

Original Research

Arp2/3 and Unc45 maintain heterochromatin stability in *Drosophila* polytene chromosomes

George Dialynas, Laetitia Delabaere and Irene Chiolo 

Department of Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles 90089, USA

Corresponding author: Irene Chiolo. Email: chiolo@usc.edu

Impact statement

Heterochromatin relies on dedicated pathways for 'safe' recombinational repair. In mouse and fly cultured cells, DNA double-strand break repair requires the movement of damaged sites away from the heterochromatin 'domain' via nuclear actin filaments and myosins. Here, we explore the importance of these pathways in *Drosophila* salivary gland cells, which feature a stiff bundle of endoreduplicated polytene chromosomes. Repair pathways in polytene chromosomes are largely obscure and how nuclear dynamics operate in this context is unknown. We show that heterochromatin relaxes in response to damage, and relocalization pathways are necessary to prevent abnormal repair and promote the stability of heterochromatic sequences. These results deepen our understanding of DNA damage response mechanisms in polytene chromosomes, revealing unexpected dynamics. It also provides a first understanding of nuclear dynamics responding to replication damage and rDNA breaks, providing a new understanding of the importance of nuclear architecture in genome stability. We expect these discoveries will shed light on tumorigenic processes, including therapy-induced cancer relapses.

Abstract

Repairing DNA double-strand breaks is particularly challenging in pericentromeric heterochromatin, where the abundance of repeated sequences exacerbates the risk of ectopic recombination. In *Drosophila* Kc cells, accurate homologous recombination repair of heterochromatic double-strand breaks relies on the relocalization of repair sites to the nuclear periphery before Rad51 recruitment and strand invasion. This movement is driven by Arp2/3-dependent nuclear actin filaments and myosins' ability to walk along them. Conserved mechanisms enable the relocalization of heterochromatic repair sites in mouse cells, and defects in these pathways lead to massive ectopic recombination in heterochromatin and chromosome rearrangements. In *Drosophila* polytene chromosomes, extensive DNA movement is blocked by a stiff structure of chromosome bundles. Repair pathways in this context are poorly characterized, and whether heterochromatic double-strand breaks relocalize in these cells is unknown. Here, we show that damage in heterochromatin results in relaxation of the heterochromatic chromocenter, consistent with a dynamic response. Arp2/3, the Arp2/3 activator Scar, and the myosin activator Unc45, are required for heterochromatin stability in polytene cells, suggesting that relocalization enables heterochromatin repair also in this tissue. Together, these studies reveal critical roles for actin polymerization and myosin motors in heterochromatin repair and genome stability across different organisms and tissue types.

Keywords: Polytene chromosomes, DNA repair, *Drosophila*, Arp2/3, myosins, Unc45, Scar, homologous recombination

Experimental Biology and Medicine 2019; **244**: 1362–1371. DOI: [10.1177/1535370219862282](https://doi.org/10.1177/1535370219862282)

Introduction

DNA is constantly under attack from endogenous and exogenous sources of damage, and DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are the most deleterious types of lesions because they interrupt the continuity of the DNA molecule. Repairing DSBs is particularly challenging in

pericentromeric heterochromatin^{1,2} (hereafter 'heterochromatin'), comprising large blocks of highly repeated DNA sequences flanking the centromeres. Heterochromatin occupies nearly 30% of fly and human genomes,^{3–5} and is typically enriched for 'silent' chromatin marks (*e.g.*, H3K9 di- and tri-methylation, or H3K9me2,3, and its associated

heterochromatin protein 1a, or HP1a). In *Drosophila melanogaster*, about half of these sequences are simple 'satellite' repeats, predominantly tandem 5-base pair sequences, repeated for hundreds of kilobases to megabases, while the rest are composed of scrambled clusters of transposable elements and about 250 isolated genes.³⁻⁵

The two main pathways repairing DSBs are non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), which typically leaves small mutations at the cut site while rejoining the breaks,⁶ and homologous recombination (HR), which repairs the lesion by copying information from a homologous template.⁷ HR starts when DSBs are resected to form single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) filaments that invade 'donor' homologous sequences used as templates for DNA synthesis and repair.⁷ In single copy sequences, a unique donor is present on the sister chromatid or the homologous chromosome, and repair is largely 'error free'.⁷ In heterochromatin, thousands to millions of potential donor sequences associated with multiple chromosomes can initiate inter-chromosomal recombination or unequal sister chromatid exchange, resulting in translocations, deletions, duplications, extra chromosomal circles (ECCs), and formation of dicentric or acentric chromosomes.^{1,8-12} Despite this danger, HR is a primary pathway for heterochromatin repair in both *Drosophila* and mammalian cells,¹⁰⁻¹⁶ and specialized mechanisms enable 'safe' repair while preventing aberrant (ectopic) recombination.

