

Liquid Ammonia Chemical Lithiation: An Approach for High-Energy and High-Voltage Si–Graphite/Li_{1+x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ Li-Ion Batteries

Wesley M. Dose,^{*,†} James Blauwkamp,[†] María José Piernas-Muñoz,[†] Ira Bloom,[†] Xue Rui,[‡] Robert F. Klie,[‡] Premkumar Senguttuvan,[†] and Christopher S. Johnson^{*,†}


[†]Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States

[‡]Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845 W Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Chemical lithiation using lithium metal dissolved in liquid ammonia is introduced for the first time as a viable, potentially scalable method to overlithiate cathode materials, in this case, the 5 V spinel Li_{1+x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄. In this formula the value of x represents the amount of extra lithium inserted into the spinel. Such overlithiated cathodes can subsequently be used to prelithiate high-energy anodes in a lithium-ion battery configuration during the first charge step. Lithiated 5 V spinel Li_{1+x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ cathode materials prepared by this technique show higher first delithiation capacities, confirming the chemically inserted lithium is electrochemically active. Full cells with a Si–graphite anode and the Li_{1+x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ ($x = 0.62$) cathode show a 23% higher reversible capacity in the first cycle than LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ baseline cells and improved capacity retention. The extra chemically inserted lithium therefore sacrificially compensates for the loss of lithium at the anode, allowing higher utilization of the cathode capacity in following cycles.

KEYWORDS: lithium-ion batteries, irreversible capacity, chemical lithiation, prelithiation, liquid ammonia, silicon anode, 5 V spinel, LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄



INTRODUCTION

Lithium-ion batteries (LIB) are perhaps the most widely known energy storage device today. Their success in revolutionizing the mobile electronics market is now spreading to impact upon the transportation and grid storage sectors.^{1,2} While there are a number of cathode materials found in current commercial LIB, such as layered LiCoO₂ (LCO), LiNi_xCo_yMn_{1-x-y}O₂ (NCM), LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂ (NCA), olivine LiFePO₄ (LFP), and spinel LiMn₂O₄ (LMO), most use graphite as the anode. Graphite's enduring success since it was first commercialized by Sony in 1991 through to the present day is largely due to its respectable gravimetric capacity (372 mAh g⁻¹), low potential versus Li/Li⁺, and long-term cycling stability. However, numerous next-generation anode candidate materials are currently being investigated that have much higher gravimetric capacities than graphite, for example, metal oxide conversion materials (e.g., MO where M is Co, Ni, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ru, etc.^{3,4}) and alloy or conversion electrodes⁵ such as silicon (3579 mAh g⁻¹),⁶ tin (991 mAh g⁻¹),^{7,8} germanium (1600 mAh g⁻¹),⁹ and intermetallic compounds based on these.

Among these, silicon is currently considered one of the most promising future high-energy anode materials. Several issues hamper the development of these electrode materials. The first

is the large irreversible capacity (IC) in the first cycle, which is largely due to solid–electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation^{10–13} and other side reactions between the aprotic organic solvent in the electrolyte and the anode surface. While intercalation electrodes such as graphite have first cycle IC, it is limited to 8–10% of the gravimetric capacity. In the case of Si, the large volume change during (de)lithiation exposes fresh, unreacted surfaces that undergo further reactions, yielding an IC of ~27% in the first cycle. Depending on the chemistry of the anode, this value can be as high as 40–50%.^{14,15} While not all IC is due to lithium ion consuming reactions, the majority of it is.¹⁶ In a full cell, where the amount of available lithium is limited by that initially present in the cathode, the high first cycle capacity loss leads to a drastically lower energy density in subsequent cycles. A second equally problematic issue for Si anodes is the rapid capacity fade, which is also primarily caused by losses of active lithium due to repeated particle expansion and contraction, passivation, and repassivation SEI reactions.^{5,17–19} Si particle degradation and electrode degradation are also accountable for the poor capacity retention.^{19–22}

Received: April 4, 2019

Accepted: June 21, 2019

Published: June 21, 2019

There are a growing number of strategies proposed to mitigate the first cycle IC of LIB anodes. These have been reviewed recently¹⁵ and include adding stabilized Li powder to anode materials, anode electrochemical lithiation, sacrificial lithium-containing salts on the cathode and anode, and chemical lithiation of the cathode and anode, among others. In each of the above examples, a “lithium reserve” is added to the cell which, during normal cell operation and usually on the first cycle, is accessed. This releases available lithium to the cathode or anode that can be cycled, thereby adding lithium inventory to the cell. While these approaches have been shown to successfully account for the IC on the first cycle, addressing the ongoing active lithium losses on subsequent cycles is more challenging.²³

In this work we introduce a new liquid ammonia-based chemical lithiation method as a means of introducing lithium reserve to the cathode. This synthesis technique is demonstrated for the high-voltage spinel cathode, $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ (LNMO). LNMO has generated considerable interest over the past decade due to its high energy density, high voltage, and excellent rate capability.²⁴ Also, this material is cobalt-free, which is becoming ever more significant as the toxicity and high cost of cobalt are an increasing concern.²⁵ Important for this work, the LNMO spinel structure has unoccupied crystallographic sites that can accommodate lithium, a feature that has been capitalized upon in numerous previous reports.^{26–29} In the past several years, there have been only a few reports where the overlithiation capability of LNMO has been used to mitigate the IC. Manthiram et al.¹⁴ employ a microwave-assisted chemical lithiation technique using tetraethylene glycol as a reducing agent and $\text{LiOH}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a lithium source. The resulting lithiated LNMO, $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ (LLNMO), is cycled versus $\text{FeSb}\cdot\text{TiC}$ and accounts well for the large IC of this anode. More recently, Wohlfahrt-Mehrens and co-workers^{30–32} demonstrate synthesis of LLNMO by a coprecipitation process followed by two thermal treatment steps. To tailor the amount of lithium reserve in the cell, the LLNMO is blended with untreated LNMO and paired with graphite and silicon electrodes, compensating for the respectively small and large IC of both electrodes.³¹ This work introduces for the first time a liquid ammonia-based chemical lithiation synthesis for overlithiation of LIB cathodes. The LLNMO synthesized here is paired with graphite and Si-graphite anodes and successfully compensates for the first cycle IC, yielding better utilization of the cathode capacity in extended cycling.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ material used in this work was obtained from NEI Corp. The liquid ammonia chemical lithiation was performed using a lecture bottle station (Sigma-Aldrich). Approximately 30 mL of liquid ammonia was first condensed from an ammonia gas cylinder (anhydrous >99.99%, Sigma-Aldrich) in a dry and argon-purged round-bottom flask coupled with a coldfinger condenser (Sigma-Aldrich). The condenser was kept cool by addition of dry ice to 2-propanol (Fisher Chemical). Reagents were added to the flask while maintaining a positive pressure of argon in the flask and exposure to the atmosphere was minimized. While stirring with a magnetic stirrer, 1 g of LNMO powder was added and allowed to disperse thoroughly in the ammonia. Small pieces of Li metal chips (MTI) were weighed and slowly added to the reaction vessel, allowing time for each chip to dissolve and react with the LNMO powder. The amount of lithium added controlled the amount of lithium inserted into the LNMO structure. The reaction took place over an ~6 h period, during which

