

Title: Examining sleep's role in memory generalization and specificity through the lens of targeted memory reactivation

Authors: Sarah Witkowsky*, Eitan Schechtman*, and Ken A. Paller*

Department of Psychology and Cognitive Neuroscience Program
Northwestern University
2029 Sheridan Road
Evanston, IL 60208-2710, USA

Corresponding Author: Sarah Witkowsky, sadie.witkowsky@gmail.com
Northwestern University, 2029 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208-2710, USA
512-739-4089

Conflict of Interest: None

Keywords: sleep, memory, abstraction, memory for specifics, slow-wave sleep, memory consolidation

Abstract: Two vital memory functions — remembering specific experiences and generalizing across many experiences — are in tension with each other. In the complementary-learning-systems model, the hippocampus allows for fast learning of unique episodic memories while the cortex slowly extracts regularities from overlapping representations. Whereas episodic memories undergo consolidation over protracted time periods, many questions remain about how memory generalization evolves over time. Sleep's role in consolidating individual memories has been convincingly demonstrated using targeted memory reactivation, a method whereby memories can be selectively strengthened through the unobtrusive presentation of learning-related stimuli during sleep. In this review, we argue that targeted memory reactivation can help advance understanding of memory transformation and the contrast between specificity and generalization.

Highlights:

- 1) Consolidation supports remembering specifics and generalizing across experiences
- 2) Sleep, and slow-wave sleep in particular, is important for memory consolidation
- 3) Targeted memory reactivation (TMR) can be used to help understand consolidation
- 4) TMR can also help to illuminate memory for specifics versus generalization

Introduction

Declarative memory encompasses the ability to remember specific experiences and to generalize across multiple experiences (i.e., event and fact learning). For example, if you went to an aquarium you might spot an unusually shaped ocean sunfish and commit its specific, unique features to memory. Yet, perhaps you have seen many kinds of sea creatures while scuba diving, so the sunfish could strike you as similar, but not quite identical, to a filefish. A complementary-learning-systems orientation [1,2] would posit that the hippocampus quickly encodes individual memories (a specific sighting of a unique sea creature) whereas the cortex slowly extracts regularities from overlapping representations (generalizing based on cumulative experiences with many sea creatures). Does sleep similarly impact these two memory functions, or are specificity and memory generalization afforded special and distinct processing during sleep?

Memory consolidation refers to the process of stabilizing and integrating memories within the brain. Consolidation may largely depend on the reactivation of previously acquired memories [3]. Although memory reactivation can occur during wake or sleep, the unique circumstances of sleep may be advantageous in allowing reactivation to occur with minimal competition from other information processing. Additionally, some researchers argue that sleep provides an opportunity for communication between the hippocampus and the neocortex to allow for decontextualization in conjunction with a transfer of information to extrahippocampal regions [4]. Generalization could be considered a consequence of transformation whereby memories become less hippocampal-dependent and rely more on distributed traces across the neocortex. Others argue that the reactivation of overlapping memories during sleep strengthens the shared features and leads to generalization [5].

Based on current models of sleep's role in memory, it is unclear if detailed memory representations are in direct conflict with generalized gist representations or whether the two coexist (Figure 1). Strengthening of overlapping memory traces may result in a loss of unique detailed features. Alternatively, generalization and memory for specifics could coexist such that shared features across representations are strengthened to create a new network without losing unique features of episodic memories.

Sleep's Impact on Declarative Memory for Specifics

Sleep's protective role against forgetting has been recognized as far back as the 1920s [6], but this idea did not catch on quickly in memory research. Recent emphasis on the period of sleep known as slow-wave sleep (SWS) coincided with a surge of interest in this topic [7]. A landmark observation was that rodent hippocampal place cells display firing patterns during sleep that recapitulate those in previous wake exploration [8,9]. In particular, replay in the rodent hippocampus was shown to be temporally coordinated with memory reactivation in the visual cortex during SWS [10].

