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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Syngas conversion into hydrocarbons using Fischer Tropsch (F-T) Synthesis was carried out to investigate the effect of TiO\textsubscript{2} support on catalytic performance using 12\% by weight of metal - 12Co-TiO\textsubscript{2}, 12Fe-TiO\textsubscript{2} and 12Ru-TiO\textsubscript{2} in silicon (Si) microchannel microreactor (50 \mu m wide x100 \mu m deep) at different reaction temperatures and 1 atm. A modified closed channel infiltration (mCCI) method was used for successful uniform coating of the sol-gel catalyst layer in the micro-channels of Si-microreactors. Temperature Program Reduction profiles with H\textsubscript{2} showed interaction of TiO\textsubscript{2} with each metal to be significantly different; TPR of Fe-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Co-TiO\textsubscript{2} indicated a significant strong metal (Co and Fe) support interaction (SMSI), while that of Ru-TiO\textsubscript{2} suggested a weaker metal-support interaction. The XRD studies of the catalysts suggest that unlike Fe- and Co-TiO\textsubscript{2}, Ru-TiO\textsubscript{2} consists of mixed anatase and rutile phases of TiO\textsubscript{2}. The absence of rutile phase in Co-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Fe-TiO\textsubscript{2} had a profound effect on F-T activity in the range of 150 °C to 300 °C for CO conversion and hydrocarbon selectivity at 1 atm and decreased the stability of these two catalysts. The overall stability and reactivity was in the order: 12%Ru-TiO\textsubscript{2} > > 12%Fe-TiO\textsubscript{2} > 12%Co-TiO\textsubscript{2}. These results are distinctly different from our previous studies with silica sol-gel in microreactor where a reverse trend was observed.

\textbf{Introduction}

Since the invention of the FT process in Germany 1920 by Franz Fisher and Hanz Tropsch, the reactions have been carried out in traditional gigantic tubular fixed bed and slurry bubble-column reactors. Exxon Mobil, Sasol, Shell and ConoPhilips have been the trailblazers of technological advancements as well as catalyst development and formulations for gas to liquid (GTL) fuel synthesis. Dieter Leckel of Sasol [1] reported on the successes and milestones accomplished by these companies using high-temperature Fischer-Tropsch (HTFT) and the low-temperature Fischer-Tropsch (LTFT) processes. Dieter pointed out that these gigantic tubular reactors and distillates can produce over 140,000bpd of GTL products and 120,000boe/d of condensate, liquefied petroleum gas and ethane making them economically viable. Some of the critical shortfalls of these huge reactors are that they could be more than 60 m tall that takes long construction time and cannot be moved to offshore (on-site) or agricultural sites where syngas/feedstocks are generated (on demand) in smaller quantities. In addition, there are problems associated with the stability of the catalysts in these reactions. As an example, in 2007, Sasol reported the technical problems associated with generation of fine particles due to catalyst attrition in their slurry bubble column reactors during the Fischer Tropsch (F-T) reactions. [2]

Over the past decade, technological emergence of portable and mobile F-T microdevices and microreactors have gained attraction in numerous industrial applications. Velocys fuel Corporation has been at the forefront for the explication of advanced microchannel gas-to-liquids technologies for monetizing bio-syngas and waste gas reserves. Tonkovich and associates from Velocys [3] have outlined the techno-economic advantages of microchannel microreactors, also known as ‘Lab-on-a-chip’ devices (because they measure a few centimeters in length and volume). They asserted that these microdevices could significantly reduce the size of conventional chemical reactors without lowering the throughput. These high throughput-microreactors could supplement or partially replace the time-consuming, more expensive and manpower consuming conventional production technologies [3].
The microchannels of the microdevices do not affect the chemistry of a reaction because the underlying chemistry is performed at the molecular level [4]. Furthermore, as it has been reported by Lerou and coworkers, the microchannel reactor design enhances the formation of greener products and greener reaction pathways to fuels [5].

Development of microreactor technology indeed provides a platform of miniature chemical plants. The reaction zones in a microreactor are the microchannels, through which the fluid flows [6]. Controlling the reaction parameters such as temperature, pressure, and flowrate are relatively easier in a microreactor since the small parallel flow paths in microreactors with high aspect ratios (channel height to width ratio) strongly reduce the pressure drops. Due to the decrease in the length of the reactor and extremely small dimensions of the microchannels, the surface-to-volume ratio of the microstructures is significantly higher compared to the conventional reactors. The large surface-to-volume ratio of microchannels inhibits gas-phase free-radical reactions, improves and maintains isothermal heat transfer for exothermic reactions. The flow properties and residence times can be controlled precisely in these small devices to attain an optimum yield and selectivity of a specific reaction [6]. In order to boost production, microreactors do not need scaling up; rather, several microreactors could be juxta positioned and operated safely.

