

Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2019. 49:X–X  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-070218-010023>  
Copyright © 2019 by Annual Reviews.  
All rights reserved  
*Hu et al.*

[www.annualreviews.org](http://www.annualreviews.org) • *Topological Semimetals: Transport* **[\*\*AU: Please provide running title, keeping in mind that it should be ~40 characters or fewer, including spaces, per house style\*\*]**

## Transport of Topological Semimetals

Jin Hu,<sup>1</sup> Su-Yang Xu,<sup>2,3</sup> Ni Ni,<sup>4</sup> and Zhiqiang Mao<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Institute for Nanoscience and Engineering, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72703, US; email: [jinhu@uark.edu](mailto:jinhu@uark.edu)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA; email: [suyangxu@mit.edu](mailto:suyangxu@mit.edu)

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Topological Quantum Matter and Spectroscopy (B7), Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; email: [nini@physics.ucla.edu](mailto:nini@physics.ucla.edu)

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA; email: [zim1@psu.edu](mailto:zim1@psu.edu)

**[\*\*AU: (1) Please confirm (a) the accuracy of all affiliation information and (b) the appearance of all author names, including middle initials. Please update as needed, e.g., to match previous publications. (2) House style denotes corresponding authorship by listing the corresponding author's email address only, as done here. OK?\*\*]**

## Keywords

**[\*\*Topological semimetals, Dirac semimetals, Weyl semimetals, Transport, Quantum Oscillation\*\*]**

## Abstract

**[\*\*AU: Abstracts should be no more than 150 words, per house style, so all the abstract text can appear on the first page of the typeset article. Please trim as appropriate.\*\*]** Three-

dimensional (3D) topological semimetals represent a new class of topological matters. The study of this family of materials has been at the frontiers of condensed matter physics, and many breakthroughs have been made. Several topological semimetal phases, including Dirac semimetals (DSMs), Weyl semimetals (WSMs), nodal-line semimetals (NLSMs), and triple-point semimetals, have been theoretically predicted and experimentally demonstrated. The **low-energy excitation** around the Dirac/Weyl nodal points, nodal line, or triply degenerated nodal point can be viewed as emergent relativistic fermions. [**←\*\*AU: Could the highlighted be hyphenated to “low-energy excitation”? JH: OK\*\***] Experimental studies have shown relativistic fermions can result in a rich variety of exotic transport properties, e.g., extremely large magnetoresistance, the **chiral anomaly**, intrinsic anomalous Hall effect (AHE), etc. [**←\*\*AU: Below, sometimes “the chiral anomaly” appears and sometimes simply “chiral anomaly” (with no definite object “the”). For consistency, please indicate whether this term should have “the” before it; changes will be made to text according to your response\*\***] In this review, we first briefly introduce band structural characteristics of each topological semimetal phase and then review the current studies on quantum oscillations and exotic transport properties of various topological semimetals, and finally provide a perspective of this area.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The rich cross-pollination between high-energy physics and condensed matter physics has led to deeper knowledge of important topics in physics such as spontaneous symmetry breaking, phase transitions, and renormalization (1, 2). Such knowledge has, in turn, greatly helped physicists and materials scientists to better understand magnets, superconductors, and other novel materials, leading to practical device applications (1). In the past decade, there has been significant interest in realizing high-energy particles in solid-state systems. The theoretical attempts to explain graphene’s properties (3) by using solid-state physics led to an equation similar to one otherwise seen in cosmology and colliders: the Dirac equation. Following graphene’s discovery, many materials with nodal band crossings, known as topological insulators and semimetals (4–11), were discovered, generating significant research excitement. The topological Dirac semimetals (DSMs) (12–14) and Weyl semimetals (WSMs) (2, 15–23) are crystalline solids whose low-energy electronic excitations resemble the Dirac (24) and Weyl (15) fermions in high-energy particle physics, respectively. In particular, although the Weyl fermion played a crucial rule in

the Standard Model (15), it has never been observed as a fundamental particle. The realization of the topological WSM state (22, 23, 25–27) enables the observation of this elusive particle in physics. Topological semimetals further allow for band crossings beyond high-energy classifications. Primary examples include the type II WSMs (28) and DSMs (29), the nodal-line semimetals (NLSMs) (30), and the unconventional fermion semimetals (31–36). Due to the rich variety of crystalline and magnetic symmetry properties of condensed matter systems (37), it is likely that such breakthroughs are only the tip of an iceberg and that there are ample new topological semimetals awaiting discovery. [**←\*\*AU: OK? – JH: OK\*\***] These topological semimetals provide platforms for studying a number of important concepts in high-energy physics (e.g., the chiral anomaly) in tabletop experiments. Moreover, such materials extend the classification of topological phases from gapped matter (e.g., insulators) to gapless systems (e.g., metals).

Topological semimetals enable a kaleidoscope of novel electronic properties. They support exotic, topologically protected boundary modes such as the topological Fermi arcs and drumhead surface states. These surface states have been directly observed in spectroscopic measurements (19, 25, 27, 38–42). The Fermi arcs also lead to unusual quantum cyclotron orbits (the Weyl orbits) as observed in quantum oscillation measurements (43, 44). Because of linear dispersion and spin (pseudospin) momentum locking, low-energy electrons in topological semimetals are highly robust against crystalline disorder and imperfections, leading to very high electron mobilities (45, 46). The compensating electron and hole carriers further cause nonsaturating magnetoresistance (MR) (46–48) and magnetothermopower (49–51). The application of parallel electric and magnetic fields can break the apparent conservation of the chiral charge (10, 11, 52, 53). Such chiral anomaly leads to enhanced conductivity with an increasing magnetic field. The diverging Berry curvatures near the nodal points support distinct anomalous transport phenomena, including intrinsic AHEs (54–56) and anomalous Nernst effects (57, 58). Such curvatures also support significantly enhanced optical and optoelectronic phenomena, including large (even quantized) photocurrents (59–64), second-harmonic generation (65, 66), optical activity and gyrotropy (67–69), and Kerr rotation (70, 71). Furthermore, thinning down a 3D topological semimetal into 2D may give rise to new 2D topology, including the quantum spin Hall insulator (QSHI) and the quantum anomalous Hall insulator (QAHI) (14, 20, 21, 72–76). These unconventional transport and optical properties of topological semimetals can pave the

way for the realization of dissipationless electronic and spintronic devices as well as efficient photodetectors and energy harvesters.

The area of 3D topological semimetals is fast growing; many papers have been published on theoretical predictions and experimental studies. There have been many reviews that introduce progress in theoretical and experimental studies on topological semimetals (8–11, 76–85). In this review, we focus on electronic transport and quantum oscillation studies on topological semimetals; these two topics have not been reviewed comprehensively in previous reviews. Before we discuss these topics in detail, we first briefly introduce each prototype topological semimetal phase and discuss their band structure characteristics, topological **invariants**, [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: **invariants?** **JH: yes**\*\*] and symmetry protections.

## 2. CATEGORIES OF TOPOLOGICAL SEMIMETALS

In this section, we discuss various 3D topological semimetal phases of matter, including WSMs, DSMs, NLSMs, and unconventional fermion semimetals beyond the Dirac and Weyl paradigm. For each kind of topological semimetal, we focus on three aspects: the characteristic band structure (the number of bands that cross, the dimensionality of the band crossing in  $k$  space, and the typical energy-momentum dispersion), the topological invariant and the symmetry protections, and representative materials.

### 2.1. Weyl Semimetals

WSMs are a class of topological semimetals that host Weyl fermions as low-energy quasiparticle excitations (2, 6–11, 15–21). In a WSM, two singly degenerate bands cross at discrete points, i.e., Weyl nodes, and disperse linearly in all three momentum space directions away from each Weyl node (Figure 1a). Weyl fermions have distinct chiralities that are [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: **OK?** **JH: OK**\*\*] either left handed or right handed. The chiralities of the Weyl nodes give rise to chiral charges, which can be understood as monopoles and antimonopoles of Berry flux in momentum space. The separation of the opposite chiral charges in momentum space leads to surface Fermi arcs, whose constant energy contours are open arcs that connect the Weyl nodes of opposite chiralities on the surface.

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE>

**Figure 1** Schematic band structure of different types of topological semimetals. (a) Type I Weyl/Dirac semimetal. The degeneracy of a Weyl point is half of that of a Dirac point. On a 2D closed surface (the *green* surface) that encloses the Weyl node in  $k$  space, the band structure is fully gapped and therefore allows a topological invariant to be defined (19). [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: Meaning that panel a is reproduced from Ref 19? (Are any of the other panels from Ref 19, or are they from other references?) Please clarify JH: none of the panels from Ref 19. This reference can be deleted\*\*] Specifically, the topological invariant for a Weyl node is a chiral charge, which corresponds to the Chern number associated with the 2D closed surface. (b) Type II Weyl/Dirac semimetal. At the energy of the type II Weyl/Dirac node, the constant energy contour consists of an electron pocket and a hole pocket touching at the node. (c) Nodal-line semimetal. The conduction and valence bands are degenerate on a 1D closed loop, shown as the green circle in the Brillouin zone. The topological invariant of the nodal line is a winding number  $w$ , which is defined as the line integral of the Berry connection along a closed loop, shown as the green circle that interlinks the nodal line. (d) Triple-point semimetal. Three singly degenerate bands cross at discrete points, the triple points. The triple point can also be viewed as the meeting point between two nodal lines along the  $k_y$  axis.

[\*\*AU: It is the author's responsibility to obtain permissions for figures being adapted or reprinted from previous publications. Please obtain permissions as necessary and add permissions verbiage to figure captions where applicable. JH: obtained already\*\*]

Because of the existence of Weyl nodes, WSMs lack a global band gap. The absence of a global band gap prevents the definition of a topological invariant for the entire 3D bulk Brillouin zone (BZ). In contrast, on a 2D closed surface that encloses the Weyl node in  $k$  space (Figure 1a), the band structure is fully gapped and therefore allows a topological invariant to be defined (19). Specifically, the Chern number associated with the 2D closed surface directly corresponds to the topological invariant of a Weyl node (i.e., the chiral charge). Mathematically, the chiral charge  $C$  can be calculated by the integral of the Berry curvature (the Berry flux) as shown below:

$$C = \int_S \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot d\mathbf{S}, \quad 1.$$

where  $S$  is the 2D closed surface in  $k$  space that encloses the Weyl node and  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  is the Berry curvature. Due to the chiral charge, Weyl nodes can appear at generic  $k$  points of the BZ. In the presence of translational symmetry, these Weyl nodes are topologically stable and cannot be removed without pair annihilation. The existence of Weyl nodes does not rely on any additional crystalline point group symmetries.

Real materials that host the WSM state are usually further classified into either inversion-

symmetry-breaking WSMs or time-reversal symmetry-breaking WSMs. Representative inversion-symmetry-breaking WSMs include the TaAs family of noncentrosymmetric crystals (22, 23, 25, 27, 39, 86–92). Representative time-reversal symmetry-breaking WSMs can be realized in naturally occurring ferromagnetic (FM) semimetals such as pyrochlore iridate (19),  $\text{HgCr}_2\text{Se}_4$  (21),  $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$  (93, 94), Heuslers (95–99), and the noncollinear antiferromagnets  $\text{Mn}_3\text{Sn}$  and  $\text{Mn}_3\text{Ge}$  (57, 100–103) or by applying an external magnetic field to a nonmagnetic or antiferromagnetic (AFM) semimetal, as demonstrated in the magnetotransport experiments (104) on  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$  (105),  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  (45, 106),  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  (107), and half-Heuslers (108–110). From a different angle, WSMs can also be classified by the energy-momentum dispersions near the Weyl nodes. Type I WSMs have untilted or weakly tilted Weyl cones with a point-like Fermi surface when the chemical potential is placed at the Weyl node. By contrast, type II WSMs have strongly tilted Weyl cones (Figure 1b) (28). Their Fermi surface consists of electron and hole pockets that touch at the type II Weyl nodes. Representative type II WSMs include  $\text{WTe}_2$  (28, 111–113),  $\text{MoTe}_2$  (114–122),  $\text{TaIrTe}_4$  (123, 124), and  $(\text{W/Mo})\text{P}_2$  (125). These different classifications are not mutually exclusive. For instance,  $\text{MoTe}_2$  is not only an inversion-breaking WSM but also a type II WSM.

## 2.2. Dirac Semimetals

DSMs host Dirac fermions as low-energy quasiparticle excitations (12–14, 38, 126–131). In a DSM, two doubly degenerate bands cross to form a Dirac node and disperse linearly in all three momentum directions away from the node. Each Dirac node can be viewed as a pair of degenerate Weyl nodes of opposite chiralities. Since a pair of degenerate Weyl nodes of opposite chiralities is in general unstable and may annihilate, additional crystalline point group symmetries are needed to realize a stable DSM phase (131). One route is to rely on uniaxial rotational symmetries (131). Specifically, a band inversion can create a pair of 3D Dirac nodes on the opposite sites of the time-reversal invariant momenta. Representative DSMs of this kind include  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$  (13, 38, 126) and  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  (14, 127–130) (type I) as well as  $\text{VAl}_3$  (29) (type II). Another route is to rely on nonsymmorphic symmetries, i.e., glide reflections and screw rotations. It has been theoretically shown that nonsymmorphic symmetries can lead to nontrivial band connectivity at the BZ boundaries, giving rise to filling-enforced DSMs or NLSMs, depending on the specific space groups (12, 132–135). Representative filling-enforced DSM candidates include  $\beta\text{-BiO}_2$  (12) and distorted spinels (132). Furthermore, a DSM can be realized

as the critical point of the topological phase transition between a trivial insulator and a topological insulator. This is achieved in the  $\text{BiTl}(\text{S}_{1-x}\text{Se}_x)_2$  (12, 136),  $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{In}_x\text{Se}_3$  (137), and  $\text{Pb}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{Te}$  (138) systems by fine-tuning the chemical doping concentration. Alternatively, compounds like  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  (107, 139, 140) and those in the  $\text{SrMnSb}_2$  family (141–143) naturally sit near the critical point of such a topological phase transition and therefore approximate a DSM state. [←\*\*AU: By  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  do you mean “ $\text{ZrTe}_5$  family”? If so, the highlighted will be edited to “those in the  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  (107, 139, 140) and  $\text{SrMnSb}_2$  (141–143) families” – if not, please clarify JH:  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  is one material, not family. For  $\text{SrMnSb}_2$ , there are some others materials with same  $\text{SrMnSb}_2$ -type structure, so it is a “ $\text{SrMnSb}_2$  family”. \*\*] According to current theoretical understanding, Dirac nodes are not associated with any nontrivial topological invariant (i.e., they have zero chiral charge) (144).

### 2.3. Nodal-Line Semimetals

In NLSMs, conduction and valence bands cross at 1D lines in  $k$  space (Figure 1a) (30, 40, 78, 85, 133, 134, 145–161). Compared to DSMs/WSMs, the electronic structure of NLSMs is distinct in three aspects: (a) The bulk Fermi surface consists of 1D lines in NLSMs but of 0D points in WSMs; (b) the density of states (DOS) is proportional to  $(E - E_F)^2$  in NLSMs but to [←\*\*AU: should “is” or “to” be inserted here? JH: “to” is better \*\*]  $|E - E_F|$  in WSMs; and (c) on the surface, nodal lines are accompanied by drumhead-like surface states, whereas Weyl nodes are connected by 1D Fermi arc surface states.

We now discuss the topological invariant of NLSMs. We consider a 1D closed loop that interlinks the nodal line in  $k$  space (Figure 1c). The band structure is fully gapped and therefore allows for the definition of a topological invariant, i.e., the winding number (150). Mathematically, the winding number  $w$  is defined as the integral of the Berry connection along the 1D closed loop that links the nodal line as shown below:

$$w = \int_l \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}, \quad 2.$$

where  $l$  is the 1D closed loop that links the nodal line and  $\mathbf{A}$  is the Berry connection.

NLSMs also come in a variety of forms, depending on the characteristic band structure and the symmetry protection. First, nodal lines can be closed loops (also termed nodal circles) inside the 3D BZ. [←\*\*AU: OK? Please clarify as necessary JH: OK\*\*] Such nodal circles are

naturally formed by a band inversion. The nodal circles are further classified on the basis of the symmetry protection. There are nodal circles that are strictly gapless only in the absence of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) (78, 146, 149, 150). They are usually protected by the combination of time-reversal and time-inversion symmetries (78, 146, 150). Representative materials include Cu<sub>3</sub>N (149), Ca<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (147), Cu<sub>3</sub>PdN (148), and those in the ZrSiS family (154–158). Alternatively, nodal circles can be formed in noncentrosymmetric crystals protected by a mirror plane. These nodal circles are stable even upon the inclusion of SOC. Representative materials include PbTaSe<sub>2</sub>, TiTaSe<sub>2</sub>, and CaAgAs (40, 145, 159, 160). Second, nodal lines can also be a straight line that span across the BZ. Representative materials include those in the BaNbS<sub>3</sub> family (161). Third, nodal circles can interlink with each other in  $k$  space, forming Hopf links and nodal chains (162–167). **These Hopf links and nodal chains [←\*\*AU: please clarify. JH: Hopf links and nodal chains \*\*]** may be protected by the presence of multiple perpendicular mirror planes (167) or by nonsymmorphic symmetries (162, 163).

## 2.4. Unconventional Fermion Semimetals

In contrast to [**←\*\*AU: insert “the case for”? JH: not necessary\*\***] high-energy physics, solid-state crystals can support band crossings beyond the Dirac/Weyl paradigm (31–36). These band crossings, broadly referred as unconventional fermions, include three-, four-, six-, and eightfold degeneracies (31). **[\*\*AU: Quotation marks used for purposes other than direct quotation have been removed throughout, per house style. JH: OK\*\*]**

Here we take a particular type of three-band crossing as an example (33–36, 168–170). In such a triple-point semimetal, three singly degenerate bands cross at discrete points, the triple points (**Figure 1d**). Moving away from one triple point along  $k_x$  or  $k_z$ , all three bands become nondegenerate. By contrast, moving away along  $k_y$ , bands 1 and 2 remain degenerate for  $-k_y$ , whereas bands 2 and 3 remain degenerate for  $+k_y$ . Therefore, the triple point can also be viewed as the meeting point between two nodal lines along the  $k_y$  axis. These triple points are protected by the combination of a uniaxial rotational axis, mirror planes, and time-reversal symmetry. These triple points are not associated with any topological invariant due to the lack of a global band gap on any 2D closed surface that encloses the triple point. Representative materials include MoC, WC, MoP, and ZrTe (33–36, 169, 170).

### 3. TRANSPORT SIGNATURES OF TOPOLOGICAL SEMIMETALS

The relativistic nature of the Dirac and Weyl fermions in topological semimetals manifests in many distinct transport properties, including extremely large MR, high mobility, light effective mass, nontrivial Berry phase, chiral anomaly, and the AHE. These relativistic fermion properties have great potential for future electronic and spintronic applications. Characterization of relativistic fermions through transport measurements provides a convenient approach to verify a nontrivial topological state, complementary to the direct observation of nontrivial band topology by ARPES experiments. In this section, we summarize these transport signatures of topological DSMs and WSMs.

#### 3.1. Magnetoresistance

Electron transport in topological semimetals is usually strongly affected by external magnetic field. Large MR is a common signature often seen in most DSMs and WSMs. MR is usually expressed as the change in resistance (resistivity) under field normalized by the zero-field resistance (resistivity), i.e.,  $[R(B) - R(B = 0)]/R(B = 0)$  or  $[\rho(B) - \rho(B = 0)]/\rho(B = 0)$ . The transverse MR, measured with the field perpendicular to the current direction, can reach up to 0.1–1 million percent at low temperatures (0.5–5 K) and a field of 9 T (see **Table 1**), without any sign of saturation up to 30–100 T in WSMs/DSMs such as Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, PtBi<sub>2</sub>, WTe<sub>2</sub>, and NbP (46, 48, 171, 172). A power law field dependence ( $MR \propto B^n$ ) is usually seen in various topological semimetals, with the exponent  $n$  ranging from 1 to 2 (45, 46, 48, 107, 171–187).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT TABLE 1 HERE>

In a simple metal, a positive transverse MR with quadratic field dependence is generally expected due to the Lorentz effect (47). Such Lorentz effect–induced orbital MR is usually weak and saturates for systems with a closed Fermi surface, contrasted with the giant, nonsaturating MR seen in topological semimetals. The origin of the unusually large MR of topological semimetals has been intensively studied. Electron-hole compensation has been proposed to be a possible mechanism (46, 48, 171). However, reports also indicate that carrier compensation is not achieved in some topological semimetals (188, 189). An alternative explanation is that the backscattering at zero field is strongly suppressed by some protection mechanisms associated with nontrivial band topology but is [←\*\*AU: OK? JH: also change “and” to “but”\*\*] significantly enhanced by magnetic fields (45).

The strong coupling between MR, high mobility, and linearly dispersed Dirac/Weyl cones may provide some clues for further understanding of the large MR. High mobility is another signature accompanied with large MR in topological semimetals. Mobility ( $\mu$ ) is related to conductivity  $\sigma$  via  $\sigma = nq\mu$ , where  $n$  and  $q$  are the carrier density and charge, respectively. For a single-band system, the Hall coefficient  $R_H = 1/nq$ , and thus  $\mu = \sigma \cdot R_H$ . However, in multiple-band systems, the field dependence of Hall resistivity  $\rho_{xy}$  deviates from linearity. **Figure 2a** shows one example. In this case, the Hall coefficient, defined as  $d\rho_{xy}/dB$ , becomes field dependent, and both mobility and carrier density cannot be directly derived as for a single-band system. A commonly used approach for analyzing the transport properties of multiband systems is the multiple-band model, i.e., assuming that the contributions of various bands to the conductivity are additive. In practice, for a system with more than two bands, a further simplified model, which considers only one electron band and one hole band, is widely used to describe the longitudinal resistivity ( $\rho_{xx}$ ) and transverse resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}$ , i.e., the Hall resistivity), as shown by **Equations 3 and 4** below ([190](#)):

$$\rho_{xx} = \frac{(n_e \mu_e + n_h \mu_h) + (n_e \mu_e \mu_h^2 + n_h \mu_h \mu_e^2) B^2}{(n_e \mu_e + n_h \mu_h)^2 + \mu_e^2 \mu_h^2 (n_h - n_e)^2 B^2} \cdot \frac{1}{e}, \quad 3.$$

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{(n_h \mu_h^2 - n_e \mu_e^2) + \mu_h^2 \mu_e^2 (n_h - n_e) B^2}{(n_e \mu_e + n_h \mu_h)^2 + \mu_h^2 \mu_e^2 (n_h - n_e)^2 B^2} \cdot \frac{B}{e}, \quad 4.$$

where  $n_e$  ( $n_h$ ) and  $\mu_e$  ( $\mu_h$ ) are the density and mobility of the electron (hole) band, respectively. From the simultaneous fitting for  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  and  $\rho_{xy}(B)$  by using such a two-band model, both the densities and mobilities of the electron bands and hole bands can be obtained. Clearly, for a real system with more than one electron or hole band, this oversimplified model averages electron and hole bands and neglects any interband interactions. Although adding more bands to the above model is possible in principle, more accurate results may not be obtained with an overparameterized model. In fact, the two-band model already yields reasonable results for a variety of material systems, so it is reasonable to extend its application to topological semimetals.