Research into human memory also supports the idea that sleep, and SWS in particular, is important for memory for specifics. This form of memory can be considered in relation to the neural process of pattern separation, whereby memory representations can be distinctive when they overlap minimally with each other [11]. Distinct representations allow for the successful retrieval of specific details and discriminating between similar representations in the face of potential interference. To study pattern separation, many researchers employ the mnemonic-similarity task, in which participants

are first exposed to a series of objects and categorize them as either indoor or outdoor objects, followed by a recognition test with novel objects, old objects, and highly similar objects [12–15]. Accurate recognition of old objects with low false-alarm rates, particularly for the highly similar objects, is indicative of high memory specificity, due presumably to effective pattern separation. Using such a task, researchers compared a 12-hour delay including either sleep or wake [13]. They found that sleep preserved memory specificity more than when participants remained awake.

These findings were corroborated by results from another study with a 9-hr retention interval during the day or overnight with electroencephalographic (EEG) monitoring [14]. Hanert and colleagues found that high specificity in recognition was positively correlated with two sleep physiology signals, slow oscillations (0.5 -1 Hz) and sleep spindles. Sleep spindles are rapid bursts of neural activity at 11-16 Hz, which can be observed during SWS [16,17] and are correlated with improved performance on declarative memory tasks [18–20]. These electrophysiological findings were taken as evidence for sleeps' ability to improve hippocampal representations and enhance performance on highly specific memory tasks.

Memory Generalization during Sleep

There are many ways to study the process of generalization [21]. For example, investigators have used procedures in which participants gradually learned to extract relationships among various abstract stimuli, to solve a puzzle based on repeated mathematical procedures, to produce a word that fits multiple constraints, or various other language tasks.

In a 2007 experiment, Ellenbogen and colleagues presented participants with pairs of abstract images that fit into a complex hierarchical structure, followed by a memory test with new pairs [22]. Relational knowledge was assessed by determining whether participants inferred A>C (where “>” means “should be selected over”) after learning of A>B and B>C, with no prior exposure to the A/C pair. This generalized knowledge for the underlying structure of stimuli (also known as transitive inference) was stronger after a period of sleep compared to a period of being awake. However, measures of sleep or sleep-stage were not available, so it was unclear which aspects of sleep may have improved generalization.

A more recent study tested how sleep could improve insight for a hidden rule [23]. The researchers used the number-reduction task, which can be solved either by a sequence of simple math operations or by using a faster, hidden rule. The study replicated an earlier finding [24] that those who slept were more likely to discover the rule. Also, beta power (17-25 Hz) predicted which participants would discover the rule, but only during SWS.

Although this study implicates SWS in memory generalization, in other relevant studies SWS has not consistently been the most important sleep stage. Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, which tends to be more prevalent in the second half of the night, has been associated with integrating unassociated information for creative problem solving [25]. Using the remote-associates task, where participants see three cue words and must identify a fourth word linked with all three (i.e., crab, pie, and pine are all linked by apple), researchers compared wake participants with sleep groups that either did or didn't enter REM sleep during an afternoon nap [26]. Only the REM sleep group

improved on the task by integrating unassociated information to find solutions. Although the authors concluded that REM sleep is important for integration, there may have been confounding factors that led some subjects to have more REM. Also, other studies haven't always found REM sleep correlated with problem solving [27]. In fact, a recent experiment using magic tricks and classic insight problems found no effect of sleep on problem solving at all [28].

Contrasting Specificity and Generalization during Sleep

The experiments described thus far examined either memory for specifics or generalization. It could be advantageous to analyze both types of memory in tandem. This tactic was utilized in a study where learning of Chinese characters was followed by a 90-minute afternoon nap or wake period [29]. Recalling the meaning of studied characters was relatively worse after a delay with sleep compared to wake, but generalization was improved, operationalized in this study as the ability to recognize common symbols shared across characters (i.e., the symbol for woman is included in the characters for maid, princess, and nurse).

In another study also supporting the idea that sleep is preferentially beneficial for generalization [30], participants viewed artificial images composed of shared features (defining categories) and unique features (identifiers for individuals). Sleep was associated with relatively better memory only for the former.

Researchers have also tested 15-month-olds' generalization abilities through exposure to triplets of spoken words in an artificial language with hidden dependencies between the first and final words [31]. Infants either napped or stayed awake for a 4-hour delay before a subsequent test. Whereas memory for the previously heard strings was evident in the wake group, an ability to abstract the grammatical relation and apply it to new strings of nonsense words was significant only in the sleep group. Together, these studies indicate that even a short period of sleep may preferentially influence generalized memory over memory for specifics.