The catalysts used for CO hydrogenation in Fischer-Tropsch (F-T) reactions are characteristically identified based on their ability to dissociate the C=O bond in the first step of the reaction mechanism and promote the C=C bond formation. It has been observed that group VIII metals show increased activity for C=C coupling reaction during hydrogenation of carbon monoxide; iron, cobalt, and ruthenium are the most used catalyst systems for F-T reactions. [7,8] Iron catalyst have been used commercially by Sasol Corporation in F-T synthesis for the past six decades [9]. It has several advantages over other catalysts such as affordability, preferred catalysts at high temperatures with increased water gas shift reaction, hence ideal for syngas feed with relatively low H2/CO ratio. It also has high activity for the production of higher alkanes that can be used directly as gasoline and also for the production of olefinic compounds necessary as a chemical feedstock for various chemical reactions [10]. Iron oxide, iron carbide and its carbonitrides are the most commonly used F-T catalysts; the most active phase is the iron carbide. In general, the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction, mostly favored by iron catalysts, produces carbon dioxide with low selectivity towards hydrocarbons, making them inherently unstable, resulting in deactivation and loss of catalytic property. However, the activity of these catalysts is enhanced in the presence of copper and alkali metals [6].

In contrast to the iron catalyst, FTS reaction on cobalt catalyst is highly dynamic depending strongly on the partial pressure of reactants, temperature, and reactor residence time [11]. The active phase of cobalt catalyst is pure metallic cobalt, mostly used for low-temperature reactions with hydrogen-rich sources like natural gas-based syngas. Cobalt catalysts have less tendency to water gas shift (WGS) activity and result in the formation of very active and linear hydrocarbon with higher selectivity when used with noble metal promoters [6]. Furthermore, it has been reported that cobalt catalyst reduces the formation of carbides that are precursors to the formation of coke and hence increase the catalyst life [12]. These catalysts are expensive and used with metal oxide supports for better stability.

Compared to Fe, Co is known to be a very active and suitable catalyst for hydrogenation of carbon monoxide. It shows promising conversion with higher hydrocarbon selectivity during FTS reaction [11]. Ruthenium is also known to make higher alcohols as an alternative to higher alkanes. Therefore, a correct understanding of the process parameters like pressure, temperature and feed ratios is needed to optimize its use for FTS reaction [13]. Although ruthenium is a very good catalyst for fundamental studies of FTS, it is very expensive (around 50,000 times the price of iron).

In addition to metal catalysts described above, the catalyst support plays a very significant role in F-T synthesis. Many inorganic supports such as, zirconia, ceria, titania, carbon nanotubes, silica-zirconia, silice-alumina, tungsten-zirconia, sulfated zirconia [14,15], SiO2 [16-18], Al2O3 [19,20], TiO2 [21-23] and zeolites [24-26] for anchoring different catalysts have been studied extensively for years. Support largely influences the oxidation state of metal, particle size and the degree of reduction. For example, Co-oxide species in SiO2 gets reduced easily to large Co-particles in H2 atmosphere at relatively low temperature as the interaction between SiO2 and cobalt is weak. In contrast, much higher temperature is required for reduction of Co-oxides in Al2O3 due to stronger interaction between Co and Al2O3. Small Co-metal particles tend to be formed on Al2O3. The interaction between metal and TiO2 is in between SiO2 and Al2O3.

For F-T reactions, conversion of CO and hydrocarbon product distribution has been shown to be a function of catalyst preparation and reaction conditions. For instance, Jothimurugesan and Gangwal observed CH4 selectivity from 10% to 34% depending on the reaction parameters [27]. Duvenhage et al. [28] also showed that variability in CH4 and longer chain hydrocarbon selectivity depended on the calcination and reduction temperature. Duvenhage and Coville further demonstrated that the method of catalyst preparation played a major role in hydrocarbon product distribution [29]. They inferred that catalyst prepared by impregnation using carbonyl precursors yielded very low amounts of CH4 while those prepared by precipitation technique produced over 20% CH4. In our previous F-T studies [30], we used Co, Fe and Ru in silica using Si-microchannel microreactor. We observed that the reaction temperature, the type of metal and the H2/CO mole ratio were critical for maximum CO conversion and hydrocarbon fuel selectivity. For example, at 250 °C and H2/CO mole ratio of 2:1 Ru/SiO2 showed the highest FT reactivity and Fe/SiO2 the least. However, Co/SiO2 showed the highest ~90% CO conversion. Furthermore, after continuous 72 h FT reaction, Co/SiO2 catalyst showed the highest stability followed by Fe/SiO2 while Ru/SiO2 exhibited the least stability. One of the major objectives of this present study is to investigate the effect of TiO2 support on metals such as Co, Fe and Ru since no previous studies using TiO2 sol-gel coated in a microreactor has been reported. While TiO2 is suitable for practical applications due to its low cost, safety and chemical stability; it has been reported that the strength of Co-TiO2 interaction is in the middle of Co/SiO2 and Co/Al2O3. Thus, we envisaged that the metal particles with higher reducibility can be obtained in our studies. Further, we hypothesized that the strong electronic and metal interaction properties of nanocrystalline TiO2 support could enhance the selectivity and yield of synfuels in a microreactor and more specifically can be tuned by the effect of reaction temperature. More significantly, we wanted to compare these catalysts in TiO2 to our previous F-T studies in silica support.

