# A RATIONAL MAP WITH INFINITELY MANY POINTS OF DISTINCT ARITHMETIC DEGREES

### JOHN LESIEUTRE AND MATTHEW SATRIANO

ABSTRACT. Let  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  be a dominant rational self-map of a smooth projective variety defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . For each point  $P \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  whose forward f-orbit is well-defined, Silverman introduced the arithmetic degree  $\alpha_f(P)$ , which measures the growth rate of the heights of the points  $f^n(P)$ . Kawaguchi and Silverman conjectured that  $\alpha_f(P)$  is well-defined and that, as P varies, the set of values obtained by  $\alpha_f(P)$  is finite. Based on constructions of Bedford-Kim and McMullen, we give a counterexample to this conjecture when  $X = \mathbb{P}^4$ .

# 1. Introduction

Let  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  be a dominant rational map of a smooth projective variety defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We let  $I_f$  denote the indeterminacy locus of f, and  $X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  denote the set of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -points of X whose forward f-orbit is well-defined, i.e. those  $P \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $f^n(P) \notin I_f$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . To each point  $P \in X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , Silverman [Sil14] introduced the following quantity which measures the arithmetic growth rate of  $f^n(P)$ . Fix an ample divisor H on X and a logarithmic Weil height function  $h_H: X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$  for H. Letting  $h_H^+(P) = \max(h_H(P), 1)$ , consider the quantities

$$\underline{\alpha}_f(P) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} h_H^+(f^n(P))^{1/n}, \qquad \overline{\alpha}_f(P) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} h_H^+(f^n(P))^{1/n}.$$

Kawaguchi and Silverman proved in [KS16b, Proposition 12] that these quantities are independent of the choice of ample divisor H. When  $\underline{\alpha}_f(P) = \overline{\alpha}_f(P)$ , the arithmetic degree  $\alpha_f(P)$  is defined to be the common limit. Kawaguchi and Silverman made the following conjecture and proved it in the case when f is a morphism [KS16a, Theorem 3].

Conjecture 1 ([KS16b, Conjecture 6abc]). If  $P \in X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , then the limit  $\alpha_f(P)$  exists. Moreover,

$$\{\alpha_f(Q) \mid Q \in X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\}$$

is a finite set of algebraic integers.

We prove the following result which gives a counterexample to Conjecture 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{P}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$  be the birational map defined by

$$[X;Y;Z;A;B] \mapsto [XY + AX;YZ + BX;XZ;AX;BX].$$

Then there exists a sequence of points  $P_n \in X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  for which  $\alpha_f(P_n)$  exists, and  $\{\alpha_f(P_n)\}_n$  is an infinite set.

J.L. is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1700898.

M.S. is partially supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2015-05631.

The strategy we use to prove Theorem 2 is actually inspired by another conjecture of Kawaguchi and Silverman [KS16b, Conjecture 6d], namely that if  $P \in X_f(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  and P has Zariski dense orbit under f, then  $\alpha_f(P)$  is equal to the first dynamical degree  $\lambda_1(f)$ . Consider a family  $\pi \colon X \to T$  and a dominant rational map  $f \colon X \dashrightarrow X$  which preserves fibers and induces a dominant rational map  $f_t \colon X_t \dashrightarrow X_t$  on every fiber. For generic values of t, the first dynamical degrees  $\lambda_1(f)$  and  $\lambda_1(f_t)$  agree, but it is possible to have a countable union of subvarieties  $\mathcal{T} \subset T$  such that  $\lambda_1(f_t) < \lambda_1(f)$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , and for which infinitely many distinct values arise as  $\lambda_1(f_t)$ . Suppose that for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  we can find  $P_t \in X_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  whose forward orbit under  $f_t$  is well-defined and Zariski dense in  $X_t$ . Then we would expect that  $\alpha_f(P_t) = \alpha_{f_t}(P_t) = \lambda_1(f_t)$ . Since the set of  $\lambda_1(f_t)$  is infinite, this would achieve infinitely many different values for  $\alpha_f$ .