the reaction vessel was kept cool by addition of dry ice to 2-propanol in a surrounding hemispherical Dewar (Sigma-Aldrich). Subsequently, the ammonia was allowed to evaporate by allowing the system to slowly increase in temperature. Without exposing the products to air, the reaction vessel was transferred to an argon filled glovebox (O_2 and H_2O <1 ppm). The resulting powder was removed from the flask and washed in methanol (anhydrous >99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich) until the pH of the solution was neutral. Collected lithiated LNMO powders (LLNMO) were dried at room temperature and stored in an argon glovebox.

The cathodes in this work were prepared by casting a slurry of 84 wt % active material (LNMO or LLNMO), 8 wt % conductive carbon (Super P, Timcal), and 8 wt % poly(vinylidene fluoride) binder (PVDF, Solvay) in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, >99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) solvent onto 20 μm thick Al foil. Slurry preparation and electrode casting were performed in an air atmosphere to test the air stability of the lithiated materials. Cathodes were dried under vacuum at 75 °C prior to use. Graphite anodes were prepared in a similar manner, with a ratio of 90 wt % graphite (Hitachi MAGE), 2 wt % conductive carbon (C45, Timcal), and 8 wt % PVDF. Si-graphite composite electrodes were supplied by the Cell Analysis Modeling and Prototyping facility (CAMP), comprised of 73 wt % graphite, 15 wt % silicon (Nano-Amor, 50–70 nm), 2 wt % conductive carbon (C45), and 10 wt % lithiated poly(acrylic acid) binder (LiPAA, from 450K mol wt PAA [Sigma-Aldrich] titrated against LiOH to pH 5.5–6.5). Graphite and Si-graphite electrodes were dried under vacuum at 120 and 150 °C, respectively, before use.

Electrodes with 1.43 cm diameter were punched and built into CR2032 coin cells (Hohsen) in both half- and full-cell configurations. Li chips (15.9 mm diameter, MTI) were used in half-cell tests. An electrolyte with 1.2 M LiPF_6 in ethylene carbonate (EC):ethylmethyl carbonate (EMC), 3:7 wt/wt (Tomiya), was generally used. Cells containing a Si-graphite electrode used the above electrolyte with additive 10 wt % fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC, Solvay). Electrochemical cycling was conducted on a MACCOR series 4000 battery testing unit. Half-cells with LNMO or LLNMO were cycled between 4.95 and 3.5 V at C/10 (1 C = 148 $\text{mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$). Graphite and Si-graphite half-cells were cycled between 1.5–0.01 V and 1.5–0.05 V, respectively, at C/10 (graphite 1 C = 350 mAh g^{-1} , Si-graphite 1 C = 750 $\text{mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{Si-graphite}}$). Full cells were cycled at C/10 (1 C = 148 mAh g^{-1} by cathode active mass, ~0.044 mA cm^{-2}) between 4.8 and 3.4 V at room temperature for graphite containing cells and between 4.8 and 3.45 V at 30 °C for Si-graphite cells. Cells were balanced by controlling the thickness of the electrode. The mass loading of the LNMO and LLNMO paired with graphite was ~2.0 mg cm^{-2} and ~3.0 mg cm^{-2} when paired with Si-graphite. The graphite loading was ~1.1 mg cm^{-2} , and the Si-graphite loading was ~0.7 mg cm^{-2} .

The structure of the pristine and lithiated LNMO was confirmed by high-resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD) at beamline 11-BM at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory ($\lambda = 0.41266$ or 0.414534 Å). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were captured by using either a JEOL JSM6610LV microscope operated at 10 kV or a Hitachi S-4700-II microscope in the Electron Microscopy Center of Argonne. The Li, Ni, and Mn molar ratio was analyzed by using an inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, DRCII; PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT). The LNMO or LLNMO powder was dissolved in concentrated HNO_3/HCl and diluted to the low ppb level for measurement. Rietveld refinements were performed using GSAS-II.³³

Atomic-resolution imaging studies were performed on three cathode materials: $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$, $\text{Li}_{1.26}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$, and $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$. The scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was performed using an aberration-corrected JEOL ARM200CF, equipped with a cold field emission operated at 200 kV.³⁴ A convergence semiangle of 24 mrad was used, and annular bright field (ABF) images^{35,36} were acquired by using an inner detector angle of 12 mrad and an outer angle of 24 mrad.³⁷ The TEM samples were prepared in a glovebox under an argon environment to prevent any changes to the sample structure as the result of exposure to oxygen. The samples were loaded into a Fischione single-tilt

vacuum transfer stage, and exposure of the cathode samples to the ambient atmosphere was thereby minimized.

RESULTS

Liquid Ammonia Chemical Lithiation. Solutions of alkali metals in ammonia are widely used in synthetic organic chemistry to reduce aromatic compounds. Arthur Birch, an Australian chemist, first reported the “Birch reduction” reaction in 1944, in which sodium metal in ammonia was used to reduce benzene in the presence of ethanol.^{38–43} Lithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, cesium (alkali metals), calcium, strontium, and barium (alkali earth metals) have all been shown to form solutions with ammonia.⁴⁴ The solution of lithium metal and ammonia is a deep blue color, consisting of an ammonia complexed lithium cation and a solvated electron ($[\text{Li}(\text{NH}_3)_x]^+e^-$) known as an electride salt. This solution is a powerful reducing agent that in contact with LNMO will reduce Mn^{4+} to Mn^{3+} . To provide charge compensation, lithium ions are inserted into the structure, thereby lithiating the LNMO.

