

Holographic surface defects in $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity

Michael Gutperle and Matteo Vicino

*Mani L. Bhaumik Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA*



(Received 18 December 2019; accepted 3 March 2020; published 19 March 2020)

Solutions describing holographic surface defects in $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity theories are constructed. It is shown that a surface defect solution in pure Romans's gauged supergravity is singular. Adding a single vector multiplet allows for the construction of a nonsingular solution. The on-shell action and one point functions of operators in the presence of the defect are computed using holographic renormalization.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.101.066016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.066016)

I. INTRODUCTION

Holography is a powerful tool for studying quantum field theories. Using holography, extended defect operators such as Wilson lines, surface operators, and domain walls can be studied. In theories with holographic duals, there are two methods leading to the construction of the duals of p -dimensional defect operators in d -dimensional conformal field theory (CFT). First, one can consider probe branes embedded in an AdS_{p+1} slice of AdS_{d+1} and in some cases wrapping some other manifold. When the number of probe branes is small, the backreaction can be neglected and the probe brane provides a good description of the defect in the dual gauge theory [1,2]. A defect will preserve some supersymmetry if a κ -symmetry projector for the probe exists in the AdS background [3].

The second method involves searching for supergravity solutions that are warped products of an AdS_{p+1} factor (together with other spaces such as spheres) over base spaces such as a Riemann manifold with boundary. The solutions, which are often called Janus solutions [4], are locally asymptotic to AdS_{d+1} and describe a backreacted geometry dual to a defect. Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) solutions are obtained by imposing the vanishing of the fermionic supersymmetry transformations in a bosonic background. These BPS equations are generally easier to solve than the equations of motion. Some examples of such solutions are Janus domain wall solutions [5,6], Wilson lines [7], and surface operators [8] in type IIB supergravity and Janus solutions in M-theory [9,10].¹

¹See [11–15] for earlier work on holographic defect solutions.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

The solutions listed above preserve 16 of the original 32 supersymmetries and the large amount of supersymmetries allows for the construction of large families of exact solutions. The possibility of finding holographic duals of defect operators in supergravity backgrounds which are dual to less supersymmetric theories is an interesting question. There are large classes of $d = 4$, $N = 2$ SCFTs and several constructions of holographic duals (see e.g., [8,16,17]). These supergravity solutions are considerably more complicated than the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ dual of $N = 4$ SYM. Consequently, the construction of holographic duals for defects in $N = 2$ SCFTs in type II or M-theory is challenging. Instead of considering the full 10- or 11-dimensional theory, it is simpler to consider a lower dimensional gauged supergravity and construct defect solutions there. In special cases, lower dimensional supergravities are consistent truncations and solutions can be uplifted to the full 10- or 11-dimensional theory. Even if this is not the case, the lower dimensional theories are still useful for studying general aspects of the defect solutions and may reveal clues for how to construct defect solutions in the full theory.

$N = 4$ gauged supergravities in five dimensions have 16 supersymmetries and their AdS_5 vacua can be used to describe four-dimensional $N = 2$ SCFTs. The pure gauged supergravity was constructed in [18,19], whereas the addition of matter multiplets and general gaugings were constructed in [20,21]. The AdS_5 vacua and moduli spaces for these theories were analyzed in [22]. Some recent papers studying solutions in these theories can be found in [23–27].

In the present paper, we study $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity solutions which are dual to surface defects in the $N = 2$ SCFTs. The structure of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we briefly review the pure $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity of Romans. We consider an ansatz for the defect solution of the form $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$ warped over an interval. Such an ansatz can be related to a charged black

hole by double analytic continuation and it is shown that there is no global regular solution for the defect as a conical deficit or excess in either the bulk or boundary cannot be removed. In Sec. III, we review the matter coupled theory and its gaugings, and show that completely regular solutions can be constructed for this theory. In Sec. IV, we utilize these solutions to calculate holographic observables, namely the one point functions of operators in the presence of the defect as well as the on-shell supergravity action which is related to the free energy in the presence of the defect. We discuss the results and some directions for future research in Sec. V. In Appendix A, we present details of the spin connection and the form of supersymmetry transformations used in the main part of the paper. We also show that the solution in Sec. IV preserves 8 of the 16 supersymmetries. In Appendix B, we present a solution corresponding to a line defect in the Euclidean $N = 4$ gauged supergravity.

II. ROMANS'S GAUGED $N=4$ SUPERGRAVITY

The field content of Romans's gauged supergravity [18,19] is given by the $N = 4$ gauged supergravity multiplet

$$(e_\mu{}^r, \psi_{\mu a}, a_\mu, A_\mu^I, B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \chi_a, \phi) \quad (2.1)$$

which contains the graviton $e_\mu{}^r$, four gravitini $\psi_{\mu a}$, a $U(1)$ gauge field a_μ , an $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills gauge field A_μ^I , two antisymmetric tensor fields $B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$, four spin 1/2 fermions χ_a , and a single scalar ϕ . In the above, indices $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are $\text{spin}(5) \cong USp(4)$ indices, $I, J, K = 1, 2, 3$ are $SU(2)$ adjoint indices, and $\alpha, \beta = 4, 5$ are $SO(2) \cong U(1)$ indices. All fermionic fields satisfy the symplectic Majorana condition. We review our conventions in Appendix A. In the pure Romans's theory, a mostly minus signature is used. Starting in Sec. III, a mostly plus signature is utilized to agree with the conventions of [20].

The bosonic Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}R - \frac{1}{4}\xi^{-4}f^{\mu\nu}f_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\xi^2(F^{\mu\nu I}F_{\mu\nu}^I + B^{\mu\nu\alpha}B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{4}e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}\left(\frac{1}{g_1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha D_\rho B_{\sigma\tau}^\beta \right. \\ & \left. - F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^I a_\tau\right) + V(\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where the field strengths and scalar potential take the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu, \\ F_{\mu\nu}^I &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^I - \partial_\nu A_\mu^I + g_2\epsilon^{IJK}A_\mu^J A_\nu^K, \\ V &= \frac{g_2}{8}(g_2\xi^{-2} + 2\sqrt{2}g_1\xi), \\ \xi &= \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This Lagrangian (2.2) leads to the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{4}{3}V(\phi)g_{\mu\nu} + \xi^{-4}\left(2f_{\mu\rho}f_{\nu}{}^\rho - \frac{1}{3}g_{\mu\nu}f_{\rho\sigma}f^{\rho\sigma}\right) \\ + \xi^2\left(2F_{\mu\rho}^I F_{\nu}^{I\rho} + 2B_{\mu\rho}^\alpha B_{\nu}^{\alpha\rho} - \frac{1}{3}g_{\mu\nu}(F_{\rho\sigma}^I F^{I\rho\sigma} + B_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha B^{\rho\sigma\alpha})\right) = 0 \\ -\square\phi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\xi^{-4}f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{I\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha B^{\mu\nu\alpha}) = 0, \\ D_\nu(\xi^{-4}f^{\nu\mu}) - \frac{1}{4}e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}(F_{\nu\rho}^I F_{\sigma\tau}^I + B_{\nu\rho}^\alpha B_{\sigma\tau}^\alpha) = 0, \\ D_\nu(\xi^2 F^{\nu\mu I}) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}F_{\nu\rho}^I f_{\sigma\tau} = 0, \\ e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{D}_\rho B_{\sigma\tau}^\beta - g_1\xi^2 B^{\alpha\mu\nu} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the covariant derivative acting on a vector representation is

