

Flavor from the double tetrahedral group without supersymmetry: flavorful axions and neutrinos

Christopher D. Carone* and Marco Merchand†

*High Energy Theory Group, Department of Physics,
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795*

(Dated: June 28, 2019)

Abstract

We extend the work of Carone, Chaurasia and Vasquez on non-supersymmetric models of flavor based on the double tetrahedral group. Three issues are addressed: (1) the sector of flavor-symmetry-breaking fields is simplified and their potential studied explicitly, (2) a flavorful axion is introduced to solve the strong CP problem and (3) the model is extended to include the neutrino sector. We show how the model can accommodate the strong hierarchies manifest in the charged fermion Yukawa matrices, while predicting a qualitatively different form for the light neutrino mass matrix that is consistent with observed neutrino mass squared differences and mixing angles.

*cdcaro@wm.edu

†mamerchandmedi@email.wm.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The structure of the fermion Yukawa couplings in the standard model may result from the sequential breaking of a horizontal discrete family symmetry. Long ago, Aranda, Carone and Lebed [1, 2] showed how the double tetrahedral group T' could be used to construct successful supersymmetric flavor models that are similar to those based on $U(2)$ symmetry [3, 4], with or without the assumption of conventional supersymmetric grand unification. For other early work on T' as a flavor symmetry, see Ref. [5]. Many other authors have since explored the use of T' symmetry in models that aim to address the flavor structure of the standard model [6].

Much of the work on T' flavor models has assumed weak-scale supersymmetry, to stabilize the hierarchy between the weak scale and the grand unified or Planck scale. Over the past decade, however, there has been no direct evidence for superpartners at the LHC, nor indirect evidence in the form of a convincing pattern of deviations from the predictions of the standard model for some subset of its observables. While one cannot exclude the possibility that supersymmetry is present and just beyond the reach of current experiments (a statement that applies to any new physics that has a decoupling limit), the current state of affairs has motivated a greater open-mindedness towards consideration of non-supersymmetric extensions of the standard model. For example, the possibility that the standard model could arise consistently from a string theory without supersymmetry has been discussed in Ref. [7]. The hierarchies between mass scales might result from dynamical mechanisms (for example, cosmic relaxation [8] or N naturalness [9]), or anthropic selection [10]. On the other hand, the fundamental mass scales found in nature may simply be random and fine tuned, for reasons that are obscure to us at present. In this work, we assume the absence of supersymmetry and focus on phenomenological issues, while remaining agnostic on the question of naturalness.

The purpose of the present work is to further explore the possibility of nonsupersymmetric models of flavor based on T' symmetry, following a study by Carone, Chaurasia and Vasquez [11]. In Ref. [11], a nonsupersymmetric T' model was presented in which the flavor scale M_F was treated as a free parameter. (There is less motivation to link the flavor scale to a grand unified scale in a framework where the gauge couplings don't automatically unify.) Global fits were performed to the fermion masses and Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM)

mixing angles, taking into account the nonsupersymmetric running of the Yukawa matrices between the scale M_F and the weak scale. It was found that the model was viable for a wide range of M_F ; this scale could be as high as the Planck scale or as low as the minimum allowed by the flavor-changing-neutral-current constraints on the heavy, flavor-sector particles with masses of order M_F . At the lower end of this range, flavor-sector fields, such as the physical components of the flavon fields that spontaneously break the T' symmetry, can potentially have observable consequences.

Here we go beyond the work of Ref. [11] in a number of ways: *(i)* we present a simplification of the model involving a smaller number of flavor-symmetry-breaking fields. While simplicity may be desirable by itself, the smaller field content allows a less cumbersome study of the flavon potential that leads to the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry, so that we can confirm the assumed pattern of symmetry breaking and study the spectrum of scalar states. *(ii)* We address the strong CP problem by promoting an Abelian factor that is required in the model from a Z_3 symmetry to an anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry. This leads to a flavorful axion [12] (also called a flaxion [13], or axi-flavon [14–16], in the recent literature), which leads to more stringent lower bounds on the flavor scale M_F than in our previous study, as well as new avenues for discovery. (The idea of flavored axions appeared first in Ref. [17] and was explored subsequently by a number of authors [18].) The possibility of flavored axions due to a continuous Abelian factor in a T' flavor model was considered in a supersymmetric model in Ref. [19]; the present work gives a simple, nonsupersymmetric realization of this possibility. *(iii)* We extend the model to include the neutrino sector. As we describe later, one model building difficulty that we must overcome is to explain how the small symmetry-breaking parameters that lead to pronounced hierarchies in the charged fermion Yukawa matrices lead to much less pronounced hierarchies in the neutrino mass matrix (as indicated, for example, by the two large mixing angles). Our model will show how this outcome can be achieved.

Our paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we present the model and establish our notation. We study the flavon potential including the vacuum alignment and the spectrum of scalar states. We also present a global fit of the charged fermion masses and mixing angles, analogous to the one presented in Ref. [11]. We address the strong CP problem in Sec. III and identify the flavored axion couplings to SM particles. Bounds on the axion decay constant from flavor changing decays are given. In Sec. IV we address the neutrino sector

and introduce a type-I see-saw mechanism with three right-handed neutrinos. In Sec. V, we summarize our conclusions.

II. THE MODEL

We assume the flavor symmetry $G_F = T' \times Z_3 \times U(1)$, where the last factor is anomalous and will allow for the existence of a flavorful axion. We do not review the group theory of T' , which was discussed in some detail in Ref. [2] (including a useful appendix on Clebsch-Gordan factors), and reviewed again in Ref. [11]. We refer the reader to those references for details. The flavor-symmetry-breaking sector consists of three complex scalar fields A , s , and ϕ , in the $\mathbf{1}^{0-}$, $\mathbf{1}^{00}$, and $\mathbf{2}^{0+}$ representations of $T' \times Z_3$, using the notation of Ref. [2]. Notably, the triplet flavon S of Ref. [11] has been omitted; the model is nonetheless viable, as we will discuss below. The complete field content and charge assignments for the model are shown in Table I.

TABLE I: Charge assignments. The index $a = 1, 2$ is a generation label. The first four columns correspond to complex scalar fields, while the remainder are either right-handed standard model fermion fields or Dirac adjoints of left-handed ones.