First, the impact of the ammonia treatment on the crystal structure of LNMO was determined. The pristine material was stirred in ammonia for the same time as the lithiation experiments, but lithium metal was not added. XRD patterns of the pristine and ammonia treated LNMO are given in Figure S1 and show no change from immersion in ammonia. To confirm lithium insertion into the LNMO spinel structure during the lithiation experiments XRD, ICP-MS and electrochemical testing were performed. XRD patterns of the LNMO and lithiated LNMO (LLNMO) after washing with anhydrous methanol are shown in Figure 1. All the peaks in the XRD




Figure 1. Normalized high-resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction patterns of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ (wavelength 0.412664 Å). Gray dashed lines denote the location of diffraction peaks of tetragonal $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$. Miller indices for the cubic (c) and tetragonal (t) phases are shown.

pattern of the pristine material, with lithium content $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ as determined by ICP, can be assigned to a face-centered-cubic phase with $Fd-3m$ space group. With an increasing amount of lithium inserted there is an increase in intensity for reflections associated with the tetragonal $\text{Li}_2\text{M}_2\text{O}_4$ phase (in this case $\text{M} = \text{Ni}$ and Mn , Figure 1),^{45,46} most notably at 4.94° , 9.12° , 9.88° , 10.84° , and 11.69° 2θ (d -spacing 4.79, 2.60, 2.40, 2.18, and 2.03 Å). Rietveld analysis of the patterns (Figure S2) reveals constant lattice parameters for the cubic Li_1NMO and tetragonal Li_2NMO phases ($a_c = 8.1829 \pm 0.0011$ Å, $a_t = 5.7306 \pm 0.0010$ Å, and $c_t = 8.7281 \pm 0.0072$ Å, where c and t represent cubic and tetragonal, respectively) with varying lithium content, indicative of a two-phase lithiation process. Refinement also shows that the weight fraction of the tetragonal Li_2NMO phase increases with lithium content (Table 1) at the expense of the cubic Li_1NMO phase.

Lithiated samples that have not been washed with anhydrous methanol show the presence of a LiOH impurity in the high-resolution XRD pattern (Figure S3). Washing effectively removes this impurity. The LiOH presence likely arises from the reaction of residual lithium amide (LiNH_2) formed during synthesis with trace levels of moisture in the glovebox. A second observation from Figure S3 is a small change in the relative intensity of the tetragonal Li_2NMO 101 reflection compared to the cubic Li_1NMO 111 reflection at 4.94° and 5.01° 2θ , respectively. This indicates that anhydrous methanol washing has the effect of slightly delithiating the material, converting a small fraction of the lithium-rich tetragonal phase to the cubic phase. Given the importance of removing basic species (e.g., LiOH) from the powder to prevent electrode slurry processing problems (e.g., jelling) in NMP, this minor change is perhaps unavoidable. An alternative washing medium will be explored in future work.

Results from ICP-MS of the metal content in the pristine and lithiated samples are shown in Table 1 along with the targeted stoichiometry. Note that all references to the lithium content in LLNMO samples in this work are based on the measured ICP stoichiometry. In all cases, the measured lithium content is lower than that targeted. This is consistent with the finding that lithium salts are removed from the samples during washing. In fact, the measured lithium content tracks linearly with the targeted content, with a slope of 0.66(1) and y-intercept of 0.02(1) (Figure S4, $r^2 = 0.998$). By use of this chemical lithiation method, the highest achieved lithium content is $\text{Li}_{1.96}\text{NMO}$. Adding additional lithium to the reaction is ineffective at lithiating the material beyond this apparent Li_2NMO limit. Based on the ICP results, the fraction of Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+} in the structure is calculated, assuming Ni^{2+} (Table 1). In $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$, the highest lithium content employed in this work, the fraction of Mn^{3+} is 42%. This introduces Jahn–Teller distortion associated with high spin

Table 1. Stoichiometry of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and Chemically Lithiated $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$

targeted stoichiometry	ICP stoichiometry			calcd Mn fraction		tetragonal spinel wt fraction
	Li	Ni	Mn	Mn(III)	Mn(IV)	
$\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.04(2)	0.480(1)	1.520(1)	0.05	0.95	
$\text{Li}_{1.4}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.26(3)	0.493(1)	1.507(2)	0.18	0.82	0.217(1)
$\text{Li}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.35(2)	0.491(1)	1.509(1)	0.24	0.76	0.303(1)
$\text{Li}_{1.6}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.44(3)	0.488(7)	1.512(7)	0.31	0.69	0.409(1)
$\text{Li}_{1.9}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.62(1)	0.495(5)	1.504(5)	0.42	0.58	0.546(1)
$\text{Li}_{1.96}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$	1.96(1)	0.515(5)	1.484(5)	0.64	0.36	

$\text{Mn}^{3+} ((t_{2g})^3(e_g^*)^1)$. Repeated formation of Mn^{3+} has been linked to structural degradation and rapid capacity fade in these materials,⁴⁷ and therefore it is best avoided. In this work, we minimize this effect by oxidizing the Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} on the first charge of the cell (delivering the extra lithium from the cathode to the anode) and then limiting the potential window to ensure Mn^{4+} is not reduced on discharge.

Electrochemical tests were also performed to verify the amount of electrochemically available lithium chemically inserted into the LLNMO. Upon charge, the pristine $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ exhibits a capacity of 145 mAh g^{-1} with plateaus at 4.71 and 4.76 V versus Li/Li^+ (Figure 2a). There is also a




Figure 2. Potential profiles for the (a) first and (b) second charge and discharge of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus lithium metal at a rate of C/10.

short sloping feature at $\sim 4 \text{ V}$, which has been attributed to oxidation of a small amount of Mn^{3+} present.⁴⁸ As displayed in Figure 2a, the first charge capacity increases with the insertion of extra lithium. Extra lithium is mostly extracted in a sloping voltage feature at 3.8–4.0 V, although there is an additional feature at $\sim 3.5 \text{ V}$ and a plateau at 4.55 V that are absent in the $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ potential profile. These features are consistent with that observed previously for $\text{Li}_{1.8}\text{NMO}$ prepared by a microwave-assisted chemical lithiation process¹⁴ and are tentatively assigned to lithium extraction from different crystal environments that are sequentially emptied on charging. $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ shows a first charge capacity of 217 mAh g^{-1} . Therefore, XRD, ICP, and electrochemistry all confirm that extra lithium has been inserted in the spinel structure of LNMO. In addition, this extra lithium is electrochemically available; it can be extracted on the first charge and used to mitigate the first cycle irreversibility of the anode in full cells.