$$D_\mu V^{I\alpha} = \nabla_\mu V^{I\alpha} + g_1 a_\mu \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} V^{I\beta} + g_2 \epsilon^{IJK} A_\mu^J V^{K\alpha}. \quad (2.5)$$

The supersymmetry transformation of the fermions are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_{\mu a} &= D_\mu \epsilon_a + \gamma_\mu T_{ab}\epsilon^b - \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}(\gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu^\nu\gamma^\rho) \\ &\quad \times \left(H_{\nu\rho ab} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h_{\nu\rho ab}\right)\epsilon^b, \\ \delta\chi_a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\phi\epsilon_a + A_{ab}\epsilon^b \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\gamma^{\mu\nu}(H_{\mu\nu ab} - \sqrt{2}h_{\mu\nu ab})\epsilon^b, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the action of the covariant derivative on a spinor is

$$D_\mu \epsilon_a = \nabla_\mu \epsilon_a + \frac{1}{2}g_1 a_\mu (\Gamma_{45})_a{}^b \epsilon_b + \frac{1}{2}g_2 A_\mu^I (\Gamma_{I45})_a{}^b \epsilon_b \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mu\nu}^{ab} &= \xi(F_{\mu\nu}^I(\Gamma_I)^{ab} + B_{\mu\nu}^\alpha(\Gamma_\alpha)^{ab}), \\ h_{\mu\nu}^{ab} &= \xi^{-2}\Omega^{ab}f_{\mu\nu}, \\ T^{ab} &= \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_2\xi^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}g_1\xi^2\right)(\Gamma_{45})^{ab}, \\ A^{ab} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_2\xi^{-1} - g_1\xi^2\right)(\Gamma_{45})^{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The matrices Γ_i satisfy the $D = 5$ Euclidean Clifford algebra

$$(\Gamma_i)_a{}^b(\Gamma_j)_b{}^c + (\Gamma_j)_a{}^b(\Gamma_i)_b{}^c = 2\delta_{ij}\delta_a^c \quad (2.9)$$

and the charge conjugation matrix $\Omega^{ab} = -\Omega^{ba}$ can be used to raise or lower spinor indices

$$\varepsilon^a = \Omega^{ab} \varepsilon_b, \quad \varepsilon_a = \Omega_{ab} \varepsilon^b \quad (2.10)$$

so that $\Omega_{ab}\Omega^{bc} = \delta_a^c$ for consistency. Γ_5 is chosen such that $(\Gamma_{12345})_a^b = \delta_a^b$. As discussed in [18], different choices of the parameters g_1 and g_2 correspond to different gauged supergravities. For the choice $g_2 = \sqrt{2}g_1 = 2\sqrt{2}$, the theory has an anti-de Sitter vacuum with radius of curvature $L_{\text{AdS}} = 1$ and preserves 16 supersymmetries. These values of the couplings are used in what follows. The bosonic and fermionic supersymmetries combine into the superalgebra $SU(2, 2|2)$ which is also the superconformal algebra of $d = 4$, $N = 2$ SCFTs.

A. Half-BPS surface defect in Romans's theory

The superalgebra $SU(2, 2|2)$ contains a superalgebra $SU(1, 1|1) \times SU(1, 1|1) \times U(1)$, which has eight odd generators and an even $SO(2, 1) \times SO(2, 1) \times U(1)^3 \cong SO(2, 2) \times U(1)^3$ subalgebra. Such an unbroken superalgebra corresponds to half-BPS superconformal surface operators in $N = 2$, $d = 4$ SCFTs [28]. The even part of the subgroup can be realized holographically by the ansatz

$$ds^2 = f_1(r)^2 ds_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 - f_2(r)^2 d\theta^2 - f_3(r)^2 dr^2, \quad A^I = \delta^{I3} A(r) d\theta. \quad (2.11)$$

A solution of this form can be generated by performing a double Wick rotation of the BPS black hole solution [29,30] used in [31] to calculate super-Renyi entropies. The half BPS-solution to the equations of motion is then given by

$$ds^2 = r^2 H(r)^{2/3} (\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 - d\rho^2 - \sinh^2 \rho d\varphi^2) - \frac{f(r)}{H(r)^{4/3}} d\theta^2 - \frac{H(r)^{2/3}}{f(r)} dr^2, \quad H = 1 + \frac{q}{r^2}, \quad f = r^2 H^2 - 1, \quad \xi = H^{1/3}, \quad A^I = \delta^{I3} \left(\mu - \frac{q}{\sqrt{2}(r^2 + q)} \right) d\theta. \quad (2.12)$$

This solution preserves 8 of the original 16 supersymmetries of the AdS_5 vacuum of Romans's theory and is a special case of the matter coupled solution that is presented in the following section. The number of supersymmetries and the verification of the equations of motion follow from the more general case considered there.

The minimal value of the radial coordinate r_0 is determined by the largest root of $f(r)$ which previously corresponded to the outer horizon of the BPS black hole. Expanding about the origin r_0 leads to

$$ds^2 \sim d\tilde{r}^2 + (1 - 4q)\tilde{r}^2 d\theta^2, \quad \tilde{r} = r - r_0 = r - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4q} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

so that the absence of a conical singularity in the bulk requires $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi/\sqrt{1 - 4q}$. The boundary metric is conformal to flat space

$$ds_{\partial}^2 = \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 - d\rho^2 - \sinh^2 \rho d\varphi^2 - d\theta^2 = ds_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 - d\theta^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that for the boundary metric (2.14) is regular for any periodicity of θ . However after conformally mapping $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$ to $R^{1,3}$ a conical deficit is present at the location of the surface defect, unless we require the identification $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$. Consequently, a nonvacuum solution describing a surface defect in $R^{1,3}$ with $q \neq 0$ will have angular deficit or excess in either the bulk or the boundary. It is possible to remove the conical singularity in both the bulk or boundary by coupling vector multiplets as we will show in the next section.