In *Drosophila* cultured cells, where heterochromatin forms a distinct nuclear 'domain',^{14,17,18} HR starts inside the domain with resection^{10,14,15,19} (Figure 1), while subsequent repair steps are temporarily halted.^{10,11,14} This block to HR progression relies on the structural maintenance of chromosomes 5/6 (Smc5/6) complex, which is recruited to heterochromatin by HP1a,^{10,11,14} and three SUMO E3

ligases: dPIAS and the Smc5/6 subunits Nse2/Qjt and Nse2/Cerv.^{10,11,14} Next, the heterochromatin domain expands, while repair sites display a striking relocation to the nuclear periphery, where repair continues.^{10,14,15,19} Relocalization relies on a remarkable network of nuclear actin filaments assembled at repair sites by the actin nucleator actin-related protein-2/3 (Arp2/3), and its activators Scar and Wash.¹² Filaments extend toward the nuclear periphery,¹² consistent with a role of filaments as 'highways' for relocalization. Relocalization also requires three nuclear myosins: Myosin 1A, Myosin 1B, and Myosin V, and myosin's ability to 'walk' along the filaments,¹² revealing transport mechanisms similar to those acting in the cytoplasm.²⁰⁻²² In agreement, relocalization of heterochromatic DSBs to the nuclear periphery is characterized by directed motions.^{12,23} Arp2/3 and myosin recruitment to repair sites requires HP1a and the early DSB signaling and processing factor Mre11,¹² suggesting the combination of these components as a mechanism for targeting the relocalization machinery specifically to heterochromatic DSBs. Additionally, Smc5/6 recruits Unc45 myosin activator to heterochromatic repair sites,¹² suggesting this step as a critical switch to promote myosin 'walk' along the filaments and DSB relocalization.²⁴

Inactivating the relocalization pathway results in aberrant recombination and widespread genomic instability,^{10-12,14} revealing its importance to genome integrity. Relocalization likely promotes 'safe' HR repair while preventing aberrant recombination, by isolating DSBs and their repair templates (on the homologous chromosome or the sister chromatid) away from non-allelic (ectopic) sequences before strand invasion^{10-12,14} (reviewed in literature^{2,24-28}). Notably, *Drosophila* homologous chromosomes are paired in interphase, thus both sister chromatids and homologous chromosomes are readily available for DSB repair. In agreement,




Figure 1. Schematic view of the molecular mechanisms required for heterochromatin repair in *Drosophila* cultured cells. See Introduction for details; the main repair steps are numbered and indicated. 'min' indicates time after DSB induction with IR (ionizing radiation) in *Drosophila* cultured cells.^{10-12,14} (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

disruption of sister chromatid cohesion or homologous pairing results in heterochromatin repair defects^{12,15}.

Relocalization of heterochromatic DSBs and expansion of the domain also occurs in mouse cells,^{2,12,16,29,30} suggesting conserved pathways.^{26,27} However, in mouse cells, heterochromatic DSBs do not appear to reach the nuclear periphery and repair sites are detected at the periphery of DAPI-bright regions, where Rad51 is recruited.^{2,12,16,30} Nevertheless, Arp2/3, actin polymerization, and myosins, also mediate relocalization and repair of heterochromatic DSBs and genome stability in this system.¹² Additionally, data in *Drosophila* and human cells point to a role for Arp2/3 in DSB clustering and HR repair in euchromatin,^{12,31} also suggesting the importance of actin-driven relocalization pathways in local dynamics.

In flies, a distinct nuclear organization characterizes polytene chromosomes, which contain hundreds to thousands of copies of chromosomes associated lengthwise to form a cable-like structure (reviewed in Zhimulev³² and Zhimulev *et al.*³³). Polytene chromosomes are found in several tissues of diptera, and originate from successive replications of each chromatid without segregation (endocycles). In larval salivary glands of *Drosophila*, cells undergo approximately 10 endoreplication cycles, resulting in 1024 copies of most loci.³²

Late-replicating regions (*i.e.*, pericentromeric heterochromatin, and silenced genes along chromosome arms called intercalary heterochromatin^{34–38}) remain largely under-replicated in polytene chromosomes. This results in stalling and collapse of forks entering heterochromatin, followed by mutagenic repair.^{39–41} In agreement, whole genome sequencing revealed large deletions at intercalary heterochromatin.⁴² Similarly, cytological preparations reveal filamentous structures connecting under-replicated regions, indicative of ectopic exchanges.^{39,42,43} In pericentromeric heterochromatin, loss of the silencing component Su(var)3–9 results in accumulation of DNA damage⁹ and formation of ECCs of satellite sequences in salivary gland cells,⁸ also reflecting mutagenic repair. The extent of pericentromeric heterochromatin repair in polytene chromosomes of wild-type flies is unclear, and a direct investigation of DSB repair in these regions is lacking.

Genome instability and mutagenesis associated with under-replicated regions of polytene chromosomes have been proposed as a contributing factor to the adaptability of *Diptera* to their environment.⁴⁴ Thus, understanding the molecular mechanisms of DSB repair in underreplicated regions might provide important insights into phenomena responsible for adaptation and evolution. These studies also pose the foundation for understanding the mechanisms of genome stability in polytene chromosomes of other species, including insects, plants, mammals, and ciliates.^{45,46} Polytene-like chromosomes also form in response to certain chemotherapy treatments.⁴⁶ Those pathological structures typically result from a single additional S-phase without separation of the replication products (polytene diplochromosomes) and can contribute to cancer formation by inducing polyploidy.⁴⁶ Thus, understanding the mechanisms of repair and stability of repeated sequences in polytene chromosomes might shed light on tumorigenic processes, including cancer relapses in response to therapy.