In this paper, we report successful coating of the microchannels of a Si-microreactor with TiO2 sol gel catalysts and show that C1-C4 hydrocarbons or synfuels are formed at 1 atm. Compared to our previous work with SiO2 supported catalysts, we have ascertained that Co, Fe, & Ru behave quite differently on TiO2 due to effects of different polymorphs of the TiO2. Indeed, the morphology and crystal phase of TiO2 have a profound effect on conversion, stability of the catalysts, and selectivity of the produced synfuels at 1 atm in the Si-microreactor. Both F-T activity and stability in TiO2 are conspicuously different, i.e. Ru-TiO2 > Fe-TiO2 > Co-TiO2 in contrast to our previous studies with silica support.

Materials and methods

The reagents procured and used for synthesis of the sol-gel catalysts. Titanium Isopropoxide, Ruthenium (111) Chloride, Iron (III) Nitrate Nonahydrate and Nitric acid were purchased from ACROS ORGANICS. Cobalt (II) Nitrate Hexahydrate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Silicon wafers- single side polished, 100 mm diameter, and 500 μm thick wafers were procured from Louisiana (LA) Tech University for the
microfabrication of the microreactors. The F-T reactions were carried out by simulating synthesis gas involving the composition of H₂ and CO gases. Ultra-high pure H₂ from AIR LIQUIDE, Plumstead Ville, PA, USA, and 98% pure CO from Air gas were procured for the reaction. 5.0 grade (99.999%) He from Machine and Welding Supply Corporation. Greensboro, NC was used, as a carrier of the reaction product gases. Ultra-high purity N₂ from Machine and Welding Supply Corporation was used as the reaction internal standard and for BET surface area analysis. For the reduction of the catalysts, 10/90 vol percentage of H₂/Ar was used from Machine and Welding Supply Corporation. To maintain an inert environment inside the furnace prior to reduction of the catalyst, it was purged using 5.0 grade N₂ gas.

Fabrication of silicon microreactors

The complete fabrication process is based on previous work performed at Louisiana Tech University [31]. The microreactors were fabricated by a lithographic process followed by Deep Reactive Ion Etching (DRIE). Prior to microfabrication of the microchannel silicon microreactors, the silicon wafers of 100 mm diameter and 500 μm thick were procured. The design of the microreactor is based on a split and recombination principle. The technology involves pyramidal divisions of inlets and outlets into numerous sublets for controlled diffusion of the gases (reactants and products) into and out of the straight channels. Two types of microchannel silicon microreactors were used in our studies. The initial dimensions of the wafers containing 116 straight channels and 1.3 cm long were either (a) 50 μm wide, 80 μm deep, or (b) 50 μm wide, 130 μm deep. The 50 μm wide by 100 μm deep microreactors were also fabricated at Louisiana (LA) Tech University using deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) technique.

Catalyst synthesis and coating of microreactor

Sol-gel chemistry was used for the preparation of the catalyst and coating of the microchannels. The precursor titanium isopropoxide (TTIP), ethanol, water, and acetylacetone are mixed in molar ratio 1:20:1:1, respectively [32]. For the preparation of titania sol-gel, nitric acid was used as a catalyst. Fig. 1 shows flow diagram for sol-gel synthesis. Acetyl acetone acts as a stabilizer that promotes a controlled hydrolysis-condensation process to ensure formation of a homogeneous polymeric gel instead of particulate sols [9]. TiO₂ sol was prepared by mixing TTIP: ethanol in a molar ratio of 1:10. Afterward, an acetylacetone stabilizer was added such that the molar ratio of acetylacetone to TTIP was unity. Then deionized water and ethanol were mixed and added slowly under continuous stirring to prevent precipitation of the sol. The final molar ratio of ethanol: TTIP in the sol then was 20, and the molar ratio of water: TTIP was one. Nitric acid (0.1 M) was then added dropwise to adjust the pH to 4.5; and the solution was stirred and heated at 40 °C for 24 h. The catalyst precursors were then added in proportions such that the metal loading was 12 wt% in the titania sol-gel. This mixture was then stirred (aged) at 40 °C for a week to bolster the catalyst surface area and proper encapsulation of the metal in the titania support [4]. Calcined microreactors and powder form of the catalysts were reduced under hydrogen/argon (10/90 vol ratio) atmosphere. The tubular furnace was first purged with nitrogen for 30 min in order to remove traces of air from the furnace. The flow rate of the hydrogen/argon mixture was set at 3.5 L/min at a heating ramp rate of 5 °C/min.

