SPECHT MODULES DECOMPOSE AS ALTERNATING SUMS OF RESTRICTIONS OF SCHUR MODULES

SAMI H. ASSAF AND DAVID E. SPEYER

(Communicated by Benjamin Brubaker)

ABSTRACT. Schur modules give the irreducible polynomial representations of the general linear group GL_t . Viewing the symmetric group $\operatorname{\mathfrak{S}}_t$ as a subgroup of GL_t , we may restrict Schur modules to $\operatorname{\mathfrak{S}}_t$ and decompose the result into a direct sum of Specht modules, the irreducible representations of $\operatorname{\mathfrak{S}}_t$. We give an equivariant Möbius inversion formula that we use to invert this expansion in the representation ring for $\operatorname{\mathfrak{S}}_t$ for t large. In addition to explicit formulas in terms of plethysms, we show the coefficients that appear alternate in sign by degree. In particular, this allows us to define a new basis of symmetric functions whose structure constants are stable Kronecker coefficients and which expand with signs alternating by degree into the Schur basis.

1. Overview of main results

This paper concerns the relation between the representation theories of the general linear group GL_t and the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_t over \mathbb{C} . To fix notation, for λ an integer partition, let $\ell(\lambda)$ denote the *length* of λ (number of nonzero parts), and let $|\lambda|$ denote the *size* of λ (sum of the parts). Let \mathbb{S}_{λ} denote the *Schur functor*, so that the irreducible polynomial representations of GL_t are $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ where $\ell(\lambda) \leq t$. For ν an integer partition, let Sp_{ν} be the *Specht module* over \mathbb{C} , so that the irreducible representations of \mathfrak{S}_t are Sp_{ν} for $|\nu| = t$.

Since $\mathfrak{S}_t \subset \operatorname{GL}_t$, we can restrict the GL_t representation $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ to \mathfrak{S}_t and decompose the result into Specht modules. For a partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$, and $t \geq \nu_1 + |\nu|$, we define $\nu^{(t)}$ to be the partition $(t - |\nu|, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$ of t. Using this notation, we can write the aforementioned restriction as

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{t}}^{\operatorname{GL}_{t}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t}) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu} \operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}^{\oplus a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t)},$$

where $a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t)$ are, by definition, the non-negative multiplicities that arise. In other words, in the representation ring Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t) of \mathfrak{S}_t , we have

(1.2)
$$[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)] = \sum_{\nu} a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t) [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}].$$

A classical result of Littlewood [5] states that $a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t)$ is independent of t for t sufficiently large. Therefore we may define coefficients a_{λ}^{ν} by

(1.3)
$$a_{\lambda}^{\nu} = \lim_{t \to \infty} a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t).$$

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary 20C15; Secondary 20C30, 05E05, 05E10. SHA supported by NSF DMS-1763336; DES supported by NSF DMS-1600223.

For λ, ν partitions with $|\lambda| \leq |\nu|$, Littlewood showed $a_{\lambda}^{\nu} = \delta_{\lambda,\nu}$. In particular, we may regard a_{λ}^{ν} as entries of an infinite upper uni-triangular matrix with rows and columns indexed by partitions. It is natural to invert this matrix to define coefficients b_{λ}^{ν} by

$$[b_{\lambda}^{\nu}] = [a_{\lambda}^{\nu}]^{-1}.$$

While the a^{ν}_{λ} are non-negative, the b^{ν}_{λ} are, a priori, merely integers. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. With the above notation, we have $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\nu|}b_{\lambda}^{\nu} \geq 0$.

As we explain in Section 1.2, the b_{λ}^{ν} have recently become of interest as part of a strategy for computing stable Kronecker coefficients, so this basic result concerning their signs seems of importance.

1.1. Plethystic formulas. We can give a precise formula for b_{λ}^{ν} using the language of plethysm. If $\psi: \operatorname{GL}_m \to \operatorname{GL}_n$ has character g and $\phi: \operatorname{GL}_n \to \operatorname{GL}_p$ has character f, then $\phi \circ \psi: \operatorname{GL}_m \to \operatorname{GL}_p$ has character f[g], the **plethysm** of f and g. In terms of symmetric polynomials, if $g = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ is the monomial expansion, then f[g] is $f(y_1, \ldots, y_t)$, where the y_i are defined by the identity

$$\prod (1+y_iq) = \prod_{\alpha} (1+x^{\alpha}q)^{g_{\alpha}}.$$

In other words, if the g_{α} are non-negative, then x^{α} occurs g_{α} times in the multiset (y_1, \ldots, y_t) . For more details on plethysm, see [8] and [9, (I.8)].

Littlewood [6] gave a formula for restriction from GL_t to \mathfrak{S}_t as the following plethysm

(1.5)
$$a_{\lambda}^{\nu}(t) = \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\nu(t)}[1 + h_1 + h_2 + \cdots] \rangle,$$

where $s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_t) = \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t))$ is the **Schur polynomial** corresponding to the irreducible character for GL_t , $h_n = s_{(n)}$ is the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial, and the inner product for characters, corresponding to the Hall inner product on symmetric polynomials, is determined by $\langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$.

Define the **Lyndon symmetric function** L_m by

(1.6)
$$L_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) p_d^{m/d},$$

The Lyndon symmetric function is the character of the GL_t action on the degree m part of the free Lie algebra on \mathbb{C}^t and is the Frobenius character of $\mathrm{Ind}_{C_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_m} e^{2\pi i/m}$ where C_m is the cyclic subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_m generated by the m-cycle (12···m). Using L_m , we can give an explicit formula for b_{λ}^{ν} as follows.

Theorem 1.2. For λ and ν partitions, we have

(1.7)
$$b_{\lambda}^{\nu} = \sum_{\nu/\mu \ vert. \ strip} (-1)^{|\nu|-|\lambda|} \langle s_{\mu}{}^{T}, s_{\lambda}{}^{T} [L_{1} + L_{2} + L_{3} + \cdots] \rangle,$$

where λ^T denotes the transpose of the partition λ .

We remark that $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + \cdots$ can be viewed as the GL_t character of the free Lie algebra on \mathbb{C}^t . See [17] for a representation theoretic interpretation of this fact. Our proofs involve an intermediate \mathfrak{S}_t -representation M^t_μ defined by

(1.8)
$$M_{\mu}^{t} = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{|\mu|} \times \mathfrak{S}_{t-|\mu|}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{t}} \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}_{t-|\mu|}$$

where \mathbb{I}_k is the trivial representation of \mathfrak{S}_k .

