

Reduction-dependent siderophore assimilation in a model pennate diatom

Tyler H. Coale^{a,b}, Mark Moosburner^{a,b}, Aleš Horák^{c,d}, Miroslav Oborník^{c,d}, Katherine A. Barbeau^a, and Andrew E. Allen^{a,b,1}

^aScripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; ^bMicrobial and Environmental Genomics, J. Craig Venter Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037; ^cBiology Centre, Institute of Parasitology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; and ^dFaculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Edited by François M. M. Morel, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, and approved October 1, 2019 (received for review April 26, 2019)

Iron uptake by diatoms is a biochemical process with global biogeochemical implications. In large regions of the surface ocean diatoms are both responsible for the majority of primary production and frequently experiencing iron limitation of growth. The strategies used by these phytoplankton to extract iron from seawater constrain carbon flux into higher trophic levels and sequestration into sediments. In this study we use reverse genetic techniques to target putative iron-acquisition genes in the model pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. We describe components of a reduction-dependent siderophore acquisition pathway that relies on a bacterial-derived receptor protein and provides a viable alternative to inorganic iron uptake under certain conditions. This form of iron uptake entails a close association between diatoms and siderophore-producing organisms during low-iron conditions. Homologs of these proteins are found distributed across diatom lineages, suggesting the significance of siderophore utilization by diatoms in the marine environment. Evaluation of specific proteins enables us to confirm independent iron-acquisition pathways in diatoms and characterize their preferred substrates. These findings refine our mechanistic understanding of the multiple iron-uptake systems used by diatoms and help us better predict the influence of iron speciation on taxa-specific iron bioavailability.

diatom | iron acquisition | ferric reductase | siderophore | phytoplankton

Chronic iron limitation of primary production shapes the ecology of large regions of the world's sunlit ocean and the physiology of its microbial inhabitants (1). Photoautotrophs are additionally burdened by the abundance of iron-containing proteins present in the photosynthetic electron transport chain, which increase the cellular iron requirement (2). Among phytoplankton clades, diatoms are disproportionately impacted by iron stress as they consist of large cells with larger iron quotas and relatively small surface area to volume ratios, which can exacerbate diffusion limitation of nutrient uptake (3). Still, diatoms frequently inhabit iron-depleted high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions and respond strongly to iron addition (4, 5). Diatoms, like many eukaryotic phytoplankton, rely on phytoferritin (ISIP2a) proteins for the acquisition of uncomplexed iron (inorganic dissolved iron, Fe²⁺), which is considered the most readily bioavailable iron species in seawater (6, 7). Yet the vast majority of iron present in seawater is complexed by organic ligands originating from a variety of sources (8). Certain compounds common in dissolved organic matter, such as humic/fulvic acids or extracellular polysaccharides, function as iron-chelating substances and are characterized by relatively low stability (9). This results in sufficient dissociation to maintain iron concentrations at suitable levels for phytoferritin to operate. Other ligands (e.g., siderophores) have such high affinities for iron that very little Fe²⁺ exists at equilibrium (10). In a situation where iron speciation is dominated by such ligands, organisms that have the tools to access this iron source directly are at a competitive advantage. Recent basin-scale spatial surveys of iron-binding ligand stability and concentration in seawater have revealed unexpected heterogeneity in ligand distri-

butions, and iron concentration itself is variable in time and space (11, 12). Overall, variation in the factors determining iron speciation results in different iron sources becoming more lucrative at different times, and thus diatoms have evolved multiple iron uptake strategies to capitalize on the heterogeneity of available iron substrates.

Siderophores are low molecular weight iron chelators with high affinity for Fe(III). Octahedral coordination of the metal ion is typically achieved by hydroxamate, catecholate, or α -hydroxy acid moieties (13). Siderophores are produced by many types of bacteria and archaea, but production by eukaryotes is known only in fungi and higher plants (14). Marine siderophore production is attributed primarily to bacteria, and many different types of siderophores are produced (15). Amphiphilic siderophores, such as marinobactins and aquachelins, possess a hydrophobic fatty acid tail (16), which could limit diffusion from the cell membrane and loss of these nitrogen-rich compounds to the environment (17). Some siderophores, such as enterobactin, are hydrophobic without tails (18). Many siderophores are tail-less and hydrophilic, and therefore more likely to diffuse into the milieu and interact with nonproducing organisms.

Desferrioxamine b (DFOB) is a model trihydroxamate siderophore that has been used extensively in studies of marine iron limitation. FOB (iron-containing DFOB) can be used as a model iron source to study acquisition of organically complexed iron (e.g., refs. 19 and 20). Speciation of dissolved iron in marine

Significance

Diatoms can access inorganic iron with remarkable efficiency, but this process is contingent on carbonate ion concentration. As ocean acidification reduces carbonate concentration, inorganic iron uptake may be discouraged in favor of carbonate-independent uptake. We report details of an iron assimilation process that needs no carbonate but requires exogenous compounds produced by cooccurring organisms. We show this process to be critical for diatom growth at high siderophore concentrations, but ineffective at acquiring iron from low-affinity organic chelators or lithogenic particulates. Understanding the caveats associated with iron source preference in diatoms will help predict the impacts of climate change on microbial community structure in high-nitrate low-chlorophyll ecosystems.

