

Data-mining climate variability as an indicator of U.S. natural gas

1 **Jacob Stuivenvolt-Allen and Simon S.-Y. Wang**

2 Department of Plants, Soils and Climate, Utah Climate Center, Utah State University, Logan, UT,
3 USA

4 *** Correspondence:**

5 S.-Y. S. Wang, Department of Plants, Soils and Climate, Utah Climate Center, Utah State University,
6 4820 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT, USA
7 simon.wang@usu.edu

8 **Keywords:** Climate, natural gas, extreme climate, energy, Pacific Decadal Oscillation

9 **Main Body Word Count:** 2,336 | **Figures:** 3

10 **Abstract:**

11 Anomalously cold winters with extreme storms strain natural gas (NG) markets due to heightened
12 demand for heating and electricity generation, and disrupted distribution. While extended weather
13 forecasting has become a tool for NG management, seasonal (2-3 month) prediction could mitigate
14 the impact of extreme winters on the NG market for consumers and industry. Interrelated climate
15 patterns of ocean and atmospheric circulation anomalies exhibit characteristics useful for developing
16 effective seasonal outlooks of NG storage and consumption due to their influence on the persistence
17 and intensity of extreme winter weather in North America. This study explores the connection
18 between the Pacific-North American climate systems and the NG market in the U.S., connecting
19 macro-scale oceanic and atmospheric processes to regional NG storage and consumption. Western
20 Pacific sea surface temperatures and atmospheric pressure patterns describe significant variation in
21 seasonal NG storage and consumption. Prediction of these coupled climate processes is useful for
22 estimating NG storage and consumption; this could facilitate economic adaptation toward extreme
23 winter weather conditions. Understanding the implicated impact of climate variability on NG is a
24 crucial step towards economic adaptation to climate change.

25 **1 Introduction:**

26 Natural gas (NG) in the United States is used in the industrial, electric power, residential, and
27 transportation sectors. In 2017, the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) reported that NG
28 surpassed coal as the largest source of electricity generation and that the production and consumption
29 of NG are expected to steadily grow. Developments in technology have led to competitive pricing
30 locally and internationally, making NG a crucial economic resource in the national and global energy
31 market (EIA, 2019).

32 Approximately 48% of homes in the U.S. use NG as fuel for heating, resulting in consumption spikes
33 during the cold seasons and severe winter weather (EIA, 2018). As a result, seasonal cycles of NG
34 storage observe supply increases through the warm season as NG is stockpiled for peak demand in
35 winter. In the anomalously cold winter of January 2014, single-day consumption records were set
36 from an arctic cold-air outbreak due to a splitting of the stratospheric polar vortex. A similar event,

37 coined the “polar vortex,” recently occurred on January 30, 2019, again setting records for NG
 38 consumption and demand (EIA, 2014; EIA, 2019). Frigid temperatures and record-setting demand
 39 challenged energy and heat production, causing public utility companies in the Midwest to issue
 40 notices asking for decreased NG usage from residents and commercial entities. Subsequently,
 41 automobile manufacturers closed operations at 18 plants in the Midwest, halting operations for
 42 approximately 23,000 employees to ensure NG distribution to “critical infrastructures” (EIA, 2019).

43 These record-setting consumption days are products of observed changes in atmospheric circulation.
 44 The North American Winter Dipole, a stationary ‘ridge-trough’ pattern in the upper atmosphere, has
 45 recently been associated with severe winter weather in the U.S. (Wang et al. 2017). An example of
 46 this NAWD is illustrated by the 2013-2014 winter geopotential height anomalies at 250 hPa (Figure
 47 1a), during the time when the western U.S. experienced severe drought while the eastern U.S.
 48 suffered from extreme cold (Singh et al., 2016; Swain et al., 2016). Structurally, the NAWD is
 49 characterized by vertically-uniform pressure anomalies of opposite sign over the Gulf of Alaska and
 50 Mid-Atlantic regions of North America. This barotropic structure is amplified in the positive phase of
 51 the dipole, suppressing cyclone-wave activity in the West (hence blocking the rainstorms) while
 52 subsequently deepening the adjacent trough in the east (Wang et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2015). This
 53 atmospheric pattern was also associated with the January 2018 North American Blizzard, which
 54 strained NG distribution and severely impacted consumer and market price (not shown).