	A	s	ϕ	H	\bar{Q}_L^a	\bar{Q}_L^3	d_R^a	d_R^3	u_R^a	u_R^3	\bar{L}^a	\bar{L}^3	e_R^a	e_R^3
$T' \times Z_3$	$\mathbf{1}^{0-}$	$\mathbf{1}^{00}$	$\mathbf{2}^{0+}$	$\mathbf{1}^{00}$	$\mathbf{2}^{0-}$	$\mathbf{1}^{00}$								
$U(1)$	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0

Since the standard model fermions are charged under G_F , the Yukawa couplings, aside from that of the top quark, arise via higher-dimension operators involving the flavon fields. These are suppressed by appropriate powers of the flavor scale M_F , the cut off of the low-energy effective theory. When the flavon fields acquire vevs, these operators depend on the ratios

$$\langle \phi \rangle / M_F \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \langle A \rangle / M_F \equiv \epsilon', \quad \text{and} \quad \langle s \rangle / M_F \equiv \rho. \quad (2.1)$$

After flavor-symmetry breaking, the following Yukawa textures are generated:

$$Y_U \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1\epsilon' & 0 \\ -u_1\epsilon' & u_2\epsilon^2 & u_3\epsilon \\ 0 & u_4\epsilon & u_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$Y_D \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1\epsilon' & 0 \\ -d_1\epsilon' & d_2\epsilon^2 & d_3\epsilon\rho \\ 0 & d_4\epsilon & d_5\rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$Y_E \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_1\epsilon' & 0 \\ -l_1\epsilon' & l_2\epsilon^2 & l_3\epsilon \\ 0 & l_4\epsilon\rho & l_5\rho \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here the u_i , d_i and l_i are (in general complex) $\mathcal{O}(1)$ parameters and only the leading-order expressions are presented. The non-zero entries differ in two ways from the textures of Ref. [11]: the 2-2 entries above are $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$, rather than $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, due to the absence of the T' -triplet flavon. However, the factors of ρ appear in different locations, so that the end results are qualitatively similar. For example, the suppression of the 1-2 block of Y_u in Ref. [11] by an overall factor of ρ is mimicked here by the higher-order 2-2 entry and the proportionally smaller numerical value of ϵ' , as we will see later. We also note that there will be CP violation in the model even if all the operator coefficients defined at the level of the Lagrangian are real, due to imaginary numbers in Clebsch-Gordan coefficients; these would lead, for example, to factors of i in the 2-2 entries of Y_U , Y_D and Y_E . In general, however, all operator coefficients are themselves complex, and the 10 phase degrees of freedom in Y_U and Y_D can be used to obtain the desired CKM phase rather easily. In light of this, and to simplify our subsequent numerical analysis, we have chosen all the operator phases so that the parameters shown in Eqs. (2.2)-(2.4) are real, and omit the CKM phase from our global fit in Sec. II B.

A. The Flavon Potential

In this subsection, we consider the flavon potential, to confirm that the pattern of vevs assumed in Eq. (2.1) can be achieved and to study the spectrum of physical scalar states. We will do this by assuming the desired vev pattern, and imposing the extremization conditions

on the potential to fix some of its otherwise free parameters. We then check the second-derivative matrix of the potential for positive definiteness. To simplify the discussion, we exclude the s field, since it is a trivial singlet under the non-Abelian discrete flavor group and it is straightforward to write down a potential involving s alone that provides for its vev. Including terms that couple s to the other fields, *e.g.*, $|s|^2|\phi^2|$, will not qualitatively change our results providing that their couplings are not too large, which is good enough for a proof of principle. We are particularly interested in accidental global symmetries that arise in the potential as a consequence of the $T' \times Z_3$ discrete symmetry. These lead to pseudo-goldstone bosons whose masses arise via higher-dimension operators. We estimate the masses of these states to confirm that they are not so light that their phenomenological consequences need to be taken into account. In this case, the only light state that will have interesting flavor-changing physics will be a single flavorful axion associated with the s field.

The most general scalar potential for a singlet and a doublet transforming as $A \sim \mathbf{1}^{0-}$, $\phi \sim \mathbf{2}^{0+}$ under $T' \times Z_3$, respectively, is given by

$$V = V_A + V_\phi + V_{A\phi}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$V_A = m_A^2 |A|^2 + \mu (A^3 + A^{*3}) + \lambda_A |A|^4, \quad (2.6)$$

$$V_\phi = m_\phi^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda_\phi |\phi|^4, \quad (2.7)$$

$$V_{A\phi} = \lambda_{A\phi} |A|^2 |\phi|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that this potential has an accidental $U(2)_\phi$ global symmetry as well as an additional $U(1)_A$ symmetry in the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$. We parametrize the fields in terms of their real degrees of freedom

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_1 + iA_2) , \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} + i\phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} + i\phi_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

The Yukawa textures in Eqs. (2.2)-(2.4) are reproduced provided only the following real fields develop vevs:

$$\langle \phi_{11} \rangle / \sqrt{2} = \epsilon M_F \quad \text{and} \quad \langle A_1 \rangle / \sqrt{2} = \epsilon' M_F . \quad (2.11)$$

The location of a local minimum of the potential is determined by six first-derivative equations, corresponding to the six real scalar fields in Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10). However, for the assumed vev pattern, only two of these equations are non-vanishing,

$$\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial A_1} \right|_{\text{vev}} = \sqrt{2}\epsilon' M_F(m_A^2 + 2\epsilon'^2 M_F^2 \lambda_A + \epsilon^2 M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} + 3\epsilon' M_F \mu) = 0 , \quad (2.12)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{11}} \right|_{\text{vev}} = \sqrt{2}\epsilon M_F(m_\phi^2 + \epsilon'^2 M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} + 2\epsilon^2 M_F^2 \lambda_\phi) = 0 , \quad (2.13)$$

were the subscript “vev” indicates that the fields have been set to their vevs, those shown in Eq (2.11) with all others vanishing. For a given choice of the dimensionless couplings, Eqs. (2.12) and (2.13) allow us to determine the mass parameters

$$m_A^2 = -2\epsilon'^2 M_F^2 \lambda_A - \epsilon^2 M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} - 3\epsilon' M_F \mu , \quad (2.14)$$

$$m_\phi^2 = -\epsilon'^2 M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} - 2\epsilon^2 M_F^2 \lambda_\phi . \quad (2.15)$$

To obtain the mass spectrum, we construct the second derivative matrix for the potential in terms of the six real scalar fields, evaluated with the assumed vevs, and with mass parameters fixed by Eqs. (2.14) and (2.15). In the basis $(\phi_{11}, A_1, A_2, \phi_{12}, \phi_{21}, \phi_{22})$ we find

$$m_{\text{scalar}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4\epsilon^2 M_F^2 \lambda_\phi & 2\epsilon\epsilon' M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\epsilon\epsilon' M_F^2 \lambda_{A\phi} & \epsilon' M_F(4\epsilon' M_F \lambda_A + 3\mu) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -9\epsilon' M_F \mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

