i\theta}T) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta = {}_X B T_{\mathcal{M}} [{}_X D T_{\mathcal{M}}]^{k-1} {}_X C = P_k(T),$$

where P_k is the homogeneous polynomial from (3.3c). Therefore $G(T)$ is given by the absolutely convergent series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(T)$, and hence equals $F^\sharp(T)$, proving (3.7), and, by (3.11), also proving (3.8).

(ii) follows from the definition (2.4).

(iii) Using the fact that $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is an isometry, and equation (3.7), after some algebraic rearrangements we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad I - F_H^\sharp(T)^* F_H^\sharp(T) = {}_X C^* [I - T_{\mathcal{M}}^* {}_X D]^{-1} [I - T_{\mathcal{M}}^* T_{\mathcal{M}}] [I - ({}_X D)T_{\mathcal{M}}]^{-1} {}_X C.$$

Since $\|T_{\mathcal{M}}\| < 1$, the right-hand side of (3.12) is positive, and so the left-hand side is positive, which means $\|F_H^\sharp(T)\| \leq 1$. $\square$

Suppose $\Phi(x^1, \dots, x^d)$ is in $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^\infty(G_\delta)$. By Theorem 3.1, we can write $\Phi = F \circ \delta$, for some F in $H_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)}^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$. Let $T = (T^1, \dots, T^d) \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$. Then $\delta(T)$ is an I -by- J matrix with entries in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. If $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet < 1$, one would like to define $\Phi^\sharp$ by

$$(3.13) \quad \Phi^\sharp(T) = F^\sharp(\delta(T)).$$

But, since F is not unique, this raises questions about whether $\Phi^\sharp$ is well-defined. We address this question in Section 4.

4 Existence of a functional calculus

Throughout this section, X is a Banach space, and $T = (T^1, \dots, T^d)$ is a d -tuple of bounded linear operators on X .

Let δ be an I -by- J matrix of free polynomials in $\mathbb{P}^d$, and let

$$G_\delta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d : \|\delta(x)\| < 1\}.$$

We say that G_δ is a **spectral set** for T if

$$(4.1) \quad \|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \sup_{x \in G_\delta} \|p(x)\| \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{P}^d.$$

When P is an I -by- J matrix of polynomials, we consider P to be an $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^J, \mathbb{C}^I)$ valued nc-function. We denote by $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{P}^d)$ the vector space of all (finite) matrices of free polynomials, with the norm of $P(x)$ given as the operator norm in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^J, \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^I)$ where $x = (x_{ij})$ is a matrix with each $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n$. If (4.1) holds for all matrices of polynomials, i.e.,

$$(4.2) \quad \|P(T)\|_{\bullet} \leq \sup_{x \in G_\delta} \|P(x)\| \quad \text{for all } n, \text{ for all } P \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{P}^d),$$

we say that G_δ is a **complete spectral set** for T . If inequalities (4.1) or (4.2) hold with the right-hand side multiplied by a constant K , we say G_δ is a **K -spectral set** (respectively, **complete K -spectral set**) for T .

Theorem 4.1. *The following are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists $s < 1$ such that $G_{\delta/s}$ is a K -spectral set for T .*

(ii) *There exists $r < 1$ such that the map $\pi : f \circ (\frac{1}{r}\delta) \mapsto f^\sharp(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T))$ is a well-defined bounded homomorphism from $H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\|\pi\| \leq K$ that extends the polynomial functional calculus on $\mathbb{P}^d \cap H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$.*