Since M^t_{μ} is an \mathfrak{S}_t representation, it is a positive combination of the Specht modules Sp_{ν^t} . We will show that, in turn, $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ is positive in the M^t_{μ} . We derive our result (1.7) by composing a formula for Sp_{ν^t} in terms of the M^t_{μ} and a formula for M^t_{μ} in terms of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$. The following theorem gives plethystic formulas for transitioning between each of these bases.

Theorem 1.3. In the representation ring Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t), we have:

$$(1.9) [M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\nu} \langle s_{\nu}, s_{\mu}[1+h_{1}] \rangle [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{t}}] = \sum_{\mu/\nu} \sum_{horiz. \ strip} [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}].$$

$$(1.10) [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}] = \sum_{\mu} \langle s_{\mu^{T}}, s_{\nu^{T}}[-1+h_{1}] \rangle [M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\nu/\mu} \sum_{vert. \ strip} (-1)^{|\nu|-|\mu|} [M_{\mu}^{t}].$$

$$(1.11) [\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t})] = \sum_{\mu} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu}[h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}+\cdots] \rangle [M_{\mu}^{t}].$$

$$(1.12) [M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{|\mu|-|\lambda|} \langle s_{\mu^{T}}, s_{\lambda^{T}}[L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+\cdots] \rangle [\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t})].$$

The representation M^t_{μ} arises naturally in studying representations of the category of finite sets. A representation of the category of finite sets consists of a sequence of vector spaces V_0, V_1, V_2, \ldots and, for each map $\phi: \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, u\}$ of finite sets, a map $\phi_*: V_t \to V_u$ obeying the obvious functoriality. In particular, each V_t is a representation of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_t . The category of such representations is an abelian category in an obvious manner. The simple objects in this category are explicitly described by Rains [15] and are implicitly described in the work of Putcha [14]; see also Wiltshire-Gordon [22]. These simple objects W_{μ} are indexed by partitions and, except when μ is of the form 1^k , we have $(W_{\mu})_t \cong M^t_{\mu}$ as an \mathfrak{S}_t -representation. Wiltshire-Gordon also showed that the $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ are projective objects in this category. Thus, the problem of expanding $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ positively in M^t_{μ} is the problem of finding the Jordan-Holder constituents of these projectives, and the problem of writing M^t_{μ} as an alternating combination of the $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ is a combinatorial shadow of the problem of finding projective resolutions of these simples.

We generally prefer proofs which provide representation theoretic interpretations of formulas to proofs by pure combinatorial manipulation. An exception is the proof of Eq. (1.12); see the discussion preceding Theorem 6.3.

1.2. Stable Kronecker coefficients. The authors' original motivation for studying this problem came from a desire to understand tensor product multiplicities. The *Kronecker coefficients*, denoted by $g_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, indexed by a triple of partitions of the same size, give the Specht module decomposition of a tensor product of Specht modules, namely

$$\operatorname{Sp}_\alpha \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_\beta \cong \bigoplus_\gamma \operatorname{Sp}_\gamma^{\oplus g_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}}.$$

Letting $\chi_{\alpha} = \text{char}(\text{Sp}_{\alpha})$, we can express these coefficients symmetrically as

$$g_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \langle \chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\beta}, \chi_{\gamma} \rangle = \frac{1}{t!} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{+}} \chi_{\alpha}(w) \chi_{\beta}(w) \chi_{\gamma}(w),$$

where t denotes the common size of α, β, γ . It remains an important open problem in combinatorial representation theory to give a manifestly positive combinatorial formula for the Kronecker coefficients $g_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

For arbitrary partitions α, β, γ (potentially of varying sizes), Murnaghan [10] considered the coefficients $g_{\alpha^{(t)},\beta^{(t)},\gamma^{(t)}}$ and noticed that they stabilize for t sufficiently large. This stability was proved by Brion [2], and so we define the **stable Kronecker coefficients**, denoted by $\bar{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, by

(1.13)
$$\bar{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \lim_{t \to \infty} g_{\alpha^{(t)},\beta^{(t)},\gamma^{(t)}}.$$

Giving a combinatorial rule for the stable Kronecker coefficients $\bar{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is a major open problem in combinatorial representation theory.

By contrast, the tensor product coefficients for representations of GL_t are well understood. For partitions λ, μ, ν with $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\nu|$, define the **Littlewood–Richardson coefficients**, denoted by $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$, by

(1.14)
$$\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t}) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\mu}(\mathbb{C}^{t}) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathbb{S}_{\nu}(\mathbb{C}^{t})^{\oplus c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}}.$$

Taking characters, we may also define $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ by taking the Schur expansion of a product of Schur polynomials,

$$s_{\lambda}s_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} s_{\nu}.$$

There are myriad combinatorial rules for $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$, the first due to Littlewood and Richardson [7] that was later proved by Schützenberger [18] based on ideas of Robinson [16]. It is natural to try to exploit this understanding to study stable Kronecker coefficients.

Indeed, based on the stable limit of (1.2), we may define an inhomogeneous basis for symmetric polynomials, which we call **stable Specht polynomials** and denote by s_{ν}^{\dagger} , by the formula

$$(1.15) s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\lambda}^{\nu} s_{\nu}^{\dagger}.$$

Roughly, we are describing a map from the representation ring of \mathfrak{S}_t to symmetric functions sending $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ to s_{λ} and $\mathrm{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}$ to s_{ν}^{\dagger} . (This statement is rough because we have not explained how to take the limit as $t \to \infty$ of representation rings.) Note this map is very different from the Frobenius characteristic sending Sp_{ν} to s_{ν} .

Since restriction from GL_t to \mathfrak{S}_t restricts with tensor product, the structure constants of the stable Specht polynomials are stable Kronecker coefficients,

$$(1.16) s_{\alpha}^{\dagger} s_{\beta}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\gamma} \bar{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} s_{\gamma}^{\dagger}.$$

Therefore a direct combinatorial description of stable Specht polynomials might well lead to a combinatorial rule for the stable Kronecker coefficients $\bar{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Schur polynomials are manifestly stable Specht-positive by (1.15). As one begins computing the s^{\dagger} polynomials, one immediately notices they appear to be Schuralternating. Theorem 1.1 proves this alternation.

Corollary 1.4. The stable Specht polynomials are alternatingly Schur positive. Precisely, we have

$$s_{\nu}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}^{\nu} s_{\lambda},$$

where, in particular, $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\nu|}b_{\lambda}^{\nu} \geq 0$.

Tables 1 and 2 at the end of this paper give the expansions between Schur functions and stable Specht functions for degree up to 5.

This same basis of stable Specht polynomials has been discovered independently by Orellana and Zabrocki [11, 12], who have been developing tools and techniques that might yet yield new insights into the stable Kronecker coefficients.

2. Transition between Specht modules and M_u^t

In this section, we carry out the relatively easy task of relating the classes of $\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}$ and M_u^t in the representation ring $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$.