Catalyst Coating inside the microchannels of Si-microreactor

Catalyst loading in the microchannels is one of the most critical steps for effective use of this fabricated microdevice for chemical reactions. This step is done in several ways such as dip coating, drop coating, and sputter coating. These methods have been discussed in detail elsewhere [4]. An in-situ approach for loading the catalyst was used in this work to overcome the disadvantages of other methods mentioned in the literature. This method is called closed channel infiltration (CCI) method. For better sealing during catalyst coating, two compressible silicon gaskets were placed on either side of the microreactor. This ensures uniform coating as well as retention of the catalysts in the microchannels. The microreactor is placed between two aluminum heating blocks as shown in Fig. 2.

The inlet of the upper heating block is connected with C-FLEX tubing, after which the catalyst is suctioned into a syringe and pumped into the microreactor using a KD Scientific® syringe pump. In this method, the flowrate is kept very low and constant (i.e., around 0.08 ml/h), and the temperature was maintained at 30–40 °C.

---

**Fig. 1.** Metal-TiO₂ sol-gel synthesis flow diagram.
throughout the injection. When the first drop of sol-gel solution shows up at the outlet, the pump is turned off. Despite the fact that the CCI method is relatively more time-consuming, it is less complicated and cheaper than other coating methods [4]. The loaded microreactor is first dried at 450 °C for 24 h then dried at 100 °C for another 24 h and then calcined as discussed below.

The coated microreactors were calcined in a stepwise fashion at 200 °C for 1 h, 400 °C for 2 h and 500 °C for 4 h at a ramp rate of 4 °C/min. The stepwise heating is essential for the gradual decomposition or removal of the metal precursors and the stabilizer to minimize cracking of the coated surfaces. This ultimately makes the catalyst adhere better unto the silicon interface and it is suitable during the F-T reaction. Calcined microreactors and powder form of the catalysts (Table 1) were reduced under hydrogen/argon (10/90 vol ratio) atmosphere. The tubular furnace was first purged with nitrogen for 30 min in order to remove traces of air. The flow rate of the hydrogen/argon mixture was set at 3.5 L/min at a heating ramp rate of 5 °C/min. The stepwise heating is essential for the gradual decomposition or removal of the metal precursors and the stabilizer to minimize cracking of the coated surfaces. This ultimately makes the catalyst adhere better unto the silicon interface and it is suitable during the F-T reaction. Calcined microreactors and powder form of the catalysts (Table 1) were reduced under hydrogen/argon (10/90 vol ratio) atmosphere. The tubular furnace was first purged with nitrogen for 30 min in order to remove traces of air. The flow rate of the hydrogen/argon mixture was set at 3.5 L/min at a heating ramp rate of 5 °C/min. Table 1 shows the reduction conditions for the calcined powder and coated microreactor catalysts. The hydrocarbon selectivity was quantified on carbon basis and CO2 selectivity was not included in the calculations and the formulas are as follows:

\[
\text{CO Conversion}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of CO}_\text{in} - \text{moles of CO}_\text{out}}{\text{moles of CO}_\text{in}}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_4 \text{ Selectivity} = \frac{m\text{CH}_4}{m\text{CH}_4 + 2m\text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + 3m\text{C}_3\text{H}_8 + 4m\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \text{ Selectivity} = \frac{2m\text{C}_2\text{H}_6}{m\text{CH}_4 + 2m\text{I}_2\text{H}_6 + 3m\text{C}_3\text{H}_8 + 4m\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}} \times 100
\]

We must emphasize that although CO2 selectivity can be used as a descriptor of the water-gas-shift activity, it was not included in the calculations because our paramount objective was to understand the distinctive interaction between the TiO2 support and the Fe, Co, Ru metals and how it differs from that observed in our previous study with SiO2.

Fischer-Tropsch experimental set up and operation

Fischer-Tropsch experiments were carried out using an in-house experimental setup built with precise control on the operating conditions - temperature, pressure and flow rate. Fig. 3 shows the experimental setup on a buoyant optical table which serves as anti-vibration bench. The complete set-up for micro devices consist of 1: mass flow controllers for H2 and CO gases; 2: closed stainless steel heating block; 3: pressure gauge; 4: N2 mass flow controller for; 5: Gas chromatography; 6: CO gas detector; 7: syringe pump; 8: Aluminum block for microreactor coating; 9: solid state relay; 10: electrical connections; 11: Mass spectrometer (GCMS); 12: opened stainless steel heating block; 13: stainless steel heating block with elastomer gasket; 14: calcined and reduced microreactor. The flow rates for the reactants were controlled by a pre-calibrated mass flow controller (Cole-Parmer) with a maximum flow range of 1 sccm at 40 psi pressure. The carrier gas (Pure Nitrogen) was used to pre-calibrate the carrier gas flow controller (Aalborg) with a maximum flow rate of 10 sccm.