55 This study explores the connection between the large-scale climate features of the NAWD and NG
 56 storage in the U.S. While the link between synoptic weather (like a single cold front) and NG is well
 57 understood and weather forecasting has been a tool for NG market prediction, the relationship
 58 between global-scale climate variability and NG has not been analyzed. Due to the inherently low-
 59 frequency variability of the large-scale climate systems relative to the high fluctuation of weather
 60 systems, capturing anomalous climate patterns could be conducive towards seasonal prediction of
 61 NG demand and subsequently, market price. Such information may transpire into tools for NG
 62 managers and industries to prepare for extreme winter conditions.

63 2 Methods and Data

64 The following observational climate datasets were analyzed in this study: The National Center for
 65 Environmental Prediction (NCEP) / National Center for Atmospheric Research Reanalysis from 1948
 66 to present with a 2.5° latitude and longitude resolution, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric
 67 Administration Extended Reconstructed sea surface temperature (SST) V5 with 2.0° resolution, and
 68 the Twentieth Century Reanalysis (20CR) from 1871 to 2010 with 2.0° resolution. These reanalysis
 69 and SST data were used to construct multiple linear regression models of NG storage and
 70 consumption.

71 For future climate assessment, large ensemble output from the Community Earth System Model
 72 (CESM) simulations under RCP8.5 (high-emission scenario) was used to analyze the NAWD and its
 73 oceanic connection through 2080. We use CESM to evaluate the projected response of these
 74 variables to a future climate scenario with continual increase in anthropogenic carbon emissions.

75 NG storage and consumption data is provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration.
 76 National storage data exists from 1973 to 2018, but regional data is only available from 1990 to
 77 present. Residential and commercial consumption data is available from 1973 to present. The
 78 following study used NG storage and consumption data from the Midwest, South Central and Eastern
 79 regions of the U.S. These areas accounted for 84% of national NG storage in 2018 and use more NG

80 for electricity generation than the Midwest and Pacific regions. The influence of the NAWD and
 81 Western Pacific SST is most strongly related to these regions which represent the vast majority of the
 82 NG industry in the U.S.

83 **3 The North American Winter Dipole and NG linkage**

84 NG responded profoundly to cold-air outbreaks, as was the case during the “polar vortex” winter of
 85 2014, driving increased demand as early as November (EIA, 2014). Sustained cold temperatures
 86 through March drove storage levels to their lowest since 2003, while market and consumer pricing
 87 spiked. Regional consumption reached a record high for every month from November 2013 to March
 88 2014 straining regional distribution companies and driving up price (EIA, 2014). Many mechanisms
 89 are proposed to contribute to the weakening or splitting of the stratospheric polar vortex, but the
 90 deepened low associated with the NAWD in the Mid-Atlantic region provided the atmospheric
 91 conditions necessary for sustaining the cold-arctic air intrusion into the region (Garfinkel and
 92 Hartmann, 2008; Kim et al., 2014; Kretschmer, 2017). Similar conditions have been observed in the
 93 winter of 2017-2018, and the recent “polar vortex” in January of 2019. These events coincided with
 94 record NG consumption days and resulted in spikes in market and consumer price (EIA, 2018).

95 An amplified NAWD can lead to heightened contrasts between the warm West and cold East of the
 96 U.S., creating a relationship between the NAWD and NG storage/consumption. To examine this
 97 suggested relationship between NAWD and NG, Figure 1b displays the inverse of the NAWD index,
 98 calculated by subtracting the geopotential height (GPH) of the trough center over the Great Lakes
 99 region from the ridge center in the Gulf of Alaska during the November-January season (Wang et al.
 100 2014), with annual minimum NG storage that mostly happens in March. These time-series data are
 101 highly correlated and show that amplified conditions of the NAWD coincide with low minimum
 102 storage years for the South Central, Midwest and Eastern NG regions ($r = 0.766$). Correlation
 103 analysis between NG storage and consumption in the same regions with the 250-hPa GPH field
 104 results in anomalous patterns over North America (Figures 2a and 2b) with the same structure as the
 105 amplified NAWD (Figure 1a). Correlations of NG storage and consumption for the Pacific and
 106 Mountain regions of the U.S. responded weakly to the NAWD (not shown), largely due to the mild
 107 weather induced by the western ridging. Due to the vast majority of NG consumption and storage
 108 occurring in the South Central, Midwest and Eastern regions, the amplified NAWD apparently drives
 109 increased NG consumption and decreased supply, which has been shown to negatively impact
 110 consumer and market price in historical events (EIA, 2014; EIA, 2018).