Author contributions: T.H.C., K.A.B., and A.E.A. designed research; T.H.C. and M.M. performed research; M.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; M.M. designed and created CRISPR expression vectors; A.H. and M.O. performed phylogenetic analyses; T.H.C., A.H., and M.O. analyzed data; and T.H.C., K.A.B., and A.E.A. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: aallen@jvci.org.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1907234116/-DCSupplemental.

environments is frequently dominated by strong iron-binding ligands of unknown chemical identity but well-characterized stability constants ($K_{\text{FeL}_1, \text{Fe}'}^{\text{cond}} > 10^{12}$), termed L_1 ligands (8). DFOB and L_1 exhibit a similar affinity for free Fe(III) (21). DFOB is also known to be produced by marine bacteria (22) and in recent studies, which have conducted direct measurements of siderophores in seawater, DFOB has been detected in coastal eutrophic and off-shore oligotrophic environments (23, 24). Therefore, DFOB appears to be a reasonable option in assays or experimentation where an L_1 analog is needed. While results from these research efforts have generally characterized DFOB as a relatively low-value iron source, with uptake rates roughly 1,000 times slower than those for inorganic iron (7), it is rare for phytoplankton cultures or communities to be shown not to use DFOB at all as an iron source.

Siderophore utilization can be defined as the process of using siderophore-bound iron to satisfy cellular iron requirements. This requires that the organism facilitates dissociation of the siderophore-iron complex and internalizes the iron. These steps can happen in either order, and both types of mechanistic sequences have been characterized. Bacteria utilize siderophore binding proteins to facilitate transport of iron-siderophore complexes to the cytoplasm. These proteins are dissolved in the periplasm of gram-negative bacteria (25), and in gram-positive bacteria they are tethered to the cytoplasmic membrane by a posttranslationally lipidated N terminus (26). These siderophore binding proteins deliver siderophore-iron complexes to ABC transporters, which internalize the complex. Once inside the cell, siderophore interacting proteins (SIPs) reduce the siderophore complex, leading to the release of Fe(II) (27). Eukaryotes, on the other hand, can utilize outer membrane ferric reductases, which perform the reduction step extracellularly. The generated Fe(II) is then transported into the cell via specific ferrous iron transporters or reoxidized by a copper-dependent ferroxidase and transported as Fe(III) through an iron permease (28, 29). Ferric reductase proteins were first extensively studied in yeast and higher plants and some have been characterized as iron-uptake proteins (30). These genes were then observed to be widespread across eukaryotic phytoplankton (28), although limited genetic tractability of most marine phytoplankton has prevented the definitive classification of these reductases as assimilatory. Closely related ferric reductases could mediate different functions, such as generation of superoxide as a signaling molecule (30, 31) or facilitation of intracellular metal trafficking (32, 33). Extracellular iron reduction has been examined in diatoms growing on organically complexed iron, and is thought to be an important strategy for converting refractory ferric chelates into inorganic iron species of higher bioavailability (19, 34, 35). Few of the proteins involved in diatom iron acquisition have been identified, and several studies have suggested that diatoms possess multiple independent iron-uptake mechanisms (6, 36, 37).

No diatom species has been subjected to as intensive transcriptomic profiling under low iron conditions as *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Previous work includes an initial comparison of high- and low-iron transcriptomes in 2008, and an exhaustive time course of transcriptomes over the light/dark cycles at multiple iron concentrations published in 2016 (38, 39). Recently, the function of ISIP2a as a carbonate-dependent phytoferritin that is responsible for high-affinity ferric iron uptake in diatoms was confirmed in the *P. tricornutum* model system (6). Studies of other diatoms have revealed a common core of low iron-expressed genes in diatoms, including flavodoxin, ISIPs, class I fructose-bisphosphate aldolases, copper-dependent oxidases, ferric reductases, and permeases (40–42). Many iron-sensitive genes identified in *P. tricornutum* cultures have also been observed in metatranscriptomes of naturally occurring iron-limited diatom communities (43, 44). While some variation exists in the iron metabolism genes present in available diatom genomes, the subset found in *P. tricornutum* is typical of many members of the Bacillariophyceae (45).

P. tricornutum was chosen for these studies because of its low iron tolerance, which is similar to the native diatom inhabitants of HNLC regions (37). Additionally, *P. tricornutum* has a high-quality published genome, which facilitates robust transcriptome annotation (46). *P. tricornutum* is genetically tractable, which allows gene targets identified in transcriptomic datasets to be efficiently investigated in their native organism using modern biological techniques, such as gene knockouts and fusion proteins (47, 48). After a long history of culturing and experimentation, *P. tricornutum* has emerged as the principal diatom model organism, especially when investigating iron limitation of marine primary production.

One intriguing observation noted from previous low-iron *P. tricornutum* culture experiments was the up-regulation of a cluster of putative iron uptake genes, FRE2 and FBP1 (37, 38). FRE2 is well annotated as a NADPH oxidase-type ferric reductase, which in yeast are responsible for siderophore iron acquisition (49). This protein contains a ferric reductase transmembrane domain with FAD and NADPH binding domains on the cytosolic side, which is typical of the ferric reductase domain superfamily (30). FBP1 shows structural homology to receptor proteins, such as FhuD and DesE found in bacteria, which bind hydroxamate siderophores (50, 51). FRE2 and FBP1 occur in a divergent orientation, with ~1.5 kb between them, and although their transcript abundance is consistently lower than canonical diatom iron stress biomarkers (e.g., flavodoxin and ISIP genes), expression of both are correlated and significantly sensitive to iron concentration (38, 52). FBP1 was the first putative siderophore receptor identified in a diatom, and after its discovery the FRE2/FBP1 gene cluster was hypothesized to be involved in siderophore utilization (38).

In this study we characterize components of a diatom ferri-siderophore acquisition system using a reverse genetics approach in *P. tricornutum*. This iron-uptake strategy relies on a eukaryotic ferric reductase protein, which is coupled to a siderophore binding protein of possible bacterial origin and represents a convergence of two characterized uptake paradigms. We examined the subcellular location of these proteins with fluorescent fusion proteins and used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to create knockout cell lines for genes of interest (53). FBP1 knockouts were complemented by reintroduction of the native FBP1 gene, and also with a homolog from the marine actinomycete *Salinispora tropica*. Both proteins are required for growth in the presence of as little as 2 nM DFOB. Homologs of both proteins are also present in the genomes of other diatoms. We characterized the specificity of this uptake system using purified siderophore standards and challenged diatom cell lines with a panel of iron sources typical of marine environments. We demonstrate this mode of iron uptake to be distinct from phytoferritin-mediated Fe' uptake and contrast these two systems in scenarios designed to shed light on their roles in the marine biogeochemical cycling of iron.