As shown in Fig. 3, both microreactor and a gasket with inlet/outlet holes were sandwiched between two stainless steel blocks for heating; and tightened with a torque wrench (45 psi) to make the unit leak proof. Different gaskets (graphite, polymers, hard paper, etc.) were examined, and the most thermally stable elastomer was chosen. The bottom block had two 1/16th in. stainless steel interconnectors, one end of which connects the external 1/16th in. tubings and the other is bored into the steel block connecting to a capillary hole inside the block having the same dimensions as the microreactor inlet. Both steel blocks had cartridge heaters (one in the top block and two in the bottom) and were used to attain the optimum reaction temperature. Two K-type thermocouples were lodged in the bottom block to ensure that the temperature of the block is maintained at the required set point. The detailed design is shown in Fig. 3. During the reaction, H2 and CO gases are flown through gas filter units, then, into two separate Cole Parmer® flowmeters (model number-32907-51 for both) and then into the outlet tubing of the flow meters are connected to single 1/16th-in. tubing. This 1/16th in. tubing serves as a pre-mixer of the gases prior to entering the reactor and is connected to the inlet interconnector of the steel heating block. The outlet tubing of the block is connected to a Cole Parmer® pressure gauge (model number-00314IS), which measures the pressure buildup in the line. The gauge is connected to a pressure safety valve-PSV-D (Aalborg-PSV-1) that regulates the pressure in the line. The outlet of the PSV-D valve is connected directly to the carrier gas (Nitrogen) line, also controlled by an Aalborg mass flow controller (model number-GFC17). Finally, the mixture of the carrier gas and reaction products are detected on-line by a calibrated GC (Agilent 7890B) equipped with a TCD and a mass spectrometer (Agilent MSD 5977A series). The calibration was performed with various calibration gas-mixtures and pure gases obtained from Air-Gas Company. The TCD was used for the analyses of H2, N2, CO, CO2 and CH4 while the mass spectrometer was used to analyze C2H6, C3H8 and C4H10 fractions. All the mass flow rates and pressure controllers were regulated using a LabVIEW® program. In a typical F-T reaction, the pre-reduced microreactor is placed in the heating block so that the catalyst-coated side touches the base heating block. This ensures a perfect alignment of the holes (inlet & outlet) of the microreactor and the heating block to make the catalyst have a direct contact with the syngas. A graphite gasket (same size as the reactor) is gently placed on top of the reactor to prevent channeling of the reactant gases. The elastomer (Blue-Gard asbestos free gasket) is then used to seal the entire opening of the heating block and tightened with a torque wrench (45 psi) to make the entire reaction unit/block air-tight or leak proof.

Results and discussion

Characterization of the catalysts

SEM-EDX elemental analysis and mapping of catalysts

The experimental and the theoretical metal loadings in the catalysts of the coated calcined microreactors are shown in Table 2.

The uncoated microreactor was weighed and subtracted from the
weight of the microreactor after reduction. The average catalyst weight was found to be 0.5966 mg. The SEM image and the EDX analysis (Fig. 4) show that the 1.3 cm long × 50 μm wide × 100 μm deep microreactors were well coated, confirming the effectiveness of the CCI [30] approach described previously. The EDX elemental analysis further indicated that Co, Ru and Fe were uniformly embedded in the microchannels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Intended loading (%)</th>
<th>Actual loading (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe/TiO₂</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/TiO₂</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/TiO₂</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thermogravimetric analysis-differential scanning calorimetry (TGA-DSC)

As-synthesized powder samples were subjected to simultaneous TGA-DSC studies to monitor their thermal decomposition behavior. Fig. 5 shows TGA profiles of all three catalysts. The endothermic weight loss below 100 °C is attributed to removal of moisture, ethanol and/or ethanol-isopropyl alcohol mixture formed during hydrolysis of the limiting reagent, TIP. The intense exothermic decomposition from 200 °C to 400 °C observed in all catalysts could be ascribed to decomposition of acetylacetonate-metal [Co(acac)₂, Fe(acac)₂, Ru(acac)₂] complexes as well as TiO(acac)₂ [33,34] species. This is consistent with the reports in literature that acetylacetonate (a bidentate β-diketonate ligand) binds to transition metals through its oxygen atoms to form stable chelates [35–37] that decomposes at relatively high temperature. The small weight loss between 400 °C and 600 °C is probably due to the removal of traces of acetic acid possibly produced from acetylacetonate decomposition. The phase change that occurred above 600 °C with negligible weight loss is associated with poly condensation of Ti–OH.
groups to form the crystalline Ti–O–Ti–O–Ti–O– anatase framework. [38]

**Powder X-ray analysis**

Fig. 6 shows wide angle X-ray diffraction (WAXRD) patterns of calcined catalysts. All three catalysts exhibited clearly defined intense peaks confirming their crystalline nature. 12 wt% Fe-TiO$_2$ showed strong diffraction peaks at 25.2°, 37.8°, 48.1°, 54.5°, and 62.6° indexed at (101), (004), (200), (211) and (204) reflection planes respectively; indicative of the anatase phase of TiO$_2$. [39]. The anatase structure of 12 wt% Co-TiO$_2$ is also confirmed by the diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 25.3°, 36.8°, 37.8°, 48°, 53.9°, 55°, and 62.6° indexed to the (101), (103), (004), (200), (105), (211), (204) planes respectively. [39,40] 12 wt% Ru-TiO$_2$ showed diffraction peaks 25.19°, 27.48°, 34.93°, 61.66°, 356.21°, 396.98°, 587.14° respectively.