111 **4 The NAWD and climate forcing**

112 Western Pacific SST anomalies and Pacific climate oscillations may influence the development of the
 113 NAWD, and subsequently, winter climate in North America (Barlow et al. 2001; Taguchi et al. 2012;
 114 Wang et al. 2014; Hartmann, 2015). The Kuroshio current, the Pacific western boundary current near
 115 Japan, induces an atmospheric response that produces anticyclonic activity in the Aleutian region that
 116 can propagate into a circum-global wave train pattern (Taguchi et al. 2012). While the influence of
 117 small-scale, near-surface changes in western boundary currents and SST on basin-scale circulation is
 118 difficult to isolate, these currents affect extra-tropical climate variability (Kelly et al. 2010; Kwon et
 119 al. 2010). Wang et al. (2014) connected the NAWD amplification to abnormally warm SST in the
 120 Western North Pacific, showing that Rossby wave flux activity amplified the winter ridge in the Gulf
 121 of Alaska. Indeed, Figure 2c shows the GPH correlation pattern with SST in the Kuroshio Current

122 region (32°-36° N, 139°-143° E) and it reveals a dipole pattern not dissimilar to those shown in
 123 Figures 2a and 2b, with the comparably strong low-pressure anomaly over the Great Lakes region.

124 The observed connections between Western North Pacific SST and the NAWD stimulate interest in
 125 viewing the coupled impact of these climate variables on winter conditions. Further correlation
 126 analysis conducted for the wintertime SST, following Figures 2a-c (changing GPH with SST),
 127 depicts a significant response in the Kuroshio Current region and the Gulf of Alaska (Figures 2d-f).
 128 Moreover, the SST patterns in North Pacific suggest a connection with the Pacific Decadal
 129 Oscillation (PDO) given the signature “horseshoe shape” of cold anomalies in the eastern North
 130 Pacific wrapping the warm anomalies extended from the Kuroshio region. The PDO is the dominant
 131 mode of monthly SST variability in the North Pacific and its formation is linked to many climate
 132 factors including teleconnections from the tropical Pacific, North Pacific atmosphere-ocean
 133 interactions and ocean memory (Pierce 2001; Alexander 2010; Newman et al. 2016). Nonetheless,
 134 these significant and physically meaningful responses in SST lend support to the climate connection
 135 with NG storage and consumption, through the Western North Pacific modulation on the NAWD
 136 intensity.

137 **5 Future projections of the NAWD**

138 Through multiple regression of historical data, Kuroshio region SST and the NAWD describe 65% of
 139 the variance of March NG storage and 51% of the variance of winter (November – February) NG
 140 consumption. The regression equation for NG consumption and storage is shown in equation 1 and 2
 141 respectively, While regression analysis fails to capture some of the recent extremes in NG, these
 142 climate variables explain a significant amount of variation in a complex global market. Additionally,
 143 the correlation between Kuroshio region SST and the NAWD is a potential source of collinearity in
 144 the model, but together they represent a physically plausible link between climate and natural gas. By
 145 adopting these empirical (regression) relationships among NG, the NAWD and Kuroshio region SST,
 146 one can assess the part of future variability in NG storage and consumption that is associated with
 147 these climate conditions. Subsequently, we analyzed the future projections from CESM output with
 148 40 ensemble members under the RCP 8.5 continued carbon emission scenario, and the result in
 149 Figure 3a displays a universal increase in the variances of the NAWD. Figure 3b displays the
 150 increase in variance for modeled NG March storage and winter consumption driven by the NAWD
 151 and Kuroshio SST (22.2% and 24.1% increase respectively). These results suggest increased
 152 volatility that is driven by more variable climate; this project is supportive of the multi-model
 153 assessment of the NAWD by Wang et al. (2015) and the extreme water cycles in the western U.S.
 154 (Yoon et al. 2015; Swain et al. 2018). With existing management strategies, consumer and market
 155 price can be expected to respond to increased volatility in supply and demand, that is, provided that
 156 the climate conditions in terms of the NAWD and SST anomalies are properly monitored or
 157 forecasted.