Moreover, if these conditions hold, then π is the unique extension of the evaluation homomorphism on the polynomials to a bounded homomorphism from $H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $s = r$. Let $q \in \mathbb{P}^d$. If $\|q\|_{G_{\delta/r}}$ is infinite, there is nothing to prove, so assume that $\|q\|_{G_{\delta/r}}$ is finite. By Theorem 3.1, there exists $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ such that $q = f \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta$ on $G_{\delta/r}$, and $\|f\| \leq \|q\|_{G_{\delta/r}}$. Since π is well-defined and extends the polynomial evaluation, $\pi(q) = q(T) = f^\sharp(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T))$. Therefore

$$\|q(T)\| \leq K \left\| f \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta \right\|_{G_{\delta/r}} \leq K \|q\|_{G_{\delta/r}}.$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Choose r in $(s, 1)$. Let $\phi \in H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$, and assume that there are functions f_1 and f_2 in $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ such that $\phi(x) = f_1 \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta(x) = f_2 \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta(x)$ for all $x \in G_{\delta/r}$. Expand each f_l as in (3.3c) into a series of homogeneous polynomials, obtaining $f_l(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k^l(x)$, $l = 1, 2$. By (3.4), we have $\|p_k^l(x)\| \leq \|x\|^k$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^1\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x)\right) - \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^2\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x)\right) \right\|_{G_{\delta/s}} &= \left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty p_k^1\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x)\right) - \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty p_k^2\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x)\right) \right\|_{G_{\delta/s}} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^k = 2 \frac{s^{N+1}}{r^N} \frac{1}{r-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^1\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) - \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^2\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) \right\| \leq 2K \frac{s^{N+1}}{r^N} \frac{1}{r-s}.$$

Both series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k^l(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T))$ converge to the same limit, so $\pi(\phi)$ is well-defined.

Moreover, since $\sum_{k=0}^N p_k^1(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x))$ converges uniformly to $\phi(x)$ on $G_{\delta/s}$, we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^1 \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta \right\|_{G_{\delta/s}} \leq \|\phi\|_{G_{\delta/s}} \leq \|\phi\|_{G_{\delta/r}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\pi(\phi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N p_k^1\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) \right\| \leq K \|\phi\|_{G_{\delta/r}}.$$

The fact that π is a homomorphism follows from it being well defined, as if $\phi = f \circ (\frac{1}{r}\delta)$ and $\psi = g \circ (\frac{1}{r}\delta)$, then $\phi\psi = (fg) \circ (\frac{1}{r}\delta)$.

Finally, to show that π extends the polynomial functional calculus, suppose q is a free polynomial in $H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$, so $q = f \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta$. Expand $f(x) = \sum p_k(x)$ into its homogeneous parts. Then $\sum_{k=0}^N p_k(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x))$ converges uniformly to $q(x)$ on $G_{\delta/s}$. So, since $G_{\delta/s}$ is a K -spectral set for T ,

$$\pi(q) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N p_k\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) = q(T).$$

This last argument shows that π is the unique continuous extension of the evaluation map on polynomials. $\square$

A similar result holds for complete K -spectral sets.

Theorem 4.2. *The following are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists $s < 1$ such that $G_{\delta/s}$ is a complete K -spectral set for T .*

(ii) *There exists $r < 1$ such that the map $\pi : F \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta \mapsto F^\sharp(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T))$ is a well-defined completely bounded homomorphism, satisfying*

$$\left\| F^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) \right\|_\bullet \leq K \left\| F \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta \right\|_{G_{\delta/r}}$$

that extends the polynomial functional calculus on $\mathbb{P}^d \cap H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$.

Moreover, if these conditions hold, then π is the unique extension of the evaluation homomorphism on the polynomials to a bounded homomorphism from $H^\infty(G_{\delta/r})$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2. The only significant difference is that (4.3) becomes

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^N P_k^1\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) - \sum_{k=0}^N P_k^2\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(T)\right) \right\|_\bullet \leq 2K \frac{s^{N+1}}{r^N} \frac{1}{r-s}.$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to conclude that both series converge to the same limit matrix.

Definition 4.3. We say that T has a **contractive** (respectively, **completely contractive**, **bounded**, **completely bounded**) G_δ **functional calculus** if there exists $0 < r < 1$ such that $G_{\delta/r}$ is a spectral set (respectively, complete spectral set, K spectral set, complete K spectral set) for T .