The three non-vanishing eigenvalues of the mass squared matrix are positive, provided that $\mu < 0$ and (assuming ϵ , ϵ' and λ_ϕ are positive)

$$|\mu| < \frac{\epsilon' M_F}{3\lambda_\phi} (4\lambda_A \lambda_\phi - \lambda_{A\phi}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu| < \frac{4}{3} \frac{M_F}{\epsilon'} (\epsilon^2 \lambda_\phi + \epsilon'^2 \lambda_A) , \quad (2.17)$$

which is easily arranged. The three massless states are expected from Goldstone’s Theorem, since the $U(2)_\phi$ symmetry is spontaneously broken to a residual $U(1)$ symmetry that rotates the second component of the ϕ column vector by a phase. However, these zero eigenvalues are lifted when one takes into account corrections to the potential from higher-dimension

operators that break the accidental $U(2)_\phi$ global symmetry. We find that the lowest-order operators that have this effect occur at dimension 6,

$$V_{hd} = \frac{1}{M_F^2} (c_{61} [(\phi\phi)_{\mathbf{3}}(\phi\phi)_{\mathbf{3}}]_{\mathbf{3}} (\phi\phi)_{\mathbf{3}} + \text{h.c.}) + c_{62} \frac{1}{M_F^2} [(\phi^*\phi^*)_{\mathbf{3}}(\phi\phi)_{\mathbf{3}}]_{\mathbf{3}} (\phi^*\phi)_{\mathbf{3}} + \dots, \quad (2.18)$$

where the subscript indicates the T' representation of the given product, with Clebsch-Gordan factors left implicit. We have studied the eigenvalues of Eq. (2.16) numerically after including the additional potential terms in V_{hd} , and find that all the eigenvalues are positive and non-vanishing; the masses of the three pseudo-goldstone bosons are of order $\epsilon^2 M_F$. We will see later that the numerical values of our symmetry breaking parameters and our extension to the neutrino sector will imply that this scale corresponds to roughly 10^{12} GeV. We therefore do not expect meaningful phenomenological bounds on the three pseudo-goldstone states. We note that there are also dimension-5 operators that one can write down which correct the potential (*e.g.*, $A^3|\phi|^2$ and $A^3|A|^2$) but these do not break the accidental $U(2)_\phi$ symmetry and provide higher-order corrections to the eigenvalues that are already non-vanishing at lowest order.

B. Fit to quarks and charged leptons

In this subsection, we verify that the Yukawa textures in Eqs. (2.2)-(2.4) reproduce the correct masses and mixing angles for the charged fermions, by performing a global fit that takes into account running from a high scale (which we will take to be 4×10^{16} GeV, to be consistent with our later discussion of the neutrino sector) down to the weak scale. This is the same analysis that was performed in Ref. [11] for an arbitrary M_F scale, but is now modified to take into account the textures predicted in the present model. We take the model parameters $\{u_i, d_i, l_i, \epsilon, \epsilon', \rho\}$ to be real as a simplifying assumption since, as discussed earlier, there is no difficulty in accommodating a CKM phase if one allows an arbitrary phase parameter for every operator coefficient. The experimental inputs are the quark and lepton masses and CKM angles, which we associate with the scale m_Z (*i.e.*, we ignore weak scale threshold corrections). We seek solutions in which the order one parameters are in fact not far from one, while predictions for the observables, renormalized at the weak scale, are within two standard deviations of experimental values. Employing the same technique as

Refs. [2] and [11], we construct a function $\tilde{\chi}$ whose minimization achieves this goal:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}^2 = & \sum_{i=1}^9 \left(\frac{m_i^{th} - m_i^{exp}}{\Delta m_i^{exp}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{|V_{us}^{th}| - |V_{us}^{exp}|}{\Delta V_{us}^{exp}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{|V_{ub}^{th}| - |V_{ub}^{exp}|}{\Delta V_{ub}^{exp}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{|V_{cb}^{th}| - |V_{cb}^{exp}|}{\Delta V_{cb}^{exp}} \right)^2 \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\frac{\ln |u_i|}{\ln 3} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\frac{\ln |d_i|}{\ln 3} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\frac{\ln |\ell_i|}{\ln 3} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The first four terms would be present in a conventional chi-squared function, and place weight on how close the theoretical predictions for observables are to experimental observations, relative to the experimental error. The experimental errors are handled as in Refs. [2, 11]: they are inflated to 1% of the central measured value if the error is smaller than this amount. This takes into account theoretical uncertainties (for example, two-loop running effects) that have been omitted. The remaining three terms of Eq. (2.19) place weight on the coefficients having values that are order one, the expectation of naive dimensional analysis. Including these terms is equivalent to assuming that the coefficients are distributed with a log-normal distribution with mean 1 and standard deviation $\sigma = \ln(3)/2$ such that the absolute value of an element drawn from the distribution has a 95% probability to lie in the range [0.3, 3]. There are a total of 12 observables (nine masses and three mixing angles) and, given the stated constraints on the model parameters, the only three genuine free parameters, $\{\epsilon, \epsilon', \rho\}$ ¹. Thus, we expect a good fit if $\tilde{\chi}^2 \approx 9$. The best fit values together with the experimental and theoretical predictions are presented in Table II. We note that our successful results might be anticipated from the qualitatively similar Yukawa textures obtained in U(2) flavor models [15, 20], a further example of the similarities between T' models and U(2) models that was the focus of Refs. [1, 2].

Finally, we note that global symmetries are expected to be broken by quantum gravity effects [21], but we can assume that there is an ultraviolet completion which allows the U(1) symmetry to arise as a consequence of the continuous and discrete gauge symmetries that

¹ It should be stressed that the number of operator coefficients can exceed the number of observables without sacrificing predictivity because we work in an effective field theory framework where these coefficients are not free parameters, but are constrained by the requirement that they remain consistent with naive dimensional analysis. As in the seminal work of Ref. [2], as well as Ref. [11], this is imposed via the terms in the $\tilde{\chi}^2$ function that incorporate a preference for coefficients with magnitudes between 1/3 and 3. This choice eliminates the consideration of unnatural effective theories, including those that are not consistent with a perturbative operator expansion.

are present in a more complete theory. Another concern in the present framework is that the breaking of discrete symmetries can lead to potential domain-wall problems. However, these can be rendered harmless if the domain regions are widely separated due to inflation. We will find later in Sec. III that M_F is constrained to be sufficiently high so that any problems with domain walls may be eliminated via this mechanism.

TABLE II: Fit to the charged fermion masses and mixing angles. All masses are given in GeV. (Note that m_t is the \overline{MS} mass, not the pole mass.) The value of the quantity $\tilde{\chi}^2$ defined in the text is 12.3. Running from the flavor scale M_F down to the Z mass is taken into account, with $M_F = 4 \times 10^{16}$ GeV, (see Sec. IV) chosen for the purpose of illustration.