In another study comparing sleep and wake groups across a 12-hour delay, participants completed a dot-pattern-classification task, where they categorized constellation-like images [32]. Researchers found that overnight sleep improved categorization of new stimuli but had no effect on recognition of old stimuli.

In a longer-delay study that tested generalization in a visual-categorization paradigm, researchers tested participants after a 10-hour period containing sleep or wake, and then again 1 year later [33]. Participants who slept after learning were relatively better at recognition specificity at the 10-hour delay, but there was no difference between the groups on generalization. However, after a year delay, only the sleep group showed gist knowledge in their categorization performance, even though specific items were not remembered in either group.

A reasonable inference based on this evidence is that generalized memory and memory for specific details can be in competition with each other, with sleep enhancing memory generalization but not specific memories. However, this hypothesis is challenged by numerous studies showing that sleep improves memory for specifics [e.g., 13, 34]. There are at least three possible explanations for this apparent conflict. First, the experimental designs above may have emphasized general features to the detriment of specifics during learning. Second, perhaps these paradigms lacked sufficient sensitivity to

specificity effects. Finally, maybe both memory types benefit from sleep, depending on different sleep stages or sleep physiology.

All the above studies compared memory following wake versus sleep, sometimes emphasizing different sleep stages. However, these sleep and wake conditions vary in many ways, including alertness, degree of interference, and circadian factors. Accordingly, results from sleep-versus-wake designs are subject to interpretive limitations such that sleep's role in promoting memory generalization and specificity has remained equivocal. However, alternative experimental strategies that avoid these shortcomings could be employed.

Future Prospects using Targeted Memory Reactivation

Memory reactivation during sleep can be biased using targeted memory reactivation (TMR), an experimental tool that allows researchers to direct reactivation for specific items during sleep. The procedure typically starts with a learning session including one or more special stimuli that are linked with aspects of learning. The same stimuli can then be presented during sleep — carefully to avoid producing arousal — in an attempt to reactivate memories [35,36]. A recent meta-analysis of the TMR literature to date demonstrated that such cues were effective during SWS and stage-2 sleep [37].

Many early studies using TMR focused on spatial memory where individual items are associated with cues and a specific location on a grid [38,39]. These designs are particularly powerful for detecting small, specific changes between cued and noncued items, as measured by error between an item's studied location and the location recalled by the participant at test. TMR has been applied to investigate memory consolidation for a broad array of memory types [e.g., 40–42]. Though most studies using TMR have focused on its impact on the specific aspects of remembering, TMR offers advantages for investigating sleep's role in both memory generalization and specificity. Relying on within-subject comparisons between cued and noncued information, for example, avoids confounds with differential alertness, time of day, demand characteristics, and potential interference from waking experience. Although some evidence suggests that TMR-induced consolidation may differ in some respects from spontaneous reactivation [e.g., 43], it remains a useful tool for understanding memory transformation over sleep.

One recent TMR experiment explored sleep's contribution to generalization by using lexical competition between words and nonwords [44]. The researchers hypothesized that cueing during sleep would lead to better lexical integration of artificial words. Results showed no direct effect of TMR. However, for cued words, REM sleep was correlated with better integration of new information within existing knowledge. That is, participants took longer to make judgments for stimuli confusable with cued relative to the noncued words when they spent more time in REM sleep, indicating that TMR facilitated the extent to which words were embedded in the lexicon. While touching on generalization, this study doesn't reveal what aspect of generalization leads to competition; nor does it test for specificity.

Rather than focusing on competition between specific words, researchers in another study used TMR to test grammatical rule abstraction [45]. Participants learned grammatical rules through a language task and then participated in a second, unrelated task. During an afternoon nap, participants were cued for either the grammar task or the unrelated task, and those who were exposed to the language phrases during sleep showed

a relative gain in grammar learning. Whereas these findings reinforce the notion that memory reactivation can impact generalization, the performance criteria at learning were such that it was not straightforward to assess memory for specific phrases at test.