![Fig. 5. TGA and DSC profiles of TiO$_2$ supported Co, Fe, and Ru catalysts used for F-T reactions.](image)

![Fig. 6. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of TiO$_2$ supported Co, Fe and Ru catalysts.](image)
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst System</th>
<th>Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Average pore size (nm)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cc/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12%Ru-TiO₂</td>
<td>118.39</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%Fe-TiO₂</td>
<td>117.03</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%Co-TiO₂</td>
<td>48.15</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37.83°, 47.46°, 53.91°, 62.83°, 68.84°, and 75.1°. No discernible peaks observed for the metal oxides suggested that either the metal oxides are not crystalline enough compared to TiO₂ (i.e., relatively weaker diffractions) or well dispersed on the surface. [41,42] The intense peaks at 27.48° and 34.93° Ru-TiO₂ in Fig. 6 are indexed to 110 plane of the rutile phase of TiO₂. These peaks suggest that unlike Fe and Co, Ru-TiO₂ sol-gel consists of mixed anatase and rutile phases of TiO₂.

The size of TiO₂ crystallites calculated by the Scherer equation were 6.91 nm, 8.27 nm and 9.9 nm for Fe-TiO₂ Ru-TiO₂, and Co-TiO₂ catalysts, respectively.

Surface area analysis of calcined samples

The specific surface area, pore-size, and pore volume of the catalysts were determined using a Quantachrome NOVA 2200e instrument. Adsorption-desorption isotherms were generated by flowing nitrogen onto the material in a bath of liquid nitrogen at 77 K. Prior to analysis, the samples were degassed under vacuum at 150 °C. The surface area was calculated by using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation from the adsorption branch of the isotherm in a relative pressure (P/P₀) range of 0.07–0.3. The total pore volume was derived based on the amount of N₂ adsorbed at a relative pressure close to unity. Table 3 summarizes the multipoint BET analyses of the powder form of calcined catalysts. The average pore sizes suggested mesoporosity of the nanocatalysts.

H₂-temperature programmed reduction (TPR) analysis

Fig. 7 shows the reduction profiles of the calcined powder catalysts. The profiles clearly show that the interaction with TiO₂ was different for each metal. Unlike Co-TiO₂ and Fe-TiO₂, Ru-TiO₂ was reduced at relatively lower temperature from 100 °C to about 240 °C. The main hydrogen consumption from 80 °C to 125 °C is associated with the reduction of Ru³⁺ to Ru⁰. The reduction from 150 °C to 250 °C is ascribed to the reduction of Fe₂O₃, while Fe₃O₄ and FeO were reduced with H₂ in-situ at 300 °C for 2 h. The reduction from 450 °C to 800 °C respectively, but deactivated completely after 1 h. A temperature increase did not seem to have any significant effect on hydrocarbon selectivity. Methane selectivity was ≥95% in the temperature range of 220 °C–300 °C. While the ethane selectivity capped at 4% at 250 °C, no C₂/C₃ products were detected at any reaction temperature. These results are significantly different from that reported previously for F-T studies using Co-SiO₂ catalysts in a Si-microreactor. In our previous studies, CO conversion more than 90% with a selectivity to propane, ethane and methane in the order Co > Fe > Ru with SiO₂ support were observed. More significantly, deactivation studies showed that Co-SiO₂ was stable for a long period of time, for more than 70 h.

The activity of the catalyst with TiO₂ support depends on the crystalline structure of support. In order to understand this behavior of Co-TiO₂, especially instability of the catalyst for FT synthesis in microreactor, we looked closely at the XRD of Co-TiO₂ and TPR results and compared them to that reported in the literature. As described above in the XRD section, Co-TiO₂ predominantly shows the crystalline form of anatase. Jongsomjit et al., reported that the activity of the catalyst with rutile phase in TiO₂ for Co based catalyst greatly enhanced activity during CO hydrogenation. This rutile phase in titania favors reduction of Co oxides into cobalt metal [51]. It is also reported to enhance the stability of the titania support leading to lesser degrees of loss in reducibility during the reduction of catalyst. Previous studies have shown that around 15% of rutile phase in TiO₂ exhibited four times higher conversion rate than that of pure anatase, TiO₂ [22,24]. Thus, the absence of any appreciable amount of rutile phase in TiO₂ of our sol-gel supported catalysts may explain why the Fe and Co catalysts lost their activity in a short time, culminating in a rapid decline of CO conversion.