Best Fit Parameters		
$\epsilon = 2.42 \times 10^{-2}$, $\epsilon' = 9.75 \times 10^{-5}$, $\rho = -1.38 \times 10^{-2}$		
$u_1 = 1.22$	$d_1 = 0.662$	$\ell_1 = 0.612$
$u_2 = -0.671$	$d_2 = 1.29$	$\ell_2 = 0.643$
$u_3 = -2.26$	$d_3 = -1.02$	$\ell_3 = 0.352$
$u_4 = -0.702$	$d_4 = -0.276$	$\ell_4 = 2.40$
$u_5 = 0.384$	$d_5 = 0.376$	$\ell_5 = 0.295$
Observable	Expt. Value from [22]	Fit Value
m_u	$(2.2 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-3}$	2.30×10^{-3}
m_c	1.275 ± 0.03	1.274
m_t	160 ± 4.5	160.0
m_d	$(4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	5.42×10^{-3}
m_s	$(9.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$	9.16×10^{-2}
m_b	4.18 ± 0.035	4.17
m_e	$(5.11 \pm 1\%) \times 10^{-4}$	5.11×10^{-4}
m_μ	$0.106 \pm 1\%$	0.106
m_τ	$1.78 \pm 1\%$	1.78
$ V_{us} $	$0.225 \pm 1\%$	0.223
$ V_{ub} $	$(3.65 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	3.62×10^{-3}
$ V_{cb} $	$(4.21 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-2}$	4.17×10^{-2}

III. THE FLAVORFUL AXION

The model we have presented includes a flavon field s , charged under the $U(1)$ factor of the flavor group, which assures, for example, the correct values of the bottom quark and tau lepton Yukawa couplings. This $U(1)$ also serves as a Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry and its spontaneous breaking leads to a flavorful axion. Only the third generation right-handed down quark and the third generation left-handed lepton doublet have nontrivial charges under the $U(1)$ symmetry (see Table I), but rotation to the mass eigenstate basis will induce axion couplings to fields of the first two generations. The axion is identified via the non-linear representation

$$s = \frac{v_s + \sigma}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ia/v_s} . \quad (3.1)$$

The radial component σ is a heavy degree of freedom and is integrated out of the low-energy effective field theory. The phase field a is the Goldstone boson of the spontaneously broken $U(1) \equiv U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry and is identified with the QCD axion. Non-perturbative QCD effects generate a potential for the axion, with the minimum corresponding to vanishing of the $\bar{\theta}$ parameter of QCD, solving the strong CP problem. For complete reviews on this subject see Refs. [23, 24].

The axiflaviton couplings to fermions originate from the following Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y_a} = - \left[\bar{Q}^i Y_{i3}^d H d_R^3 + \bar{L}^3 Y_{3j}^e H e_R^j \right] \frac{s}{M_F} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (3.2)$$

or more explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y_a} = & - \left[d_3 \bar{Q}^a \epsilon_{ab} \left(\frac{\langle \phi^b \rangle}{M_F} \right) H d_R^3 + d_5 \bar{Q}^3 H d_R^3 \right. \\ & \left. + l_4 \bar{L}^3 \epsilon_{ab} \left(\frac{\langle \phi^b \rangle}{M_F} \right) H e_R^a + l_5 \bar{L}^3 H e_R^3 \right] \frac{v_s e^{ia/v_s}}{\sqrt{2} M_F} + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where ϵ_{ab} , $a, b = 1, 2$ is the Clebsch-Gordan matrix that allows one to combine two $\mathbf{2}^0$ representations of T' into a $\mathbf{1}^0$. Setting the ϕ flavon to its vev, one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y_a} = - \left[-d_3 \epsilon \bar{Q}^2 H d_R^3 + d_5 \bar{Q}^3 H d_R^3 - l_4 \epsilon \bar{L}^3 H e_R^2 + l_5 \bar{L}^3 H e_R^3 \right] \frac{v_s e^{ia/v_s}}{\sqrt{2} M_F} + \text{h.c.} \quad (3.4)$$

Performing the usual non-linear field redefinition of the third generation fermions

$$d_R^3 \rightarrow e^{-ia/v_s} d_R^3, \quad \bar{L}^3 \rightarrow e^{-ia/v_s} \bar{L}^3, \quad (3.5)$$

we remove the axion entirely from the Yukawa sector, but instead induce derivative interactions coming from the original fermion kinetic terms. For the charged fermions, one finds

$$\mathcal{L} \supseteq \frac{\partial_\mu a}{v_s} \left[\bar{d}_i \gamma^\mu (K_d^\dagger)_{i3} (K_d)_{3j} \frac{(1 + \gamma_5)}{2} d_j + \bar{e}_i \gamma^\mu (U_e^\dagger)_{i3} (U_e)_{3j} \frac{(1 - \gamma_5)}{2} e_j \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Here K_d (U_e) is the right-handed (left-handed) rotation that diagonalize the Yukawa interactions, where in our conventions a generic Yukawa matrix Y would be diagonalized by $Y = U_L Y^{diag} U_R^\dagger$. Notice that the axion interactions with the fermion mass eigenstates are in general not diagonal and therefore induce flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) at tree-level. Flavon FCNCs are very well constrained experimentally [25, 26] and we will discuss these constraints in the next subsection. See Refs. [27–29] for other axion models with FCNCs at tree-level.

While our phenomenological bounds will come from the couplings in Eq. (3.6), we give the axion couplings to two gauge fields here for completeness. After the anomalous chiral rotation in Eq. (3.5), the axion reappears in an effective interaction with the gluon field strength and its dual, namely

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \frac{a}{v_s} N_{DW} G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}. \quad (3.7)$$

With the charge assignments of Table I, we obtain the domain-wall number

$$N_{DW} = \left[2 \sum_i X_Q^i - \sum_i X_u^i - \sum_i X_d^i \right] = 1, \quad (3.8)$$

where the X_a represent the U(1) charges for left-handed and the right-handed fermion fields. Since $N_{DW} = 1$, there is one minimum of the axion potential. We identify the axion decay constant as

$$f_a = |v_s/N_{DW}|. \quad (3.9)$$

The PQ charge assignments give rise to $U(1)_Y^2 U(1)_{PQ}$ and $SU(2)^2 U(1)_{PQ}$ anomalies and therefore axion couplings to hypercharge and electroweak gauge bosons are induced, namely

$$\mathcal{L} \supseteq \frac{g'^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{a}{v_s} (2N_B) B_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{a}{v_s} N_W W_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu}. \quad (3.10)$$

Rewriting this piece of the Lagrangian in the gauge boson mass eigenstate basis one obtains the axion couplings to photons

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma a} = \frac{\alpha_{EM}}{8\pi} \frac{a}{v_s} (2N_B + N_W) F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \quad (3.11)$$

where in this model one obtains

$$N_B = 3 \left[2 \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^2 X_Q^i - \sum_i \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 X_u^i - \sum_i \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 X_d^i \right] \quad (3.12)$$

$$+ 2 \sum_i \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 X_L^i - \sum_i (-1)^2 X_e^i = \frac{5}{6}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$N_W = \sum_i X_L^i + 3 \sum_i X_Q^i = 1, \quad (3.14)$$

and thus the ratio of the electromagnetic to color anomalies is

$$\frac{2N_B + N_W}{N_{DW}} = \frac{8}{3}. \quad (3.15)$$

As noted in other flavored axion models that make the same prediction for this ratio [14], this is consistent with the predictions of the simplest DFSZ axion models [23, 24].