Proposition 2.1. In the representation ring Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t), we have

$$[M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\mu|\nu, \text{ horiz strip}} [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}]$$

(2.1)
$$[M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\mu/\nu \text{ horiz strip}} [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}]$$
(2.2)
$$[\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}] = \sum_{\nu/\mu \text{ vert strip}} (-1)^{|\nu|-|\mu|} [M_{\mu}^{t}].$$

Proof. By Pieri's rule [13] for induction, from Eq. (1.8) we immediately have

$$(2.3) M_{\mu}^{t} \cong \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=t, \ \lambda/\mu \text{ horiz. strip}} \operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda}.$$

For a partition λ with parts $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell$, we let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the partition $(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$, so $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{(t)}$ if $t = |\lambda|$. We note that λ/μ is a horizontal strip if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq 1$ $\lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots$. Holding $\bar{\lambda}$ and μ fixed, once t is sufficiently large, the condition that $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1$ is automatic, so λ/μ is a horizontal strip if and only if $\mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots$; the latter states that $\mu/\bar{\lambda}$ is a horizontal strip. So, for t sufficiently large, we have

$$M_{\mu}^{t} \cong \bigoplus_{\mu/\bar{\lambda} \text{ horiz. strip}} \operatorname{Sp}_{\bar{\lambda}^{(t)}}.$$

Renaming the summation variable $\bar{\lambda}$ as ν , we have proved Eq. (2.1).

To arrive at the second formula, we must invert the infinite 0-1 matrix with entries $M_{\mu\nu} = 1$ if and only if μ/ν is a horizontal strip. By Pieri's rule, $h_r s_{\nu}$ is the sum $\sum_{\mu/\nu \text{ horiz. } r\text{-strip}} s_{\mu}$. Thus, as an endomorphism of the completion of the ring of symmetric functions, the matrix M corresponds to multiplication in the Schur basis by $1 + h_1 + h_2 + h_3 \cdots$. The inverse operation is multiplication by $(1 + h_1 + h_2 + \cdots)^{-1} =$ $1-e_1+e_2-e_3+\cdots$. By Pieri's rule, $e_r s_\mu$ is the sum $\sum_{\nu/\mu \text{ vert. } r\text{-strip}} s_\nu$. So the inverse matrix has $(M^{-1})_{\mu\nu}$ equal to $(-1)^r$ if ν/μ is a vertical strip of size r, and 0 otherwise. Eq. (2.2) follows.

In particular, notice that the transition coefficients between $[M_{\mu}^t]$ and $[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda}]$ are independent of t. Recalling that $s_{\lambda}[1+h_1] = \sum_{\lambda/\mu \text{ horiz. strip}} s_{\mu}$, we deduce:

Corollary 2.2. In the representation ring Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t), we have

(2.4)
$$[M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\nu} \langle s_{\nu}, s_{\mu}[1 + h_{1}] \rangle [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}],$$

(2.5)
$$[\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}] = \sum_{\mu} \langle s_{\mu^T}, s_{\nu^T}[-1 + h_1] \rangle [M_{\mu}^t],$$

where λ^T denotes the transpose of λ .

This proves the first two parts of Theorem 1.3.

3. Background on wreath products

We recall that, for two groups G and H, the **wreath product** $G \wr H$ is the semi-direct product $(\prod_{h \in H} G) \rtimes H$, where H acts by permuting the G-factors. The wreath product $\mathfrak{S}_j \wr \mathfrak{S}_m$ embeds in \mathfrak{S}_{jm} as the normalizer of the Young subgroup \mathfrak{S}_i^{*m} , and we'll write $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)$ for this subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{jm} .

 $\mathfrak{S}_{j}^{\times m}$, and we'll write $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)$ for this subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{jm} . For V a representation of \mathfrak{S}_{j} and W a representation of \mathfrak{S}_{m} , we write $V \S W$ for $V^{\otimes m} \otimes W$ as a representation of $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)$ where $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)$ acts in the obvious way on $V^{\otimes m}$ and through the quotient \mathfrak{S}_{m} on W. We recall:

Theorem 3.1 ([19, Theorem A2.8]). With notation as above, we have

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)}^{\mathfrak{S}_{ab}}(V\S W)\right) = \operatorname{ch}(W)[\operatorname{ch}(V)],$$

where ch denotes the Frobenius characteristic map.

As the special case where V is the trivial representation, we have

Corollary 3.2. Let μ be a partition of m. Considering Sp_{μ} as a representation of $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)$ through the quotient $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \to \mathfrak{S}_m$, we have

$$\operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)}^{\mathfrak{S}_{jm}}\operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\right) = s_{\mu}[h_{j}].$$

We also want to embed the wreath product into $\mathfrak{S}_{jm} \times \mathfrak{S}_m$. Let $V(j,m) \subset \operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ be the graph of the map $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \cong \mathfrak{S}_j^{\times m} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_m \to \mathfrak{S}_m$, so V(j,m) is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{jm} \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_j \wr \mathfrak{S}_m$.

Lemma 3.3. We have the following equality in Rep($\mathfrak{S}_{jm} \times \mathfrak{S}_m$):

$$\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{V(j,m)}^{\mathfrak{S}_{jm}\times\mathfrak{S}_m}\mathbb{1}\right] = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\lambda|=jm\\|\mu|=m}} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu}[h_j] \rangle \left[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\right].$$

Proof. We first compute the induction from V(j,m) to $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \times \mathfrak{S}_m$, and then further induct to $\mathfrak{S}_{jm} \times \mathfrak{S}_m$. Let W be the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{V(j,m)}^{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \times \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathbb{1}$. We note that W factors through the quotient $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_m$ of $\operatorname{Wr}(j,m) \times \mathfrak{S}_m$. As such, we have $W \cong \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_m$ with the two actions of \mathfrak{S}_m coming from the left and right actions of \mathfrak{S}_m on itself. By the Peter-Weyl theorem (and using that all representations of \mathfrak{S}_m are self dual), we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{V(j,m)}^{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)\times\mathfrak{S}_m}\mathbb{1}\cong\bigoplus_{|\mu|=m}\operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\boxtimes\operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}$$

where the action of Wr(j, m) is through its quotient \mathfrak{S}_m . We now induce to \mathfrak{S}_{jm} :

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)\times\mathfrak{S}_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_{jm}\times\mathfrak{S}_m}\operatorname{Ind}_{V(j,m)}^{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)\times\mathfrak{S}_m}\mathbb{1}=\bigoplus_{|\mu|=m}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)}^{\mathfrak{S}_{jm}}\operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\right)\boxtimes\operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}.$$

The inner induction can be computed by Corollary 3.2:

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Wr}(j,m)}^{\mathfrak{S}_{jm}} \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu} \cong \bigoplus_{|\lambda| = jm} \operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda}^{\oplus \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu}[h_{j}] \rangle}.$$

Putting all of our formulas together, we deduce the result.

