## Homozygous mutation of foxh1 arrests oogenesis causing infertility in female Nile tilapia
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#### Abstract

Foxh1, a member of fox gene family, was first characterized as a transcriptional partner in the formation of the Smad protein complex. Recent studies have shown foxhl is highly expressed in the cytoplasm of oocytes in both tilapia and mouse. However, its function in oogenesis remains unexplored. In the present study, foxh $1^{-1}$ tilapia was created by CRISPR/Cas9. At 180 dah (days after hatching), the foxh1 $1^{-}$XX fish showed oogenesis arrest and a significantly lower GSI. The transition of oocytes from phase II to phase III and follicle cells from one to two layers was blocked, resulting in infertility of the mutant. Transcriptomic analysis revealed that expression of genes involved in estrogen synthesis and oocyte growth were altered in the foxh1 ${ }^{-1}$ ovaries. Loss of foxh 1 resulted in significantly decreased Cyp19a1 a and increased Cyp11b2 expression, consistent with significantly lower concentrations of serum estradiol-17 $\beta$ (E2) and higher concentrations of 11-Ketotestosterone (11-KT). Moreover, administration of E2 rescued the phenotypes of foxh $r^{--} \mathrm{XX}$ fish, as indicated by the appearance of phase III and IV oocytes and absence of Cyp11b2 expression. Taken together, these results suggest that foxhl functions in the oocytes to regulate oogenesis by promoting cyp19ala expression, and therefore estrogen production. Disruption of foxh 1 may block the estrogen synthesis and oocyte growth, leading to the arrest of oogenesis and thus infertility in tilapia.
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## Introduction

In teleosts, oogenesis is consisted of a prolonged growth phase with the remarkable enlargement of oocyte through primary oocyte growth, the cortical alveolar stage, as well as subsequent vitellogenesis, and a maturation phase characterized by the resumption of meiosis and ultimately egg formations prior to ovulation [1, 2]. Such an important differentiation process requires numerous circulating endocrine, locally-acting paracrine and autocrine factors, with interactions between the oocyte and the surrounding granulosa and theca cells [3]. These major regulators are the pituitary gonadotropins (luteinizing hormone LH and follicle-stimulating hormone FSH) and ovarian steroid hormones (estradiol-17 $\beta$, and $17 \alpha$, $20 \beta-\mathrm{DP}$ ), and the complex hormonal cross-talk at all stages between the developing oocyte and its surrounding follicle layers [1]. Gene expression profiling analysis has revealed that thousands of genes are involved in oogenesis. For example, transcripts of the FSH receptor are significantly higher in ovarian follicles displaying high maturational competence [4]. Another study has identified temporal expression patterns of cyp19ala and star that suggest they have critical roles in oocyte growth [5, 6]. Many TGF- $\beta$ family members specially produced by oocytes, inhbb, bmp15 and $g d f 9$, have fundamental roles in oogenesis by regulating the paracrine signaling between oocytes and the granulosa cells that control follicular development $[6,7]$. A number of transcriptional regulators active in the germ line and somatic cells, including figla, lhx8, nobox, sohlh1, pou5f1 and sohlh2, were also found to be critical in ovarian development and folliculogenesis $[8,9]$.

The Fox (forkhead box) genes encode an evolutionarily conserved family of transcription factors that play important roles in a diverse range of biological processes, including the establishment of the body axis, metabolic and immune regulation, control of cell cycle and cellular survival, as well as sex determination and differentiation [10, 11]. Previous studies have also shown that fox genes are essential for ovarian differentiation, primordial follicle activation, female germ cell migration and folliculogenesis [12-16]. For example, Foxo3 is a PI3K-dependent molecular switch controlling the initiation of oocyte growth in mammals [17]. Foxl2 is essential for ovarian granulosa cell differentiation and proliferation. Disruption of Foxl2 prevents development of follicle cells [18]. Although the potential target genes of

Foxc1 remains unknown, it is required for follicle maturation beyond the early antral stage
[14]. Due to their important roles in oogenesis, the fox genes have attracted considerable interest.