Remark 4.4. Even in the case $d = 1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\delta(x) = x$, the question of when T has an $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ functional calculus becomes murky without the a priori requirement that $\|T\| < 1$. By von Neumann's inequality [29], T has a completely contractive G_δ functional calculus if $\|T\| < 1$. When $\|T\| = 1$, $p \mapsto p(T)$ extends contractively to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ if T does not have a singular unitary summand [24, Theorem III.2.3]; but, to guarantee uniqueness, one usually imposes the standard extra assumption of continuity in the strong operator topology for functions that converge boundedly almost everywhere on the unit circle [24, Section III.2.2].

By Rota's theorem [22], if $\sigma(T) \subseteq (\mathbb{D})$, T is similar to an operator which has a completely contractive $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ functional calculus. Again, the situation becomes more delicate if $\sigma(T)$ is not required to lie in $\mathbb{D}$. By Paulsen's theorem [13], T has a completely bounded polynomial functional calculus if and only if T is similar to a contraction.

5 Complete spectral sets

For $\Phi \in H^\infty(G_\delta)$, and T a d -tuple with $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet < 1$, one wants to define $\Phi^\sharp(T)$ as $F^\sharp(\delta(T))$. But what if there are two different functions, F and F_1 , both in

$H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$, satisfying $\Phi(x) = F \circ \delta(x) = F_1 \circ \delta(x)$ for all $x \in G_\delta$. How does one know that $F^\sharp(\delta(T)) = F_1^\sharp(\delta(T))$? If it doesn't, is there a "best" choice?

Definition 5.1. We say G_δ is **bounded** if there exists M such that $\|x\| \leq M$ for all $x \in G_\delta$. This is the same as requiring that $\mathbb{P}^d \subseteq H^\infty(G_\delta)$. A stronger condition is that the algebra generated by the δ_{ij} is all of $\mathbb{P}^d$.

We say that δ is **separating** if every coordinate function x^r , $1 \leq r \leq d$, is in the algebra generated by the functions $\{\delta_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J\}$.

Theorem 5.2. Assume $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet < 1$. Then there exists $r < 1$ such that $G_{\delta/r}$ is a complete K -spectral set for T if and only if there exists s in the interval $(\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet, 1)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad F^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right) = P(T)$$

for every matrix-valued $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ function F and matrix P of free polynomials satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad F \circ \left(\frac{1}{s}\delta\right)(x) = P(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G_{(1/s)\delta}.$$

If δ is separating, then it suffices to check the condition for the case $P = 0$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) By Theorem 4.2, (5.2) implies (5.1) whenever $G_{\delta/r}$ is a complete K -spectral set.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet = t < 1$ and that $s \in (t, 1)$ has the property that (5.2) implies (5.1). Let $r = s$. We show that $G_{\delta/r}$ is a complete K -spectral set for T .

Let P be a matrix of polynomials. We wish to show that

$$(5.3) \quad \|P(T)\|_\bullet \leq K \sup\{\|P(x)\| : x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d, \|\delta(x)\| < r\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that the right-hand side of (5.3) is finite. By Theorem 3.1, we can find F and a matrix-valued function on $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ such that $F \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta = P$ on $G_{\delta/r}$ and $\|F\| \leq \sup\{\|P(x)\| : x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d, \|\delta(x)\| < r\}$. By (5.1), we have $P(T) = F^\sharp \circ \frac{1}{r}\delta(T)$, and so, by Theorem 3.4, (5.3) holds in general, with $K = r/r - t$.

Now, suppose that

$$(5.4) \quad F \circ \left(\frac{1}{s}\delta\right) = 0 \quad \text{on } G_{(1/s)\delta}$$

implies

$$(5.5) \quad F^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right) = 0.$$

We wish to show that (5.2) implies (5.1). Since δ is separating, there is a matrix H of free polynomials such that $H \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta(x) = P(x)$. Then

$$(F - H) \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in G_{\delta/s};$$

so, by hypothesis,

$$F^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right) = H^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right);$$

and, since H is a polynomial,

$$H^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right) = H\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(T)\right) = P(T),$$

as required. $\square$

Remark 5.3. To just check the case $P = 0$, we don't need to know that δ is separating; we just need to know that if a polynomial is bounded on $G_{\delta/r}$, it is expressible as a polynomial in the δ_{ij} .