At the cytological level, pericentromeric heterochromatin of polytene chromosomes clusters in a large domain called ‘chromocenter’ from which chromosome arms depart. Notably, mobilization of repair sites is central for heterochromatin repair in mouse and *Drosophila* cultured cells, but the ‘rigid’ structure of polytene chromosomes is potentially a major impediment to chromatin dynamics. Here, we investigate the relevance of the relocalization pathway in polytene cells. We show that chromocenters expand in response to ionizing radiation (IR), revealing a dynamic reorganization of the heterochromatin domain during repair. Intriguingly, removing the relocalization pathway components Arp2/3, Scar, or Unc45, results in heterochromatin and rDNA instability in polytene chromosomes. These data support a role for relocalization in heterochromatic DSB repair of salivary gland cells and identify a new pathway for the stability of polytene chromosome heterochromatin.

Materials and methods

IR treatment

Dissected salivary glands were exposed to IR using a 160 kV X-ray source (X-RAD iR-160, Precision X-Ray), and fixed 20 min after IR.

Fly stocks and crosses

Drosophila stocks were maintained on standard media at 25°C, prepared as described in Ren *et al.*⁴⁷ Stocks were obtained from BDSC (<http://fly.bio.indiana.edu>) or VDRC (www.vdrc.at) and are: Arp3 (BDSC #32921) y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMS00711}attP2; Scar, (BDSC #31126) y[1]v[1];P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF01599}attP2; Act5c-GAL4 (BDSC #4414) y[1] w[*]; P{w[+mC]=Act5c-GAL4}25FO1/CyO, y[+]; Unc45 (VDRC #v108868) P{KK101311}VIE-260B. *su(var)3–9^{null}* trans-heterozygous mutant was *su(var)3–9^{6/17}*, described in Peng and Karpen.⁸ *yry* (kind gift from G. Karpen) was used as a control in Supplementary Figure 2. To obtain third instar larvae for salivary gland dissection, RNAi lines or a control *w¹¹¹⁸* were crossed to the Act5c-GAL4 line (rebalanced with CyO-GFP) and non-GFP larvae were picked for dissection.¹² Efficiency of RNAi depletions was previously validated.¹² mGFP-Mu2 flies (kind gift from J. Mason) were previously described.⁴⁸

IF, FISH, imaging, quantifications

Salivary glands were dissected from third instar larvae in PBS with Triton 0.15% (PBST) and fixed in PBST plus 4% PFA for 15 min, before IF. FISH was performed on whole mount tissues as described in Peng and Karpen,⁸ and probe hybridization was done in microtubes. FISH (including probe design) was done as described in Caridi *et al.*¹² and Larracunte and Ferree⁴⁹. IF, imaging, and image processing for fixed tissues were done as described in Chiolo *et al.*¹⁴ The number of γ H2Av+Mu2/Mdc1 signals was calculated in volume reconstructions of salivary gland cells, relative to the chromocenter (H3K9me3-positive signal). For the calculation of nuclear and chromocenter diameters, several Z-stacks encompassing salivary glands were used for

volume reconstructions using SoftWoRx software (Applied Precision/GE Healthcare). Nucleus and chromocenter diameter were calculated along the XY plane with the 'measure distance' function in SoftWoRx.

Antibodies

Primary antibodies used were: anti- γ H2Av (1:1000, Rockland, 600–401-914); anti-GFP (1:1000 Aves Lab, GFP-1020); anti-H3K9me2 (1:750, Wako Chemicals, MAB10307, 302–32369). Secondary antibodies for IF were from Life Technologies and Jackson ImmunoResearch. Antibodies were previously validated.^{10,14,50}

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism6 (Graphpad Software).

Results

Much progress in our understanding of heterochromatin repair comes from studying IR-induced damage in *Drosophila* and mouse cells. The recruitment of repair components to DSBs results in cytologically visible foci,^{2,51,52} and the distribution of those relative to the heterochromatin domain enables the spatial and temporal characterization of repair responses.^{2,10–12,23} However, polytene cells are

characterized by a large number of breaks from replication fork collapse that might hinder detection of additional damage from IR. We tested this directly in flies expressing a GFP-tagged version of Mu2/Mdc1, an early component of the DSB response.^{19,48} Tissues were fixed before and 15 min after 20 Gy IR, and DSBs were detected using antibodies for GFP and the phosphorylated form of H2Av (γ H2Av), a histone mark deposited in response to DNA breaks and recognized by Mu2/Mdc1.⁴⁸ Despite some background signal in these large nuclei, repair sites are easily detected as foci containing both Mu2 and γ H2Av foci (Figure 2(a)). Before IR, cells contain few repair foci outside the chromocenter, and a large number of repair foci associated with the chromocenters (Figure 2(a)). IR treatment induces a major increase of repair foci outside the chromocenters, but only a modest increase inside (Figure 2(a) and (b)). Higher IR doses result in excessive level of foci that cannot be reliably counted (not shown). Intriguingly, IR also results in a significant volume increase of the chromocenter (Figure 2(c)), resembling heterochromatin domain expansion in cultured cells.^{11,14} This is consistent with a global relaxation of heterochromatin in response to DNA damage.