Foxh1 was first characterized as a transcriptional partner for Smad proteins, and has been demonstrated to play an important role in the embryonic development of Xenopus embryos [19, 20]. Foxh1 has a conserved N-terminal Forkhead domain and a distinct C-terminal domain essential for DNA binding and regulation of transcription, which interacts with various Smad proteins including Smad2, Smad3 and Smad4, affecting the expression of target genes via an activin-response element [21]. Overexpression of foxhl induces the expression of a broad range of downstream activins and leads to abnormal axis formation in Xenopus [22, 23]. Recent studies indicate that Foxh1 is also an essential regulator of nodal signaling during the key developmental processes of node formation and gastrulation in mouse [24, 25], as well as the progression of colorectal cancer [26]. In zebrafish, foxhl is involved in blood vessel formation by negatively regulating flkl gene expression, subsequently affecting gastrulation, development of dorsal axial structures and left-right asymmetry [27-29]. foxhl was identified to be overexpressed in zebrafish ovary, indicating its possible involvement in suppression of the biological activity of androgens [30]. Our recent study reported the sexually dimorphic expression of foxh1 in tilapia gonad, with extremely high expression in the cytoplasm of phase I and II oocytes in tilapia ovary. Remarkably, expression in XX gonads increased continuously from 20 to 240 dah (days after hatching), while expression in XY gonads remained at a low level [31]. A recent study in the mouse also demonstrated that foxhl is expressed in oocytes during early embryonic development, and subsequently in the thecal cells during ovarian follicle development, ovulation, and luteinization, indicating an important role for foxhl in these physiological processes [32]. However, there have been no functional studies of foxh 1 during oogenesis.

In the present study, we knocked out foxhl in tilapia to study its roles in oogenesis. We analyzed the ovarian phenotype, gene expression, serum estradiol-17 $\beta$ (E2) and 11-ketotesterone (11-KT) levels and fertility of the mutant fish. We found that foxh1 is critical
for oogenesis, through its possible effects on the estrogen synthesis and oocyte growth in Nile tilapia.

## Materials and Methods

## Animals

Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) were reared in aerated recirculating freshwater tanks at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a natural photoperiod. All-XX progenies were obtained by crossing an XX pseudomale with a normal XX female. All-XY progenies were obtained by crossing an YY supermale with a normal XX female. Animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the regulations of the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Committee of Laboratory Animal Experimentation at Southwest University.

## In situ hybridization (ISH)

To identify the cell type expressing foxh 1 in the developing ovaries, ISH was performed using ovaries from fish at $30,90,120$ and 180 dah. Sense and antisense probes of foxhlwere previously described [31]. ISH was performed as described in previous study [33].

## Establishment of foxh1 mutants

The tilapia foxh1 F0 XY mutants were generated by CRISPR/Cas9-induced gene knockout, as described previously [34]. The gRNA target site was selected from foxhl sequences corresponding to GGN18NGG on the sense or antisense strand of DNA (http://zift.partners.org/ZiFiT/). Candidate target sequences were compared with the entire tilapia genome using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) to avoid cleavage of off-target sites [35]. One-cell stage embryos were divided into two batches, one for microinjection and the other for control. Briefly, gRNA and Cas9 mRNA were co-injected into one-cell stage embryos at an optimal concentration of $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $500 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, respectively. To assay the rate and pattern of mutation we collected and separately pooled 20 control and 20 injected embryos 72 hours after injection. Genomic DNA fragments spanning the target site were amplified using the primers listed in Table S1. The mutated sequences were analyzed by digestion with HaeIII and by Sanger sequencing of cloned PCR fragments.
$F_{1}$ offspring were produced by crossing $F_{0}$ XY males with wild-type XX females. Male
and female siblings of the heterozygous $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ mutants $\left(\right.$ foxh $1^{+/}$) carrying the same mutation (11 bp deletion and 15 bp insertion) were mated to generate homozygous $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ mutants (foxh- ${ }^{-/}$). Heteroduplex mobility assays were performed using polyacrylamide gels electrophoresis (PAGE) to detect the mutations as described previously [36]. In-frame and frame-shift deletions were again confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

## Oocyte counting

The ovaries of six foxhl $1^{+/+}$and foxhl $1^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish were dissected at both 90 and 180 dah. Ovarian tissues were fixed in Bouin's solution for 24 hours at room temperature, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Tissue blocks were sectioned at $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for histological analysis. The histological classification of the oocytes has been described previously [37]. The phase I oocyte is morphologically similar to but larger than oogonia (size range: 7-11 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The phase II oocyte contains several nucleoli and chromatin strands (size range: 36-240 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The phase III oocyte is with numerous vesicles close to oocyte periphery and with the appearance of small yolk granules (size range: $224-658 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The phase IV oocyte is with larger yolk granules and empty large vacuoles (size range: 428-1416 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The number of oocytes were counted in at least 10 sections of each ovary from the foxh $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish.

## Measurement of steroid hormones

Serum estradiol-17 $\beta$ (E2) and 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT) levels were measured using the Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, MI). Sample purification and assays were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Significant differences between groups were tested with a Student's $t$-test. $P$ values $<0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

Immunohistochemistry, fluorescence Immunohistochemistry (FIHC) and western blot
Ovaries of the foxh $1^{+++}$and foxh1 $1^{--}$XX fish were dissected at 90 and 180 dah. The gonads were fixed in Bouin's solution for 24 hours at room temperature, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Tissue blocks were sectioned at $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed as described previously [38]. Cyp19a1a (RRID: AB_2629226) antibody was donated by Prof. Nagahama, the National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, Japan [39].