Here is a checkable condition.

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose $\delta(0) = 0$ and that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq 1} \|\delta(rT)\|_\bullet < 1.$$

Then T has a completely bounded G_δ functional calculus.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, suffices to prove that (5.2) implies (5.1). Hence, assume (5.2) holds, i.e., $F \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(\frac{1}{s}\delta(x)) = P(x)$ for all $x \in G_{(1/s)\delta}$. By Theorem 3.2, $F \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta - P$ has a power series expansion in a ball centered at 0 in $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$. Since $\delta(0) = 0$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of terms in $F \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta(x) - P(x)$ that are of degree m in x is finite.

Expanding $P_k(\frac{1}{s}\delta(x))$, one gets $O((IJ)^k)$ terms, so if $\|\frac{1}{s}\delta(x)\| < \frac{1}{IJ}$, then the series expansion for $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(\frac{1}{s}\delta(x))$ converges absolutely. We conclude therefore, by rearranging the absolutely convergent series, that if R is a d -tuple in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfying $\|\delta(R)\|_\bullet < s/IJ$, then

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k\left(\frac{1}{s}\delta(R)\right) = P(R).$$

Since $\delta(0) = 0$, we can apply (5.6) to ζT , for all sufficiently small ζ . Now we analytically continue to $\zeta = 1$ and conclude that (5.6) also holds for T . $\square$

6 Hilbert spaces

For d -tuples in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^d$, it is natural to work with the Hilbert space tensor product and the Hilbert space norm instead of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\bullet$. Throughout this section, we assume that $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^d$ and that all norms (including those used to define spectral and complete spectral sets) are Hilbert space norms. Many of our earlier results go through with essentially the same proofs; but, since we can use (3.10) instead of (3.9), we get better constants.

The following theorem is a sample result, proved like Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^d$. Then there exists $r < 1$ such that*

$$\left\| F^\sharp\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(S)\right) \right\| \leq \sup \left\{ \left\| F\left(\frac{1}{r}\delta(x)\right) \right\| : x \in G_{\delta/r} \right\}$$

if and only if

- (i) $\|\delta(S)\| < 1$, and
- (ii) $F^\sharp(\frac{1}{s}\delta(S)) = P(S)$ for every matrix-valued $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{I \times J})$ function F satisfying $F \circ \frac{1}{s}\delta(x) = P(x)$ for all $x \in G_{(1/s)\delta}$.

Example 7.3 below shows that condition (i) in Theorem 6.1 does not imply (ii).

For the remainder of this section, $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a fixed orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. We can naturally identify $\mathbb{M}_n$ with the operators on $\mathcal{H}$ that map $\vee_{k=1}^n \{e_k\}$ to itself and vanish on the orthogonal complement. In this way, G_δ is a subset of $G_\delta^\sharp$, where

$$G_\delta^\sharp := \{S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^d : \|\delta(S)\| < 1\}.$$

Since multiplication is sequentially continuous in the strong operator topology, to get a functional calculus it suffices to determine that $S \in G_\delta^\sharp$ is the strong operator topology limit of a sequence of d -tuples in $G_{\delta/r}$. For a set $A \in B(\mathcal{H})^d$, we denote by $\text{scl}_{\text{SOT}}(A)$ the set of tuples in $B(\mathcal{H})^d$ that are strong operator topology limits of sequences from A .

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose $S \in \bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \text{scl}_{\text{SOT}}(G_{\frac{1}{r}\delta})$. Then S has a completely contractive G_δ functional calculus.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists a sequence (x_k) in $G_{(1/t)\delta}$ that converges to S in the strong operator topology, for some $t < 1$. Therefore $\delta(x_k)$ converges to $\delta(S)$ in the strong operator topology, so $\|\delta(S)\| = r \leq t < 1$. Let $s \in (t, 1)$. By Theorem 6.1, it suffices to prove that (5.2) implies (5.1). As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can approximate F uniformly on $\frac{t}{s}\overline{\mathbb{B}_{I \times J}}$ with a sequence Q_N , the

sum of the first N homogeneous polynomials. So for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N_0 such that

$$(6.1) \quad \left\| Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(x_k) \right) - F \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(x_k) \right) \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6.2) \quad \left\| Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(S) \right) - F^\sharp \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(S) \right) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

if $N \geq N_0$. As $F \circ \frac{1}{s} \delta = P$ on $G_{(1/s)\delta}$, inequality (6.1) means

$$(6.3) \quad \left\| Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(x_k) \right) - P(x_k) \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } N \geq N_0.$$