**<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE>**

**Figure 2** Magnetoresistance (MR). (a) Magnetic field dependence of the longitudinal ( $\rho_{xx}$ ) and

transverse (Hall) ( $\rho_{xy}$ ) resistivity for  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  (45). (b) MR normalized by the zero-field resistivity for  $\text{WTe}_2$  at 2 K and 10 K. Shubnikov–de Haas (SdH) oscillation is seen for the  $T = 2$  K data. (*Upper inset*) MR at higher temperatures. (*Lower inset*) Oscillatory component of the resistivity oscillation, obtained by subtracting the smooth MR background (48). (c) MR normalized by the zero-field resistivity for  $\text{NbP}$  at various temperatures. SdH oscillation is seen at  $T < 10$  K. (*Inset*) MR at higher temperatures (46). [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: Do the highlights in this caption indicate that panel a is reproduced from Ref 45, panel b is reproduced from Ref 48, and panel c is reproduced from Ref 46? Please clarify if not}^{**}\text{ JH: yes there are from those references}\right]$

Equation 3 indicates that  $\rho_{xx}$  tends to saturate at high fields where the  $B^2$  terms dominate. Only when  $n_e = n_h$ , i.e., the case of electron-hole compensation, [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: not good}^{**}\right]$   $\rho_{xx} \propto B^2$  without saturation. Under such a circumstance, large MR is expected when mobility is high. Table 1 shows the mobilities of some representative topological semimetals acquired from two-band model analysis; the mobilities are indeed high, in the range of  $10^3$ – $10^6$   $\text{cm}^2/(\text{V}\cdot\text{s})$ . Such high transport mobility is consistent with the ultralow residual resistivity at the zero-temperature limit ( $\sim n\Omega$  to a few  $\mu\Omega$ ; see Table 1) as well as with the high quantum mobility revealed by quantum oscillation studies (discussed in Section 3.2.2).

The two-band model, while widely used, provides only an approximate description for the magnetotransport properties of multiple-band materials. First, Equations 3 and 4 are not applicable if there are open orbits, which occur when the Fermi surface is not closed in the momentum space (190). Second, the negligence of interband interaction leads to an apparent contradiction: The carrier compensation appears to be necessary for the nonsaturated MR according to Equation 3, but the Hall resistivity expressed by Equation 4 must be linearly dependent on the field when  $n_e = n_h$ , which is not true for most topological semimetals (e.g., see Figure 2a). Third, according to Equation 3, even approximate electron-hole compensation should be able to lead to a quadratic or nearly-quadratic field dependence for  $\rho_{xx}$ . Such a dependence [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK?}^{**}\right]$  has indeed been observed in a number of topological semimetals (48, 183, 191–193), but linear or even sublinear MR has also been observed in a variety of samples (107, 171, 172, 174–180, 182, 183, 191, 194). The linear MR may be a classical effect due to strong current inhomogeneity (172) or may have a quantum mechanical interpretation (195) (see Section 3.2.8), while the sublinear MR may be attributed to the weak antilocalization caused by strong SOC (196). With these considerations, the two-band model appears to be applicable only for a limited field range or at higher temperatures at which quantum effects are

not significant.

Although obtaining the precise value of carrier mobility for individual bands might be challenging, the two-band model still provides an effective approach for the approximate description of magnetotransport properties of multiband materials. This model successfully explains the extremely large MR arising from high mobility and approximate carrier compensation. Then, a key question for topological semimetals is why Dirac/Weyl fermions have high mobility. This question [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: **OK?** Or “The answer”?\*\* **JH: OK**] can be understood in terms of the energy band characteristics of topological semimetals. Given that the carrier mobility is determined by relaxation time  $\tau$  and effective mass  $m^*$ , [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: **insert “is determined by”?** \*\*]. i.e.  $\mu = e\tau/m^*$ , greater relaxation time and smaller effective mass favor higher mobility. As shown in Section 3.2.2, the cyclotron effective masses derived from quantum oscillations are indeed small for many topological semimetals, reaching as low as  $0.02m_e$  (where  $m_e$  is the free electron mass) for some materials. Such massless behavior is naturally expected for ideal topological fermions since they are hosted by linearly dispersed bands crossing near the Fermi level, which requires zero mass in the Hamiltonian (11).

Greater relaxation time in topological materials may be associated with symmetry protection in many cases. For topological insulators, it has been well established that backscattering is forbidden by time-reversal symmetry, even though nonmagnetic defects exist, thus resulting in longer relaxation time (197–201). In some topological semimetals, a strong suppression of backscattering due to nontrivial band topology has also been proposed (45); such suppression would lead to enhanced transport relaxation time. [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: **OK?** **JH: OK**\*\*] This idea is partially supported by the quantum oscillation studies that reveal a long quantum relaxation time in topological semimetals, as shown in Section 3.2.2.

### 3.2. Landau Quantization and Quantum Oscillations

In addition to the extremely large MR, another important phenomenon in the magnetotransport of topological semimetals is quantum oscillation (Figure 2b,c), i.e., the Shubnikov–de Haas (SdH) effect. Quantum oscillations can also be probed in other measurements such as magnetization/magnetic torque [i.e., the de Haas–van Alphen (dHvA) effect], thermoelectric power, and ultrasonic absorption. Quantum oscillations have been widely used for the study of the 3D topological insulators (202) and topological semimetals, and [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: **please clarify** **JH: change to “and”\*\***] reveal key parameters for Dirac/Weyl fermions such as effective mass,

quantum mobility, and (most [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*]) importantly) the Berry phase. In this section, we review quantum oscillation studies of topological semimetals.

### 3.2.1. The zeroth Landau level for relativistic fermions.

Quantum oscillation theory for nonrelativistic electrons has been well established and documented in earlier textbooks and reviews ([203](#), [204](#)). Here we briefly recall the fundamental theory and put major emphasis on its extension to relativistic fermions. Quantum oscillation originates from the quantized cyclotron motion of charge carriers under magnetic fields, i.e., the Landau quantization of the energy states. With the conduction band splitting to LLs, the DOS at the Fermi level,  $\text{DOS}(E_F)$ , becomes periodically modulated by magnetic field (more precisely, periodic in  $1/B$ ), leading to periodic oscillations of physical quantities.

Panels *a* and *b* of [Figure 3](#) show the textbook drawings of the Landau quantization for spinless (i.e., ignoring the Zeeman splitting) nonrelativistic electrons with parabolic dispersion. The quantized LL energy is  $\varepsilon_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c$ , where  $\omega_c = eB/m$  is the cyclotron motion frequency and the LL index  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . The energies of all LLs are field dependent and evenly spaced by  $\hbar\omega_c$ , as shown in [Figure 3b](#). For the lowest LL, a finite zero-point energy  $\hbar\omega_c/2$  exists, which is in analogy to the zero-point energy of a harmonic oscillator. To distinguish the lowest LL for the nonrelativistic fermions [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: please clarify JH: change to “the lowest LL for the nonrelativistic fermions”\*\*] from the exotic zeroth LL with field-independent zero energy for the relativistic fermions shown below, we rewrite the LL energy of nonrelativistic electrons as  $\varepsilon_n = (n - 1/2)\hbar\omega_c$ , where  $n$  becomes a nonzero integer (1, 2, ...).

**<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE>**

[Figure 3](#) Landau quantization. (*a,c*) Schematics for energy-momentum dispersions of the (*a*) normal (nonrelativistic) and (*c*) relativistic electrons. (*b,d*) Landau spectra for the 2D spinless (*b*) nonrelativistic and (*d*) relativistic electrons. (*e,f*) Landau spectra for the 3D spinless (*e*) nonrelativistic and (*f*) relativistic electrons with the magnetic field along the  $k_z$  direction ( $B \parallel k_z$ ). [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK that the slashes go to the right? Should they be vertical? JH: can be vertical or forward slash\*\*] (*g*) Landau tubes intersecting a 3D spherical Fermi surface. (*h*) Landau rings within the 2D Fermi surface (ring). Panels *g* and *h* show the scenario for nonrelativistic electrons without the zeroth Landau level.

The LL quantization is completely different for the relativistic fermions with linear dispersion ([Figure 3c](#)). Earlier studies on graphene ([205](#), [206](#)) [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*]

established that the quantized energies of LLs for spinless 2D Dirac fermions are

$$\varepsilon_n = v_F \operatorname{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar |B| n} \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots) \quad 5.$$

where  $\operatorname{sgn}(n)$  is the sign function and  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity. [←\*\*AU: (1) The highlighted symbol appears a bit different from the one shown in Equation 5 – please clarify JH: it is the symbol for velocity, so it should be letter “v”. (2) In Equation 5, the “e” in  $2e\hbar$  is not italicized – should it be italicized (if it is, e.g., a variable, then it should be italicized)? Please also check all equations and indicate any necessary formatting changes to “e” (i.e., italics to roman font or vice versa) JH: e means the electron charge, italicized \*\*] As illustrated in **Figure 3d**, LLs are no longer equally spaced for relativistic fermions given  $\varepsilon_n \propto \sqrt{|n|}$ . Most strikingly, a field-independent zeroth ( $n = 0$ ) LL locked at the band crossing point ( $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ ) appears, which is a signature unique to 2D relativistic electron systems. Such a zero energy can be understood in terms of the Berry phase arising from the cyclotron motion of carriers in momentum space (206). The detailed theoretical background of the Berry phase and its manifestation in transport measurements have been well understood (202, 207–209). In short, the Berry phase describes a geometrical phase factor of a quantum mechanical system acquired in the adiabatic evolution along a closed trajectory in the parameter space. Such a phase factor does not depend on the details of the temporal evolution and thus differs from the dynamical phase. A nonzero Berry phase  $\phi_B$  originates from the band touching point, such as Dirac nodes. [←\*\*AU: For consistency, OK to define “Berry phase” as  $\phi_B$  and then use  $\phi_B$  subsequently in text? JH: under some situations it is better to use the words rather the symbol\*\*] Under magnetic fields, the cyclotron motion of Dirac fermions, i.e., the [←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*] closed trajectory in momentum space, induces a Berry phase that changes the phase of quantum oscillations. Ideally,  $\phi_B = \pi$  for an exact linear energy-momentum dispersion, and this value shifts when the bands deviate from linear dispersion and/or the Zeeman effect is strong (209, 210).

Before formulating the quantum oscillation for relativistic fermions by incorporating the Berry phase-induced phase shift, we should pay attention to the dimensionality of the investigated material systems. The Landau quantization of the 2D surface state of topological insulators is very different from that of the Dirac or Weyl fermions in 3D topological

semimetals. Most topological semimetals reported so far are 3D in nature [such as Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (14, 127–130), Na<sub>3</sub>Bi (13, 126), and the TaAs family (22, 23, 25, 27, 39, 86, 87, 211)], and 3D is necessarily required for a Weyl state (10). For nonrelativistic electrons in 3D, the motion along the magnetic field direction is not quantized, leading to additional energy of  $(\hbar k_z)^2/2m$  (where  $k_z$  is the momentum along the magnetic field direction) for LLs:

$$\varepsilon_{n,k} = \frac{\hbar e B}{m^*} \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\hbar^2 k_z^2}{2m} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad 6.$$

Similarly, an additional energy term due to unquantized  $k_z$  also occurs for 3D relativistic fermions:

$$\varepsilon_n = v_F \operatorname{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar |B| n + (\hbar k_z)^2}. \quad 7.$$

[←\*\*AU: (1) In Equation 7, should  $v_F$  be  $v_F$ ? Cf. Equations 5 and 7 with the line of text below Equation 5. JH:  $v_F$  (2) In Equation 7 (as in Equation 5), the “e” in  $2e\hbar$  is not italicized – should it be italicized (if it is, e.g., a variable, then it should be italicized)?\*\*]

Therefore, although the zeroth LL’s energy is still field independent, it is not strictly zero.

Moreover, Equation 7 is valid for Dirac fermions with  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For Weyl fermions, the chirality is well defined due to the lifting of spin degeneracy, so Equation 7 needs to be modified for the zeroth LL of Weyl fermions. As discussed in Section 3.4, the chiral zeroth LL leads to one important effect for Weyl fermions, i.e., the chiral anomaly.

### 3.2.2. The Lifshitz–Kosevich model for de Haas–van Alphen oscillations.

For the perfect 2D case, the Landau bands are degenerate into sharp levels (Figure 3b,d), and the motions of all electrons at the Fermi level are in phase. For the 3D case, due to the additional energy related to unquantized  $k_z$  as shown in Equations 6 and 7, different LLs overlap in energy space, leading to a mixture of Landau bands for particular energy (Figure 3e,f) and a continuous energy spectrum. This is better illustrated in Figure 3g: Landau quantization for 3D free electrons manifests as Landau cylinders along the magnetic field direction, so an equal energy surface intersects multiple Landau cylinders. [←\*\*AU: Per house style, text such as the highlighted should be deleted from the main text, as such text pertains specifically to the figure. Please move the highlighted to the caption where applicable\*\*JH: yes, we did it]

This scenario is distinct from the 2D case ([Figure 3h](#)). Therefore, different models have been derived for 3D and 2D quantum oscillations.

Here we start with the dHvA oscillation because the magnetization is the derivative of the Gibbs thermodynamic potential  $\Omega$  at constant temperature and chemical potential  $\zeta$ ,

$M = -\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial B}\right)_{T,\zeta}$ , so that it directly reflects the LL spectrum. At the zero temperature limit, the

oscillatory thermodynamic potential  $\Omega$  due to Landau quantization for a 3D system can be expressed as (in CGS units) ([203](#)):

$$\Omega_{\text{osc}} = \left(\frac{e}{2\pi c\hbar}\right)^{3/2} \frac{e\hbar B^{5/2}}{mc\pi^2(\partial^2 S_{\text{extr}} / \partial k_z^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{5/2}} \cos \left[ 2\pi r \left( \frac{F}{B} - \gamma \right) + 2\pi\delta \right], \quad 8.$$

where  $S_{\text{extr}}$  is the extremal Fermi surface cross-section area perpendicular to the magnetic field,  $\partial^2 S_{\text{extr}} / \partial k_z^2$  is the Fermi surface curvature along the  $k_z$  direction (i.e., the field direction) at the extremal cross section, and  $r$  is the harmonic index. Given several damping factors, the general formula of the magnetization oscillations for a 3D system, derived by Lifshitz & Kosevich (the LK formula) ([203](#), [204](#), [212](#)), is (in SI units)

$$M_{\text{osc}}^{3D} = -\left(\frac{e}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{3/2} \frac{S_{\text{extr}}}{\pi^2 m^*} \left( \frac{B}{|\partial^2 S_{\text{extr}} / \partial k_z^2|} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} R_T R_D R_S \sin \left[ 2\pi r \left( \frac{F}{B} - \gamma + \frac{\delta}{r} \right) \right]. \quad 9.$$

$R_T$ ,  $R_D$ , and  $R_S$  are the temperature-, field-, and spin-damping factors, which are associated with the finite temperature corrections to Fermi-Dirac distribution function, the finite relaxation time due to impurity scattering, and the phase difference between the spin-up and spin-down subbands, respectively. [**←\*\*AU: OK?\*\* JH: OK to move respectively, but may not need to use multiple “with”**] These factors can be expressed as

$$R_T = \frac{raT\mu / B}{\sinh(raT\mu / B)}, \quad 10.$$

$$R_D = \exp \left( -\frac{raT_D\mu}{B} \right), \quad 11.$$

$$R_S = \cos \frac{r\pi g\mu}{2}, \quad 12.$$

where  $\mu$  is the ratio of effective cyclotron mass  $m^*$  to free electron mass  $m_0$ .  $T_D$  is the Dingle temperature that is relevant to the quantum relaxation time, and  $a = (2\pi^2 k_B m_0) / (\hbar e) \approx 14.69$  K.

**T/K.** [←\*\*AU: OK as phrased? Please clarify what this is **JH: the constant  $a$  is not dimensionless. T/K is the unit.\*\*]**

The sine term in [Equation 9](#) describes the oscillation with frequency  $rF$  and phase factor  $2\pi r \left( -\gamma + \frac{\delta}{r} \right)$ , where the fundamental frequency  $F$  is linked to  $S_{\text{extr}}$  by the Onsager relation  $F = \hbar S_{\text{extr}} / 2\pi e$ . [←\*\*AU: italicize “e”? **JH: agree\*\***] The determination of the phase factor is of particular interest for the quantum oscillation study of topological materials since the Berry phase  $\phi_B$  is connected to the phase factor via  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\phi_B}{2\pi}$ . The Berry phase, which was not included in Lifshitz & Kosevich’s original formalism (i.e.,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ ) ([212](#)), can effectively shift the

phase of quantum oscillations ([209](#), [210](#)). The phase shift  $\delta$  in [Equation 9](#), which is determined by the dimensionality of the Fermi surface, is 0 for the 2D case and  $\pm 1/8$  for the 3D case. For the 3D case,  $\delta = -1/8$  ( $+1/8$ ) for maximal (minimal) cross section for a 3D electron pocket ([203](#), [204](#), [212](#)) and **vice versa** for a 3D hole pocket. [←\*\*AU: Please clarify what you mean by “vice versa” **JH: means  $+1/8$ ( $-1/8$ ), the signs are changed\*\***]

Although most topological semimetals are 3D, there are also some materials with layered structure and that thus display a quasi-2D electronic structure, such as ZrSiTe ([156](#)) and (Sr/Ba)Mn(Bi/Sb)<sub>2</sub> ([143](#), [173](#), [177](#), [213](#)). For a perfectly 2D system, the above LK formula has been modified by Shoenberg and others ([203](#), [204](#), [214](#), [215](#)):

$$M_{\text{osc}}^{\text{2D}} = - \left( \frac{e}{2\pi\hbar} \right) \frac{S}{\pi^2 m^*} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} R_T R_D R_S \sin \left[ 2\pi r \left( \frac{F}{B} - \gamma \right) \right], \quad 13.$$

with the same definitions for damping factors ( $R_T$ ,  $R_D$ , and  $R_S$ ) and phase factor  $\gamma$  as the 3D model. The Fermi surface cross-section area become a constant for 2D, so  $S_{\text{extr}}$  in the 3D model ([Equation 9](#)) is replaced by  $S$ , and the phase factor  $\delta$  is zero. In addition to this phase difference, the oscillation amplitude (i.e., the prefactor of the summation in [Equation 13](#)) and harmonic components ( $r \neq 0$ ) are enhanced relative to the 3D model.

Significantly, the above 3D ([Equation 9](#)) and 2D ([Equation 13](#)) LK models are based on the

assumption of constant chemical potential, which is appropriate for a 3D system because the electron energy spectrum is continuous, as mentioned above. In this scenario, the lowest unoccupied state is always located at  $E_F$  and is independent of  $B$  (i.e., the chemical potential =  $E_F$  for  $T = 0$  K). In contrast, the 2D Landau quantization gives rise to discrete energy levels, so the chemical potential, which is the minimum energy needed to add an electron to the system, is pinned to the highest occupied LL and hence also oscillates with ramping magnetic field. This chemical potential oscillation will affect the quantum oscillations. Furthermore, in real materials, the interlayer coupling is not negligible in layered compounds, which is also not captured by Equation 13. [←\*\*AU: Please clarify what is also not captured by Equation 13 JH: the effect of the interlayer coupling is not included in Eq. 13. For example, it may lead additional term, or some other corrections to Eq. 13.\*\*] More comprehensive analyses can be found in References 203 and 204 and references therein.

In practice, the oscillation frequency(ies)  $F$  can be directly resolved from the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the oscillation pattern, and other important parameters, including effective cyclotron mass, quantum relaxation time, and Berry phase, can be obtained from the analyses with the LK formula. From FFT, one can also clarify whether the higher harmonic terms ( $r > 1$ ) with frequency  $rF$  are significant. In principle, these terms attenuate quickly with  $r^{-3/2}$  for a 3D system (Equation 9) or  $r^{-1}$  for a 2D system (Equation 13), and thus the quantum oscillations in real materials are usually dominated by fundamental frequencies ( $r = 1$ ). If the oscillation contains only a single frequency without obvious harmonic frequency components, effective mass  $m^*$  can be obtained from the fit of the temperature dependence of the oscillation amplitude  $A_{\text{osc}}$  at a fixed magnetic field to the thermal damping factor  $R_T$  in Equation 10 [i.e.,  $M_{\text{osc}}(T) \propto R_T$ ]. In normal metals with exact parabolic bands, the band effective mass is expected to be a constant, despite the location of Fermi level. It can be easily shown that such band mass is

equivalent to the cyclotron mass, which is defined as  $m^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right]_{E=E_F}$  within the semiclassical

approximation, where  $S$  is the extremal area enclosed by the cyclotron orbit in momentum space. Applying the same definition to the linearly dispersed bands with an isotropic Dirac cone, one can easily find that  $m^*$  is connected to the Fermi vector  $k_F$  and velocity  $v_F$  with  $m^* = \hbar k_F / v_F$ .

[←\*\*AU: Please ensure that  $v_F$  is consistent throughout, per above query\*\*] Thus,  $m^*$  should vanish when a Dirac point resides at  $E_F$  (where  $k_F = 0$ ) and should increase [←\*\*AU:

**OK? JH: OK\*\*]** when the Dirac point is shifted away from  $E_F$ . Such a trend has been observed in various Dirac materials (172, 216). Generally,  $E_F$  is not too far away from the Dirac band crossing point in most known topological semimetals, so  $m^*$  obtained from quantum oscillation is usually small, as summarized in **Table 1**.

With a known effective mass, the Dingle temperature that is associated with the quantum relaxation time can be extracted from the fit of the field dependence of the oscillation amplitude at a fixed temperature by the field damping factor  $R_D$  in **Equation 11** [i.e.,  $M_{\text{osc}}(B) \propto R_D$ ]. Because  $T_D$  is included in the exponential term of  $R_D$ , the logarithm of the oscillation amplitude normalized by  $B^{1/2}R_T$  (for 3D) or  $R_T$  (for 2D) should have linear dependence on  $1/B$  according to **Equation 11**. Thus,  $T_D$  can be obtained from the slope of the linear fit of such a Dingle plot. In practice, Dingle plots are nonlinear in some cases in which accurate  $T_D$  cannot be obtained. Such a scenario [**←\*\*AU: Please clarify JH: meaning the situation of the nonlinearity in Dingle plot\*\***] could be attributed to, e.g., sample inhomogeneity, magnetic field inhomogeneity, beating oscillation pattern due to the existence of two very close frequencies, or torque interaction at high fields if using torque magnetometry (203).