4. Expansion of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ in the basis M^t_{μ}

Our next task is to give a formula for the expansion of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ in terms of the representation M_{μ}^t . This result is of some interest in itself, but is more important as a preview of the methods we will use to express M_{μ}^t in terms of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$.

We write T(l,t) for $(\mathbb{C}^t)^{\otimes l}$, which is an $\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ representation in the obvious manner. The obvious basis for T(l,t) is $\mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_l}$, where $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l$ runs over all length l words in the alphabet $\{1,2,\ldots,t\}$; we abbreviate this basis element $[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l]$. We write D(l,t) for the subspace of T(l,t) with basis those $[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l]$ where $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l$ are pairwise distinct; this is clearly a $\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ sub-representation.

Let Π_l denote the lattice of set partitions of $\{1,2\ldots,l\}$ ordered by refinement, with minimal element $\mathrm{Fine}_l \coloneqq \{\{1\},\{2\},\ldots,\{l\}\}$ and maximal element $\mathrm{Coarse}_l \coloneqq \{\{1,2,\ldots,l\}\}$. For a set partition $\pi = \{\pi_1,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_m\}$, we write $\mathrm{Shape}(\pi)$ for the partition obtained by sorting $(|\pi_1|,|\pi_2|,\ldots,|\pi_m|)$ into order. We abbreviate $|\mathrm{Shape}(\pi)|$ and $\ell(\mathrm{Shape}(\pi))$ to $|\pi|$ and $\ell(\pi)$. In order to help the reader distinguish integer partitions from set partitions, we will consistently denote the former by the letters λ , μ , ν and the latter by π , ρ , σ .

Given a set partition π of $\{1, 2, ..., l\}$, we write

$$\begin{array}{ll} T(\pi,t) &=& \left\{ \left[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l\right] \in T(l,t) \mid i_p = i_q \text{ if } p,q \in \pi_j \text{ for some } j \right\}, \\ D(\pi,t) &=& \left\{ \left[i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l\right] \in T(l,t) \mid i_p = i_q \text{ if and only if } p,q \in \pi_j \text{ for some } j \right\}. \end{array}$$

In particular, we have $T(\text{Fine}_l, t) = T(l, t)$ and $D(\text{Fine}_l, t) = D(l, t)$, and also $D(\text{Coarse}_l, t) = T(\text{Coarse}_l, t) \cong \mathbb{C}^t$. We may relate these two constructions by

(4.1)
$$T(\pi,t) = \bigoplus_{\rho \geq \pi} D(\rho,t).$$

We will also consider representations indexed by integer partitions, rather than by set partitions. For a partition ν of l, we set

$$D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,t) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{Shape}(\pi)=\nu} D(\pi,t).$$

We will now compute the character of $D_{Sh}(\nu, t)$.

Lemma 4.1. For ν a partition of l with length m, and for $t \ge m$, in the representation ring for $\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_t$, we have

$$(4.2) \qquad [D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,t)] = \sum_{\substack{|\lambda|=l\\|\mu|=m}} \sum_{\substack{|\mu(j)|=m_j}} c^{\mu}_{\mu(1)\mu(2)\cdots\mu(r)} \langle s_{\lambda}, \prod_{j} s_{\mu(j)}[h_j] \rangle \left[\mathrm{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes M^{t}_{\mu} \right],$$

where $\nu = r^{m_r} \cdots 2^{m_2} 1^{m_1}$ and each $\mu(j)$ is a partition of size m_i .

Proof. Notice $D_{Sh}(\nu, t)$ is a permutation representation with basis $\{g \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\nu}\}_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{t}}$ where

$$\mathbf{x}_{\nu} = \underbrace{\left[11\cdots 1}^{\nu_{1}}\underbrace{22\cdots 2}^{\nu_{2}}\cdots\underbrace{mm\cdots m}^{\nu_{m}}\right] \in D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,t).$$

We first consider the case t = m. We have $\sum_{j} j m_{j} = l$ and $\sum_{j} m_{j} = m$, and so the stabilizer of \mathbf{x}_{ν} in $\mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m}$ is $\prod V(j, m_{j}) \subseteq \prod (\mathfrak{S}_{j m_{j}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_{j}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m}$. Therefore

$$D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,m) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\prod V(j,m_j)}^{\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathbb{1}$$

We perform the induction in two steps. First, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\prod V(j,m_j)}^{\prod (\mathfrak{S}_{jm_j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_j})} \mathbb{1}\right] = \prod_{\substack{j \ |\lambda(j)| = jm_j \\ |\mu(j)| = m_j}} \langle s_{\lambda(j)}, s_{\mu(j)}[h_j] \rangle \left[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda(j)} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu(j)}\right]$$

in Rep($\Pi(\mathfrak{S}_{jm_j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_j})$). We emphasize that each of the $\lambda(j)$ is a partition, they are not the parts of a partition named λ , and likewise for the $\mu(j)$'s. Interchanging summation and product, we get

$$\left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\prod V(j,m_j)}^{\prod(\mathfrak{S}_{jm_j}\times\mathfrak{S}_{m_j})}\mathbb{1}\right] = \sum_{\substack{|\lambda(j)|=jm_j\\|\mu(j)|=m_i}} \prod_{j} \langle s_{\lambda(j)}, s_{\mu(j)}[h_j] \rangle \left[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda(j)} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu(j)}\right].$$

Inducing further, using the classical result $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_{m+l}}[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}] = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}[\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu}],$ gives

$$(4.3) \quad \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\prod V(j,m_{j})}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m}} \mathbb{1}\right] = \sum_{\substack{|\lambda|=l \\ |\mu|=m}} \sum_{\substack{|\lambda(j)|=jm_{j} \\ |\mu|=m}} \prod_{j} \langle s_{\lambda(j)}, s_{\mu(j)}[h_{j}] \rangle \ c_{\lambda(1)\lambda(2)\cdots\lambda(r)}^{\lambda} \ c_{\mu(1)\mu(2)\cdots\mu(r)}^{\mu} \left[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\right].$$

To simplify this, note that for symmetric functions f, g homogeneous of degrees a, b, respectively, we have $\langle s_{\lambda}, fg \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=a, |\beta|=b} c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} \langle s_{\alpha}, f \rangle \langle s_{\beta}, g \rangle$. Thus we may use the coefficients $c_{\lambda(1)\lambda(2)\cdots\lambda(r)}^{\lambda}$ to reduce Eq. (4.3) to

$$(4.4) \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{\prod V(j,m_j)}^{\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathbb{1}\right] = \sum_{\substack{|\lambda| = l \ |\mu| = m}} \sum_{|\mu| = m} \langle s_{\lambda}, \prod_j s_{\mu(j)}[h_j] \rangle \ c_{\mu(1)\mu(2)\cdots\mu(r)}^{\mu} \left[\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu}\right].$$

Finally, inducing from
$$\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_{t-m}$$
 to \mathfrak{S}_t gives Eq. (4.2).