Results

Knockout Growth Curves. Aquil synthetic seawater enables careful and reproducible manipulation of iron speciation at the low concentrations typical of open ocean environments (54). WT and knockout (ΔFBP1 and ΔFRE2) *P. tricornutum* cells were grown in low-iron Aquil medium with either Fe' or FOB as an iron source. Aquil medium at pH 8.2, 18 °C and with 100 μM EDTA and 10 nM total Fe has an equilibrium ~16 pM Fe' , and the remaining iron bound to EDTA is not bioavailable (55). In this medium WT and knockout cell lines all grow indicating sufficient Fe' to sustain growth and no obvious role of either protein (Fig. 1A). Addition of 100 nM DFOB to this same medium results in a decrease in Fe' to ~0.174 pM, which is negligible in terms of supporting phytoplankton growth (see *SI Appendix* for Fe' calculations). Importantly, when DFOB is added to this media, a new pool of ligand-bound iron (FOB) far exceeds the concentration of any other iron species in solution at ~10 nM. If cells

can utilize FOB at even a small fraction of the efficiency with which they use Fe' , growth rates in this medium could exceed rates in identical medium without the DFOB addition. WT cells showed this response, demonstrating the ability to directly use FOB as an iron source, although not as efficiently as Fe' (Fig. 1*B*). In contrast, both the ΔFBP1 and ΔFRE2 lines failed to grow when DFOB was added, indicating that Fe' present could not sustain growth in *P. tricornutum*, and that both these proteins are integral in assimilating FOB as an iron source (Fig. 1*B*). This phenotype was replicated in duplicate knockout cell lines for both FBP1 and FRE2, which differed in genotype but all lacked a functional copy of the target gene (*SI Appendix, Table S1*). Utilization of FOB was restored in ΔFBP1 by complementation with the native gene, and also with the DesE gene from *S. tropica*, a marine Actinobacteria known to produce and utilize DFOB (Fig. 2*A*) (51).

Localizations. *P. tricornutum* cells expressing FBP1-mCherry and FRE2-YFP fluorescent fusion proteins were imaged under low-iron conditions in order to determine the subcellular locations of both proteins simultaneously. While FBP1 showed a clear signal at the periphery of the cell, FRE2 was associated with the outer membrane but less specifically and each differed in their in-

tracellular distribution (Fig. 2*B* and *SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). FBP1 was observed in a small compartment near the plastid, a location that recent studies have implicated in intracellular iron trafficking and may represent the periplastidial compartment (6, 36). FRE2 was absent from this location but was weakly present on other internal membranes. Expression at the cell surface further implicates both proteins in uptake processes. In yeast, some siderophore receptors are embedded in the cell wall and facilitate transport to plasma membrane-localized reductases (56), and we cannot rule out a similar configuration here.

Iron Uptake Rates. Uptake of model iron sources was evaluated using short-term ^{59}Fe uptake assays. ISIP2a (phytotransferrin) was confirmed as essential for inorganic Fe' uptake (Fig. 3*A*). Uptake rate data demonstrate that FBP1 and FRE2 are responsible for the majority of uptake from the trihydroxamate siderophore iron sources ferrioxamine b and ferrichrome (Fig. 3*B* and *D*). Aerobactin, a di-hydroxamate siderophore with an α -hydroxy acid, was accessed by FBP1 and FRE2, but overall uptake rates were slow (Fig. 3*E*). Uptake from the di-hydroxamate siderophore rhodotorulic acid was unaffected by disruption of any of the proteins in question (Fig. 3*C*). Uptake from the catecholate siderophore enterobactin was not detected in any cultures (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). Fe' concentrations in all siderophore uptake assays was negligible due to excess siderophore and EDTA present in the uptake medium.

Growth Rates in Natural Seawater. In natural oligotrophic seawater amended with nutrients but without EDTA or iron, all cell lines struggled to grow (Fig. 4*A*). Added inorganic iron restored growth in all but the ΔISIP2a line (Fig. 4*B*), and added DFOB restored growth in WT and ΔISIP2a while arresting growth in ΔFBP1 and ΔFRE2 (Fig. 4*C*). ΔISIP2a achieved a faster growth rate than WT after DFOB addition, possibly due to up-regulation of the siderophore acquisition pathway in lieu of phytotransferrin.

The benthic boundary layer (BBL) of coastal California constitutes a reservoir of bioavailable iron that fortifies new production in this eastern boundary current upwelling system (57). Iron in the BBL exists primarily in the particulate phase, but dissolved concentrations are also elevated (58). This complex mixture of naturally occurring and environmentally relevant iron sources was used to challenge *P. tricornutum* cells. Filtered BBL water, unfiltered whole BBL water, and resuspended BBL particles were all able to relieve iron stress experienced by diatoms growing in low-iron seawater (Fig. 4). Results indicate that dissolved iron sources in the BBL are not acquired via the FBP1 receptor or the FRE2 reductase (Fig. 4*E* and *F*). Instead, dissolved iron in this seawater appeared to be internalized by the ISIP2a protein as Fe' . Particulate iron was much less bioavailable than dissolved iron, and utilization was not attributable to a single uptake system (Fig. 4*G*). A commonly used humic acid reference material (Suwannee River humic acid, SRHA) was also tested and appeared to provide iron that was assimilated via ISIP2a (Fig. 4*D*).