From  $T_D$  extracted from a Dingle plot, the quantum relaxation time  $\tau_q$  can be derived via  $\tau_q = \hbar/(2\pi k_B T_D)$ . Because  $\tau_q$  affects the oscillation amplitude exponentially (**Equation 11**), strong dHvA oscillations present in low field ranges implies large  $\tau_q$ , which is generally the case for topological semimetals (**Table 1**). It is important to distinguish the quantum relaxation time from the transport relaxation time  $\tau_t$ , as discussed in Section 3.1. While both arise from the scattering by static impurities and defects, these two quantities are essentially different (217, 218):  $\tau_q$  characterizes the quantum lifetime of the single-particle relaxation time of the momentum eigenstate, which determines the LL broadening of the momentum eigenstate by  $\Gamma = \hbar/2\tau_q$ , whereas  $\tau_t$  is introduced in the classical Drude model and affects the Drude conductivity,  $\sigma = ne\mu = ne^2\tau_t/m^*$ . Given that  $\tau_t$  measures the motion of charged particles along the electric field gradient, it is largely unaffected by the forward scattering (i.e., small-angle scattering), in contrast to  $\tau_q$ , which is susceptible to momentum scattering in all directions. Therefore,  $\tau_t$  is usually larger or even much larger than  $\tau_q$ . Taking the form of the classical transport mobility  $\mu_t = e\tau_t/m^*$ , one can also define the quantum mobility by  $\mu_q = e\tau_q/m^*$ . Consequently,  $\mu_q$  obtained from quantum oscillation is usually less than  $\mu_t$  derived from magnetotransport, **which has been observed in various topological semimetals**, as shown in **Table 1**. [**←\*\*AU: Does the**

highlighted apply to magnetotransport? Please clarify JH: the highlighted means the whole prior sentence, i.e.,  $\mu_q$  obtained from quantum oscillation is usually less than  $\mu_t$  derived from magnetotransport \*\*]

In addition to nearly zero effective mass and high quantum mobility, nontrivial Berry phase is a key signature of relativistic fermions. As indicated above, it results in the zeroth LL, which is absent in the LL spectrum of nonrelativistic electrons. In general, for a system exhibiting quantum oscillations with a single frequency,  $\phi_B$  can be determined from the LL index fan diagram, i.e., the plot of the LL indices  $n$  versus the inverse magnetic field  $1/B$  (one example is shown in [Figure 4a,b](#)). This method has been widely used in previous studies on topological insulators, and a proper way to construct a LL fan diagram has been established, although there had been some confusions in early studies ([202](#), [219](#)). We first consider a 2D situation. As shown in [Figure 3b](#), with ramping magnetic field, the LLs successively pass through  $E_F$ . Integer LL indices are assigned when  $E_F$  lies at the middle of two adjacent LLs [i.e., minimum DOS( $E_F$ )], while half-integer indices are assigned when  $E_F$  is right at the LL [maximum DOS( $E_F$ )]. For a LL fan diagram established with such a definition of the [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*] LL index, the linear extrapolation of the linear fit of  $n(1/B)$  to the  $\frac{1}{B} \rightarrow 0$  limit must lead to  $n = 0$  for nonrelativistic electrons, but  $n = 1/2$  for relativistic fermions due to the zeroth LL pinned [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: pinned? JH: Yes\*\*] at the zero energy. This  $n = 1/2$  intercept corresponds to an ideal Berry phase of  $\pi$ . For a 3D system, the phase of quantum oscillation is shifted by  $2\pi\delta$ , as mentioned above, so the linear extrapolation should intercept the  $n$  axis at  $\frac{\phi_B}{2\pi} - \delta$ .

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 4 HERE>

[Figure 4](#) Quantum oscillations in topological semimetals. [\*\*AU: Regarding the highlighted references in this caption: do they denote that panels a and b are reproduced from Ref 178, panel c is reproduced from Ref 192, and panels d through f are reproduced from Ref 44? Please clarify\*\* JH: yes they are from the references] (a) The oscillatory component of resistance for  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$ , obtained via subtracting the smooth magnetoresistance (MR) background, as a function of  $1/B$  at various temperatures ([178](#)). (b) Landau level (LL) fan diagram constructed from Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations for two  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  samples. (Inset) Intercepts of the linear extrapolations of LL indices for the two samples ([178](#)). (c) The oscillatory component of resistance for  $\text{TaP}$ , obtained via subtracting the smooth MR background, as a function of  $1/B$  at various temperatures. The red solid lines show the fits of the oscillation data to the two-band

Lifshitz & Kosevich (LK) model (192). (d) Mixed real and momentum space representation of the Weyl orbit, which consists of the Fermi arcs at the top and bottom surface connecting the projections of Weyl nodes with opposite chirality (labeled as + and -, respectively) and the bulk states with fixed chirality (blue and red) (44). (e,f) MR at 2 K and its fast Fourier transform for a thin (150-nm) slab sample, for magnetic field parallel (90°) and perpendicular (0°) to the surface. In addition to the bulk frequency  $F_B$ , another oscillation frequency corresponding to the surface state ( $F_S$ ) is observed for the perpendicular field (44).

Therefore, proper assignment of LL indices is critically important for guaranteeing precise determination of Berry phase. Oscillations in differential magnetic susceptibility  $\chi \left( = \frac{dM}{dB} \right)$  offer a straightforward approach to determining integer LL indices; that is, the minima of  $\chi$  should be assigned with integer LL indices, since they correspond to minimal DOS( $E_F$ ). This [**←\*\*AU: Please clarify\*\*JH: mans the whole prior sentence “the minima of  $\chi$  ... DOS( $E_F$ )”**] can be understood as follows: As indicated above, magnetization is equal to the derivative of the Gibbs thermodynamic potential  $\Omega$  at constant temperature and chemical potential  $\zeta$ ,  $M = -\left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial B} \right)_{T, \zeta}$ . At zero temperature,  $\Omega$  is indeed proportional to the total energy of electrons and is modulated by magnetic field in the form of a cosine function (Equation 8) (203). Given  $\chi = \frac{\partial M}{\partial B} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial B^2}$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\Omega$  would oscillate in phase when Landau quantization occurs with increasing magnetic field. Since the minima of  $\Omega$  correspond to the minimal DOS( $E_F$ ), minimal  $\chi$  should be assigned with integer LL indices. Given  $\chi = \frac{\partial M}{\partial B}$ , if the oscillations of magnetization are used to establish a LL fan diagram, the minima of  $M$  should be assigned with  $n-1/4$  (where  $n$  is an integer number). [**←\*\*AU: Please clarify the highlighted – do you mean 1/4n? JH: it means n minus 1/4\*\***] With this approach, the [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] nontrivial Berry phase has been extracted from dHvA oscillations for several topological semimetals (156, 220–222).

Several factors can affect the value of the Berry phase in topological semimetals. First of all, the Berry phase can deviate from an ideal value of  $\pi$  if the band dispersion is not perfectly linear (210). Second, the Zeeman effect, which has not been considered so far, also leads to a deviation of the Berry phase obtained from a LL fan diagram (210). Therefore, the Berry phase

determination using the LL fan diagram should be performed with caution for high-field quantum oscillations or for materials with large  $g$ -factors such as  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  (172, 223) and  $\text{ZrSiS}$  (221). Furthermore, from the aspect of data analysis, reading the Berry phase from a LL fan diagram may bear large uncertainty in some cases. Because the Berry phase is determined by the intercept of the linear fit of  $n(1/B)$ , when low-LL indices cannot be reached in experiments due to high oscillation frequency, a slight change in the slope of the linear fit can lead to a large shift in the intercept, thus resulting in a large uncertainty in the extracted Berry phase. Therefore, reaching low-LL indices under high magnetic fields is necessary for obtaining a reliable Berry phase from a LL fan diagram.

In addition to magnetization measurements, dHvA oscillations can also be probed by torque magnetometry since a magnetic moment  $\vec{m}$  in a magnetic field is subject to a torque  $\vec{\tau} = \vec{m} \times \vec{B}$ . It is convenient to perform magnetic torque measurements on topological semimetals by using a cantilever (176, 224–230) to high magnetic field, even up to 60 T. One drawback of the torque magnetometry is the torque interaction, an instrumental effect due to the feedback of the oscillating magnetic moment on the cantilever position, which leads to artificial effects in quantum oscillations under high magnetic fields (203).

### 3.2.3. Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations.

Besides dHvA oscillation, the resistivity oscillation, i.e., the SdH effect, is also widely used to study topological semimetals (46, 141, 171, 172, 174, 178, 179, 183, 191–193, 231–233). The extraction of the Berry phase from SdH oscillations seems straightforward. Since the SdH effect also originates from Landau quantization, the nontrivial Berry phase associated with the zeroth LL also manifests itself by a phase shift in the SdH oscillation. As stated above, integer LL indices should be assigned when  $E_F$  lies in the middle of two adjacent LLs and  $\text{DOS}(E_F)$  reaches minima. The situation is less complicated in 2D integer quantum Hall systems (including the 2D surface states of the 3D topological insulators), in which the integer LL indices unambiguously correspond to the quantized Hall plateaus where the longitudinal conductance reaches minima ( $S_{xx} = 0$ ) due to the dissipationless edge state. The proper way to build a LL fan diagram from the SdH effect for topological insulators was discussed in a previous review (202).

In the studies of topological semimetals, however, there have been controversies in constructing LL fan diagrams from the SdH effect. The literature contains various definitions for

integer LL indices, including resistivity minimum (141, 178, 234, 235), resistivity maximum (171, 179, 183, 191, 193, 232, 233, 236–239), and conductivity minimum (143, 172, 213). At first glance, it is natural to extend the above argument for the quantum Hall system to topological semimetals, except that the conductivity of topological semimetals cannot be directly measured through conventional transport experiments but should be obtained through inverting the resistivity tensor,  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\rho}^{-1}$ . For in-plane ( $x$ - $y$  plane) current  $\mathbf{I}$  and out-of-plane ( $z$ -direction) magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  (i.e., a standard Hall effect setup with  $\mathbf{B} \perp \mathbf{I}$ ) applied to a 2D system, the charge carriers undergo only in-plane motion, and we have

$$\hat{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\rho}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{xx} & \rho_{xy} \\ \rho_{yx} & \rho_{yy} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \quad 14.$$

Here the resistivity tensor elements  $\rho_{ij}$  ( $i, j = x, y$ ) are defined as  $E_i / J_j$  (where  $E_i$  is the electric field component along the  $+i$  direction and  $J_j$  is the current density along the  $+j$  direction) or, equivalently,  $V_i / I_j$  (where  $V_i$  is the voltage drop along the  $+i$  direction and  $I_j$  is the current along the  $+j$  direction). [**←\*\*AU: Please clarify what cannot be directly measured JH: just to emphasis. Can be deleted\*\***] In fact, from this definition,  $\rho_{xx}$  and  $\rho_{xy}$  are essentially the longitudinal and transverse (Hall) resistivity. Under the assumption of isotropic scattering rate for a given 2D material, it is easy to demonstrate  $\rho_{xx} = \rho_{yy}$  and  $\rho_{xy} = -\rho_{yx}$ . Therefore, precise conductivity can be obtained from measured  $\rho_{xx}$  and  $\rho_{xy}$  via  $\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\rho_{xx}}{\rho_{xx}^2 + \rho_{xy}^2}$ .

However, additional considerations must be taken for 3D topological semimetals. Although the integer quantum Hall effect (QHE) also has a semiclassical interpretation based on Landau quantization, its underlying transport mechanism is distinct from the SdH effect due to its nonlocal character. As discussed in more detail in Sections 3.2.7 and 3.5, the quantized Hall conductance plateaus and the zero longitudinal conductance are associated with the dissipationless edge channels. Such scale-invariant dissipationless edge conduction in quantum Hall systems is completely different from the transport in conventional diffusive systems, where the resistance or conductance is associated with the sample dimensions and is governed by the transport relaxation rate (i.e., the scattering rate). The scattering mechanisms in real materials can be very complicated. Fortunately, a semiquantitative LK model that gives satisfactory

descriptions for the SdH effect has been developed for 3D systems. The earlier transport theory has established that the scattering probability is proportional to the number of available states that electrons can be scattered into (47, 240) and thus [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: please clarify JH: it means the scattering probability}^{**}\right]$  oscillates in concert with the oscillations of  $\text{DOS}(E_F)$  and gives rise

to SdH oscillations (203, 204). More explicitly,  $\text{DOS}(E_F)_{\text{osc}} \propto \left(\frac{m^* B}{S_{\text{extr}}}\right)^2 \frac{\partial M_{\text{osc}}}{\partial B}$ . With this relation,

the expression for conductivity/resistivity oscillation, i.e., the LK formula for the SdH effect, can be derived from the derivative of the magnetization oscillation (203, 204). Clearly, within the framework of this LK model based on the oscillation scattering rate, conductivity should exhibit maxima when the scattering rate reaches minima that occur at minimal  $\text{DOS}(E_F)$ . Given that integer LL indices should correspond to  $\text{DOS}(E_F)$  minima as indicated above, the maxima of conductivity oscillation should be assigned with integer LL indices. However, this approach is based on the semiquantitative model for the SdH effect (203). The scattering rate in a real material depends on a number of factors and can be very complicated, particularly in multiband or anisotropic systems, which could lead the SdH oscillations to strongly deviate from the LK theory (204). As a result, a simple connection between the integer LL indices and the SdH oscillation extrema may be problematic in some cases. Therefore, to demonstrate [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: insert "a" or "the"? JH: the}^{**}\right]$  nontrivial Berry phase, a better approach might be the oscillation of thermodynamic properties that are directly linked to [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: insert "the"? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  LL energy spectrum, such as the dHvA effect as discussed above.

In addition, the complication of the scattering rate in the SdH oscillation also leads to inconsistency between the SdH effect and the dHvA effect. In some layered topological semimetals, dHvA oscillation is strong for arbitrary magnetic field directions, but SdH oscillation quickly attenuates when the magnetic field is tilted toward the current direction (221, 226, 232, 241, 242). In those materials, the stronger dHvA effect is also useful to distinguish the Zeeman splitting effect from the oscillation pattern [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: "... from X" - please clarify JH: from the oscillation pattern}^{**}\right]$  (221).

### 3.2.4. Multifrequency quantum oscillations.

The above discussions on LL fan diagram are applicable to quantum oscillations with a single frequency. However, multiple oscillation frequencies are often observed in most

topological semimetals, such as those of the TaAs family (179, 180, 182, 183, 191–193, 227, 243) and *W*HM materials with PbFCl-type structure (*W* = Zr or Hf; *H* = Si, Ge, or Sn; *M* = S, Se, or Te) (156, 221, 222, 226, 228, 232, 233, 241, 242, 244, 245). Given  $F = \hbar S_{\text{extr}}/2\pi e$ , [ $\leftarrow^{**}$  **AU: should “e” be italicized? JH: yes\*\***] the dependence of oscillation frequencies on the magnetic field orientation provides useful information on Fermi surface morphology. In the presence of multifrequency oscillations, the method used to analyze effective mass, quantum mobility, and the Berry phase differs from what is discussed for the single-frequency situation. The commonly used approach to obtain the effective mass for each frequency band is the fits of the FFT amplitudes for each frequency component by the thermal damping factor  $R_T$  (Equation 10). In

this method, the inverse magnetic field  $\frac{1}{B}$  in  $R_T$  is approximated by the average inverse field

$\left\langle \frac{1}{B} \right\rangle$ , defined as  $\left\langle \frac{1}{B} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{B_1} + \frac{1}{B_2} \right)$ , where  $\frac{1}{B_1}$  and  $\frac{1}{B_2}$  are the upper and lower inverse

fields used for FFT analyses. However, this method may lead to large errors for the fitted effective mass in some cases, since the obtained effective mass may depend on the range of the inverse magnetic field ( $\frac{1}{B_1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{B_2}$ ) used for FFT. For example, for the NLSM ZrSiS, the

effective mass obtained from the fit of the FFT amplitude is greatly increased when a narrower field range is used for the FFT analysis. When the inverse magnetic field range is taken as 0.143 – 1.5 T<sup>-1</sup>, the fitted effective mass is small for the  $F_\beta = 240$  T band,  $\sim 0.052 m_0$  (221) [ $\leftarrow^{**}$  **Add the current ref. 221 here, Hu, J. PRB 96, 045127 (2017) \*\***]. However, when the inverse field range is reduced to 0.3 – 0.5 T<sup>-1</sup>, the fitted effective mass is increased to 0.17  $m_0$  (348) [ $\leftarrow^{**}$  **ref. 348 is a new reference, it should be: “Antony Carrington, private communication”\*\***].

Since the two quantum oscillation frequencies observed in ZrSiS are far apart (i.e. 8.4T and 240T), the effective masses corresponding to these oscillation components can also be obtained by fitting the temperature dependence of the oscillation amplitude probed at a certain field. The effective mass obtained using such a method is 0.18  $m_0$  for the 240T oscillation component. This example shows a narrower inverse field range for FFT may improve the accuracy of the fitted effective mass (348) [ $\leftarrow^{**}$  **ref. 348 is a new reference, it should be: “Antony Carrington, private communication”\*\***]. However, this is not always true.

Therefore, one must be extremely careful when using FFT amplitude to extract the effective mass. For multi-frequency oscillations, if the frequencies are far apart, it may be possible to obtain accurate effective mass by directly reading the oscillation amplitudes as discussed above. On the other hand, if the frequencies are close to each other, several approaches may be used to double check effective mass (348) [**←\*\* ref. 348 is a new reference, it should be: “Antony Carrington, private communication”\*\***]. Firstly, as demonstrated above, accurate effective masses may be obtained from the FFT analyses within a narrow field range. Secondly, it may be possible to use Fourier filter to separate multi-frequency oscillations to several single frequency oscillations, which may allow for obtaining accurate effective mass for each frequency. In this method, the data near the two ends of the magnetic field range should be excluded after applying the Fourier filter, since the end effect could induce artificial signal. To minimize the errors in effective mass, the combination of the above methods, together with a simulation of the oscillation pattern using LK formula after obtaining the effective mass, may be helpful.

The Dingle temperature and Berry phase can be extracted through fitting the oscillation pattern to the generalized multiband LK formula, with the assumption that the quantum oscillations of different bands are additive. This method was previously used for the LaAlO<sub>3</sub>/SrTiO<sub>3</sub> heterostructure (246) and was first employed for analyzing the SdH oscillations of TaP (**Figure 4c**) in the study of topological semimetals (192) and was then proven to be effective in characterizing topological fermion properties for many other multiband topological semimetals (143, 156, 221, 226, 230, 245, 247–249). For the multiband LK fit, it is important to include all major frequency components, as well as the higher harmonic ( $r > 1$  in **Equations 9** and **13**) terms if they are significant in the FFT spectrum, although there is a trade-off for accuracy due to the [**←\*\*AU: insert “an” or “the”? JH: “the”\*\***] increased number of parameters.  $R_S$  is field independent (see **Equation 12**) and can thus be treated as a constant for the fit; it **takes effects in modulating** [**←\*\*AU: OK as phrased? JH: OK\*\***] the amplitude for the harmonic component, as it contains  $r$ . Furthermore,  $R_S$  can be used to extract the Landé  $g$ -factor of a 2D/quasi-2D system via the spin-zero method; that is, the oscillation amplitude vanishes at some field orientation due to the interference of spin split Fermi surfaces. This provides an alternative method to evaluate the  $g$ -factor in addition to the direct measurement of the separation of the

split oscillation peaks. Such analysis has been reported for ZrSiS (221) and WTe<sub>2</sub> (250).

### 3.2.5. Magnetic breakdown.

Multiple oscillation frequencies usually result from multiple Fermi surface extremal cross-section areas perpendicular to the field. Additionally, charge carriers may tunnel from one cyclotron orbit to another and jump back to the original one to form a bigger cyclotron orbit, hence leading to an additional frequency or frequencies equal to the sum or difference of two or more fundamental frequencies (203, 251). This phenomenon, termed magnetic breakdown, becomes more pronounced at high fields because the tunneling probability scales exponentially with the inverse field  $1/B$  as  $e^{-\alpha/B}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a material-dependent parameter relevant to the  $k$ -space separation of the orbits (203). The additional frequencies ascribed to magnetic breakdown have been observed in high-field quantum oscillation studies on several topological semimetals (171, 226, 252).

In type II WSMs, the magnetic breakdown has been predicted to be associated with the Klein paradox, which was in 1929 and which states that the tunneling barrier is nearly “transparent” for relativistic fermions when its height exceeds the electron’s rest energy  $mc^2$  (253). This relativistic effect is attributed to the positron or electron emission by a potential barrier when the barrier is sufficiently high (254–256). The matching between electron and positron wave functions across the barrier leads to high-probability tunneling (257). However, the requirement of the high potential barrier ( $\sim mc^2$ ) imposes a great challenge for the experimental observation of this phenomenon in particle physics. Fortunately, the (rest) [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: “rest of the”? JH: here the mass means the “rest mass, it is massless (zero mass)”\*\*] massless relativistic fermions discovered in condensed matter provide a realistic platform, given that, in principle, there is no theoretical requirement of the potential barrier for massless relativistic fermions. Klein tunneling has been demonstrated in graphene, with a potential barrier created by a local gate (257, 258). A similar effect is expected in topological semimetals with massless relativistic fermions. Recent theoretical work has predicted a momentum space counterpart of Klein tunneling in quantum oscillations for type II WSMs (259). In the scenario of magnetic breakdown, quantum tunneling through different momentum space orbits naturally mimics real space tunneling of carriers [e.g., in graphene (257, 258)], which is expected to lead to an unusual dependence of the FFT amplitude on magnetic field orientation (259).

### 3.2.6. Quantum oscillation due to Weyl orbits.

The unusual surface Fermi arc is one distinct property of topological WSMs. For a DSM whose Dirac node can be viewed as the superposition of two Weyl nodes with opposite chirality, its surface state exhibits two sets of Fermi arcs curving in opposite directions on two opposite surfaces, as shown in [Figure 4d](#). It has been predicted that under magnetic fields, electrons can transport on a cyclotron orbit that connects one surface Fermi arc to the opposite Fermi arc by coupling to bulk states ([Figure 4d](#)) ([43](#), [260](#)). Such an unconventional Weyl orbit manifests itself by an additional frequency in quantum oscillations ([Figure 4e,f](#)), with 2D character that can be verified by the measurement of the field orientation dependence of oscillation frequency (i.e.,  $F \propto 1/\cos \theta$ ). Quantum oscillations due to Weyl orbits exhibit anomalous properties such as a sample thickness-dependent oscillation phase shift. To observe such a Weyl orbit, it is necessary to reduce the sample size to suppress the contribution of the bulk states. This has been demonstrated in nanostructures of  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  ([Figure 3e,f](#)) ([44](#), [261](#)) and  $\text{WTe}_2$  ([262](#)).

### 3.2.7. Other anomalous transport signatures originating from the zeroth Landau level.

As indicated above, the field-independent zeroth LL of relativistic fermions leads to a phase shift in quantum oscillations from which the Berry phase can be inferred. In some layered topological semimetals, the zeroth LL has been probed more directly by several transport techniques such as QHE and interlayer tunneling.