We now establish the third part of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 4.2. In the representation ring Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t), we have

$$(4.5) \qquad [\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)] = \sum_{\mu} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu}[h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + \cdots] \rangle [M_{\mu}^t].$$

Proof. We begin with the decomposition

(4.6)
$$T(l,t) = \bigoplus_{|\nu|=l} D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,t).$$

Both sides of this equation have compatible actions of $\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_t$. On the left hand side, Schur-Weyl duality tells us that

(4.7)
$$T(l,t) = (\mathbb{C}^t)^{\otimes l} \cong \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l} \operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t).$$

So we can compute $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ as the Sp_{λ} -component of the right hand side of Eq. (4.6). From Eq. (4.2), the coefficient of Sp_{λ} in $D_{\mathrm{Sh}}(\nu,t)$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{|\mu|=m\\|\mu(j)|=m_j}} c^{\mu}_{\mu(1)\mu(2)\cdots\mu(r)} \langle s_{\lambda}, \prod_j s_{\mu(j)}[h_j] \rangle [M^t_{\mu}]$$

where $\nu = r^{m_r} \cdots 2^{m_2} 1^{m_1}$. Thus the coefficient of $\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda}$ in T(l,t) is obtained by summing over ν , which gives

$$\sum_{\substack{|\mu|=m\\ \sum |\mu(j)|=m}} \langle s_{\lambda}, c^{\mu}_{\mu(1)\mu(2)\cdots\mu(r)} \prod_{j} s_{\mu(j)}[h_{j}] \rangle \left[M^{t}_{\mu} \right] = \sum_{|\mu|=m} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu}[\sum h_{j}] \rangle \left[M^{t}_{\mu} \right],$$

where we use the identity $s_{\mu}[f+g] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta} s_{\alpha}[f] s_{\beta}[g]$ (see [9, I(8.8)]).

Combining Propositions 2.1 and 4.2, we recover Littlewood's formula for a_{λ}^{ν} .

Corollary 4.3. In the representation ring $Rep(S_t)$, we have

$$[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)] = \sum_{\nu} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\nu^{(t)}}[1 + h_1 + h_2 + \cdots] \rangle [\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}].$$

5. Equivariant Möbius inversion

Our proof of the third part of Theorem 1.3 began with the identity $T(l,t) = \bigoplus_{|\nu|=l} D_{\operatorname{Sh}}(\nu,t)$. To establish the fourth and most interesting part we must invert this expression to write D(l,t) as a "linear combination" of the representations $T(\pi(\nu),t)$, where $\pi(\nu)$ is a set partition of shape ν . We can use Möbius inversion on the set partition lattice to compute the dimension of D(l,t) as a linear combination of the dimensions of the representations $T(\pi,t)$. In order to obtain not just the dimension, but a formula for the class in $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathfrak{S}_l \times \mathfrak{S}_t)$, we need an equivariant version of Möbius inversion. We find it clearest to explain this result in the context of a general poset with a group action. Because we want to reserve μ for partitions, we will denote the Möbius function of a poset by \mathfrak{m} .

Let P be a poset with unique minimal element $\hat{0}$, and let G be a group acting on P. Let V be a G-representation with a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{p \in P} U_p$ such that $g(U_p) = U_{gp}$ for each $g \in G$ and $p \in P$. For $q \in P$, put $V_q := \bigoplus_{r \geq q} U_r$.

For $p \in P$, let $(\hat{0}, p) = \{q \in P : \hat{0} < q < p\}$. Let $\Delta(\hat{0}, p)$ be the order complex of $(\hat{0}, p)$ – the simplicial complex on the ground set $(\hat{0}, p)$ whose faces are the totally ordered subsets of $(\hat{0}, p)$. A classical result of P. Hall states [4] that the Möbius function $\mathfrak{m}(p)$ is the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta(\hat{0}, p))$.

We will define an equivariant version of \mathfrak{m} . Namely, let G_p be the stabilizer of p and let $\text{Rep}(G_p)$ be its representation ring. We define

$$\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(p) = \sum_{j} (-1)^{j+1} \left[\widetilde{H}_{j}(\Delta(\hat{0}, p)) \right]$$

where \widetilde{H}_j is the reduced homology group. So, under the map $\operatorname{Rep}(G_p) \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending a representation to its dimension, $\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(p)$ is sent to the Möbius function $\mathfrak{m}(p)$. Among group theorists, $\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(p)$ is called the "Lefschetz element".

Let $G \setminus P$ be a set of orbit representatives for the action of G on P. Our equivariant Möbius inversion formula is the following.

Theorem 5.1. With the above definitions, we have the equality

$$[U_{\hat{0}}] = \sum_{p \in G \setminus P} \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{G_p}^G \left(\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(p) \otimes V_p \right) \right]$$

in the representation ring Rep(G).

Proof. We begin by expanding the induction. For a simplicial complex X, let $C_j(X)$ be the free vector space on the j-dimensional faces. Here we include the

case j = -1, corresponding to the empty face. If a group H acts on X, we have $[\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(X)] = \sum_{j \geq -1} (-1)^{j+1} [C_j(X)]$ in Rep(H), so the right hand side of (5.1) is

$$\sum_{j\geq -1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{p\in G\backslash P} \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{G_p}^G \ C_j(\Delta(\hat{0},p)) \otimes V_p \right].$$

The induction can be expanded explicitly as

$$\sum_{p \in G \setminus P} \left[\bigoplus_{p' \in G_p} C_j(\Delta(\hat{0}, p')) \otimes V_{p'} \right].$$

Summing over $p \in G \setminus P$ and $p' \in Gp$ is simply summing over $p' \in P$. So we want to prove the equality

$$[U_{\hat{0}}] = \sum_{j \ge -1} (-1)^{j+1} \left[\bigoplus_{p' \in P} V_{p'} \otimes C_j(\Delta(\hat{0}, p')) \right] = \sum_{j \ge -1} (-1)^{j+1} \left[\bigoplus_{\hat{0} < q_0 < q_1 < \dots < q_j < p' \in P} V_{p'} \right]$$

in Rep(G). Inserting the definition of $V_{p'}$, our goal is to show that

$$[U_{\hat{0}}] = \sum_{j \geq -1} (-1)^{j+1} \left[\bigoplus_{\hat{0} \prec q_0 \prec q_1 \prec \cdots \prec q_j \prec p' \leq q'} U_{q'} \right].$$

Here G acts by permuting the summation indices and by its action on V.