Before testing the performance of these lithiated cathode materials in full cells, however, the reversibility and cycle stability of the LLNMO are compared with the baseline LNMO. Note that in the continued cycling a lower potential cutoff of 3.5 V is employed. In this manner, the structural distortion (from Mn^{3+} formation) is only endured a single time on the first charge. Thereafter, LLNMO is cycled in a conventional potential window for LNMO (3.5–4.95 V, one Li^+ extraction/insertion per formula unit), which is anticipated

to yield stable capacity retention comparable to pristine LNMO. Consideration of the potential profile on the first cycle (Figure 2a) and in the second cycle (Figure 2b) highlights the relative reversibility of $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ and LLNMO to a lower potential cutoff of 3.5 V versus Li/Li^+ . In general, lithiated materials show a lower first discharge capacity ranging from 102 to 117 mAh g^{-1} compared to 124 mAh g^{-1} for $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$. This has also been observed for microwave-assisted chemical lithiation of LNMO¹⁴ and attributed to possible mechanical degradation of the active material during the lithiation. In the current work, there is not a clear trend between the reversible capacity and the amount of extra lithium, suggesting the degree of lithiation is not the determining factor. Residual contamination in the LLNMO powder not removed by the washing process may detrimentally affect the reversibility. It is likely that material processing optimization will decrease the spread in reversibility of LLNMO. Other possible causes for the lower discharge capacity are discussed below in relation to changes in the particle morphology, as seen by SEM. The cycle stability of LNMO and LLNMO was tested at a C/10 rate to determine whether the presence of larger amounts of Mn^{3+} in the lithiated structure during the initial charge had a detrimental effect on capacity retention. This result is shown in Figure 3 and illustrates that while the discharge capacity is lower for LLNMO, over 40 cycles the stability of LLNMO is comparable with baseline LNMO.




Figure 3. Discharge capacity over 40 cycles for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus lithium metal at a rate of C/10.

To understand the effect of lithiation on the morphology of the LNMO particles, SEM images were taken before and after lithiation. While the overall particle size, popcorn-like shape, and agglomeration generally remain unchanged, as Figures 4a–e and 4f–j reflect, severe particle cracking is found in some of the $\text{Li}_{1.44}\text{NMO}$ and $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ particles (see Figures 4n,o). Evidently, the high concentration of Jahn–Teller active Mn^{3+} (31% and 42% of the total Mn in $\text{Li}_{1.44}\text{NMO}$ and $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$, respectively) creates structural distortion and strain severe enough to generate cracks. At lower extents of lithiation the distortion is likely less, and therefore it does not give rise to particle cracking (as displayed Figures 4k–m), since the grain boundaries possibly buffer the anisotropic expansion. Certainly, the particle degradation and loss of particle contact from cracking may give rise to a lower reversible capacity for LLNMO. Furthermore, the lower discharge capacity observed for LLNMO could be related to an irreversible structural change, in which the spinel structure after removal of the excess lithium is not equivalent to the pristine structure. It has been demonstrated that cycling spinels below 3 V leads to severe capacity fade,⁴⁷ a consequence of repeated formation of Mn^{3+} and the associated structural distortion. While in this




Figure 4. Scanning electron microscopy images of (a, f, k) $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated (b, g, l) $\text{Li}_{1.26}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$, (c, h, m) $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$, (d, i, n) $\text{Li}_{1.44}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$, and (e, j, o) $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ at magnification $\times 3000$ (top panels) and $\times 20000$ (center and bottom panels). Red arrows highlight the particle cracking present in high lithium content $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$.




Figure 5. Electron microscopy analysis of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$: (a) ball-and-stick model of $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ in the (100) orientation. The simulated electron diffraction patterns are also shown, and the additional $(0\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ reflection is highlighted in red for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$. (b) Atomic-resolution annular bright field image of a $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ particle showing a core-shell structure. (c) Magnified views of the particle shell showing a $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ (100) structure, as confirmed by electron diffraction. A magnified view of the bulk structure ($\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ (100)) is shown in the bottom part.

work the distortion is only induced once, during synthesis, and alleviated on the first charge, it is still possible that the structural changes are detrimental to the reversible capacity of the material. It is worth noting that the stability of the lithiated materials are on par with the pristine material when cycled to a 3.5 V lower cutoff potential. In the work of Mancini et al.³⁰ LNMO with a more ideal particle architecture is synthesized, which appears to be capable of accommodating the strain and volume expansion during lithiation since no cracking is evident for their thermally synthesized Li_2NMO . It is surmised that optimizing the precursor LNMO for chemical lithiation processing is an important step and will be the focus of further investigation. Finally, we note that the ammonia-based lithiation method used in this work alters the particle architecture in some cases. Besides the presence of cracks at high lithiation levels of $\text{Li}_{1+x}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ ($x \geq 0.44$), we have observed a well-defined laminated morphology in certain regions of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ (see Figure S5). This could justify the

slightly better electrochemical performance of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ in contrast to the other LLNMO materials, since the presence of these planes may facilitate lithium (de)intercalation.

STEM studies were performed to examine the atomic-scale structure of the pristine and lithiated materials. Particles from the pristine $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ powder (not shown here) exhibited the expected spinel structure.⁴⁹ The particles did not exhibit any notable surface layer in the pristine particles. Figure 5 shows a summary of the structural characterization of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$. The atomic-resolution STEM ABF images (Figure 5b), which are sensitive to light elements such as Li and O, show a distinct core-shell structure. The core/bulk of the particles is identified as the $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ phase in the (001) projection. In this projection the Ni, Mn, and O atomic columns are aligned in the (001) direction to form a square lattice, while the Li atomic columns can be seen as layers in every other (011) plane, as shown in Figure 5a. These Li planes can be directly seen in the ABF images and are indicated by the

arrows in Figure 5b. The additional feature in the electron diffraction pattern for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ due to the $\text{Li} (0\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})$ is shown in Figure 5a.

The shell of the $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ particles exhibits a structure different from the bulk, where the layers of Li atoms are no longer visible. Detailed imaging and diffraction analysis reveal that the shell consists of a few nanometers of $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ in the (100) projection. The atomic structure and diffraction pattern are shown in Figure 5a, and a comparison with the STEM ABF images (Figure 5c) shows good agreement. It is interesting to note here that the $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ surface layers form coherently on the particle surfaces. We did not find any defects or dislocations at the interface between the $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ core and the $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ shell structures, suggesting that the mobility of Li diffusion should not be affected by the presence of this surface layer. Similar features are also observed in the $\text{Li}_{1.26}\text{NMO}$ material.