The concept for QHE for 2D Dirac fermions has already been established for graphene and topological insulators ([216](#), [263–265](#)). Under a magnetic field, Landau quantization gives rise to quantized electron cyclotron orbits. Semiclassically, under sufficiently strong field, the electrons are pinned to these quantized small radii orbits, which causes a bulk insulating state. However, electrons that are close enough to the edges cannot complete cyclotron motions but rather get bounced back by the edges. Given the direction of the Lorentz force, the reflected electrons have to move forward until they are reflected by the edge again. This creates the so-called skipping orbit at the edge that carries current, i.e., the edge channel ([Figure 5a](#)). Given that the skipping orbit originates from [~~\*\*AU: insert “the”? JH: OK\*\*~~] cyclotron orbit, the number of the edge conduction channels is determined by the number of the quantized cyclotron motion states that electrons can occupy, which is the number of the filled LLs below  $E_F$ . This gives rise to quantized Hall conductance of  $G_{xy} = nG_0$ , where  $G_0 = e^2/h$  is the conductance quantum. In the

language of band theory, the internal (bulk) of the 2D system is gapped when  $E_F$  locates in between LLs. At the sample edge, the confining electrostatic potential that keeps electrons inside the sample bends the LLs upward, as illustrated in [Figure 5a](#). The bent LLs that cross  $E_F$  form the edge channels, giving rise to quantized Hall conductance. From the above edge channel interpretation for the QHE, the QHE is a direct manifestation of Landau quantization of electron energy states. This is in contrast with SdH oscillation, which arises from the oscillating scattering rate and is thus an indirect probe of LLs. In other words, the QHE is a nonlocal transport phenomenon due to LLs, while [SdH effect](#) [[←\\*\\*AU: edit to “the SdH effect” or “SdH oscillation”?](#) [JH: effect\\*\\*](#)] is a manifestation of LLs in local transport. Furthermore, the QHE also has a topological interpretation, which is discussed in Section 3.5.

**<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 5 HERE>**

[Figure 5](#) Direct manifestations of the zeroth Landau level (LL). [[\\*\\*AU: To clarify the highlighted refs in this caption: do you mean that panels b and c are reproduced from Ref 177 and panels d/e/f are reproduced from Ref 247? If not, please clarify JH: yes they are from the references \\*\\*](#)] (a) Schematic of the real space Landau levels for relativistic electrons in a finite-size 2D sample. (b) Crystal structure of EuMnBi<sub>2</sub> ([177](#)). (c) Normalized inverse Hall resistivity  $\rho_{xy}^0/\rho_{xy}$  versus  $B_F/B$  measured at 1.4 K for two EuMnBi<sub>2</sub> samples, where  $B_F$  is the SdH oscillation frequency and  $B = \mu_0(H + M)$  is the magnetic induction ([177](#)). (d) Schematic of the interlayer tunneling of the zeroth LLs’ relativistic fermions in YbMnBi<sub>2</sub> ([247](#)). (e) Experimental setup for the measurement of the angular dependence of interlayer magnetotransport ([247](#)). (f) Angular-dependent interlayer resistance (AMR) measured under different fields up to 31 T and at  $T = 2$  K, using the setup in panel e. The black curves superimposed onto the data represent the fits to the tunneling model. The inset shows the  $\sin^2\theta$  dependence at low field ([247](#)).

Given the existence of the field-independent zeroth LL pinned at the band crossing point ([Figure 3d,f](#)), there is always an edge channel formed by the zeroth LL, as shown in [Figure 5a](#). Since the zeroth LL is evenly shared by both electrons and holes ([Figures 3f](#) and [5a](#)), the contribution of the zeroth LL to edge conduction is half the contribution of nonzero LLs, leading to the so-called half-integer quantization, i.e.,

$$G_{xy} = G_0 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad 15.$$

This half-integer quantization can also be understood in terms of [[←\\*\\*AU: insert “a”? JH: “a”\\*\\*](#)] Berry phase of  $\pi$  for relativistic fermions and has been observed in graphene ([216, 263](#)),

zero-gap HgTe quantum wells (266), and 3D topological insulators (264, 265). In real materials, an integer factor may be applied for  $G_0$  due to degeneracy, such as graphene with a factor of 4 originating from spin and valley degeneracies (216, 263). [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Please clarify what originates from spin and valley degeneracies JH: the factor of 4 for graphene originates from spin and valley degeneracies. \*\*]

Given the difference in Landau quantization in 2D and 3D systems as mentioned in Section 3.2.1, it is challenging to probe the half-integer QHE in 3D topological semimetals. One approach is to pursue their 2D nanostructures, but only the integer QHE has been observed so far in nanostructures of Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and WTe<sub>2</sub> (261, 267, 268), probably due to the quantum confinement effect, which gaps the Dirac cone (267). Masuda et al. (177) reported a half-integer QHE in a bulk DSM EuMnBi<sub>2</sub> with a layered structure (Figure 5b). This material exhibits coexistence of two AFM orders, one formed by the Mn sublattice and the other by the Eu sublattice. Application of a magnetic field induces a spin flop transition for the Eu AFM order, resulting in a canted AFM state, which significantly reduces interlayer coupling so that Dirac fermions generated by Bi square-net layers are more confined within the plane (i.e., are [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*] quasi-2D) and exhibit signatures of the half-integer QHE. As seen in Figure 5c,  $1/\rho_{xy}$  normalized by  $1/\rho_{xy}^0$  (where  $\rho_{xy}^0$  is the step size of successive plateaus) displays quantized plateaus with half-integers. However, the quantum limit corresponding to  $(1/\rho_{xy})/(1/\rho_{xy}^0) = 1/2$  could not be reached in this system due to the fact that the canted AFM state of Eu sublattice exists only in a limited field range.

In another structurally similar compound, YbMnBi<sub>2</sub>, the zeroth LL was probed via interlayer transport (247). In this material, the Bi layers that host relativistic fermions are separated by the relatively insulating Yb-MnBi-Yb blocks, leading to a quasi-2D electronic state. As shown in Figure 5d, given that two linear bands cross right at  $E_F$  in this material (269), 2D Landau quantization leads to the zeroth LL to be pinned to  $E_F$ , regardless of magnetic field strength. Therefore, increasing magnetic field leads to a monotonic increase in DOS( $E_F$ ), which further enhances tunneling of electrons of neighboring Bi layers through the Yb-MnBi-Yb barrier when an interlayer electric field is applied. Because 2D Landau quantization in YbMnBi<sub>2</sub> is governed by the magnetic field component perpendicular to the Bi plane, such exotic quantum tunneling of the zeroth LL carriers is sensitive to the magnetic field direction and can be detected in angular-dependent magnetotransport such as interlayer MR and the interlayer Hall effect. For example,

for the experimental setup shown in [Figure 5e](#), at low field when LLs are not well separated, LL broadening and thermal excitations smear out discrete LLs, which leads to conventional  $(\sin\theta)^2$  dependence for the angular-dependent interlayer resistance (AMR) ([Figure 5f](#), inset). In contrast, when the magnetic field is strong enough to establish the above quantum tunneling scenario, AMR reaches a broad minimum, with  $\theta$  being approximately  $0^\circ$  due to strong quantum tunneling, but sharply increases for the in-plane field orientation when 2D Landau quantization is suppressed. This causes a surprising strong peak centered at  $\theta = 90^\circ$  in AMR, which can be well fitted by the model that includes tunneling of the zeroth LL's carriers ([Figure 5f](#)) ([270](#)).

### 3.2.8. Beyond the quantum limit.

When magnetic field is strong enough to push all LLs above  $E_F$  except for the lowest LL, all electrons are condensed to the lowest LL; such a state is generally referred to as a quantum limit. From this definition, one can find that the critical field needed to reach a quantum limit is at least comparable to the quantum oscillation frequency. The quantum limit is not accessible under a moderate magnetic field for most materials with high carrier density (i.e., large Fermi surface and large quantum oscillation frequency). A system under a quantum limit or an ultraquantum limit may show unusual properties, which has been a long-standing topic of interest even for conventional materials. For instance, a fractional QHE can occur near or in the ultraquantum limit of a 2D electron gas ([271](#)). In topological semimetals, the dramatically enhanced degeneracy for the lowest LL, combined with the unique nature of relativistic fermions, may lead to some new exotic phenomena. Indeed, a mass enhancement in the quantum limit has been observed for  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  ([272](#)). This was interpreted as the dynamic mass generation accompanied by density wave formation, which is due to the nesting of the zeroth LL driven by enhanced electron correlation ([272](#)). Another example of unusual transport in the quantum limit due to degeneracy enhancement is the aforementioned quantum tunneling of relativistic fermions in  $\text{YbMnBi}_2$  ([247](#)). Because the zeroth LL is pinned at  $E_F$  ([269](#)), the quantum limit can be reached in relatively low fields in this material ([247](#)).

Another phenomenon directly associated with electron condensation to the zeroth LL in topological semimetals is anomalous magnetization ([224](#)). The Landau quantization for a 3D WSM yields energy spectra of

$$\varepsilon_{n,k} = \begin{cases} v_F \operatorname{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar |B| n + \hbar^2 k_z^2}, & n \neq 0, \\ \chi \hbar v_F k_z, & n = 0, \end{cases} \quad 16.$$

where  $\chi = \pm 1$  represents the chirality of the Weyl points. [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: In Equation 16, should the “e” be italicized? JH: Yes Also, again, please check consistency of  $v_F$  (which appears twice in Equation 16) versus  $v_F$  throughout text and equations JH: use  $v_F^{**}$ ] At the quantum limit, magnetization is entirely due to the zeroth LL states, with  $M_{n=0} = -\partial \varepsilon_{n=0,k} / \partial B$ . Taking the derivatives of Equations 6 and 16, one can find that the magnetization per electron should saturate to a constant in a trivial metal but should vanish in the Weyl case. Therefore, one can expect a collapse of magnetization for topological semimetals crossing the quantum limit. Indeed, the magnetic torque anomaly, which has been observed in NbAs, can be quantitatively described by the topological character of the electronic dispersion (224). [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*]

High magnetic field may also lead to annihilation of a Weyl state. The recent studies on TaP have shown that the two counterpropagating chiral modes of the lowest LL (represented by  $\chi = \pm 1$  in Equation 16) may hybridize and open up an energy gap, leading to a magnetic tunneling–induced Weyl node annihilation in TaP that manifests as a sharp reversal of the Hall signal (Figure 6a) (273).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 6 HERE>

Figure 6 Anomalous transport behavior beyond the quantum limit. (a) Magnetic field dependence of the longitudinal ( $\rho_{xx}$ ) and transverse ( $\rho_{xy}$ ) resistivity at 1.5 K and 4.2 K for TaP. A steep drop and sign reversal for  $\rho_{xy}$  are seen at high field (273). [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: In panel a, what does the “T6” at top right denote? Can it simply be deleted? (If so, our illustration editor will make the change.) JH: it is the sample number and can be deleted\*\*] (b) The oscillatory component of resistance  $\Delta R$  at 4.2 K of three ZrTe<sub>5</sub> samples (s6, s7, and s9) with  $\log(B)$  period (274). [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Do the highlighted references denote that panel a is reproduced from Ref 273 and panel b is reproduced from Ref 274? Please clarify if not JH: yes they are from the references\*\*]

In addition to the above phenomena associated with the properties of the relativistic Dirac or Weyl fermions on the zeroth LL, new quantum states in the quantum limit regime have been proposed (274, 275). For ZrTe<sub>5</sub>, whose carrier density varies with different crystal growth techniques, its quantum limit can be reached under a very small magnetic field ( $\sim 0.2$  T) for low-

carrier-density samples. In the quantum limit, surprising resistivity oscillations periodic in  $\log(B)$  have been observed (**Figure 6b**) (274), and these oscillations are believed to be associated with the discrete scale invariance and formation of [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: insert “a” or “the”?JH: the $^{**}$ ] two-body quasi-bound state (274, 275).

Another long-known but intensively investigated transport behavior in the quantum limit is linear MR. As discussed in Section 3.1, orbital MR stemming from the Lorentz effect should exhibit quadratic or nearly quadratic field dependence. In the quantum limit, however, MR grows linearly with  $B$  (195). Such linear MR was discovered in a number of materials (276–280) before the establishment of the theory for topological quantum states. Linear MR has been widely observed in many of the recently reported topological semimetals (45, 172, 173, 175, 234, 235, 281–283). However, linear MR for those materials begins to develop at a field much lower than the critical field needed to reach their quantum limits (45, 172, 173, 175, 234, 235, 281–283). An alternative proposition is that the linear MR in Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> may arise from spatial fluctuations of the magnitude of and direction for local current density in disordered systems (172), which appears to be applicable for other topological semimetals with linear MR. [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Please clarify what appears to be applicable for other topological semimetals with linear MR JH: the above interpretation using the spatial fluctuations appears to be applicable \*\*]

### 3.3. The Intrinsic Anomalous Hall Effect

In the last section, [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: please specify section number: 3.2? JH: yes the entire section 3.2 $^{**}$ ] we intensively discuss the phenomena related to the Landau quantization and the zeroth LL in topological semimetals. As indicated above, the unique zeroth LL originates from the Berry phase of the band character of relativistic fermions. In this section, we review another important phenomenon in magnetic topological semimetals, i.e., the intrinsic AHE, which also stems from Berry phase physics.

AHE, the enhanced Hall signal that couples with the magnetization of magnetic materials, has been intensively studied, as discussed in previous reviews (e.g., 284). Generally, the total Hall resistivity  $\rho_{xy}$  in a FM material has an anomalous contribution proportional to sample magnetization  $M$  ( $\rho_{xy}^{AH} = R_s M$ ) (284). Anomalous Hall resistivity can originate from extrinsic mechanisms such as skew scattering (285) and side jumps (286) and from intrinsic mechanisms due to the topological properties of bands (56, 287–289).

One important feature of magnetic WSMs is their intrinsic AHE. Such an intrinsic Hall

component can be understood in terms of the Berry curvature  $\vec{\Omega}$  of the electronic Bloch states, which leads to an anomalous electron group velocity perpendicular to the longitudinal electric field  $[(e/\hbar)\vec{E} \times \vec{\Omega}]$  (288). In a magnetic WSM, a pair of Weyl nodes with opposite chirality can be seen as monopole sources of Berry curvature. In this case, the AHE is purely intrinsic and tunable by the separation of paired Weyl nodes (54). The intrinsic AHE current is dissipationless (55, 56, 284, 289) and fully spin polarized (289–291) and therefore has great potential for spintronic applications.

A time reversal symmetry (TRS)-breaking Weyl state has also been predicted or established in many magnetic compounds. [**←\*\*AU: Please define TRS (at first use here); please check whether the spelled-out term appears above. If it does, then TRS should be defined above at first use JH: time reversal symmetry.\*\***] An incomplete list includes Co-based Heusler alloys  $\text{Co}_2XZ$  ( $X = \text{IVB}$  or  $\text{VB}$ ;  $Z = \text{IVA}$  or  $\text{IIIA}$ ) (95–99), half-metallic  $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$  (93, 94, 292), half-Heusler compounds  $RPtBi$  ( $R = \text{Gd}$  and  $\text{Nd}$ ) with AFM orders (108–110), and chiral antiferromagnets  $\text{MnSn}_3$  and  $\text{MnGe}_3$  (102, 103). The FM  $\text{Co}_2XZ$  compounds are known to be half-metallic ferromagnets, and some of them have Curie temperatures above room temperature, high spin polarization, and large Seebeck coefficient (293, 294). It has been theoretically predicted that the locations of the Weyl points of these compounds in momentum space can be tuned by the magnetization direction (96, 97). These properties, together with the predicted giant anomalous Hall conductivity (98, 293), make these materials potentially useful for spintronic and thermoelectric applications. These predictions are awaiting experimental verification. A large intrinsic AHE and a giant anomalous Hall angle were recently reported in FM  $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$  (94, 292), for which the existence of Weyl fermions has been demonstrated by the observation of surface Fermi arcs (93).

The topological nontrivial states in half-Heusler compounds attracted significant attention even before the discoveries of topological semimetals (108, 295–297). The recent observations of chiral anomaly—a unique feature of Weyl fermions—together with band structure calculations suggest a magnetic field–driven Weyl state in AFM  $RPtBi$  (109, 110). Although different mechanisms such as Zeeman splitting (109) and exchange field (110) have been proposed for the formation of a TRS-breaking Weyl state in these AFM zero-gap semiconductors with quadratic band touching, the intrinsic AHE associated with the magnetic field–driven Weyl

state has been probed (**Figure 7a**), with a very large anomalous Hall angle of  $\sim 0.15$  comparable to the largest observed in bulk ferromagnets (**Figure 7b**) ([110](#), [298](#)).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 7 HERE>

**Figure 7** Anomalous Hall effect. (a) Magnetic field dependence of the transverse (Hall) resistivity  $\rho_{xy}$  for GdPtBi, with field along the [001] direction ([298](#)). (b) Anomalous Hall angle  $\Delta\sigma_{xy}/\sigma_{xx}$  at different temperatures for GdPtBi ([298](#)). (c) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity  $\rho_H$  for Mn<sub>3</sub>Sn ([100](#)). [**←\*\*AU: Does the highlighted denote that panels a and b are reproduced from Ref 298 and panel c is reproduced from Ref 100? Please clarify JH: yes they are from the references \*\***]

The chiral antiferromagnets Mn<sub>3</sub>Sn and Mn<sub>3</sub>Ge exhibit large anomalous Hall resistivity in the AFM-ordered state, with a sharp and narrow hysteresis loop in magnetic field sweeps (**Figure 7c**) ([100](#), [101](#)). In particular, Mn<sub>3</sub>Sn is the first antiferromagnet to be discovered to exhibit such a surprising large room temperature AHE ([100](#)). Furthermore, remarkable anomalous behavior has also been observed in its Nernst effect ([57](#)). [**←\*\*AU: Please clarify what “its” refers to JH: means this material, Mn3Sn\*\***] These anomalous transport features have been ascribed to a magnetic Weyl state, which was subsequently demonstrated both theoretically ([102](#)) and experimentally ([103](#)).

Although the intrinsic AHE results from magnetic Weyl states, the strong intrinsic AHE does not exclusively occur in magnetic Weyl systems. [**←\*\*AU: OK? Please clarify as necessary JH: it is OK\*\***] Other magnetic systems such as FM kagomé metal Fe<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>3</sub> ([299](#)), FM spinel CuCr<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>4-x</sub>Br<sub>x</sub> ([289](#)), and magnetic semiconductors ([288](#), [291](#)) have also been reported to display the intrinsic AHE.

### 3.4. [**←\*\*AU: “The”? JH: OK to use The\*\***] Chiral Anomaly

As a hallmark of WSMs, the chiral anomaly is particularly important, as it bridges Weyl fermions in condensed matter physics and in high-energy physics. [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] Generally, the numbers of left- and right-handed Weyl fermions are conserved. This individual conservation of particles with opposite chirality is violated in the presence of parallel electric and magnetic fields. This effect, which was originally proposed in particle physics and termed the Adler–Bell–Jackiw effect or chiral anomaly ([17](#)), leads to exotic transport behaviors in condensed matter, i.e., negative longitudinal MR, AMR narrowing, and the planar Hall effect (PHE), which are discussed in detail below.

### 3.4.1. The chiral magnetic effect and negative longitudinal magnetoresistance.

Negative longitudinal MR (i.e., the increase in magnetic field parallel to the electrical current leading to a decrease of resistivity) related to chiral anomaly has been discovered in several topological semimetal systems, as shown below. Chiral anomaly is the manifestation of the chiral magnetic effect: the generation of electric current under magnetic field induced by the chirality imbalance. The mechanism of this phenomenon is well established (10, 11, 52, 53). Here we give a brief overview on its relevant physics. We consider the quantum limit, [←\*\*AU: **OK to add comma here? JH: OK\*\***] where only the zeroth LL is occupied. As described in [Equation 16](#) and illustrated in [Figure 8a](#), the 3D Landau quantization of a WSM leads to counterpropagating zeroth LLs for a pair of Weyl cones, which disperse only along the magnetic field direction. This direction is also the direction for electrons to have coherent motion when an external electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  is applied. Such electric field–driven motion leads to electron pumping between Weyl nodes with a rate  $\propto -\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  (10, 11, 53), which results in imbalanced population of carriers between the two zeroth LLs of the paired Weyl cones. As a result, the chirality becomes imbalanced. In condensed matter, this charge pumping process is finally relaxed by inter-Weyl node scattering, and a steady state is reached, with a chiral current  $j_c \propto B E \cdot \mathbf{B} \tau_{\text{int}}$ , where  $\tau_{\text{int}}$  is the internode relaxation time (10, 11, 53). Clearly, this chiral current contributes to negative MR when  $\mathbf{E} \parallel \mathbf{B}$ . Aside from this quantum mechanical interpretation based on only the zeroth LL, a semiclassical approach based on the Boltzmann equation also yields the same result; with this approach, **it** [←\*\*AU: **please clarify “it” JH: “it” means the formulism of the chiral anomaly\*\***] can also be generalized to the semiclassical regime that involves multiple LLs (10, 11, 53).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 8 HERE>

[Figure 8](#) Chiral anomaly and negative longitudinal magnetoresistance (MR). (a) Schematic of chiral charge pumping between two Weyl cones with opposite chiralities under parallel magnetic and electric fields (105). (b) Magnetic field–induced Weyl state by lifting the spin degeneracy of a Dirac cone due to the Zeeman effect (105). (c) Longitudinal  $\rho_{xx}$  at various temperatures for  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$ . Negative longitudinal MR is observed at lower temperatures (105). (d) Longitudinal  $\rho_{xx}$  at various temperatures for  $\text{ZrTe}_5$ . Negative longitudinal MR is observed at lower temperatures (107). [←\*\*AU: **Do the highlighted references denote that panels a through c are reproduced from Reference 105 and panel d is reproduced from Reference 107? Please clarify JH: yes they are from those references\*\***]

Although the negative longitudinal MR originating from chiral magnetic effect occurs in both the quantum limit and semiclassical regime, the actual field dependence of MR can be material dependent. Generally, the negative MR is expected to be linearly dependent on  $\mathbf{B}$  in the quantum limit while being  $\propto B^2$  in the low-field range. But the real situation can be more complex if the internode scattering that relaxes the chiral charge pumping becomes field dependent. This is possible in the quantum limit at high field, as shown below. In real materials, the situation can be further complicated by positive orbital MR due to the Lorentz effect, which is determined by the magnetic field component perpendicular to current, as discussed in Section 3.1. Ideally, such positive orbital MR should vanish when  $\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{B}$ , but finite orbital MR may arise from a [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: insert "an" or "the"? JH: a}^{**}\right]$  anisotropic Fermi surface for  $\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{B}$  (300). Given such orbital effects, the longitudinal MR may show quadratic field dependence in the low-field range but becomes negative when the chiral magnetic effect dominates.

It is also worth noting that the chiral magnetic effect is not limited to the case of exact  $\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{B}$ , since the chiral charge pumping rate is finite for nonorthogonal electric and magnetic fields. Therefore, negative MR may be observed in a range of field orientation angles and vanishes when it is compensated by the positive orbital MR component, which is determined by the transverse magnetic field component. If the negative MR is too sensitive to field orientation (e.g., it disappears when the magnetic field is deviated by  $1^\circ$  or  $2^\circ$  from the parallel direction), it may suggest a classical origin of current jetting, which is discussed below.