In order to show equality in $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$, we simply need to compute characters of both sides. Fix $g \in G$; for any vector space W on which g acts, write $\operatorname{Tr}_g(W)$ for the trace of g on W. Since the action of g on P is order preserving, if g maps a g-cell (q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_g) of $\Delta(\hat{0}, p)$ to itself, it does so while preserving the order of (q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_g) . So the only terms that contribute to the trace are those where each of the summation variables $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_g, p'$ and q' are individually fixed by g. We obtain that the trace of g on the right hand side is

$$\sum_{\substack{j \geq -1}} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\substack{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_j, p', q' \in P^g \\ \hat{0} < q_0 < q_1 < \dots < q_j < p' \leq q'}} \mathrm{Tr}_g(U_{q'}) \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \geq -1 \\ \hat{0} < q_0 < q_1 < \dots < q_j < p' \leq q'}} \sum_{\substack{(-1)^{j+1} \\ \hat{0} < q_0 < q_1 < \dots < q_j < p' \leq q'}} (-1)^{j+1} \Big).$$

The quantity in parentheses in the reduced Euler characteristic of the order complex $\Delta \left(\left\{ q \in P^g : \hat{0} < q \leq q' \right\} \right)$. For $q' \neq \hat{0}$, the point q' is a cone point of the order complex, so this Euler characteristic is 0. Thus, the sum simplifies to $\operatorname{Tr}_q(U_{\hat{0}})$, as desired. \square

Our immediate purpose is to apply Theorem 5.1 to the partition lattice Π_m , ordered by refinement with minimal element Fine_m and ranked by m minus the number of blocks of the set partition. The group \mathfrak{S}_m acts by permuting elements within blocks. We can identify $\mathfrak{S}_m \backslash \Pi_m$ with the set of integer partitions of m: for each integer partition ν of m, choose a set partition $\pi(\nu)$ of that shape. We will abbreviate the stabilizer $G_{\pi(\nu)}$ to simply G_{ν} and the equivariant Möbius function $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{eq}}(\pi(\nu))$ to simply $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{eq}}(\nu)$. We may extend this action to an $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ action where the second factor acts trivially, and in so doing the corresponding objects for the action of $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ become $G_{\nu} \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{eq}}(\nu) \boxtimes \mathbb{I}$, respectively. Since $D(\text{Fine}_m, t) = D(m, t)$, applying Theorem 5.1 to the representation $T(\pi, t) = \bigoplus_{\rho \geq \pi} D(\rho, t)$ gives:

Corollary 5.2. In the representation ring Rep($\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$), we have

$$(5.2) [D(m,t)] = \sum_{|\nu|=m} \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\nu} \times \mathfrak{S}_{t}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{t}} \left((\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(\nu) \boxtimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes T(\pi(\nu),t) \right) \right]$$

where $\pi(\nu)$ is a set partition of shape ν .

6. Expansion of M^t_{μ} in the basis $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$

We now prove the last part of Theorem 1.3. Following the proof paradigm for the expansion of $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ in the basis M_{μ}^t , we will express the $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ representation D(m,t) in two ways. Recall that $D(m,t) = D(\operatorname{Fine}_m,t) = D_{\operatorname{Sh}}((1^m),t)$.

Proposition 6.1. As an $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ representation, we have

(6.1)
$$D(m,t) \cong \bigoplus_{|\mu|=m} \operatorname{Sp}_{\mu} \boxtimes M_{\mu}^{t}.$$

Proof. For $\nu = (1^m)$, Lemma 4.1 gives

$$[D_{\mathrm{Sh}}((1^m), t)] = \sum_{|\lambda| = |\mu| = m} \sum_{|\mu(1)| = m} c_{\mu(1)}^{\mu} \langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu(1)}[h_1] \rangle [\operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda} \boxtimes M_{\mu}^t].$$

Using
$$c_{\mu(1)}^{\mu} = \delta_{\mu,\mu(1)}$$
 and $s_{\mu(1)}[h_1] = s_{\mu(1)}$ and $\langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$ gives the result. \square

In particular, M_{μ}^{t} can be identified with the Sp_{μ} -isotypic component of D(m,t). On the other hand, equivariant Möbius inversion gives the following.

Lemma 6.2. In the representation ring Rep($\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_t$), we have

(6.2)
$$[D(m,t)] = \sum_{|\nu|=m} \sum_{|\lambda|=\ell(\nu)} \left[\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\nu}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{m}} (\mathfrak{m}_{eq}(\nu) \otimes \operatorname{Sp}_{\lambda}) \boxtimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t}) \right].$$

where $\pi(\nu)$ is a set partition of shape ν .

Proof. For ν a partition of m with length ℓ and π a set partition of shape ν , as an \mathfrak{S}_t module, $T(\pi,t)$ is $(\mathbb{C}^t)^{\otimes \ell}$. By Schur-Weyl duality, as an $\mathfrak{S}_\ell \times \mathfrak{S}_t$ module, this becomes $\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=\ell} \operatorname{Sp}_\lambda \boxtimes \mathbb{S}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^t)$. Writing $\nu = 1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots r^{m_r}$, with notation as in Corollary 5.2, the stabilizer of ν is $G_\nu = \prod \operatorname{Wr}(j,m_j) \subset \prod \mathfrak{S}_{jm_j} \subset \mathfrak{S}_m$. The group G_ν acts on the set of blocks of $\pi(\nu)$, giving a map $G_\nu \to \mathfrak{S}_\ell$. Combining this with the action of \mathfrak{S}_ℓ on $\operatorname{Sp}_\lambda$ turns Eq. (5.2) into Eq. (6.2), as desired.

At this point, we can prove $[M_{\mu}^t]$ is alternating in the $[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)]$. By (6.1), M_{μ}^t can be identified with the Sp_{μ} -isotypic component of D(m,t), where $m=|\mu|$. Combining this observation with (6.2), the coefficient of $[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)]$ in $[M_{\mu}^t]$ is the coefficient of $[\mathrm{Sp}_{\mu}]$ in $\sum_{\nu} \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\nu}}^{\mathfrak{S}_m}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\nu) \otimes [\mathrm{Sp}_{\lambda}])$. For λ and μ fixed, the only terms that contribute to this coefficient are partitions ν with $|\nu| = |\mu|$ and $\ell(\nu) = |\lambda|$. But $|\mu| - |\lambda| = |\nu| - \ell(\nu)$ is precisely the rank function that grades the lattice of set partitions. So only terms at one fixed level of Π_m will contribute. Since Π_m is Cohen-Macaulay [1], the terms $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\nu)$ will all come with the same sign $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\lambda|}$, proving the multiplicity of $[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)]$ in $[M_{\mu}^t]$ has sign $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\lambda|}$, as promised.