Given that the high level of pre-existing damage in chromocenters limits the detection of IR-induced events, we focused on damage responses to endogenous heterochromatic breaks derived from endocycles. We used a genetic




Figure 2. (a) IF analysis and (b) quantification of repair foci containing both γ H2Av and Mu2/Mdc1 signals inside (HC, heterochromatin) and outside (EU, euchromatin) the chromocenter before (–) and after (+) treatment with 20 Gy IR. * $P=0.01$; **** $P<0.0001$ relative to untreated (–IR); two-tailed Mann–Whitney test; $n=17$ salivary gland cells from two independent experiments. The average nuclear size for the cells quantified here is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Zoomed detail of the outlined region highlights repair foci. (c) IF analysis and quantification of the average size of the chromocenter (H3K9me3-positive domain) and of the nucleus of salivary gland cells before (–) and after (+) treatment with IR. **** $P<0.0001$ relative to untreated (–IR), unpaired t test with Welch's correction, $n>35$ salivary gland cells. Error bars: SEM. Scale bar = 5 μ m. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)




Figure 3. (a) IF analysis and (b) quantification of the number of nucleoli (fibrillarin-positive signals⁸) and heterochromatin domains (H3K9me3-positive signals) in salivary gland cells of control (Ctrl, Act5C-Gal4/CyO) or RNAi depleted flies, as indicated. Arrows point to small domains in addition to the main signal. In (b), numbers next to each graph indicate the number of 'domains' quantified; numbers inside the graph are the number of cells quantified. * $P = 0.038$, one-tailed Mann-Whitney test; ** $P < 0.045$, *** $P < 0.0008$, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. (c) Quantification of the nuclear diameter for the cells shown in (b). (d) Quantification of the average intensity of H3K9me3 signals in the chromocenters of cells shown in (b). a.u.: arbitrary units. Error bars: SEM. Scale bars = 5 μ m. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

approach to investigate the relevance of relocalization pathway components to heterochromatin stability in salivary gland cells. Previous studies showed that loss of the histone methyltransferase Su(var)3-9, responsible for H3K9me2/3 in heterochromatin, results in rDNA instability detected as multiple nucleoli in salivary gland cells.⁸ Notably, nucleoli are enriched for repeated DNA sequences, and are at least partially silenced, retaining some features of pericentromeric heterochromatin.⁸ Similarly, we detected additional heterochromatin signals in salivary gland cells of *su(var)3-9^{null}* mutants (Supplementary Figure 2). Multiple nucleoli and heterochromatin domains likely result from repair defects in *su(var)3-9* mutants,^{8,9,14} leading to sequence amplification and formation of extrachromosomal circles

(ECCs) of repeated DNA sequences.⁸ These phenotypes are particularly evident in salivary gland cells given that they do not segregate the genetic material, thus retaining extra-chromosomal DNA copies in the nucleoplasm.⁸

Remarkably, RNAi depletion of relocalization pathway components Arp3, Scar, or Unc45 results in multiple nucleoli and heterochromatin signals in salivary gland cells (Figure 3), compared to control RNAi flies. This is consistent with amplification and excision of pericentromeric and rDNA sequences in the absence of relocalization pathway components.

We directly tested the effect of these depletions on heterochromatin stability by investigating the number and nuclear position of satellite signals, using fluorescence in

situ hybridization (FISH). Previous studies showed that *Su(var)3-9* mutation results in additional copies of the large 359bp satellite associated with the X chromosome, along with longer distance between FISH signals.⁸ These reflect the formation of ECCs of satellite DNA.⁸ We confirmed this observation, and extended it to AACAC and AATAT satellites (Figure 4). Similarly, RNAi depletion of Arp3, Scar, and Unc45 results in higher numbers of satellite signals and longer distance between the signals, relative to control RNAi (Figure 4). We conclude that loss of relocalization pathway components results in additional copies of satellite sequences, including amplification and excision of satellite DNAs, consistent with defective DNA repair.

Importantly, the nuclear size of cells quantified in these experiments is consistent across different RNAi depleted

and mutant flies, and in IR-treated relative to untreated tissues (Figures 2–4; Supplementary Figures 1 and 2), ruling out that the observed effects result from differences in the number of endocycles.

Additionally, *Su(var)3-9* mutations result in a significant reduction of H3K9me3 signals in heterochromatin,^{8,14} reflecting the importance of *Su(var)3-9* in H3K9me3 establishment and maintenance. Conversely, RNAi depletion of Arp3, Scar, or Unc45 did not affect H3K9me3 intensity (Figure 3(d)), in agreement with a role of relocalization components in heterochromatin maintenance downstream from *Su(var)3-9*. Together, these studies revealed the importance of relocalization pathway components in heterochromatin stability in polytene chromosomes, consistent with a role for relocalization of damaged sites in heterochromatin repair of salivary gland cells.




Figure 4. (a) FISH analysis (top), schematic representation of the position of the corresponding satellites (bottom), and (b) quantification of indicated satellite signals in salivary glands of control flies (Ctrl, Act5C-Gal4/+), flies undergoing indicated RNAi depletions, or *su(var)3-9^{null}* mutants. * $P < 0.03$, ** $P < 0.006$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$ relative to Ctrl; two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Error bars: SEM. The number of cells quantified is indicated in the graph. (c) Quantification of the nuclear diameter for the cells analyzed in (b). Scale bars = 5 μm. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)




Figure 5. Model for the relocalization of heterochromatic DSBs in *Drosophila* cultured cells and salivary gland nuclei. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Discussion