158 Eq. 1:

$$159 \quad NG\ Storage = -877.42 + (-2329.35) * NDJz + (-73540.23) * Kuroshio\ SST$$

160 Eq. 2:

$$161 \quad NG\ Consumption = 3382.33 + 1060.76 * NDJz + (-111620.60) * Kuroshio\ SST$$

162 **Equation 1 and 2:** Regression equations explaining 65% and 51% of the respective variance in
 163 storage and consumption. NDJz is the average value of the NAWD index from November, December
 164 and January.

165 The CESM large ensemble analysis exhibits the PDO SST pattern when regressing with the NAWD
 166 in the following year (Figure 3c), suggesting that PDO in its positive phase could be a “precursor” for
 167 an amplified NAWD. This result bears similarity with the finding of Wang et al. (2014), who linked
 168 the NAWD to a type of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) precursor with a particularly strong
 169 response in the Western North Pacific, as was depicted in Figure 2f (east of Taiwan and south of
 170 Japan). Figure 3c also is in line with previous observations (Deser et. al., 2012; Hartman, 2015) that
 171 warm SST anomalies in the tropical and North Pacific influence extreme winter weather in North
 172 America. While the CESM-simulated SST pattern associated with the NAWD a year later (Figure
 173 3c) does not reveal a significant correlation in that particular region, persistence of the PDO could
 174 lead to ENSO in the following year(s) (Di Lorenzo et al., 2015; Guschina and Dewitte, 2018) and the
 175 documented ability of a similar model in capturing ENSO and the PDO (Deser et al., 2012) is
 176 supportive that the air-sea interactions are reasonably simulated.

177 6 Discussion

178 The incorporation of big data for the purpose of this article is related to the unstructured and
 179 seemingly unrelated nature of climate and NG in the United States. NG consumption and storage are
 180 not controlled by climate variability alone; however, the strong seasonal relationship between NG
 181 consumption and air temperature allows regional climate variability to account for a significant
 182 portion of these industries. Storage and consumption dictate the supply and demand of NG for
 183 residential and commercial use, directly impacting consumer and market price. Regional NG
 184 companies could use the projected responses of NG storage and consumption to inform long-term
 185 supply management and plan for record-breaking consumption days during extreme winter events
 186 associated with the amplified NAWD. Improved management from subseasonal climate prediction
 187 (>2 weeks) that is being actively developed (Committee on Developing a U.S. Research Agenda to
 188 Advance Subseasonal to Seasonal Forecasting, 2016), could mitigate price increases for consumers
 189 and distribution companies, compared to current methods that rely heavily on weather forecasting
 190 (<7 days).

191 The projected response of NG to climate variability suggests that adaptive management will be
 192 important for years to come. CESM results show increased variability in climate patterns that provide
 193 the necessary conditions for extreme weather events that coincide with antagonistic impacts on NG
 194 consumers and industry. Under the continued high-emission scenario, climate change is projected to
 195 increase energy costs through the 21st century by \$32 billion to \$87 billion (Hsiang et al., 2017).
 196 Increased demand for energy due to enhanced weather variability, increased population and
 197 decreased water resources for hydropower generation and cooling for electricity generation could
 198 negatively impact energy producing industries (Scott, 2019).

199 7 Figures

200 **Figure 1:** (a) Geopotential height (GPH) anomalies at the 250 hPa level for November – January of
 201 2014. (b) Time series of annual minimum NG storage and the average November through January
 202 NAWD index (calculated by subtracting the center of the eastern trough from the center of the
 203 western ridge). The linear trend has been removed from time series data.