Full Cell Electrochemistry. The purpose of the chemical overlithiation in this work is to provide additional lithium to the full cell to compensate for the first cycle IC of the anode. To test the effectiveness of the ammonia-based chemical lithiation method introduced, two anodes are selected. The first is graphite, the anode active material found in most commercial LIB, which has a relatively small first cycle IC (8.3%). A Si-graphite composite electrode is the second anode considered, which has a larger first cycle IC (14.5%). The IC of the graphite and Si-graphite electrodes were quantified by constructing half-cells and cycling them at $C/10$, as shown in Figure 6. Calculating the amount of capacity lost




Figure 6. Potential profiles for the first cycle of (a) graphite and (b) Si-graphite versus lithium metal at a rate of $C/10$ highlighting the irreversible capacity in mAh g^{-1} of active material.

in the first cycle allowed us to match each anode with a LLNMO with an appropriate amount of extra lithiation capacity. In balancing the electrodes in a full cell, care was taken to ensure that the areal capacity ratio of negative to positive electrodes (n/p ratio)⁵⁰ never fell below 1.1. From these considerations, graphite was paired with $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ and Si-graphite was paired with $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$. For comparison, baseline graphite// $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ and Si-graphite// $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ cells were also tested under the same conditions.

For simplicity in the following discussion, cells will be referred to by their point of difference, namely the cathode lithium content Li_xNMO . The first and second charge and discharge curves for the graphite containing full cells are shown in Figure 7. In the first charge, $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ delivers 159 mAh




Figure 7. Full cell potential profiles for the (a) first and (b) second charge and discharge of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus a graphite anode at a rate of $C/10$.

g^{-1}LNMO of capacity compared to $139 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}\text{LNMO}$ for $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$, a difference of $20 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}\text{LNMO}$. Most of the extra capacity is extracted below 4.5 V. Upon discharge, $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ shows a lower discharge capacity ($102 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}\text{LNMO}$) compared to $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ ($105 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}\text{LNMO}$), however. This is consistent with the half-cell result for $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$, which showed a reversible capacity 17 mAh g^{-1} lower than that of $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ (107 and 124 mAh g^{-1} , respectively). Because of this, both the baseline and prelithiated cells show similar discharge capacities in the first few cycles. Interestingly, from cycle 5 to 20, $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ shows better capacity retention and higher Coulombic efficiency than $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ (Figure 8). This is related to the prelithiation and the lower reversibility of $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$, which combined led to a reserve of available lithium left on the anode at the end of the first discharge. The reserve of lithium is gradually diminished on a per cycle basis, with the rate of loss related to the extent of active lithium loss from cellular irreversible processes, such as the SEI formation/repair. The “knee” present in the potential profile at $\sim 3.8 \text{ V}$ for $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ but absent for $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ (Figure 7) is also evidence for a lithium reserve in the former cells. This is explained in detail in the Supporting Information with the aid of Figure S6 and relates to which electrode (cathode or anode) causes the full cell termination voltage to be reached. Beyond 20 cycles, the capacity of $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ decreases to the same value as $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ and then fades at the same rate. After 100 cycles, the discharge capacity and Coulombic efficiency are equivalent, within the error. This infers that there are no gains by using the LLNMO prepared in this work to compensate for the IC of graphite. Using a thermal lithiation synthesis for LLNMO, Gabrielli et al.³¹ do observe a slight improvement in the capacity of graphite//LLNMO cells compared to graphite//LNMO cells. However, since the IC of graphite in their




Figure 8. Full cell (a) discharge capacity and (b) cycle efficiency over 100 cycles for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus graphite at a rate of C/10. Filled data symbols represent the average of two duplicate cells, with error bars showing the deviation between them. The open data symbols in (b) represent the region where a scheduled ~ 20 h power shutdown interrupted cycling and the subsequent cell recovery/break-in time (cycles 47–58 or 47–61). In this region data are shown from the two cells separately since they were interrupted on different cycle numbers.

work is $\sim 12\%$, the capacity gain is minimal ($\sim 4 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$ higher for the LLNMO electrode versus graphite compared to the as-prepared LNMO after 20 cycles).

Prelithiation becomes more important when the IC of the anode is large. To illustrate this, the first and second cycle potential profiles of Si-graphite// $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ and Si-graphite// $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ full cells are shown in Figure 9. Because of the




Figure 9. Full cell potential profiles for the (a) first and (b) second charge and discharge of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus a Si-graphite composite anode at a rate of C/10.

larger IC of the Si-graphite electrode, the Coulombic efficiency for $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ is 57% (charge and discharge capacity of 147 and $85 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$, respectively). Conversely, with prelithiation the first charge capacity of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ is $201 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$, with a reversible capacity of $104 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$. The reversible capacity is therefore $19 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$ higher for the cells where the IC is compensated by the cathode prelithiation—a 23% improvement. The increased first discharge capacity carries over into subsequent cycles (Figure 9b), and after 100 cycles at C/10 the cells with $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ deliver $44 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$ of capacity (Figure 10). This is 18




Figure 10. Full cell (a) discharge capacity and (b) cycle efficiency over 100 cycles for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ versus Si-graphite at a rate of C/10. Filled data symbols represent the average of two duplicate cells, with error bars showing the deviation between them. The open data symbols in (b) represent the region where a scheduled ~ 20 h power shutdown interrupted cycling of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ and the subsequent cell recovery/break-in time (cycles 95–100). In this region data is shown from the two cells separately since they were interrupted on different cycle numbers.

$\text{mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{LNMO}}$ higher than the baseline $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ case. Additionally, the capacity retention over 100 cycles is higher for $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ relative to $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ (42% and 30%, respectively).

In Figures 9 and 10 there are clear signs that the Si-graphite anode in $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ cells has not been fully emptied after the first cycle, as was the case for graphite// $\text{Li}_{1.35}\text{NMO}$ cells. As a result, a higher Coulombic efficiency and good capacity retention from cycle 2 to 34 are observed for $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ compared to $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$. The anode lithium reserve is apparently exhausted around cycle 34; the Coulombic efficiency of $\text{Li}_{1.62}\text{NMO}$ decreases to match that of $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$ (97.8% at cycle 35), and the capacity fade begins to track more closely. For example, between cycle 70 and 100 the capacity fade in cells with and without cathode prelithiation is equivalent, at 75% retention over these 30 cycles. Moorhead-Rosenberg et al.¹⁴ also observed higher cycling capacity but equivalent capacity fade rate with a FeSb–TiC anode paired against LNMO and LLNMO synthesized with microwave assisted chemical lithiation. Therefore,

prelithiation does not generally alter the rate of active lithium loss in the full cell. One reported instance where prelithiation has had a secondary beneficial effect on the cycle life is in work from Gasteiger's group.⁵¹ Lithium oxalate is used as a cathode additive with LNMO and paired with graphite and Si-graphite. During the first charge, the lithium salt is oxidized, releasing available lithium to the cell, which compensates for the first cycle IC. Concomitantly, carbon dioxide gas is released, which was shown in earlier work by Krause et al.⁵² to be an effective SEI-forming additive, thereby improving the cycle life until the gas is consumed.