Insofar as sleep's role in the consolidation process for specific episodic memories and gist is not well understood, manipulating reactivation via TMR provides a suitable tool for seeking answers to these questions. Future TMR experiments should be designed with a variety of learning materials and procedures to test both integrated knowledge as well as specifics of the items studied. Ideally, these two features can be examined in parallel such that researchers can identify if they rely on different processes or represent a trade-off whereby specificity declines as generalized knowledge is gained (or vice-versa).

For example, analogical problem solving could be used to put generalization and memory specificity in opposition [46]. Participants could attempt to solve a series of word problems with distinct features, half of which would be cued during sleep. Upon waking, participants would attempt to solve an analogous problem with different details, testing both for problem solving (generalization of the underlying structure) and details of the specific problems.

Additionally, better understanding of how TMR cues bias consolidation during sleep and whether reactivation is specific to the item or applies to a general context will improve experimental design for all studies going forward [47]. Harnessing TMR to causally affect memory consolidation may prove crucial in teasing apart hypotheses regarding sleep's role in generalization and the possible trade-off between generalized and specific memories. We might discover that different sleep-physiology signals relate to how brain networks are engaged to preferentially yield either generalization or maintenance of details. Further studies might find that sleep promotes generalization at the expense of memory for specifics. Alternately, as schematized in Figure 1, sleep may both promote the integration of specific memories into broader schemas while also protecting the specific of those memories. Experimental approaches that take into account the dual role of consolidation in facilitating memory for specifics as well as generalization are crucial to improving our understanding of sleep's role in memory.

Funding acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (BCS-1829414, BCS-1921678, and a Graduate Research Fellowship), the Human Frontiers Science Program, the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program, and the Mind Science Foundation.

References

1. McClelland JL, O'Reilly RC: Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol Rev* 1995, 102.
2. O'Reilly RC, Norman KA: Hippocampal and neocortical contributions to memory: Advances in the complementary learning systems framework. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2002, 6:505–510.

3. Paller KA, Mayes AR, Antony JW, Norman KA: Replay-based consolidation governs enduring memory storage. In *The Cognitive Neurosciences 6th Edition*. Edited by Gazzaniga MS, Mangun GR, Poeppel D. MIT Press; 2020.
4. Inostroza M, Born J: Sleep for preserving and transforming episodic memory. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2013, 36:79–102.
5. Lewis PA, Durrant SJ: Overlapping memory replay during sleep builds cognitive schemata. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2011, 15:343–351.
6. Jenkins JG, Dallenbach KM: Obliviscence during sleep and waking. *Am J Psychol* 1924, 35:605.
7. Gais S, Born J: Declarative memory consolidation: Mechanisms acting during human sleep. *Learn Mem* 2004, 11:679–685.
8. Pavlides C, Winson J: Influences of hippocampal place cell firing in the awake state on the activity of these cells during subsequent sleep episodes. *J Neurosci* 1989, 9:2907–2918.
9. Foster DJ: Replay comes of age. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2017, 40:581–602.
10. Ji D, Wilson MA: Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during sleep. *Nat Neurosci* 2007, 10:100–107.
11. Yassa MA, Stark CEL: Pattern separation in the hippocampus. *Trends Neurosci* 2011, 34:515–525.
12. Bakker A, Kirwan CB, Miller M, Stark CE: Pattern separation in the human hippocampal CA3 and dentate gyrus. *Science* 2008, 319:1640–1642.
13. Doxey CR, Hodges CB, Bodily TA, Muncy NM, Kirwan CB: The effects of sleep on the neural correlates of pattern separation. *Hippocampus* 2018, 28:108–120.
- 14.* Hanert A, Weber FD, Pedersen A, Born J, Bartsch T: Sleep in humans stabilizes pattern separation performance. *J Neurosci* 2017, 37:12238–12246.

*Using the mnemonic-similarity task, the authors found sleep as opposed to wake helped protect detailed memories such that participants could distinguish between an old image and a highly similar one better after a night's rest. Interestingly, the sleep effect was largest for distinguishing between old images and the least similar lures.