Gallium Uptake Rates. When gallium (Ga) siderophores were supplied to *P. tricornutum* cultures at a concentration of 10 nM, WT cells achieved uptake rates of $0.284 \text{ amol Ga cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5*A*). Similarly, ΔFRE2 cells attained rates of $0.303 \text{ amol Ga cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, while the ΔFBP1 uptake rate was suppressed to $0.077 \text{ amol Ga cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$. Gallium complexed to EDTA resulted in very little uptake in all cell lines ($<0.014 \text{ amol Ga cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), indicating that the gallium siderophore uptake rates cannot be explained by Ga' or GaEDTA uptake. When FOB is supplied to cells at this same high concentration, WT uptake rates of $0.992 \text{ amol Fe cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ exceed the Ga uptake rate by 3-fold (Fig. 5*A*). Unlike iron, gallium is inert to reduction and therefore these results demonstrate the effect of removing the reductive step from the siderophore assimilation process, either by editing the reductase gene or by




Fig. 1. Growth of WT, ΔFRE2 , and ΔFBP1 *P. tricornutum* cells in low-iron Aquil media. Relative fluorescence unit (RFU) of diatom cultures grown under 24-h illumination in Aquil media with 10 nM total Fe. Error bars represent ± 1 SD of biological triplicate cultures and are obscured by line markers. (A) 100 μM EDTA; (B) 100 μM EDTA and 100 nM DFOB. Two cell lines for each knockout are included, and descriptions of these lines are found in *SI Appendix, Table S1*.




Fig. 2. Characterization of iron acquisition proteins. (A) Growth curves of WT, Δ FBP1, and Δ FBP1 complement cultures grown in Aquil media under 12-h illumination, 10 nM total Fe, 100 μ M EDTA, and 100 nM DFOB. Error bars represent $\pm$ SD of biological triplicate cultures. (B) Cells expressing mCherry tagged FBP1 and YFP-tagged FRE2 were imaged at 1,000 $\times$ magnification after acclimation to low-iron conditions.

modifying the substrate. Both methodologies achieve the same degree of uptake rate impairment.

Ferric Reductase Activity. Extracellular ferric reductase activity measured in iron-deplete *P. tricornutum* cells far exceeded activity from replete cells using the bathophenanthroline disulfonate (BPDS) method as has previously been reported (39, 59) (Fig. 5B). This result was similar in all cell lines assayed, indicating no role of either FBP1 or FRE2 in this process.

Phylogenetic Analysis. Our phylogenetic survey of FBP1 revealed homologs in both centric and pennate diatom lineages, haptophytes, and dinoflagellates (Fig. 6). These proteins share a common ancestor with hydroxamate siderophore lipoprotein receptors found in gram-positive bacteria. The FBP1 homologs from Actinobacteria recovered in our search are all annotated as iron siderophore ABC transporter solute binding proteins, and 7 of 9 are further annotated as hydroxamate siderophore binding, which gives us confidence that our hidden Markov model is specific for this function. Ten of the eukaryotic homologs are annotated as siderophore binding proteins, and the remaining 37 are hypothetical proteins with no ascribed function. All but 5 of these peptides were predicted to be structurally similar to ferri-siderophore binding receptors. After arriving in eukaryotes, this protein passed through at least 2 duplication events, and some paralogs were lost. *P. tricornutum* retains only a single copy, while the centric diatom *Thalassiosira oceanica* has 3 paralogs, the Antarctic pennate *Fragilariopsis cylindrus* has 4, and *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* has 2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 and Dataset S1). *T. oceanica*, *F. kerguelensis*, *Eucampia antarctica*, and *Phaeocystis antarctica* all contain FBP1 homologs and have been confirmed to utilize FOB as an iron source (60). *Proboscia alata* contains 5 homologs and a closely related species, *Proboscia inermis*, also uses FOB (60).

NADPH oxidase-type ferric reductases are ubiquitous proteins, again originating from a series of gene duplications, and as such FRE2 homologs are much more widespread across diatoms (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 and Dataset S2). It is unlikely that all of these proteins share the function of FRE2 in *P. tricornutum*, especially if a compatible receptor is absent. Our search for FRE2 homologs returned FRE1 and FRE5 from *P. tricornutum*, which are unable to substitute for FRE2 in our siderophore assimilation experiments and highlights the difficulty in determining the physiological roles of ferric reductase proteins from peptide sequence. FRE2 homologs were also detected in

other algal groups and eukaryotes, but again this is probably not evidence of siderophore utilization given the diverse cellular processes in which these proteins have been implicated (28, 30–33). We found homologs also in cyanobacteria, which




Fig. 3. Short-term iron uptake rates in Aquil media. All rates in amol cell⁻¹ h⁻¹. Error bars represent $\pm$ 1 SD of biological triplicate cultures. * P < 0.05 difference from WT (Student's *t* test): (A) 15.7 pM Fe; (B) 250 pM FOB; (C) 250 pM rhodotorulic acid; (D) 4 nM ferrichrome; (E) 250 pM aerobactin.




Fig. 4. Specific growth rates (d^{-1}) of diatom cultures in natural seawater. Error bars represent ± 1 SD of biological triplicate cultures. * $P < 0.05$ difference from WT (Student's t test): (A) 2 nM added FeCl_3 ; (B) 0 added Fe; (C) 2 nM added DFOB; (D) 0.17 g/L SRHA; (E) 10% whole BBL seawater; (F) 10% filtered BBL seawater; (G) BBL particles.

are, however, evolutionarily not directly related to the investigated enzyme from diatoms. The occurrence of ferric reductase genes in cyanobacteria has been previously reported and attributed to lateral transfer from eukaryotes (30). FRE genes in diatoms thus originate from a eukaryotic ancestor and not from cyanobacteria or the plastid.