The chiral magnetic effect was first observed in Dirac systems such as  $\text{Bi}_{0.97}\text{Sb}_{0.03}$  (301),  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$  (105),  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  (Figure 8c) (45, 106), and  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  (Figure 8d) (107) before the experimental discovery of WSMs. This effect [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: yes}^{**}\right]$  can be attributed to the fact that the Dirac point in a 3D DSM can be viewed as a superposition of two paired Weyl nodes with opposite chirality. Such two overlapping Weyl nodes can be separated in momentum space by magnetic field, which breaks time-reversal symmetry (Figure 8b). Half-Heusler  $\text{RPtBi}$  is another group of materials that exhibits the [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  magnetic field-induced chiral magnetic effect (109, 110). As mentioned in Section 3.3, these materials are zero-gap semiconductors, and their Weyl points are believed to be caused by the external field-induced Zeeman splitting (109) or by the [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  exchange field from  $4f$  electrons (110). It has been proposed that their Weyl points can be induced for any magnetic field orientation, and the induced Weyl points do not necessarily reside on the axis parallel to the field

([104](#)). For these field-induced Weyl states, the separation of Weyl points in momentum space may be dependent on magnetic field, so the negative longitudinal MR could display nonuniversal field dependence. For example, a quadratic field dependence of negative MR anticipated for a non-quantum limit regime has been observed for most of the above materials ([107](#), [110](#), [301](#)). However, a saturation behavior is seen in Na<sub>3</sub>Bi ([Figure 8c](#)), which is attributed to the field-dependent internode relaxation time in the quantum limit ([105](#)).

Since the experimental discoveries of the WSM state in materials such as TaAs class (type I) materials ([22](#), [23](#), [25](#), [27](#), [39](#), [86–92](#)) and (W/Mo)Te<sub>2</sub> (type II) materials ([28](#), [111–122](#)), many groups have reported observation of negative longitudinal MR in those materials and have attributed it to the chiral magnetic effect ([109](#), [110](#), [179–181](#), [183](#), [192](#), [225](#), [302](#), [303](#)). Although chiral anomaly is usually viewed as smoking gun evidence for a Weyl state, one must be cautious before attributing the observed negative longitudinal MR to chiral anomaly, since a classical effect, current jetting, can also lead to negative longitudinal MR ([47](#)). Current jetting is simply due to the rule that the current flows predominately along the [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: insert “the” or “a”? **JH: the\*\***] high-conductance direction. Once large-conductance anisotropy exists, equipotential lines are strongly distorted, and the current thus forms jets. For materials with large transverse MR, which is the case for most DSMs and WSMs, magnetic field causes very strong conductance anisotropy between the along-current and perpendicular-to-current directions. Therefore, with increasing magnetic field, the voltage drop between voltage contacts may even decrease for asymmetric point-like electrical contacts and irregular sample shape, leading to negative longitudinal MR ([10](#), [304](#), [305](#)). To minimize such a classical effect, it is important to use a perfect bar-shape sample with a large aspect ratio and well-separated, symmetric voltage contacts. Current jetting is also expected to be weak in materials with small transverse MR [e.g., GdPtBi ([304](#))] due to reduced-conductance anisotropy under magnetic fields. More comprehensive discussions of the current jetting effect in topological semimetals can be found in References [304](#) and [305](#).

For type II WSMs such as (W/Mo)Te<sub>2</sub> ([28](#), [111–122](#)), chiral anomaly shows a different situation. Given the strongly titled Weyl cones in such WSMs, [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: **OK?** **JH: OK.** **Change “of” to “in”\*\***] Landau quantization sensitively depends on the orientation of magnetic field, and the Landau spectrum is gapped for some field directions. Therefore, their negative longitudinal MR is strongly anisotropic ([28](#), [306](#), [307](#)); this has been observed in WTe<sub>2</sub> ([302](#),

[303](#)). Further studies also found that, in the classical limit characterized by  $\omega_c\tau \ll 1$  (as opposed to the quantum limit or semiclassical limit, where  $\omega_c\tau \gg 1$ , where  $\omega_c$  is the cyclotron frequency and  $\tau$  is the transport relaxation time), negative longitudinal MR in type II WSM becomes isotropic, similar to that in type I semimetals ([303](#), [308](#)).

### 3.4.2. The Planar Hall effect.

In addition to generating negative MR in longitudinal transport, the chiral anomaly also leads to a nontrivial transverse (Hall) signal under in-plane magnetic field ([Figure 9a](#)). Intuitively, an in-plane Hall signal is not expected under in-plane magnetic field due to the absence of electron accumulation on the sample edges. However, in-plane Hall voltage can be generated in the presence of coplanar electric and magnetic fields ([Figure 9a](#)) due to chiral anomaly, leading to the so-called PHE ([309–315](#)).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 9 HERE>

**Figure 9** The planar Hall effect (PHE) and angular-dependent interlayer resistance (AMR) narrowing. (a) Experimental setup for the PHE. The magnetic field is rotated within the sample plane (the  $x$ - $y$  plane). (b) Experimental setup for the conventional Hall effect. The magnetic field is rotated from the out-of-plane direction toward the sample plane (the  $y$ - $z$  plane). (c,d) Angular dependence of the (c) planar ( $\rho_{xy}^{\text{PHE}}$ ) and (d) conventional ( $\rho_{xy}$ ) Hall resistivity in GdPtBi at 9 T and 2 K, using the setup shown in panels *a* and *b*, respectively. A twofold symmetry is observed for the PHE, in contrast with a onefold symmetry for the conventional Hall effect ([313](#)).

[←\*\*AU: Does the highlighted denote that panels *a* through *d* are reproduced from Ref 313? Please clarify JH: yes they are\*\*] (e,f) Magnetic field orientation dependence of the magnetoconductivity [ $\Delta\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{xx}(B, \phi) - \sigma_{xx}(B, 90^\circ)$ ] of Na<sub>3</sub>Bi at 4.5 K, measured at (e) low and (f) high magnetic fields. [←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\*] The insets show the same data in polar representation. The peak profiles in the angular dependence are clearly narrower at high fields ([105](#)). [←\*\*AU: Does the highlighted denote that panels *e* and *f* are reproduced from Ref 105? Please clarify JH: yes they are \*\*]

The PHE, a well-known phenomenon observed in ferromagnets, is due to the resistivity anisotropy caused by anisotropic magnetization ([316](#)). Although topological semimetals have the same in-plane angular dependence in Hall resistivity  $\rho_{xy}$  as do ferromagnets, the PHE in topological semimetals occurs in the absence of magnetic order, with a significantly enhanced amplitude ([309](#), [310](#)). With coplanar electric and magnetic fields, the transverse resistance  $\rho_{xy}$  of the PHE is ([309](#))

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{(\rho_{\parallel} - \rho_{\perp})}{2} \sin 2\varphi, \quad 17.$$

where  $\rho_{\parallel}$  and  $\rho_{\perp}$  denote resistivity with current flowing along and perpendicular to the direction of the magnetic field, respectively, and  $\varphi$  is the angle between the current flow and magnetic field orientation (Figure 9a). As discussed in Section 3.2, in the Drude model, the orbital MR for  $B//I$  is strictly zero unless a multiband effect is involved. Therefore,  $\rho_{\parallel} - \rho_{\perp}$  represents the resistivity anisotropy caused by chiral anomaly.

In experimental studies on DSMs and WSMs, an abnormal Hall signal under in-plane magnetic field was first reported in ZrTe<sub>5</sub> (317). A strict  $\sin 2\varphi$  dependence was later observed in a number of materials, including ZrTe<sub>5</sub>, Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, GdPtBi, WTe<sub>2</sub>, and VAl<sub>3</sub> (311–315). With rotating in-plane field (Figure 9a) and out-of-plane field (Figure 9b), the twofold anisotropy of the PHE (Figure 9c) clearly differs from the onefold symmetry seen for the conventional Hall effect (Figure 9d) (313). Unlike the conventional Hall effect, the PHE does not satisfy antisymmetry; i.e.,  $\rho_{xy} \neq -\rho_{yx}$ . This is because the PHE does not originate from the Lorentz force (309, 310).

### 3.4.3. Narrowing of angular-dependent interlayer resistance.

With the above definition of  $\rho_{\parallel}$  and  $\rho_{\perp}$ , the longitudinal resistivity can be expressed as (309)

$$\rho_{xx} = \rho_{\perp} + (\rho_{\parallel} - \rho_{\perp}) \cos^2 \varphi. \quad 18.$$

Another unusual property that can be derived from Equation 18 is the narrowing of the AMR peak at high magnetic field (309). For simplicity, the magnetoconductivity with sweeping in-plane angle  $\varphi$  may be expressed as  $\frac{1}{\rho_{xx}(B, \varphi)} - \frac{1}{\rho_{xx}(0, \varphi)}$  (a stricter process requires tensor conversion). At a small angle, the angular dependence of magnetoconductivity has a Lorentzian profile with angular width (309):

$$\Delta\varphi \approx \left( \frac{\varepsilon_F}{\hbar v_F / l_B} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\tau_c}}, \quad 19.$$

where  $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$  is the magnetic length,  $\tau_c$  is the relaxation time for chiral charge diffusion,

and  $\tau$  is the conventional momentum relaxation time. At low fields, LLs are wiped out by energy level broadening and thermal excitation. In this case, the parameters involved in [Equation 19](#) are field independent except for  $l_B$ , indicating a narrowing of angular width with  $B$  that has been observed in  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$  ([Figure 8e,f](#)) ([176](#)). When a strong magnetic field drives the system to the quantum limit, the field dependence of each parameter in [Equation 19](#) leads to the saturation of  $\Delta\varphi$ , as shown in [Figure 9e,f](#) ([176](#)).

### 3.5. Quantum Hall States in the 2D Limit

#### 3.5.1 Classifications of the various quantum Hall states [[JH: this subheading is added to ensure there will be at least two subheadings in this sections](#)]

In the 2D limit, one intriguing aspect of topological semimetals is the potential to generate various quantum Hall states. In Section 3.2.8, we mention that the QHE in the 3D layered topological semimetal  $\text{EuMnBi}_2$  is caused by the formation of 2D electronic states due to restriction of electron motion in the 2D Bi plane ([177](#)). Here we discuss two other quantum Hall states in the 2D limit that have potential applications in electronics and spintronics: the QSHI (i.e., 2D topological insulator) [state](#) and the QAH state. [[←\\*\\*AU: OK? \(Note that QSHI and QAH are now defined above in text, at the first use of each of these terms\) JH: OK since they have been defined in the introduction\\*\\*](#)]

The 2D quantum Hall states for both nonrelativistic and relativistic electrons reflect the fundamental topological properties of materials. For example, the integer QHE, an established [[←\\*\\*AU: OK? JH: OK\\*\\*](#)] phenomenon that was well understood in terms of the Landau quantization, now has a topological interpretation based on the topological invariant of the Chern number, which opens up the field of topological electronic states in condensed matter. As shown in [Figure 10a](#) and mentioned in Section 3.2.7, an integer quantum Hall system under sufficiently strong fields is characterized by an insulating bulk state with electrons pinned to quantized small radii orbits and a conducting, dissipationless chiral edge state formed by skipping orbits.

[[←\\*\\*AU: OK? JH: remove the second “by” before the conducting, dissipationless chiral edge](#) \*\*] The superposition of two copies of time-reversal integer quantum Hall systems in the quantum limit leads to the QSHI, i.e., the 2D topological insulator, which displays a pair of counterpropagating, spin-polarized edge states due to spin-orbit locking ([Figure 10c](#)).

Apparently, the magnetic field necessary to produce an integer quantum Hall system is no longer

needed for a QSHI system (76, 79), as the magnetic field is cancelled out when the time-reversal copies of integer quantum Hall systems are brought together. [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: Edits to the last sentence OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  Another modification of the integer quantum Hall system that does not require an external magnetic field is the QAHI state, [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  in which spontaneous magnetization leads to the dissipationless chiral edge state (Figure 10b) and the formation of LLs is not required (76, 79).

<COMP: PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 10 HERE>

Figure 10 Quantum Hall effects in various topological phases. (a–c) Schematic for (a) the integer quantum Hall insulator (IQHI) state, (b) the quantum anomalous Hall insulator (QAHI) state, and (c) the quantum spin Hall insulator (QSHI) state. (d–f) The (d) 1H, (e) 1T, and (f) 1T' structures of monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides. Panels d–f reproduced from Reference 72. [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  (g) Gate voltage dependence of the differential conductance of the monolayer WTe<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures. Panel g reproduced from Reference 74. [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$

The QSHI and QAHI states [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  also provide significant insights into topological physics beyond simple modification of the integer quantum Hall system (76). The QAHI and [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: insert, e.g., “insulators in the”? JH: do not inset that}^{**}\right]$  the integer quantum Hall system are essentially 2D Chern insulators characterized by nonzero Chern numbers, in contrast with a trivial insulator with  $C = 0$ . With TRS, the Chern number must vanish, but another topological invariant, the  $Z_2$  number, can be introduced to clarify the 2D insulators, becoming 0 for trivial insulators and 1 for a symmetry-protected topological insulator (QSHI) (318). Simple stacking of these 2D building blocks leads to a 3D weak Chern insulator or a weak topological insulator that is not robust against disorder (319). It is also possible to extend the topological classification of a QSHI to 3D and create a strong 3D topological insulator (319). However, the extension of the 2D Chern insulator to 3D cannot produce a strong 3D Chern insulator. Instead, this development [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: OK}^{**}\right]$  results in a metallic phase: the topological semimetal (76). The above discussions show how quantum Hall systems, the QSHIs, the QAHIIs, [ $\leftarrow^{**}\text{AU: OK? JH: see my edits}^{**}\right]$  3D topological insulators, and topological semimetals are closely connected in terms of the topological properties, which implies the possibility of conversion between these states.

From the experimental aspect, QSHIs and QAHIIs are expected to display unusual nonlocal

transport (320, 321). [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: Referring to the insulators (text would remain as is) or the systems/states (in which case please add “systems” or “states” after “QSHI and QAHIs”)?

**JH: the insulators\*\***] The resistance or conductance of conventional diffusive systems is dependent on the dimensions of the sample and is determined by the local resistivity or conductivity (Ohm’s law). However, in quantum Hall systems, due to scale-invariant dissipationless edge conduction, transport is nonlocal, and the concepts of resistivity or conductivity are thus meaningless. The Hall conductance can be obtained from the Chern number  $C$  by  $G_{xy} = Ce^2 / h$ ; a half-quantized Hall conductance is also expected for massless relativistic fermions, as discussed in Section 3.2.8 (Equation 15). For a QSHI,  $G_{xy} = 0$  due to  $C = 0$  in a TRS system, which can be attributed to the fact that the pair of time-reversed chiral edge states cancels each other (Figure 10c). For the longitudinal conductance  $G_{xx}$ , the measurement results strongly depend on the configuration of the contact electrodes. This is because an ideal contact attached to the edge of the sample acts as a reservoir that draws electrons and emits them from and to the edge channels. [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK? **JH: OK\*\***] The spin information of an electron is smeared out during this process. For an integer quantum Hall system or a QAHIs system, the edge state is chiral (Figure 10a,b), and the electrons emitted from the contact have to flow along the same direction, which should lead to zero longitudinal conductance and hence zero longitudinal resistance according to resistivity and conductivity tensor conversion. However, for a QSHI [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: insert “state” or “system? **QSHI can refer to one type of insulator, so “state” or “system” is not needed here**\*\*] with time-reversed spin-polarized edge states, the spin of the emitted electrons has **half probability to be reversed**, [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: **OK as phrased? JH: OK**\*\*] corresponding to the back-moving edge channel with opposite spin. Therefore, a finite resistance depending on the number and configuration of contacts can be expected (320, 321).

**3.5.2. Material realizations for the QSHI and QAHIs states.** [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: (1) Edits OK? **JH: OK** (2) If using subheadings in a section, there need to be at least two, per house style. Please either add another subheading to the section (e.g., add a Section 3.5.2) or remove this one here. **JH: another subheading is added at the beginning of this section, so there are two subheadings now**\*\*]

The QSHI state [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: **OK? JH: OK. QSHI can refer to the actual insulator or the quantum state**\*\*] has been proposed in the monolayer form of the layered 1T'- transition metal dichalcogenides  $MX_2$  ( $M = W, Mo$ ;  $X = S, Se, Te$ ) (72) and *WHM* (322). The structure of

monolayer  $MX_2$  is formed from the stacking of  $X-M-X$  layers, with its physical properties being determined by the type of stacking. A hexagonal H structure with  $ABA$  stacking (**Figure 10d**) results in the well-known direct-band-gap semiconductors ([323](#)). For a rhombohedral 1T phase with  $ABC$  stacking (**Figure 10e**), the structure is unstable and undergoes a spontaneous lattice distortion to the 1T' phase (**Figure 10f**), which consequently leads to a QSHI state in the presence of SOC ([72](#)). The QSHI state in monolayer 1T'- $MX_2$  was first demonstrated in WTe<sub>2</sub>, as this material naturally has the 1T' structure in the bulk form. There is transport ([74](#), [75](#)) and spectroscopic ([73](#)) evidence of the QSHI state in WTe<sub>2</sub> monolayers prepared using mechanical exfoliation or molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) growth. For example, upon [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] sweeping the gate voltage, a conductance plateau associated with the 1D edge state of a QSHI is observed in a WTe<sub>2</sub> monolayer (**Figure 10g**) but is absent in bilayer or few-layer samples ([74](#), [75](#)). More importantly, the temperature at which the conductance plateau starts to develop is as high as 100 K (**Figure 10g**), which is greatly higher than the operating temperature of other well-established QSHIs in semiconductor quantum wells ([324](#)) and could be ascribed to the large bulk band gap of the 1T'-WTe<sub>2</sub> monolayer [which was predicted to be 100 meV ([72](#)) and found to be  $55 \pm 20$  meV for MBE-grown samples ([73](#))]. This finding has great potential for practical device applications. Furthermore, under one proposal, the horizontal electric field may break the inversion symmetry and may induce strong Rashba splitting of the bands near  $E_F$ , which closes the bulk gap at some critical electric fields. Such gap closing leads to a topological phase transition to a trivial phase; this transition occurs very rapidly and can thus be used for topological field effect transistors ([72](#)).

The tetragonal layered  $W\bar{H}M$  compounds have also been predicted to become QSHIs in the monolayer form ([322](#)). Different from WTe<sub>2</sub>, which is a type II topological WSM in the bulk form ([28](#), [111–113](#), [117](#)), bulk  $W\bar{H}M$  is predicted to be a weak topological insulator formed from the stacking of QSHIs ([322](#), [325](#)); this is a long-sought topological quantum state ([326](#)). In  $W\bar{H}M$ ,  $C_{2v}$  symmetry ensures nodal-line crossings near  $E_F$  in the absence of SOC, but this symmetry cannot prevent SOC gap opening ([154](#)). Because the Fermi level crosses the gapped cones and the band dispersion is extremely linear over a wide energy range,  $W\bar{H}Ms$  have been established as topological NLSMs ([78](#), [85](#), [154](#)). To realize the predicted QSHI state, one possible route is to exfoliate the bulk  $W\bar{H}Ms$  to their monolayers. Although the interlayer coupling in  $W\bar{H}Ms$  is not van der Waals type ([322](#), [327](#)), the weak coupling strength in some

*WHMs* allows for mechanical exfoliation, as has been demonstrated (156). One possible advantage of using *WHMs* as a platform for searching [**←\*\*AU: “for”? JH: can change “searching” to “realizing”\*\***] QSHIs is the variable SOC gap with various combinations of  $W$ ,  $H$ , and  $M$  (226); such a gap offers the opportunity to design different QSHIs.

As mentioned above, a QAHIs system is in principle similar to the integer quantum Hall system, but the former occurs without an external magnetic field and LLs (76, 79) and thus carries great promise for possible applications in spintronics. [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] Furthermore, a QAHIs system [**←\*\*AU: insert “state” or “system”? JH: system\*\***] also provides a promising platform for the creation, manipulation, and utilization of Majorana fermions, the hypothetical particles that are their own antiparticles (328, 329). The QAHIs state was first experimentally demonstrated in magnetically doped topological insulators (330–332). However, it has so far been realized only at very low temperatures ( $<1$  K) (330–332). Room temperature QAHIs, [**←\*\*AU: “QAHIs” or “QAHIs”? JH: QAHIs\*\***] if realized, will have the potential to revolutionize information technology through dissipationless spin-polarized chiral edge transport in spintronic devices. Recent studies have revealed a new possible route to the realization of high-temperature QAHIs: 3D FM WSMs can evolve into large-gap QAHIs when the dimensionality is reduced from 3D to 2D, due to the confinement-induced quantization of low-energy states (21). [**←\*\*AU: In the last sentence, change QAHIs to “QAHIs”? JH: OK\*\***] One possible candidate material is  $\text{HgCr}_2\text{Se}_4$  (21), which is awaiting experimental verification. In addition to these two approaches, there are other proposals for the realization of QAHIs (76).

#### 4. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE

Above we review distinct electronic transport phenomena associated with nontrivial band topology in different types of topological semimetals and discuss how to extract the fundamental properties of Dirac/Weyl fermions such as effective mass, quantum mobility, and the Berry phase from dHvA or SdH quantum oscillation measurements. The above discussion shows that topological semimetals exhibit a rich variety of exotic properties that are not seen in nonrelativistic electron systems. These properties include chiral anomaly and the PHE in WSMs, the intrinsic AHE in time-reversal symmetry-breaking WSMs, quantum oscillations due to Weyl orbits and AMR peak narrowing under high magnetic fields in DSMs, the half-integer QHE and

quantum tunneling of the zeroth LLs in layered magnetic DSMs, and [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] vanishing magnetization and dynamic mass generation in the quantum limit of DSMs/WSMs. We discuss how these properties are connected with nontrivial band topology, although the mechanisms for some of these properties are not fully understood. Furthermore, we discuss how DSMs/WSMs are linked with the QSHI and QAH states [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] and how these two quantum Hall states can be approached by reducing NLSMs/FM WSMs to 2D thin layers.

As previous reviews have noted (10, 11), one challenge in this field is the experimental realization of ideal model systems like graphene (10) or the hydrogen atom (11) for various types of topological semimetal phases. An ideal model system should contain only the topological band(s), with the same types of Dirac or Weyl points being symmetrically related, located at the Fermi energy level, and well separated in momentum space. For the material aspect, such a system should be stable in the ambient environment and have minimal defects (10, 11). As noted above, the topological semimetals discovered so far are probably the tip of the iceberg. Given that topological semimetals can be predicted by band structure calculations, we believe that many new topological semimetal phases and candidate materials will be discovered and that some of them may serve as model systems. There have been recent breakthroughs in topological phase screening and database development for topological quantum materials (37, 325, 333–336). With new simple model systems, the trivial bands will not mask or interfere with the contributions from exotic phenomena arising from the nontrivial bands, and novel knowledge of various topological semimetal phases can be further revealed.