Steroid 11beta-hydroxylase (Cyp11b2) antibody (RRID: AB_2650466) was prepared by our laboratory [40]. Antibodies against Cyp11b2 and Cyp19a1a were diluted 1:500 and 1:3000 for use, respectively. Caspase 3 antibody from cell signaling technology (5A1E-9664S) was used at 1:200 to label cell apoptosis. 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for DNA visualization. The specificity of these antibodies have been checked previously [16]. The IHC positive signals were quantified using Image J software (Bethesda, USA) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. For FIHC, the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 586-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen) was used to detect primary antibodies by immunofluorescence. For the negative control, the primary antibody was replaced with normal rabbit serum. A BX51 light microscope (Olympus) was used to image the stained sections.

Total proteins extracted from ovaries of foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxhl $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ tilapia ( $\mathrm{n}=5 /$ genotype) at 180 dah were separated using $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE under reducing condition. Western blot was carried out as reported previously [38] using the purified Cyp19a1a and Cyp11b2 antibodies at dilution of 1:500. The abundance of $\alpha$-tubulin was examined as a loading control using rabbit anti- $\alpha$-tubulin at 1:1000 (AB_2619646). Immunofluorescence signal was detected with BeyoECLPlus Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and was visualized on a Fusion FX7 (Vilber Lourmat, East Sussex, France).

## Transcriptome analysis

Six ovaries from foxh1 $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish at 180 dah were used for transcriptome analyses. Total RNA was extracted from ovaries using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and subsequently treated with ribonuclease-free DNaseI to eliminate genomic DNA contamination. The oligo (dT) bead-enriched mRNA was disrupted into short fragments (200-700 nt). These short fragments were used as templates for first- and second-strand cDNA synthesis using a DNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). A QiaQuick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) was used to purify these cDNA fragments, and elution buffer was used for end repair and addition of the poly (A) tail. These short fragments were ligated to sequencing adapters and sequenced on an HiSeq2000 instrument (Illumina). The reads have
been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with accession number SUB4835705. Gonadal transcriptome data from ovaries of XX fish at the same development stage [41] were used as a control. Clean reads from each library were aligned to the reference genome (ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-94/fasta/oreochromis_niloticus/dna/) using HISAT with default parameters [42]. The Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) method was used to estimate gene expression levels. The abundance of transcripts and differentially expressed genes in foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ were analyzed as using Ballgown [43]. Comparison of expression levels between the gonads of control and foxhl ${ }^{-/}$fish identified non-differentially expressed genes (NDGs), foxh1 $1^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ up-regulated genes (URGs), and foxh ${ }^{-1}$ XX down-regulated genes (DRGs). Enrichment for gene ontology (GO) terms was assessed with the DAVID Bioinformatic Database [44] using Danio rerio as background ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

## Real-time PCR

Gonads were dissected from the foxhl $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $I^{-+}$XX fish at 180 dah. Total RNA extraction and reverse transcription were performed as described previously [45]. Real-time PCR was carried out on an ABI-7500 real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems) according to the protocol provided with the SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTM II (Takara, Japan). The relative abundance of the differentially expressed genes (cyp19ala, foxl2, star2, 42sp50, $d m r t 1$ and cyp1lb2) was evaluated using the formula: $\mathrm{R}=2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}}$ [46]. The geometric mean of the copy number of the three reference genes ( $\beta$-actin, gapdh and eeflala) was used to normalize the expression of the other genes. Data were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism4 software (GraphPad Software, USA). Significant differences between groups were tested by one-way ANOVA with a post-hoc significance threshold of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Rescue experiment

The foxhl $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish were divided into an E2-treatment group and a control group. Following our previous work [38], the treatment fish were fed a diet sprayed with $95 \%$ ethanol containing E2 at $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ feed, whereas control fish were fed a diet sprayed with $95 \%$
ethanol only. The treatment was applied from 120 dah to 180 dah, at which point both groups were fed a normal diet until 240 dah. The gonads of six fish from each group were sampled at 240 dah for FIHC analyses.

## Results

## Expression profile of foxh1 in developing ovaries

Our previous study demonstrated the abundant expression of foxhl in the ovary from 20 to 240 dah by qPCR [31]. By in situ hybridization, robust expression of foxhl was found in the cytoplasm of phase I and II oocytes at 30, 90, 120 and 180 dah (Fig. S1).