15. Stark SM, Yassa MA, Lacy JW, Stark CEL: A task to assess behavioral pattern separation (BPS) in humans: Data from healthy aging and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia* 2013, 51:2442–2449.
16. Cox R, Hofman WF, Talamini LM: Involvement of spindles in memory consolidation is slow wave sleep-specific. *Learn Mem* 2012, 19:264–267.

17. Schabus M, Gruber G, Parapatics S, Sauter C, Klösch G, Anderer P, Klimesch W, Saletu B, Zeitlhofer J: Sleep spindles and their significance for declarative memory consolidation. *Sleep* 2004, 27:1479–1485.
18. Cairney SA, Ashton JE, Roshchupkina AA, Sobczak JM: A dual role for sleep spindles in sleep-dependent memory consolidation? *J Neurosci* 2015, 35:12328–12330.
19. Mednick SC, McDevitt EA, Walsh JK, Wamsley E, Paulus M, Kanady JC, Drummond SPA: The critical role of sleep spindles in hippocampal-dependent memory: A pharmacology study. *J Neurosci* 2013, 33:4494–4504.
20. Antony JW, Piloto L, Wang M, Pacheco P, Norman KA, Paller KA: Sleep spindle refractoriness segregates periods of memory reactivation. *Curr Biol* 2018, 28:R656–R677.
21. Lerner I, Gluck MA: Sleep and the extraction of hidden regularities: A systematic review and the importance of temporal rules. *Sleep Med Rev* 2019, 47:39–50.
22. Ellenbogen JM, Hu PT, Payne JD, Titone D, Walker MP: Human relational memory requires time and sleep. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2007, 104:7723–7728.
23. Verleger R, Rose M, Wagner U, Yordanova J, Kolev V: Insights into sleep's role for insight: Studies with the number reduction task. *Adv Cogn Psychol* 2013, 9:160–172.
24. Wagner U, Gais S, Haider H, Verleger R, Born J: Sleep inspires insight. *Nature* 2004, 427:352–355.
25. Stickgold R, Walker M: To sleep, perchance to gain creative insight? *Trends Cogn Sci* 2004, 8:191–192.
26. Cai DJ, Mednick S, Harrison E, Kanady J, Mednick S: REM, not incubation, improves creativity by priming associative networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2009, 106:10130–10134.
27. Beijamini F, Pereira SIR, Cini FA, Louzada FM: After being challenged by a video game problem, sleep increases the chance to solve it. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9:e84342.
28. Schönauer M, Brodt S, Pöhlchen D, Breßmer A, Danek AH, Gais S: Sleep does not promote solving classical insight problems and magic tricks. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2018, 12:72.
29. Lau H, Alger SE, Fishbein W: Relational memory: A daytime nap facilitates the abstraction of general concepts. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e27139.

30.** Schapiro AC, McDevitt EA, Chen L, Norman KA, Mednick SC, Rogers TT: Sleep benefits memory for semantic category structure while preserving exemplar-specific information. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7.

**In this study, participants saw drawings of satellites with features that were either unique to a specific satellite or shared with other satellites, thus defining satellite categories. Each satellite had an individual name. Memory for the shared features after sleep correlated with REM sleep, but REM sleep wasn't required for participants to show sleep-related improvements in memory for shared features. The authors argue this could be evidence for the involvement of both REM and NREM sleep in generalization.

31. Gómez RL, Bootzin RR, Nadel L: Naps promote abstraction in language-learning infants. *Psychol Sci* 2006, 17:670–674.
32. Graveline YM, Wamsley EJ: The impact of sleep on novel concept learning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2017, 141:19–26.
- 33.* Lutz ND, Diekelmann S, Hinse-Stern P, Born J, Rauss K: Sleep supports the slow abstraction of gist from visual perceptual memories. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7:42950.

*The authors used a visual task inspired by the Deese-Roediger-McDermott paradigm where abstract shapes fit into one of several categories. After learning, participants were tested with new and old shapes for generalization and episodic-like recognition. They were tested after 20 min, 10 hrs (with either sleep or wake), and finally after 1 year. Recognition of old shapes after 10 hrs was better in the sleep group than the wake group, but there was no benefit to generalization. However, the sleep group showed better generalization to new shapes only after the 1-year delay.