Since multiplication is sequentially strong operator continuous and Q_N is a matrix of polynomials,

$$(6.4) \quad \text{S.O.T.} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(x_k) \right) - P(x_k) \right] = Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(S) \right) - P(S).$$

The norm of a strong operator topology sequential limit is less than or equal to the limit of the norms, so by (6.3), we get from (6.4) that

$$(6.5) \quad \left\| Q_N \left(\frac{1}{s} \delta(S) \right) - P(S) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } N \geq 0.$$

Using (6.5) in (6.2), we conclude that $\|F^\sharp \circ \frac{1}{s} \delta(S) - P(S)\| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary, we conclude that (5.1) holds, i.e., $F^\sharp \circ \frac{1}{s} \delta(S) = P(S)$. $\square$

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose each δ_{ij} is the sum of a scalar and a homogeneous polynomial of degree 1. Then S has a completely contractive G_δ functional calculus if and only if $\|\delta(S)\| < 1$.*

Proof. Let Π_N be the projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\vee_{j=1}^n \{e_j\}$, and suppose $\|\delta(S)\| \leq r$. Let $x_N = \Pi_N S \Pi_N$. Then x_N converges to S in the strong operator topology. Moreover, $\delta(x_N) = \Pi_N \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^J} \delta(S) \Pi_N \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^J}$, so $\|\delta(x_N)\| \leq \|\delta(S)\|$. $\square$

For Hilbert spaces, replacing completely bounded with completely contractive changes things only up to similarity. This follows from the following theorem of V. Paulsen. :

Theorem 6.4 ([13]). *Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces, and let A be a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a completely bounded homomorphism. Then there exists an invertible operator a on $\mathcal{H}$, with $\|a\| \|a^{-1}\| = \|\rho\|_{cb}$, such that $a^{-1} \rho(\cdot) a$ is a completely contractive homomorphism.*

As a consequence, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 6.5. *Let S be a d -tuple of operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then S has a completely bounded G_δ functional calculus if and only if there exists an invertible operator a on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $R = a^{-1}Sa$ has a completely contractive G_δ functional calculus.*

Proof. Sufficiency is clear. For necessity, suppose $0 < r < 1$, and that the map $H^\infty(G_{\delta/r}) \in \Phi \mapsto \Phi(S)$ is a completely bounded map, with completely bounded norm K , that extends polynomial evaluations for polynomials that are bounded on $G_{\delta/r}$. Then in particular, $G_{\delta/r}$ is a complete K -spectral set for S . Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense set in $G_{\delta/r}$, and let $X = \oplus x_k$. Then, for every matrix-valued function P ,

$$\|P\|_{G_{\delta/r}} = \sup\{\|P(x)\| : x \in G_{\delta/r}\} = \|P(X)\|.$$

By hypothesis, the map $\rho : P(X) \mapsto P(S)$ is completely bounded, with $\|\rho\|_{cb} \leq K$. By Theorem 6.4, there exists $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the map $P(X) \mapsto P(a^{-1}Sa)$ is completely contractive. Therefore $G_{\delta/r}$ is a complete spectral set for $a^{-1}Sa$. $\square$

Remark 6.6. We don't need $\mathcal{K}$ to be separable, so we could have taken X to be the direct sum over all of $G_{\delta/r}$. Indeed, we could sum over all $G_{\delta/r}$ which are complete K spectral sets, and get one similarity that works for all of them.