A. Constraints from meson decays

As can be seen from the axion couplings to fermions in Eq. (3.6), our model predicts flavor violating processes, *e.g.*, heavy meson decays like $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$. The branching fraction for a generic meson two-body decay $P \rightarrow P' a$ is given by [12]

$$BR(P \rightarrow P' a) = \frac{1}{64\pi\Gamma(P)} \frac{|(K_d)_{i3}^\dagger (K_d)_{3j}|^2}{f_a^2} m_P^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_{P'}^2}{m_P^2} \right)^3 |f_+(0)|^2 \quad (3.16)$$

where $P = (\bar{q}_i q)$, $P' = (\bar{q}_j q)$ and the indices ij denote the constituent quarks. The function $f_+(q^2)$ is the form factor from hadronic physics calculations and $q = q_P - q_{P'}$ is the momentum transfer to the axion; one may take $q^2 \approx 0$ as the axion is very light. The axion mass is the same as a QCD axion, $m_a \approx 6 \times 10^{-6} \cdot (10^{12} \text{ GeV}/f_a)$ eV [12]; we will see that the strongest bounds presented later in this section imply $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV, while the neutrino model discussed in the next section corresponds to $m_a \approx 7 \times 10^{-9}$ eV.

Experimental bounds on different heavy mesons decays are summarized in Ref. [12]. In Table III, we quote the most relevant of these constraints and indicate the relevant experimental references. The precise numerical bounds that follow from the fit presented in Sec. II B are displayed in the last column of this table.

To understand our results qualitatively, it is useful to parameterize the rotation matrices that correspond to the fit in Table II in terms of powers of the Cabibbo angle $\lambda \approx 0.22$. We

find numerically that K_d and U_e have the qualitative form

$$K_d \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^5 \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U_e \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^5 \\ \lambda^2 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda^2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

The relevant combinations that determine the results in Tables III and IV are

$$(K_d^\dagger)_{i3}(K_d)_{3j} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (U_e^\dagger)_{i3}(U_e)_{3j} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^2 & 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda^2 & \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.18)$$

TABLE III: Experimental constraints on the branching fractions of heavy mesons decays (second column), derived bounds on the axion decay constant times flavor rotation matrix elements from Ref. [12] (third column) and lower bound on the axion decay constant using the numerical value of the matrix element from the fit presented in Sec. II B (fourth column).

Decay	Branching Ratio	Bound (f_a/GeV)	Bound from fit
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$	$< 0.73 \times 10^{-10}$ [30]	$> 3.45 \times 10^{11} (K_d^\dagger)_{23}(K_d)_{31} $	$f_a > 6.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$
$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 a$	$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$ [31]	$> 1.35 \times 10^{10} (K_d^\dagger)_{23}(K_d)_{31} $	$f_a > 2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}$
$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm a$	$< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ [32]	$> 5.0 \times 10^7 (K_d^\dagger)_{33}(K_d)_{31} $	$f_a > 7.4 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}$
$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm a$	$< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$ [32]	$> 6.0 \times 10^7 (K_d^\dagger)_{33}(K_d)_{32} $	$f_a > 2.8 \times 10^7 \text{ GeV}$

The strongest bound in this model comes from the heavy meson decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$ giving

$$f_a > 6.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}. \quad (3.19)$$

Given the identification $f_a = |v_s/N_{DW}| = \sqrt{2}|\rho|M_F$, we can translate this to a bound on the flavor scale

$$M_F > 3.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV}. \quad (3.20)$$

Axion mixing with neutral hadronic mesons does not lead to competitive bounds and will not be discussed here. See Ref. [12] for a treatment of these effects.

TABLE IV: Experimental constraints on the branching fractions of lepton decays (second column), derived bounds on the axion decay constant times flavor rotation matrix elements from Ref. [12] (third column) and lower bound on the axion decay constant using the predicted numerical values from out fit (fourth column).

Decay	Branching Ratio	Bound (f_a/GeV)	Bound from fit
$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ a$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ [33]	$> 2.0 \times 10^9 (U_e^\dagger)_{23}(U_e)_{31} $	$f_a > 1.7 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$
$\tau^+ \rightarrow e^+ a$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ [34]	$> 1.3 \times 10^6 (U_e^\dagger)_{33}(U_e)_{31} $	$f_a > 5.3 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV}$
$\tau^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ a$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$ [34]	$> 9.9 \times 10^5 (U_e^\dagger)_{33}(U_e)_{32} $	$f_a > 3.9 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}$

B. Constraints from lepton decays

From the axiflavor couplings in Eq. (3.6) one can also compute the branching fraction for leptonic decays, namely [12]

$$BR(e_i \rightarrow e_j a) = \frac{1}{32\pi\Gamma(e_i)} \frac{m_i^3}{f_a^2} |(U_e^\dagger)_{i3}(U_e)_{3j}|^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_j^2}{m_i^2}\right)^3. \quad (3.21)$$

The most stringent bound comes from the decay $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ a$ giving $f_a > 1.7 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$, which is not competitive with our earlier bound from charged kaon decays, Eq. (3.19).

One can also find bounds from lepton decays with a photon in the final state but it turns out that these are not stronger than the bounds we have already considered.

IV. NEUTRINO SECTOR

In this section, we consider how our model may be extended to explain the observed neutrino masses and mixing angles. In doing so, we face an immediate challenge: how can we explain two large neutrino mixing angles in a theory where symmetry breaking is achieved through two small parameters, ϵ and ϵ' , that are of order 10^{-2} and 10^{-4} , respectively? A similar problem presents itself when one considers the neutrino mass squared differences. The smallness of the overall neutrino mass scale can be explained via the see-saw mechanism; we will implement a type-I see-saw mechanism below, involving three right-handed neutrinos. Choice of the right-handed neutrino mass scale allows us to fix one of the observed neutrino mass squared differences, for example, Δm_{32}^2 ; what is then determined by the symmetry breaking parameters is the ratio $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2$, which is found experimentally to be $33.3 \pm$

1.03 [22], assuming a normal, rather than inverted, neutrino mass hierarchy (which is the case on our model). One would expect that the theoretical prediction for $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2$ is proportional to ratios of powers of ϵ and ϵ' ; if this quantity is not $\mathcal{O}(1)$, then one finds typically that the predicted value is either much too large or too small to account for the experimental value. This is a consequence of the small and distinctly hierarchical values of ϵ and ϵ' . One cannot decouple the problem of the neutrino sector from our results in the charged fermion sector because the left-handed neutrinos must have the same flavor charge assignments as their charged partners within each weak SU(2) doublet. As a consequence, there is a real possibility that the flavor structure of the theory might *only* be consistent with neutrino masses and mixing angles that are strongly hierarchical, which would make our previous findings in the charged fermion sector of dubious value. It is therefore important to demonstrate explicitly that an extension to the neutrino sector (via appropriate charge assignments for right-handed neutrinos) is possible that avoids this problem. We present such a solution in this section.