In *Drosophila* cultured cells, 'safe' HR repair of heterochromatic DSBs relies on the relocalization of repair sites to the nuclear periphery, and this requires the formation of Arp2/3-dependent nuclear actin filaments and the ability of myosins to 'walk' along the filaments.^{10,12,14} Deregulation of this pathway results in incomplete or abnormal repair of heterochromatic breaks and satellite instability.¹² Here we show that the actin polymerizing complex Arp2/3, its activator Scar, and the myosin chaperone Unc45 are also required for heterochromatin stability in polytene chromosomes, consistent with a role for relocalization in heterochromatin repair also in salivary gland cells. This is surprising, given that polytene chromosomes form rigid structures that likely interfere with chromatin dynamics. However, substantial opening of the chromatin is observed in highly transcribed genes (*i.e.*, DNA 'puffs'^{53,54}), thus local dynamics are not uncommon in these tissues. We also detected a significant increase of chromocenter volume in response to DNA damage, similar to the expansion of the heterochromatin domain observed in *Drosophila* and mouse cultured cells.¹⁴ We suggest a model where heterochromatin relaxation facilitates Arp2/3 and myosin-driven relocalization of repair sites to outside the chromocenter of polytene chromosomes to minimize the risk of ectopic recombination during DSB repair (Figure 5).

Whether heterochromatic damage sites reach the nuclear periphery in these large nuclei remains unclear. Damage foci are frequently found at the periphery of the chromocenter in *Drosophila* polytene cells (Mehrotra *et al.*⁴¹ and data not shown), supporting a 'local relocalization' model. Thus, DSBs could relocalize to the chromocenter periphery rather than to the nuclear periphery, similar to what is observed in mouse cells. However, chromocenters are also typically associated with the nuclear periphery^{55,56} (Figures 2 and 3), minimizing the distance damage sites would need to travel to reach this location; more studies are needed to determine the final destination of this movement in salivary gland cells.

Intriguingly, nuclear actin polymers have been previously detected in *Drosophila* nurse cells, which undergo polytenization similar to salivary gland cells.^{57–59} Nurse cells also accumulate DNA damage during endocycles and heterochromatin replication,⁶⁰ suggesting a role for nuclear

actin structures in relocalizing damage sites of polytene chromosomes in nurse cells.

Our data also revealed that Arp2/3, Scar, and Unc45 are required for nucleolar stability, suggesting a role for these components in rDNA repair. Damaged rDNA relocalizes to the nuclear periphery in budding yeast,^{61,62} and to nucleolar caps in human cells,^{63,64} revealing the importance of nuclear dynamics for rDNA repair across different eukaryotes. However, the mechanisms required for this movement remain poorly understood. While more studies are required to understand the molecular mechanisms driving these dynamics, our data are consistent with a role for motor components and actin nucleation in the dynamics and repair of nucleolar DNA in *Drosophila*.

Additionally, previous studies mostly focused on the spatiotemporal regulation of heterochromatin repair in response to IR-induced DSBs. Here, our data also suggest the importance of relocalization pathway components in repair of replication-induced damage, although the nature of the damage and signaling pathways responsible for activating relocalization remains unclear. Given that fork collapse in heterochromatin is likely to induce DSBs,⁴⁰ the signal triggering relocalization might rely on resection and ATR-dependent checkpoint activation, similar to DSB-induced relocalization pathways in *Drosophila* cultured cells.¹⁴

Together, these studies revealed the importance of relocalization pathway components to heterochromatin stability in polytene chromosomes, and suggest this domain responds dynamically to DSBs, relocalizing heterochromatic repair sites to outside the domain. The final destination of this movement and its relevance to repair are exciting questions for future studies. Relocalization might enable 'safe' HR repair at the nuclear periphery or immediately outside the chromocenter, similar to what is observed in *Drosophila* and mouse cultured cells. Alternatively, repair sites might remain unrepaired in salivary gland cells, but relocalization would prevent aberrant recombination between satellite sequences and ECC formation, maintaining heterochromatin and rDNA regulation, and genome stability. We expect these studies and future advances in understanding the mechanisms of DNA repair in polytene chromosomes will help elucidate fundamental pathways contributing

to evolution and genome stability, which are deregulated during aging,^{65–70} cancer progression, and cancer treatments.

Authors' contributions: LD, GD and IC performed and analyzed experiments; DG and IC wrote the manuscript; IC coordinated the project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Chiolo Lab for helpful discussions; G. Karpen, J. Mason, BDSC (P40OD018537) and VDRC for fly stocks, and S. Keagy for insightful comments on the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

FUNDING

This work was supported by NIH R01GM117376 and NSF Career 1751197 to I.C.