Topological quantum materials have stimulated great interest because of not only their connection with high-energy particle physics but also their great potential in future technology applications. As discussed above, both the QSHI and QAH states [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***] can be obtained by reducing the dimension of **NLSMs/FM WSMs** to 2D, and these two states can support dissipationless transport through their topological spin-polarized edge states.

[**←\*\*AU: Please spell out DNLS (it appears only once in text - in the last sentence) JH: should be NLSMs\*\***] Therefore, they carry great promise for applications for spintronic devices and quantum computation. Although both the QSHI and QAH [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: remove “states”\*\***] have been demonstrated experimentally, these states currently occur only in the low-temperature range. Pushing their operation temperature to room temperature is another great

challenge in the field. Achieving this goal requires discoveries of new topological materials with better properties, along with integrated efforts in theoretical modeling, computation, synthesis, characterization, and device demonstrations.

[\*\*AU: Please insert your Disclosure of Potential Bias statement, covering all authors, here. If you have nothing to disclose, please confirm that the statement below may be published in your review. Fill out and return the forms sent with your galleys, as manuscripts CANNOT be sent for page proof layout until these forms are received. **JH: the statement below is OK with me (Jin Hu). Please verify with other authors as well\*\*]**

## DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.H. is supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences program under award DE-SC0019467. Z.-Q.M. is supported by the US National Science Foundation under grant DMR1707502. N.N. is supported by the US DOE, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences program under award DE-SC0011978. We thank Prof. Antony Carrington from Bristol University for the informative discussions on the effective mass for multi-frequency oscillations.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Wilczek F. 1998. Why are there analogies between condensed matter and particle theory? *Phys. Today* 51:11
2. Volovik GE. 2009. *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*. Oxford, UK: Oxford Univ. Press
3. Geim AK, Novoselov KS. 2007. The rise of graphene. *Nat. Mater.* 6:183–91
4. Hasan MZ, Kane CL. 2010. Topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82:3045–67
5. Qi X-L, Zhang S-C. 2011. Topological insulators and superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 83:1057–110
6. Vafek O, Vishwanath A. 2014. Dirac fermions in solids: from high- $T_c$  cuprates and graphene

to topological insulators and Weyl semimetals. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 5:83–112

7. Jia S, Xu S-Y, Hasan MZ. 2016. Weyl semimetals, Fermi arcs and chiral anomalies. *Nat. Mater.* 15:1140–44

8. Yan B, Felser C. 2017. Topological materials: Weyl semimetals. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 8:337–54

9. Burkov AA. 2018. Weyl metals. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 9:359–78

10. Armitage NP, Mele EJ, Vishwanath A. 2018. Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 90:015001

11. Bernevig A, Weng H, Fang Z, Dai X. 2018. Recent progress in the study of topological semimetals. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 87:041001

12. Young SM, Zaheer S, Teo JCY, Kane CL, Mele EJ, Rappe AM. 2012. Dirac semimetal in three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108:140405

13. Wang Z, Sun Y, Chen X-Q, Franchini C, Xu G, et al. 2012. Dirac semimetal and topological phase transitions in  $A_3\text{Bi}$  ( $A = \text{Na, K, Rb}$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 85:195320

14. Wang Z, Weng H, Wu Q, Dai X, Fang Z. 2013. Three-dimensional Dirac semimetal and quantum transport in  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 88:125427

15. Weyl H. 1929. Elektron und Gravitation. I. *Z. Phys.* 56:330–52

16. Herring C. 1937. Accidental degeneracy in the energy bands of crystals. *Phys. Rev.* 52:365–73

17. Nielsen HB, Ninomiya M. 1983. The Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly and Weyl fermions in a crystal. *Phys. Lett. B* 130:389–96

18. Abrikosov AA, Beneslavskii SD. 1971. Some properties of gapless semiconductors of the second kind. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 5:141–54

19. Wan X, Turner AM, Vishwanath A, Savrasov SY. 2011. Topological semimetal and Fermi-arc surface states in the electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates. *Phys. Rev. B* 83:205101

20. Burkov AA, Balents L. 2011. Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107:127205

21. Xu G, Weng H, Wang Z, Dai X, Fang Z. 2011. Chern semimetal and the quantized anomalous Hall effect in  $\text{HgCr}_2\text{Se}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107:186806

22. Huang S-M, Xu S-Y, Belopolski I, Lee C-C, Chang G, et al. 2015. A Weyl fermion semimetal with surface Fermi arcs in the transition metal monopnictide  $\text{TaAs}$  class. *Nat.*

23. Weng H, Fang C, Fang Z, Bernevig BA, Dai X. 2015. Weyl semimetal phase in noncentrosymmetric transition-metal monophosphides. *Phys. Rev. X* 5:011029
24. Dirac PAM. 1928. The quantum theory of the electron. *Proc. R. Soc. A* 117:610–24
25. Xu S-Y, Belopolski I, Alidoust N, Neupane M, Bian G, et al. 2015. Discovery of a Weyl fermion semimetal and topological Fermi arcs. *Science* 349:613–17
26. Lu L, Wang Z, Ye D, Ran L, Fu L, et al. 2015. Experimental observation of Weyl points. *Science* 349:622–24
27. Lv BQ, Weng HM, Fu BB, Wang XP, Miao H, et al. 2015. Experimental discovery of Weyl semimetal TaAs. *Phys. Rev. X* 5:031013
28. Soluyanov AA, Gresch D, Wang Z, Wu Q, Troyer M, et al. 2015. Type-II Weyl semimetals. *Nature* 527:495–98
29. Chang T-R, Xu S-Y, Sanchez DS, Tsai W-F, Huang S-M, et al. 2017. Type-II symmetry-protected topological Dirac semimetals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119:026404
30. Burkov AA, Hook MD, Balents L. 2011. Topological nodal semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 84:235126
31. Bradlyn B, Cano J, Wang Z, Vergniory MG, Felser C, et al. 2016. Beyond Dirac and Weyl fermions: unconventional quasiparticles in conventional crystals. *Science* 353:aaf5037
32. Wieder BJ, Kim Y, Rappe AM, Kane CL. 2016. Double Dirac semimetals in three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116:186402
33. Weng H, Fang C, Fang Z, Dai X. 2016. Topological semimetals with triply degenerate nodal points in  $q$ -phase tantalum nitride. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:241202
34. Zhu Z, Winkler GW, Wu Q, Li J, Soluyanov AA. 2016. Triple point topological metals. *Phys. Rev. X* 6:031003
35. Weng H, Fang C, Fang Z, Dai X. 2016. Coexistence of Weyl fermion and massless triply degenerate nodal points. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:165201
36. Chang G, Xu S-Y, Huang S-M, Sanchez DS, Hsu C-H, et al. 2017. Nexus fermions in topological symmorphic crystalline metals. *Sci. Rep.* 7:1688
37. Watanabe H, Po HC, Vishwanath A. 2018. Structure and topology of band structures in the 1651 magnetic space groups. *Sci. Adv.* 4:[eaat8685](#)
38. Xu S-Y, Liu C, Kushwaha SK, Sankar R, Krizan JW, et al. 2015. Observation of Fermi arc

surface states in a topological metal. *Science* 347:294–98

39. Yang LX, Liu ZK, Sun Y, Peng H, Yang HF, et al. 2015. Weyl semimetal phase in the non-centrosymmetric compound TaAs. *Nat. Phys.* 11:728–32

40. Bian G, Chang T-R, Sankar R, Xu S-Y, Zheng H, et al. 2016. Topological nodal-line fermions in spin-orbit metal PbTaSe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10556

41. Inoue H, Gyenis A, Wang Z, Li J, Oh SW, et al. 2016. Quasiparticle interference of the Fermi arcs and surface-bulk connectivity of a Weyl semimetal. *Science* 351:1184–87

42. Batabyal R, Morali N, Avraham N, Sun Y, Schmidt M, et al. 2016. Visualizing weakly bound surface Fermi arcs and their correspondence to bulk Weyl fermions. *Sci. Adv.* 2:e1600709

43. Potter AC, Kimchi I, Vishwanath A. 2014. Quantum oscillations from surface Fermi arcs in Weyl and Dirac semimetals. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5161

44. Moll PJW, Nair NL, Helm T, Potter AC, Kimchi I, et al. 2016. Transport evidence for Fermi-arc-mediated chirality transfer in the Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* 535:266–70

45. Liang T, Gibson Q, Ali MN, Liu M, Cava RJ, Ong NP. 2015. Ultrahigh mobility and giant magnetoresistance in the Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Mater.* 14:280–84

46. Shekhar C, Nayak AK, Sun Y, Schmidt M, Nicklas M, et al. 2015. Extremely large magnetoresistance and ultrahigh mobility in the topological Weyl semimetal candidate NbP. *Nat. Phys.* 11:645–49

47. Pippard AB. 1989. *Magnetoresistance in Metals*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press

48. Ali MN, Xiong J, Flynn S, Tao J, Gibson QD, et al. 2014. Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* 514:205–8

49. Skinner B, Fu L. 2018. Large, nonsaturating thermopower in a quantizing magnetic field. *Sci. Adv.* 4:eaat2621

50. Liang T, Gibson Q, Xiong J, Hirschberger M, Koduvayur SP, et al. 2013. Evidence for massive bulk Dirac fermions in Pb<sub>1-x</sub>Sn<sub>x</sub>Se from Nernst and thermopower experiments. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2696

51. Stockert U, Reis RDd, Ajeesh MO, Watzman SJ, Schmidt M, et al. 2017. Thermopower and thermal conductivity in the Weyl semimetal NbP. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 29:325701

52. Jho Y-S, Kim K-S. 2013. Interplay between interaction and chiral anomaly: anisotropy in the electrical resistivity of interacting Weyl metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 87:205133

53. Son DT, Spivak BZ. 2013. Chiral anomaly and classical negative magnetoresistance of Weyl metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 88:104412

54. Burkov AA. 2014. Anomalous Hall effect in Weyl metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113:187202

55. Karplus R, Luttinger JM. 1954. Hall effect in ferromagnetics. *Phys. Rev.* 95:1154–60

56. Haldane FDM. 2004. Berry curvature on the Fermi surface: anomalous Hall effect as a topological Fermi-liquid property. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93:206602

57. Ikhlas M, Tomita T, Koretsune T, Suzuki M-T, Nishio-Hamane D, et al. 2017. Large anomalous Nernst effect at room temperature in a chiral antiferromagnet. *Nat. Phys.* 13:1085–90

58. Sakai A, Mizuta YP, Nugroho AA, Sihombing R, Koretsune T, et al. 2018. Giant anomalous Nernst effect and quantum-critical scaling in a ferromagnetic semimetal. *Nat. Phys.* 14:1119–24

59. Ishizuka H, Hayata T, Ueda M, Nagaosa N. 2016. Emergent electromagnetic induction and adiabatic charge pumping in noncentrosymmetric Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:216601

60. Taguchi K, Imaeda T, Sato M, Tanaka Y. 2016. Photovoltaic chiral magnetic effect in Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:201202

61. Chan C-K, Lindner NH, Refael G, Lee PA. 2017. Photocurrents in Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:041104

62. de Juan F, Grushin AG, Morimoto T, Moore JE. 2017. Quantized circular photovoltaic effect in Weyl semimetals. *Nat. Commun.* 8:15995

63. Ma Q, Xu S-Y, Chan C-K, Zhang C-L, Chang G, et al. 2017. Direct optical detection of Weyl fermion chirality in a topological semimetal. *Nat. Phys.* 13:842–47

64. Osterhoudt GB, Diebel LK, Yang X, Stanco J, Huang X, et al. 2017. Colossal photovoltaic effect driven by the singular Berry curvature in a Weyl semimetal. arXiv:1712.04951 [cond-mat.mes-hall] [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***]

65. Wu L, Patankar S, Morimoto T, Nair NL, Thewalt E, et al. 2016. Giant anisotropic nonlinear optical response in transition metal monopnictide Weyl semimetals. *Nat. Phys.* 13:350–55

66. Morimoto T, Nagaosa N. 2016. Topological nature of nonlinear optical effects in solids. *Sci. Adv.* 2:e1501524

67. Goswami P, Sharma G, Tewari S. 2015. Optical activity as a test for dynamic chiral magnetic

effect of Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:161110

68. Ma J, Pesin DA. 2015. Chiral magnetic effect and natural optical activity in metals with or without Weyl points. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:235205

69. Zhong S, Moore JE, Souza I. 2016. Gyrotropic magnetic effect and the magnetic moment on the Fermi surface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116:077201

70. Feng W, Guo G-Y, Zhou J, Yao Y, Niu Q. 2015. Large magneto-optical Kerr effect in noncollinear antiferromagnets  $Mn_3X$  ( $X = Rh, Ir, Pt$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 92:144426

71. Higo T, Man H, Gopman DB, Wu L, Koretsune T, et al. 2018. Large magneto-optical Kerr effect and imaging of magnetic octupole domains in an antiferromagnetic metal. *Nat. Photon.* 12:73–78

72. Qian X, Liu J, Fu L, Li J. 2014. Quantum spin Hall effect in two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Science* 346:1344–47

73. Tang S, Zhang C, Wong D, Pedramrazi Z, Tsai H-Z, et al. 2017. Quantum spin Hall state in monolayer 1T'-WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Phys.* 13:683–87

74. Fei Z, Palomaki T, Wu S, Zhao W, Cai X, et al. 2017. Edge conduction in monolayer WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Phys.* 13:677–82

75. Wu S, Fatemi V, Gibson QD, Watanabe K, Taniguchi T, et al. 2018. Observation of the quantum spin Hall effect up to 100 Kelvin in a monolayer crystal. *Science* 359:76–79

76. Weng H, Yu R, Hu X, Dai X, Fang Z. 2015. Quantum anomalous Hall effect and related topological electronic states. *Adv. Phys.* 64:227–82

77. Burkov AA. 2015. Chiral anomaly and transport in Weyl metals. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 27:113201

78. Chen F, Hongming W, Xi D, Zhong F. 2016. Topological nodal line semimetals. *Chin. Phys. B* 25:117106

79. Liu C-X, Zhang S-C, Qi X-L. 2016. The quantum anomalous Hall effect: theory and experiment. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 7:301–21

80. Bansil A, Lin H, Das T. 2016. Topological band theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 88:021004

81. Wang S, Lin B-C, Wang A-Q, Yu D-P, Liao Z-M. 2017. Quantum transport in Dirac and Weyl semimetals: a review. *Adv. Phys. X* 2:518–44

82. Hasan MZ, Xu S-Y, Belopolski I, Huang S-M. 2017. Discovery of Weyl fermion semimetals and topological Fermi arc states. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 8:289–309

83. Zheng H, Zahid Hasan M. 2018. Quasiparticle interference on type-I and type-II Weyl semimetal surfaces: a review. *Adv. Phys. X* 3:1466661

84. Nurit A, Jonathan R, Abhay K-N, Noam M, Rajib B, et al. 2018. Quasiparticle interference studies of quantum materials. *Adv. Mater.* 30:1707628

85. Yang S-Y, Yang H, Derunova E, Parkin SSP, Yan B, Ali MN. 2018. Symmetry demanded topological nodal-line materials. *Adv. Phys. X* 3:1414631

86. Xu S-Y, Alidoust N, Belopolski I, Yuan Z, Bian G, et al. 2015. Discovery of a Weyl fermion state with Fermi arcs in niobium arsenide. *Nat. Phys.* 11:748–54

87. Xu N, Weng HM, Lv BQ, Matt CE, Park J, et al. 2015. Observation of Weyl nodes and Fermi arcs in tantalum phosphide. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11006

88. Belopolski I, Xu S-Y, Sanchez DS, Chang G, Guo C, et al. 2016. Criteria for directly detecting topological Fermi arcs in Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116:066802

89. Liu ZK, Yang LX, Sun Y, Zhang T, Peng H, et al. 2015. Evolution of the Fermi surface of Weyl semimetals in the transition metal pnictide family. *Nat. Mater.* 15:27–31

90. Souma S, Wang Z, Kotaka H, Sato T, Nakayama K, et al. 2016. Direct observation of nonequivalent Fermi-arc states of opposite surfaces in the noncentrosymmetric Weyl semimetal NbP. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:161112

91. Xu S-Y, Belopolski I, Sanchez DS, Zhang C, Chang G, et al. 2015. Experimental discovery of a topological Weyl semimetal state in TaP. *Sci. Adv.* 1:e1501092

92. Xu D-F, Du Y-P, Wang Z, Li Y-P, Niu X-H, et al. 2015. Observation of Fermi arcs in non-centrosymmetric Weyl semi-metal candidate NbP. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 32:107101

93. Xu Q, Liu E, Shi W, Muechler L, Gayles J, et al. 2018. Topological surface Fermi arcs in the magnetic Weyl semimetal  $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 97:235416

94. Wang Q, Xu Y, Lou R, Liu Z, Li M, et al. 2018. Large intrinsic anomalous Hall effect in half-metallic ferromagnet  $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$  with magnetic Weyl fermions. *Nat. Commun.* 9:3681  
[←\*\*AU: OK?\*\*]

95. Belopolski I, Sanchez DS, Chang G, Manna K, Ernst B, et al. 2017. A three-dimensional magnetic topological phase. arXiv:1712.09992 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

96. Chang G, Xu S-Y, Zheng H, Singh B, Hsu C-H, et al. 2016. Room-temperature magnetic topological Weyl fermion and nodal line semimetal states in half-metallic Heusler  $\text{Co}_2\text{TiX}$  (X = Si, Ge, or Sn). *Sci. Rep.* 6:38839

97. Wang Z, Vergniory MG, Kushwaha S, Hirschberger M, Chulkov EV, et al. 2016. Time-reversal-breaking Weyl fermions in magnetic Heusler alloys. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:236401

98. Ernst B, Sahoo R, Sun Y, Nayak J, Muechler L, et al. 2017. Manifestation of the Berry curvature in  $\text{Co}_2\text{TiSn}$  Heusler films. arXiv:1710.04393 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

99. Kübler J, Felser C. 2016. Weyl points in the ferromagnetic Heusler compound  $\text{Co}_2\text{MnAl}$ . *Europhys. Lett.* 114:47005

100. Nakatsuji S, Kiyohara N, Higo T. 2015. Large anomalous Hall effect in a non-collinear antiferromagnet at room temperature. *Nature* 527:212–15

101. Nayak AK, Fischer JE, Sun Y, Yan B, Karel J, et al. 2016. Large anomalous Hall effect driven by a nonvanishing Berry curvature in the noncolinear antiferromagnet  $\text{Mn}_3\text{Ge}$ . *Sci. Adv.* 2:e1501870

102. Hao Y, Yan S, Yang Z, Wu-Jun S, Stuart SPP, Binghai Y. 2017. Topological Weyl semimetals in the chiral antiferromagnetic materials  $\text{Mn}_3\text{Ge}$  and  $\text{Mn}_3\text{Sn}$ . *New J. Phys.* 19:015008

103. Kuroda K, Tomita T, Suzuki MT, Bareille C, Nugroho AA, et al. 2017. Evidence for magnetic Weyl fermions in a correlated metal. *Nat. Mater.* 16:1090–95

104. Cano J, Bradlyn B, Wang Z, Hirschberger M, Ong NP, Bernevig BA. 2017. Chiral anomaly factory: creating Weyl fermions with a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:161306

105. Xiong J, Kushwaha SK, Liang T, Krizan JW, Hirschberger M, et al. 2015. Evidence for the chiral anomaly in the Dirac semimetal  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$ . *Science* 350:413–16

106. Li C-Z, Wang L-X, Liu H, Wang J, Liao Z-M, Yu D-P. 2015. Giant negative magnetoresistance induced by the chiral anomaly in individual  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$  nanowires. *Nat. Commun.* 6:10137

107. Li Q, Kharzeev DE, Zhang C, Huang Y, Pletikosic I, et al. 2016. Chiral magnetic effect in  $\text{ZrTe}_5$ . *Nat. Phys.* 12:550–54

108. Nakajima Y, Hu R, Kirshenbaum K, Hughes A, Syers P, et al. 2015. Topological RPdBi half-Heusler semimetals: a new family of noncentrosymmetric magnetic superconductors. *Sci. Adv.* 1:e1500242

109. Hirschberger M, Kushwaha S, Wang Z, Gibson Q, Liang S, et al. 2016. The chiral anomaly and thermopower of Weyl fermions in the half-Heusler  $\text{GdPtBi}$ . *Nat. Mater.* 15:1161–65

110. Shekhar C, Nayak AK, Singh S, Kumar N, Wu S-C, et al. 2016. Observation of chiral

magneto-transport in RPtBi topological Heusler compounds. arXiv:1604.01641 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

111. Wu Y, Mou D, Jo NH, Sun K, Huang L, et al. 2016. Observation of Fermi arcs in type-II Weyl semimetal candidate WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:121113(R)
112. Wang C, Zhang Y, Huang J, Nie S, Liu G, et al. 2016. Observation of Fermi arc and its connection with bulk states in the candidate type-II Weyl semimetal WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:241119
113. Bruno FY, Tamai A, Wu QS, Cucchi I, Barreteau C, et al. 2016. Observation of large topologically trivial Fermi arcs in the candidate type-II Weyl WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:121112
114. Wang Z, Gresch D, Soluyanov AA, Xie W, Kushwaha S, et al. 2016. MoTe<sub>2</sub>: a type-II Weyl topological metal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:056805
115. Huang L, McCormick TM, Ochi M, Zhao Z, Suzuki M-T, et al. 2016. Spectroscopic evidence for a type II Weyl semimetallic state in MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Mater.* 15:1155–60
116. Deng K, Wan G, Deng P, Zhang K, Ding S, et al. 2016. Experimental observation of topological Fermi arcs in type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Phys.* 12:1105–10
117. Belopolski I, Sanchez DS, Ishida Y, Pan X, Yu P, et al. 2016. Discovery of a new type of topological Weyl fermion semimetal state in Mo<sub>x</sub>W<sub>1-x</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 7:13643
118. Belopolski I, Xu S-Y, Ishida Y, Pan X, Yu P, et al. 2016. Fermi arc electronic structure and Chern numbers in the type-II Weyl semimetal candidate Mo<sub>x</sub>W<sub>1-x</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:085127
119. Jiang J, Liu ZK, Sun Y, Yang HF, Rajamathi CR, et al. 2017. Signature of type-II Weyl semimetal phase in MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 8:13973
120. Liang A, Huang J, Nie S, Ding Y, Gao Q, et al. 2016. Electronic evidence for type II Weyl semimetal state in MoTe<sub>2</sub>. arXiv:1604.01706 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
121. Xu N, Wang ZJ, Weber AP, Magrez A, Bugnon P, et al. 2016. Discovery of Weyl semimetal state violating Lorentz invariance in MoTe<sub>2</sub>. arXiv:1604.02116 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]
122. Tamai A, Wu QS, Cucchi I, Bruno FY, Riccò S, et al. 2016. Fermi arcs and their topological character in the candidate type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. X* 6:031021
123. Koepernik K, Kasinathan D, Efremov DV, Khim S, Borisenko S, et al. 2016. TaIrTe<sub>4</sub>: a ternary type-II Weyl semimetal. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:201101
124. Belopolski I, Yu P, Sanchez DS, Ishida Y, Chang T-R, et al. 2017. Signatures of a time-

reversal symmetric Weyl semimetal with only four Weyl points. *Nat. Commun.* 8:942