## Establishment of a foxh1 mutant line

The gRNA target sequence containing a HaeIII restriction site adjacent to the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence was located in the second exon of foxh1 (Fig. 1A). The genomic DNA from a pool of 20 embryos was used as the template for amplification and the mutation assay. Complete digestion with HaeIII produced two fragments (118 and 453 bp ) in the control group, while an intact DNA fragment ( 571 bp ) was observed in embryos co-injected with Cas9 mRNA and gRNA (Fig. 1B). The mutation frequency of foxh1 in the pool of 20 embryos was $49 \%$. $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ mutant fish were obtained by crossing a wild-type XX female with a $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ mosaic XY male. Heterozygous foxh $1 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ offspring with a 4 bp insertion (created by an 11 bp deletion followed by a 15 bp insertion) in exon 2 were selected to breed the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ generation. The survival rate of the F 2 offspring was $\sim 87 \%$, similar to that of the same foxh $1^{+/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish mating with normal XY fish. And the foxhl $r^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ and XY fish amounted to $1 / 4$ of the F2 progeny (Fig. 1C). Unlike foxh $1^{-/}$zebrafish [28, 29], no defects in the embryonic epiboly movements during gastrulation and axial mesendoderm structures during gastrulation were observed in the homozygous foxh $I^{-1}$ tilapia. Heterozygous foxh $1^{+/}$individuals were identified by the presence of both heteroduplex and homoduplex amplicons in a heteroduplex mobility assay, while the foxh $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $r^{-/}$individuals were found to present only homoduplex amplicons (Fig. 1D). The 11 bp deletion followed by a 15 bp insertion of F2 progeny was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Fig. 1E). This frame-shift
mutation might result in the premature termination of foxhl translation before the Forkhead domain based on in silico predication (Fig. 1F).

## Phenotype and gonadal gene expression of foxh1 homozygous mutants

At 90 dah, no obvious difference was observed in the ovarian morphology between foxhl ${ }^{+/+}$and $f o x h 1^{-/}$fish (Fig. 2a A-B). Histological analysis showed that both $f o x h 1^{+/+}$and foxhl ${ }^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish displayed phase I and II oocytes and no significant difference were identified in GSI or oocyte number at 90 dah (Fig. 2a C-D, Fig. 2b-c). Nevertheless, remarkable gonadal dysgenesis was observed in foxh1 $r^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish compared with the foxh1 ${ }^{+/+}$control at 180 dah (Fig. 3a A-B). By DAPI staining, the foxhl ${ }^{+++} \mathrm{XX}$ fish at 180 dah contained phase III and IV oocytes besides phase I and II oocytes, and had two layers of follicular cells including one layer of granulosa cells and one layer of theca cells. The gonads of foxh $r^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish contained phase I and II oocytes with a single layer of pre-granulosa cells at this stage (Fig. 3a E-F). In addition, the number of phase I and II oocytes was significantly higher in the ovaries of foxh $1^{-/}$fish compared with foxh $1^{+/+}$fish (Fig. 3b), indicating failed transitions of oocytes from phase II to phase III and of follicle cells from one layer to two layers. oocytes The GSI of the foxh1 $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish was significantly lower than that of the control fish (Fig. 3c).

To understand the mechanisms by which foxhl works in driving female gonadal development, we performed a transcriptome analysis at 180 dah. Transcriptome sequencing of the ovaries from the foxh $r^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish at 180 dah yielded a total of $49,155,870$ reads. The total gene counts of the foxh $l^{-/} \mathrm{XX}$ fish $(17,813)$ were slightly less than those of the foxh $1^{+/+} \mathrm{XX}$ fish $(18,336)$. In total, 15,503 genes were not differentially expressed and 9,882 genes were at background expression level (FPKM<1) (Fig. 5a). Compared with the control ovaries, 472 genes were upregulated and 1,231 genes were downregulated in the foxh1 $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish (Table S2 and S3). Further analysis revealed that the differentially expressed genes were involved in the regulation of transcription, protein phosphorylation, wnt signaling, notch signaling and cellular responses to estrogen stimulus (Fig. 5b). Interestingly, genes promoting estrogen synthesis (e.g. foxl2, star2, cyp19ala) were significantly downregulated, while genes promoting androgen synthesis (e.g. dmrt1 and cyp11b2) were upregulated. The Differentially expressed genes also included genes associated with growth factor activity (e.g. inhbb and
$i g f 3$ ) and regulation of transcription (e.g. tbp2 and nobox2). Though expression level of $g d f 9$ and bmp15 were relatively high, these two genes were not among the differentially expressed genes. Real-time PCR analyses confirmed that mRNA levels of cyp19ala, foxl2 and star2 were significantly downregulated, while dmrtl, 42 sp 50 and cyp1lb2 were significantly upregulated in foxh $1^{-/}$ovaries, validating the gonadal transcriptomic data (Fig. 5c).