ORCID iD

Irene Chiolo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3080-550X>

REFERENCES

- Peng JC, Karpen GH. Epigenetic regulation of heterochromatic DNA stability. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2008;**18**:204–11
- Chiolo I, Tang J, Georgescu W, Costes SV. Nuclear dynamics of radiation-induced foci in euchromatin and heterochromatin. *Mutat Res* 2013;**750**:56–66
- Hoskins RA, Carlson JW, Kennedy C, Acevedo D, Evans-Holm M, Frise E, Wan KH, Park S, Mendez-Lago M, Rossi F, Villasante A, Dimitri P, Karpen GH, Celniker SE. Sequence finishing and mapping of *Drosophila melanogaster* heterochromatin. *Science* 2007;**316**:1625–8
- Ho JW, Jung YL, Liu T, Alver BH, Lee S, Ikegami K, Sohn KA, Minoda A, Tolstorukov MY, Appert A, Parker SC, Gu T, Kundaje A, Riddle NC, Bishop E, Egelhofer TA, Hu SS, Alekseyenko AA, Rechtsteiner A, Asker D, Belsky JA, Bowman SK, Chen QB, Chen RA, Day DS, Dong Y, Dose AC, Duan X, Epstein CB, Ercan S, Feingold EA, Ferrari F, Garrigues JM, Gehlenborg N, Good PJ, Haseley P, He D, Herrmann M, Hoffman MM, Jeffers TE, Kharchenko PV, Kolasinska-Zwierz P, Kotwaliwale CV, Kumar N, Langley SA, Larschan EN, Latorre I, Libbrecht MW, Lin X, Park R, Pazin MJ, Pham HN, Plachetka A, Qin B, Schwartz YB, Shores N, Stempor P, Vielle A, Wang C, Whittle CM, Xue H, Kingston RE, Kim JH, Bernstein BE, Dernburg AF, Pirrotta V, Kuroda MI, Noble WS, Tullius TD, Kellis M, MacAlpine DM, Strome S, Elgin SC, Liu XS, Lieb JD, Ahringer J, Karpen GH, Park PJ. Comparative analysis of metazoan chromatin organization. *Nature* 2014;**512**:449–52
- Hoskins RA, Carlson JW, Wan KH, Park S, Mendez I, Galle SE, Booth BW, Pfeiffer BD, George RA, Svirskas R, Krzywinski M, Schein J, Accardo MC, Damia E, Messina G, Mendez-Lago M, de Pablos B, Demakova OV, Andreyeva EN, Boldyreva LV, Marra M, Carvalho AB, Dimitri P, Villasante A, Zhimulev IF, Rubin GM, Karpen GH, Celniker SE. The release 6 reference sequence of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Genome Res* 2015;**25**:445–58
- Ceccaldi R, Rondinelli B, D'Andrea AD. Repair pathway choices and consequences at the double-strand break. *Trends Cell Biol* 2016;**26**:52–64
- Kowalczykowski SC. An overview of the molecular mechanisms of recombinational DNA repair. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2015;**7**(11):a016410
- Peng JC, Karpen GH. H3K9 methylation and RNA interference regulate nucleolar organization and repeated DNA stability. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007;**9**:25–35
- Peng JC, Karpen GH. Heterochromatic genome stability requires regulators of histone H3 K9 methylation. *PLoS Genet* 2009;**5**:e1000435
- Ryu T, Spatola B, Delabaere L, Bowlin K, Hopp H, Kunitake R, Karpen GH, Chiolo I. Heterochromatic breaks move to the nuclear periphery to continue recombinational repair. *Nat Cell Biol* 2015;**17**:1401–11
- Ryu T, Bonner MR, Chiolo I. Cervantes and Quijote protect heterochromatin from aberrant recombination and lead the way to the nuclear periphery. *Nucleus* 2016;**7**:485–97
- Caridi CP, D'Agostino C, Ryu T, Zapotoczny G, Delabaere L, Li X, Khodaverdian VY, Amaral N, Lin E, Rau AR, Chiolo I. Nuclear F-actin and myosins drive relocalization of heterochromatic breaks. *Nature* 2018;**559**:54–60
- Beucher A, Birraux J, Tchouandong L, Barton O, Shibata A, Conrad S, Goodarzi AA, Krempler A, Jeggo PA, Lobrich M. ATM and Artemis promote homologous recombination of radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks in G2. *EMBO J* 2009;**28**:3413–27
- Chiolo I, Minoda A, Colmenares SU, Polyzos A, Costes SV, Karpen GH. Double-strand breaks in heterochromatin move outside of a dynamic HP1a domain to complete recombinational repair. *Cell* 2011;**144**:732–44
- Janssen A, Breuer GA, Brinkman EK, van der Meulen AI, Borden SV, van Steensel B, Bindra RS, LaRocque JR, Karpen GH. A single double-strand break system reveals repair dynamics and mechanisms in heterochromatin and euchromatin. *Genes Dev* 2016;**30**:1645–57
- Tsouroula K, Furst A, Rogier M, Heyer V, Maglott-Roth A, Ferrand A, Reina-San-Martin B, Soutoglou E. Temporal and spatial uncoupling of DNA double strand break repair pathways within mammalian heterochromatin. *Mol Cell* 2016;**63**:293–305
- Riddle NC, Minoda A, Kharchenko PV, Alekseyenko AA, Schwartz YB, Tolstorukov MY, Gorchakov AA, Jaffe JD, Kennedy C, Linder-Basso D, Peach SE, Shanower G, Zheng H, Kuroda MI, Pirrotta V, Park PJ, Elgin SC, Karpen GH. Plasticity in patterns of histone modifications and chromosomal proteins in *Drosophila* heterochromatin. *Genome Res* 2011;**21**:147–63
- Li Q, Tjong H, Li X, Gong K, Zhou XJ, Chiolo I, Alber F. The three-dimensional genome organization of *Drosophila melanogaster* through data integration. *Genome Biol* 2017;**18**:145
- Dronamraju R, Mason JM. MU2 and HP1a regulate the recognition of double strand breaks in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One* 2011;**6**:e25439
- Pollard TD. Actin and actin-binding proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2016;**8**(8):a018226
- Rottner K, Faix J, Bogdan S, Linder S, Kerkhoff E. Actin assembly mechanisms at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 2017;**130**:3427–35
- Titus MA. Myosin-driven intracellular transport. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2018;**10**:1-15, a021972
- Caridi CP, Delabaere L, Tjong H, Hopp H, Das D, Alber F, Chiolo I. Quantitative methods to investigate the 4D dynamics of heterochromatic repair sites in *Drosophila* cells. *Meth Enzymol* 2018;**601**:359–89
- Caridi CP, Plessner M, Grosse R, Chiolo I. Nuclear actin filaments in DNA repair dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol* 2019; in press Preprinted in PeerJ Preprints, 7:e27855v1, doi: <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27855v1>
- Delabaere L, Chiolo I. ReiNF4rcing repair pathway choice during cell cycle. *Cell Cycle* 2016;**15**:1182–3
- Amaral N, Ryu T, Li X, Chiolo I. Nuclear dynamics of heterochromatin repair. *Trends Genet* 2017;**33**:86–100
- Caridi PC, Delabaere L, Zapotoczny G, Chiolo I. And yet, it moves: nuclear and chromatin dynamics of a heterochromatic double-strand break. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2017;**372**(1731):20160291

