

Can We Build Useful Models of Future Risk from Natural Hazards?

Geoprocesses, Geohazards—CSDMS 2018: A CSDMS hosted Workshop; Boulder, Colorado, 22–24 May 2018



Aerial view of the Limpopo River, Mozambique, from 2000. In March of that year, floodwaters rushed through communities, damaging the country's infrastructure

[TSGT C. Humphries](#)

By [Albert J. Kettner](#), [Irina Overeem](#), and [Gregory Tucker](#) 10 September 2018

Natural hazards cause thousands of deaths and inflict tremendous societal damage every year. The database of the [United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction](#) (<https://www.unisdr.org/>) shows that the United States alone experienced 212 disasters between 2005 and 2014, worth \$443 billion in damage. Worldwide over that same period, 700,000 people were killed, and 1.7 billion people were affected by disasters. As a priority for action, the [United Nations Sendai Framework](#) (<https://www.unisdr.org/we/coordinate/sendai-framework>) urged a fundamental switch from merely responding to disasters after the fact to a proactive strategy of planning and resilience to reduce vulnerability to disasters before they occur.

Computational modeling provides an essential tool to better understand the fundamental surface processes causing natural hazards.

[Computational modeling](#) (<https://eos.org/research-spotlights/researchers-roll-clouds-into-climate-modeling>) provides an essential tool to better understand the fundamental surface processes causing natural hazards.

processes causing natural hazards and [their effects](https://eos.org/project-updates/seismic-hazard-assessment-honing-the-debate-testing-the-models) on Earth's surface change, especially where observations might fall short. As such, Earth surface models can contribute to quantitative [preevents](https://eos.org/articles/how-forecasting-models-are-changing-the-way-we-see-fires) risk assessments. Yet such assessments are appropriate only if models capture the important [physical processes](https://eos.org/project-updates/how-landslides-become) and are tested and well vetted—as well as usable and proven to be accurate.

To further explore and promote model-driven risk assessments, the Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System ([CSDMS](https://csdms.colorado.edu/wiki/Main_Page)) organized a workshop. An international interdisciplinary group of over 130 scientists met to assess the state of knowledge in natural hazard modeling for risk assessment focusing on building a next-generation cyberinfrastructure and a community for modeling and analysis practices.

Four major topics emerged from this meeting:

The first was the need to better integrate extreme events in Earth surface modeling. Low-probability, high-magnitude events often dictate landscape form and have potential to reset the directionality for long-term change. However, models might not run on spatial or temporal scales that capture such a hazard.

Human actions can trigger or magnify natural hazards in an evolving landscape.

Cyberinfrastructure to better integrate multiple models and data is required. For example, cascading natural hazards are common. Although many single-hazard models almost none are capable of integrating across hazards, which is a necessity to truly assess risk. Coupling frameworks can accommodate for this.

Interdisciplinary research is necessary. Modeling the evolution of landscapes for risk assessment requires incorporating human dynamics. Human actions can trigger and magnify natural hazards in an evolving landscape. There is value, therefore, in having the human factor integrated or coupled to environmental models.

Developing strategies for model testing, model validation, and model benchmarking against natural disasters as they happen and, with the recent explosion in remote sensing data acquisition, rapidly afterward would provide insight into model uncertainty and to what extent models can be implemented in applied sciences.

Workshop information and presentations are available at the workshop's [website](https://csdms.colorado.edu/wiki/CSDMS_meeting_2018). Scientific advances in model-driven risk assessments will be published in a special issue of the open access journal [Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences](https://www.natural-hazards-and-earth-system-sciences.net/special_issues/schedule.html#17). The special issue is titled "[Advances in Computational Modelling of Natural Hazards and Geohazards](https://www.natural-hazards-and-earth-system-sciences.net/special_issues/schedule.html#17)." Submissions are welcome until 1 March 2019.

We thank the following U.S. National Science Foundation programs within the Directorate for Geosciences for their support: Prediction of and Resilience against Extreme Events ([PREEVENTS](https://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pgm_id=504804)) and the [EarthCube](https://www.earthcube.org) project.

—Albert J. Kettner (email: kettner@colorado.edu) and Irina Overeem (@[IrinaOvereem](https://twitter.com/IrinaOvereem)), Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System (CSDMS), Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder; and Gregory Tucker (@[geomorph_tucker](https://twitter.com/geomorph_tucker)) (https://twitter.com/geomorph_tucker), CSDMS, Department of Geological Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder

Citation: Kettner, A. J., I. Overeem, and G. Tucker (2018), Can we build useful models of future risk from natural hazards?, *Eos*, 99, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO105239>. Published on 10 September 2018.

Text © 2018. The authors. [CC BY-NC-ND 3.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/)

Except where otherwise noted, images are subject to copyright. Any reuse without express permission from the copyright owner is prohibited.