The equivalent fade rate noted between cathodes with and without prelithiation establishes that the prelithiation has had no detrimental effects on the cycling. However, in both the graphite and Si-graphite systems the rate of fade is substantially higher than desired. This highlights two issues that require further attention. The first is the capacity fade attributable to Mn dissolution.^{53–55} This effect is the dominant cause for capacity fade in the graphite full cells, arising from Mn dissolution, migration, and incorporation into the graphite SEI. Once there, Mn ions increase the rate of SEI growth, reducing solvent molecules and trapping lithium ions.^{56–61} Much research has been dedicated to addressing this issue for the 4 V (LiMn_2O_4) and 5 V ($\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$) spinel materials, through surface coatings, bulk dopants, surface dopants, or more stable electrolyte and/or additive combinations (see ref 62 for a recent review). For example, Zhang et al.^{63–65} have reported a fluorinated electrolyte that demonstrates enhanced voltage stability in graphite/LNMO cells cycled to 4.9 V and at 55 °C. Their results demonstrate improved capacity retention and higher Coulombic efficiency with less solid decomposition products on both electrodes.

The capacity retention with a Si-graphite anode is lower than with graphite (30% compared to 60%, respectively, over 100 cycles at C/10 against $\text{Li}_{1.04}\text{NMO}$), which highlights the second reason for capacity fade in this study. In addition to Mn dissolution problems, (de)lithiation of silicon during repeated cycling results in large volume expansion and contraction of the particles.¹⁷ SEI delamination, reformation, and repair are therefore continuously taking place in each cycle, irreversibly and constantly depleting the active, cyclable lithium. In addition, the repeated volume changes and increasing quantities of delaminated SEI products cause electrode degradation in the form of cracking, active particle isolation, and electrode densification (loss of porosity).^{18,19,21} Therefore, while prelithiation provides a satisfactory solution to mitigate the first cycle IC, the capacity fade problems arising from Mn dissolution (at the cathode) and silicon cycling instability (at the anode) remain major research challenges.

CONCLUSIONS

Prelithiation of the cathode is achieved in this work by a novel and tunable liquid ammonia-based chemical lithiation synthesis method. The 5 V spinel cathode $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ was chemically lithiated by this method, with $\text{Li}_{1.96}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ being the highest lithium composition achieved. Atomic-resolution STEM reveals the lithiated materials have a core-shell structure, with the $\text{Li}_2\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ phase forming coherent layers that are a few nanometers thick on the $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ bulk structure. Electrochemical tests confirm the chemically inserted lithium is electrochemically active and therefore suitable to compensate for the irreversible capacity in a full cell. Chemical prelithiation of the cathode appreciably

improved the reversible capacity of full cells with a Si-graphite anode. Importantly, the air stability of the lithiated cathode was sufficient to prepare electrodes in an air environment, with potential for improvement by using a dry atmosphere. The proposed ammonia-based chemical lithiation method demonstrated here for $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ may be extended to other lithium-ion battery cathode materials. The lithium inventory introduced by this technique compensates for irreversible, lithium-consuming processes in the cell and has particular significance for next-generation high-capacity anodes with a large irreversible capacity.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsam.9b00695.

High-resolution X-ray diffraction patterns, fitting results of Rietveld refinements, targeted versus measured (ICP) lithium content, scanning electron microscopy images in selected regions, electrochemical full cell cycling data in wider potential window (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail wdose@anl.gov.

*E-mail cjohnson@anl.gov.

ORCID

Wesley M. Dose: 0000-0003-3850-0505

Christopher S. Johnson: 0000-0003-4357-6889

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the Vehicle Technologies Office, Hybrid and Electric Systems, in particular David Howell, Tien Duong, Peter Faguy, and Brian Cunningham at the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, is gratefully acknowledged. This manuscript has been created by UChicago Argonne, LLC, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory (a DOE Office of Science laboratory) under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Nanoscale Materials, a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility under Contract DE AC02 06CH11357. The authors acknowledge Dean Bass for assistance obtaining the ICP-MS data and Cameron Peebles for assistance collecting the SEM images. X.R. and R.F.K. acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation (DMR-1831406) to support the STEM imaging study. Use of the JEOL JEM-ARM200CF in the Electron Microscopy Core of UIC's Research Resource Center was supported by two grants from the National Science Foundation (DMR-0959470 and DMR-1626065).

REFERENCES

- (1) Thackeray, M. M.; Wolverton, C.; Isaacs, E. D. Electrical energy storage for transportation—approaching the limits of, and going beyond, lithium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5* (7), 7854–7863.