28. Rawal C, Chiolo I. F-Actin functions in heterochromatic DNA repair and phase separation. *DNA Repair* 2019. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2019.102646
29. Ayoub N, Jeyasekharan AD, Bernal JA, Venkitaraman AR. HP1-beta mobilization promotes chromatin changes that initiate the DNA damage response. *Nature* 2008;**453**:682–6
30. Jakob B, Splinter J, Conrad S, Voss KO, Zink D, Durante M, Lobrich M, Taucher-Scholz G. DNA double-strand breaks in heterochromatin elicit fast repair protein recruitment, histone H2AX phosphorylation and relocation to euchromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011;**39**:6489–99
31. Schrank BR, Aparicio T, Li Y, Chang W, Chait BT, Gundersen GG, Gottesman ME, Gautier J. Nuclear ARP2/3 drives DNA break clustering for homology-directed repair. *Nature* 2018;**559**:61–6
32. Zhimulev IF. Morphology and structure of polytene chromosomes. *Adv Genet* 1996;**34**:1–497
33. Zhimulev IF, Belyaeva ES, Semeshin VF, Koryakov DE, Demakov SA, Demakova OV, Pokholkova GV, Andreyeva EN. Polytene chromosomes: 70 years of genetic research. *Int Rev Cytol* 2004;**241**:203–75
34. Zhimulev IF, Belyaeva ES. Intercalary heterochromatin and genetic silencing. *Bioessays* 2003;**25**:1040–51
35. Belyaeva ES, Andreyeva EN, Belyakin SN, Volkova EI, Zhimulev IF. Intercalary heterochromatin in polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chromosoma* 2008;**117**:411–8
36. Belyaeva ES, Goncharov FP, Demakova OV, Kolesnikova TD, Boldyreva LV, Semeshin VF, Zhimulev IF. Late replication domains in polytene and non-polytene cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e30035
37. Zhimulev IF, Zykova TY, Goncharov FP, Khoroshko VA, Demakova OV, Semeshin VF, Pokholkova GV, Boldyreva LV, Demidova DS, Babenko VN, Demakov SA, Belyaeva ES. Genetic organization of interphase chromosome bands and interbands in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**:e101631
38. Khoroshko VA, Levitsky VG, Zykova TY, Antonenko OV, Belyaeva ES, Zhimulev IF. Chromatin heterogeneity and distribution of regulatory elements in the late-replicating intercalary heterochromatin domains of *Drosophila melanogaster* chromosomes. *PLoS One* 2016;**11**:e0157147
39. Belyaeva ES, Demakov SA, Pokholkova GV, Alekseyenko AA, Kolesnikova TD, Zhimulev IF. DNA underreplication in intercalary heterochromatin regions in polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster* correlates with the formation of partial chromosomal aberrations and ectopic pairing. *Chromosoma* 2006;**115**:355–66
40. Andreyeva EN, Kolesnikova TD, Belyaeva ES, Glaser RL, Zhimulev IF. Local DNA underreplication correlates with accumulation of phosphorylated H2Av in the *Drosophila melanogaster* polytene chromosomes. *Chromosome Res* 2008;**16**:851–62
41. Mehrotra S, Maqbool SB, Kolpakas A, Murnen K, Calvi BR. Endocycling cells do not apoptose in response to DNA rereplication genotoxic stress. *Genes Dev* 2008;**22**:3158–71
42. Yarosh W, Spradling AC. Incomplete replication generates somatic DNA alterations within *Drosophila* polytene salivary gland cells. *Genes Dev* 2014;**28**:1840–55
43. Lamb MM, Laird CD. Three euchromatic DNA sequences under-replicated in polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila* are localized in constrictions and ectopic fibers. *Chromosoma* 1987;**95**:227–35
44. Spradling AC. Polytene chromosome structure and somatic genome instability. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2017;**82**:293–304
45. Ullah Z, Lee CY, Lilly MA, DePamphilis ML. Developmentally programmed endoreduplication in animals. *Cell Cycle* 2009;**8**:1501–9
46. Stormo BM, Fox DT. Polyteny: still a giant player in chromosome research. *Chromosome Res* 2017;**25**:201–14
47. Ren C, Webster P, Finkel SE, Tower J. Increased internal and external bacterial load during *Drosophila* aging without life-span trade-off. *Cell Metab* 2007;**6**:144–52
48. Dronamraju R, Mason JM. Recognition of double strand breaks by a mutator protein (MU2) in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Genet* 2009;**5**:e1000473
49. Larracuente AM, Ferree PM. Simple method for fluorescence DNA in situ hybridization to squashed chromosomes. *J Vis Exp* 2015;**94**:52288
50. Goodarzi AA, Noon AT, Jeggo PA. The impact of heterochromatin on DSB repair. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2009;**37**:569–76
51. Lisby M, Barlow JH, Burgess RC, Rothstein R. Choreography of the DNA damage response: spatiotemporal relationships among checkpoint and repair proteins. *Cell* 2004;**118**:699–713