There are a few issues one must handle in order to turn the above strategy into a counterexample to Conjecture 1. First, we must produce a suitable map f, and ensure that there are points  $P_t$  with dense orbit under  $f_t$  and whose orbits avoid the indeterminacy of f. Second, one would expect that  $\alpha_{f_t}(P_t) = \lambda_1(f_t)$  but this requires a proof. The easiest way to show this is to work in a case where [KS16b, Conjecture 6d] is already known to hold. For this reason, we consider a family of surface maps with f birational and where  $f_t$  extends to an automorphism of a birational model of  $X_t$ , so that we can appeal to [Kaw08, KS14], which proves that  $\alpha_f(P) = \lambda_1(f)$  in this case. We implement this strategy based on constructions of Bedford–Kim [BK06] and McMullen [McM07].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin by taking the strategy described in the introduction and codifying it as the following result.

**Proposition 3.** Let X be a smooth projective variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\pi: X \to T$  be a projective morphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -varieties with two-dimensional fibers. Let  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  be a birational map defined over T and suppose there is an infinite sequence of parameters  $t_n \in T(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  satisfying the following:

- (1) for each n, there exists a birational model  $\pi_{t_n} : \widetilde{X}_{t_n} \to X_{t_n}$  so that  $f_{t_n}$  extends to an automorphism  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n} : \widetilde{X}_{t_n} \to \widetilde{X}_{t_n}$ ;
- (2) for each n, there exists a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -point  $P_n$  of  $X_{t_n}$ , contained in the open set where  $\pi_{t_n}$  is an isomorphism, and with well-defined f-orbit that is Zariski dense in  $X_{t_n}$ ;
- (3) the set of values  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n})$  is infinite.

Then the set of values of  $\alpha_f(P_n)$  is infinite.

*Proof.* Fix an ample divisor H on X. Since H restricts to an ample on  $X_t$ , we see  $\alpha_f(P) = \alpha_{f_t}(P)$  for all  $P \in X_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that the arithmetic degree is well-defined. So to complete the proof, it is enough to show  $\alpha_{f_{t_n}}(P_n) = \lambda_1(f_{t_n})$ .

Let  $\widetilde{P}_n$  be the unique point of  $\widetilde{X}_{t_n}$  with  $\pi_{t_n}(\widetilde{P}_n) = P_n$ . We have  $\alpha_{f_{t_n}}(P_n) = \alpha_{\widetilde{f}_{t_n}}(\widetilde{P}_n)$  by [MSS17, Theorem 3.4], and  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n}) = \lambda_1(\widetilde{f}_{t_n})$  by [Dan17, Theorem 1.(2)] and the discussion that follows it. Since  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$  is a surface automorphism and  $\widetilde{P}_n$  has dense orbit, [KS14, Theorem 2c] tells us that  $\alpha_{\widetilde{f}_{t_n}}(\widetilde{P}_n) = \lambda_1(\widetilde{f}_{t_n})$ , completing the proof.

We next use a construction due in various guises to Bedford–Kim [BK06] and McMullen [McM07]. The relation between these two constructions is explained in the introduction of

[BK09] as well as their remark on page 578. We collect the relevant facts from these papers in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2$  and consider the map  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  whose fiber over  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{A}^2$  is given in affine coordinates by  $f_{a,b}(x,y) = (y+a, \frac{y}{x}+b)$ . There is a sequence  $t_n = (a_n, b_n) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  indexed by the integers  $n \ge 10$  with the following properties:

- (1) the first dynamical degree  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n})$  is given by the largest real root  $\delta_n$  of the polynomial  $x^{n-2}(x^3-x-1)+x^3+x^2-1$ ;
- (2) the numbers  $\delta_n$  increase monotonically in n to  $\delta_* \approx 1.32472...$ , the real root of  $x^3 x 1$ ;
- (3) there is an  $f_{t_n}$ -invariant cuspidal cubic curve  $C_{t_n} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  with cusp  $q_{t_n}$  which is invariant under  $f_{t_n}$ ;
- (4) there is a birational model  $\pi_{t_n} \colon \widetilde{X}_{t_n} \to \mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $f_{t_n}$  extends to an automorphism of  $\widetilde{X}_{t_n}$ ; specifically,  $\pi_{t_n}$  is a blow-up at n points in the smooth locus of  $C_{t_n}$ ;
- (5) the point  $q_{t_n}$  is not contained in the indeterminacy locus of f;
- (6) the derivative of  $f_{t_n}$  at  $q_{t_n}$  is given in suitable coordinates by  $\begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_n^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Proof. First note that the indeterminacy locus of f is  $\{(1:0:0), (0:1:0), (0:0:1)\} \times \mathbb{A}^2$ . Let  $t_n = (a_n, b_n)$  be as on page 39 of [McM07]. Let  $p_1 = (0:0:1), p_2 = (1:0:0), p_3 = (0:1:0),$  and  $p_{4+i} = f_{t_n}^i(a_n:b_n:1)$  for  $0 \le i \le n-4$ . By construction (see §7), the  $p_j$  lie in the smooth locus of a cuspidal cubic curve  $C_{t_n}$ , and letting  $\pi_{t_n} \colon \widetilde{X}_{t_n} \to \mathbb{P}^2$  be the blow-up at the  $p_j$ , the map  $f_{t_n}$  extends to an automorphism  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$  of  $\widetilde{X}_{t_n}$ . Moreover,  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$  preserves an irreducible curve  $Y_n \subset \widetilde{X}_{t_n}$  in the complete linear system of the anti-canonical bundle, and  $C_{t_n} = \pi_{t_n}(Y_n)$ . Since the cusp  $q_{t_n}$  of  $C_{t_n}$  is not a smooth point of the curve,  $q_{t_n}$  is necessarily distinct from the  $p_j$ . In particular,  $\pi_{t_n}$  is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of  $q_{t_n}$ . Since  $Y_n$  is preserved by  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ , we see  $q_{t_n}$  is fixed by  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$  and hence  $f_{t_n}$ . Finally,  $q_{t_n}$  is not in the indeterminacy locus of f as  $q_{t_n} \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ . This handles statements (3)–(5).

By equation (9.1) of [McM07], the derivative of  $f_{t_n}$  at  $q_{t_n}$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n})^{-2}$  and  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n})^{-3}$  so (6) will follow upon showing  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n}) = \delta_n$  in (1).

Lastly, taking into account differences in notation explained in the remark on page 578 of [BK09], we see  $(a_n, b_n)$  belongs to the locus  $V_{n-3}$  as defined in their equation (0.2). Statements (1) and (2) then follow from [BK06, Theorem 2] by taking  $\alpha = (a, 0, 1)$  and  $\beta = (b, 1, 0)$ .

We now prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 2. We keep the notation of Proposition 4. By construction, f gives a rational self-map of  $\mathbb{A}^4$  sending (x, y, a, b) to  $(y + a, \frac{y}{x} + b, a, b)$ . Taking projective coordinates [X; Y; Z; A; B] on  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , our map extends to the birational map  $f: \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$  given by

$$[X;Y;Z;A;B] \mapsto [XY+AX;YZ+BX;XZ;AX;BX].$$

To prove the theorem, we apply Proposition 3. Condition (1) of the proposition is met by virtue of Proposition 4 (4), and condition (3) follows from Proposition 4 (1) and (2). So we need only find  $P_n \in X_{t_n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  whose forward orbit under f is well-defined and Zariski dense in  $X_{t_n} = \mathbb{P}^2$ , and for which  $P_n$  lies in the locus where  $\pi_{t_n}$  is an isomorphism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For reference, McMullen denotes  $C_{t_n}$ ,  $\widetilde{X}_{t_n}$ , and  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$  by  $X_n$ ,  $S_n$ , and  $F_n$ , respectively.

Notice that by Proposition 4 (1) and (2), for each  $n \ge 10$  we have  $\lambda_1(f_{t_n}) = \delta_n \ge \delta_{10} > 1$ . From [Dan17, Theorem 1.(2)], we see  $\lambda_1(\widetilde{f}_{t_n}) = \lambda_1(f_{t_n}) > 1$ . Theorem 1.1 (1) and Lemma 2.4 (1) of [Zha10] then show there are only finitely many  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ -periodic curves.