7 Examples

Example 7.1. Let $\delta(x) = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$ be a 1-by- d matrix. Then $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ is the algebra of all bounded nc-functions defined on the row contractions. Functions on the row contractions were studied by Popescu in [15]. Note that a function in $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ need not have an absolutely convergent power series. When we expand $f \in H^\infty(G_\delta)$ as in (3.3b) or (3.3c), we get $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k(x)$, where each p_k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k , having d^k terms. Knowing merely that all the coefficients are bounded, one would need $\|x^j\| < 1/d$ for each j to conclude that the series converged absolutely. However we do know that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|p_k(x)\|$ converges for all x in G_δ .

By Theorem 5.4 or Theorem 3.4, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$ satisfies $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet < 1$, the functional calculus $F \mapsto F^\sharp(T)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism from $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$, with completely bounded norm at most $1/1 - \|\delta(T)\|_\bullet$. Every function in the multiplier algebra of the Drury-Arveson space can be extended without increase of norm to a function in $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ [1]; so, in particular, one can then apply these functions to T .

Example 7.2. This is similar to Example 7.1. This time, let δ be the d -by- d diagonal matrix with the coordinate functions on the diagonal. Then $H^\infty(G_\delta)$

is the set of free analytic functions defined on d -tuples x with $\max \|x^j\| < 1$. Again, every function that is bounded on the commuting contractive d -tuples can be extended to all of G_δ without increasing its norm [1].

Let $T = (T^1, \dots, T^d) \in \mathcal{L}(X)^d$. We can calculate $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet$ by observing that defining $\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\| = \sqrt{\sum \|x_j\|_X^2}$ gives a Hilbert tensor norm on $X \otimes \ell_m^2$. It follows that $\|\delta(T)\|_\bullet \leq \max \|T^j\|$; and, since this is easily seen to be a lower bound, we conclude

$$(7.1) \quad \|\delta(T)\|_\bullet = \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \|T^j\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}.$$

So, one gets an $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ functional calculus whenever (7.1) is less than 1. Let us reiterate that if $f \in H^\infty(G_\delta)$ and we expand it in a power series, we have no guarantee that the resulting series converges absolutely, even if the norm of each T^j is less than one; we need to group the terms as in (3.6).

Example 7.3. Here is an example of a polynomial that has a different norm on G_δ and $G_\delta^\sharp$. Consequently, $\text{scl}_{\text{SOT}}(G_\delta) \neq G_\delta^\sharp$, proving that condition (i) in Theorem 6.1 does not imply (ii).

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 0.2$. For ease of reading, we write (x, y) instead of (x^1, x^2) to denote coordinates. Let

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(yx - I) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $p(x) = xy - I$. We claim that

$$(7.2a) \quad \|p\|_{G_\delta} \leq \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon^2,$$

$$(7.2b) \quad \|p\|_{G_\delta^\sharp} \geq 1.$$

To prove the claim, let $x \in G_\delta$. Then $\|y\| < 1 + \varepsilon$; and, since yx is bounded below by $1 - \varepsilon$, we conclude that x is bounded below by $(1 - \varepsilon)/(1 + \varepsilon)$. By this, we mean that for all vectors v , $\|xv\| \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\|v\|$. So x has an inverse z , and $\|z\| \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$. Let $e = yx - I$. Then $\|e\| < \varepsilon$, and $y = z + ez$. Therefore $p(x) = xz + xez - I = xez$, so $\|p(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^2}{1-\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon^2$, which yields (7.2a).

To prove (7.2b), let $T = (S, S^*)$, where S is the unilateral shift. Then $\|\delta(S, S^*)\| = 1/(1 + \varepsilon) < 1$, and $\|p(S, S^*)\| = 1$, which yields (7.2b).

Example 7.4. This is an example of our non-commutative approach applied to a single matrix. Let $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \text{ and } |z - 1| < 1\}$. Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be such that $\sigma(T) \subset U$. Let

$$\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $H^\infty(G_\delta)$ is a space of analytic functions on U , but the norm is not the sup-norm; it is the larger norm given by

$$\|\phi\| := \sup\{\|\phi(S)\| : S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \|\delta(S)\| < 1\}.$$

Indeed, by Theorem 3.1, the norm can be obtained as

$$\|\phi\| = \inf\{\|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)} : g(z, z-1) = \phi(z) \forall z \in U\}.$$

(It suffices to calculate the norm of g in the commutative case, since it always has an extension of the same norm to the non-commutative space, by [1]).