We note that if the ratio $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2$ is approximately independent of ϵ and ϵ' , then it is a function of the order one coefficients in the theory alone. In this case, a value of 33.3 can be obtained for a rather mundane reason: The see-saw formula tells us that the mass matrix of the light, left-handed neutrino mass eigenstates is given by

$$M_{LL} \approx M_{LR} M_{RR}^{-1} M_{LR}^\dagger , \quad (4.1)$$

which implies that the eigenvalues of M_{LL} will typically be of cubic order in quantities of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, either operator coefficients or their inverse. Here, M_{LR} represents the neutrino Dirac mass matrix, while M_{RR} is the Majorana mass matrix for the right-handed neutrinos. The numerator and denominator of $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2$ then each depend on terms that are of *sixth* order in quantities that are $\mathcal{O}(1)$, with each typically falling somewhere between 1/3 and 3 in absolute value, given our earlier assumptions. Noting that $1.8^6 \approx 34$, one can understand how easy it is to take input matrices with coefficients that are of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and still obtain a mass-squared-difference ratio that is consistent with the experimental value. This observation is relevant to our solution below.

We introduce three right-handed neutrinos that are uncharged under the Peccei-Quinn symmetry and have $T' \times Z_3$ charges

$$\nu_R^1 \sim \mathbf{1}^{0-}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_R^{2,3} \sim \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{00}} . \quad (4.2)$$

The Dirac and Majorana mass matrices have the following $T' \times Z_3 \times U(1)$ transformation properties

$$M_{LR} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \mathbf{2}^{0-} & \mathbf{2}^{0+} & \mathbf{2}^{0+} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}_{+1}^{0+} & \mathbf{1}_{+1}^{00} & \mathbf{1}_{+1}^{00} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{RR} \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \mathbf{1}^{0-} & \mathbf{1}^{0+} & \mathbf{1}^{0+} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}^{0+} & \mathbf{1}^{00} & \mathbf{1}^{00} \\ \hline \mathbf{1}^{0+} & \mathbf{1}^{00} & \mathbf{1}^{00} \end{array} \right) , \quad (4.3)$$

where we have indicated $U(1)$ charges with a subscript. This leads to the textures

$$M_{LR} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \epsilon & b_3 \epsilon \\ b_4 \rho \epsilon' & b_5 \rho & b_6 \rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{RR} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \epsilon' M_F & c_2 \epsilon' M_F & c_3 \epsilon' M_F \\ c_2 \epsilon' M_F & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ c_3 \epsilon' M_F & M_{23} & M_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here the b_i and c_i are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients. Since the elements labelled M_{22} , M_{23} and M_{33} in M_{RR} are each flavor-group invariant, they don't necessarily have to be at the same scale as M_F , or as each other. For the purposes of demonstrating the viability of the neutrino sector, we will take these elements to be at the scale $\epsilon' M_F$, so that M_{RR} takes the form

$$M_{RR} = \epsilon' M_F \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ c_2 & c_4 & c_5 \\ c_3 & c_5 & c_6 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \epsilon' M_F \widetilde{M}_{RR}. \quad (4.5)$$

In other words, with this choice, the right-handed Majorana matrix is a complete arbitrary matrix with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ entries, \widetilde{M}_{RR} , times the scale $\epsilon' M_F$. The Dirac mass matrix also has considerable freedom. Noting that our earlier fits indicated $\rho \approx \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, we can redefine the coefficients b_5 and b_6 , and drop the 13 entry, which is higher order. Then we see that M_{LR} is approximately of the form

$$M_{LR} \approx \frac{v \epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & b_5 & b_6 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{v \epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{Y}_{LR} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4.6)$$

where \widetilde{Y}_{LR} is an arbitrary, two-by-two matrix with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ entries. The 10 free parameters in Eqs. (4.5) and the approximation shown in (4.6) are more than sufficient to obtain the desired values of $\Delta m_{32}^2 / \Delta m_{21}^2$, as well as $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, while maintaining $\mathcal{O}(1)$ operator coefficients. The dependence of the output on products of the coefficients allows numerical values like 33 (the experimental value of $\Delta m_{32}^2 / \Delta m_{21}^2$) or 1/33 (very close to θ_{13}^2)

to arise without fine tunings. We note that the form of Eq. (4.6), with a non-vanishing 1-1 entry, is a consequence of the different charge assignment for the first-generation right-handed neutrino field. This entry of M_{LR} originates from a charge conjugated $\mathbf{2}^{0+}$ flavon; in T' , as in $SU(2)$, $\mathbf{2} \sim i\sigma^2\mathbf{2}^*$, which flips the relative location of the doublet vev in the first two columns of M_{LR} .

TABLE V: Example of a viable parameter choice for the neutrino sector.

Parameters		
$\epsilon = 2.42 \times 10^{-2}$, $\epsilon' = 9.75 \times 10^{-5}$, $\rho = -1.38 \times 10^{-2}$		
$b_1 = 1.66$	$b_2 = 1.07$	$b_3 = 2.10$
$b_4 = 1.11$	$b_5 = -0.891$	$b_6 = 1.61$
$c_1 = 2.91$	$c_2 = 1.04$	$c_3 = 0.662$
$c_4 = 1.21$	$c_5 = 1.37$	$c_6 = 1.44$
Observable	Expt. Value from [22]	Fit Value
$\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{\Delta m_{21}^2}$	33.3 ± 1.03	33.8
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.307 ± 0.013	0.307
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.417 ± 0.025	0.444
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$(2.12 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-2}$	2.11×10^{-2}

An example of a viable parameter set for the neutrino sector is shown in Table V. The neutrino mixing angles are defined via a standard parametrization of the PMNS matrix, which we call U below,

$$U = U_e^\dagger U_\nu, \quad (4.7)$$

where U_e (U_ν) is a unitary matrix that diagonalizes the charged lepton (left-handed Majorana) matrix following our earlier convention, *i.e.*, $M_{LL} = U_\nu M_{LL}^{diag} U_\nu^\dagger$. We can extract the mixing angles via the relations

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = U_{13}^2, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = U_{23}^2 / (1 - U_{13}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = U_{12}^2 / (1 - U_{13}^2). \quad (4.8)$$

For the purpose of illustration, we fix ϵ , ϵ' and ρ , as well as the coefficients l_i appearing in the charged lepton Yukawa matrix, to the values that were obtained in our previous global fit of the charged fermions, Table II. A viable choice of neutrino sector parameters b_i and

c_i is presented in Table V. These were obtained by defining a $\tilde{\chi}_\nu^2$ for the neutrino sector that takes into account the neutrino observables listed in the table and also places weight on the neutrino-sector coefficients being $\mathcal{O}(1)$, in analogy to our approach in the charged fermions. This function can be used to diagnose when a good-enough parameter choice has been obtained.