III. MATTER COUPLED THEORY

It is possible to add matter multiplets to the pure Romans's theory. The $N = 4$ vector multiplet

$$(A_\mu, \lambda_i, \phi^m) \quad (3.1)$$

contains a vector field A_μ , four fermions λ_i , and five scalars ϕ^m . The indices $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $m = 1, \dots, 5$ are $USp(4)$ and $SO(5)$ indices, respectively. The matter couplings and gaugings are completely determined in terms of embedding tensors ξ_{MN} and f_{MNP} [20,21]. The supersymmetric vacua of such theories were investigated in [22].

These embedding tensors satisfy the quadratic constraints

$$f_{R[MN} f_{PQ]}^R = 0, \quad \xi_M^Q f_{QNP} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and determine the gauging of the R-symmetry. It is convenient to introduce a composite index $\mathcal{M} = \{0, M\}$ such that the covariant derivative acting on a vector representation is given by

$$D_\mu V^\mathcal{M} = \nabla_\mu V^\mathcal{M} + g A_\mu^N X_{N\mathcal{P}}^\mathcal{M} V^\mathcal{P}, \quad X_{MN}^P = -f_{MNP}, \quad X_{0M}^N = -\xi_M^N. \quad (3.3)$$

The coupling of n vector multiplets is described by a coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ of $SO(5, n)/SO(5) \times SO(n)$. The coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ decomposes as

$$\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_M^m, \mathcal{V}_m^a) \quad (3.4)$$

where $m = 1, \dots, 5$ and $a = 1, \dots, n$ are $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$ indices, respectively. As an element of $SO(5, n)$, $\mathcal{V}$ must satisfy

$$\eta_{MN} = \mathcal{V}_M^P \eta_{PQ} \mathcal{V}_N^Q = -\mathcal{V}_M^m \mathcal{V}_N^m + \mathcal{V}_M^a \mathcal{V}_N^a \quad (3.5)$$

where $\eta_{MN} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, +1, \dots, +1)$. The scalar kinetic terms are expressed in terms of the matrix

$$M_{MN} = \mathcal{V}_M^m \mathcal{V}_N^m + \mathcal{V}_M^a \mathcal{V}_N^a \quad (3.6)$$

and the bosonic Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{4} \Sigma^2 M_{MN} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^M \mathcal{H}^{N\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \Sigma^{-4} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^0 \mathcal{H}^{0\mu\nu} \\ & - \frac{3}{2} \Sigma^2 (\partial_\mu \Sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{16} (D_\mu M_{MN}) (D^\mu M^{MN}) \\ & - g^2 V + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$ is a topological term. The covariant field strengths are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^M &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^M - \partial_\nu A_\mu^M + g X_{N^P}^M A_\mu^N A_\nu^P + g Z^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} B_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}, \\ Z^{MN} &= \frac{1}{2} \xi^{MN} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $B_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$ are two-form fields that are introduced in the process of gauging the theory. The scalar potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 + V_2 + V_3, \\ V_1 &= \frac{1}{4} f_{MNP} f_{QRS} \Sigma^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{12} M^{MQ} M^{NR} M^{PS} - \frac{1}{4} M^{MQ} \eta^{NR} \eta^{PS} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6} \eta^{MQ} \eta^{NR} \eta^{PS} \right), \\ V_2 &= \frac{1}{16} \xi_{MN} \xi_{PQ} \Sigma^4 (M^{MP} M^{NQ} - \eta^{MP} \eta^{NQ}), \\ V_3 &= \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} f_{MNP} \xi_{QR} \Sigma M^{MNPQR} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

with the completely antisymmetric matrix M_{MNPQR} taking the form

$$M_{MNPQR} = \varepsilon_{mnpq} \mathcal{V}_M^m \mathcal{V}_N^n \mathcal{V}_P^o \mathcal{V}_Q^p \mathcal{V}_R^q. \quad (3.10)$$

The $SO(5)$ index M of $\mathcal{V}_M$ can be converted to a pair of antisymmetric $USp(4)$ indices ij through the formulas

$$\mathcal{V}_M^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_M^m \Gamma_m^{ij}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{ij}^M = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_m^M \Gamma_m^{kl} \Omega_{ki} \Omega_{lj} \quad (3.11)$$

with a sum over m . The matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{ij} &= \sqrt{2} \Sigma^2 \Omega_{kl} \mathcal{V}_M^{ik} \mathcal{V}_N^{jl} \xi^{MN}, \\ \zeta^{aij} &= \Sigma^2 \mathcal{V}_M^a \mathcal{V}_N^{ij} \xi^{MN}, \\ \rho^{ij} &= -\frac{2}{3} \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{V}_M^{ik} \mathcal{V}_N^{jl} \mathcal{V}_P^{kl} f^{MN}{}_P, \\ \rho^{aij} &= \sqrt{2} \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_{kl} \mathcal{V}_M^a \mathcal{V}_N^{ik} \mathcal{V}_P^{jl} f^{MNP} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

appear in the fermion shift matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (-\zeta^{ij} + 2\rho^{ij}), \\ A_2^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\zeta^{ij} + \rho^{ij}), \\ A_2^{aij} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\zeta^{aij} + \rho^{aij}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