As shown in Fig. 9, the overall trend for CO conversion using 12% Fe-TiO₂ was similar to that of 12%Co-TiO₂.

Nonetheless few subtle distinctions are worth pointing out. A significant CO hydrogenation, 85% and 73%, was observed at 180 °C and 150 °C respectively within 30 min of reaction. A sharp decline in deactivation was observed at all reaction temperatures. Interestingly, whereas Cobalt displayed 100% CO at 250 °C, iron performed poorly (8%) at the same temperature. In contrast, while complete deactivation of Co at 300 °C, occurred after 2 h, Fe maintained, ~23% CO conversion after 4 h at the same temperature. Although 100% methane selectivity was sustained as temperature was increased from 150 °C to 250 °C, no selectivity towards C₂-C₄ products was observed. At higher temperature ·300 °C, 12%Fe-TiO₂ performed much better with selectivity of ~80%, T₆,11.6% and 4% towards methane, ethane, propane and butane respectively. Conspicuously, as the temperature was increased from 250 °C to 300 °C, Fe-TiO₂ regained activity with ~20% increase in CO conversion. Concomitantly, −CH₂ transition was enhanced producing relatively more hydrocarbons (C₂-C₄) as well as traces of oxygenates. We believe that transformation of the iron oxides/FeO to iron carbide (between 250 °C and 300 °C) was responsible for the improvement in the activity of Fe-TiO₂. This behavior is in good agreement with observations made by Ordomsky et al [52]. They reported that carbide formation occurred after treatment with CO at 350 °C for 3 h followed by syngas purging at 300 °C for 2 h. They inferred that the presence of carbon in iron carbide lattices enhanced CO hydrogenation to methane and initiated chain growth reactions leading to formation of C₂-C₄ hydrocarbons. The higher stability and reactivity of iron carbide phase of iron catalysts relative to that of oxides are consistent with that reported by other research groups. [53,54]

Fig. 10 shows CO conversion for 12%Ru-TiO₂ (except at 150 °C) that is sharply different from those observed for Co and Fe. Although the rate of 12%Ru-TiO₂ deactivation at 220 °C was faster than the rate at
180 °C, both Fe and Co catalysts were completely deactivated after 5 h. The Ru catalyst stability improved with increasing temperature. At the end of 1 h time-on-stream, CO conversion was 100% at all temperatures except at 150 °C. At 180 °C, CO conversion was 100% for 4 h and then deactivated steadily to 80% an hour later. At 220 °C, gradual deactivation began after 2 h, and CO conversion decreased from 98% to about 74% after 5 h. CO conversion at 250 °C increased sharply (within 30 min of reaction) from 60% to 100% after 1 h and held steady after 7 h. The reaction at 300 °C, started with 100% CO conversion and remained the same after 7 h, showed no sign of deactivation similar to the reaction at 250 °C.

In addition to better CO conversion and stability, 12%Ru-TiO₂ also showed relatively higher selectivity towards C₁-C₄ hydrocarbons. As expected, when CH₄ selectivity increased, selectivity towards the other hydrocarbons decreased and vice versa. Increasing temperature did not have a definitive pattern/trend on the hydrocarbon selectivity. CH₄ selectivity was 90% at 150 °C, decreased monotonically to 49% at 220 °C, and then increased rapidly to 100% at 300 °C. At 150 °C, selectivity towards ethane, propane and butane was 2.9%, 3.7% and 2.5% respectively when steady state was reached. When temperature was increased to 180 °C, selectivity rose slightly to ethane 4.9%, and 8% for both propane and butane. Reaction at 220 °C yielded the highest C₂-C₄ hydrocarbon selectivity - 11% ethane, 22% propane and 17% butane. The selectivity at 250 °C declined to 6% ethane, 5% propane and 2% butane. However, unlike 12%Fe-TiO₂, selectivity of 12%Ru-TiO₂ towards all the C₂-C₄ hydrocarbons was not significant at 300 °C. This
suggested that at 300 °C, absolutely no −CH− polymerization took place and the Ru (F-T) activity was mainly methanation of CO. The dominant C2-C4 selectivity of 12%Ru-TiO2 compared to 12%Fe-TiO2 and 12%Co-TiO2 is in sound agreement with observations made by Gonzalez Carballo and coworkers [55]. They reported that at 250 °C CO dissociation sites of Ru-TiO2 are readily available at the initial stages of reaction, thereby forming numerous C1 moieties which upon hydrogenation diffuse rapidly to the chain growth sites to promote formation of higher hydrocarbons.