By Proposition 4 (3) and (6),  $q_{t_n}$  is an attracting fixed point of  $f_{t_n}$ . Fixing a metric d on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ , we find that there exists an analytic open set  $U_n \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  containing  $q_{t_n}$  for which  $f_{t_n}(U_n) \subseteq U_n$  and for which there exists a constant C < 1 so that for any u in  $U_n$ , we have  $d(f_{t_n}(u), q_{t_n}) < C d(u, q_{t_n})$ . In particular, the set  $U_n$  does not contain any  $f_{t_n}$ -periodic point other than  $q_{t_n}$ . By (4) and (5), we can choose  $U_n$  so that it avoids the indeterminacy locus of f and such that  $\pi_{t_n} : \widetilde{U}_n = \pi_{t_n}^{-1}(U_n) \to U_n$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\widetilde{P}_n$  be any  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -point of  $\widetilde{U}_n \setminus \bigcup_{C \text{ is } \widetilde{f}_{t_n}\text{-periodic}} C$ , and  $P_n = \pi_{t_n}(\widetilde{P}_n)$ . Notice that the f-orbit of  $P_n$  is contained in  $U_n$ , so the orbit is well-defined and contained in the locus over which  $\pi_{t_n}$  is an isomorphism. By construction,  $\widetilde{P}_n$  is not contained in any  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ -periodic curve. At last, since  $\widetilde{P}_n$  lies in  $\widetilde{U}_n$ , it is not  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ -periodic. Since  $\widetilde{P}_n$  is not periodic and does not lie on any  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ -periodic curve, it must have Zariski dense orbit under  $\widetilde{f}_{t_n}$ , so that  $P_n$  has dense orbit under  $f_{t_n}$ .

Remark 5. One can imagine various corrections to Conjecture 1 to circumvent the counter-example of Theorem 2. For example, one might ask that the map  $f: X \dashrightarrow X$  does not preserve any fibration. This does not seem sufficient, however. Indeed, the map  $g: \mathbb{P}^5 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$  defined by

$$[X;Y;Z;A;B;C] \mapsto [XY+AX;YZ+BX;XZ;AX+CY;BX+CZ;C^2]$$

does not appear to preserve a fibration, but the hyperplane C=0 is g-invariant, and the restriction of g to this hyperplane is the map  $f: \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$  of Theorem 2. One might instead attempt to correct Conjecture 1 by requiring either:

- (1) There is no subvariety  $Z \subset X$  such that  $f|_Z$  preserves a fibration; or
- (2) The points  $P_n$  are of bounded degree over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We know of no counterexamples in these settings.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Joseph Silverman for useful comments.

#### References

- [BK06] Eric Bedford and Kyounghee Kim. Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: degree growth of birational surface maps. *Michigan Math. J.*, 54(3):647–670, 2006.
- [BK09] Eric Bedford and Kyounghee Kim. Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: linear fractional recurrences. J. Geom. Anal., 19(3):553–583, 2009.
- [Dan17] Nguyen-Bac Dang. Degrees of iterates of rational maps on normal projective varieties. 2017.
- [Kaw08] Shu Kawaguchi. Projective surface automorphisms of positive topological entropy from an arithmetic viewpoint. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(1):159–186, 2008.
- [KS14] Shu Kawaguchi and Joseph H. Silverman. Examples of dynamical degree equals arithmetic degree. Michigan Math. J., 63(1):41–63, 2014.
- [KS16a] Shu Kawaguchi and Joseph H. Silverman. Dynamical canonical heights for Jordan blocks, arithmetic degrees of orbits, and nef canonical heights on abelian varieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 368(7):5009–5035, 2016.
- [KS16b] Shu Kawaguchi and Joseph H. Silverman. On the dynamical and arithmetic degrees of rational self-maps of algebraic varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 713:21–48, 2016.

- [McM07] Curtis T. McMullen. Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (105):49–89, 2007.
- [MSS17] Yohsuke Matsuzawa, Kaoru Sano, and Takahiro Shibata. Arithmetic degrees and dynamical degrees of endomorphisms on surfaces. 2017.
- [Sil14] Joseph H. Silverman. Dynamical degree, arithmetic entropy, and canonical heights for dominant rational self-maps of projective space. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 34(2):647–678, 2014.
- [Zha10] De-Qi Zhang. The g-periodic subvarieties for an automorphism g of positive entropy on a compact Kähler manifold.  $Adv.\ Math.,\ 223(2):405-415,\ 2010.$

John Lesieutre, Penn State University Mathematics Dept, 204 McAllister Building, University Park, State College, PA 16802, USA

 $Email\ address: {\tt jdl@psu.edu}$ 

MATTHEW SATRIANO, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ON N2L 3G1, CANADA

Email address: msatrian@uwaterloo.ca