A minus sign has been inserted into A_2^{ij} relative to [21] to match the BPS equations of Romans's supergravity in a mostly plus signature as in [20]. The BPS equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_{\mu i} &= D_\mu \varepsilon_i - \frac{i}{6} \left(\Omega_{ij} \Sigma \mathcal{V}_M^{ik} \mathcal{H}_{\nu\rho}^M - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \delta_i^k \Sigma^{-2} \mathcal{H}_{\nu\rho}^0 \right) \\ &\quad \times (\gamma_\mu^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu^\nu \gamma^\rho) \varepsilon_k + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{6}} \Omega_{ij} A_1^{jk} \gamma_\mu \varepsilon_k, \\ \delta\chi_i &= -i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\Sigma^{-1} \partial_\mu \Sigma) \gamma^\mu \varepsilon_i \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left(\Sigma \Omega_{ij} \mathcal{V}_M^{jk} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^M + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{-2} \delta_i^k \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^0 \right) \gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_k \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} g \Omega_{ij} A_2^{kj} \varepsilon_k, \\ \delta\lambda_i^a &= i \Omega^{jk} (\mathcal{V}_M^a D_\mu \mathcal{V}_{ij}^M) \gamma^\mu \varepsilon_k - \frac{1}{4} \Sigma \mathcal{V}_M^a \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^M \gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_i \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} g \Omega_{ij} A_2^{kj} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

with the action of the covariant derivative on a spinor given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \varepsilon_i &= \nabla_\mu \varepsilon_i - \mathcal{V}_{ik}^M \partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_M^{kj} \varepsilon_j - g A_\mu^0 \xi^{MN} \mathcal{V}_{Mik} \mathcal{V}_N^{kj} \varepsilon_j \\ &\quad + g A_\mu^M f_{MNP} \mathcal{V}_{ik}^N \mathcal{V}^{Pkj} \varepsilon_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

A. Half-BPS surface defect in the matter coupled theory

The gauging corresponding to Romans's supergravity with $L_{\text{AdS}} = 1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{MNP} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon_{MNP} \quad M, N, P \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ \xi_{MN} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\delta_M^4 \delta_N^5 - \delta_N^4 \delta_M^5), \\ \xi_M &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

As shown in [22], a fully supersymmetric AdS_5 vacuum requires $\xi_M = 0$. We will couple one vector multiplet and choose the coset element

$$\mathcal{V} = \exp(\phi_3 Y_3) \quad (3.17)$$

with the noncompact generator $(Y_3)_{mn} = \delta_{3m}\delta_{6n} + \delta_{3n}\delta_{6m}$. The scalar ϕ_3 is a singlet under gauge transformations generated by $\sigma_3 \in su(2)$. The theory can be truncated to $\Sigma, \phi_3, A_\mu^3, A_\mu^6, g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{4}\Sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi_3}(F_{\mu\nu}^3 + F_{\mu\nu}^6)^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi_3}(F_{\mu\nu}^3 - F_{\mu\nu}^6)^2 \right] \\ & - \frac{3}{2}\Sigma^{-2}(\partial_\mu \Sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi_3)^2 + 2(\Sigma^{-2} + \Sigma(e^{\phi_3} + e^{-\phi_3})) \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where $A_\mu^6 = A_\mu$ is the vector from the vector multiplet. For $\phi_3 = A_\mu^6 = 0$, we recover Romans's theory with the gauge field A_μ^3 rescaled. The STU model [29] can be embedded into the matter coupled theory with the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{\Sigma} e^{-\phi_3}, \\ U &= \frac{1}{\Sigma} e^{\phi_3}, \\ F_{\mu\nu} &= F_{\mu\nu}^3 + F_{\mu\nu}^6, \\ G_{\mu\nu} &= F_{\mu\nu}^3 - F_{\mu\nu}^6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The third vector field comprising the STU model is a_μ from Sec. II. The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2(e^{2\phi_3}F_\mu^\alpha F_{\alpha\nu} + e^{-2\phi_3}G_\mu^\alpha G_{\alpha\nu}) - 3\Sigma^{-2}\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial_\nu \Sigma - \partial_\mu \phi_3 \partial_\nu \phi_3 \\ + g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{12}\Sigma^2(e^{2\phi_3}F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} + e^{-2\phi_3}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{4}{3}(\Sigma^{-2} + \Sigma(e^{\phi_3} + e^{-\phi_3})) \right) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\Sigma^{-2}\partial^\mu \Sigma) + \Sigma^{-3}(\partial_\mu \Sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{12}\Sigma(e^{2\phi_3}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2\phi_3}G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{2}{3}(e^{\phi_3} + e^{-\phi_3} - 2\Sigma^{-3}) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\partial^\mu \phi_3) - \frac{1}{4}\Sigma^2(e^{2\phi_3}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - e^{-2\phi_3}G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}) + 2\Sigma(e^{\phi_3} - e^{-\phi_3}) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\Sigma^2 e^{2\phi_3}F^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\Sigma^2 e^{-2\phi_3}G^{\mu\nu}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

It is straightforward to verify that the equations are solved by the double Wick rotated two charge solution of [29]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= r^2(H_1 H_2)^{1/3}(-\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\varphi^2) + \frac{f}{(H_1 H_2)^{2/3}} d\theta^2 + \frac{(H_1 H_2)^{1/3}}{f} dr^2, \\ H_1 &= 1 + \frac{Q}{r^2}, \quad H_2 = 1 + \frac{q}{r^2}, \quad f = r^2 H_1 H_2 - 1, \\ \Sigma &= (H_1 H_2)^{1/6}, \quad e^{2\phi_3} = \frac{H_1}{H_2}, \\ A^3 + A^6 &= \left(\mu_3 + \mu_6 - \frac{Q}{r^2 + Q} \right) d\theta, \quad A^3 - A^6 = \left(\mu_3 - \mu_6 - \frac{q}{r^2 + q} \right) d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where μ_3 and μ_6 are the chemical potentials for A^3 and A^6 , respectively. For $Q = q$ and $\mu_6 = 0$, this solution (3.21) reduces to that of the previous section (2.12) upon identifying $A_{\text{new}} = \sqrt{2}A_{\text{old}}$. As before, the spacetime closes at the largest root r_0 of $f(r)$ which is now given by

$$r_0^2 = \frac{1 - q - Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + (Q - q)^2 - 2(Q + q)}. \quad (3.22)$$

After expanding the bulk metric about r_0 , the absence of an angular deficit or excess in both the bulk metric and the boundary metric requires

$$(Q - q)^2 = 2(Q + q). \quad (3.23)$$

It is convenient to redefine the integration constants q and Q as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= q_1 + q_2, \\ q &= q_1 - q_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

so that regularity at the origin requires $q_1 = q_2^2$ and the spacetime closes at $r_0^2 = 1 - q_2^2$. The spacetime develops a singularity at $r = 0$, but this value will be excluded from the physical range of the radial coordinate for $q_2^2 \leq 1$.

In the solution (3.21), both scalars have a nontrivial profile. The dilaton Σ is regular at the origin, but the additional scalar ϕ_3 contains a kink

$$\Sigma'(r_0) = 0, \quad \phi_3'(r_0) \neq 0. \quad (3.25)$$

For generic chemical potentials, the gauge fields have a nonzero holonomy around $r = r_0$. We show in Appendix B that the bosonic background (3.21) preserves 8 of the 16 supersymmetries of the gauged supergravity.