125. Autès G, Gresch D, Troyer M, Soluyanov AA, Yazyev OV. 2016. Robust type-II Weyl semimetal phase in transition metal diphosphides  $XP_2$  (X = Mo, W). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:066402

126. Liu ZK, Zhou B, Zhang Y, Wang ZJ, Weng HM, et al. 2014. Discovery of a three-dimensional topological dirac semimetal,  $Na_3Bi$ . *Science* 343:864–67

127. Neupane M, Xu S-Y, Sankar R, Alidoust N, Bian G, et al. 2014. Observation of a three-dimensional topological Dirac semimetal phase in high-mobility  $Cd_3As_2$ . *Nat. Commun.* 5:3786

128. Liu ZK, Jiang J, Zhou B, Wang ZJ, Zhang Y, et al. 2014. A stable three-dimensional topological Dirac semimetal  $Cd_3As_2$ . *Nat. Mater.* 13:677–81

129. Borisenko S, Gibson Q, Evtushinsky D, Zabolotnyy V, Büchner B, Cava RJ. 2014. Experimental realization of a three-dimensional Dirac semimetal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113:027603

130. Yi H, Wang Z, Chen C, Shi Y, Feng Y, et al. 2014. Evidence of topological surface state in three-dimensional Dirac semimetal  $Cd_3As_2$ . *Sci. Rep.* 4:6106

131. Yang B-J, Nagaosa N. 2014. Classification of stable three-dimensional Dirac semimetals with nontrivial topology. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4898

132. Steinberg JA, Young SM, Zaheer S, Kane CL, Mele EJ, Rappe AM. 2014. Bulk Dirac points in distorted spinels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112:036403

133. Watanabe H, Po HC, Vishwanath A, Zaletel M. 2015. Filling constraints for spin-orbit coupled insulators in symmorphic and nonsymmorphic crystals. *PNAS* 112:14551–56

134. Wieder BJ, Kane CL. 2016. Spin-orbit semimetals in the layer groups. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:155108

135. Young SM, Wieder BJ. 2017. Filling-enforced magnetic Dirac semimetals in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118:186401

136. Xu S-Y, Xia Y, Wray LA, Jia S, Meier F, et al. 2011. Topological phase transition and texture inversion in a tunable topological insulator. *Science* 332:560–64

137. Brahlek M, Bansal N, Koirala N, Xu S-Y, Neupane M, et al. 2012. Topological-metal to band-insulator transition in  $(Bi_{1-x}In_x)_2Se_3$  thin films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109:186403

138. Xu S-Y, Liu C, Alidoust N, Neupane M, Qian D, et al. 2012. Observation of a topological

crystalline insulator phase and topological phase transition in  $\text{Pb}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{Te}$ . *Nat. Commun.* 3:1192

139. Weng H, Dai X, Fang Z. 2014. Transition-metal pentatelluride  $\text{ZrTe}_5$  and  $\text{HfTe}_5$ : a paradigm for large-gap quantum spin Hall insulators. *Phys. Rev. X* 4:011002
140. Manzoni G, Gragnaniello L, Autès G, Kuhn T, Sterzi A, et al. 2016. Evidence for a strong topological insulator phase in  $\text{ZrTe}_5$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:237601
141. Park J, Lee G, Wolff-Fabris F, Koh YY, Eom MJ, et al. 2011. Anisotropic Dirac fermions in a Bi square net of  $\text{SrMnBi}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107:126402
142. Feng Y, Wang Z, Chen C, Shi Y, Xie Z, et al. 2014. Strong anisotropy of Dirac cones in  $\text{SrMnBi}_2$  and  $\text{CaMnBi}_2$  revealed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 4:5385
143. Liu JY, Hu J, Zhang Q, Graf D, Cao HB, et al. 2017. A magnetic topological semimetal  $\text{Sr}_{1-y}\text{Mn}_{1-z}\text{Sb}_2$  ( $y, z < 0.10$ ). *Nat. Mater.* 16:905–10
144. Kargarian M, Randeria M, Lu Y-M. 2016. Are the surface Fermi arcs in Dirac semimetals topologically protected? *PNAS* 113:8648–52
145. Bian G, Chang T-R, Zheng H, Velury S, Xu S-Y, et al. 2016. Drumhead surface states and topological nodal-line fermions in  $\text{TiTaSe}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 93:121113
146. Fang C, Chen Y, Kee H-Y, Fu L. 2015. Topological nodal line semimetals with and without spin-orbital coupling. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:081201
147. Xie LS, Schoop LM, Seibel EM, Gibson QD, Xie W, Cava RJ. 2015. A new form of  $\text{Ca}_3\text{P}_2$  with a ring of Dirac nodes. *APL Mater.* 3:083602
148. Yu R, Weng H, Fang Z, Dai X, Hu X. 2015. Topological node-line semimetal and dirac semimetal state in antiperovskite  $\text{Cu}_3\text{PdN}$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115:036807
149. Kim Y, Wieder BJ, Kane CL, Rappe AM. 2015. Dirac line nodes in inversion-symmetric crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115:036806
150. Chiu C-K, Schnyder AP. 2014. Classification of reflection-symmetry-protected topological semimetals and nodal superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 90:205136
151. Wu Y, Wang L-L, Mun E, Johnson DD, Mou D, et al. 2016. Dirac node arcs in  $\text{PtSn}_4$ . *Nat. Phys.* 12:667–71
152. Ekaiana SA, Shu-Chun W, Juan J, Kenjiro O, Dharmalingam P, et al. 2017. Observation of nodal line in non-symmorphic topological semimetal InBi. *New J. Phys.* 19:065007

153. Feng X, Yue C, Song Z, Wu Q, Wen B. 2018. Topological Dirac nodal-net fermions in AlB<sub>2</sub>-type TiB<sub>2</sub> and ZrB<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 2:014202

154. Schoop LM, Ali MN, Straszer C, Topp A, Varykhalov A, et al. 2016. Dirac cone protected by non-symmorphic symmetry and three-dimensional Dirac line node in ZrSiS. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11696

155. Neupane M, Belopolski I, Hosen MM, Sanchez DS, Sankar R, et al. 2016. Observation of topological nodal fermion semimetal phase in ZrSiS. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:201104

156. Hu J, Tang Z, Liu J, Liu X, Zhu Y, et al. 2016. Evidence of topological nodal-line fermions in ZrSiSe and ZrSiTe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:016602

157. Takane D, Wang Z, Souma S, Nakayama K, Trang CX, et al. 2016. Dirac-node arc in the topological line-node semimetal HfSiS. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:121108

158. Chen C, Xu X, Jiang J, Wu SC, Qi YP, et al. 2017. Dirac line nodes and effect of spin-orbit coupling in the nonsymmorphic critical semimetals MSiS ( $M = \text{Hf, Zr}$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 95:125126

159. Yamakage A, Yamakawa Y, Tanaka Y, Okamoto Y. 2015. Line-node Dirac semimetal and topological insulating phase in noncentrosymmetric pnictides CaAg $X$  ( $X = \text{P, As}$ ). *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 85:013708

160. Wang X-B, Ma X-M, Emmanouilidou E, Shen B, Hsu C-H, et al. 2017. Topological surface electronic states in candidate nodal-line semimetal CaAgAs. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:161112

161. Liang Q-F, Zhou J, Yu R, Wang Z, Weng H. 2016. Node-surface and node-line fermions from nonsymmorphic lattice symmetries. *Phys. Rev. B* 93:085427

162. Bzdušek T, Wu Q, Rüegg A, Sigrist M, Soluyanov AA. 2016. Nodal-chain metals. *Nature* 538:75–78

163. Wang S-S, Liu Y, Yu Z-M, Sheng X-L, Yang SA. 2017. Hourglass Dirac chain metal in rhenium dioxide. *Nat. Commun.* 8:1844

164. Bi R, Yan Z, Lu L, Wang Z. 2017. Nodal-knot semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:201305

165. Chen W, Lu H-Z, Hou J-M. 2017. Topological semimetals with a double-helix nodal link. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:041102

166. Yan Z, Bi R, Shen H, Lu L, Zhang S-C, Wang Z. 2017. Nodal-link semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:041103

167. Chang G, Xu S-Y, Zhou X, Huang S-M, Singh B, et al. 2017. Topological Hopf and chain

link semimetal states and their application to  $\text{Co}_2\text{MnGa}$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119:156401

168. Wieder BJ. 2018. Threes company. *Nat. Phys.* 14:329–30

169. Lv BQ, Feng ZL, Xu QN, Gao X, Ma JZ, et al. 2017. Observation of three-component fermions in the topological semimetal molybdenum phosphide. *Nature* 546:627–31

170. Ma JZ, He JB, Xu YF, Lv BQ, Chen D, et al. 2018. Three-component fermions with surface Fermi arcs in tungsten carbide. *Nat. Phys.* 14:349–54

171. Gao W, Hao N, Zheng F-W, Ning W, Wu M, et al. 2017. Extremely large magnetoresistance in a topological semimetal candidate pyrite  $\text{PtBi}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118:256601

172. Narayanan A, Watson MD, Blake SF, Bruyant N, Drigo L, et al. 2015. Linear magnetoresistance caused by mobility fluctuations in *n*-doped  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114:117201

173. Wang K, Graf D, Lei H, Tozer SW, Petrovic C. 2011. Quantum transport of two-dimensional Dirac fermions in  $\text{SrMnBi}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 84:220401

174. Novak M, Sasaki S, Segawa K, Ando Y. 2015. Large linear magnetoresistance in the Dirac semimetal  $\text{TlBiSSe}$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 91:041203

175. Yi-Yan W, Qiao-He Y, Tian-Long X. 2016. Large linear magnetoresistance in a new Dirac material  $\text{BaMnBi}_2$ . *Chin. Phys. B* 25:107503

176. Xiong J, Kushwaha S, Krizan J, Liang T, Cava RJ, Ong NP. 2016. Anomalous conductivity tensor in the Dirac semimetal  $\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$ . *Europhys. Lett.* 114:27002

177. Masuda H, Sakai H, Tokunaga M, Yamasaki Y, Miyake A, et al. 2016. Quantum Hall effect in a bulk antiferromagnet  $\text{EuMnBi}_2$  with magnetically confined two-dimensional Dirac fermions. *Sci. Adv.* 2:e1501117

178. He LP, Hong XC, Dong JK, Pan J, Zhang Z, et al. 2014. Quantum transport evidence for the three-dimensional dirac semimetal phase in  $\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113:246402

179. Wang Z, Zheng Y, Shen Z, Lu Y, Fang H, et al. 2016. Helicity-protected ultrahigh mobility Weyl fermions in  $\text{NbP}$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 93:121112

180. Yang X, Liu Y, Wang Z, Zheng Y, Xu Z-a. 2015. Chiral anomaly induced negative magnetoresistance in topological Weyl semimetal  $\text{NbAs}$ . arXiv:1506.03190 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

181. Zhang C, Guo C, Lu H, Zhang X, Yuan Z, et al. 2015. Large magnetoresistance over an extended temperature regime in monophosphides of tantalum and niobium. *Phys. Rev. B*

182. Zhang C-L, Yuan Z, Jiang Q-D, Tong B, Zhang C, et al. 2017. Electron scattering in tantalum monoarsenide. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:085202

183. Huang X, Zhao L, Long Y, Wang P, Chen D, et al. 2015. Observation of the chiral-anomaly-induced negative magnetoresistance in 3D Weyl semimetal TaAs. *Phys. Rev. X* 5:031023

184. Wang YL, Thoutam LR, Xiao ZL, Hu J, Das S, et al. 2015. Origin of the turn-on temperature behavior in WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:180402

185. Zhao Y, Liu H, Yan J, An W, Liu J, et al. 2015. Anisotropic magnetotransport and exotic longitudinal linear magnetoresistance in WTe<sub>2</sub> crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:041104

186. Zhu Z, Lin X, Liu J, Fauqué B, Tao Q, et al. 2015. Quantum oscillations, thermoelectric coefficients, and the Fermi surface of semimetallic WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114:176601

187. Wang A, Graf D, Liu Y, Du Q, Zheng J, et al. 2017. Large magnetoresistance in the type-II Weyl semimetal WP<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:121107

188. Wang C-L, Zhang Y, Huang J-W, Liu G-D, Liang A-J, et al. 2017. Evidence of electron-hole imbalance in WTe<sub>2</sub> from high-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 34:097305

189. Thirupathaiah S, Jha R, Pal B, Matias JS, Das PK, et al. 2017. MoTe<sub>2</sub>: an uncompensated semimetal with extremely large magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:241105

190. Chamber RG. 1990. *Electrons in Metals and Semiconductors*. New York: Chapman and Hall

191. Luo Y, Ghimire NJ, Wartenbe M, Choi H, Neupane M, et al. 2015. Electron-hole compensation effect between topologically trivial electrons and nontrivial holes in NbAs. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:205134

192. Hu J, Liu JY, Graf D, Radmanesh SMA, Adams DJ, et al. 2016.  $\pi$  Berry phase and Zeeman splitting of Weyl semimetal TaP. *Sci. Rep.* 6:18674

193. Du J, Wang H, Mao Q, Khan R, Xu B, et al. 2016. Large unsaturated positive and negative magnetoresistance in Weyl semimetal TaP. *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* 59:657406

194. Ghimire NJ, Yongkang L, Neupane M, Williams DJ, Bauer ED, Ronning F. 2015. Magnetotransport of single crystalline NbAs. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 27:152201

195. Abrikosov AA. 1998. Quantum magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B* 58:2788–94

196. Datta S. 1995. *Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press

197. Chen YL, Chu J-H, Analytis JG, Liu ZK, Igarashi K, et al. 2010. Massive Dirac fermion on the surface of a magnetically doped topological insulator. *Science* 329:659–62

198. Beidenkopf H, Roushan P, Seo J, Gorman L, Drozdov I, et al. 2011. Spatial fluctuations of helical Dirac fermions on the surface of topological insulators. *Nat. Phys.* 7:939–43

199. Okada Y, Dhital C, Zhou W, Huemiller ED, Lin H, et al. 2011. Direct observation of broken time-reversal symmetry on the surface of a magnetically doped topological insulator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106:206805

200. Wray LA, Xu S-Y, Xia Y, Hsieh D, Fedorov AV, et al. 2011. A topological insulator surface under strong Coulomb, magnetic and disorder perturbations. *Nat. Phys.* 7:32–37

201. Liu M, Zhang J, Chang C-Z, Zhang Z, Feng X, et al. 2012. Crossover between weak antilocalization and weak localization in a magnetically doped topological insulator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108:036805

202. Ando Y. 2013. Topological insulator materials. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 82:102001

203. Shoenberg D. 1984. *Magnetic Oscillations in Metals*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press

204. Kartsovnik MV. 2004. High magnetic fields: a tool for studying electronic properties of layered organic metals. *Chem. Rev.* 104:5737–82

205. McClure JW. 1956. Diamagnetism of graphite. *Phys. Rev.* 104:666–71

206. Ando T. 2008. Physics of graphene: zero-mode anomalies and roles of symmetry. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 176:203–26

207. Berry MV. 1984. Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes. *Proc. R. Soc. A* 392:45–57

208. Xiao D, Chang M-C, Niu Q. 2010. Berry phase effects on electronic properties. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82:1959–2007

209. Mikitik GP, Sharlai YV. 1999. Manifestation of Berry's phase in metal physics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82:2147–50

210. Taskin AA, Ando Y. 2011. Berry phase of nonideal Dirac fermions in topological insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* 84:035301

211. Lv BQ, Xu N, Weng HM, Ma JZ, Richard P, et al. 2015. Observation of Weyl nodes in

TaAs. *Nat. Phys.* 11:724–27

212. Lifshitz IM, Kosevich AM. 1956. Theory of magnetic susceptibility in metals at low temperatures. *Sov. Phys. JETP* 2:636–45
213. Kealhofer R, Jang S, Griffin SM, John C, Benavides KA, et al. 2018. Observation of a two-dimensional Fermi surface and Dirac dispersion in YbMnSb<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 97:045109
214. Shoenberg D. 1984. Magnetization of a two-dimensional electron gas. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 56:417–40
215. Champel T, Mineev VP. 2001. de Haas–van Alphen effect in two- and quasi-two-dimensional metals and superconductors. *Philos. Mag. B* 81:55–74
216. Novoselov KS, Geim AK, Morozov SV, Jiang D, Katsnelson MI, et al. 2005. Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature* 438:197–200
217. Das Sarma S, Stern F. 1985. Single-particle relaxation time versus scattering time in an impure electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B* 32:8442–44
218. Hwang EH, Das Sarma S. 2008. Single-particle relaxation time versus transport scattering time in a two-dimensional graphene layer. *Phys. Rev. B* 77:195412
219. Xiong J, Luo Y, Khoo Y, Jia S, Cava RJ, Ong NP. 2012. High-field Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations in the topological insulator Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>Se. *Phys. Rev. B* 86:045314
220. Pariari A, Dutta P, Mandal P. 2015. Probing the Fermi surface of three-dimensional Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub> through the de Haas–van Alphen technique. *Phys. Rev. B* 91:155139
221. Hu J, Tang Z, Liu J, Zhu Y, Wei J, Mao Z. 2017. Nearly massless Dirac fermions and strong Zeeman splitting in the nodal-line semimetal ZrSiS probed by de Haas–van Alphen quantum oscillations. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:045127
222. Kumar N, Manna K, Qi Y, Wu S-C, Wang L, et al. 2017. Unusual magnetotransport from Si-square nets in topological semimetal HfSiS. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:121109(R)
223. Jeon S, Zhou BB, Gyenis A, Feldman BE, Kimchi I, et al. 2014. Landau quantization and quasiparticle interference in the three-dimensional Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Mater.* 13:851–56
224. Moll PJW, Potter AC, Nair NL, Ramshaw BJ, Modic KA, et al. 2016. Magnetic torque anomaly in the quantum limit of Weyl semimetals. *Nat. Commun.* 7:12492
225. Arnold F, Shekhar C, Wu S-C, Sun Y, dos Reis RD, et al. 2016. Negative magnetoresistance without well-defined chirality in the Weyl semimetal TaP. *Nat. Commun.*

226. Hu J, Zhu YL, Graf D, Tang ZJ, Liu JY, Mao ZQ. 2017. Quantum oscillation studies of topological semimetal candidate  $ZrGeM$  ( $M = S, Se, Te$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 95:205134

227. Arnold F, Naumann M, Wu SC, Sun Y, Schmidt M, et al. 2016. Chiral Weyl pockets and Fermi surface topology of the Weyl semimetal TaAs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:146401

228. Hu J, Zhu Y, Gui X, Graf D, Tang Z, et al. 2018. Quantum oscillation evidence of a topological semimetal phase in  $ZrSnTe$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 97:155101

229. Zheng W, Schönemann R, Aryal N, Zhou Q, Rhodes D, et al. 2018. Detailed study of the Fermi surfaces of the type-II Dirac semimetallic candidates  $XTe_2$  ( $X = Pd, Pt$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 97:235154

230. Zhu Y, Zhang T, Hu J, Kidd J, Graf D, et al. 2018. Multiple topologically non-trivial bands in non-centrosymmetric  $YSn_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 98:035117

231. Cai PL, Hu J, He LP, Pan J, Hong XC, et al. 2015. Drastic pressure effect on the extremely large magnetoresistance in  $WTe_2$ : quantum oscillation study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115:057202

232. Ali MN, Schoop LM, Garg C, Lippmann JM, Lara E, et al. 2016. Butterfly magnetoresistance, quasi-2D Dirac Fermi surfaces, and a topological phase transition in  $ZrSiS$ . *Sci. Adv.* 2:e1601742

233. Singha R, Pariari A, Satpati B, Mandal P. 2017. Large nonsaturating magnetoresistance and signature of nondegenerate Dirac nodes in  $ZrSiS$ . *PNAS* 114:2468–73

234. Wang K, Graf D, Wang L, Lei H, Tozer SW, Petrovic C. 2012. Two-dimensional Dirac fermions and quantum magnetoresistance in  $CaMnBi_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 85:041101

235. Li L, Wang K, Graf D, Wang L, Wang A, Petrovic C. 2016. Electron-hole asymmetry, Dirac fermions, and quantum magnetoresistance in  $BaMnBi_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 93:115141

236. Cao J, Liang S, Zhang C, Liu Y, Huang J, et al. 2015. Landau level splitting in  $Cd_3As_2$  under high magnetic fields. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7779

237. Zhao Y, Liu H, Zhang C, Wang H, Wang J, et al. 2015. Anisotropic Fermi surface and quantum limit transport in high mobility three-dimensional Dirac semimetal  $Cd_3As_2$ . *Phys. Rev. X* 5:031037

238. Liu J, Hu J, Cao H, Zhu Y, Chuang A, et al. 2016. Nearly massless Dirac fermions hosted by Sb square net in  $BaMnSb_2$ . *Sci. Rep.* 6:30525

239. Huang S, Kim J, Shelton WA, Plummer EW, Jin R. 2017. Nontrivial Berry phase in

magnetic  $\text{BaMnSb}_2$  semimetal. *PNAS* 114:6256–61

240. Pippard AB. 1965. *The Dynamics of Conduction Electrons*. New York: Gordon and Breach

241. Lv Y-Y, Zhang B-B, Li X, Yao S-H, Chen YB, et al. 2016. Extremely large and significantly anisotropic magnetoresistance in  $\text{ZrSiS}$  single crystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 108:244101

242. Wang X, Pan X, Gao M, Yu J, Jiang J, et al. 2016. Evidence of both surface and bulk Dirac bands and anisotropic nonsaturating magnetoresistance in  $\text{ZrSiS}$ . *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 2:1600228

243. Zhang C-L, Xu S-Y, Belopolski I, Yuan Z, Lin Z, et al. 2016. Signatures of the Adler–Bell–Jackiw chiral anomaly in a Weyl fermion semimetal. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10735

244. Sankar R, Peramaiyan G, Muthuselvam IP, Butler CJ, Dimitri K, et al. 2017. Crystal growth of Dirac semimetal  $\text{ZrSiS}$  with high magnetoresistance and mobility. *Sci. Rep.* 7:40603

245. Pezzini S, van Delft MR, Schoop LM, Lotsch BV, Carrington A, et al. 2018. Unconventional mass enhancement around the Dirac nodal loop in  $\text{ZrSiS}$ . *Nat. Phys.* 14:178–83

246. Fête A, Gariglio S, Berthod C, Li D, Stornaiuolo D, et al. 2014. Large modulation of the Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations by the Rashba interaction at the  $\text{LaAlO}_3/\text{SrTiO}_3$  interface. *New J. Phys.* 16:112002

247. Liu JY, Hu J, Graf D, Zou T, Zhu M, et al. 2017. Unusual interlayer quantum transport behavior caused by the zeroth Landau level in  $\text{YbMnBi}_2$ . *Nat. Commun.* 8:646

248. Fei F, Bo X, Wang R, Wu B, Jiang J, et al. 2017. Nontrivial Berry phase and type-II Dirac transport in the layered material  $\text{PdTe}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 96:041201

249. Wang Q, Guo P-J, Sun S, Li C, Liu K, et al. 2018. Extremely large magnetoresistance and high-density Dirac-like fermions in  $\text{ZrB}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 97:205105

250. Ran B, Zili F, Xinqi L, Jingjing N, Jingyue W, et al. 2018. Spin zero and large Landé  $g$ -factor in  $\text{WTe}_2$ . *New J. Phys.* 20:063026

251. Cohen MH, Falicov LM. 1961. Magnetic breakdown in crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 7:231–33

252. Matusiak M, Cooper JR, Kaczorowski D. 2017. Thermoelectric quantum oscillations in  $\text{ZrSiS}$ . *Nat. Commun.* 8:15219

253. Klein O. 1929. Die Reflexion von Elektronen an einem Potentialsprung nach der relativistischen Dynamik von Dirac. *Z. Phys.* 53:157–65

254. Ru-Keng S, Siu GG, Xiu C. 1993. Barrier penetration and Klein paradox. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* 26:1001

255. Calogeracos A, Dombey N. 1999. History and physics of the Klein paradox. *Contemp. Phys.* 40:313–21

256. Dombey N, Calogeracos A. 1999. Seventy years of the Klein paradox. *Phys. Rep.* 315:41–58

257. Katsnelson MI, Novoselov KS, Geim AK. 2006. Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* 2:620–25

258. Young AF, Kim P. 2009. Quantum interference and Klein tunnelling in graphene heterojunctions. *Nat. Phys.* 5:222–26

259. O'Brien TE, Diez M, Beenakker CWJ. 2016. Magnetic breakdown and Klein tunneling in a type-II Weyl semimetal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116:236401

260. Zhang Y, Bulmash D, Hosur P, Potter AC, Vishwanath A. 2016. Quantum oscillations from generic surface Fermi arcs and bulk chiral modes in Weyl semimetals. *Sci. Rep.* 6:23741

261. Zhang C, Narayan A, Lu S, Zhang J, Zhang H, et al. 2017. Evolution of Weyl orbit and quantum Hall effect in Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 8:1272

262. Li P, Wen Y, He X, Zhang Q, Xia C, et al. 2017. Evidence for topological type-II Weyl semimetal WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 8:2150

263. Zhang Y, Tan Y-W, Stormer HL, Kim P. 2005. Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *Nature* 438:201–4

264. Xu Y, Miotkowski I, Liu C, Tian J, Nam H, et al. 2014. Observation of topological surface state quantum Hall effect in an intrinsic three-dimensional topological insulator. *Nat. Phys.* 10:956–63

265. Brüne C, Liu CX, Novik EG, Hankiewicz EM, Buhmann H, et al. 2011. Quantum Hall effect from the topological surface states of strained bulk HgTe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106:126803

266. Büttner B, Liu CX, Tkachov G, Novik EG, Brüne C, et al. 2011. Single valley Dirac fermions in zero-gap HgTe quantum wells. *Nat. Phys.* 7:418–22

267. Uchida M, Nakazawa Y, Nishihaya S, Akiba K, Kriener M, et al. 2017. Quantum Hall states observed in thin films of Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 8:2274

268. Schumann T, Galletti L, Kealhofer DA, Kim H, Goyal M, Stemmer S. 2018. Observation of the quantum Hall effect in confined films of the three-dimensional Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>.