FIHC and IHC revealed that Cyp19a1a was expressed in the interstitial, theca and granulosa cells of the ovary of the foxh1 ${ }^{+/+}$fish at 180 dah, but only in the interstitial cells of the foxh $1^{-/}$fish (Fig. 4a A and B, Fig. S2 A and B). Expression of Cyp11b2, an enzyme responsible for the synthesis of 11-KT (a potent androgen in fish), was not found in normal XX fish (Fig. 4aC and D, Fig. S2 C and D). Unexpectedly, Cyp11b2 was found to be expressed in the interstitial cells of the ovary in the foxh $r^{-/}$fish at this stage. Western blot analysis showed that the protein level of Cyp19a1a was significantly downregulated, while the Cyp11b2 was significantly upregulated in the foxh $1^{-/}$fish (Fig. 4 b and c ). Consistently, the serum E2 concentrations were significantly lower, and the 11-KT concentrations were significantly higher, in the foxh $1^{-/}$fish compared to the foxh $1^{+/+}$fish (Fig. 4d and e).

## Phenotype of foxh1 ${ }^{-/} \mathbf{X X}$ fish could be rescued by E2 treatment

All E2-treatment (foxhl ${ }^{-1}+$ E2) fish developed as normal females with gonad size similar to foxhl ${ }^{+/+}$fish at 240 dah, whereas all untreated foxh $1^{-/-}$fish displayed gonadal dysgenesis. Histological analyses showed besides phase I and II oocytes, there were phase III and IV oocytes with two layers of follicle cells, including one layer of theca cells and one layer of granulosa cells in foxh $1^{+\mid+}$fish at 240 dah. However, foxh1 ${ }^{-1-}$ fish still displayed only phase I and II oocytes at this stage, similar to that observed at 180 dah. Massive ovarian follicle enlargement and higher expression of Cyp19a1a were observed in the follicle cells and interstitial cells of the foxh1 $1^{-1}+$ E2 fish, compared with the unrescued foxh1 $1^{-/}$fish (Fig. 6 A-C, Fig. S3 A-C). In addition, Cyp11b2 was not detected in foxh1 ${ }^{+/+}$fish and foxh1 $1^{-/}+\mathrm{E} 2$ fish, while its expression was still apparent in foxh $r^{-/}$fish, indicating masculinization of the female tilapia after disruption of foxh1 (Fig. 6 D-E, Fig. S3 D-F). To further investigate the cellular changes in ovaries of foxh $1^{-1} \mathrm{XX}$ fish at 240 dah, we used Caspase3 staining to examine cell
apoptosis. Homozygous mutation of foxhl resulted in apoptosis of pre-follicle cells, while no apoptosis was observed in foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish and foxh1 $1^{-\gamma}+\mathrm{E} 2$ fish (Fig. 6 G-I).

## Discussion

The evolutionarily conserved family of Fox genes is involved in many developmental processes, including sex determination and differentiation, as well as oogenesis. It is becoming evident that loss of Foxl2, Foxo3 and Foxcl is detrimental to folliculogenesis and female fertility, indicating that Forkhead transcription factors are essential for follicle assembly, growth, and ovulation [14, 15]. Previous studies of foxhl focused primarily on its involvement in early embryogenesis, as well as the coordination of the TGF-beta/Activin/Nodal signaling pathways [20-22, 24, 25]. In a previous study, we reported the sexually dimorphic expression of foxhl in gonad, with extremely high expression in the cytoplasm of tilapia phase I and II oocytes, indicating a possible role of foxhl during early oogenesis [31]. A recent study in mice also indicated that foxh1 is abundantly expressed in mouse oocytes, thecal cells and newly formed corpora lutea [32]. As a germ cell-specific transcription factor with higher expression in females, it is conceivable that foxhl plays an important role in driving ovarian differentiation, and it is likely that this function is highly conserved. Thus, functional studies are required to understand the molecular mechanisms by which foxhl regulates oogenesis and ovarian differentiation.

In the present study, a foxh1 mutant line was established in tilapia using CRISPR/Cas9. Embryos of mouse, frog and zebrafish Foxh1 mutants displayed a loss of organizer and disruption of embryonic epiboly movements, indicating requirement of maternal foxh1 for development of dorsal axial structures and left-right asymmetry [25, 28, 29, 47]. However, normal development of dorsal axial structures and establishment of left-right asymmetry, as well as viable homozygous foxh $1^{-/}$tilapia, were observed in present study. One explanation could be that the maternal foxhl from foxh1 ${ }^{+/-}$fish can partially compensate for the loss of zygotic foxhl function during gastrulation, as up to $50 \%$ of the foxh1 $1^{-1}$ homozygotes survived to adulthood in zebrafish [48]. Consistent with this, more severe defects were also observed in the maternal-zygotic foxhl disrupted zebrafish embryos [29, 30]. Another possible explanation is the differences in target sites, as well as different knockdown and knockout
methods [28]. Of course, the possibility of some gene products compensates for the loss of function of foxhl could not be completely excluded in fish, as foxh $1^{-1}$ homozygotes were lethal in mouse [25].