Since the right-handed neutrino mass scale is set by $\epsilon' M_F$, the neutrino mass squared differences (rather than the ratio) can be used to determine the flavor scale. Using either experimental value [22]

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.51 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \quad (4.9)$$

we find that the solution in Table V corresponds to

$$M_F = 4.6 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV} . \quad (4.10)$$

This is consistent with our axiflavor constraint in Eq. (3.20).

Finally, it is worth pointing out that the predictions of flavor models with small symmetry-breaking parameters in the effective field theory approach come in the form of powers of these small parameters. What is remarkable about the present construction is that we have arranged the final left-handed neutrino mass matrix to be immune from the effects of these small parameters which give us the hierarchies of the charged fermions, even though many of the neutrino fields are nontrivially charged under the flavor group. All that is left are order-one operator coefficients, which may simply be random (in the spirit of neutrino anarchy [35]) or fixed by other physics in the ultraviolet.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied a nonsupersymmetric flavor model based on the double tetrahedral group, T' . Improving on earlier work by Carone, Chaurasia and Vasquez [11], we formulate a simpler model that dispenses with the triplet flavon S and eliminates some small numerical coefficients that were assumed in one version of the model to arise from unspecified physics at higher energy scales. Moreover, by replacing one of the Abelian discrete group factors by a continuous $U(1)$ flavor symmetry, we endow the theory with a flavorful axion that solves the strong CP problem. The flavorful axion decay constant f_a is related to the

flavor scale M_F (the cut off of the effective theory) and falls roughly two orders of magnitude beneath it. We present constraints on f_a coming from FCNC processes and find that the strongest lower bound comes from the process $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$, yielding $f_a > 1.2 \times 10^{11}$ GeV. We show that the Yukawa matrices predicted by the model provide a good fit to the observed charged fermion masses and mixing angles, taking into account the running from the flavor scale down to the weak scale. We then successfully extend the model to the neutrino sector, by introducing three generations of right-handed neutrinos and employing a Type-I see-saw mechanism to explain the smallness of the light neutrino masses. By charging only the first generation right-handed neutrino non-trivially under T' , we show how the mass matrix for the light neutrino mass eigenstates, which must account for two large mixing angles and requires only a modest hierarchy between the neutrino masses, can be predicted by the same theory that yields the strong hierarchies of the charged fermion Yukawa matrices. For the particular extension to the neutrino sector presented here, the flavor scale is roughly five orders of magnitude higher than what is required to satisfy the flavorful axion bounds. This suggests that flavor-changing signals from the flavorful axion will not be easily observable unless additional symmetries are introduced to lower the scale associated with the right-handed neutrinos.

Acknowledgments

We thank Tangereen Claringbold for useful discussions and Shikha Chaurasia for cross checking some of our numerical results. We thank the NSF for support under Grant PHY-1819575.

[1] A. Aranda, C. D. Carone and R. F. Lebed, “U(2) flavor physics without U(2) symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **474**, 170 (2000) [[hep-ph/9910392](#)].

[2] A. Aranda, C. D. Carone and R. F. Lebed, “Maximal neutrino mixing from a minimal flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 016009 (2000) [[hep-ph/0002044](#)].

[3] R. Barbieri, G. R. Dvali and L. J. Hall, “Predictions from a U(2) flavor symmetry in supersymmetric theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **377**, 76 (1996) [[hep-ph/9512388](#)].

[4] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, S. Raby and A. Romanino, “Unified theories with $U(2)$ flavor symmetry,” Nucl. Phys. B **493**, 3 (1997) [hep-ph/9610449].

[5] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, “Simple nonAbelian finite flavor groups and fermion masses,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 4689 (1995) [hep-ph/9409330].

[6] I. Girardi, A. Meroni, S. T. Petcov and M. Spinrath, “Generalised geometrical CP violation in a T' lepton flavour model,” JHEP **1402**, 050 (2014) [arXiv:1312.1966 [hep-ph]]; A. Meroni, S. T. Petcov and M. Spinrath, “A SUSY $SU(5) \times T'$ Unified Model of Flavour with large θ_{13} ,” Phys. Rev. D **86**, 113003 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5241 [hep-ph]]; M. C. Chen, J. Huang, K. T. Mahanthappa and A. M. Wijangco, “Large θ_{13} in a SUSY $SU(5) \times T'$ Model,” JHEP **1310**, 112 (2013) [arXiv:1307.7711 [hep-ph]]; P. H. Frampton, C. M. Ho and T. W. Kephart, “Heterotic discrete flavor model,” Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 2, 027701 (2014) [arXiv:1305.4402 [hep-ph]]; Y. H. Ahn, “Leptons and Quarks from a Discrete Flavor Symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 113011 (2013) [arXiv:1303.4863 [hep-ph]]; A. Meroni, E. Molinaro and S. T. Petcov, “Revisiting Leptogenesis in a SUSY $SU(5) \times T'$ Model of Flavour,” Phys. Lett. B **710**, 435 (2012) [arXiv:1203.4435 [hep-ph]]; D. A. Eby and P. H. Frampton, “Nonzero θ_{13} signals nonmaximal atmospheric neutrino mixing,” Phys. Rev. D **86**, 117304 (2012) [arXiv:1112.2675 [hep-ph]]; D. A. Eby and P. H. Frampton, “Dark Matter from Binary Tetrahedral Flavor Symmetry,” Phys. Lett. B **713**, 249 (2012) [arXiv:1111.4938 [hep-ph]]; D. A. Eby, P. H. Frampton, X. G. He and T. W. Kephart, “Quartification with T' Flavor,” Phys. Rev. D **84**, 037302 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5737 [hep-ph]]; M. C. Chen, K. T. Mahanthappa and F. Yu, “A Viable Randall-Sundrum Model for Quarks and Leptons with T' Family Symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **81**, 036004 (2010) [arXiv:0907.3963 [hep-ph]]; M. C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, “Group Theoretical Origin of CP Violation,” Phys. Lett. B **681**, 444 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1721 [hep-ph]]; P. H. Frampton, T. W. Kephart and S. Matsuzaki, “Simplified Renormalizable T' Model for Tribimaximal Mixing and Cabibbo Angle,” Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073004 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4713 [hep-ph]]; C. Luhn, “Discrete Anomalies of Binary Groups,” Phys. Lett. B **670**, 390 (2009) [arXiv:0807.1749 [hep-ph]]; G. J. Ding, “Fermion Mass Hierarchies and Flavor Mixing from T' Symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **78**, 036011 (2008) [arXiv:0803.2278 [hep-ph]]; S. Sen, “Quark masses in supersymmetric $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_W \times U(1)_X$ model with discrete T' flavor symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 115020 (2007) [arXiv:0710.2734 [hep-ph]]; A. Aranda, “Neutrino mixing from the double tetrahedral group T' ,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 111301 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3661 [hep-ph]].

ph]]; P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, “Flavor Symmetry for Quarks and Leptons,” *JHEP* **0709**, 110 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1186 [hep-ph]]; M. C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, “CKM and Tri-bimaximal MNS Matrices in a $SU(5) \times {}^{(d)}T$ Model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **652**, 34 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0714 [hep-ph]]; F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin and L. Merlo, “Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing and Quark Masses from a Discrete Flavour Symmetry,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **775**, 120 (2007) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **836**, 127 (2010)] [hep-ph/0702194];

[7] S. Abel, K. R. Dienes and E. Mavroudi, “Towards a nonsupersymmetric string phenomenology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 12, 126014 (2015) [arXiv:1502.03087 [hep-th]].