*Phys. Rev. Lett.* 120:016801

269. Borisenko S, Evtushinsky D, Gibson Q, Yaresko A, Kim T, et al. 2015. Time-reversal symmetry breaking type-II Weyl state in  $\text{YbMnBi}_2$ . arXiv:1507.04847 [cond-mat.mes-hall]

270. Tajima N, Sugawara S, Kato R, Nishio Y, Kajita K. 2009. Effect of the zero-mode Landau level on interlayer magnetoresistance in multilayer massless Dirac fermion systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102:176403

271. Stormer HL, Tsui DC, Gossard AC. 1999. The fractional quantum Hall effect. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71:S298–305

272. Liu Y, Yuan X, Zhang C, Jin Z, Narayan A, et al. 2016. Zeeman splitting and dynamical mass generation in Dirac semimetal  $\text{ZrTe}_5$ . *Nat. Commun.* 7:12516

273. Zhang C-L, Xu S-Y, Wang CM, Lin Z, Du ZZ, et al. 2017. Magnetic-tunnelling-induced Weyl node annihilation in  $\text{TaP}$ . *Nat. Phys.* 13:979–86

274. Wang H, Liu H, Li Y, Liu Y, Wang J, et al. 2018. Discovery of log-periodic oscillations in ultra-quantum topological materials. *Sci. Adv.* 4:eaau5096 [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***]

275. Liu H, Jiang H, Wang Z, Joyst R, Xie XC. 2018. Discrete scale invariance in topological semimetals. arXiv:1807.02459 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

276. Xu R, Husmann A, Rosenbaum TF, Saboungi ML, Enderby JE, Littlewood PB. 1997. Large magnetoresistance in non-magnetic silver chalcogenides. *Nature* 390:57–60

277. Hu J, Liu TJ, Qian B, Mao ZQ. 2013. Coupling of electronic and magnetic properties in  $\text{Fe}_{1+y}(\text{Te}_{1-x}\text{Se}_x)$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 88:094505

278. Hu J, Rosenbaum TF. 2008. Classical and quantum routes to linear magnetoresistance. *Nat. Mater.* 7:697–700

279. Kuo H-H, Chu J-H, Riggs SC, Yu L, McMahon PL, et al. 2011. Possible origin of the nonmonotonic doping dependence of the in-plane resistivity anisotropy of  $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{T}_x)_2\text{As}_2$  ( $T = \text{Co, Ni, and Cu}$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* 84:054540

280. Huynh KK, Tanabe Y, Tanigaki K. 2011. Both electron and hole Dirac cone states in  $\text{Ba}(\text{FeAs})_2$  confirmed by magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106:217004

281. Wang K, Petrovic C. 2012. Multiband effects and possible Dirac states in  $\text{LaAgSb}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 86:155213

282. Wang K, Graf D, Petrovic C. 2013. Quasi-two-dimensional Dirac fermions and quantum magnetoresistance in  $\text{LaAgBi}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 87:235101

283. Wang A, Zaliznyak I, Ren W, Wu L, Graf D, et al. 2016. Magnetotransport study of Dirac fermions in  $\text{YbMnBi}_2$  antiferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:165161

284. Nagaosa N, Sinova J, Onoda S, MacDonald AH, Ong NP. 2010. Anomalous Hall effect. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82:1539–92

285. Smit J. 1955. The spontaneous Hall effect in ferromagnetics. I. *Physica* 21:877–87

286. Berger L. 1970. Side-jump mechanism for the Hall effect of ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. B* 2:4559–66

287. Onoda M, Nagaosa N. 2002. Topological nature of anomalous Hall effect in ferromagnets. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 71:19–22

288. Jungwirth T, Niu Q, MacDonald AH. 2002. Anomalous Hall effect in ferromagnetic semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88:207208

289. Lee W-L, Watauchi S, Miller VL, Cava RJ, Ong NP. 2004. Dissipationless anomalous Hall current in the ferromagnetic spinel  $\text{CuCr}_2\text{Se}_{4-x}\text{Br}_x$ . *Science* 303:1647–49

290. Husmann A, Singh LJ. 2006. Temperature dependence of the anomalous Hall conductivity in the Heusler alloy  $\text{Co}_2\text{CrAl}$ . *Phys. Rev. B* 73:172417

291. Manyala N, Sidis Y, DiTusa JF, Aeppli G, Young DP, Fisk Z. 2004. Large anomalous Hall effect in a silicon-based magnetic semiconductor. *Nat. Mater.* 3:255–62

292. Liu E, Sun Y, Müchler L, Sun A, Jiao L, et al. 2017. Giant anomalous Hall angle in a half-metallic magnetic Weyl semimetal. arXiv:1712.06722 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

293. Barth J, Fecher GH, Balke B, Graf T, Shkabko A, et al. 2011. Anomalous transport properties of the half-metallic ferromagnets  $\text{Co}_2\text{TiSi}$ ,  $\text{Co}_2\text{TiGe}$  and  $\text{Co}_2\text{TiSn}$ . *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 369:3588–601

294. Felser C, Hirohata A, eds. 2016. *Heusler Alloys: Properties, Growth, Applications*. Cham, Switz.: Springer Int.

295. Chadov S, Qi X, Kübler J, Fecher GH, Felser C, Zhang SC. 2010. Tunable multifunctional topological insulators in ternary Heusler compounds. *Nat. Mater.* 9:541–45

296. Lin H, Wray LA, Xia Y, Xu S, Jia S, et al. 2010. Half-Heusler ternary compounds as new multifunctional experimental platforms for topological quantum phenomena. *Nat. Mater.* 9:546–49

297. Al-Sawai W, Lin H, Markiewicz RS, Wray LA, Xia Y, et al. 2010. Topological electronic structure in half-Heusler topological insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* 82:125208

298. Suzuki T, Chisnell R, Devarakonda A, Liu YT, Feng W, et al. 2016. Large anomalous Hall effect in a half-Heusler antiferromagnet. *Nat. Phys.* 12:1119–23

299. Ye L, Kang M, Liu J, von Cube F, Wicker CR, et al. 2018. Massive Dirac fermions in a ferromagnetic kagome metal. *Nature* 555:638–42

300. Pal HK, Maslov DL. 2010. Necessary and sufficient condition for longitudinal magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B* 81:214438

301. Kim H-J, Kim K-S, Wang JF, Sasaki M, Satoh N, et al. 2013. Dirac versus Weyl fermions in topological insulators: Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly in transport phenomena. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111:246603

302. Wang Y, Liu E, Liu H, Pan Y, Zhang L, et al. 2016. Gate-tunable negative longitudinal magnetoresistance in the predicted type-II Weyl semimetal WTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 7:13142

303. Lv Y-Y, Li X, Zhang B-B, Deng WY, Yao S-H, et al. 2017. Experimental observation of anisotropic Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly in type-II Weyl semimetal WTe<sub>1.98</sub> crystals at the quasiclassical regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118:096603

304. Liang S, Lin J, Kushwaha S, Xing J, Ni N, et al. 2018. Experimental tests of the chiral anomaly magnetoresistance in the Dirac-Weyl semimetals Na<sub>3</sub>Bi and GdPtBi. *Phys. Rev. X* 8:031002

305. Reis RDd, Ajeesh MO, Kumar N, Arnold F, Shekhar C, et al. 2016. On the search for the chiral anomaly in Weyl semimetals: the negative longitudinal magnetoresistance. *New J. Phys.* 18:085006

306. Udagawa M, Bergholtz EJ. 2016. Field-selective anomaly and chiral mode reversal in type-II Weyl materials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:086401

307. Yu Z-M, Yao Y, Yang SA. 2016. Predicted unusual magnetoresponse in type-II Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117:077202

308. Sharma G, Goswami P, Tewari S. 2017. Chiral anomaly and longitudinal magnetotransport in type-II Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:045112

309. Burkov AA. 2017. Giant planar Hall effect in topological metals. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:041110

310. Nandy S, Sharma G, Taraphder A, Tewari S. 2017. Chiral anomaly as the origin of the planar Hall effect in Weyl semimetals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119:176804

311. Li P, Zhang C, Zhang J, Wen Y, Zhang X-x. 2018. Giant planar Hall effect in the Dirac semimetal ZrTe<sub>5</sub>. arXiv:1803.01213 [cond-mat.mes-hall]

312. Li H, Wang H-W, He H, Wang J, Shen S-Q. 2018. Giant anisotropic magnetoresistance and planar Hall effect in the Dirac semimetal Cd<sub>3</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 97:201110

313. Kumar N, Guin SN, Felser C, Shekhar C. 2018. Planar Hall effect in the Weyl semimetal GdPtBi. *Phys. Rev. B* 98:041103 [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***]

314. Singha R, Roy S, Pariari A, Satpati B, Mandal P. 2018. Planar Hall effect in the type II Dirac semimetal VAl<sub>3</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 98:081103(R) [**←\*\*AU: OK? JH: OK\*\***]

315. Wang YJ, Gong JX, Liang DD, Ge M, Wang JR, et al. 2018. Planar Hall effect in type-II Weyl semimetal WTe<sub>2</sub>. arXiv:1801.05929 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

316. West FG. 1963. Rotating-field technique for galvanomagnetic measurements. *J. Appl. Phys.* 34:1171–73

317. Liang T, Lin J, Gibson Q, Kushwaha S, Liu M, et al. 2018. Anomalous Hall effect in ZrTe<sub>5</sub>. *Nat. Phys.* 14:451–55

318. Kane CL, Mele EJ. 2005. Z<sub>2</sub> topological order and the quantum spin Hall effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95:146802

319. Fu L, Kane CL, Mele EJ. 2007. Topological insulators in three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98:106803

320. Roth A, Brüne C, Buhmann H, Molenkamp LW, Maciejko J, et al. 2009. Nonlocal transport in the quantum spin Hall state. *Science* 325:294–97

321. Kou X, Fan Y, Wang KL. 2017. Review of quantum Hall trio. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*. In press [**←\*\*AU: Please update if possible JH: still in press as of Dec. 15, 2018\*\***]

322. Xu Q, Song Z, Nie S, Weng H, Fang Z, Dai X. 2015. Two-dimensional oxide topological insulator with iron-pnictide superconductor LiFeAs structure. *Phys. Rev. B* 92:205310

323. Wang QH, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kis A, Coleman JN, Strano MS. 2012. Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7:699–712

324. König M, Wiedmann S, Brüne C, Roth A, Buhmann H, et al. 2007. Quantum spin Hall insulator state in HgTe quantum wells. *Science* 318:766–70

325. Bradlyn B, Elcoro L, Cano J, Vergniory MG, Wang Z, et al. 2017. Topological quantum chemistry. *Nature* 547:298–305

326. Eugene JM. 2015. The winding road to topological insulators. *Phys. Scr.* 2015:014004

327. Wang C, Hughbanks T. 1995. Main group element size and substitution effects on the

structural dimensionality of zirconium tellurides of the ZrSiS type. *Inorg. Chem.* 34:5524–29

328. Qi X-L, Hughes TL, Zhang S-C. 2010. Chiral topological superconductor from the quantum Hall state. *Phys. Rev. B* 82:184516

329. Liu X, Wang Z, Xie XC, Yu Y. 2011. Abelian and non-Abelian anyons in integer quantum anomalous Hall effect and topological phase transitions via superconducting proximity effect. *Phys. Rev. B* 83:125105

330. Chang C-Z, Zhang J, Feng X, Shen J, Zhang Z, et al. 2013. Experimental observation of the quantum anomalous Hall effect in a magnetic topological insulator. *Science* 340:167–70

331. Checkelsky JG, Yoshimi R, Tsukazaki A, Takahashi KS, Kozuka Y, et al. 2014. Trajectory of the anomalous Hall effect towards the quantized state in a ferromagnetic topological insulator. *Nat. Phys.* 10:731–36

332. He QL, Pan L, Stern AL, Burks EC, Che X, et al. 2017. Chiral Majorana fermion modes in a quantum anomalous Hall insulator–superconductor structure. *Science* 357:294–99

333. Po HC, Vishwanath A, Watanabe H. 2017. Symmetry-based indicators of band topology in the 230 space groups. *Nat. Commun.* 8:50

334. Tang F, Po HC, Vishwanath A, Wan X. 2018. Towards ideal topological materials: comprehensive database searches using symmetry indicators. arXiv:1807.09744 [cond-mat.mes-hall]

335. Vergniory MG, Elcoro L, Felser C, Bernevig BA, Wang Z. 2018. The (high quality) topological materials in the world. arXiv:1807.10271 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

336. Zhang T, Jiang Y, Song Z, Huang H, He Y, et al. 2018. Catalogue of topological electronic materials. arXiv:1807.08756 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

337. Zhou Q, Rhodes D, Zhang QR, Tang S, Schönemann R, Balicas L. 2016. Hall effect within the colossal magnetoresistive semimetallic state of MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:121101

338. Rhodes D, Schönemann R, Aryal N, Zhou Q, Zhang QR, et al. 2017. Bulk Fermi surface of the Weyl type-II semimetallic candidate g-MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:165134

339. Qi Y, Naumov PG, Ali MN, Rajamathi CR, Schnelle W, et al. 2016. Superconductivity in Weyl semimetal candidate MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11038

340. Chen FC, Lv HY, Luo X, Lu WJ, Pei QL, et al. 2016. Extremely large magnetoresistance in the type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 94:235154

341. Mun E, Ko H, Miller GJ, Samolyuk GD, Bud'ko SL, Canfield PC. 2012. Magnetic field

effects on transport properties of PtSn<sub>4</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 85:035135

342. Wang YJ, Liang DD, Ge M, Yang J, Gong JX, et al. 2018. Topological nature of the node-arc semimetal PtSn<sub>4</sub> probed by de Haas–van Alphen quantum oscillations. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 30:155701

343. Fu C, Scaffidi T, Waissman J, Sun Y, Saha R, et al. 2018. Thermoelectric signatures of the electron-phonon fluid in PtSn<sub>4</sub>. arXiv:1802.09468 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

344. Liang S, Lin J, Kushwaha S, Xing J, Ni N, et al. 2018. Experimental tests of the chiral anomaly magnetoresistance in the Dirac-Weyl semimetals <sup>Na<sub>3</sub>Bi</sup> and GdPtBi. *Phys. Rev. X* 8:031002

345. He JB, Wang DM, Chen GF. 2012. Giant magnetoresistance in layered manganese pnictide CaMnBi<sub>2</sub>. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 100:112405

346. He JB, Fu Y, Zhao LX, Liang H, Chen D, et al. 2017. Quasi-two-dimensional massless Dirac fermions in CaMnSb<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 95:045128

347. Singha R, Pariari A, Satpati B, Mandal P. 2017. Magnetotransport properties and evidence of a topological insulating state in LaSbTe. *Phys. Rev. B* 96:245138

**Table 1** Parameters obtained from transport and quantum oscillation experiments at base [←\*\*AU: OK as phrased? JH: change “based” to “base”\*\*] temperatures (1.5–5 K), including magnetoresistance (MR) at 9 T, residual resistivity  $\rho_{\text{res}}$ , transport mobility  $\mu_T$ , quantum relaxation time  $\tau_q$ , quantum mobility  $\mu_q$ , and effective mass ratio  $m^*/m_0$  [←\*\*AU: OK to delete the hyphen beneath the asterisk here and in the table (header row, second-to-last column)? JH: yes it is OK. In fact in my version there is no such hyphen under the asterisk. Also, for the table below, each cell should have content in it. For each blank cell, please specify text that should go in it – e.g., “NA” (if so, please define NA as, e.g., not applicable or not available) JH: OK\*\*]

	MR at 9 T	$\rho_{\text{res}}$ ( $\mu\Omega$ cm) [←**AU: Should there be a multiplication dot (·) between these units? Please clarify JH: with or without dot, both are fine**]	$\mu_T$ [ $\text{cm}^2$ /(V·s)]	$\tau_q$ (ps)	$\mu_q$ [ $\text{cm}^2$ /(V·s)]	$m^*/m_0$	Reference(s)
$\text{Cd}_3\text{As}_2$	34.5–1,336	0.032–46.5	$4 \times 10^3$ –8.7 $\times 10^6$	0.03–0.21	4,700–6,000	0.023–0.26	<a href="#">45</a> , <a href="#">172</a> , <a href="#">178</a> , <a href="#">236</a> , <a href="#">237</a> , <a href="#">272</a>
$\text{Na}_3\text{Bi}$	5.69–97.1	1.72–87	5,500–78,900	0.0816	NA[**AU: Blank cell; please specify content JH: NA, not available**]	0.11	<a href="#">105</a> , <a href="#">176</a>
TaAs	3–30,000	0.63–1.9	18,000–	0.038–1.1	32,000	0.021–0.68	<a href="#">46</a> , <a href="#">179</a> –

family			10,000,000				<a href="#">183</a> , <a href="#">191</a> – <a href="#">194</a> , <a href="#">225</a> , <a href="#">227</a> , <a href="#">243</a>
WTe <sub>2</sub>	4,000–25,000	0.39–1.9	24,000–176,000	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA	0.41–0.46	<a href="#">48</a> , <a href="#">184</a> , <a href="#">186</a> , <a href="#">231</a>
MoTe <sub>2</sub>	2,653	28	16,000–58,000	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	0.8–2.9	<a href="#">337</a> – <a href="#">340</a>
PtSn <sub>4</sub>	1,000–2,100	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	14,257–15,809	0.05–0.36	<a href="#">341</a> – <a href="#">343</a>
PtBi <sub>2</sub>	12,000	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	<a href="#">171</a>
Pt(Te/Se) <sub>2</sub>	A few tens [←**AU: Possible to be more specific, e.g., “~30 –40”? <b>JH:</b> <b>the original</b>	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	3,600–5,500	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [**AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	0.11–3.6	<a href="#">229</a> , <a href="#">344</a>

	<b>papers states like that. They do not provide a number or number range **]</b>						
PdTe <sub>2</sub>	A few tens [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Possible to be more specific, e.g., “~30–40”? JH: the original papers states like that. They do not provide a number or number range **]	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	0.18–0.65	1,293–6,209	0.04–1.16	<a href="#">229</a> , <a href="#">248</a>
$AMn(Sb/Bi)_2$ ( $A = Ca, Sr, Ba, Yb$ )	1	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	1,500–3,400	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	NA [ $\leftarrow^{**}$ AU: Blank cell; please specify content** ]	<a href="#">141</a> , <a href="#">143</a> , <a href="#">173</a> , <a href="#">175</a> , <a href="#">213</a> , <a href="#">234</a> , <a href="#">235</a> , <a href="#">238</a> , <a href="#">239</a> , <a href="#">247</a> , <a href="#">283</a> , <a href="#">345</a> , <a href="#">346</a>
$WHM^a$	1.3–140,000	0.052	2,000–28,000	0.025–0.35	209–10,000	<b>0.025–0.27</b> <b>1.32<sup>b</sup></b> <b>[<math>\leftarrow^{**}</math>AU: Do these represent two different number ranges?]</b>	<a href="#">156</a> , <a href="#">222</a> , <a href="#">226</a> , <a href="#">228</a> , <a href="#">232</a> , <a href="#">233</a> , <a href="#">245</a> , <a href="#">347</a>

						<p>Please clarify  <b>JH: the second one (1.32) is very unusual and have other specific origins, as denoted below (the mass enhancement)**]</b></p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

<sup>a</sup>MR, effective mass, and quantum relaxation time widely vary in different *WHM* materials, possibly due to the spin-orbit coupling gap, which varies with the atomic number.

<sup>b</sup>Caused by the mass enhancement at low temperatures (245). [ $\leftarrow$ \*\*AU: OK? Or “Mass is enhanced at low temperatures (245)”? **JH: see my edits\*\***]