Discussion

Advantages of Direct Siderophore Uptake. Iron has long been known as a keystone element exerting pronounced bottom-up influence on marine ecosystems despite its low concentration (61). Yet it took many decades of advancement in analytical technique and sampling methods before reliable measurements of dissolved iron were possible (62), and even more before these measurements were widespread (11). Our understanding of the speciation of dissolved iron in seawater has been informed primarily by electrochemical measurements of iron ligands (8). These measurements reveal the ubiquitous presence of iron binding substances in seawater, which exceed the concentration of inorganic iron. Fe^+ is the substrate preferred by eukaryotic phytoplankton to satisfy their demand for this essential nutrient metal (7). Fe^+ has been shown to be exceedingly bioavailable and represents one extreme in the spectrum of iron sources present in the oceans. Fe^+ is, however, vanishingly scarce due to low solubility but also as a result of organic ligands. The presence of iron-binding ligands in seawater results in higher overall dissolved iron concentrations by preventing hydrolysis and precipitation but can simultaneously decrease inorganic iron concentrations through complexation. These factors tip the scale toward organic iron serving as a valuable alternative iron source in certain circumstances.

Organic iron is not a single substrate and individual uptake proteins are generally specific only to a limited subset of organic compounds. Therefore, the repertoire of iron acquisition machinery possessed by an organism must be compatible with the local environmental iron speciation for cellular quotas to be fulfilled and nutrient limitation avoided. Weak ligands are a poor target for receptor proteins as they are a heterogeneous pool of substrates and are less likely to be populated by iron ions when iron concentrations are low and strong ligands are present. Nonspecific, extracellular reduction may be a better strategy for

accessing weakly complexed iron. Siderophores are much better suited to uptake by specific receptors. Certain bacteria utilize high-specificity siderophore receptors, which bind to the siderophore's iron coordinating ligands (63). Lower affinity generalist siderophore receptors that select for Fe(III) are also known in gram-negative bacteria (64).

Given that eukaryotic phytoplankton are not known to synthesize siderophores, compounds that are loosely coupled to the producing organism would likely be more bioavailable. Amphiphilic siderophores contain hydrophobic tails that tether them to cell membranes, mitigate diffusive loss to the environment, and potentially decrease their utility to eukaryotic phytoplankton (65). Hydrophilic siderophores, such as DFOB, are more likely to diffuse away from their bacterial sources and become available to any




Fig. 5. (A) Results of short-term uptake experiments. Error bars represent ± 1 SD of biological triplicate cultures. * $P < 0.05$ difference from WT (Student's t test). Diagonal striped bars show uptake rates from 10 nM FOB. Solid bars show rates from 10 nM Ga-DFOB. (B) Ferric reductase activity measured using the BPDFS method in WT and knockout cells grown in Aquil media with 100 μM EDTA. Diagonal bars represent iron-replete cells (157 pM Fe^3+), solid bars indicate iron-deplete cells (15.7 pM Fe^3+). Error bars represent ± 1 SD of biological triplicate cultures.



workhorse iron-uptake protein for a variety of substrates via an Fe³⁺ intermediate, although other proteins become important when iron speciation is dominated by strong ligands. ZIP ferrous iron transporters likely play a major role in intracellular iron trafficking and possibly in acquisition of extracellular Fe(II), which may be produced by ferric reductases or simply be transiently present in seawater (87). Endocytosis of iron-uptake proteins certainly involves many additional proteins, which are unknown at this point but likely include additional reductases and proton ATPases, which facilitate liberation of iron from various chelators. It is also unclear if ISIPs, FBP1/FRE2, and other uptake systems occur in common iron-processing vesicles and share associated vesicle proteins, or if they are segregated into separate pathways. Other ferric reductase proteins in the *P. tricornutum* genome may be involved in the reduction of extracellular iron, which can then be acquired by ISIP2a or ZIPs, and may be present in vesicles. Our measurements of iron uptake from rhodotorulic acid could complicate the situation further. As none of our gene knockouts impacted these rates, either this substrate is accessible via both uptake pathways, or a third unknown pathway exists.

Additionally, the specific environmental role of high-affinity siderophore uptake remains elusive. Concentrations of FOB where FBP1 becomes an important iron uptake protein are much higher than observed siderophore concentrations in bulk seawater (23, 24), although it could be comparable to observed L₁ concentrations (8). Consistent microbial associations could result in high local siderophore concentrations in the phycosphere, favoring direct siderophore utilization over inorganic iron uptake, thus the study of iron uptake in diatoms needs to move beyond axenic culture experiments in order to determine the actual roles of these proteins in nature. Acquisition of siderophores produced by other organisms is a common strategy in certain environments (e.g., soils) and is typically thought of as a competitive or parasitic interaction (88), but examples of siderophore-mediated mutualisms are also known (89). The nature of this interaction in the marine HNLC environment might be more mutualistic as iron availability limits the fixed carbon supply of the entire community. Heterotrophs starving for carbon might benefit from sharing iron,

especially if they have better access to particulate sources and require fewer iron-rich proteins. The duplication and diversification of siderophore receptors within diatoms could expand the variety of bioavailable iron sources and thus the number of potential interacting microbial partners. The resulting iron economy could favor synergistic relationships where heterotrophs relieve diatom iron stress in exchange for fixed carbon, especially as ocean acidification reduces the efficacy of the phytoferritin alternative.