52. Costes SV, Chiolo I, Pluth JM, Barcellos-Hoff MH, Jakob B. Spatiotemporal characterization of ionizing radiation induced DNA damage foci and their relation to chromatin organization. *Mutat Res* 2010;**704**:78–87
53. Becker HJ. Die Puffs der Speicheldrüsenchromosomen von *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Beobachtungen zum Verhalten des Puffmasters im Normalstamm und bei zwei Mutanten, giant und lethal-giant-larvae. *Chromosoma* 1959;**10**:654–78
54. Ashburner M. Patterns of puffing activity in the salivary gland chromosomes of *Drosophila*. I. Autosomal puffing patterns in a laboratory stock of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chromosoma* 1967;**21**:398–428
55. Hochstrasser M, Mathog D, Gruenbaum Y, Saumweber H, Sedat JW. Spatial organization of chromosomes in the salivary gland nuclei of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Cell Biol* 1986;**102**:112–23
56. Kinney NA, Sharakhov IV, Onufriev AV. Investigation of the chromosome regions with significant affinity for the nuclear envelope in fruit fly – a model based approach. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**:e91943
57. Spracklen AJ, Fagan TN, Lovander KE, Tootle TL. The pros and cons of common actin labeling tools for visualizing actin dynamics during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Dev Biol* 2014;**393**:209–26
58. Kelsch DJ, Groen CM, Fagan TN, Sudhir S, Tootle TL. Fascin regulates nuclear actin during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Mol Biol Cell* 2016;**27**:2965–79
59. Wineland DM, Kelsch DJ, Tootle TL. Multiple pools of nuclear actin. *Anat Rec* 2018;**301**:2014–36
60. Hong A, Narbonne-Reveau K, Riesgo-Escovar J, Fu H, Aladjem MI, Lilly MA. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Dacapo promotes replication licensing during *Drosophila* endocycles. *EMBO J* 2007;**26**:2071–82
61. Chihiro H, Eri U, Takamasa O, Takehiko K. Ribosomal RNA gene repeats associate with the nuclear pore complex for maintenance after DNA damage. *PLOS Genetics* 2019;**15**(4):e1008103
62. Torres-Rosell J, Sunjevaric I, De Piccoli G, Sacher M, Eckert-Boulet N, Reid R, Jentsch S, Rothstein R, Aragón L, Lisby M. The Smc5-Smc6 complex and SUMO modification of Rad52 regulates recombinational repair at the ribosomal gene locus. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007;**9**:923–31
63. Harding SM, Boiarsky JA, Greenberg RA. ATM dependent silencing links nucleolar chromatin reorganization to DNA damage recognition. *Cell Rep* 2015;**13**:251–9
64. van Sluis M, McStay B. A localized nucleolar DNA damage response facilitates recruitment of the homology-directed repair machinery independent of cell cycle stage. *Genes Dev* 2015;**29**:1151–63
65. Hendricks CA, Almeida KH, Stitt MS, Jonnalagadda VS, Rugo RE, Kerrison GF, Engelward BP. Spontaneous mitotic homologous recombination at an enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) cDNA direct repeat in transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;**100**:6325–6330. doi:10.1073/pnas.1232231100.
66. White RR, Sung P, Vestal CG, Benedetto G, Cornelio N, Richardson C. Double-strand break repair by interchromosomal recombination: an in vivo repair mechanism utilized by multiple somatic tissues in mammals. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**:e84379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084379.
67. Sukup-Jackson MR, Kiraly O, Kay JE, Na L, Rowland EA, Winther KE, Chow DN, Kimoto T, Matsuguchi T, Jonnalagadda VS, Maklakova VI, Singh VR, Wadduwage DN, Rajapakse J, So PT, Collier LS, Engelward BP. Rosa26-GFP direct repeat (RaDR-GFP) mice reveal tissue- and age-dependence of homologous recombination in mammals in vivo. *PLoS Genet* 2014;**10**:e1004299. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004299.
68. Delabaere L, Ertl HA, Massey DJ, Hofley CM, Sohail F, Bienenstock EJ, Sebastian H, Chiolo I, LaRocque JR. Aging impairs double-strand break

- repair by homologous recombination in *Drosophila* germ cells. *Aging Cell* 2016. doi:10.1111/acer.12556.
69. Prochniewicz E, Thompson LV, Thomas DD. Age-related decline in actomyosin structure and function. *Exp Gerontol* 2007;**42**:931–938. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2007.06.015.
 70. Larson K, Yan SJ, Tsurumi A, Liu J, Zhou J, Gaur K, Guo D, Eickbush TH, Li WX. Heterochromatin formation promotes longevity and represses ribosomal RNA synthesis. *PLoS Genet* 2012;**8**:e1002473. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002473 PGENETICS-D-10-00200 [pii].

(Received April 23, 2019, Accepted June 18, 2019)