IV. HOLOGRAPHIC OBSERVABLES

In this section, we use holographic renormalization [32,33] to calculate some holographic observables, namely the free energy and vacuum expectation values of operators in the presence of a surface defect.

A. Free energy

Using the equations of motion, the on-shell action takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{bulk}} = & - \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{12} \Sigma^2 (e^{2\phi_3} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2\phi_3} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{3} (\Sigma^{-2} + \Sigma(e^{\phi_3} + e^{-\phi_3})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The bulk action is divergent and can be renormalized by imposing a cutoff on the spacetime. In Fefferman-Graham coordinates

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (4.1)$$

one imposes the cutoff $z = \varepsilon$ and adds boundary counterterms. Since the regularized spacetime contains a boundary, the Gibbons-Hawking term

$$S_{GH} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-h} K = - \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x z \partial_z \sqrt{-h} \quad (4.2)$$

must be included to maintain the variational principle of the metric. In the above formula, $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the induced metric

on the boundary and K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature. In the notation of [25], the bulk fields are expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= g_{ij}^{(0)} + z^2 g_{ij}^{(2)} + z^4 \left(g_{ij}^{(4)} + (\log z)^2 h_{ij}^{(0)} + \log z h_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots, \\ \Sigma &= 1 + z^2 (b_1 \log z + b_2) + \dots, \\ \phi_3 &= z^2 (c_1 \log z + c_2) + \dots, \\ F &= d(A_1 + A_2 z^2 + A_3 z^2 \log z + \dots), \\ G &= d(a_1 + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^2 \log z + \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and the equations of motion are solved order by order in z . The expansion of the Ricci tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} R_{zz} &= -\frac{4}{z^2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} g''] + \frac{1}{2z} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} g'] + \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} g' g^{-1} g'], \\ R_{ij} &= -\frac{4}{z^2} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}'' + \frac{3}{2z} g_{ij}' + \frac{1}{2} (g' g^{-1} g')_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} g'] g_{ij} \\ &\quad + R[g]_{ij} + \frac{1}{2z} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} g'] g_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $R[g]_{ij}$ is the boundary Ricci tensor and primes denote derivatives with respect to z . The expansion of the volume element

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} &= \left[1 + \frac{z^2}{2} t^{(2)} + \frac{z^4}{2} \left(t^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2} t^{(2,2)} + \frac{1}{4} (t^{(2)})^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (\log z)^2 u^{(0)} + \log z u^{(1)} \right) \right] + \dots, \\ t^{(n)} &= \text{Tr}[(g^{(0)})^{-1} g^{(n)}], \\ t^{(2,2)} &= \text{Tr}[(g^{(0)})^{-1} g^{(2)} (g^{(0)})^{-1} g^{(2)}], \\ u^{(n)} &= \text{Tr}[(g^{(0)})^{-1} h^{(n)}] \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

will be needed when expanding the action. The ij component of the Einstein field equation to order $\mathcal{O}(z^0)$ is solved by

$$g_{ij}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(R[g^{(0)}]_{ij} - \frac{1}{6} R[g^{(0)}] g_{ij}^{(0)} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} t^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{6} R[g^{(0)}], \\ t^{(2,2)} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(R[g^{(0)}]_{ij} R[g^{(0)}]^{ij} - \frac{2}{9} R[g^{(0)}]^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The zz component of the Einstein field equation to order $\mathcal{O}(z^2)$ is solved by

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{(0)} &= -\frac{2}{3}(3b_1^2 + c_1^2), \\
u^{(1)} &= -\frac{4}{3}(3b_1b_2 + c_1c_2), \\
4t^{(4)} &= t^{(2,2)} - u^{(0)} - 3u^{(1)} - (3b_1^2 + c_1^2) - \frac{8}{3}(3b_2^2 + c_2^2) \\
&\quad - 4(3b_1b_2 + c_1c_2) + \frac{1}{12}(|F|_{g^{(0)}}^2 + |G|_{g^{(0)}}^2), \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|F|_{g^{(0)}}^2 = F_{ij}F_{kl}g^{(0)ik}g^{(0)jl}$ is the norm of the boundary field strength and similarly for $|G|_{g^{(0)}}^2$. The leading divergence takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(0)}} (-1 + 4) \quad (4.9)$$

where the coefficients come from S_{bulk} and S_{GH} respectively. This is canceled by the counterterm $\delta S_1 = -3 \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-h}$. The subleading divergences are

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(0)}} \left(-1 + 1 - \frac{3}{2} \right) t^{(2)} \quad (4.10)$$

where the coefficients come from S_{bulk} , S_{GH} , and δS_1 respectively. This can be canceled by the counterterm $\delta S_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-h} R[h]$. The logarithmic divergences are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{bulk}} &\sim \left[\frac{1}{2} ((t^{(2)})^2 - t^{(2,2)}) - \frac{1}{6} (3b_1^2 + c_1^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} (|F|_{g^{(0)}}^2 + |f|_{g^{(0)}}^2) \right] \log \epsilon, \\
S_{GH} &\sim \frac{2}{3} (3b_1^2 + c_1^2) \log \epsilon, \\
\delta S_1 &\sim (3b_1^2 + c_1^2) (\log \epsilon)^2 + 2(3b_1b_2 + c_1c_2) \log \epsilon, \\
\delta S_2 &\sim 0 \cdot \log \epsilon. \quad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

The logarithmic divergences are canceled by the counterterms

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_3 &= \frac{1}{8} \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \log \epsilon \left[\left(R[h]^{ij} R[h]_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} R[h]^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - F^{ij} F_{ij} - G^{ij} G_{ij} \right] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left[-3(\Sigma - 1)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{3}{2 \log \epsilon} (\Sigma - 1)^2 - \phi_3^2 - \frac{1}{2 \log \epsilon} \phi_3^2 \right]. \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together the different contributions, the renormalized action

$$S_{\text{ren}} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (S_{\text{bulk}} + S_{GH} + \delta S_1 + \delta S_2 + \delta S_3) \quad (4.13)$$

evaluates to

$$S_{\text{ren}} = \left(\frac{5}{8} - q_2^2 \right) \text{Vol}(\text{AdS}_3) \text{Vol}(S^1) \quad (4.14)$$

for the surface defect where $\text{Vol}(\text{AdS}_3)$ is the regularized volume of the AdS_3 factor.