204 **Figure 2:** (a) Correlation map of GPH at 250 hPa correlated with March (minimum annual) NG
 205 storage from 1990 to 2018. (b) GPH at 250 hPa correlated with residential and commercial NG
 206 consumption for November through January from 1978 to 2018. (c) GPH at 250 hPa correlated with
 207 Kuroshio region SST for November through January from 1950 to 2014 (from 20th Century
 208 Reanalysis). (d) SST correlation with NG March storage from 1990 to 2018. (e) SST correlated with
 209 residential and commercial consumption from 1973 to 2018. (f) SST correlated with the NAWD
 210 index from November through January from 1950 to 2014. The linear trend has been removed from
 211 all data.

212 **Figure 3:** (a) Projected 30-year running variance of the NAWD under RCP 8.5 continued emissions
 213 scenario, calculated from CESM large ensemble (40 members). (b) Projected response of modeled
 214 winter (November through March) consumption and annual minimum storage under RCP 8.5. (c) T
 215 statistic distribution from regression of November through January NAWD index with SST for the
 216 same months of the following year. Hatched values indicate statistical significance (p<0.20).

217 8 Conflict of Interest

218 *The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
 219 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.*

220 9 Author Contributions

221 Simon S.-Y. Wang is responsible for the original analysis connecting the North American Winter
 222 Dipole to natural gas storage. Wang advised and oversaw the rest of the analysis which was done in
 223 tandem with Jacob Stuivenvolt-Allen. The manuscript and figures were prepared by Stuivenvolt-
 224 Allen and reviewed by Wang.

225 10 Funding

226 Funding was provided in part through a NSF Research Traineeship grant (Award #1633756). This
 227 research was also supported by the US Department of Energy grant DESC0016605, SERDP grant
 228 W912HQ-17-P-0013, and the USU Agricultural Experiment Station.

229 11 References

230 Alexander, M. A., Blade I., Newman, M., Lanzante, J.R., Lau, N.-C., and Scott, J. D. (2002). The
 231 atmospheric bridge: The influence of ENSO teleconnections on air-sea interaction over the global
 232 oceans. *J. Climate*, 15, 2205–2231.

233 Alexander, M. A. (2010). Extratropical air-sea interaction, sea surface temperature variability, and
 234 the Pacific decadal oscillation. *Geophys. Monogr. Vol. 189, Amer. Geophys. Union*, 123–148.

235 Baxter, S., and Nigam, S. (2015). Key Role of the North Pacific Oscillation–West Pacific Pattern in
 236 Generating the Extreme 2013/14 North American Winter. *J. Climate*, 28,8109–
 237 8117, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00726.1>

238 Barlow, M., Nigam, S., Berbery, E. H., (2001). ENSO, Pacific Decadal Variability, and U.S.
 239 Summertime Precipitation, Drought, and Stream Flow. *J. Climate*, 14, 2105–
 240 2128, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2001\)014<2105:EPDVAU>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<2105:EPDVAU>2.0.CO;2)

241 Committee on Developing a U.S. Research Agenda to Advance Subseasonal to Seasonal Forecasting,
 242 Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, Ocean Studies Board, Division on Earth and Life
 243 Studies, & National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2016). Next Generation
 244 Earth System Prediction: Strategies for Subseasonal to Seasonal Forecasts. Washington, D.C.:
 245 National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/21873>

246 Deser, C., Phillips, A., Bourdette, V., Teng, H. Y., (2012). Uncertainty in climate change projections:
 247 The role of internal variability. *Clim. Dyn.*, 38(3–4), 527–546, doi:[10.1007/s00382-010-0977-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0977-x)

248 Hartmann, D. L., (2015). Pacific sea surface temperature and the winter of 2014. *Geophys. Res.*
 249 *Lett.*, 42, 1894–1902, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063083>.

250 Hsiang, Solomon, Kopp, R., Jina, A., Rising, J., Delgado, M., Mohan, S., Rasmussen, D. J., et al.
 251 (2017). Estimating Economic Damage from Climate Change in the United States. *Science* 356 (6345):
 252 1362–69. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4369>.