Currently, the molecular/atomic level mechanism for the rapid deactivation of Fe-TiO2 and Co-TiO2 especially that of Co-TiO2 catalysts are not fully understood. In our previous study, Fe, Co and Ru supported on SiO2 showed very good stability in the order of Co-SiO2 > Fe-SiO2 > Ru-SiO2 [30]. Our current results suggest that the type of support and the crystalline phase of TiO2 have a profound effect on the F-T activity of the catalysts. Jongsomjit et al. [56, 57] as well as Shimura and coworkers [22] have shown that for TiO2 supported catalysts, the greater the rutile to anatase crystal phase ratio (19% Rutile yields optimum performance) in the TiO2 crystal lattice, better stability and F-T activity are observed. As discussed previously in our WAXRD analysis (Fig. 6), only 12%Ru-TiO2 exhibited a rutile crystal polymorph. This indicated that under our reaction conditions, the mixed rutile and anatase crystal phases played a major role in the catalytic stability and superiority of 12%Ru-TiO2. The rutile phase in the support undoubtedly enhanced the FT synthesis. Furthermore, the very low reduction/activation temperature of the ruthenium oxides (80 °C) also contributed to its better reactivity. Hurst and coworkers [60] elucidated that the lower the reduction temperature (greater ease of reduction) the more negative is the Gibbs free energy (ΔG) of the reduction process, and the greater the reactivity of the metal. They also ascertained that the ease of reducibility promotes an optimum metal support interaction which results in an enhanced dispersion of the metal active sites. Conversely, the dominant TiO2 anatase phase in 12%Fe-TiO2 and 12%Co-TiO2 may have played a significant role in their rapid deactivation and poor F-T performance especially in the case of 12%Co-TiO2. In the case of Fe-TiO2, formation of Fe-carbide is important and provides stability and conversion of CO. The chemical properties especially of TiO2 as a catalyst mainly depend on the anatase and rutile phase fractions of the material. Thus, changing reaction conditions to favor a rutile phase of TiO2 can significantly enhance the performance of the catalyst. In addition, the calcination temperature, relative ease of reducibility of the metal oxides and interaction with the type of active crystal phase of the metal oxides could also be a factor in the catalyst’s performance. Shimura et al concluded that Co/TiO2 exhibits strong metal support interaction (SMSI) hence minimizes the aggregation of the Co active sites during H2-reduction, resulting in decreased Co metal dispersion and low FT activity. Their observation which is underscored by ours suggests that the high temperature reduction profiles of Fe-TiO2 and Co-TiO2 (Fig. 7) play an important role; they indicate that SMSI could have been a factor in the poor FT activity of both catalysts. Diehl et al. [58] pointed out that decrease in cobalt particle sizes to about 6–8 nm results in higher methane selectivity and Almeida et al [59] concluded that the catalyst layer in microchannels must be optimized to establish a porosity domain that permits easy diffusion of the feed (syngas) through the pores of the catalyst coating and particles. The latter group showed that increase in thickness of catalyst coating (from 32um to 82um) augments the resistance to diffusion of CO gas which leads to quick deactivation and profoundly affect the hydrocarbon selectivity as well as productivity per unit volume of the reactor.

**Conclusion**

Silicon based microchannel microreactors were used for Fischer-Tropsch studies with Fe, Co and Ru as catalyst on TiO2 sol-gel support to understand the interaction of support with metal. The uniform coating inside the microchannels was obtained using the closed channel infiltration method. XRD and TPR studies indicated the presence of mixed rutile and anatase polymorphs in Ru-TiO2. In contrast, only the anatase phase was present in the Co-TiO2 and Fe-TiO2 catalysts. The rutile phase of Ru-TiO2 played a significant role on CO conversion under the operating conditions at 1 atm in the temperature range of 150 °C–300 °C. Unlike Ru-TiO2 which was reduced at a quite lower
temperature, the high temperature reduction profiles of Fe-TiO2 and Co-TiO2 indicated a significant strong metal (Co and Fe) support interaction (SMSI). This most likely caused a minimal dispersion of the metal active sites that could be a major factor in the poor FT activity of both Fe- and Co-catalysts. In regard to hydrocarbon selectivity and stability of the catalyst, the optimum reaction temperature for 12%Co-TiO2 was 220 °C while for 12%Fe-TiO2 it was 300 °C. Unlike Ru-TiO2, the absence of significant amount of rutile polymorph in Co-TiO2 and Fe-TiO2 decreased the stability and selectivity of the catalysts. In the case of 12%Ru-TiO2, although CO conversion was similar at 220 °C and 250 °C, C2-C4 selectivity was much higher at 220 °C than that observed at 250 °C. However, due to better catalyst stability at 250 °C, it was chosen as the optimum reaction temperature for 12%Ru-TiO2. In overall, both catalysts stability and reactivity were in the order 12%Ru-TiO2 > > 12%Fe-TiO2 > 12%Co-TiO2. These results are significantly different from our previous work with silica wherein stability was in the order of Co-SiO2 > Fe-SiO2 > > Ru-SiO2.
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