- (2) Yoo, H. D.; Markevich, E.; Salitra, G.; Sharon, D.; Aurbach, D. On the challenge of developing advanced technologies for electrochemical energy storage and conversion. *Mater. Today* **2014**, *17* (3), 110–121.
- (3) Poizat, P.; Laruelle, S.; Grugeon, S.; Dupont, L.; Tarascon, J. M. Nano-sized transition-metal oxides as negative-electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Nature* **2000**, *407*, 496.
- (4) Hu, Y.-Y.; Liu, Z.; Nam, K.-W.; Borkiewicz, O. J.; Cheng, J.; Hua, X.; Dunstan, M. T.; Yu, X.; Wiaderek, K. M.; Du, L.-S.; Chapman, K. W.; Chupas, P. J.; Yang, X.-Q.; Grey, C. P. Origin of additional capacities in metal oxide lithium-ion battery electrodes. *Nat. Mater.* **2013**, *12*, 1130.
- (5) Obrovac, M. N.; Chevrier, V. L. Alloy Negative Electrodes for Li-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (23), 11444–11502.
- (6) Su, X.; Wu, Q.; Li, J.; Xiao, X.; Lott, A.; Lu, W.; Sheldon, B. W.; Wu, J. Silicon-Based Nanomaterials for Lithium-Ion Batteries: A Review. *Advanced Energy Materials*. **2014**, *4* (1), 1300882.
- (7) Courtney, I. A.; Dahn, J. R. Electrochemical and In Situ X-Ray Diffraction Studies of the Reaction of Lithium with Tin Oxide Composites. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, *144* (6), 2045–2052.
- (8) Winter, M.; Besenhard, J. O. Electrochemical lithiation of tin and tin-based intermetallics and composites. *Electrochim. Acta* **1999**, *45* (1), 31–50.
- (9) Chan, C. K.; Zhang, X. F.; Cui, Y. High Capacity Li Ion Battery Anodes Using Ge Nanowires. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8* (1), 307–309.
- (10) Xu, K. Electrolytes and Interphases in Li-Ion Batteries and Beyond. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (23), 11503–11618.
- (11) Xu, K. Nonaqueous Liquid Electrolytes for Lithium-Based Rechargeable Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104* (10), 4303–4418.
- (12) Cheng, X.-B.; Zhang, R.; Zhao, C.-Z.; Wei, F.; Zhang, J.-G.; Zhang, Q. A Review of Solid Electrolyte Interphases on Lithium Metal Anode. *Advanced Science*. **2016**, *3* (3), 1500213.
- (13) Verma, P.; Maire, P.; Novák, P. A review of the features and analyses of the solid electrolyte interphase in Li-ion batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55* (22), 6332–6341.
- (14) Moorhead-Rosenberg, Z.; Allcorn, E.; Manthiram, A. In Situ Mitigation of First-Cycle Anode Irreversibility in a New Spinel/FeSb Lithium-Ion Cell Enabled via a Microwave-Assisted Chemical Lithiation Process. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26* (20), 5905–5913.
- (15) Aravindan, V.; Lee, Y.-S.; Madhavi, S. Best Practices for Mitigating Irreversible Capacity Loss of Negative Electrodes in Li-Ion Batteries. *Advanced Energy Materials*. **2017**, *7* (17), 1602607.
- (16) Holtstiege, F.; Wilken, A.; Winter, M.; Placke, T. Running out of lithium? A route to differentiate between capacity losses and active lithium losses in lithium-ion batteries. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19* (38), 25905–25918.
- (17) Beaulieu, L. Y.; Eberman, K. W.; Turner, R. L.; Krause, L. J.; Dahn, J. R. Colossal Reversible Volume Changes in Lithium Alloys. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2001**, *4* (9), A137–A140.
- (18) Michan, A. L.; Divitini, G.; Pell, A. J.; Leskes, M.; Ducati, C.; Grey, C. P. Solid Electrolyte Interphase Growth and Capacity Loss in Silicon Electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (25), 7918–7931.
- (19) Radvanyi, E.; Porcher, W.; De Vito, E.; Montani, A.; Franger, S.; Jouanneau Si Larbi, S. Failure mechanisms of nano-silicon anodes upon cycling: an electrode porosity evolution model. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16* (32), 17142–17153.
- (20) Wetjen, M.; Solchenbach, S.; Pritzl, D.; Hou, J.; Tileli, V.; Gasteiger, H. A. Morphological Changes of Silicon Nanoparticles and the Influence of Cutoff Potentials in Silicon-Graphite Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, *165* (7), A1503–A1514.
- (21) Oumellal, Y.; Delpuech, N.; Mazouzi, D.; Dupré, N.; Gaubicher, J.; Moreau, P.; Soudan, P.; Lestriez, B.; Guyomard, D. The failure mechanism of nano-sized Si-based negative electrodes for lithium ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21* (17), 6201–6208.
- (22) Yoon, T.; Nguyen, C. C.; Seo, D. M.; Lucht, B. L. Capacity Fading Mechanisms of Silicon Nanoparticle Negative Electrodes for Lithium Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2015**, *162* (12), A2325–A2330.
- (23) Dose, W. M.; Maroni, V. A.; Piernas-Muñoz, M. J.; Trask, S. E.; Bloom, I.; Johnson, C. S. Assessment of Li-Inventory in Cycled Si-Graphite Anodes Using LiFePO₄ as a Diagnostic Cathode. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, *165* (10), A2389–A2396.
- (24) Manthiram, A.; Chemelewski, K.; Lee, E.-S. A perspective on the high-voltage LiMn_{1.5}Ni_{0.5}O₄ spinel cathode for lithium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7* (4), 1339–1350.
- (25) U.S. Department of Energy Secretary of Energy Rick Perry Announces \$68.5 Million for Advanced Vehicle Technologies Research [Press Release]. Retrieved from <https://www.energy.gov/articles/secretary-energy-rick-perry-announces-685-million-advanced-vehicle-technologies-research>, May 1, 2018.
- (26) Ariyoshi, K.; Iwakoshi, Y.; Nakayama, N.; Ohzuku, T. Topotactic Two-Phase Reactions of Li[Ni_{1/2}Mn_{3/2}]O₄ (P433) in Nonaqueous Lithium Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2004**, *151* (2), A296–A303.
- (27) Lee, E.-S.; Huq, A.; Chang, H.-Y.; Manthiram, A. High-Voltage, High-Energy Layered-Spinel Composite Cathodes with Superior Cycle Life for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24* (3), 600–612.
- (28) Park, S.-H.; Oh, S.-W.; Yoon, C.-S.; Myung, S.-T.; Sun, Y.-K. LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ Showing Reversible Phase Transition on 3 V Region. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2005**, *8* (3), A163–A167.
- (29) Park, S. H.; Oh, S. W.; Kang, S. H.; Belharouak, I.; Amine, K.; Sun, Y. K. Comparative study of different crystallographic structure of LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O_{4-δ} cathodes with wide operation voltage (2.0–5.0V). *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52* (25), 7226–7230.
- (30) Mancini, M.; Axmann, P.; Gabrielli, G.; Kinyanjui, M.; Kaiser, U.; Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, M. A High-Voltage and High-Capacity Li_{1-x}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ Cathode Material: From Synthesis to Full Lithium-Ion Cells. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (14), 1843–1849.
- (31) Gabrielli, G.; Marinaro, M.; Mancini, M.; Axmann, P.; Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, M. A new approach for compensating the irreversible capacity loss of high-energy Si/CLiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *351*, 35–44.
- (32) Mancini, M.; Gabrielli, G.; Axmann, P.; Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, M. Electrochemical Performance and Phase Transitions between 1.5 and 4.9 V of Highly-Ordered LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{1.5}O₄ with Tailored Morphology: Influence of the Lithiation Method. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, *164* (1), A6229–A6235.
- (33) Toby, B. H.; Von Dreele, R. B. GSAS-II: the genesis of a modern open-source all purpose crystallography software package. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2013**, *46* (2), 544–549.
- (34) Klie, R. F.; Gulec, A.; Guo, Z.; Paulauskas, T.; Qiao, Q.; Tao, R.; Wang, C.; Low, K. B.; Nicholls, A. W.; Phillips, P. J. The new JEOL JEM-ARM200CF at the University of Illinois at Chicago. *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **2014**, *49* (9), 653–662.
- (35) Findlay, S. D.; Shibata, N.; Sawada, H.; Okunishi, E.; Kondo, Y.; Ikuhara, Y. Dynamics of annular bright field imaging in scanning transmission electron microscopy. *Ultramicroscopy* **2010**, *110* (7), 903–923.
- (36) Findlay, S. D.; Shibata, N.; Sawada, H.; Okunishi, E.; Kondo, Y.; Yamamoto, T.; Ikuhara, Y. Robust atomic resolution imaging of light elements using scanning transmission electron microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *95* (19), 191913.
- (37) Phillips, P. J.; Klie, R. F. On the visibility of very thin specimens in annular bright field scanning transmission electron microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *103* (3), 033119.
- (38) Birch, A. J. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part I. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1944**, *117* (0), 430–436.
- (39) Birch, A. J. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part II. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1945**, *212* (0), 809–813.
- (40) Birch, A. J. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part III. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *119* (0), 593–597.
- (41) Birch, A. J. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part IV. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *25* (0), 102–105.
- (42) Birch, A. J. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part V. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *327* (0), 1642–1648.