Materials and Methods

Detailed descriptions of cell lines, culturing conditions, analytical techniques, field work, and phylogenetic analyses are presented in *SI Appendix*. Briefly, all cell lines used in this study were created from *P. tricornutum* strain CCMP 632 (46), and knockout cell lines were created using CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN (90) technology, and selection conducted using the phleomycin antibiotic. In-depth CRISPR/Cas9 methodology is presented on protocols.io (<https://www.protocols.io/view/crispr-cas9-mutagenesis-in-phaeodactylum-tricornutum-7xqhpwmw>). FBP1 complementation constructs contained the NAT gene for nourseothricin resistance and were selected on agar plates containing phleomycin and nourseothricin. Protein localizations were confirmed using confocal microscopy of YFP and mCherry protein fusions. All culturing was conducted using trace metal clean techniques, as was collection and preparation of natural seawater media. Iron uptake rates were determined in short-term uptake assays using ⁵⁹Fe-labeled substrates, and gallium uptake was measured in cell digests using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. Ferric reductase activity was determined using a BPDFS method (59).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Jeff McQuaid for ISIP2a TALEN plasmids; Maxine Tan for help with plasmid design; University of California Ship Funds for supporting benthic boundary layer field work; the captain and crew of *R/V Sally Ride*, chief scientist Angel Ruacho, and Kayleen Fulton for sampling assistance; Kiefer Forsch for dissolved iron measurements; Vince Bielinski for conjugation plasmids; Rob Franks for assistance with inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analyses; Randelle Bundy and *R/V Kilo Moana* personnel for Station ALOHA water collection; and Jia Jia Zhang for *Salinispora* cultures. This study was supported by the National Science Foundation Grants NSF-OCE-1756884, NSF-OCE-1756860, and NSF-MCB-1818390 (to A.E.A.); United States Department of Energy Genomics Science program Grant DE-SC0018344 (to A.E.A.); Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation Grants GBMF3828 and GBMF5006 (to A.E.A.); and Czech Science Foundation project 18-134585 and European Regional Development Fund/European Social Fund project CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000759 (to M.O.).

1. J. K. Moore, S. C. Doney, K. Lindsay, Upper ocean ecosystem dynamics and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **18**, 1–21 (2004).
2. M. J. Behrenfeld, A. J. Milligan, Photophysiological expressions of iron stress in phytoplankton. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **5**, 217–246 (2013).
3. J. A. Raven, J. E. Kübler, New light on the scaling of metabolic rate with the size of algae. *J. Phycol.* **38**, 11–16 (2002).
4. H. J. W. de Baar, Synthesis of iron fertilization experiments: From the Iron Age in the Age of Enlightenment. *J. Geophys. Res.* **110**, C09S16 (2005).
5. D. A. Hutchins, K. W. Bruland, Iron-limited diatom growth and Si:N uptake ratios in a coastal upwelling regime. *Nature* **393**, 65–68 (1998).
6. J. B. McQuaid et al., Carbonate-sensitive phytoferritin controls high-affinity iron uptake in diatoms. *Nature* **555**, 534–537 (2018).
7. H. Lis, Y. Shaked, C. Kranzler, N. Keren, F. M. M. Morel, Iron bioavailability to phytoplankton: An empirical approach. *ISME J.* **9**, 1003–1013 (2015).
8. M. Gledhill, K. N. Buck, The organic complexation of iron in the marine environment: A review. *Front. Microbiol.* **3**, 69 (2012).
9. L. M. Laglera, C. M. G. van den Berg, Evidence for geochemical control of iron by humic substances in seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 610–619 (2009).
10. E. L. Rue, K. W. Bruland, Complexation of iron (III) by natural organic ligands in the central north Pacific as determined by a new competitive ligand equilibration/adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetric method. *Mar. Chem.* **50**, 117–138 (1995).
11. E. Mawji et al., The GEOTRACES intermediate data product 2014. *Mar. Chem.* **177**, 1–8 (2015).
12. J. N. Fitzsimmons et al., Daily to decadal variability of size-fractionated iron and iron-binding ligands at the Hawaii Ocean Time-series Station ALOHA. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **171**, 303–324 (2015).
13. A. Butler, R. M. Theisen, Iron(III)-siderophore coordination chemistry: Reactivity of marine siderophores. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **254**, 288–296 (2010).
14. R. C. Hider, X. Kong, Chemistry and biology of siderophores. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **27**, 637–657 (2010).
15. J. M. Vraspir, A. Butler, Chemistry of marine ligands and siderophores. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **1**, 43–63 (2009).
16. J. S. Martinez et al., Self-assembling amphiphilic siderophores from marine bacteria. *Science* **287**, 1245–1247 (2000).
17. G. Xu, J. S. Martinez, J. T. Groves, A. Butler, Membrane affinity of the amphiphilic marinobactin siderophores. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 13408–13415 (2002).
18. V. Braun, M. Braun, Iron transport and signaling in *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Lett.* **529**, 78–85 (2002).
19. M. T. Maldonado, N. M. Price, Utilization of Fe bound to strong organic ligands by phytoplankton communities in the subarctic Pacific Ocean. *Deep Sea Res II* **46**, 2447–2473 (1999).
20. U. Horstmann, S. Soria-Dengg, Ferrioxamines B and E as iron sources for the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **127**, 269–277 (1995).
21. M. T. Maldonado, R. F. Strzepek, S. Sander, P. W. Boyd, Acquisition of iron bound to strong organic complexes, with different Fe binding groups and photochemical reactivities, by plankton communities in Fe-limited subantarctic waters. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **19**, GB4523 (2005).
22. J. S. Martinez, M. G. Haygood, A. Butler, Identification of a natural desferrioxamine siderophore produced by a marine bacterium. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **46**, 420–424 (2001).
23. R. M. Boiteau et al., Siderophore-based microbial adaptations to iron scarcity across the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 14237–14242 (2016).
24. R. M. Boiteau et al., Patterns of iron and siderophore distributions across the California current system. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **64**, 376–389 (2018).
25. K. D. Krewulak, H. J. Vogel, Structural biology of bacterial iron uptake. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1778**, 1781–1804 (2008).
26. I. C. Sutcliffe, R. R. Russell, Lipoproteins of gram-positive bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**, 1123–1128 (1995).
27. M. Miethke, M. A. Marahiel, Siderophore-based iron acquisition and pathogen control. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **71**, 413–451 (2007).
28. C. E. Blaby-Haas, S. S. Merchant, The ins and outs of algal metal transport. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1823**, 1531–1552 (2012).
29. E. Lesuisse, P. Labbe, Reductive and non-reductive mechanisms of iron assimilation by the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **135**, 257–263 (1989).
30. X. Zhang, K. H. Krause, I. Xenarios, T. Soldati, B. Boeckmann, Evolution of the ferric reductase domain (FRD) superfamily: Modularity, functional diversification, and signature motifs. *PLoS One* **8**, e58126 (2013).
31. N. Suzuki et al., Respiratory burst oxidases: The engines of ROS signaling. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **14**, 691–699 (2011).