B. Vacuum expectation values

Using the renormalized action (4.13), the vacuum expectation values can be computed through differentiation

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta b_1} \Big|_{b_1=0} = -3b_2, \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\phi_3} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta c_1} \Big|_{c_1=0} = -c_2, \\
\langle \mathcal{J}^i \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta A_{1i}} \Big|_{A_1=0} = \frac{1}{2} (A_3 + 2A_2)^i, \\
\langle j^i \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta a_{1i}} \Big|_{a_1=0} = \frac{1}{2} (a_3 + 2a_2)^i, \\
\langle T_{ij} \rangle &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{ren}}}{\delta g^{(0)ij}} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} T[h]_{ij} \Big|_{z=\epsilon} \right), \quad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where $T[h]_{ij}$ is the boundary stress tensor. For the surface defect solution, the asymptotic expansion is

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{1}{z} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{q_2^2}{3} \right) z - \frac{q_2^4}{36} z^3 \\
&\quad + \frac{108q_2^2 + 63q_2^4 - 20q_2^6}{3888} z^5 + \dots \quad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

and the expectation values are

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rangle &= -q_2^2, \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\phi_3} \rangle &= -q_2, \\
\langle \mathcal{J}_\theta \rangle &= q_2(1 + q_2), \\
\langle j_\theta \rangle &= q_2(1 - q_2), \\
\langle T_{ij} \rangle &= \left(\frac{3}{8} - 2q_2^2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} g_{\text{AdS}_3} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{S^1} \end{pmatrix}_{ij} \quad (4.17)
\end{aligned}$$

so that there are no conformal anomalies: $\langle T_i^i \rangle = 0$. Note that the solution does not contain any logarithmic divergences and the boundary stress tensor is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T[h]_{ij} &= K_{ij} - Kh_{ij} + 3h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \left(R[h]_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R[h] h_{ij} \right) \\
&\quad + (3(\Sigma - 1)^2 + \phi_3^2) h_{ij}. \quad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigated solutions of $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity that are holographic duals of half-BPS conformal surface defects in a $N = 2$ SCFT. The ansatz for the solution is informed by the unbroken symmetries of such defects and is given by $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$ warped over an interval with nontrivial gauge potentials along S^1 . We showed for pure Romans's theory that the only solution in this class which is nonsingular is the AdS_5 vacuum; all nontrivial solutions suffer from a conical defect. This situation is improved by coupling vector multiplets to $N = 4$ gauged supergravity. The simplest case of one additional vector multiplet already allows for the construction of a one parameter family of regular solutions dual to conformal surface defects preserving 8 of the 16 supersymmetries of the vacuum.

An important question is whether solutions of lower dimensional gauged supergravities can be uplifted to 10- or 11-dimensional solutions for which the dual SCFTs are in general known from decoupling limits of brane configurations. It has been shown that pure Romans's theory is a consistent truncation of type IIB [34,35], type IIA [36], and M-theory [37] and hence solutions of this theory can be uplifted. Much less is known about uplifts of matter coupled $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity. In [38], it was argued that Romans's theory coupled to two tensor multiplets is a consistent truncation of an orbifold of $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$. Recently, in [39,40] a consistent truncation of 11-dimensional supergravity on Maldacena-Nunez geometries was constructed, leading to $D = 5$, $N = 4$ gauged supergravity including three vector multiplets.

The rigidity of supersymmetric $N = 4$ vacua [22] makes the existence of other consistent truncations likely.

Since our solution has only two gauge fields and scalars turned on, it can be related to solutions in $D = 5$, $N = 2$ gauged supergravity [29,30]. It has been shown in [36] that these solutions can be uplifted to 10 and 11 dimensions, which means that our solution can be uplifted too. It was argued in [38] that the truncations used in our paper fall into a class of truncations of gauged $N = 8$ supergravity which can be uplifted to 10 dimensions [41]. One could also consider applying the construction in our paper to a general class of the gauged supergravities of [38] which describe Z_N orbifolds and investigate whether in the field theory, the surface operators of the orbifold theory can be obtained from surface operators of $N = 4$ SYM [42–44]. We leave these interesting questions for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of M. G. is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-19-14412. M. V. is grateful to the Bhaumik Institute for Theoretical Physics for support.

APPENDIX A: CONVENTIONS AND SUPERSYMMETRY

The frame field for the metric

$$ds^2 = r^2(H_1 H_2)^{1/3} (-\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\varphi^2) + \frac{f}{(H_1 H_2)^{2/3}} d\theta^2 + \frac{(H_1 H_2)^{1/3}}{f} dr^2$$

is chosen to be

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 &= r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6} \cosh \rho dt, & e^1 &= r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6} d\rho, \\ e^2 &= r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6} \sinh \rho d\varphi, \\ e^3 &= \frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/3}} d\theta, & e^4 &= \frac{(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}}{f^{1/2}} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

The spin connection is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{01} &= \sinh \rho dt, \\ \omega^{04} &= \frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}} \frac{d}{dr} (r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}) \cosh \rho dt, \\ \omega^{12} &= -\cosh \rho d\varphi, \\ \omega^{14} &= \frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}} \frac{d}{dr} (r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}) d\rho, \\ \omega^{24} &= \frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}} \frac{d}{dr} (r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}) \sinh \rho d\varphi, \\ \omega^{34} &= \frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{f^{1/2}}{(H_1 H_2)^{1/3}} \right) d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

All fermions satisfy the symplectic Majorana condition

$$\varepsilon_a^* = B \Omega_{ab} \varepsilon^b \quad (\text{A3})$$

where B is related to the usual charge conjugation matrix C by $B = \gamma_0 C$. An explicit basis for the spacetime γ matrices in the signature $(-, +, +, +, +)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= i\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}, \\ \gamma_1 &= \sigma_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}, \\ \gamma_2 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, \\ \gamma_3 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2, \\ \gamma_4 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3, \\ B &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

A basis for the Euclidean Clifford algebra Γ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1 &= \sigma_1 \otimes 1, \\
\Gamma_2 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, \\
\Gamma_3 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3, \\
\Gamma_4 &= \sigma_2 \otimes 1, \\
\Gamma_5 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2, \\
\Omega &= \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