[8] P. W. Graham, D. E. Kaplan and S. Rajendran, “Cosmological Relaxation of the Electroweak Scale,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, no. 22, 221801 (2015) [arXiv:1504.07551 [hep-ph]].

[9] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. Cohen, R. T. D’Agnolo, A. Hook, H. D. Kim and D. Pinner, “Solving the Hierarchy Problem at Reheating with a Large Number of Degrees of Freedom,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no. 25, 251801 (2016) [arXiv:1607.06821 [hep-ph]].

[10] For example, S. Weinberg, “Anthropic Bound on the Cosmological Constant,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2607 (1987). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2607

[11] C. D. Carone, S. Chaurasia and S. Vasquez, “Flavor from the double tetrahedral group without supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no. 1, 015025 (2017) [arXiv:1611.00784 [hep-ph]].

[12] F. Bjrkereth, E. J. Chun and S. F. King, “Flavourful Axion Phenomenology,” *JHEP* **1808**, 117 (2018) [arXiv:1806.00660 [hep-ph]].

[13] Y. Ema, K. Hamaguchi, T. Moroi and K. Nakayama, “Flaxion: a minimal extension to solve puzzles in the standard model,” *JHEP* **1701**, 096 (2017) [arXiv:1612.05492 [hep-ph]].

[14] L. Calibbi, F. Goertz, D. Redigolo, R. Ziegler and J. Zupan, “Minimal axion model from flavor,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no. 9, 095009 (2017) [arXiv:1612.08040 [hep-ph]].

[15] M. Linster and R. Ziegler, “A Realistic $U(2)$ Model of Flavor,” *JHEP* **1808**, 058 (2018) [arXiv:1805.07341 [hep-ph]].

[16] F. Arias-Aragon and L. Merlo, “The Minimal Flavour Violating Axion,” *JHEP* **1710**, 168 (2017) [arXiv:1709.07039 [hep-ph]].

[17] F. Wilczek, “Axions and Family Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 1549 (1982).

[18] Z. G. Berezhiani and M. Y. Khlopov, “Cosmology of Spontaneously Broken Gauge Family Symmetry,” *Z. Phys. C* **49**, 73 (1991); C. Q. Geng and J. N. Ng, “Flavor Connections and Neutrino Mass Hierarchy Invariant Invisible Axion Models Without Domain Wall Problem,”

Phys. Rev. D **39**, 1449 (1989); K. S. Babu and S. M. Barr, “Family symmetry, gravity, and the strong CP problem,” Phys. Lett. B **300**, 367 (1993) [hep-ph/9212219]; C. S. Fong and E. Nardi, “Spontaneous Breaking of Flavor Symmetry Avoids the Strong CP Problem,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 6, 061601 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1627 [hep-ph]]; M. E. Albrecht, T. Feldmann and T. Mannel, “Goldstone Bosons in Effective Theories with Spontaneously Broken Flavour Symmetry,” JHEP **1010**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4798 [hep-ph]]; Y. H. Ahn, “Flavored Peccei-Quinn symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **91**, 056005 (2015) [arXiv:1410.1634 [hep-ph]].

[19] Y. H. Ahn, “Compact model for Quarks and Leptons via flavored-Axions,” Phys. Rev. D **98**, no. 3, 035047 (2018) [arXiv:1804.06988 [hep-ph]].

[20] A. Falkowski, M. Nardecchia and R. Ziegler, “Lepton Flavor Non-Universality in B-meson Decays from a U(2) Flavor Model,” JHEP **1511**, 173 (2015) [arXiv:1509.01249 [hep-ph]].

[21] L. M. Krauss and F. Wilczek, “Discrete Gauge Symmetry in Continuum Theories,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1221 (1989).

[22] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “Review of Particle Physics,” Phys. Rev. D **98**, no. 3, 030001 (2018).

[23] J. E. Kim, “Light Pseudoscalars, Particle Physics and Cosmology,” Phys. Rept. **150**, 1 (1987).

[24] D. J. E. Marsh, “Axion Cosmology,” Phys. Rept. **643**, 1 (2016) [arXiv:1510.07633 [astro-ph.CO]].

[25] J. L. Feng, T. Moroi, H. Murayama and E. Schnapka, “Third generation familons, b factories, and neutrino cosmology,” Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5875 (1998) [hep-ph/9709411].

[26] M. Bauer, T. Schell and T. Plehn, “Hunting the Flavon,” Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 056003 (2016) [arXiv:1603.06950 [hep-ph]].

[27] A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin and H. Serodio, “An invisible axion model with controlled FCNCs at tree level,” Phys. Lett. B **741**, 117 (2015) [arXiv:1410.6217 [hep-ph]].

[28] M. E. Albrecht, T. Feldmann and T. Mannel, “Goldstone Bosons in Effective Theories with Spontaneously Broken Flavour Symmetry,” JHEP **1010**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4798 [hep-ph]].

[29] A. Davidson and M. A. H. Vozmediano, “The Horizontal Axion Alternative: The Interplay of Vacuum Structure and Flavor Interactions,” Nucl. Phys. B **248**, 647 (1984).

[30] S. Adler *et al.* [E949 and E787 Collaborations], “Measurement of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$ branching ratio,” Phys. Rev. D **77**, 052003 (2008) [arXiv:0709.1000 [hep-ex]].

- [31] J. K. Ahn *et al.* [KOTO Collaboration], “A new search for the $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 X^0$ decays,” *PTEP* **2017**, no. 2, 021C01 (2017) [arXiv:1609.03637 [hep-ex]].
- [32] R. Ammar *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], “Search for the familon via $B^{+-} \rightarrow \pi^\pm X^0$, $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X^0$, and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 X^0$ decays,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 271801 (2001) [hep-ex/0106038].
- [33] R. Bayes *et al.* [TWIST Collaboration], “Search for two body muon decay signals,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 5, 052020 (2015) [arXiv:1409.0638 [hep-ex]].
- [34] H. Albrecht *et al.* [ARGUS Collaboration], “A Search for lepton flavor violating decays $\tau \rightarrow e \alpha, \tau \rightarrow \mu \alpha$,” *Z. Phys. C* **68**, 25 (1995).
- [35] L. J. Hall, H. Murayama and N. Weiner, “Neutrino mass anarchy,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2572 (2000) [hep-ph/9911341].