253 Kelly, K. A., Small, R. J., Samelson, R. M., Qiu, B., Joyce, T.M., Kwon, Y.O., Cronin,
 254 M., (2010). Western Boundary Currents and frontal air–sea interaction: Gulf Stream and Kuroshio
 255 Extension. *J. Climate*, in press.

256 Kwon, Y., Alexander, M.A., Bond, N.A., Frankignoul, C., Nakamura, H., Qiu, B., Thompson L.
 257 A., (2010). Role of the Gulf Stream and Kuroshio–Oyashio Systems in Large-Scale Atmosphere–
 258 Ocean Interaction: A Review. *J. Climate*, 23, 3249–3281, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3343.1>

259 Lee, M.-Y., Hong, C.-C., Hsu, H. H., (2015). Compounding effects of warm sea surface temperature
 260 and reduced sea ice on the extreme circulation over the extratropical North Pacific and North
 261 America during the 2013–2014 boreal winter. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 1612–1618,
 262 doi:10.1002/2014GL062956.

263 Newman, M., Alexander, M.A., Ault, T.R., Cobb, K. M., Deser C., Di Lorenzo, E. (2016). The
 264 Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Revisited. *J. Climate*, 29, 4399–4427, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0508.1>

266 Palmer, T. (2014). Record-breaking winters and global climate change.” *Science*, 344, 803–804,
 267 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255147>.

268 Pierce, D. W. (2001). Distinguishing coupled ocean–atmosphere interactions from background noise
 269 in the North Pacific. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 49, 331–352, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611\(01\)00029-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(01)00029-5).

271 Swain, D. L., Horton, D. E., Singh, D., Diffenbaugh, N. S. (2016). Trends in Atmospheric Patterns
 272 Conducive to Seasonal Precipitation and Temperature Extremes in California. *Science Advances* 2
 273 (4): e1501344. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501344>.

274 Taguchi, B., Nakamura, H., Nonaka, Komori, M., Kuwano-Yoshida A., Takaya K., Goto,
 275 A. (2012). Seasonal Evolutions of Atmospheric Response to Decadal SST Anomalies in the North
 276 Pacific Subarctic Frontal Zone: Observations and a Coupled Model Simulation. *J. Climate*, 25, 111–
 277 139, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00046.1>

278 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2014). *Record winter withdrawals create summer storage*
 279 *challenges.* (Market Digest: Natural Gas 2013-2014). Retrieved from
 280 <https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/review/winterlookback/2013/>

281 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2018). *Factors affecting electricity prices: Many factors*
 282 *influence electricity prices.* Retrieved from
 283 https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/index.php?page=electricity_factors_affecting_prices

284 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2018). *Northeastern winter energy alert.* (Energy Market
 285 Alerts). Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/special/alert/east_coast/

286 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2019). *Annual Energy Outlook 2019: with projections to*
 287 *2050.* Retrieved from <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aoe/>

288 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2019). *Annual energy outlook 2019 projects increased*
 289 *natural gas production and exports in a lower price environment.* (Natural gas weekly update).
 290 Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/weekly/archivenew_ngwu/2019/01_31/

291 U.S. Energy Information Administration (2019). *Polar vortex breaks records in natural gas*
 292 *consumption.* (Natural gas weekly update). Retrieved from
 293 https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/weekly/archivenew_ngwu/2019/02_07/#jm-trends

294 Wang, S.-Y. & Jin-Ho, Y., Becker, E. & Gillies, R., (2017). California from drought to deluge.
 295 Nature Climate Change. 7. 10.1038/nclimate3330.

296 Wang, S.-Y., Hipps, L., Gillies R. R., Yoon J.-H. (2014). Probable causes of the abnormal ridge
 297 accompanying the 2013–2014 California drought: ENSO precursor and anthropogenic warming
 298 footprint. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41,3220–3226, doi:10.1002/2014GL059748.

299 **1 Data Availability Statement**

300 The natural gas datasets for this study can be found in the Energy Information Administration
 301 website: [Energy Information Administration] [<https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/>]. Climate Reanalysis
 302 and observational is provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [Earth
 303 System Research Laboratory] [<https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/>]. CESM model output
 304 data is available from UCAR/NCAR [Community Earth System Models]
 305 [<http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/>].