- (43) Birch, A. J.; Mukherji, S. M. Reduction by dissolving metals. Part VI. Some applications in synthesis. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, 532 (0), 2531–2536.
- (44) Johnson, W. C.; Meyer, A. W. The Properties of Solutions of Metals in Liquid Ammonia. *Chem. Rev.* **1931**, 8 (2), 273–301.
- (45) Thackeray, M. M.; David, W. I. F.; Bruce, P. G.; Goodenough, J. B. Lithium insertion into manganese spinels. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1983**, 18 (4), 461–472.
- (46) David, W. I. F.; Thackeray, M. M.; De Picciotto, L. A.; Goodenough, J. B. Structure refinement of the spinel-related phases $\text{Li}_2\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4$ and $\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4$. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1987**, 67 (2), 316–323.
- (47) Sun, Y.-K.; Jeon, Y.-S. Overcoming Jahn-Teller distortion of oxysulfide spinel materials for lithium secondary batteries. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1999**, 9 (12), 3147–3150.
- (48) Zhong, Q.; Bonakdarpour, A.; Zhang, M.; Gao, Y.; Dahn, J. R. Synthesis and Electrochemistry of $\text{LiNi}_x\text{Mn}_{2-x}\text{O}_4$. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, 144 (1), 205–213.
- (49) Hayashi, K.; Okamoto, R.; Suzuki, N.; Matsumoto, S.; Terauchi, M.; Tsuda, K. Direct observation of Mn and Ni ordering in $\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$ using atomic resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy. *Microscopy* **2018**, 67 (5), 280–285.
- (50) Kasnatscheew, J.; Placke, T.; Streipert, B.; Rothermel, S.; Wagner, R.; Meister, P.; Laskovic, I. C.; Winter, M. A Tutorial into Practical Capacity and Mass Balancing of Lithium Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, 164 (12), A2479–A2486.
- (51) Solchenbach, S.; Wetjen, M.; Pritzl, D.; Schwenke, K. U.; Gasteiger, H. A. Lithium Oxalate as Capacity and Cycle-Life Enhancer in LNMO/Graphite and LNMO/SiG Full Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, 165 (3), A512–A524.
- (52) Krause, L. J.; Chevrier, V. L.; Jensen, L. D.; Brandt, T. The Effect of Carbon Dioxide on the Cycle Life and Electrolyte Stability of Li-Ion Full Cells Containing Silicon Alloy. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, 164 (12), A2527–A2533.
- (53) Jang, D. H.; Shin, Y. J.; Oh, S. M. Dissolution of Spinel Oxides and Capacity Losses in 4 V $\text{Li}/\text{Li}_x\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4$ Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1996**, 143 (7), 2204–2211.
- (54) Delacourt, C.; Kwong, A.; Liu, X.; Qiao, R.; Yang, W. L.; Lu, P.; Harris, S. J.; Srinivasan, V. Effect of Manganese Contamination on the Solid-Electrolyte-Interphase Properties in Li-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, 160 (8), A1099–A1107.
- (55) Mahootcheian, N.; Kim, J.-H.; Piezonka, N. P. W.; Liu, Z.; Kim, Y. Multilayer electrolyte cell: A new tool for identifying electrochemical performances of high voltage cathode materials. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2013**, 32, 1–4.
- (56) Ochida, M.; Domi, Y.; Doi, T.; Tsubouchi, S.; Nakagawa, H.; Yamanaka, T.; Abe, T.; Ogumi, Z. Influence of Manganese Dissolution on the Degradation of Surface Films on Edge Plane Graphite Negative-Electrodes in Lithium-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2012**, 159 (7), A961–A966.
- (57) Shin, H.; Park, J.; Sastry, A. M.; Lu, W. Degradation of the solid electrolyte interphase induced by the deposition of manganese ions. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, 284, 416–427.
- (58) Gowda, S. R.; Gallagher, K. G.; Croy, J. R.; Bettge, M.; Thackeray, M. M.; Balasubramanian, M. Oxidation state of cross-over manganese species on the graphite electrode of lithium-ion cells. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, 16 (15), 6898–6902.
- (59) Zhan, C.; Lu, J.; Jeremy Kropf, A.; Wu, T.; Jansen, A. N.; Sun, Y.-K.; Qiu, X.; Amine, K. Mn(II) deposition on anodes and its effects on capacity fade in spinel lithium manganate-carbon systems. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 2437.
- (60) Shkrob, I. A.; Kropf, A. J.; Marin, T. W.; Li, Y.; Poluektov, O. G.; Niklas, J.; Abraham, D. P. Manganese in Graphite Anode and Capacity Fade in Li Ion Batteries. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, 118 (42), 24335–24348.
- (61) Gilbert, J. A.; Shkrob, I. A.; Abraham, D. P. Transition Metal Dissolution, Ion Migration, Electrocatalytic Reduction and Capacity Loss in Lithium-Ion Full Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, 164 (2), A389–A399.
- (62) Zhan, C.; Wu, T.; Lu, J.; Amine, K. Dissolution, migration, and deposition of transition metal ions in Li-ion batteries exemplified by Mn-based cathodes - a critical review. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, 11 (2), 243–257.
- (63) Hu, L.; Zhang, Z.; Amine, K. Fluorinated electrolytes for Li-ion battery: An FEC-based electrolyte for high voltage $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ /graphite couple. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2013**, 35, 76–79.
- (64) Zhang, Z.; Hu, L.; Wu, H.; Weng, W.; Koh, M.; Redfern, P. C.; Curtiss, L. A.; Amine, K. Fluorinated electrolytes for 5 V lithium-ion battery chemistry. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, 6 (6), 1806–1810.
- (65) Hu, L.; Xue, Z.; Amine, K.; Zhang, Z. Fluorinated Electrolytes for 5 V Li-Ion Chemistry: Synthesis and Evaluation of an Additive for High-Voltage $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$ /Graphite Cell. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2014**, 161 (12), A1777–A1781.