32. J. Jeong *et al.*, Chloroplast Fe(III) chelate reductase activity is essential for seedling viability under iron limiting conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 10619–10624 (2008).
33. A. Singh, N. Kaur, D. J. Kosman, The metalloredoxase Fre6p in Fe-efflux from the yeast vacuole. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 28619–28626 (2007).
34. M. T. Maldonado, N. M. Price, Reduction and transport of organically bound iron by *Thalassiosira oceanica* (Bacillariophyceae). *J. Phycol.* **37**, 298–309 (2001).
35. M. T. Maldonado *et al.*, Copper-dependent iron transport in coastal and oceanic diatoms. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **51**, 1729–1743 (2006).
36. E. Kazamia *et al.*, Endocytosis-mediated siderophore uptake as a strategy for Fe acquisition in diatoms. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaar4536 (2018).
37. A. B. Kustka, A. E. Allen, F. M. M. Morel, Sequence analysis and transcriptional regulation of iron acquisition genes in two marine diatoms. *J. Phycol.* **43**, 715–729 (2007).
38. A. E. Allen *et al.*, Whole-cell response of the pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* to iron starvation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 10438–10443 (2008).
39. S. R. Smith *et al.*, Transcriptional orchestration of the global cellular response of a model pennate diatom to diel light cycling under iron limitation. *PLoS Genet.* **12**, e1006490 (2016).
40. M. Lommer *et al.*, Genome and low-iron response of an oceanic diatom adapted to chronic iron limitation. *Genome Biol.* **13**, R66 (2012).
41. N. R. Cohen *et al.*, Transcriptomic and proteomic responses of the oceanic diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia granii* to iron limitation. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**, 3109–3126 (2018).
42. T. Mock *et al.*, Whole-genome expression profiling of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* identifies genes involved in silicon bioprocesses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 1579–1584 (2008).
43. A. Marchetti *et al.*, Comparative metatranscriptomics identifies molecular bases for the physiological responses of phytoplankton to varying iron availability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, E317–E325 (2012).
44. P. D. Chappell *et al.*, Genetic indicators of iron limitation in wild populations of *Thalassiosira oceanica* from the northeast Pacific Ocean. *ISME J.* **9**, 592–602 (2015).
45. R. D. Groussman, M. S. Parker, E. V. Armbrust, Diversity and evolutionary history of iron metabolism genes in diatoms. *PLoS One* **10**, e0129081 (2015).
46. C. Bowler *et al.*, The *Phaeodactylum* genome reveals the evolutionary history of diatom genomes. *Nature* **456**, 239–244 (2008).
47. M. Nymark, A. K. Sharma, T. Sparstad, A. M. Bones, P. Winge, A CRISPR/Cas9 system adapted for gene editing in marine algae. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24951 (2016).
48. B. J. Karas *et al.*, Designer diatom episomes delivered by bacterial conjugation. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6925 (2015).
49. C. W. Yun, M. Bauler, R. E. Moore, P. E. Klebba, C. C. Philpott, The role of the FRE family of plasma membrane reductases in the uptake of siderophore-iron in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 10218–10223 (2001).
50. J. W. Coulton, P. Mason, D. D. Allatt, *fhuC* and *fhuD* genes for iron (III)-ferrichrome transport into *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 3844–3849 (1987).
51. A. A. Roberts, A. W. Schultz, R. D. Kersten, P. C. Dorrestein, B. S. Moore, Iron acquisition in the marine actinomycete genus *Salinispora* is controlled by the desferrioxamine family of siderophores. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **335**, 95–103 (2012).
52. R. Yoshinaga, M. Niwa-Kubota, H. Matsui, Y. Matsuda, Characterization of iron-responsive promoters in the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Mar. Genomics* **16**, 55–62 (2014).
53. J. D. Sander, J. K. Joung, CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 347–355 (2014).
54. N. M. Price *et al.*, Preparation and chemistry of the artificial algal culture medium Aquil. *Biol. Oceanogr.* **6**, 443–461 (1989).
55. W. Sunda, S. Huntsman, Effect of pH, light, and temperature on Fe-EDTA chelation and Fe hydrolysis in seawater. *Mar. Chem.* **84**, 35–47 (2003).
56. C. C. Philpott, O. Protchenko, Response to iron deprivation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Eukaryot. Cell* **7**, 20–27 (2008).
57. K. Johnson, F. Chavez, G. Friederich, Continental-shelf sediment as a primary source of iron for coastal phytoplankton. *Nature* **398**, 697–700 (1999).
58. D. V. Biller, T. H. Coale, R. C. Till, G. J. Smith, K. W. Bruland, Coastal iron and nitrate distributions during the spring and summer upwelling season in the central California current upwelling regime. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **66**, 58–72 (2013).
59. U. Eckhardt, T. J. Buckhout, Iron assimilation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* involves ferric reduction and is similar to strategy I higher plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* **49**, 1219–1226 (1998).
60. R. F. Strzepek, M. T. Maldonado, K. A. Hunter, R. D. Frew, P. W. Boyd, Adaptive strategies by southern ocean phytoplankton to lessen iron limitation: Uptake of organically complexed iron and reduced cellular iron requirements. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **56**, 1983–2002 (2011).