34. Jegou A, Schabus M, Gosseries O, Dahmen B, Albouy G, Desseilles M, Sterpenich V, Phillips C, Maquet P, Grova C, et al.: Cortical reactivations during sleep spindles following declarative learning. *NeuroImage* 2019, 195:104–112.
35. Oudiette D, Paller KA: Upgrading the sleeping brain with targeted memory reactivation. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2013, 17:142–149.
36. Schouten DI, Pereira SIR, Tops M, Louzada FM: State of the art on targeted memory reactivation: Sleep your way to enhanced cognition. *Sleep Med Rev* 2017, 32:123–131.
37. Hu X, Cheng L, Chiu MH, Paller KA: Promoting memory consolidation during sleep: A meta-analysis of targeted memory reactivation. *Psychol Bull* in press,
38. Rasch J, Buchel C, Gais G, Born J: Odor cues during slow-wave sleep prompt declarative memory consolidation. *Science* 2007, 315:1426–1429.
39. Rudoy JD, Voss JL, Westerberg CE, Paller KA: Strengthening individual memories by reactivating them during sleep. *Science* 2009, 326:1079–1079.

40. Cousins JN, El-Deredy W, Parkes LM, Hennies N, Lewis PA: Cued memory reactivation during slow-wave sleep promotes explicit knowledge of a motor sequence. *J Neurosci* 2014, 34:15870–15876.
41. Honma M, Plass J, Brang D, Florczak SM, Graboweczyk M, Paller KA: Sleeping on the rubber-hand illusion: Memory reactivation during sleep facilitates multisensory recalibration. *Neurosci Conscious* 2016, 2016.
42. Schreiner T, Göldi M, Rasch B: Cueing vocabulary during sleep increases theta activity during later recognition testing. *Psychophysiology* 2015, 52:1538–1543.
43. Klinzing JG, Kugler S, Soekadar SR, Rasch B, Born J, Diekelmann S: Odor cueing during slow-wave sleep benefits memory independently of low cholinergic tone. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2018, 235:291–299.
- 44.* Tamminen J, Lambon Ralph MA, Lewis PA: Targeted memory reactivation of newly learned words during sleep triggers REM-mediated integration of new memories and existing knowledge. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2017, 137:77–82.

*Participants learned the meaning of simulated words that were phonemically similar and therefore confusable with known words (e.g., ‘cathedruke’ is similar to ‘cathedral’). After learning, participants were exposed to half of the words during slow-wave sleep. Following sleep, lexical integration was measured by reaction times of participants’ judgments on a set of words (comprised of cued, noncued, and novel words) that were real or simulated. Results showed that while there was no direct effect of TMR, for cued words REM sleep was correlated with better integration of new information within existing knowledge.

45. Batterink LJ, Paller KA: Sleep-based memory processing facilitates grammatical generalization: Evidence from targeted memory reactivation. *Brain Lang* 2017, 167:83–93.
46. Monaghan P, Sio UN, Lau SW, Woo HK, Linkenauer SA, Ormerod TC: Sleep promotes analogical transfer in problem solving. *Cognition* 2015, 143:25–30.
47. Schechtman E, Antony JW, Lampe A, Wilson BJ, Norman KA, Paller KA: Multiple memories can be simultaneously reactivated during sleep as effectively as a single memory. *BioRxiv* 2019, doi:10.1101/662015.

Figure Caption

Figure 1. Memory consolidation for specific details and generalization over sleep. This diagram provides a schematic illustration of how memory consolidation may occur over sleep. (a) In this example, a person sees a set of different fish. (b) Memory of the experience evolves over time in two ways. In generalization (top), shared features among the fish contribute to a gist representation or abstracted prototype (represented in red). Memory for unique features of each fish (represented in blue) may also be consolidated to support specific remembering (bottom). Generalization and specificity may both be influenced by memory consolidation during sleep. (c) One hypothesis is that memory consolidation could help generalization over sleep (schematized as increasing size of red prototype), at the cost of memory for specifics (schematized as decreasing size of blue specifics), or vice-versa. (d) Alternatively, sleep consolidation could preserve memory for specifics and generalized memories independently of each other.