Our final task is to establish Eq. (1.12), our plethystic formula for the coefficient of $[\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)]$ in $[M_{\mu}^t]$ and thus in $[\operatorname{Sp}_{\nu^{(t)}}]$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathfrak{S}_t)$. So far, we have emphasized representation theoretic methods that exhibit isomorphisms of vector spaces, explaining all equalities that we present. To prove Theorem 1.12 in this vein would be to exhibit a resolution of the FinSet-module $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ by the FinSet-modules $M_{\mu}(\mathbb{C}^t)$. Such a resolution was found by Ryba [17], after we circulated this paper as a preprint. Ryba's result can be regarded as a categorification of our Eq. (1.12).

Without an explicit resolution, one can (as we did in arXiv:1809.10125v1) use formulas of Sundaram and Welker [21] for the cohomology of $\Delta(\text{Fine}_m, \pi)$ as a G_{ν} module, combined with equivariant Möbius inversion and plethystic manipulations, to deduce (1.12). However, a referee has pointed out to us that Eq. (1.12)

can be deduced from Eq. (1.11) purely by plethystic methods. While our our representation theoretic proofs are simpler and more conceptual for all results prior to this, including sign alternation, when it comes to deriving Eq. (1.12), the formal plethystic method is briefer. Thus we present that approach instead.

Theorem 6.3. In the representation ring $Rep(\mathfrak{S}_t)$, we have

$$[M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{|\mu| - |\lambda|} \langle s_{\mu^{T}}, s_{\lambda^{T}} [L_{1} + L_{2} + L_{3} + \cdots] \rangle [\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t})].$$

Proof. Let Λ be the ring of symmetric functions and consider the linear map $H: f \mapsto f[h_1 + h_2 + \cdots]$ from Λ to itself. Eq. (1.11) shows that the coefficients for the $[S_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^f)]$ in terms of the $[M_{\mu}^t]$ are the entries of the matrix of H, in the basis of Schur polynomials. So the coefficients for the $[M_{\mu}^t]$ in terms of the $[S_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^f)]$ must be entries for the matrix of H^{-1} in the same basis. The inverse to H is $g \mapsto g[\omega(L_1 - L_2 + L_3 - \cdots)]$; see Cadogan [3] or Sundaram [20, Example 1.6]. So

$$[M_{\mu}^{t}] = \sum_{\lambda} \langle s_{\mu}, s_{\lambda}[\omega(L_{1} - L_{2} + L_{3} - \cdots)] \rangle [\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^{t})].$$

Applying the isometry ω to each side, we have

$$\langle s_{\mu}, s_{\lambda}[\omega(L_1-L_2+L_3-\cdots)]\rangle = \langle s_{\mu^T}, s_{\lambda^T}[L_1-L_2+L_3-\cdots]\rangle.$$

Moreover, we have

$$s_{\lambda^{T}}[L_{1} - L_{2} + L_{3} - \cdots] = \sum_{\lambda(1), \lambda(2), \cdots} c_{\lambda(1)\lambda(2), \cdots}^{\lambda^{T}} s_{\lambda(1)}[L_{1}] s_{\lambda(2)}[-L_{2}] s_{\lambda(3)}[L_{3}] \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda(1),\lambda(2),\cdots} (-1)^{|\lambda(2)|+|\lambda(4)|+\cdots} c_{\lambda(1)\lambda(2)}^{\lambda^T} s_{\lambda(1)}[L_1] s_{\lambda(2)}[L_2] s_{\lambda(3)}[L_3] \cdots.$$

Any term on the right hand side which contributes to the coefficient of s_{μ^T} must have $\sum_j j|\lambda(j)| = |\mu|$, so $|\mu| - |\lambda| = \sum_j (j-1)|\lambda(j)|$ and thus $(-1)^{|\lambda(2)|+|\lambda(4)|+\cdots} = (-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|}$. So

$$\langle s_{\mu^T}, s_{\lambda^T} \big[L_1 - L_2 + L_3 - \cdots \big] \rangle = (-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} \langle s_{\mu^T}, s_{\lambda^T} \big[L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + \cdots \big] \rangle.$$

Combining these equalities proves the result.

Thus we have proved the final part of Theorem 1.3. Combining the second and fourth parts of Theorem 1.3 proves Theorem 1.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Jon Schneider for suggestive computations; John Wiltshire-Gordon for insights into the category of representations of finite sets; Rosa Orellana and Mike Zabrocki for conversations about the symmetric function approach to stable Kronecker coefficients via stable Specht functions, which they discovered independently; and the referee for the purely plethystic proof of Theorem 6.3.

References

- Anders Bjorner, Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 260 (1980), no. 1, 159–183.
- Michel Brion, Stable properties of plethysm: on two conjectures of Foulkes, Manuscripta Math. 80 (1993), no. 4, 347–371.
- 3. C. C. Cadogan, *The Möbius function and connected graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 11 (1971), 193–200.

```
= s_{()}^{\dagger}
s_{()}
                        = 1+s_{(1)}^{\dagger}
s_{(1)}
                        = 2+2s_{(1)}^{\dagger}+s_{(2)}^{\dagger}
s_{(2)}
                        = s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + s_{(11)}^{\dagger}
s_{(11)}
                        = 3+4s_{(1)}^{\dagger}+2s_{(2)}^{\dagger}+s_{(11)}^{\dagger}+s_{(3)}^{\dagger}
s_{(3)}
                        = 1+3s_{(1)}^{\dagger}+2s_{(2)}^{\dagger}+2s_{(11)}^{\dagger}+s_{(21)}^{\dagger}
s_{(21)}
                       = s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + s_{(111)}^{\dagger}
s_{(111)}
                       = 5 + 7s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 5s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + s_{(4)}^{\dagger}
s_{(4)}
                       = 2 + 7s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 5s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 6s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(31)}^{\dagger}
s_{(31)}
                        = 2+3s_{(1)}^{\dagger}+4s_{(2)}^{\dagger}+s_{(11)}^{\dagger}+s_{(3)}^{\dagger}+2s_{(21)}^{\dagger}+s_{(22)}^{\dagger}
s_{(22)}
                       = s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(211)}^{\dagger}
s_{(211)}
s_{(1111)} = s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(1111)}^{\dagger}
                       = 7 + 12s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 9s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 5s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 5s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(4)}^{\dagger} + s_{(31)}^{\dagger} + s_{(5)}^{\dagger}
s_{(5)}
                        = 5 + 14s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 13s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 12s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 6s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 9s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(4)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(31)}^{\dagger} + s_{(22)}^{\dagger} + s_{(211)}^{\dagger} + s_{(41)}^{\dagger}
s_{(41)}
                        = 4 + 10s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 11s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 8s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 6s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 8s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(4)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(31)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(22)}^{\dagger} + s_{(211)}^{\dagger} + s_{(32)}^{\dagger}
s_{(32)}
                      = 3s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 4s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 8s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 7s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 6s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(31)}^{\dagger} + s_{(22)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(311)}^{\dagger}
s_{(311)}
                     = 1 + 3s_{(1)}^{\dagger} + 4s_{(2)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(3)}^{\dagger} + 5s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(31)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(22)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(211)}^{\dagger} + s_{(221)}^{\dagger}
s_{(2111)} = s_{(11)}^{\dagger} + s_{(21)}^{\dagger} + 3s_{(111)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(211)}^{\dagger} + 2s_{(1111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(2111)}^{\dagger}
s_{(11111)} = s_{(1111)}^{\dagger} + s_{(11111)}^{\dagger}
```