In the chosen gauging,

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^2 \Gamma_{45}^{ij}, \\
\zeta^{aij} &= 0, \\
\rho^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\cosh \phi_3}{\Sigma} \Gamma_{45}^{ij}, \\
\rho^{aij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_1^a \frac{\sinh \phi_3}{\Sigma} \Gamma_{345}^{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Using the explicit solution to the equations of motion, the dilatino and gaugino variations both lead to the projection condition

$$(\Gamma_{45})_i{}^j \varepsilon_j = \frac{1}{r(H_1 H_2)^{1/2}} (\gamma_{34} \Gamma_3 - i\sqrt{f} \gamma_4)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j. \tag{A7}$$

Substituting this projector into the $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$ gravitino variations gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_{01} - \frac{i}{2} \cosh \rho \gamma_{034} \Gamma_3 \right)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j &= 0, \\
\left(\partial_\rho - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_{134} \Gamma_3 \right)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j &= 0, \\
\left(\partial_\phi - \frac{1}{2} \cosh \rho \gamma_{12} - \frac{i}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_{234} \Gamma_3 \right)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j &= 0, \\
\left(\partial_\theta - \left(\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma_{345} \right)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

These equations can be integrated to

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_i &= \exp \left(\theta \left(\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma_{345} \right)_i{}^j \exp \left(\frac{i\rho}{2} \gamma_{134} \Gamma_3 \right)_j{}^k \\
&\quad \times \exp \left(\frac{i\theta}{2} \gamma_{034} \Gamma_3 \right)_k{}^l \exp \left(\frac{\phi}{2} \gamma_{12} \right)_l{}^m \tilde{\varepsilon}_m(r).
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

Antiperiodicity of ε_i under $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi$ requires the chemical potential to be quantized $\mu_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. After multiplying by $\gamma_{34} \Gamma_3$, the projection condition can be expressed in the form

$$(1 + i\sqrt{f} \gamma_3 \Gamma_3 + r\sqrt{H_1 H_2} \gamma_{34} \Gamma_{345})_i{}^j \varepsilon_j = 0. \tag{A10}$$

Similarly multiplying by Γ_{45} leads to

$$\left(1 - i \frac{\sqrt{f}}{r\sqrt{H_1 H_2}} \gamma_4 \Gamma_{45} + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{H_1 H_2}} \gamma_{34} \Gamma_{345} \right)_i{}^j \varepsilon_j = 0. \tag{A11}$$

Using these equations, the radial gravitino equation can be put into the form

$$\partial_r \varepsilon_i = (a + b\gamma_{34} \Gamma_{345}) \varepsilon_i. \tag{A12}$$

The solution to equations of this form [45] is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(r) &= \frac{1}{r(H_1 H_2)^{1/6}} \left(\sqrt{r\sqrt{H_1 H_2} + 1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i\gamma_4 \Gamma_{45} \sqrt{r\sqrt{H_1 H_2} - 1} \right)_i{}^j \\
&\quad \times (1 - \gamma_{34} \Gamma_{345})_j{}^k (\varepsilon_0)_k
\end{aligned} \tag{A13}$$

for some constant symplectic Majorana spinor ε_0 . It can be checked explicitly that the above Killing spinor satisfies the symplectic Majorana condition.

APPENDIX B: HALF-BPS LINE DEFECT SOLUTION

A half-BPS solution describing a superconformal line defect can be constructed in the Euclidean version of pure Romans's supergravity. In the notation of [24], the supersymmetry variations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \psi_\mu &= D_\mu \varepsilon - \frac{1}{12} \gamma_\mu W \hat{\sigma}_3 \varepsilon + \frac{i}{12} (\gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu^\nu \gamma^\rho) h_{\nu\rho} \varepsilon, \\
\delta \chi &= -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda + \partial_\lambda W \hat{\sigma}_3 + i\gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda h_{\mu\nu}) \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= 2(2X + X^{-2}), \\
h_{\mu\nu} &= X^{-1} (F_{\mu\nu}^i \hat{\sigma}_3 \sigma_i + B_{\mu\nu}^+ \hat{\sigma}_- + B_{\mu\nu}^- \hat{\sigma}_+) - iX^2 f_{\mu\nu}, \\
X &= e^{-\lambda/\sqrt{6}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

The superconformal line defect preserves an $SO(1,2) \times SO(3)$ bosonic symmetry which can be realized by the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= f_1(y)^2 ds_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + f_2(y)^2 d\Omega_2^2 + f_3(y)^2 dy^2, \\
B^- &= C_1(y) \text{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^2} + C_2(y) \text{vol}_{S^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

A similar solution containing only these fields was analyzed in [24]. Imposing the projection condition $\hat{\sigma}_3 \varepsilon = \varepsilon$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi &= D_\mu \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \varepsilon, \\ \delta\chi &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{B4}$$

which are the BPS equations describing AdS₅. Thus the tensor field B^- breaks half the supersymmetries and does not backreact on the metric. $C_1(y)$ and $C_2(y)$ are determined by the tensor field equation of motion

$$dB^- + *B^- = 0.\tag{B5}$$

The full solution is

$$\begin{aligned}f_1 &= \cosh y, \\ f_2 &= \sinh y, \\ f_3 &= 1, \\ C_1 &= \frac{a}{\sinh y} + b \left(\frac{y}{\sinh y} + \cosh y \right), \\ C_2 &= \frac{a}{\cosh y} + b \left(\frac{y}{\cosh y} - \sinh y \right).\end{aligned}\tag{B6}$$

Using the coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}ds_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 &= \frac{d\tau^2 + dx^2}{x^2}, \\ d\Omega_2^2 &= d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2,\end{aligned}\tag{B7}$$

the solution can be mapped to Euclidean Poincaré coordinates

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (d\tau^2 + dz^2 + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2))\tag{B8}$$

through the coordinate transformation

$$z = \frac{x}{\cosh y}, \quad r = x \tanh y.\tag{B9}$$

In this coordinate system, the tensor field takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}B^- &= \tilde{C}_1 d\tau \wedge dr + \tilde{C}_2 d\tau \wedge dz + \tilde{C}_3 \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi, \\ r^{-1} \tilde{C}_1 &= z^{-1} \tilde{C}_2 = \frac{1}{(r^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \left[a \frac{z}{r} + b \left(\frac{z}{r} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{z} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}}{z} \right) \right], \\ \tilde{C}_3 &= a \frac{z}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}} + b \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{z} \right) - \frac{r}{z} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{B10}$$

and the leading behavior of the tensor field at the boundary is

$$B^- \sim \left(\frac{br}{z} + \frac{az}{r} \right) \frac{d\tau \wedge dr}{r^2} + \left(-\frac{br}{z} + \frac{az}{r} \right) \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi\tag{B11}$$

giving the source and vacuum expectation values of the dual $\Delta = 3$ operator. Since the spacetime is Euclidean AdS₅, the dual stress tensor vanishes

$$\langle T_{ij} \rangle = 0.\tag{B12}$$

The solution can be uplifted to type IIB supergravity or $D = 11$ supergravity [34,37], but the higher form fields become complex when Wick rotating back to Lorentzian signature.