The ovarian morphology, histology and the GSI were indistinguishable from foxh1 ${ }^{+/+}$ gonads at 90 dah, as both were filled with a similar number of phase I and II oocytes. These results indicated that disruption of foxh1 does not affect the initiation of meiosis and primary oocyte growth. Oocytes receive signals and nutrients from follicle cells throughout their development. Thus, it has long been recognized that somatic cells support oocyte development $[2,49,50]$. In contrast, compelling evidence of an active role for oocytes in regulating the progression of follicular development, by exchanging germ and somatic cell components of follicles at different stages of development, during oogenesis in mammals, has emerged only in the last few decades [51, 52]. In human, paracrine and autocrine signals derived from oocytes seem to be extremely important in influencing the microenvironment of the developing follicle, by regulating its own maturation as well as somatic cell proliferation, differentiation and ovulation rate [53]. Less is known about the role of oocytes in teleost folliculogenesis, as the focus has mostly been on the role of follicular cells in the steroidogenic control of oogenesis. Existing evidence in the zebrafish indicates that the oocyte is a paracrine important signaling center in driving follicle development [54]. Recent study has found that oocyte-specific bmp15 is also required to maintain the differentiated female state throughout the adult life of zebrafish [6]. In the present study, significantly lower GSI and extremely small ovaries with no phase III and IV oocytes were observed in foxhr $r^{-1}$ fish at 180 dah, suggesting the failure of transition from primary growth to the cortical alveolar stage and subsequent vitellogenesis. Therefore, the signals of foxhl in oocytes regulate the function of surrounding follicle cells. Growing evidence suggested that the TGF-beta superfamily of receptors, ligands and binding proteins are critical for follicle recruitment, granulosa and theca cell proliferation, and oocyte maturation and ovulation [55-60]. Conditional granulosa cell deletion of Smad2 (R-Smad) and Smad4 causes female infertility, with defects in follicle development and ovulation [61, 62]. Previous studies demonstrated that Foxh1, as a transcriptional partner for Smad proteins [8, 63]. Therefore, Foxh1 possibly activates transcription of downstream genes involved in estrogen synthesis by binding to heteromeric
complexes of R-Smad/Smad4. Foxh1, through its interaction with the R-Smad/Smad4, also participates in mediating TGF- $\beta$ /activin signaling [64]. It has been reported that recombinant goldfish activin B significantly increases the maturational rate of the full-grown post-vitellogenic follicles in the zebrafish [65]. In the present study, one of the important activin member inhbb was among one of the most downregulated genes in foxhl $I^{-1}$ ovary based on gonadal transcriptome analysis. Thus, we speculated that foxh 1 affects the transition of oocytes from phase II to phase III and follicle cells from one to two layers through interaction with Smad2 and Smad4 to regulate transcription levels of growth factors, such as inhbb and igf3, involved in oogenesis. Moreover, our results also supported that oocytes produce a signal that functions to stabilize female-specific gene expression in the somatic gonad, thereby promoting ovary differentiation in the early gonad, as in medaka and zebrafish [66, 67].

Endocrine hormones, especially estrogens, are involved in controlling fish ovarian differentiation and female sexual maturation [68]. Estrogen is a major driver of follicular growth and its insufficiency results in follicle apoptosis, while androgens enhance ovarian granulosa cell apoptosis [69]. In the ovary, the interstitial, granulosa and theca cells mediate steroidogenesis [2, 70, 71]. Thus, decreased estrogen levels and increased 11-KT levels might drive follicle cell apoptosis in foxh $r^{-/}$fish. And the increased apoptosis of follicle cells in turn arrests oocyte development in foxh1 $1^{-1}$ fishes, which are characterized by the absence of cortical alveolar oocytes (phase III oocytes) in the ovary. Expression of Cyp11b2 is undetectable in the ovary of normal XX fish at all stages, whereas the signal of Cyp11b2 is observed in the foxhl ${ }^{-1-}$ fish at 180 and 240 dah. Therefore, we believe the differences in hormone and gene expression observed were mostly due to the disruption of foxhl. Of course, big differences existed between the WT and mutant fish in ovary structure at 180 dah and later stages. The differences in hormone and gene expression observed between WT and foxhl ${ }^{-/-}$fish from differences in the ovary structure could not be completely excluded. The successfully rescued phenotypes of foxh $1^{-/-}$fish by E2 treatment again supported the critical role estrogen plays in maintaining the follicles and promoting their further commitment to folliculogenesis in tilapia. Taking in conclusion, our results suggest that the foxhl activated
oocyte signal is required for estrogen biosynthesis and oogensis, as other oocyte-specific factors figl $\alpha$ and bmp15 does in zebrafish $[6,9]$.