By [3, Theorem 4.9], every function analytic on a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$ is in $H^\infty(G_\delta)$. Since X is finite dimensional, T is similar to an operator on a Hilbert space; and, by the results of Smith and Paulsen, this can be taken to have U as a complete spectral set.

Putting all this together, we can write T as $a^{-1}Sa$, where S is a Hilbert space operator with $\|\delta(S)\| < 1$. For any ϕ in $H^\infty(G_\delta)$, we find a g of minimal norm in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that $g(z, z-1) = \phi(z)$ for all $z \in U$. Finally, we get the estimate

$$\|\phi(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \|a^{-1}\| \|a\| \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)}.$$

If $\max(\|T\|, \|T-1\|) = r < 1$, we have the estimate (which works even if X is infinite dimensional)

$$\|\phi(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Pick interpolation for free holomorphic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **137** (2015), 1685–1701.
- [2] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables* Canad. J. Math. **67** (2015), 241–285.
- [3] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Operator theory and the Oka extension theorem*, Hiroshima Math. J. **45** (2015), 9–34.
- [4] D. Alpay and D. S. Kalyuzhnyi-Verbovetzkiĭ, *Matrix-J-unitary non-commutative rational formal power series*, in *The State Space Method, Generalizations and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006, pp. 49–113.
- [5] J. A. Ball, G. Groenewald, and T. Malakorn, *Conservative structured noncommutative multidimensional linear systems*, in *The State Space Method Generalizations and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006, pp. 179–223.
- [6] R. E. Curto, *Applications of several complex variables to multiparameter spectral theory*, in *Surveys of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, Vol. II*, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1988, pp. 25–90.
- [7] J. Diestel, J. H. Fourie, and J. Swart, *The Metric Theory of Tensor Products*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

- [8] J. W. Helton, I. Klep, and S. McCullough, *Analytic mappings between noncommutative pencil balls*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **376** (2011), 407–428.
- [9] J. W. Helton, I. Klep, and S. McCullough, *Proper analytic free maps*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), 1476–1490.
- [10] J. W. Helton and S. McCullough, *Every convex free basic semi-algebraic set has an LMI representation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), 979–1013.
- [11] D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V. Vinnikov, *Foundations of Free Noncommutative Function Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [12] V. I. Paulsen, *Completely bounded homomorphisms of operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 225–228.
- [13] V. I. Paulsen, *Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction*, J. Funct. Anal. **55** (1984), 1–17.
- [14] G. Pisier, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [15] G. Popescu, *Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$* , J. Funct. Anal. **241** (2006), 268–333.
- [16] G. Popescu, *Free holomorphic functions and interpolation*, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 1–30.
- [17] G. Popescu, *Free holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **638** (2010), 119–168.
- [18] G. Popescu, *Free biholomorphic classification of noncommutative domains*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **4** (2011), 784–850.
- [19] G. Popescu, *Free biholomorphic functions and operator model theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 3240–3308.
- [20] G. Popescu, *Free biholomorphic functions and operator model theory, II*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 786–836.
- [21] M. Putinar, *Uniqueness of Taylor’s functional calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 647–650.
- [22] G-C. Rota, *On models for linear operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 469–472.
- [23] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag London, London, 2002.
- [24] B. Szokefalvi-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, second edition, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [25] J. L. Taylor, *The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 1–38.
- [26] J. L. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 172–191.
- [27] J. L. Taylor, *A general framework for a multi-operator functional calculus*, Advances in Math. **9** (1972), 183–252.
- [28] J. L. Taylor, *Functions of several non-commuting variables*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 1–34.
- [29] J. von Neumann, *Eine Spektraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, Math. Nachr. **4** (1951), 258–281.

Jim Agler

UCSD

LA JOLLA, CA 92093 USA

John E. McCarthy

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

ST. LOUIS, MO 63130 USA

email: mccarthy@wustl.edu

(Received May 22, 2015)