-
- [1] A. Karch and L. Randall, Open and closed string interpretation of SUSY CFT's on branes with boundaries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2001) 063.
 - [2] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, and H. Ooguri, Holography and defect conformal field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025009 (2002).
 - [3] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, Branes in AdS and p p wave space-times, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2002) 025.
 - [4] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and S. Hirano, A dilatonic deformation of AdS(5) and its field theory dual, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2003) 072.
 - [5] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, and M. Gutperle, Exact half-BPS type IIB interface solutions. I. Local solution and supersymmetric Janus, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 021.
 - [6] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, and M. Gutperle, Exact half-BPS type IIB interface solutions. II. Flux solutions and multi-Janus, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 022.
 - [7] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, and M. Gutperle, Gravity duals of half-BPS Wilson loops, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 063.
 - [8] H. Lin, O. Lunin, and J. M. Maldacena, Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2004) 025.
 - [9] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle, and D. Krym, Exact half-BPS flux solutions in M-theory. I: Local solutions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2008) 028.
 - [10] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle, and D. Krym, Janus solutions in M-theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2009) 018.

- [11] S. Yamaguchi, Bubbling geometries for half BPS Wilson lines, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **22**, 1353 (2007).
- [12] J. Gomis and C. Romelsberger, Bubbling defect CFT's, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2006) 050.
- [13] O. Lunin, On gravitational description of Wilson lines, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2006) 026.
- [14] O. Lunin, 1/2-BPS states in M theory and defects in the dual CFTs, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2007) 014.
- [15] A. Clark and A. Karch, Super Janus, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2005) 094.
- [16] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, The gravity duals of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 189.
- [17] O. Aharony, L. Berdichevsky, and M. Berkooz, 4d $N = 2$ superconformal linear quivers with type IIA duals, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2012) 131.
- [18] L. J. Romans, Gauged $N = 4$ supergravities in five dimensions and their magnetovac backgrounds, *Nucl. Phys.* **B267**, 433 (1986).
- [19] M. Awada and P. K. Townsend, $N = 4$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity in five dimensions and its $SU(2)$ gauging, *Nucl. Phys.* **B255**, 617 (1985).
- [20] G. Dall'Agata, C. Herrmann, and M. Zagermann, General matter coupled $N = 4$ gauged supergravity in five dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B612**, 123 (2001).
- [21] J. Schon and M. Weidner, Gauged $N = 4$ supergravities, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 034.
- [22] J. Louis, H. Triendl, and M. Zagermann, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric AdS_5 vacua and their moduli spaces, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2015) 083.
- [23] D. Cassani, G. Dall'Agata, and A. F. Faedo, BPS domain walls in $N = 4$ supergravity and dual flows, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2013) 007.
- [24] N. Bobev, F. F. Gautason, and K. Hristov, Holographic dual of the Ω background, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 021901 (2019).
- [25] P. Benetti Genolini, P. Richmond, and J. Sparks, Topological AdS/CFT , *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2017) 039.
- [26] H. L. Dao and P. Karndumri, Supersymmetric AdS_5 black holes and strings from 5D $N = 4$ gauged supergravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 247 (2019).
- [27] H. L. Dao and P. Karndumri, Holographic RG flows and AdS_5 black strings from 5D half-maximal gauged supergravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 137 (2019).
- [28] D. Gaiotto, Surface operators in $N = 2$ 4d gauge theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2012) 090.
- [29] K. Behrndt, M. Cvetič, and W. A. Sabra, Nonextreme black holes of five-dimensional $N = 2$ AdS supergravity, *Nucl. Phys.* **B553**, 317 (1999).
- [30] K. Behrndt, A. H. Chamseddine, and W. A. Sabra, BPS black holes in $N = 2$ five-dimensional AdS supergravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **442**, 97 (1998).
- [31] M. Crossley, E. Dyer, and J. Sonner, Super-Renyi entropy and Wilson loops for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and their gravity duals, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2014) 001.
- [32] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217**, 595 (2001).
- [33] K. Skenderis, Lecture notes on holographic renormalization, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **19**, 5849 (2002).
- [34] H. Lu, C. N. Pope, and T. A. Tran, Five-dimensional $N = 4$, $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity from type IIB, *Phys. Lett. B* **475**, 261 (2000).
- [35] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, Consistent warped space Kaluza-Klein reductions, half maximal gauged supergravities and CP^n constructions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B597**, 172 (2001).
- [36] M. Cvetič, M. J. Duff, P. Hoxha, J. T. Liu, H. Lu, J. X. Lu, R. Martinez-Acosta, C. N. Pope, H. Sati, and T. A. Tran, Embedding AdS black holes in ten dimensions and eleven dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B558**, 96 (1999).
- [37] J. P. Gauntlett and O. Varela, $D = 5$ $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity from $D = 11$ supergravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2008) 083.
- [38] R. Corrado, M. Gunaydin, N. P. Warner, and M. Zagermann, Orbifolds and flows from gauged supergravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 125024 (2002).
- [39] K. C. Matthew Cheung, J. P. Gauntlett, and C. Rosen, Consistent KK truncations for M5-branes wrapped on Riemann surfaces, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **36**, 225003 (2019).
- [40] D. Cassani, G. Josse, M. Petrini, and D. Waldram, Systematics of consistent truncations from generalised geometry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2019) 017.
- [41] A. Khavaev, K. Pilch, and N. P. Warner, New vacua of gauged $N = 8$ supergravity in five dimensions, *Phys. Lett. B* **487**, 14 (2000).
- [42] S. Gukov and E. Witten, Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric langlands program, [arXiv:hep-th/0612073](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612073).
- [43] J. Gomis and S. Matsuura, Bubbling surface operators and S -duality, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 025.
- [44] N. Drukker, J. Gomis, and S. Matsuura, Probing $N = 4$ SYM with surface operators, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2008) 048.
- [45] L. J. Romans, Supersymmetric, cold and lukewarm black holes in cosmological Einstein-Maxwell theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B383**, 395 (1992).