Aromatase, encoded by cyp19ala, is the key enzyme that produces estrogens and therefore a key factor in controlling oogenesis. Our previous study demonstrated Fox12 and Sfl synergistically promote the transcription of cyp19ala expression in tilapia and medaka [72, 73], which was further confirmed by a recent study in rainbow trout [74]. On the other hand, disruption of foxl2 resulted in upregulation of dmrtl and vice versa $[13,72,75,76]$. Two star genes were identified in vertebrates, and star 2 was found to be more highly expressed in tilapia ovary than testis [77]. In the present study, disruption of foxh $I^{-1}$ led to a decrease in expression of female-biased genes foxl2, star2 and cyp19ala and upregulated expression of dmrtl and cypl1b2. The simultaneous downregulation of foxl2 and upregulation of dmrtl again demonstrated the antagonistic role of foxl2 and dmrtl [13, 75]. Oogenesis is a complex process, which is governed by gonadotropins and intraovarian factors in an endocrine or autocrine manner [1,54]. Considerable progress has been made in basic aspects of oogenesis research at the molecular level. Mutation of other ovary-biased transcriptional factors also leads to ovarian infertility [7, 69, 78, 79]. For example, dysregulation of oocyte-specific nobox and $t b p$ abolished the transition from primordial to growing follicles and accelerated postnatal oocyte loss [8, 80]. Consistent with this, nobox and $t b p$ were differentially expressed in foxh1 $1^{-1}$ tilapia. Besides these transcription factors, expression profiles of other genes involved in ovarian differentiation were also changed in foxh $1^{-1}$ fishes. The downregulation of connexin37 in foxh $1^{-1}$ ovaries, which affects oocyte-granulosa cell gap junctions duiring folliculogenesis [81], suggested that disruption of foxhl might also affect cell junction and formation of cortical alveolar oocytes during oogenesis. However, the precise genomic targets of foxhl in ovaries have not yet been fully identified. More work is needed to further define its physiological roles.

In summary, foxhl was exclusively expressed in the phase I and II oocytes of the Nile tilapia. Loss of foxh1 resulted in decreased estrogen level, as well as dysregulation of the bidirectional communication between oocytes and their surrounding somatic cells, followed
by arrest of folliculogenesis and subsequent infertility. Our findings suggest that oocyte-specific foxhl is required for oogenesis, laying a foundation for future studies aimed at unraveling the specific mechanisms by which oocytes orchestrate follicular development. And the present study also enrich our understanding of the role that forkhead genes play in gametogenesis in teleosts, and in vertebrates generally.
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## Figure legends

Fig. 1 The establishment of foxh1 homozygous mutation line.
(A) The target site was located in the second exon of foxh1. Underlined bases indicate the HaeIII cleavage site. F and R indicate the locations of the forward and reverse PCR primers. (B) In vitro-synthesized $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ Cas 9 and $500 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ gRNA were co-injected into one-cell-stage embryos. Two cleavage bands were detected in the control group, while an additional intact DNA fragment was observed in embryos injected with both Cas9 mRNA and target gRNA. (C) F1 mutant fish were obtained by crossing a wild-type XX female with a F0 mosaic XY male. The F2 mutant fish were obtained by crossing the foxh1 $1^{+/-} \mathrm{XX}$ and XY fish, which was obtained by crossing foxhl F0 mosaic XY fish with wild-type XX fish. (D) A heteroduplex motility assay identified the foxh $1^{+/-}$individuals as those possessing both heteroduplex and homoduplex amplicons versus the foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/}$individuals with only homoduplex amplicons. (E) Identification of F2 genotypes by sequencing. foxh $l^{-/-} \mathrm{XX}$ and XY fish carried the 4 bp insertion (an 11 bp deletion and 15 bp insertion). (F) The frame-shift mutation resulted in the premature termination before Forkhead domain in foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish based on in silico predication. The symbols ${ }^{+/+}$, ${ }^{+/-}$and ${ }^{-/-}$indicate wild-type, heterozygous and homozygous fish. "*" indicates the stop codon. FH, Forkhead domain. WT, wild type.
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Fig. 2 Effects of homozygous mutation of foxh1 on oogenesis in XX fish at 90 dah.
(a) Morphological observation and histological analysis. (A-B) Ovary morphological observation of foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/-}$fish. (C-D) Histological analysis of foxh1 ${ }^{+/+}$and foxh $1^{-/}$fish ovary. Phase I and II oocytes were observed in the ovary of both foxhl ${ }^{+/+}$and foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish. Phase I and II oocytes were observed in the ovary of both foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 ${ }^{-/-}$fish. (b) The number of phase I and II oocytes in the foxhl ${ }^{+/+}$and foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish expressed as the mean $\pm$SD $(\mathrm{n}=6)$. (c) No significant differences in GSI were observed between foxhl $1^{+/+}$and foxhl ${ }^{-/-}$fish, as determined by Student's $t$ test. dah, days after hatching.