Table 1. Schur functions expanded into the stable Specht basis.

- 4. Philip Hall, The collected works of Philip Hall, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1988, Compiled and with a preface by K. W. Gruenberg and J. E. Roseblade, With an obituary by Roseblade. MR 986732
- D. E. Littlewood, Group Characters and the Structure of Groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 39 (1935), no. 2, 150–199.
- Products and plethysms of characters with orthogonal, symplectic and symmetric groups, Canad. J. Math. 10 (1958), 17–32.
- D. E. Littlewood and A. R. Richardson, Group characters and algebra, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A. 233 (1934), 99–141.
- 8. Dudley E. Littlewood, The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups, Oxford University Press, New York, 1940.
- I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- F. D. Murnaghan, The Analysis of the Kronecker Product of Irreducible Representations of the Symmetric Group, Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938), no. 3, 761–784.
- Rosa Orellana and Mike Zabrocki, Symmetric group characters as symmetric functions, arXiv:1605.06672, 2016.
- 12. _____, Products of characters of the symmetric group, arXiv:1709.08098, 2017.
- 13. Mario Pieri, Sul problema degli spazi secanti, Rend. Ist. Lombardo (2) 26 (1893), 534-546.
- 14. Mohan S. Putcha, Complex representations of finite monoids, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 73 (1996), no. 3, 623–641.
- 15. Eric M. Rains, The action of S_n on the cohomology of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 15 (2009), no. 1, 171–188.
- G. de B. Robinson, On the Representations of the Symmetric Group, Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938), no. 3, 745–760.

```
s_{()}^{\dagger}
                = s<sub>()</sub>
s_{(1)}^{\dagger}
               = s_{(1)} - 1
               = s_{(2)} - 2s_{(1)}
               = s_{(11)} - s_{(1)} + 1
                = s_{(3)} - 2s_{(2)} - s_{(11)} + s_{(1)}
               = s_{(21)} + 3s_{(1)} - 2s_{(2)} - 2s_{(11)}
s^{\dagger}_{(111)}
              = s_{(111)} - s_{(11)} + s_{(1)} - 1
               = s_{(4)} + s_{(2)} - 2s_{(3)} - s_{(21)} + 2s_{(11)}
s_{(4)}^{\dagger}
               = s_{(31)} - 3s_{(1)} + 5s_{(2)} + 3s_{(11)} - 2s_{(3)} - 3s_{(21)} - s_{(111)}
s_{(22)}^{\dagger}
               = s_{(22)} - s_{(1)} + 2s_{(2)} - s_{(3)} - 2s_{(21)} + 4s_{(11)}
s_{(211)}^{\dagger}
               = s_{(211)} - 4s_{(1)} + 3s_{(2)} + 3s_{(11)} - 2s_{(21)} - 2s_{(111)}
s_{(1111)}^{\dagger} = s_{(1111)} - s_{(111)} + s_{(11)} - s_{(1)} + 1
               = s_{(5)} + s_{(3)} + 2s_{(21)} - s_{(11)} - 2s_{(4)} - s_{(31)} + s_{(111)}
s_{(5)}^{\dagger}
                = s_{(41)} + 2s_{(1)} - 5s_{(11)} + 5s_{(3)} + 6s_{(21)} - 2s_{(4)} - 3s_{(31)} - s_{(22)} - 5s_{(2)} - s_{(211)} + 2s_{(111)}
s_{(41)}^{\dagger}
               = s_{(32)} + 3s_{(1)} - 6s_{(2)} - 6s_{(11)} + 4s_{(3)} + 8s_{(21)} + 3s_{(111)} - s_{(4)} - 3s_{(31)} - 2s_{(22)} - s_{(211)}
              = s_{(311)} + 5s_{(1)} - 7s_{(11)} + 4s_{(3)} + 7s_{(21)} + 3s_{(111)} - 2s_{(31)} - s_{(22)} - 3s_{(211)} - 9s_{(2)} - s_{(1111)}
               = s_{(221)} + 3s_{(1)} - 7s_{(11)} + 6s_{(21)} - 5s_{(2)} - s_{(31)} - 2s_{(22)} + 2s_{(3)} - 2s_{(211)} + 4s_{(111)}
s_{(221)}^{\dagger}
s_{(2111)}^{\dagger} = s_{(2111)} - 4s_{(11)} + 5s_{(1)} + 3s_{(21)} - 4s_{(2)} + 3s_{(111)} - 2s_{(211)} - 2s_{(1111)}
s_{(11111)}^{\dagger} = s_{(11111)} - s_{(1111)} + s_{(111)} - s_{(11)} + s_{(1)} - 1
```

Table 2. Stable Specht functions expanded into the Schur basis.

- 17. Christopher Ryba, Resolving irreducible $\mathbb{C}S_n$ -modules by modules restricted from $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, arXiv:1812.07212, 2018.
- M.-P. Schützenberger, La correspondance de Robinson, Combinatoire et représentation du groupe symétrique (Actes Table Ronde CNRS, Univ. Louis-Pasteur Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 59–113. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 579.
- 19. Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- Sheila Sundaram, The homology representations of the symmetric group on Cohen-Macaulay subposets of the partition lattice, Adv. Math. 104 (1994), no. 2, 225–296.
- Sheila Sundaram and Volkmar Welker, Group representations on the homology of products of posets, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 73 (1996), no. 1, 174–180.
- 22. John D. Wiltshire-Gordon, Uniformly presented vector spaces, arXiv:1406.0786, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, 3620~S. Vermont Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90089-2532, U.S.A.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: shassaf@usc.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, 530 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 28109-1043, U.S.A.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: speyer@umich.edu}$