61. L. H. N. Cooper, Iron in the sea and in marine plankton. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **118**, 419–438 (1935).
62. R. M. Gordon, J. H. Martin, G. A. Knauer, Iron in north-east Pacific waters. *Nature* **299**, 611 (1982).
63. P. Patel, L. Song, G. L. Challis, Distinct extracytoplasmic siderophore binding proteins recognize ferrioxamines and ferricoelichelin in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Biochemistry* **49**, 8033–8042 (2010).
64. A. Stintzi, C. Barnes, J. Xu, K. N. Raymond, Microbial iron transport via a siderophore shuttle: A membrane ion transport paradigm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 10691–10696 (2000).
65. J. S. Martinez, A. Butler, Marine amphiphilic siderophores: Marinobactin structure, uptake, and microbial partitioning. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **101**, 1692–1698 (2007).
66. M. Miethke, J. Hou, M. A. Marahiel, The siderophore-interacting protein YqjH acts as a ferric reductase in different iron assimilation pathways of *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry* **50**, 10951–10964 (2011).
67. M. A. Anderson, F. M. Morel, Uptake of Fe (II) by a diatom in oxic culture medium. *Mar. Biol. Lett.* **1**, 263–268 (1980).
68. Y. Shaked, A. B. Kustka, F. M. M. Morel, Y. Erel, Simultaneous determination of iron reduction and uptake by phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* **2**, 137–145 (2004).
69. G. Müller, K. N. Raymond, Specificity and mechanism of ferrioxamine-mediated iron transport in *Streptomyces pilosus*. *J. Bacteriol.* **160**, 304–312 (1984).
70. A. T. McKie *et al.*, An iron-regulated ferric reductase associated with the absorption of dietary iron. *Science* **291**, 1755–1759 (2001).
71. R. S. Ohgami *et al.*, Identification of a ferrireductase required for efficient transferrin-dependent iron uptake in erythroid cells. *Nat. Genet.* **37**, 1264–1269 (2005).
72. A. Ciechanover, A. L. Schwartz, A. Dautry-Varsat, H. F. Lodish, Kinetics of internalization and recycling of transferrin and the transferrin receptor in a human hepatoma cell line. Effect of lysosomotropic agents. *J. Biol. Chem.* **258**, 9681–9689 (1983).
73. J. M. Harrington, A. L. Crumbliss, The redox hypothesis in siderophore-mediated iron uptake. *Biometals* **22**, 679–689 (2009).
74. H. Marschner, M. Treeby, V. Römhild, Role of root-induced changes in the rhizosphere for iron acquisition in higher plants. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkd* **152**, 197–204 (1989).
75. D. V. Biller, K. W. Bruland, The central California current transition zone: A broad region exhibiting evidence for iron limitation. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **120**, 370–382 (2014).
76. A. L. King, K. A. Barbeau, Dissolved iron and macronutrient distributions in the southern California current system. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **116**, 1–18 (2011).
77. R. M. Bundy, D. V. Biller, K. N. Buck, K. W. Bruland, K. A. Barbeau, Distinct pools of dissolved iron-binding ligands in the surface and benthic boundary layer of the California current. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 769–787 (2014).
78. G. E. Leventhal, M. Ackermann, K. T. Schiessl, Why microbes secrete molecules to modify their environment: The case of iron-chelating siderophores. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **16**, 20180674 (2019).
79. S. M. Kraemer, A. Butler, P. Borer, J. Cervini-Silva, Siderophores and the dissolution of iron-bearing minerals in marine systems. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **59**, 53–84 (2005).
80. L. D. McDaniel *et al.*, High frequency of horizontal gene transfer in the oceans. *Science* **330**, 50 (2010).
81. R. R. Malmstrom *et al.*, Ecology of uncultured *Prochlorococcus* clades revealed through single-cell genomics and biogeographic analysis. *ISME J.* **7**, 184–198 (2013).
82. E. Lesuisse, P. L. Blaiseau, A. Dancis, J. M. Camadro, Siderophore uptake and use by the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiology* **147**, 289–298 (2001).
83. Y. Kim, C. W. Yun, C. C. Philpott, Ferrichrome induces endosome to plasma membrane cycling of the ferrichrome transporter, Arn1p, in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **21**, 3632–3642 (2002).
84. F. Egue *et al.*, Expression of the retrotransposons Surcouf and Blackbeard in the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* under thermal stress. *Phycologia* **54**, 617–627 (2015).
85. F. Maumus *et al.*, Potential impact of stress activated retrotransposons on genome evolution in a marine diatom. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 624 (2009).
86. J. Komek *et al.*, Eukaryotic acquisition of a bacterial operon. *Cell* **176**, 1356–1366.e10 (2019).
87. C. Schallenberg, A. B. Davidson, K. G. Simpson, L. A. Miller, J. T. Cullen, Iron(II) variability in the northeast subarctic Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Chem.* **177**, 33–44 (2015).
88. M. F. Traxler, M. R. Seyedsayamdost, J. Clardy, R. Kolter, Interspecies modulation of bacterial development through iron competition and siderophore piracy. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**, 628–644 (2012).
89. S. A. Amin *et al.*, Photolysis of iron-siderophore chelates promotes bacterial-algal mutualism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 17071–17076 (2009).
90. P. D. Weyman *et al.*, Inactivation of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* urease gene using transcription activator-like effector nuclease-based targeted mutagenesis. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **13**, 460–470 (2015).