Fig. 3 Effects of homozygous mutation of foxh1 on oogenesis in XX fish at 180 dah.
(a) Morphological observation and histological analysis. (A-B) Ovary morphological observation of foxhl $1^{+/+}$and foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish. (C-D) Histological analysis of foxhl $1^{+/+}$and foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish ovary. Phase I, II, III and IV oocytes were observed in the ovary of foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish, while only Phase I and II were found in foxhl $1^{-/-}$fish. OC, oocytes; TC, theca cells; GC, granulosa cells; pGC, pre-granulosa cells. (E-F) 4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) of foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/-}$ ovary. (b) Number of phase I-IV oocytes in the foxh $I^{+/+}$and foxhl ${ }^{-1-}$ XX fish. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{n}=6)$. Significant differences in GSI and oocyte number were observed between foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 $1^{-/}$fish, indicated by"*" $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$ above the error bar, as determined by Student's t test. (c) GSI of the $f o x h 1^{+/+}$and foxh $1^{-/-}$fish. dah, days after hatching. N.D. indicates not detectable.


Fig. 4 Effects of foxh1 homozygous mutation on E2 and 11-KT synthesis at 180 dah.
(a) Expression of Cyp19a1a and Cyp11b2 in the gonads of the foxh1 $1^{-/-}$and foxh $1^{+/+} \mathrm{XX}$ fish by FIHC. (A-B) At 180 dah, Cyp19a1a is expressed in the interstitial, theca and granulosa cells of the ovary of the foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish, while only in the interstitial cells of the foxh1 ${ }^{-/-}$fish. (C-D) Expression of Cyp11b2 was not found in normal foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish, while it was found to be expressed in the interstitial cells of the ovary in the foxhl ${ }^{-1-}$ fish. (b and c) Expression of Cyp19a1a and Cyp11b2 in the gonads of the foxhl $1^{-/}$and foxhl $1^{+/+} \mathrm{XX}$ fish by Western blot. The expression level was normalized using $\alpha$-tubulin as the internal control protein ( $n=3$ ). OC, oocytes; TC, theca cells; GC, granulosa cells. (d and e) Serum E2 and 11-KT concentrations. Data were expressed as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{n}=6)$. "**" and "*" above the error bar indicate significant difference at $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ and 0.05 as determined by Student's $t$ test, respectively.


Fig. 5 Transcriptomic analyses of gene expression profiles in foxh $\boldsymbol{1}^{-1 /}$ ovaries at 180 dah. (a) Comparison of gonadal gene expression between foxh1 $1^{+/+}$and foxh1 ${ }^{-/-}$fish. Genes expressed in ovaries were divided into three parts: 472 up-regulated genes, 1,231 down-regulated genes, and 15,503 non-differentially expressed genes. (b) GO enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes. (c) Validation of the transcriptome data by real-time PCR. All examined genes displayed similar expression profiles to those from the transcriptomic data.


Fig. 6 Administration of E2 rescued arrest of oogenesis in foxh1 ${ }^{-/-}$fish at $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ dah.
Expression of Cyp19a1a, Cyp11b2 and Caspase3 in the gonads of the foxh1 $1^{+/+}$, foxh1 $1^{-/-}$and foxh $1^{-/-}+\mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{XX}$ fish by FIHC. The ovaries of foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish contained both phase I and II oocytes with only one layer of follicular cells and III and IV oocytes with two layers of follicular cells. In contrast, the gonads of foxhl $l^{-/-}$fish remained at early stages of oogenesis, arrested at phase I and II oocytes with one layer of follicular cells. Cyp191a1 was expressed in follicle and interstitial cells (A-C). Cyp11b2 was expressed in interstitial cells in the ovary of foxhl $1^{-/}$fish, but not in the ovary of the foxh1 $1^{-/-}+\mathrm{E} 2$ fish and foxh1 $1^{+/+}$fish (D-F). Homozygous mutation of foxhl resulted in the dramatic increase of positive Caspase 3 staining in pre-follicle cells (G-I). GC, granulosa cells; IC, interstitial cells; TC, theca cells.


